## FILED SEP 23, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 05359-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 APPLICATION FOR STAFF-ASSISTED RATE CASE IN LAKE COUNTY DOCKET NO. 130194-WS BY LAKESIDE WATERWORKS, INC. 4 5 6 7 8 PROCEEDINGS: CUSTOMER MEETING 9 COMMISSION STAFF PARTICIPATING: CURT MOURING 10 DICK DURBIN 11 Thursday, September 11, 2014 DATE: Commenced at 6:00 p.m. 12 TIME: Concluded at 8:35 p.m. 13 Shangri-La By the Lake Clubhouse PLACE: 14 100 Shangri-La Boulevard Leesburg, Florida 15 TRANSCRIBED BY: LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR 16 Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

INDEX

_	1 11	
2	SPEAKER:	PAGE NO.:
3	JESSICA CRAWFORD	12 15
4	TERRY MICKET MARSHA STRAUGHAN	20
5	LINDA MCKENNA GARY PAPUCCI	31 41
6	SUSIE SANDERS JOHN FLEMING	59 61
7	DOROTHY BETH MULLINS BRENDA KREEGER	66 67
8	ANDY WERNER JEFF LASKOWSKI	70 72
9	ANGELO DeSANTIS GARY WIEPKING	74 75
10	MARIE TAYLOR WILLIAM BEVERLY	79 80
11	DOUGLAS ALLEN GARY RAMEY	82 85
12	RICHARD HOPSEKER RUSS WILKINS	8 6 8 8
13	ELAINE WIEPKING KATHI ADKINS	91 95
14	VANESSA HAMPTON BRENDA KREEGER	96 101
15	DOUGLAS ALLEN LINDA MCKENNA	103 104
16	BRENDA KREEGER VANESSA HAMPTON	106 106
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## PROCEEDINGS

MR. MOURING: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. If I could have everyone's attention. I've got 6:00, so if everyone could take their seats, we'll go ahead and get started.

First of all, I wanted to thank everybody for coming tonight. What we're here for is the customer meeting in Docket Number 130194-WS, and that's for Lakeside Waterworks in their staff-assisted, their application for a staff-assisted rate case.

Let me first introduce myself. My name is Curt Mouring. I'm with the Florida Public Service Commission. I'm a Public Utilities Supervisor in the Division of Accounting and Finance.

The gentleman who greeted you at the door is Mr. Dick Durbin, and he's from our consumer outreach group at the Commission.

I've just got a brief presentation here. And what I'm going to discuss is just kind of an overview of the SARC process, give a summary of staff's report, which is a preliminary report, and take some comments and questions from you, the customers.

The staff-assisted rate case or SARC process was developed by the Legislature through Section 367 to assist customers of small utility companies that

generally don't have a large amount of working capital, a large amount of -- a large customer base to spread costs over. And the reason why we do staff-assisted rate cases is to shift some of the burden of conducting a rate case away from the utility and to the Commission staff. And what that does is that saves on rate case 7 expense.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Typically for a larger utility filing for rate relief they would need to prepare a detailed set of schedules which outlines the specific relief that they're requesting. They need to hire consultants and other professionals and legal counsel, and that can really add up and that -- I mean, up to between 80 and \$100,000 or more. And that -- by having the Commission staff carry the majority of the load, that reduces that down significantly. And that's a prudent expense that would be borne by ratepayers otherwise.

Just a little overview on the SARC process, the utility starts the process by filing an application requesting rate relief. The Commission staff will conduct an audit of the utility's books and records and establish a 12-month historic period for a test year.

Staff will review the audit report. engineering staff will go out and inspect the utility's facilities. We'll also look at operation and

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

maintenance data and billing records before we prepare our staff report.

Staff will also conduct a customer meeting, which is where we are right now, to gather information from you, the customers, and to hopefully give you guys some information about the process and how you can participate.

Then, lastly, staff will prepare a recommendation. I apologize if that's difficult to see there, but staff will prepare a final recommendation for the Commission. The staff report, again, I'm going to stress that it's a preliminary report, and it's prepared as a basis for conducting the customer meeting, just to give you guys an idea of the scope, the magnitude of what the rate case is going to likely generate. kind of a talking point of here's what rates could very well look like based on a preliminary examination.

Staff's position may certainly change based on information obtained subsequent to the issuance of the staff report. If we receive additional information from the company or information from the customers, things can change as a result of that. So, again, the numbers that are in this yellow Special Report are derived from the staff report and they are subject to change. I just want to make sure that's very clear.

This customer meeting is conducted by the Commission staff in the utility's service territory to provide customers the opportunity to provide input to staff regarding the utility, which may include but is certainly not limited to the proposed rates; customer service received by you, the customers, from the utility; the quality of service; the quality of the product; and any billing issues that you may have had.

The Office of Public Counsel and utility representatives are also permitted to attend the meeting, but it is not their meeting. I don't believe we have anyone from the Office of Public Counsel here this evening, but there are, I believe, three utility representatives here. If you'd like to speak with them directly, they are here. They're towards the back of the room.

Staff's recommendation. After the customer meeting and staff prepares its final recommendation with staff's proposed rates, the recommendation will be voted on by the Commission at a regularly scheduled agenda conference in Tallahassee. The recommendation in this case is currently scheduled to be filed on November 13th and the agenda conference is tentatively scheduled for the 25th of November. At the agenda conference the Commissioners may ask questions of staff prior to

voting. The utility may participate. The Commissioners may have questions for the utility. And the Commissioners can vote to approve, deny, or modify staff's recommendation. They are the ultimate decision-makers. Customers are also certainly permitted to attend the agenda conference in Tallahassee and address the Commissioners directly. If you do plan on attending and you wish to address the Commissioners, please let someone from Commission staff know that you're there so that we can make the appropriate arrangements. And again that's November 25th.

After the agenda, the Commission will vote.

After 20 -- 20 days after the Commission votes, an order will be issued, a PAA order, proposed agency action order will be issued. Once the order is issued, the 21-day protest period will begin where any substantially affected party other than the utility may protest the order and request a formal hearing under Section 120.57.

As part of the SARC process, in exchange for the Commission bearing the brunt of the workload, the utility is not permitted to protest unless rates that are approved would generate less revenue. So unless there's a rate decrease that's approved, the Commission — the utility cannot protest the Commission's PAA order in a staff-assisted rate case.

2.0

If a timely protest is filed, a hearing with at least three of the Commissioners will be scheduled in or about the customers of the utility's actual service territory. The utility and protesting parties will litigate the protested issues. Customers can actually testify at that customer service hearing.

Then there will be a formal hearing in

Tallahassee where each party is responsible for filing
testimony, putting on witnesses, preparing
cross-examination, conducting depositions, and attending
the prehearing conference held in Tallahassee. After
the hearing, staff will prepare a post recommendation -a post-hearing recommendation based on the record
developed at the hearing. No participation from the
utility or customers is permitted at the final agenda.
And a final, a final vote will be made and the final
order will be issued. That final order would only be
appealable to the First DCA of Florida.

So here's some specifics about this staff-assisted rate case for Lakeside. The utility's last rate case application was filed in 2007 by Shangri-La By the Lakes Utilities but was subsequently withdrawn. And the utility was granted its operating certificate in 1994.

The primary drivers for why the utility is

seeking rate relief here is that they're earning, they're earning, they're operating at a loss right now. They're earning below their authorized rate of return. And the primary drivers of that are O&M expenses increasing subsequent to the change of ownership.

Here we have some of the staff-adjusted test year figures. For the water operations -- I'm sorry. For the water operations we've got revenues of \$38,806, with operating expenses of \$60,436 with an operating loss of \$21,630. And similarly on the wastewater side, operating at a loss of \$26,401 based on staff's adjust -- preliminary adjustments.

Again, based on staff's preliminary numbers, here are the proposed comparison of the proposed bill impact, the rate impact. For water the base charge would be going from 12.96 to \$15.80, and the usage charge or gallonage charge would be going from a flat \$1.23 per 1,000 gallons regardless of how many -- of how much water is used to an inclining block rate structure that has two tiers -- one for the first 4,000 gallons where each 1,000 gallons would be billed at \$4.02, and each 1,000 gallons above 4,000 would be billed at \$10.21 -- I'm sorry -- \$10.28.

And at the bottom here, I apologize, that's kind of cut off, we have a total bill for a 4,000-gallon

customer under the current rates would be \$17.88 and
under the proposed rates would be \$31.88. And I believe
that same information is in here on, I think it's

page 3 towards the bottom it has a 4,000 typical
residential bill at 4,000 gallons as that. And it also
provides total bill comparisons for 6,000 and 10,000

gallons.

Here's the wastewater rates. The existing charges are a base charge of \$10.01 and a gallonage charge of \$2.46, and we'd be going to a proposed base charge of \$15.47 and a gallonage charge of \$7.68.

Likewise on page 4 it provides a comparison of a typical bill.

Now on the wastewater side I would want to point out that the usage is tapped at 6,000 gallons, so that's why there's no difference between the typical bill at 6,000 and the typical bill at 10,000. No usage over 6,000 gallons is billed.

Again, just in this case staff's recommendation on this SARC is tentatively scheduled to be filed November 13th for the November 25th agenda conference in Tallahassee. Again, the Commission may either approve, deny, or modify staff's recommendation.

So here's where we talk about how you can participate in the process. And the first thing you can

do is sign up to speak where Mr. Dick Durbin is at the door, sign up to speak. This meeting is being recorded. It will be placed in a docket file where the Commissioners will have full access to it. They can listen to the audio. They can hear your concerns firsthand. If you do speak, please come forward to the microphone. The meeting is being recorded.

Here's an example of another way you can participate in the process. The very last sheet of your special report is actually a pre-addressed comment, consumer comment letter that you can fill out and mail into the Commission. It will also be placed in a correspondence docket file that the Commissioners will be able to access. If you're not able to attend the customer meeting in Tallahassee, you can watch it or listen to it live on the Internet via the Commission's website, which is at www.FloridaPSC.com. I think that website is in here too, Dick.

MR. DURBIN: It's on the second page.

MR. MOURING: Yeah. The website is in your Special Report also on the second page. And on there, if you go to, at the very top -- I don't -- I'm sure you can't read that, I can barely read it -- there's a tab that says Agendas and Hearings. If you click on that, it'll bring down a drop down menu where you can -- one

of the choices is audio and video coverage. And on November 25th, you can stream the actual Commission agenda. You can watch the video with audio or you can just stream the audio, if you'd like. And you can also come up to Tallahassee and attend in person. Again, if anyone wishes to travel up to Tallahassee and address the Commissioners, all that we ask is that you please make us aware so that we can make sure that the Commissioners know that you're there.

You can also reach out to the Office of Public Counsel. They are an advocacy group that advocates specifically on behalf of customers. There's their 800 number and their website, FloridaOPC.gov. You can also call the Florida Public Service Commission consumer assistance, and there's our 800 number, and again the website, FloridaPSC.com. And that's the end of my presentation here.

Before we get started on the consumer comments section, this evening we do have with us Ms. Jessica Crawford from Senator Hays' office, and she is here and would like to make a brief comment. So I will turn the floor over to her.

MS. CRAWFORD: Hello, everyone, and thank you for letting me be here. The senator, you know, does wish that he could be here. He had another event -- I'm

sorry -- that had been scheduled. But he is aware of the issue. I know we have received several letters from you all with your concerns and with the rate increase. So, you know, he wanted me to say a few things. You know, while the senator knows and we all know a water company --

(Technical difficulties with sound system.)

Okay. Sorry about that. You know, while he understands and we all know that a company, a water company does need to make a profit, and while your current rates do seem low, he does seriously question the appropriateness of such large increases at once. I know in the letters you all said it was up to 300 percent, and I know that's a lot for you all.

so what he believes that should happen is more information needs to be conveyed to you all to let you know the rate of return the company is allowed in statute and why these increases are justified so you all know why this is happening. And, you know, the senator has filed legislation in the past that deals with this, and, you know, we haven't gotten much traction, but this is an issue that he is aware of and this isn't the first time we've heard about it. So he knows and, you know, we're here to try and help. And I want to get more familiar with the issue. That's why I'm here to take

notes. And if you all want to talk to me after or, you know, meet with the senator at any time, just, you know, let me know. I'll give you my information. But I'm just, you know, happy to be here and hear what you all have to say and see what we can do. So thank you. If he was here, he would say more, but I'm not the senator. So that's it for me.

MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you.

MS. CRAWFORD: All right. Thank you.

MR. MOURING: All right. And before we get started here -- and I will call you up in the order in which you've signed up -- Ms. Crawford brought up an excellent point. We did -- we have received a lot of letters from you guys where you reference a 300 percent increase. And I did want to address that a little bit in terms of, hopefully give you guys a better understanding of what the new rates actually mean in terms of what you'll actually be paying. And I did discuss briefly the switch on the water side from a flat rate, from a flat gallonage rate regardless of how many gallons you use to a tiered and inclining block structure with two tiers. The only way that you'd really see a 300 percent increase would be if you're a heavy user in the 11,000 to 12,000 gallons a month.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's not true.

that.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's not true. (Inaudible.) I know that's not true.

