FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OFFICE OF COMMISSION CLERK



DOCUMENT NUMBER ASSIGNMENT*

FILED DATE:

05/08/2015

DOCKET NO.:

150117-GU

DOCUMENT NO.: 02705-15

MENT*
DECLASSIFIED

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION:

Chesapeake; FPUC (Keating) - (CONFIDENTIAL) Information contained in responses to staff's first data requests. [CLK note: Joint request for confidential classification and temporary protective order has been withdrawn; document forwarded to docket file.]

Florida Public Utilities Company (Gas Divisions) and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Responses to Staff's First Data Requests FPSC Docket No. 150117-GU (CONFIDENTIAL VERSION)

Subtract the current estimated annual PGA cost per therm from the estimated annual cost per therm after the consolidation to arrive at the estimated increase to cost per therm of approximately \$0.025 – see Chart 4 and 5.

DECLASSIFIED

Chart 3

Consolidated Estimate	Consolidated Estimate	Consolidated Estimate
Annual Cost	Annual Therms	Cost per Therm
Un-released Capacity	Un-released Capacity	Un-released Capacity
\$5.3M	49.2M	\$0.108

Chart 4

Estimated Annual PGA	Estimated Annual PGA	Estimated PGA Cost per
Cost	Therms	Therm
Un-released Capacity	Un-released Capacity	Un-released Capacity
\$3.7M	44.6M	\$0.083

Chart 5

Consolidated Estimate	Estimated PGA	Estimated Increase PGA
Cost Per Therm	Cost Per Therm	Cost Per Therm
Un-released Capacity	Un-released Capacity	
\$0.108	\$0.083	\$0.025

7. Do the Companies anticipate that consolidating the cost allocation methodology across the entire Chesapeake Florida system will result in any administrative cost savings in comparison with the current practice of performing separate cost allocations for each of the four divisions? If the answer is affirmative and it is possible to estimate potential cost savings, please provide an estimate of the annual cost savings that would be realized by each division.

Response:

No, at this time the Companies do not anticipate any administrative cost savings in comparison with the current practice of performing separate cost allocations for each of the four divisions. It is anticipated that it will simply be a change in methodology of allocation, without any material change to the administration time necessary to allocate the costs.



