

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

Docket No. 140009-EI

Submitted for Filing: May 19, 2014

**DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S OBJECTIONS TO
CITIZENS' FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (NOS. 1-40)**

Pursuant to Florida Administrative Code Rule 28-106.206, Rules 1.350 and 1.280 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure, Order No. PSC-14-0082-PCO-EI, issued February 4, 2014 (the "Order") in this matter, Duke Energy Florida, Inc. ("DEF") serves its objections to the Office of Public Counsel's ("Citizens" or "OPC") First Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 1-40) (the "Document Request") and states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

With respect to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" in the Document Request:

DEF generally objects to the Document Request to the extent that it call for documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. DEF will provide a privilege log within a reasonable time or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent that a request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents or information.

Further, in certain circumstances, DEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that responsive documents to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such a request,

DEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law. DEF hereby asserts its right to require such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules, and legal principles.

DEF also generally objects to the Document Request to the extent that it calls for the production of “all” documents or information of any nature, including, every copy of every document responsive to the requests. DEF objects to the definition of the term “document” as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. DEF will make a good faith, reasonably diligent attempt to identify and obtain responsive documents or information when no objection has been asserted, but it is not practicable or even possible to identify, obtain, and produce “all” information or documents. In addition, DEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to the Document Request if DEF cannot respond immediately due to their magnitude and the work required aggregating them, or if DEF later discovers additional responsive information or documents in the course of this proceeding.

DEF further objects to the Instructions and Definitions to the extent that they seek to impose requirements on the responses to the Document Requests beyond the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. DEF will respond to the Document Request consistent with the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and not some inconsistent and additional requirement under the Instructions and Definitions.

DEF also objects to the timeframe provided for in the Instructions as overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this docket because the Instruction requests documents created since January 1, 2005.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

Document Request No. 2: DEF objects to this request because it requests information that is not relevant to the issues in this docket and is the subject of a separate Commission docket, and, as such, is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this docket.

Subject to this objection, and without waiving same, DEF will provide an appropriate response to this request.

Document Request No. 9: DEF objects to this request because it requests information that is not relevant to the issues in this docket and, as such, is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this docket.

Subject to this objection, and without waiving same, DEF will provide the requested documents.

Document Request No. 13: DEF objects to this request to the extent it calls for information since May 1, 2009, and thus the re-production of documents or information that has been previously produced in the continuing NCRC dockets in 2010 and 2011 because such information is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this docket.

Subject to this objection, and without waiving same, DEF will provide an appropriate response to this request.

Document Request No. 18a-b: DEF objects to this request to the extent it calls for information since May 1, 2009, and thus the re-production of documents or information that has been previously produced in the continuing NCRC dockets in 2010 and 2011 because such

information is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this docket.

Subject to this objection, and without waiving same, DEF will provide an appropriate response to this request.

Document Request No. 21: DEF objects to this request because it requests information that is not relevant to the issues in this docket and, as such, is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this docket. DEF further objects to this request to the extent the request calls for the production of documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. DEF will provide a privilege log within a reasonable time or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent that the Document Request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.

Subject to this objection, and without waiving same, DEF will produce responsive non-privileged or protected documents.

Document Request No. 23: DEF objects to this request to the extent the request calls for the production of documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. DEF will provide a privilege log within a reasonable time or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent that the Document Request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.

Subject to this objection, and without waiving same, DEF will produce responsive non-privileged or protected documents.

Document Request No. 24: DEF objects to this request to the extent the request calls for the production of documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. DEF will provide a

privilege log within a reasonable time or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent that the Document Request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.

Subject to this objection, and without waiving same, DEF will produce responsive non-privileged or protected documents.

Document Request No. 26: DEF objects to this request to the extent the request calls for the production of documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. DEF will provide a privilege log within a reasonable time or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent that the Document Request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.

Subject to this objection, and without waiving same, DEF will produce responsive non-privileged or protected documents.

Document Request No. 32: DEF incorporates its Objection to Interrogatory No. 11 herein. Subject to this objection, and without waiving same, DEF will provide an appropriate response to this request to the extent responsive documents are identified.

Document Request No. 39: DEF objects to this request to the extent the request calls for the production of documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. DEF will provide a privilege log within a reasonable time or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent that the Document Request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.

Subject to this objection, and without waiving same, DEF will produce responsive non-privileged or protected documents.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of May, 2014.

John T. Burnett
Deputy General Counsel
Dianne M. Triplett
Associate General Counsel
DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
Post Office Box 14042
St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042
Telephone: (727) 820-5587
Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

/s/ Blaise N. Gamba
James Michael Walls
Florida Bar No. 0706242
Blaise N. Gamba
Florida Bar No. 0027942
CARLTON FIELDS JORDEN BURT, P.A.
Post Office Box 3239
Tampa, FL 33601-3239
Telephone: (813) 223-7000
Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to counsel and parties of record as indicated below via electronic mail this 19th day of May, 2014.

/s/ Blaise N. Gamba
Attorney

Michael Lawson
Keino Young
Florida Public Service Commission Staff
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
Phone: (850) 413-6199
Facsimile: (850) 413-6184
Email: mlawson@psc.state.fl.us
kyoung@psc.state.fl.us

Charles Rehwinkel
Deputy Public Counsel
Erik Saylor
Associate Public Counsel
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Phone: (850) 488-9330
Email: rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
Saylor.erik@leg.state.fl.us

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Moyle Law Firm
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Phone: (850) 681-3828
Fax: (850) 681-8788
Email: jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Robert Scheffel Wright
John T. LaVia, III
Gardner Bist Wiener Wadsworth Bowden
Bush Dee LaVia & Wright, P.A.
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32308
Phone: (850) 385-0070
Email: Schef@gbwlegal.com
Jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Bryan S. Anderson
Jessica Cano
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Blvd.
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
Phone: (561) 304-5253
Facsimile: (561) 691-7135
Email: bryan.anderson@fpl.com
Jessica.cano@fpl.com

James W. Brew
F. Alvin Taylor
Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson St NW
8th FL West Tower
Washington, DC 20007-5201
Phone: (202) 342-0800
Fax: (202) 342-0807
Email: jbrew@bbrslaw.com
ataylor@bbrslaw.com

Matthew R. Bernier
Paul Lewis, Jr.
Duke Energy Florida, Inc.
106 East College Avenue, Ste. 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740
Phone: (850) 222-8738
Facsimile: (850) 222-9768
Email: matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com
paul.lewisjr@duke-energy.com

Kenneth Hoffman
Florida Power & Light Company
215 South Monroe Street, Ste. 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1858
Phone: (850) 521-3919
Facsimile: (850) 521-3939
Email: Ken.hoffman@fpl.com