2 MR. MOURING: Okay. Well, I'd be happy to speak with you about -- and get your perspective on 3

> So the first tier, the \$4.02 recommended water rate, gallonage rate, for a lower user at about 2,000 gallons a month would be looking at a total bill difference of closer to about 50 percent increase. more water you use, the higher the percentage of overall increase in your total bill is going to be. And, again, that's as a result of the rate structure. So I -- if you have additional questions, I'd certainly be able to speak with you and hopefully try to answer your questions.

But with that being said, I will go ahead and we will start with Terry Micket. If you would come up to the podium here, and please remember that this meeting is being recorded, and state your name, your address, and please spell your last name. Is Terry Micket here?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He's right over there.

MR. MOURING: Oh.

MR. MICKET: It's me. It's me.

MR. MOURING: I apologize.

MR. MICKET: That's okay. Can everybody hear

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

me?

2 (Audience responded yes.)

Okay. First off, I'd like to thank the PSC for coming. I'd like to thank the Waterworks guys for shelling off all of our, all of our neighbors here at Shangri-La and all of our extended neighbors at both neighborhoods from both ends of the park. Thank you for coming.

I'm not here to represent Shangri-La as a -I'm a director on the, on the board, but I'm not here to
direct that. I think these questions need to be asked
of each of us and all of us and we need to be asking
them to them.

My concern is, number one, I'm getting an increase for water as proposed for my water. Just what do I get for that increase? What additional -- what do I get for that? The question that I'm asking is if they're going to -- say I use 4,000 gallons a month, okay, and then one month I use 7,000 gallons because my lawn needs more water, okay, is there a reliable way to charge me for that period of time?

As we all know, our water bills come in normally 29 to 31 days of use. If I use more at the end of one month, is it, is the, is my bill going to be for total gallons used that month?

MR. MOURING: Yes, it would.

MR. MICKET: Okay. So given that, and you're asking, they're asking for an increase, and I understand that every company needs to make a profit and I don't object to any company making a profit, I just object to the fact that normally with a profit comes something for the consumer. I don't know that we're getting any more than we ever got before, yet we're being asked to pay more for it.

Also I want -- also I want to address, and this is probably more for the water guys, is the quality of our water. There's several residents here that have had problems with their quality of water -- black rings in toilet bowls. A lot of folks here that live in the park don't even drink the water. They go out and buy bottled water because they're afraid to drink the water.

Again, why are we being asked to pay an increase for water that we can't even drink? These are points that need to be made. When it's your turn to speak up, please reinforce these questions because I've been asked by a lot of folks to address a lot of different things. So when it's your turn to speak, please, you know, amplify or exemplify maybe these points that I'm trying to make.

I do think that a fair, adequate -- a fair

24

25

adjustment is needed. I talked to this gentleman before and I went online and I read the PSC's mission Their mission statement reads to facilitate an efficient provision for safe and reliable services at fair prices, and I agree that that's probably what they're doing. I disagree with the word "safe and reliable." We have -- we had a water test done. We all got the letter in the mail that said our water test was done, our water was adequate. And if you look at that water test results, it's the same results for 2014 by the number, by the decimal as 2013, as 2012. So now I have to ask the question, we're asking for a rate increase. Do we have a new water test and can we get an independent test that's not done by Lakeside Waterworks or U.S. Water or whoever you guys work for. The point is that we need to know the quality of our water is good before we're expected to pay an increase, and I'm asking the PSC do they agree or disagree with that.

MR. MOURING: Well, again, the quality of the product provided is a cornerstone of staff's analysis —

I'm sorry — and our engineering staff will look into that. The Public Service Commission is not the primary agency in terms of jurisdiction when it comes to water quality issues. That would be the Department of Environmental Protection or the county health

department.

But there are adjustments that the Commission can make in taking into consideration the quality of the water as deemed by the DEP or the county health department. But our engineering staff will certainly be looking into that.

MR. MICKET: Thank you. And the final point that I'd like to make, because I read over mission statements, goals and objectives for the PSC, and as they relate, as I learned from you as they relate, I'm not so convinced that the PSC is meeting all the goals and objectives. I would ask the PSC to do a self-evaluation and see if they truly are meeting all the goals and objectives by providing us safe and efficient water. That's all I have. Thank you. And don't be afraid. If you're on the list to speak, please get up and speak your mind. That's the only way that we're going to learn anything anymore and allow these folks to help us. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I speak out of
turn?

MR. MOURING: Have you signed up to speak?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, I have.

MR. MOURING: We will get to you, if you don't
mind. We'll just --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, it's in reference 1 2 to what Terry is talking about. 3 MR. MOURING: We'll get to you, sir. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. 4 (Inaudible.) 5 MR. MOURING: All right. And, again, I 6 7 think -- I do appreciate your comments, Mr. Micket. And, again, none of the Commissioners were able to be 8 9 here tonight. If you do have concerns, please make them known. The Commissioners, that's the only way they're 10 going to know about it is the record that we build here 11 tonight. So if it's already been brought up by another 12 13 customer, by all means, please bring it up again if it's 14 pertinent to you. Please, please make sure that we get 15 all of your concerns onto the record. Our next speaker is Ms. Marsha Straughan. 16 17 MS. STRAUGHAN: Straughan. 18 MR. MOURING: Straughan. Thank you. 19 MS. STRAUGHAN: I have a letter here I would 2.0 like to read. 21 MR. MOURING: I'm sorry. Could you speak into 22 the microphone? 23 MS. STRAUGHAN: I have a letter here that I 24 would like to read from James Mall (phonetic). He is a 25 customer and President of the HOA.

"Please allow this letter to be read at the September customer meeting as I will be unable to attend due to prior commitments that take me out of town. It is my plan to attend the November meeting in Tallahassee, if possible.

"On May 14th, 2014, per your letter, we were informed that the customer meeting could not be, would not be continued to no earlier than October 2014. Your letter suggested that we contact our R. J. Reilly [sic], Public Counsel. After contacting R. J. Reilly the meeting was changed again to September 11th, 2014. A September 11th day is better than the previous July 24th date, but it's still too early as the majority of the snowbird residents of Shangri-La do not return until late October.

"The purpose of the meeting is to give customers and other interested persons an opportunity to offer comments regarding the quality of service the utility provides, the rate hikes, and to ask questions and comment on other issues.

"Since Lakeside Waterworks has taken over the water pressure has improved and their response to customer needs has been adequate. The majority -- the major concern is the proposed rate increase. Most of the residents are retired on fixed incomes, and

depending on the outcome of this rate case increase could have a major impact on their monthly budgets.

"In their informational letter sent out by U.S. Water on August 13th, it states that the current rates in the staff preliminary rates listed here, currently for water is 1.23 per gallons. The proposed rate increase is \$4.02 for 0 to 4000 gallons, for a rate increase of 226.82 percent. The proposed rate increase is \$10.28 for over 4,000 gallons for a rate increase of 735.77 percent.

"The current rate for wastewater is \$2.46.

The proposed rate increase is \$7.68, for a rate increase of 212, 212.19 percent. This facility charge by meter size, currently \$12.96, proposed \$15.80. That's a 21.91 percent increase. The base facility charge for all meters apparently \$10.01, proposed \$15.47, for an increase of 54.54 percent.

"The result of these proposed increases would result in many residents paying more for water than electricity. Water rate increases of 200 percent and 735 percent is excessive and unfair. Please consider all the ramifications of your actions before making your decision. Respectfully submitted by James Mall."

I also have a letter from Richard Carter. It says, "Dear Staff and Commissioners, my letter to you as

of May 21st was a request to reschedule the July 24th 1 hearing date. Subsequently I became aware that the 2 3 hearing was rescheduled to September 11th. "This is my written submission to be 4 5 considered in your deliberations at the hearing scheduled for September 11th. I am unable to attend the 6 7 scheduled hearing in person. "Shangri-La By the Lake is a 55-plus community 8 9 defined by the State of Florida as a retirement community. However, this is (inaudible) is resolved. 10 11 The major financial rate impact will be borne by the 12 Shangri-La community well into the future. The second year cost projection is about 2.5 times the purchase 13 14 price though the actuals come in at this level. It does 15 not appear year one did." MR. MOURING: I apologize. I'm not 100 16 17 percent sure I understand what --18 MS. STRAUGHAN: It's saying that the first 19 year didn't come in at the actuals that we were 2.0 budgeted. 21 MR. MOURING: I'm not sure what --22 MS. STRAUGHAN: And that the second year cost 23 projection is about 2.5 times the purchase price.

> MR. MOURING: I'm not sure what they were looking at.

24

25

MS. STRAUGHAN: I'm sorry. I don't either. 1 I'm just reading the letter. 2 MR. MOURING: I'd very much like to give you 3 an answer, but I'm not sure. 4 MS. STRAUGHAN: "And then almost 500,000 5 gallons of water were unaccounted for. It seems 6 7 metering and pumps should be a primary for the utility. On a number of occasions the utility states that this is 8 9 a new experience for them and it is a private utility in dealings with the PSC. 10 "The utility's experience elsewhere, the 11 12 prioritized approach, the upgrade could and should be shared with the rate paying community only, Lakeside 13 14 Water. The system has been in place since 1983. owner/residents rent (phonetic) the lot. Potable water 15 distribution, wastewater collection, in the event of a 16 17 failure, who is the responsible party?" 18 MR. MOURING: That would depend upon where the 19 failure occurred and how it was addressed. MS. STRAUGHAN: "As a member of this community 2.0 21 for 11 years, I am fully aware of the cost of delayed 22 maintenance. Richard Carter." 23 Now I get to me. Okay. I agree with Terry. 24 The 2013 water quality report was the same numbers as 25 2012. Those numbers are two years old, so how can you

say our water quality has improved?

MR. MOURING: And, again, that's something that our engineering staff will look into. Again, we are not the primary agency in terms of jurisdiction over water quality, but it is part of our analysis. It will be examined.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Will we be apprised of
that?

MR. MOURING: It will be, staff's findings will be memorialized in its recommendation. We have an issue on the quality of service. I'm not sure if that specifically will be. I mean, certainly they won't have the full results of any findings but they may address that and what was discovered. Again, the Department of Environmental Protection or the county health department would be the agency that would have that information.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We won't receive that, the results of that analysis unless we investigate the staff report.

MR. MOURING: No. I'm sorry. We are the Florida Public Service Commission. The Department, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection or the county health department would be the primary agency with jurisdiction over water quality. They determine if the water is safe to drink. They cite for violations,

things of that nature. We do examine the water quality in determining our quality, the overall quality of service provided by the utility. That's one of the things we look at is the actual quality of the product.

And we can take that into consideration, make adjustments based on it. But we don't make, we don't determine the quality of the water.

unidentified speaker: So how do us as
individual customers find out the results of any
analysis or examination of the quality that's being
made?

MR. MOURING: Again, I would, I would reach out to the Department of Environmental Protection or the county health department.

I'm sorry. Please continue.

MS. STRAUGHAN: Okay. It also says that they were, the rate increase is due to the services that they give us. What services does Lakeside Waterworks provide that the previous owner didn't?

MR. MOURING: Again, the utility is operating at a loss, as per staff's initial examination of the books and records. I can't give you a specific this is what's being provided now. If you'd like, I could follow up with you after the fact. Primarily it's driven by a -- the service contract with U.S. Water

8 9

6

7

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

Services, and perhaps one of the representatives back there can give you a lot more specificity in terms of what's being provided now that was not being provided later. I just, off the top of my head, I can't give you a detailed answer. I could get back with you, if you'd like.

MS. STRAUGHAN: All right. Initial charges, we're going to start giving a deposit of \$60 for water and \$80 for sewer. Now are we going to have to pay this or are we grandfathered in?

MR. MOURING: You should -- you would be grandfathered, I would imagine, unless and until the utility has a reasonable suspicion that you will not pay your bill in the future. There is a rule or a statute on that. Again, I can't tell you specifically what it is, but I would imagine that would be at the discretion of the utility. And they would have to prove that they have just cause to charge an existing customer an initial customer deposit.

MS. STRAUGHAN: Okay. So that is really going to apply to new units, new houses?

MR. MOURING: Yes. And even if -- it would still be at the utility's discretion whether or not they wanted to do --

MS. STRAUGHAN: How about new buyers?

sell our house, is the new buyer going to be required for this deposit?

2.0

MR. MOURING: Again, that would be at the discretion of the utility. And by rule after a certain period of time the utility would need to refund that with interest, assuming a customer stayed in good standing.

MS. STRAUGHAN: Lakeside Waterworks is owned by four shareholders of U.S. Water. Three are U.S. Water officers. There are no employees. All work is contracted out. Lakeside Waterworks knew the condition of the utility before buying. They want almost a 9 percent profit from the beginning. As to repair and maintain the utility, profits should grow automatically. They have no long-term debt. Where can I go and get a 9 percent profit on my investments?

