

FILED OCT 09, 2015 DOCUMENT NO. 06403-15 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

Writer's Direct Dial Number: (850) 521-1706 Writer's E-Mail Address: bkeating@gunster.com

October 9, 2015

E-PORTAL/ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Carlotta Stauffer, Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 150001-EI: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Attached for filing, please find the Florida Public Utilities Company's Prehearing Statement. Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Sincerely,

Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 521-1706

MEK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor. DATED: October 9, 2015

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

In accordance with the Order Establishing Procedure for this Docket, Order No. PSC-15-0096-PCO-EI, issued February 10, 2015, as modified by Order No. PSC-15-0169-PCO-EI, Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPUC," or "Company") hereby files its Prehearing Statement.

a. <u>All Known Witnesses</u>

Witness	<u>Subject</u>	Issue		
Curtis D. Young	Final True Up 2014	4B, 9		
Curtis D. Young	Estimated/Actual 2015	10		
Curtis D. Young	Projection for 2016	4A, 4B, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 35		
P. Mark Cutshaw	Special Projects	4A, 4B		
b. <u>All Known Exhibits</u>				
Witness	<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Title</u>		
Young	CDY-1 (Composite)	Final True Up Schedules (Schedules A, C1 and E1-B for FPUC's Divisions)		
Young	CDY-2 (Composite)	Estimated/Actual (Schedules El-A, El-B, and El-B1)		

Witness

Young

<u>Exhibit</u>

<u>Title</u>

CDY-3 (Composite)

Schedules E1, E1A, E2, E7, E8, E10 and Schedule A

c. <u>FPUC's Statement of Basic Position</u>

<u>FPUC</u>: The Commission should approve Florida Public Utilities Company's final net true-up for the period January through December 2014, the estimated true-up for the period January through December, 2015, and the purchase power cost recovery factor for the period January through December, 2016. In approving the recovery factors for the Company, the Commission should allow FPUC to recover the depreciation expense, taxes, and a return on its investment to build an interconnection between FPUC's Northeast Division and an FPL substation. The Commission should also allow the Company to recover specified legal and consulting expenses that were not accounted for in the Company's last rate case, but which have been incurred in the pursuit of fuel savings for FPUC's customers.

d. FPUC's Position on the Issues

COMPANY-SPECIFIC ISSUES – FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES

- **ISSUE 4A:** Should FPUC be permitted to recover the cost (depreciation expense, taxes, and return on investment) of building an interconnection between FPL's substation and FPUC's Northeast Division through the fuel recovery clause?
- <u>FPUC's Position</u>: Yes. The Company should be allowed to recover the costs of this project through the fuel cost recovery clause. The project costs constitute unanticipated fuel-related costs not included in the computation of base rates for the Company. The project itself is designed to lower the delivered price of purchased power to the Company, which will produce savings for FPUC's customers. As such, the Company's request for recovery is consistent with prior cases in which similar types of project

costs have been allowed for recovery through the clause. Moreover, the costs for which FPUC seeks recovery are not indicative of an increase in costs that otherwise would have been recovered through base rates. Allowing recovery through the clause avoids regulatory lag that would be associated with a proceeding to recover the costs through base rates. In addition, allowing recovery through the clause provides a more appropriate match with regard to the incurrence of the costs and appropriately encourages the utility to avail itself of opportunities that will provide long-term fuel savings and additional benefits to customers.

(Young/Cutshaw)

- **ISSUE 4B:** Should FPUC's request to recover consulting and legal fees through the fuel clause be approved?
- <u>FPUC's Position</u>: Yes. These costs were not anticipated in the Company's last rate case and tend to fluctuate significantly from year to year. These costs are not associated with the day-to-day administration of existing contracts for power purchases from FPUC's wholesale providers, but are, instead, consulting and legal expenses incurred specifically for the purpose of pursuing projects and contracts that will inure to the benefit of FPUC's ratepayers. FPUC has historically recovered similar legal and consulting expenses through the fuel clause. Moreover, the costs for which FPUC seeks recovery are not indicative of an increase in costs that otherwise would have been recovered through base rates. As such, these costs are appropriate for recovery through the fuel cost recovery clause.