MR. MOURING: Well, again, I -- (Applause.)

As a regulated utility their earnings are regulated. They are not permitted -- they are permitted a range within which they are -- they can earn if they are above that or below that. If they're below that, they can petition for a rate increase. If they're above that, the Commission can, on its own motion, bring them in for an overearnings investigation. Again, it's

2.0

\_ -

driven by statute. 367.081 states that a utility is permitted to recover its prudent, prudently incurred -
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We can't hear you.

MR. MOURING: I apologize. Section 367.081 of the Florida Statutes permit that privately held utility companies are permitted to recover their prudently incurred expenses and earn a reasonable return on their investment. That 9 percent would represent a -- the weighted average cost of capital. However, that's another point with this staff-assisted rate case.

Staff is recommending the use of an operating ratio in lieu of traditional rate of return regulation where the utility would earn a return on its unamortized investment.

In this case with this company their rate base, their unamortized investment is considerably less than their operating expenses because it is an older system. The returns that would be generated from that would be insufficient to cover normal variance in revenues and would not provide a reasonable return to the utility. So in lieu of that, we provide an operating ratio margin on their operating expenses, and that's what staff is recommending be used here for both water and wastewater.

MS. STRAUGHAN: But isn't that a 28 percent

_		

somewhere?

MR. MOURING: It's 10 percent. It's

10 percent of their operating and maintenance expenses.

Which I don't have that right in front of me here,

but --

MS. STRAUGHAN: It was proposed that the owners, they should get an increase in salary or bonuses. They don't provide any work. Why do they need an increase?

MR. MOURING: That is something that

Commission staff has been looking at and an adjustment
has been made. We are still recommending that there is

officer salaries. But, again, this is preliminary in
nature. We are still reviewing it.

MS. STRAUGHAN: Okay. Why didn't U.S. Water, since they're providing all the contractual, there's basically no employees, why didn't U.S. Water buy the utility?

MR. MOURING: I cannot answer that. Again,
I'm not with the utility. I'm here with the Florida
Public Service Commission. I can't speak to why they
did or didn't do what they have done.

MS. STRAUGHAN: Okay. And -- all right. When Lakeside Water took over from Shangri-La Utilities we did get a small increase in our sewer and water bills.

How come we were never notified? If you did not look at your bill, you did not realize. It was only a penny, we went from 1.22 to 1.23, and there was another small increase in sewer. We were not notified. Don't they

have to notify of any increase or change?

MR. MOURING: You would need to be notified.

I was not aware of that. If you can get with me after the meeting, if you can provide some sort of documentation, our staff, our economic staff will certainly look into that and see if -- make sure that proper notification was given and that the rate increase was with the appropriate authority.

MR. MOURING: Thank you very much.

MR. MOURING: Thank you for your comments.

The next name I have is Linda McKenna.

MS. McKENNA: Terry and Marsha have addressed most of my issues too, but I'm going to reiterate. In look at the staff report, Issue 6, Marsha mentioned what services does Lakeside Water provide that Shangri-La did not? None of us are aware of any new services that we're getting.

And I have a question too. The owners contract with themselves. The owners of Lakeside Water are also the owners of U.S. Water. So they're paying, they're getting double pay. If they're getting a salary

1	as an officer of Lakeside Water, they're also paying
2	themselves as owners of U.S. Water for all the
3	contractual services. I mean, something smells rotten
4	in Denmark. And I know it's legal, probably unethical,
5	but I assume it's legal or the PSC, who is looking out
6	for the citizens of the state, would not have allowed
7	it.
8	MR. MOURING: And I will comment on that
9	briefly. Affiliate transactions are treated separately.
10	They are treated with additional scrutiny at the Florida
11	Public Service Commission. They are not illegal.
12	MS. McKENNA: We know they're not illegal. It
13	just stinks.
14	MR. MOURING: And we have done an extensive
15	analysis on it, and our findings will be memorialized in
16	staff's recommendation. It's due to be filed on
17	November 13th.
18	MS. McKENNA: And when Marsha addressed the
19	issue of the deposit, which is Issue 11 on your staff
20	report, I need to address that.
21	It's at the discretion of the company if they
22	wish to make a customer put a deposit down. But current
23	customers are grandfathered.
24	MR. MOURING: Current customers should

certainly be grandfathered, unless and until the utility

25

has a reasonable expectation that the customer will not pay their bill in the future. And initial customer deposits are -- and I -- basically to try to curb bad debt expense for customers who don't pay so that those costs are not borne by the general body of ratepayers.

Again, customer deposits are subject to refund with interest, assuming the customer stays in good standing with the utility.

MS. McKENNA: I think that should be spelled out somewhere -- if we sell our home, the new, the new owner is going to have to make a deposit or not.

Doesn't the PSC set that?

MR. MOURING: No, ma'am. We don't determine
if the utility --

MS. McKENNA: You don't determine whether a deposit is legitimate.

MR. MOURING: We can set -- what we do is we set the -- if they have a tariff for it. But it is at the utility's discretion whether or not they collect that for new customers.

MS. MCKENNA: Well, in Issue 13, you will approve the rate -- the approval date is going to be given before the protest period is over. So why is isn't the -- you set a preliminary rate increase, and then we have 21 days, I think it is, to protest. Now

1	from the day you set that rate until we get notified
2	what this rate is, how do we, how do we know we're going
3	to have time within that 21-day period to file a
4	protest?
5	MR. MOURING: The Commission will vote on a
6	rate that will be in staff's recommendation that the
7	Commissioners will vote on.
8	MS. McKENNA: Yes.
9	MR. MOURING: That will be in the order when
10	it's issued.
11	MS. McKENNA: Yes.
12	MR. MOURING: 21 days after that order is
13	issued would be the protest period. I'm not sure the
14	utility
15	MS. McKENNA: You could eat up those 21 days
16	getting the notice to the resident, to the customers.
17	MR. MOURING: And I apologize on that. There
18	is noticing requirements. You would be, need to be I
19	believe it's 14 days notice, no less than 14 days notice
20	on the on a rate.
21	MS. McKENNA: Okay. Fourteen days notice to
22	the customers of your order. And then that then we
23	have, what, seven days to file a protest?
24	MR. MOURING: I apologize. No. It would be

14 days before a rate is changed, before your, before

25

	00
1	your rate is changed, the rate that you will be charged
2	is. The rate that you will be charged will be what was
3	voted on by the Commissioners. That it was it
4	would be in the order available online on the
5	Commission's website. If you'd like to get a copy, you
6	can get with me. I'll make sure that you get one as
7	soon as one is issued. That rate will be in there.
8	That will be the rate that they would charge you.
9	MS. McKENNA: But the customers individually
10	are not going to get a notice, a timely notice from the
11	PSC that there is, this is what the rate will be. No

П

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOURING: I'm not sure if -- I'm not sure.

MS. MCKENNA: It's procedural and I'd like to know what -- I worked for lawyers for 50 years, so I'm

telling us that we now have 21 days from a date certain

MR. MOURING: We will have someone from our legal staff get back with you, if you'd like.

MS. McKENNA: Okay.

to file a protest.

MR. MOURING: I'm not 100 percent sure, and I don't want to give you any bad information.

MS. MCKENNA: Okay. Because I was concerned about notice issues and the time period and the lapse between us getting information and protesting.

1	MR. MOURING: I do there is a noticing
2	requirement that customers are notified prior to new
3	rates going into effect. But in terms of when that,
4	when that would occur for you in the process, I'm not
5	sure right here right now.
6	MS. MCKENNA: Make a note and have to
7	(inaudible) me a copy of that.
8	MR. MOURING: I will ensure that our, someone
9	from our legal office gets back with you with the
LO	specific answer to your question.
L1	MS. MCKENNA: Okay. The operating expenses,
12	they appear to be a little excessive considering the
L3	utility owners are also the owners of U.S. Water.
L 4	MR. MOURING: Again, we're talking about a
L5	contractual services other. It represents that
L 6	utility U.S. Water Service's management service
L7	agreement being the largest component there, and that is
L8	the affiliate transaction that staff has done an
L 9	extensive analysis on.
20	MS. MCKENNA: Extensive analysis?
21	MR. MOURING: Yes, ma'am. It is an affiliate
22	transaction and those are treated
23	MS. MCKENNA: Because they're paying
24	themselves basically.

MR. MOURING: -- with additional scrutiny.

25

You are correct, ma'am.

MS. McKENNA: Okay. Now the percentage of -you discussed that on Marsha's question. But who
determines what's a reasonable return? The PSC, staff
people?

MR. MOURING: That would be the Commission,
yes. And it's --

MS. MCKENNA: The Commission as a whole, not you as an employee of the Commission. The five Commissioners.

MR. MOURING: They would ultimately approve
it. Commission staff would recommend.

MS. McKENNA: Okay. The staff makes all these recommendations.

MR. MOURING: Yes. Yes.

MS. MCKENNA: So somebody on level two, not level one, is going to determine what they consider is a reasonable return?

MR. MOURING: Yes, ma'am. And for water companies there's a debt component and there's an equity component. The equity component is based on a Commission-approved leverage formula that they do every year. It's very formulaic in nature. It gives, it gives you the appropriate return on equity based on the capital structure of the utility.

MS. MCKENNA: Why don't they use CPI?

MR. MOURING: That -- I feel like CPI would be not representative of the nature of the investment risk for a small water company.

MS. MCKENNA: This small water company has no investment risk.

MR. MOURING: CPI would be an inflationary -MS. MCKENNA: They paid \$85,000 for this
company. They didn't take any risk. They knew they
were going to make a profit when they bought it with a
minimal expense.

MR. MOURING: Okay. And, again, as per our initial findings, they're operating at a loss right now.

MS. MCKENNA: Yeah, because they're paying themselves excessive contractual expenses.

MR. MOURING: I do appreciate your comments.

I don't mean to argue with you.

MS. MCKENNA: Water quality is the issue, and that was pointed out. And I, for one, of many -- I know people that I know don't drink the water. If we're not using the Brita or bottled water, it's really an issue. I mean, shouldn't there be independent testing? Is the company testing its own water? Are they contracting with U.S. Water to test Lakeside Water? I mean, that's what it sounds like.

1	MR. MOURING: I'm not sure who does the
2	testing. Again, DEP or the county health department
3	would be the ultimate authority on that.
4	MS. MCKENNA: Well, shouldn't the PSC require
5	independent testing? I mean, that's you're looking
6	out for the best interest of the people supposedly.
7	MR. MOURING: Again, if we were to require
8	them to do independent testing, we would be duplicating
9	the work of another state agency.
10	MS. MCKENNA: So a state agency is testing
11	this water?
12	MR. MOURING: The Florida Department of
13	Environmental Protection, Florida county health
14	department has jurisdiction over the water quality.
15	MS. MCKENNA: Okay. They have jurisdiction.
16	MR. MOURING: Yes.
17	MS. MCKENNA: Who's doing the testing?
18	MR. MOURING: I'm not sure who I don't work
19	for DEP.
20	MS. MCKENNA: But you work for the PSC.
21	MR. MOURING: Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. MCKENNA: And don't you review all the
23	documents that are submitted?
24	MR. MOURING: Our engineering staff looks at
25	the water quality issues, ves.

Τ	MS. MCKENNA: SO NOW GO WE IING OUT WHO IS
2	doing the testing?
3	MR. MOURING: Again, I'll repeat it one more
4	time. It's the Florida Department of Environmental
5	Protection. Our staff does look at that, our
6	engineering staff looks at that, and they take the
7	findings of the DEP or the county health department into
8	consideration in determining the overall quality of
9	service being provided by the utility.
10	MS. MCKENNA: Okay. So Lakeside Water submits
11	a water quality report to DEP.
12	MR. MOURING: Yes.
13	MS. MCKENNA: Who knows where Lakeside Water
14	got their testing done.
15	MR. MOURING: And I'm not an engineer. I'm
16	not going to pretend to know how the test is taken.
17	MS. MCKENNA: I think that needs to be
18	investigated.
19	MR. MOURING: And our engineering staff does
20	certainly look into it.
21	MS. MCKENNA: Because of the way this whole
22	system is run.
23	MR. MOURING: And, again, I do appreciate the
24	comments on the quality of the water. That is something
25	that our engineering staff will be looking at They

have been looking at it and they will continue to look 1 2 at it. MS. McKENNA: That's all I have. Thank you. 3 MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you very much. 4 5 (Applause.) All right. The next name I have is Gary 6 7 Papucci. MR. PAPUCCI: Hello, neighbors. Welcome, 8 Public Service Commission, and thanks for moving the 9 meeting until September from July at our request because 10 we've had a few more people come back to be able to 11 12 present information. We wish you would have held it in 13 November when the majority of the people are back, but 14 we do thank you for that consideration. 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I can't hear you. 16 MR. PAPUCCI: Can you hear me now? 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 18 MR. PAPUCCI: I just want to touch real quick 19 on the actual consumer confidence report that we were 20 all mailed stating that the facts were from 2013. Once 21 you looked at it, it was really 2012's report, just 22 2012 was moved to 2013. This we feel we need recopied. 23 to address. We now understand that the Public Service 24 Commission should be looking at that even though they

don't take care of the quality of our water.

25

MR. MOURING: That is correct, sir.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAPUCCI: The Public Service Commission has increased the operation and maintenance budgeted for the new company by 20 percent. They have also added another 10 percent operation ratio on top of that. Why would this be granted to a company that has no track record? It's a brand new company. Lakeside Waterworks, Incorporated, never existed before. That's one question I had for the Public Service Commission.

MR. MOURING: And again it's by law. Section 367.081 of the Florida Statutes state that a privately held utility company is permitted to earn its prudently incurred -- or is permitted to recover its prudently incurred costs and a return, and a reasonable return.

MR. PAPUCCI: And is it not also true this brand new company is allowed to get granted an 8.74 percent return on equity? For a brand new company, they won't treat it at the lowest possible rate of return. Why would a brand new company be given that courtesy via 8.7? That's in addition to the actual cost of operating the Waterworks.

MR. MOURING: That's correct. And as I pointed out before, and I think you just, you just mentioned it there, the return on equity, based on staff's recommended use of the operating ratio margin, the ROE does not matter, the return on equity doesn't matter. It's not used in determining the return that the utility has earned -- that the utility is earning. It's the, it would be the 10 percent on the operating ratio -- on the operating and maintenance expense.

2.0

MR. PAPUCCI: That's true on the operating expense, but they're also being granted an 8.7 percent return on equity on top of what we just talked about.

MR. MOURING: No, sir. No. It's in lieu of. They are not earning 8 point -- the return on equity is not being used in this docket. It is being set. Their capital structure is being set, but it's not being used in determining the appropriate return that the utility will be permitted to earn.

MR. PAPUCCI: So the rate of return of 8.74, which is in one of your documents, is not being used.

MR. MOURING: Yes. No, sir.

MR. PAPUCCI: (Inaudible.)

MR. MOURING: Yes.

MR. PAPUCCI: Why are rates and basic facility charges at a very high percentage increase? A two-tier inclining rate has been instituted, being proposed at 4,000 gallons usage. At 4,000 gallons you'll see your actual water cost increase by 250 percent. Our current unit measure is one equals 1,000 gallons. Actual usage

25

1

is rounded down in billing. That produces a carryover usage to the next month which will add up to another unit, possibly going into the second tier, which is going to be that \$10.28 gallon for 1,000 gallons. (Inaudible.) I don't think your calculation of the average use of, you know, Shangri-La community and the surrounding area being serviced by Lakeside Water is 4,438 gallons of water billed monthly. (Inaudible) with 438 gallons carried over to the next month. Next month here comes the same bill, 4 438 gallons, you're going to be billed for four units, carrying over another 438 gallons to the next month, an 876-gallon carryover. Third month shows up, same usage, 4,438. Now we actually get billed for the 4,000, plus because we've got a carryover of 876, that means we have another unit. So now the bill reflects five units plus a carryover of 376. So every three months, if we truly use 4,438 gallons of water that the Public Service Commission claims we do, we can expect (inaudible) show up for that next unit.

With that being said, we feel if the two-tier system has to be used, the least they can do is increase that to 5,000 gallons. Not 4,000 going to the \$10 range.

Irrigation customers paid \$125 for an

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

additional meter. There is no basic facility charge on
this meter. The Public Service Commission has also
stated there is no extra cost for the water company that
supplies that water. My question is how will this be
billed to the customer? Will irrigation usage be billed
standalone or is that on the water bill?

MR. MOURING: If it's a separate meter, it should be billed on a standalone basis. And, again, the idea behind an irrigation meter is to not be billed for the wastewater associated with irrigating. It should be billed separately certainly. That's the entire point of an irrigation meter.

MR. PAPUCCI: Can we take that to the bank?

Because if we have an irrigation -- if we have, say,

less than 4,000 gallons of regular water usage and we

have an irrigation system for water that's running

through that meter, which is not being returned to the

water plant, we use two more units, we're -- we can be

in \$10.28 gallon water usage.

MR. MOURING: That is something -- if you were billed for the consumption of two different meters on one bill, I would certainly contact the utility and contact the Public Service Commission.

MR. PAPUCCI: So what I can take away from this meeting, that both meters will be billed at the

rate for each separate meter. 4,000 on the water, up to 1 4,000 on the water, and then up to 4,000 irrigation, and 2 neither one would cause me to go (inaudible) the 3 \$10 water? You're not going to add them together. 4 MR. MOURING: Now that I'm actually, I'm not 5 sure I understand what you're asking now. I'm not sure. 6 7 I'd have to have someone from our billing, our economic staff answer that question. 8 9 I would assume the answer would be they would 10 be separated. But I'm not sure I understand what you're 11 asking now, and I will have someone give you a definitive answer on what the appropriate billing 12 13 practice would be for that. MR. PAPUCCI: So I can expect a phone call 14 back from somebody? 15 If you -- you can leave me your 16 MR. MOURING: 17 information. I've got -- I'm assuming this number on 18 here is accurate, 352-3 --19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 20 MR. MOURING: Okay. Yeah. We can have 21 someone give you a call back. We can -- if you'd rather 22 get an email, we can do that. 23 MR. PAPUCCI: Email would be fine. 24 MR. MOURING: Okay. If you don't mind, if

you'd let me get your email address after the meeting.

25

MR. PAPUCCI: Now we talked about (inaudible) rates. Now let's talk about general service rates, which are billed at different numbers.

General service, Shangri-la By the Lake, the actual (inaudible) different rates for the basic facility charge for the water and the wastewater treatment. Now this same company that we rent from, they actually pay for the club house, the pool, the office, irrigating common areas, storage area, and five park residents with (inaudible). Shangri-La Mobile Home Park pays these bills. They pay a higher rate than we do as residents for both water, basic facility charge, and even meter sizes. The cost of doing business can be passed through to residents in addition to lot rent increases.

Shangri-La By the Lake Mobile Home Park is a 55-plus community with 132 residents per the 2013 HUD survey. Residents are seniors. A lot are living on fixed incomes. This is a (inaudible) to the people living here. Not only will you be hit on your water bill, your rent is going to be going up by the way. So we need the State Service -- excuse me -- the Public Service Commission to definitely consider what you are impacting on senior citizens.

Lakeside Waterworks, Incorporated, purchased

and started operations on November 16. They immediately signed a five-year service agreement with U.S. Water Service (inaudible). There are no employees with four shareholders. Three of the four are corporate officers of U.S. Water and they hold 92 percent of the shares of Lakeside Water. We definitely know there's a nexus involved between Lakeside Water and U.S. Water. It's legal.

How can you justify a \$73,932 contract per year when no one else bid against them until January 2014? That was 13 months after they took over. And the only reason that they had the bid is because the Public Service Commission asked them to get somebody else to bid. They went out, three people, and they all denied to even quote. Who would quote against U.S. Water when they already own the big corporation and their officers actually own this little company? There can never be a fairness there.

My question is is \$73,932 a fair, just, and reasonable rate that we're paying? I don't believe this is true.

MR. MOURING: Well, and, again, we're talking about the same affiliate transaction between U.S. Water Services and Lakeside Waterworks, and that is something that staff has done, again, an extensive analysis on.

And staff's recommendation will have its detailed review and recommendation in its recommendation that's filed with the Commission on November 13.

MR. PAPUCCI: Lakeside Water paid \$85,000 for the utility and carried over a \$201,000 accumulated (phonetic) depreciation from the old company. How is this possible? How can you carry depreciation from a company that doesn't exist no more? How did that pass through?

MR. MOURING: It's based on the service life of the assets in place.

MR. PAPUCCI: So I pay \$85,000 and I get a \$201,000 writeoff? Not a bad deal.

MR. MOURING: An acquisition adjustment would be made.

MR. PAPUCCI: Lakeside Water, after investigating the system, accepted it as satisfactory condition and complied with the Department of Environmental Protection. They paid \$85,000. In its schedule of capital structure it's only valued at \$78,409. That appears to me they already paid \$6,500 too much for the utility and that there is going to come back and be reflected in our water rates. That's just a statement.

The \$201,000 depreciation which was carried

4 5

-

over from the old water company shows up in the 2013 annual report of Lakeside Water at a cost of \$7,144. That means that money we have to pay in the form of our rate increases. Why should we pay for something that passed through with the purchase of the old utility? It doesn't make sense.

MR. MOURING: And I would like to comment on that. I think there's a misunderstanding here. The accumulated depreciation of the system serves as a contrast. It reduces the plant's investment, unamortized investment, which would be what we call a rate base that they would earn a return on.

Like I said, they're not earning a return on that because their rate base, their unamortized investment is considerably below their normal operating expenses, which would make the return insufficient to cover normal variations in revenues and impair the utility's ability to take on new debt if it needed to, that it wouldn't be able to service if it's return on, if it's -- 8 percent return on equity would not generate returns sufficient to cover debt service costs if they needed to take on any new debt for plant improvements or for any reason.

So, again, the accumulated depreciation carry forward has, has, I mean, literally no impact on the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

return that the utility is earning. That's all based on the operating ratio method being applied to the O&M expenses, the operating and maintenance expenses.

MR. PAPUCCI: I see on their annual report that they were able to (inaudible) this \$201,000 depreciation, they were able to charge against their actual income \$7,144. So that really did cost us as customers because that's \$7,000 that we have to make up in our water bill. That's on this year's, the 2013 annual report from Lakeside Water, the depreciation would show up next year and the year after. Why -- I just don't agree with being stuck with a 2,000 --\$201,000 depreciation for a company that does not exist anymore. This same company that sold out when they had their final electric bill readings, they ended up with \$1,808 worth of credit on seven meters. That credit didn't come across the board. That was left at the old, at the old water company. We got the depreciation passthrough but we didn't get anything credit for the \$1,808 that's left on the final electric bills. Statement, not fair. So the \$1,808, that there is priceless.

We talked about what services -- they tell us, the Public Service Commission, that the services of the new operator are so much better than the old operator.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

We actually don't see any there. We'd like to know what they are. What new service are they giving us?

MR. MOURING: And, again, that will be in staff's recommendation when it's filed.

MR. PAPUCCI: The 2013 annual report of Lakeside Water, Incorporated, shows \$1,485 for shareholders' salaries, \$1,686 for rent, and \$1,376 for contract services above what was actually granted for them in contracts. What I'm talking about is the actual officers of Lakeside Water are now, they're paid \$6,000 They actually charged \$1,485 more than that. They charged \$7,485.

On the actual rent, the agreement of the rent for Lakeside Water -- excuse me -- for Lakeside Water to Shangri-La they pay rent of \$400 a month, yet we got charged for \$5,280, \$1,686 more than what we agreed on. And the actual contract -- well, actually this is the contract with U.S. Water came in at \$1,686 more than actually what was actually quoted. How can this be? How can their annual report show numbers that are actually above what they actually agreed they were going to pay?

MR. MOURING: And I, again, like I said in my presentation, our Commission staff sent auditors to examine the actual books and records, to review

invoices, to review all supporting documentation, and they created -- and they provided their report, which is available online, the auditor's report, that detailed exactly what these expenses are.

And if you have specific questions on, if you'd like for me to respond to those, I don't have the information right in front of me here, but I can certainly respond to those key accounts that you'd like to know, what specifically is in there as opposed to what you're seeing in the annual report.

MR. PAPUCCI: That would be great. Does it have anything to do with the test year that the Public Service Commission said out when it started July 1st, 2012, and ran through June 30th, 2013, which actually that's four and a half months of that test year being ran by the old Shangri-La Water Company and then the other seven and a half months run by U.S., I mean, excuse me, by Lakeside Water? The test year was actually (inaudible) to the utilities.

MR. MOURING: That's correct. Our Commission staff, our audit staff, generally what they typically try to do is just get the most recent 12-month period for which records are available, understanding that they need to get a complete picture and there's a delay in billing. And they're looking for the most recent

12-month period for which records are available, and that's what dictates what the test year will be.

MR. PAPUCCI: Lakeside Waterworks has great potential for growth of customers. In their report they're basically saying it's flat. I disagree. We had a market slump in 2008 when the actual market in Florida basically along with the rest of the country just about went negative.

Okay. Recent recovery has brought two new loans and two lots sold in our water area right now as we speak. Lakeside Water entered into a development agreement for water and wastewater in a new area called Harbor Shores Development for eight lots with water and septics and Insim Estates with four lots with water and wastewater. This is all documented under Docket 12317-WS dated 12/20/12. This is one month after they actually bought the utility. That means there's 84 lots that they already know they have a good chance of supplying services to.

Now let's talk about vacant lots. There are -- and by the way in that agreement if water and sewer is extended, it's already speculated that the developer pays the cost to put the infrastructure in and it's deeded over to the water company. So any new customers in this 84 units will come at no cost to

Lakeside Water. Not a bad deal. I'm happy. Happy for us.

2.0

Vacant lots. We drove around. Existing lots that are vacant right now: In Eagle Point there's 14; Golden Tree Drive there's 48; Shangri-La By the Lakes Mobile Home Park has 17; Insim Lane, which has two lots which is on one existing site; Harbor Shores has three; and Forest State Road has 16. With simple math we come up with the possibility of 184 more customers on this utility company. That basically doubles. Right now we're at 186 customers, actually 187 because we know (inaudible) about water service. Is this being considered in actually setting our rates the actual amount of growth that can happen?

MR. MOURING: Growth, the growth within the service territory is considered in staff's determination of the used and usefulness of its plant. And it would also be used in setting miscellaneous service charges, which would be the plant capacity charges for the main extension, a charge to where the new customers would pay for that, and it would be, well, it would be transferred over to Lakeside. Customer growth is a consideration there. In terms of determining the number of billing determinants, the number of customers, the number of gallons used with which to spread the costs over, I'm

not sure of the answer to that. I don't believe it is.

I believe it's used on -- it's based on actual customer counts.

MR. PAPUCCI: Okay. I would like the Public Service Commission to be made aware there are 184 new customers right now. About 100 of them already have a water and sewer line going by their properties, and the other 84 are already in agreement with Lakeside Water that when they go there that they will pay to put the water and sewer in and turn them over to the utility. I think that's worth noting. There's a great potential for them to have a lot more income.

Now you just touched a little bit on the ERC, the equivalent residential connection numbers, what we were just talking about, and that's another thing that really caught my eye when I was looking at all this information. In 2011 and 2012 the water ERCs were listed at 186. The wastewater, which is your sewer, if you want to call it that, was listed at 176. Along comes Lakeside Waterworks. Now they pay for water from 186 down to 160. They take the wastewater from 176 down to the ERC of 143. Will this change of ERC affect our customer rates?

MR. MOURING: No. Again, that's -- our Commission audit staff determines the number of

connections and the type of connection that it is, which determines the ERC, the equivalent residential connection. Those billing determinants are used to separate the --(Inaudible.) If the ERC MR. PAPUCCI: changes, it does affect our rates. MR. MOURING: It would depend. It doesn't --I mean, it would depend on what was -- which rates have never been -- this utility hasn't come in for a rate case that it's actually completed. So I don't know what you're comparing it to in terms of if it changed. 

MR. PAPUCCI: Well, what I'm comparing it to is I look at Shangri-La by the Lake Utilities when they filed (inaudible) for the wastewater and water, they claimed 186 and 176 right along. Along comes Lakeside Water, which filed in 2013, and they dropped their numbers down to 160, 143. I've got a bad feeling that this is not being in consideration for us to set our rates going forward.

MR. MOURING: Our economic staff does do its analysis to ensure that the appropriate billing determinants are used, the appropriate ERCs are reflected and used in calculating rates.

MR. PAPUCCI: Lakeside Water has asked for amounts for funds for prudently invested fees. What is

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this? How is this going to affect our rates? This is something brand new that just came in the last document

MR. MOURING: Allowance for funds prudently invested allows the utility to earn a return based on its actual capital costs for projects that it invested -- that are approved by the Commission as prudently, as prudent projects.

MR. PAPUCCI: So they're asking for a larger

It's a rate.

MR. PAPUCCI: Okay. A rate.

MR. MOURING: It's a rate. Yes, sir.

MR. PAPUCCI: Which is part of how they come up with the actual cost.

MR. MOURING: It -- the AFPI rate is not reflected in the rates that are being recommended here today. They're not base rates. They're not gallonage. AFPI is a rate that the utility would be able to earn on future projects.

MR. PAPUCCI: Okay. Water is a universal right. I'm just asking for rates that are fair, just and reasonable. And with the way right now with the company being formed from three owners, four of the owners of U.S. Water, we really have to question is this

just and reasonable. Thank you. 1 MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you, sir. 2 3 (Applause.) The next name that I have is Patrick Shannon. 4 5 MR. SHANNON: I'm declining at this moment. MR. MOURING: You're declining? 6 7 MR. SHANNON: Yes. MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you, sir. And 8 9 I do apologize. Susie Sanders. 10 MS. SANDERS: Hello. Can you hear me? MR. MOURING: No. If you'd just slide it up. 11 12 MS. SANDERS: Hello. 13 MR. MOURING: Yes, ma'am. 14 MS. SANDERS: We might be old and gray, but you didn't know you were walking into a den of lions, 15 16 did you? 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The only thing I want to say is from the heart. My family and I have lived in this community for over 20 years, and I was here with my parents when we didn't have to pay for water. I'm born and raised in Florida. I do not appreciate having to pay for water, bottled water (inaudible). That's a God given right also. I was here when this was all orange groves. My point being is I can see a little bit of rate increase, but this is totally ridiculous. I'm on a very limited

income. I'm poverty level, and you're going to increase my water bill to almost what my electric bill is?

You're taking my rights away. It's not correct. Also in the same token, why do I have to clean my toilet because of the black ring? Why do I have go to the dentist because the hard water has ruined my teeth? Why do I have to go to the hairdresser and the hairdresser says your water is no good, it's damaging your hair?

Okay?

(Applause.)

2.0

I have gallons of jugs of water on my kitchen counter because I will not drink the water. We have to put the gallons on the side and let them sit for 24 hours to get the chlorine out to make it safe. I have animals. I will not let them drink the water here.

Okay? It will kill my animals.

To increase this and for us to be able to maintain our yards and everything is ridiculous. You're taking away too much. They need to really think. And the biggest thing I have an issue with is that we know that Florida is in trouble with the aquifer; right?

Okay. But they let Niagara come in here and take millions of gallons of water to make a big profit, and here, all these people here, we have to go buy water because we can't drink the water here. And you want to

increase it 300 percent? Garbage. And, yes, there's something really wrong in Denmark. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. MOURING: Thank you very much for your comments.

Eunice Tibbets.

MS. TIBBETS: My question was answered. Thank you.

MR. MOURING: Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry. John Fleming.

MR. FLEMING: First of all, thank everybody for coming. It was really nice to see this turnout.

And I want you to know if you'd done this in October instead of September, you'd have two to three times as many people here. Half of the people in this community are up north. We sent them emails. I know Marsha has had several contacts with the people, and they wish they could be here but they can't because they're up there until October.

I have one comment. The average person in this community is retired like I am, they're on Social Security. Their average increase for the last two years is 1.5 percent. You're looking at \$20 to \$30 maybe, \$35 for some in a little higher bracket, and you want to increase water 300 percent. Now you got these guys back

here, I thought they were going to participate in this, 1 and not one of them has manned up and come up here and 2 answered any questions. 3 (Applause.) 4 MR. MOURING: I do, I would like to comment on 5 This is not the utility's meeting. They are 6 7 permitted to attend it, but this is the Public Service Commission's meeting with you, the customers. 8 9 again they are allowed to be here but they, we are, it is our meeting, it is not their meeting. I just want to 10 be very clear about that. 11 MR. FLEMING: Well, my understanding of the 12 13 letter is that they would be here to represent 14 themselves too. 15 MR. MOURING: If you wish, if you'd like to 16 speak with them, you can go back there and do that. But in terms of the actual proceeding of the meeting, no. 17 18 This is our meeting. I do want to be very clear about 19 that. 20 MR. FLEMING: I appreciate that. I thank you 21

for that. Are you an attorney?

MR. MOURING: No, sir.

You're not. MR. FLEMING:

MR. MOURING: No.

22

23

24

25

MR. FLEMING: Because you speak in legalese.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. MOURING: Unfortunately that's a big part 1 of my job. But, no, I'm -- I deal with accounting. 2 3 MR. FLEMING: Well, I hope you take us seriously here. 4 MR. MOURING: Absolutely. 5 MR. FLEMING: We need you to understand where 6 7 we're coming from. We're not trying to be hard-nosed. We know that there needs to be an increase, but not 8 9 300 percent, not 200 percent. MR. MOURING: And, again, if you look at the 10 total bill that would be paid for a 4,000, 4,000-gallon 11 customer, you're looking at about an 80 percent increase 12 13 on the water. 14 (Simultaneous conversation.) MR. FLEMING: We've all done the numbers. 15 MR. MOURING: I'm confused. 16 17 MR. FLEMING: The bill is going to basically 18 double. It may be even more than that from what we 19 heard from Gary with some of the other hidden fees. 20 MR. MOURING: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. 21 could -- if you've signed up to speak, you know, we --22 MR. FLEMING: Okay. 23 MR. MOURING: But, again, if you take the --24 on the second to the last page here, and this is why --25 again, I'm not trying to misrepresent it, but if you

take the average typical bill at 4,000 gallons, which is
the 31.88, you subtract the old, the present rate 4,000
gallon bill of 17.88 and divide that by 17.88, you will
not get 300 percent.

## UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

MR. MOURING: Well, if you, at any rate, if you add the 31.88 with the 46.19 and subtract from that the 17.88 plus the 19.85 and divide that by the sum of the current rates, I don't know off the top of my head what that is, but it's not 300 percent.

unidentified speaker: How about the basic
facility charges, both of them going up on top of it?

MR. MOURING: Uh-huh. The base charge on

water went up about 20 percent, 22 percent.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your own publication, if you add them all up and do the math, the average bill, less than 4,000 gallons is (inaudible). If somebody uses 6,000 gallons, their bill will go up (inaudible) percent.

MR. MOURING: Well, and I can talk with you after the meeting on that, I can go through that with you. And whoever else would like to go through it, I'd be happy to do that with you. But also to get back to your comments, any customers that are not able to be here tonight, they can send in a letter. It doesn't

have to be on this pre-addressed form. They can send us 1 in a letter, they can call. There's a number of ways 2 3 for them to participate without actually having to be here. And I want to make sure that you're aware of that 4 5 and that they can be made aware of that. MS. FLEMING: I appreciate that. 6 7 appreciate you being here. We appreciate you sitting and listening. I know we've been a little bit hard on 8 9 you. But we're just asking to show some compassion and 10 help the older people in this community that really can't afford that big an increase. That's all I have to 11 12 say. 13 14 comments. Thank you. 15

MR. MOURING: I do -- I very much appreciate your comments. I know the Commissioners appreciate your

(Applause.)

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Next I have Connie Turner.

MS. TURNER: My questions have been answered.

MR. MOURING: Okay. Susanna Pittlekow.

MS. PITTLEKOW: My questions have been answered, but it is outrageous.

MR. MOURING: Okay. Just to make sure that's understood, she said her questions have been answered but believes the increase is outrageous.

Dorothy Beth Mullins.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. MULLINS: My comments are the --1 MR. MOURING: Would you slide the --2 3 MS. MULLINS: My comments are the same as everyone else's. It's outrageous. There are a lot of 4 ladies and gentlemen here who are single who live on one 5 6 income, and that will be an astronomical disaster for 7 them because our increases don't amount to 300 percent. They're very low. And, you know, it's hard to live as 8 9 it is. So we need better consideration. And when did we stop opening a meeting with saluting the flag or 10 11 praying? 12 MR. MOURING: I apologize. MS. MULLINS: I mean, this country was based 13 14 on God, and we forgot him. And he's more important than anything else. Thank you. 15 MR. MOURING: Thank you. 16 17 (Applause.) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Especially on 9/11. 18 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. MR. MOURING: The next name I have is Robert 2.0 21 Rostas. 22 MR. ROSTAS: I waive my (inaudible). 23 MR. MOURING: Charles Rice. 24 MR. RICE: I waive. Everything has been 25 answered by (inaudible).

MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you, sir.

2

Robert Hartong.

3

MR. HARTONG: My questions were asked. As

4

expected, no answers.

5

(Applause.)

6

MR. MOURING: Brenda -- is it Kreegen?

7

MS. KREEGER: Kreeger.

8

MR. MOURING: Kreeger.

9

MS. KREEGER: Well, I think Marsha and Gary

10

and everybody said everything. Mine is medical.

11

Because of the water I am now suffering from severe

12

medical issues. I have been treated with 35 bladder

13

infections, and we have narrowed it down in the last six

14

months to the water. I now have to buy bottled water to

15

16

bathe in, and I have to make sure that I don't get any

cook with, to drink, any time I want water, except to

17

in my mouth from the water.

18

it -- that had the readings of the water, and everybody

1920

has stipulated they were all the same. And, yes, they

I took the three pieces of paper -- I called

21

were. I'm going to the EPA with it and so is my doctor.

22

This is not right. I shouldn't have to fork out over

23

\$500 a month on water, bottled water just to live on

when water is supposed to be free. For someone else to

24

get a salary increase -- I don't get 49 percent or

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

in their vicinity to pay \$100 more a month, which my bill right now is 31.88. If I pay this increase, I'm going to pay a total of \$73 and something a month plus what Shangri-La is going to assess me on my grant next year. And now I have medical bills that I'm paying out, I have \$500 a month in water I'm paying. It's not getting it, not at all.

whatever you say increase on my Social Security check

every year. And you're asking all of us and everybody

And now I am facing bladder surgery for the removal of my bladder because I've been drinking this water. I can tell when it's bad, and they must realize it right away because within hours I come back and you can smell the chlorine in it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exactly right.

MS. KREEGER: That's not right. I've lived in Florida all my life and I've lived in big subdivisions, and I have never had water like I have here. And it wasn't that way before 2012. I had good water. The water tasted good. And by the way, let me tell y'all, I've already been told by the EPA and by my doctors that sitting your water to the side and letting it settle doesn't work. It does not work. It does you no good.

So I have a bedroom filled, a spare bedroom filled -- every time I go to the grocery store I buy

bottled water. And they're asking us for this kind of 1 increase. I don't mind 15, 20 percent increase, but 2 3 this kind and the bills I'm having to pay because of the water, no. And now looking to possibly having my 4 bladder removed because of the severe infections I have 5 come across. No. Something needs to be done. 6 7 And everybody needs to go to the EPA, because that is who's going to find out what's wrong with this 8 9 water. They are. They're not responsible for it. And you can have your own individual water sample done by an 10 individual water treating company like there's one, 11 there's one up in Ocala. That's where my water was 12 13 taken. Your doctor, if you have a urologist, he can 14 have your water tested for you. And I've done it and my 15 water is bad. It's unsuitable for consumption. And 16 that's what I have to say.

MR. MOURING: Thank you very much for your comments.

(Applause.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Please let me elaborate
on that.

MR. MOURING: I will get to you, sir. I promise.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You may not have time.
MR. MOURING: Andy Werner.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

(Applause.)

MR. WERNER: I'm not going to say much. My issue is more like we were -- I was part of the 2011 and the staff requested, when we went through it, the best they said they could do was a CPI (inaudible). All the hassle, everything we went through. I just want to know did I get shortchanged on my side (inaudible) the AAA people?

MR. MOURING: I'm sorry. You're with the former owner?

MR. WERNER: Yes.

MR. MOURING: I've looked through the audit report. The only thing I can tell you is that the revenues have decreased since the 2012 audit report and that the revenues have increased -- or the expenses have increased. And I know that's --

MR. WERNER: We needed a better accountant.

That's the only way I can see, because there is -
they've had the same amount of breaks that we probably

had. I mean, it's just -- I just don't understand. I

mean, I mean, it's just how, how -- I mean, I guess it's

how you move the numbers around because that's the only

thing I guess, now listening to you, that can be

justified.

MR. MOURING: I do, I apologize. I wasn't

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

part of the 2011/2012 case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

(Applause.)

MR. MOURING: Thank you.

MR. WERNER: Well, then I guess I must have got shortchanged.

MR. MOURING: I don't know what the facts and circumstances were in that outside of what was in the audit report, because it was withdrawn before there was a recommendation.

MR. WERNER: Yeah. Because, I mean, maintenance wise, they had it cleaned up, made it more, look better than what we had. But we passed all our inspections with DEP. So, I mean, that's the only -- I mean, I don't know. It's just frustrating because I had to sell a business that we were, we were bleeding, we were running in the red. I mean, I don't see how -- you said we were making money when we're -- I mean, we dealt with utility, utility technicians. We did not have an in-house per se, and they're not cheap, when we had breaks that I could not repair.

But I just -- that's where I just get the frustration. But things just don't make sense. And it really, it still does hurt me that I had to sell the business because I didn't want to. But that's all I have to say. All right.

Jeff Laskowski.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LASKOWSKI: Laskowski, but thank you.

MR. MOURING: Laskowski. I apologize.

haircut, but with the rate increases I felt (inaudible).

MR. LASKOWSKI: I was schedule to get a

(Laughter.)

Just a little humor. Listen, it's getting late and I'm going to read a brief statement that I wrote. But if anybody wants to join me with saying the pledge of allegiance to the flag and have 30 seconds quiet time for the anniversary of 9/11, please stand up.

(Pledge of allegiance given.)

Thank you, folks.

(30 seconds of silence observed.)

Okay. Thank you for your time. Have a seat.

Okay. Shangri-La By the Lake Utilities was purchased in 2012 by Lakeside Waterworks, Incorporated, for the sum of \$85,000. That's been said and said tonight. Two principals within the corporation purport to have over 65 years experience in the water and wastewater industry. That would be Gary Deremer, who is the president, and Cecil Delcher, who is the vice president. They state they have controlled service (inaudible) for more than 450 utilities within the State of Florida and over 70,000 customers on a daily basis.

1 This is

This is from their own statements that's been published.

It would be hard, if not impossible, for Mr. Deremer and Mr. Delcher not to know the condition of the equipment at Shangri-La By the Lake Utilities when it was purchased in 2012. Therefore, it is my opinion that the staff-assisted rate proposal is unusually high with regards to water rates, especially as compared to the rates in surrounding cities and towns and also all the counties in the entire State of Florida which are considerably less.

I have with me a condensed report for Lake

County in Kansas City as reported by Raftelis Financial

Consultants -- that's R-A-F-T-E-L-I-S -- for 2013. So

it's a new report. The combined minimum base charge for

4,000 and 8,000 gallons per month, and I have copies, if

you would like. I already gave you a copy. This report

clearly shows that the submitted rate request is

completely unjustified as compared to the entire state,

which is 55.98 combined for 4,000 gallons and \$86.40 for

8,000 gallons. Now the entire report for that year -
for this year with all the Florida counties is available

online. It appears that Mr. Deremer and Delcher were a

car that had a blown engine and they want the fancy

NASCAR (phonetic) to pay for it. I don't want to be a

fan. I want (inaudible). A normal rate increase is

fine as long as it's in context of what's going on 1 really, not to line their pockets. And basic math, and 2 3 I try to keep things as basic as I can, they have nearly 200 customers, \$500 a month. Do the math. They're 4 5 making money. Whether they're saying they are or not, they're making money. And it's not right to gouge us. 6 7 And we're on Social Security just like most everybody in here. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. 8 9 MR. MOURING: Thank you for your comments. 10 Shirley Basle. MS. BASLE: My questions have been answered. 11 12 MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you. 13 Angelo DeSantis. 14 (Applause.) 15 MR. DeSANTIS: (Inaudible.) 16 MR. MOURING: That'd be just fine. 17 MR. DeSANTIS: Thank you very much. Boy, do 18 we have a nice bunch of people in this town or what? 19 They've covered everything. I have nothing else to say 20 other --21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, here we go. 22 MR. DeSANTIS: I'm so glad I'm alive. You 23 know why? You know why? 24 MR. MOURING: Why is that? 25 MR. DeSANTIS: Three years ago I spent \$5,000

to have a water purifying system put in my house. Ever once in a while they have to come over and change the filters. The last time they came over to change the filters -- I've got two filters under the sink. One is for the regular tap water. The other one is something they put in whenever I want to drink it. When they changed those filters, the one I use for drinking (inaudible) was clear. The one I use for cooking and washing was black. The water coming into the house hasn't changed. So if these people don't have filters in their water system, they're drinking that water. It's not acceptable.

MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you for your

MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you for your comments.

(Applause.)

2.0

Phyllis Rogers.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She left.

MR. MOURING: She left?

Okay. And I apologize, Gary Wiepking.

MR. WIEPKING: It's Curt; right?

MR. MOURING: Yes, sir.

MR. WIEPKING: Okay. My name is Gary Wiepking (inaudible) at 160 Formosa Island Road. And we moved here a year and a half ago after we retired because this neighborhood was considered affordable and was on the

water and we're really thrilled to be here. It's a great community, great people that live here as well.

2.0

I guess the thing I wanted to address real quick here, because everything else has been pretty well covered what I had written down here, on your website it says that you make sure that the rates are fair, just, and reasonable. Now I just want to find out from you, is this really going to be the case? I see a lot of --- I'm not thrilled about bureaucracy and I see a lot of that going on. I understand your position at this point in time. But for what we're having here tonight with these people represented, do we have representation from you or is this just for naught?

MR. MOURING: And that's an excellent question. I appreciate you asking that.

The role of the Commission is not to advocate on behalf of the utility or to advocate specifically on behalf of the customers.

MR. WIEPKING: Right.

MR. MOURING: We are the regulators. We are, to the best of our ability, be a neutral third party and be fair to both the customers and to the utility.

In our, in the Commission's role of -- it does its very best to set rates that are truly fair, just, and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory to anybody,

to either party, to the customers or to the utility.

MR. WIEPKING: I guess what I was miffed about, originally when I heard about a staffer, I didn't even know who the staffer was. I figured it was U.S. Water, you know, that was coming up with this recommendation on Lakeside Waterworks. And then I found out it was you, your company, not you personally. Then I thought that's ridiculous. You know, you're coming up with something, you know -- and as you've heard everybody say here, for the most part everybody here is on fixed income and you know the increase is only 1.5 percent this year. I know you as a state employee just got a 3 percent raise because my wife got a 3 percent raise as a school teacher.

MR. MOURING: That's news to me.

MR. DeSANTIS: Go look at your check.

MR. MOURING: I got a 3 percent decrease about
a year and a half ago.

MR. DeSANTIS: I'm sorry about that. You don't work for the state?

MR. MOURING: I work for the state.

MR. DeSANTIS: Well (inaudible).

(Laughter.)

MR. DeSANTIS: Anyway the fact of the matter is, you know, with the cost of living, inflation,

inflation is 2 percent based on a recent report just released last month by the government, and then you have between 1 to 2 percent for the cost of living adjustment and then we have this kind of an increase here. This is going to hamper a lot of people in this community. It's going to hamper us to a degree. I mean, we can possibly live with it. But I'm just saying there are a lot of single folks here, widows and widowers. Approximately 46 percent of our population in Shangri-La are in that position, and you heard Beth address that before.

And I think -- I would hope there's a human aspect of you all that would consider what has been said here tonight because, you know, we can crunch the numbers, Gary proved that, and make it say whatever you want. But let's deal with reality. That's the thing that really bothers me, and I'm not hearing reality from your group. And I would hope that's the case, I really do. And I hope you stand by the fair, just, and reasonable, because right now I don't see it at all. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you for your comments.

Is it Marie Taylor?

MS. TAYLOR: How do you work this thing?

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOURING: You slide the -- it slides.

MS. TAYLOR: Hello. Can you hear me? All I want to know, can we drink the damn water? Why do we have to pay for it? It's God given. God gave us this water and we're supposed to drink it. I'm sick of it. I want to know can we drink the damn water or can't we?

And my toilets, I've never seen toilets in my whole life -- I'm 93 years old and I've never had to wash toilets like I wash my toilets all the time. They are filthy. And look at me, do I look like I'm filthy? Forget it. Can we drink the water or can't we? I want to know yes or no.

(Applause.)

MR. MOURING: Thank you very much.

The next name I have is Lila Folz.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She left.

MR. MOURING: Lila left. William Beverly.

MR. BEVERLY: I'm new to the area but I just wanted to say that I -- people talk to me about investing money. They say you could lose. This sounds to me like a deal. They're guaranteed -- they guarantee them a profit. I mean, I don't care what they paid for the utility. They're going to get -- somebody is guaranteeing them a profit.

But I'm new over here and I have a little

question about the service because I came here, I didn't know anybody, the great people in Shangri-La or any of my great neighbors around here. I called this number that's on the fence around here that's supposed to be the emergency number. I called that to find out where I got my water from. Didn't get too good a response. I got a whole bunch of recordings and stuff, and I thought that was an emergency number. But, and anyway seven plus utilities later I still couldn't figure out anything. I asked one of the workers who I, who I could contact. And he said, well, I'm not too sure because I don't know for sure who I'm working for. That's was his exact statement.

Now after hearing about this company and how the things went about, I said I guess I can understand because right now I wouldn't know who to call if I had an emergency. And I don't know what would happen because I was asking if I need to get a meter put in, and that was sometime, I think, in April when the contractor asked me if I could get that put in, because they won't put it in in someone's name. It's got to be in mine so they can guarantee they're going to get paid, so the contractor don't run off on them, they know I'm committed. But anyway six weeks later they said, in two weeks we're going to have that. Two weeks later I

called, they didn't know me. So I'm questioning their 1 2 service. And in the meantime I got my house built 3 because I had some good neighbors who let me get the 4 water from them so they could mix the concrete and lay 5 the blocks and do them sort of things. So I guess for a 6 7 new customer, I'm questioning where is the service going to be at when you really need it? 8 9 (Applause.) 10 MR. MOURING: Thank you, sir. Mildred Riddle. 11 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She's gone. MR. MOURING: She left. 13 14 And I do apologize, I'm having a hard time 15 making out this name, but is it Jeanne Helsteader or maybe Jeremy or --16 17 **UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:** What's the address? 18 MR. MOURING: 12 -- or 132 Shangri-La --19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She's gone. 2.0 MR. MOURING: She's gone. Okay. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not (inaudible). She 22 just left. 23 (Laughter.) 24 MR. MOURING: Douglas Allen. 25 MR. ALLEN: Well, I don't want to belabor any

of the points that have already been made, but I'm sitting here thinking I have to ask myself, the revenues according to the numbers you've shown us are 30 percent of what the expenses are. So I ask myself why would anybody buy that company unless they knew something?

Now I don't -- the main point here is I knew we pretty much all expect that at some point to receive a rate increase, but the percentage that they're asking here is just extreme. Our national pastime is not Major League Baseball or National Football League, it's gouging John Q. Public, and I think they're setting a new standard here. Okay. Now the PSC needs to know that.

And I'm sorry to see that the representative for Representative Hays has already left us, because I think he needs to know that as well and we, maybe we'll keep that in mind when it comes to the next election.

Also, I'd like to see a more extensive breakdown of those expenses and revenues so that we can really define that and find out what that amounts to and possibly even compare that to a public utility.

I'd like to know if it's on a standard, since this is a private company, with a public utility and whether or not the rate increase they're asking for is on par with what a public utility might be expected to receive. Can you answer that for us?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

MR. MOURING: I absolutely can. No is the The Commission examines each utility on a standalone basis, and comparing them to -- you see it often times where a privately held company is compared to a municipally owned and operated utility like, I'm sorry, that was Mr. Laskowski handed out the comparison there. Municipally owned and operated utilities, one, they do not necessarily have to set rates that are cost based. They're allowed to -- they can issue bonds to raise capital. They have access to very low capital, very cheap capital. They do not pay income taxes, they do not pay ad valorem property taxes, they don't pay taxes, and also they're typically very large. They serve entire cities and they could take advantage of economies of scale. You know, the City of Eustis has a lot more than 187 customers. It's very difficult to compare them. It's certainly not an apples-to-apples comparison to compare a small, particularly a small privately owned utility with a municipally owned and operated one, and that's just the fact.

MR. ALLEN: So are we even in the ballpark?

MR. MOURING: I'm not sure what -- I mean --

MR. ALLEN: I mean, is it -- are we sort of separate because we are served by a private company rather than a public company?

MR. MOURING: I will make sure that the handout that Mr. Laskowski has given me ends up in the docket correspondence file. And I can direct you to where that is on the Commission's website, and you can take a look at the figures he's got. He also mentioned a website where this is available. But like I said, the Commission cannot set rates that are on parity with a municipally owned and operated one because they, operationally they are very different.

MR. ALLEN: Okay. Like I say, I don't want to take up too much time here, but I just -- the main point that I think that everyone is concerned here is the percentage of increase here is just extreme and unjustified and unacceptable in my opinion. I think the PSC needs to know that. And all the members of that Commission maybe need to think about that the next time they want to get reelected. If they're going to permit this kind of thing to go on, at some point we're just going to get tired of it and going to start doing something about it. So thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you, sir.
Marilyn Larrabee.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She left.

MR. MOURING: She left.

1	Joan Hopseker. Hopseker.
2	MS. HOPSEKER: My questions have been
3	answered.
4	MR. MOURING: Okay. Thank you.
5	Gary Ramey.
6	MR. RAMEY: I want to thank all I thank all
7	the previous speakers and all the questions that you
8	guys asked that I didn't think of.
9	I just have a couple of questions. Has staff
10	of PSC had any previous meetings with U.S. Water and
11	Lakeside Waterworks and how many? And if so, is that
12	information available?
13	MR. MOURING: Staff has issued written data
14	requests to the utility. I don't believe we've sat down
15	and met at any point. But we have issued written data
16	requests. Those are available online as well as the
17	utility's response.
18	MR. RAMEY: Has the staff or PSC had any
19	previous meetings with the utilities in regard to the
20	new possible customers that we should have?
21	MR. MOURING: No.
22	MR. RAMEY: Thank you.
23	MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you for your
24	comments.
25	(Applause.)

1	I suspect Richard Hopseker is gone as well?
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. No.
3	MR. MOURING: Oh.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You wish he was gone.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MR. HOPSEKER: They told us that we had to put
7	in a meter, the St. Johns Water Authority, to measure
8	how much they were using for watering lawns. Well,
9	right now my, I got one little number on it because I
10	don't water the lawn, and yet I paid \$125 for the meter.
11	Now can I take that meter out?
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'll give you \$50 for
13	it.
14	(Laughter.)
15	MR. HOPSEKER: Sold to the man right there.
16	MR. MOURING: I if the St. Johns River
17	Water Management District required you to put that meter
18	in, I would
19	MR. HOPSEKER: Well, I don't believe everybody
20	did. What I mean is right now if I took it out.
21	MR. MOURING: That would be a question for the
22	Water Management District. I, I could not tell you. I
23	didn't
24	MR. HOPSEKER: The St. Johns or these people?
25	MR. MOURING: It would be the St. Johns River

1	Water Management District. Whoever your utility,
2	they're the ones that
3	MR. HOPSEKER: Well, that's what they told me
4	at the office, not everybody did.
5	MR. MOURING: Okay.
6	(Simultaneous conversation.)
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I address that?
8	MR. MOURING: You can speak with him one on
9	one.
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, the PSC ordered
11	the \$125.
12	MR. MOURING: The Commission would have set
13	the meter charge.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They set the charge.
15	MR. MOURING: Yes, ma'am. But the Commission
16	did not require him to get an irrigation meter.
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
18	MR. MOURING: All right. Does that make
19	does that answer your question? All right. Well, thank
20	you, Mr. Hopseker.
21	(Applause.)
22	I have two names on here, Russ and Kandi
23	Wilkins. If we can just go one at a time, I'd
24	appreciate it.
25	MR. WILKINS: I'm Russ. I don't think I need

that. Y'all can hear me. That's my wife Kandi. 1 2 like on Taipei. MR. MOURING: If you would, just to make sure 3 that the microphone picks up everything. I appreciate 4 it. Thank you. 5 MR. WILKINS: Okay. This is my wife Kandi. 6 7 We live down on Taipei. We're relatively new. about six, seven months into it. Y'all have made some 8 9 great points. I commend y'all for doing your homework. Very impressive. 10 It sounds like we all need to get some water 11 tests done before this next meeting. 12 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right. 14 MR. WILKINS: And actually, you know, bring 15 the paper with you and let's show it to them, you know, what the experts say, not just what we say, what the 16 17 experts say about our water, then we'll have a valid 18 point. 19 There was some questions, I was wondering 20 about the 2011, why it was tabled, but I think Andy 21 answered that for me. It was before y'all even bought 22 us out or whoever bought them out; right? 23 MR. MOURING: That would be the utility.

MR. WILKINS:

24

25

they -- I heard one gentleman ask this. I didn't hear

Okay. And their rates, how do

the answer. How do these rates compare to the public utilities as far as -- I know we're from Apopka and what you're proposing is more than we were paying and that included our garbage pickup. And I understand you might need an increase, you know, but we've got to be reasonable about this.

And the last point is if there is an increase, will it all be at one time or would it be over a period of time? And I guess my last question would be when is the next time y'all can bring this up and try to increase again? I mean, is this a yearly thing or is it every three years or four years or five years or who can stimulate (phonetic) that?

MR. MOURING: Okay. And let me try to answer those. The rate increase, whatever the Commission approves, would happen at one time. That would not be phased in over a period of time. And just as a point of clarification, I think early on you mentioned at the next meeting, am I correct? Just as a point of clarification, unless and until this is protested, the next meeting will be the Commission agenda in Tallahassee where the Commissioners vote on it. So I just want to make sure that you're not expecting there to be another meeting down here.

MR. WILKINS: I know not here. I know it will

be elsewhere. But so does that mean if we do our water 1 2 test we need to make sure they're sent to, where somebody will be aware of that, or you're saying that if 3 we do a water test, we're kind of, we've come up short? 4 MR. MOURING: Make -- if you have a water test 5 performed and if you, if you can do it through DEP, I'm 6 7 not sure what the process is, but whatever you find, please make sure that staff is aware, that Commission 8 9 staff is aware of that, and they will contact DEP and 10 get the information that they need from DEP. 11 MR. WILKINS: Okay. And I assume somebody 12 here knows how to get ahold of these people. 13 MR. MOURING: There are names and phone numbers right in this --14 MR. WILKINS: A water test isn't hard. 15 16 can -- actually Home Depot have them free. You can go 17 down and get them and do your own, if you want, or you 18 can call it in. And we do need to have some expert 19 testing done, not just what we say. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That Home Depot test 21 does not test for certain things that can cause you bad 22 health issues. 23 And you're right. But if that MR. WILKINS: 24 test comes up with something, just one thing --

I'm sorry. If we could just

MR. MOURING:

25

1	make sure that we if you would address me and just
2	try to I do apologize, but it is
3	MR. WILKINS: You got my point.
4	MR. MOURING: To be fair to the rest of the
5	customers.
6	MR. WILKINS: Once again, I want to commend
7	the ones that came up here. You did your homework. I'm
8	very, very impressed.
9	MS. WILKINS: I understand that this is your
10	meeting, and we do thank you for your time and
11	consideration in this matter. We appreciate the water
12	people being here. We've addressed several key points
13	and they weren't allowed are we allowed to invite
14	them to speak so that we can hear their answers to our
15	very valid concerns?
16	MR. MOURING: Just as soon as I leave.
17	MS. WILKINS: Okay. Thank you.
18	(Laughter.)
19	MR. MOURING: Thank you for your comments.
20	(Applause.)
21	Elaine Wiepking.
22	MS. WIEPKING: I understand that you can't
23	compare these rate increases to public rate increases,
24	but what about private companies' increases?
25	MR. MOURING: Again, it would depend on a lot

1	of different factors whether or not it could be a fair
2	comparison of the water rates. I mean, it depends on
3	the size
4	MS. WIEPKING: Is there some website that
5	somebody can go to to check it out and compare?
6	MR. MOURING: Not that I'm aware of, ma'am.
7	Not that I'm aware of.
8	MS. WIEPKING: And then this is my question
9	for you, and the utility guys are still here.
10	MR. MOURING: They are.
11	MS. WIEPKING: If you you said that you did
12	not get a 3 percent increase. So those of us who got
13	1.5 are a little bit ahead; right?
14	MR. MOURING: I state employees were given
15	a \$1,000 raise, it wasn't a percentage, but that was
16	about a year ago.
17	MS. WIEPKING: How would you feel if you got a
18	letter that said now you're saying the least amount
19	it can go up is 80 percent, and then we've seen 100
20	percent and some people see 300.
21	MR. MOURING: The percentage increase on the
22	total bill that you would be paying, it varies, depends
23	on the usage level.
24	MS. WIEPKING: Correct. I got that. How

would you feel if next month your personal water bill

25

1	went up 80 percent?
2	MR. MOURING: That would be a big increase,
3	for sure.
4	MS. WIEPKING: Right. Would you think that
5	that was fair?
6	MR. MOURING: It would be, it would depend on
7	what the facts and circumstances were. I certainly
8	understand, I'd be it would be a big burden on me.
9	MS. WIEPKING: Exactly.
10	MR. MOURING: And Commission staff
11	MS. WIEPKING: It's going to be a burden on
12	everybody here.
13	MR. MOURING: Absolutely.
14	MS. WIEPKING: Do you think 80 percent or
15	100 percent or 300 percent would be just on your bill?
16	MR. MOURING: Again, it depends. If it's
17	300 percent or having the water service no longer
18	available, it would depend on the circumstances.
19	MS. WIEPKING: And the third important one, do
20	you think it would be reasonable?
21	MR. MOURING: Again, it depends on the
22	circumstances.
23	MS. WIEPKING: Oh, we know our circumstances.
24	None of us feel this is fair or just or reasonable.
25	MP MOUDING. Again like I gaid the

Commission's role is to be fair, just, and reasonable to 1 all parties, both the customers and to the utilities. 2 3 MS. WIEPKING: So far the only parties I hear that's being -- well, it's not even fair, just, and 4 reasonable to these four owners who are making a 5 killing, so it's not even fair, just, or reasonable to 6 7 them, and it's certainly not fair, just, or reasonable to any of us, and it wouldn't be to you and it wouldn't 8 9 be to the people who work for them. I mean, it's not fair, just, or reasonable to anybody as far as I'm 10 11 concerned. 12 MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you for your 13 comments. 14 (Applause.) Ron Corbin. 15 MR. CORBIN: My questions have been answered. 16 17 Thank you. 18 MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you, sir. 19 MR. CORBIN: Or I should say not answered but 20 they've been asked. 21 MR. MOURING: Okay. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No answers, just 23 questions. 24 MR. MOURING: Kathi Adkins. 25 MS. ADKINS: I just have a couple of comments.

I didn't do my homework like everybody else, but I did take some notes on all your notes.

Can y'all hear me? Many of us here have had our own business. The only way that we make a profit is if we put out a good product. And I don't feel like the product is good, so I don't understand how the Commission can allow them a 9 percent profit if the product is not that way. The government is allowing them to make profit, but the private sector would — that business would fail. I have a concern about that.

And there's people getting sick over this.

I've been here 11 years over on Harbor Shores Road. I

can't even tell you how many toilets I've replaced, how

many showerheads I've replaced, and it's not a good

product. And I feel like the Commission should take

that in consideration. If the product is not good, they

shouldn't make the 9 percent. It's just the way it is.

And when they bought, when they bought this, they

already looked at it, they did their due diligence, they

found out the numbers, they saw that it was an older

system, and yet they bought it anyway. If I bought a

business that was underperforming because I thought I

could make a profit because I could do something for it,

it would be out of my pocket to make that private -- to

make that business. I wouldn't go anywhere else to get

it. And I feel as if because of that it's very unfair. 1 I'm hoping the Commission will look at that. That's all 2 3 I have to say. (Applause.) 4 MR. MOURING: Thank you very much for your 5 comments. 6 7 Warren Bornstein. MR. BORNSTEIN: I'll wait until they speak, 8 9 until after you leave. 10 MR. MOURING: I'm sorry? You'd like to speak with the utility? 11 12 MR. BORNSTEIN: Yes. 13 MR. MOURING: Okay. So you would not like to 14 address -- okay. That's the last name I have of folks 15 that have signed up to speak at this point. 16 MR. DURBIN: Vanessa Hampton. 17 MR. MOURING: Okay. I'm sorry? 18 MR. DURBIN: Vanessa Hampton. 19 MR. MOURING: Yes. Vanessa Hampton. 20 MS. HAMPTON: Hi. Can you hear me? My name 21 is Vanessa Hampton. I live at 35100 Forest Lake Road in 22 Leesburg, Florida. I came here, I had, really had no 23 intention of speaking, but then as we went along I was 24 trying to put things together. And I guess what it 25 boils down to is if I have a, say, \$35 average water

bill right now, my bill is going to go up somewhere in the neighborhood of between \$78 and \$80, rough math. I'm not really sure if that's right or not. Which, you're right, it's not a 300 percent increase, but it is double what I'm paying now, which I think is a really large increase and a really large burden to put on a lot of these people. I likewise think that the Public Service Commission needs to re-look at the numbers that Lakeside Water has put in and re-look at some of the expenses that they are stating, as well as their relationship. And I know it's all, from what I understand, because they're subcontracting work out to other corporations that they are the primary stockholders and directors of, and I know you can do that and that's legal. We've said that. But just because something is legal doesn't make it right. And I think we all need that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think that's what is a lot of what is wrong with our society today and everything else, and I think -- I understand these people need to make money and I understand they probably do need a rate increase to update antiquated equipment or whatever, but let's make it reasonable. Let's make it something that the people here in the community can live with and they can live with. I don't think doubling our monthly bill is

reasonable. I just think that's a little over the top. 1 I think, you know, everybody needs increases, but that's 2 too much. That's all I have to say. 3 MR. MOURING: Thank you very much for your 4 5 comments. MR. DURBIN: We have one more lady that would 6 7 like to speak. MR. MOURING: Okay. If you would, if you 8 9 don't mind coming up and if you'd give me your name and 10 address and spell your last name. 11 MS. HAMPTON: I think I turned the microphone off too. 12 13 MR. MOURING: Okay. MS. HAMPTON: You need to turn it back on. 14 How do you turn it on? 15 MS. FRANGENMORE: 16 MR. MOURING: You slide the thing up. Uh-huh. 17 MS. FRANGENMORE: Good evening, all residents. 18 My name is Cindy Frangenmore (phonetic). I live at 109 China Lane. I didn't intend to speak tonight, as some 19 20 of you other people have decided. However, my water 21 bill currently runs \$33 to \$35 a month. I have been 22 working, so even though I'm semi-retired, I will be 23 fully retired by the end of this month. So I will be in the same situation as the rest of you who are living on 24

Social Security. So I do appreciate all the comments.

25

I want to thank Gary and Marsha and Linda and all of those that have done their homework. I didn't do my homework. But the main reason that I wanted to speak is to bring up something that has not been mentioned yet. I don't know the words, I'm not a member of their family, I don't know them personally. I know Andy has already spoken. I do know that Shangri-La Waterworks did request an increase of maybe \$5 or \$10 a month and they were turned down. So I don't know whether they went to the PSC or who they went to. And maybe you can tell me why did they get turned down for \$5 or \$10 a month increase when they weren't making a profit and they couldn't even break even probably with that small increase, and yet this new company is allowed to make a profit of 9 percent?

MR. MOURING: And let me first say, yes, they did file with the Florida Public Service Commission. I believe that was in 2010 or 2012. And it was withdrawn as a result of the Commission audit, staff auditing the books and records of the utility and it appearing that the utility was over-earning during those times.

I was not -- I did not participate in that, in the last rate case, in the case that was filed by the Werners. All I could look at was the audit report from the last case and the audit report in this case. And

the revenues have decreased since then from the last

audit report to the current audit report and the

expenses have gone up, which leads to the rate increase,

the staff recommended rate increase.

2.0

MS. FRANGENMORE: Well, we all heard Andy
Werner speak before saying that he did not want to sell
the Waterworks and it was not making money, and we had
all heard that in the community that it was not making
enough money and that he needed to make a rate increase.
And you're sort of contradicting that and saying that --

MR. MOURING: Absolutely. And like I say, I don't know what specifically it was, but the auditors were not able to reach the same conclusion that he did, that Mr. Werner did based on the records that the Werners provided to our audit staff.

So, again, I don't, I don't know why specifically the numbers worked out the way they did. I was not a part of it. All I can look at is the audit — what our auditors compiled, and for me to say any more would be speculation.

MS. FRANGENMORE: It just doesn't seem to add up that they couldn't get a small increase and yet this company is allowed to request even a much larger increase. So there's some -- very, very many unanswered questions for us residents here when we see that the, it

seems like the previous owner maybe had been unfairly treated in some way or it was some political advantages of the company. We're not sure what, but I just wanted to voice my opinion. And I thank you for that.

MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you.

(Applause.)

All right. Are there any other customers who did not sign up to speak that would like to speak?

All right. Seeing none --

MS. KREEGER: I'd just like to ask a question.

I had heard something about base rates.

MR. MOURING: Could you repeat your name just for the record?

MS. KREEGER: Oh, Brenda Kreeger. Brenda Kreeger.

MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you.

MS. KREEGER: I had heard about the base rate charge going up on the irrigation systems, but then Linda told me that they were not going to go up. My question was will they ever go up? And what about those people who have a meter but their irrigation system is no longer working, haven't worked in years? I bought two houses here and have meters, but the irrigation systems never worked. And I just had an estimate on making this one work that I'm in now, and I'm not paying

1	that kind of money to put in a new irrigation system.
2	MR. MOURING: Your question is if you
3	MS. KREEGER: Will they ever go up, will they
4	ever try to put a base rate on that irrigation meter?
5	MR. MOURING: I don't know the answer to that.
6	MS. KREEGER: We don't have one now.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If it's not being used.
8	MR. MOURING: I mean, the utility certainly
9	can get an irrigation base charge for an irrigation
10	meter.
11	MS. KREEGER: They can without giving notice?
12	MR. MOURING: No. They would have to give
13	notice. It would be
14	MS. KREEGER: Is that something that could be
15	slid in on this?
16	MR. MOURING: You would receive notice.
17	MS. KREEGER: So we would receive notice at
18	the same time we receive notice of what y'all feel is
19	going to be the rate increase?
20	MR. MOURING: Yes. Well, you would receive
21	notice of what the Commission-approved rate increase
22	would be.
23	MS. KREEGER: So, in other words, that could
24	come back to slide, yeah or nay, whether
25	MR. MOURING: It whatever the base if

1	they were to charge a base rate for irrigation meters,
2	that would need to be in staff's recommendation and in
3	the order. It would be available, the recommendation
4	would be filed on November 11th or November 13th, and
5	the recommendation or the order would be available
6	within 20 days of the agenda conference.
7	MS. KREEGER: So I guess what I'm asking is
8	right now we don't, we've been told that, no, they're
9	not going to ask for a base rate on the irrigation
10	system. But is that something that they might ask for
11	before you make your final recommendations?
12	MR. MOURING: That could be something that
13	they ask for, but that would need to be
14	MS. KREEGER: (Inaudible.)
15	MR. MOURING: Right. That would need to be
16	voted on by the Commission.
17	MS. KREEGER: But we would be notified if that
18	was part of the overall package?
19	MR. MOURING: Yes, ma'am. That would be in
20	the notice.
21	I'm sorry. Yes, could you repeat your name?
22	MR. ALLEN: I just have a follow-up
23	clarification question to the lady speaking.
24	MR. MOURING: I'm sorry. Can you repeat your
25	name?

1	MR. ALLEN: Douglas Allen.
2	MR. MOURING: Thank you.
3	MR. ALLEN: When the Commission makes their
4	determination and you say that notice will go out, will
5	that be a personal letter or something to us
6	individually or will it just be a public notification in
7	a paper?
8	MR. MOURING: And I you can ask the
9	utility. That, generally that would be a bill stuffer
10	that would show up in your bill or it may be a separate
11	letter.
12	MR. ALLEN: Okay. So we won't hear from you.
13	This is a letter from the utility.
14	MR. MOURING: I'm sorry?
15	MR. ALLEN: We won't hear from the Commission.
16	We'll hear from the utility in terms of a rate increase.
17	MR. MOURING: You will get a letter from the
18	utility that was approved by the Commission.
19	MR. ALLEN: Okay.
20	MR. MOURING: I'm sorry?
21	MS. MCKENNA: And you're going to let us know
22	that time frame?
23	MR. MOURING: Can you repeat your name? I'm
24	sorry.
25	MS. MCKENNA: My name is Linda McKenna.

	000
1	MR. MOURING: Thank you.
2	MS. McKENNA: And I questioned before. The
3	date you, the date that you set an order, then the
4	utility notifies us what the rates are.
5	MR. MOURING: Again, I don't want to give you
6	bad information about the timeline of when notifications
7	are filed. And that's, I will have someone from our
8	General Counsel, our General Counsel's Office get back
9	with you.
10	MS. McKENNA: I have another question. Is the
11	PUC staff
12	MR. MOURING: PSC.
13	MS. McKENNA: staff and the Commissioners
14	all political appointments?
15	MR. MOURING: No, ma'am. The Commission staff
16	are just career service or select exempt service
17	employees of the State of Florida.
18	MS. McKENNA: None of them are elected.
19	MR. MOURING: No. None of the Commissioners
20	are elected. They're appointed and, appointed by the
21	Governor and confirmed by the

22

23

24

25

them are elected. e of the Commissioners and, appointed by the MS. McKENNA: Appointed by the Governor. So they're political appointments. MR. MOURING: They're appointed, and they're confirmed by the Senate. FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. KREEGER: Brenda Kreeger. Is the public 1 2 allowed to see the audited reports that you gather whether they get this increase or they don't get this 3 increase? Is the public, are we allowed to see? I was 4 a bank auditor for 25 years. 5 MR. MOURING: The audit report is available 6 7 online right now. MS. KREEGER: It is online. 8 9 MR. MOURING: I can show you where to get it. 10 MS. KREEGER: Okay. So your people audited their books. 11 12 MR. MOURING: Yes, ma'am. 13 MS. KREEGER: We go to your site to see their 14 audit? 15 MR. MOURING: Yes. You would go to the PSC's website, and I'll show you where you can find that 16 17 document. 18 MS. HAMPTON: I have a question. 19 MR. MOURING: Yes, ma'am. 20 MS. HAMPTON: Vanessa Hampton. And my 21 question is, and I think someone asked it earlier and I 22 didn't hear the answer, was if this rate increase or 23 whatever rate increase comes with this, how many times 24 and how often can the utility company apply for this

25

rate increase?

MR. MOURING: They can apply no more than twice a year by law.

(Simultaneous conversation.)

If they apply this year, the soonest they'd be able to apply would be next year.

MS. HAMPTON: So they applied this year. They can't apply again next year.

(Simultaneous conversation.)

MR. MOURING: Absolutely. And that's by law. It's -- they're allowed to do that. They're allowed to seek rate relief. They may not get it, but they're allowed to apply for it.

All right. Are there any other customers that would wish to speak at this time? All right. Seeing none, I will conclude this meeting, and I thank you very much for your comments.

on behalf of the Commission, I thank you for every bit of your comments. It's very important information. And that's the kind of feedback that our Commissioners really, really need. So thank you all very much. And I will stick around after the meeting. If you have some questions you just want to ask me one on one, I can sit down with you guys and go through the rate impacts, but we can do that after the meeting. All right. Thank you all very much.

(Customer meeting concluded at 8:35 p.m.)

2 STATE OF FLORIDA )
: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3 COUNTY OF LEON )

I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Hearings Reporter, Hearing Reporter Services Section, Office of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were transcribed from digital recording to the best of my ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED this 23rd day of September, 2014.

\_ -

LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
(850) 413-6734