(Young/Cutshaw)

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2015 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2016 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: \$1,476,353 (Under-recovery) (Young)

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2015 through December 2015?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: \$112,373 (Under-recovery) (Young)

ISSUE 11: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2016 to December 2016?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: \$1,588,726. (Under-recovery) (Young)

ISSUE 12: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2016 through December 2016?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2016 through December 2016 is \$67,488,997. *(Young)*

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 19: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2016 through December 2016?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2016 through December 2016 is \$68,977,723, which includes prior period true-ups. *(Young)*

ISSUE 20: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2016 through December 2016?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The appropriate tax revenue factor is 1.00072. (Young)

Rate Schedule

ISSUE 21: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2016 through December 2016?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The appropriate factor is 6.693¢ per kWh. (Young)

ISSUE 22: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

FPUC's Position: The appropriate line loss multiplier is 1.0000. (Young)

ISSUE 23: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The appropriate levelized fuel adjustment and purchased power cost recovery factors for the period January 2016 through December 2016 for the Consolidated Electric Division, adjusted for line loss multipliers and including taxes, are as follows:

Adjustment

nulo Soliculio	1100 000000
RS	\$0.10620
GS	\$0.10170
GSD	\$0.09710
GSLD	\$0.09408
LS	\$0.07212
Step rate for RS	
RS Sales	\$0.10620
RS with less than 1,000 kWh/month	\$0.10189
RS with more than 1,000 kWh/month	\$0.11439

Consistent with the fuel projections for the 2016 period, the appropriate adjusted Time of Use (TOU) and Interruptible rates for the Northwest Division for 2016 period are:

Time of Use/Interruptible

Rate Schedule	Adjustment On Peak	Adjustment Off Peak
RS	\$0.18589	\$0.06289
GS	\$0.14170	\$0.05170
GSD	\$0.13710	\$0.06460
GSLD	\$0.15408	\$0.06408
Interruptible	\$0.07908	\$0.09408

(Young)

EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 35: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The effective date for FPUC's cost recovery factors should be the first billing cycle for January 1, 2016, which could include some consumption from the prior month. Thereafter, customers should be billed the approved factors for a full 12 months, unless the factors are otherwise modified by the Commission. *(Young)*

TARIFF APPROVAL

ISSUE 36: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: Yes. The Commission should approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding. The Commission should direct staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. (Agree with Commission staff.)

ISSUE 37: Should this docket be closed?

FPUC's Position: Yes.

e. <u>Stipulated Issues</u>

FPUC is not a party to any stipulations at this time.

f. <u>Pending Motions</u>

FPUC has no pending motions at this time.

g. <u>Pending Confidentiality Claims or Requests</u>

FPUC's Request for Confidential Classification for information contained in Exhibit CDY-3 to direct testimony of Curtis D. Young, filed September 1, 2015.

FPUC's Request for Confidential Classification and motion for a temporary protective order for information provided in response to staff's 2nd set of interrogatories, filed on October 2, 2015.

h. <u>Objections to Witness Qualifications as an Expert</u>

FPUC has no objections to any witnesses' qualifications at this time.

i. <u>Compliance with Order No. PSC-15-0096-PCO-EI</u>

FPUC has complied with all requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure entered in this docket, as well as the subsequent orders issued modifying that Order.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 9th day of October, 2015.

BY: Seit Ketz

Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 521-1706

Attorneys for Florida Public Utilities Company

<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by Electronic Mail to the following parties of record this 9th day of October, 2015:

Correction Description	Lever D. Deceler/L. Leffer Wiebler / A. Harr
Suzanne Brownless	James D. Beasley/J. Jeffry Wahlen/Ashley
Florida Public Service Commission	Daniels
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard	Ausley Law Firm
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850	Post Office Box 391
Sbrownle@PSC.STATE.FL.US	Tallahassee, FL 32302
	jbeasley@ausley.com
	jwahlen@ausley.com
	adaniels@ausley.com
Jeffrey Stone/Russell Badders/Steven	James W. Brew/Owen Kopon
Griffin	Stone Matheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
Beggs & Lane	Eighth Floor, West Tower
P.O. Box 12950	1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Pensacola, FL 32591-2950	Washington, DC 20007
srg@beggslane.com	jbrew@smxblaw.com
John T. Butler	Kenneth Hoffman
Maria Moncada	Florida Power & Light Company
Florida Power & Light Company	215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810
700 Universe Boulevard	Tallahassee, FL 32301
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420	Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com
John.Butler@fpl.com	
Ms. Paula K. Brown	Florida Industrial Users Power Group
Tampa Electric Company	Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Regulatory Affairs	Moyle Law Firm
P.O. Box 111	118 North Gadsden Street
Tampa, FL 33601-0111	Tallahassee, FL 32301
Regdept@tecoenergy.com	jmoyle@moylelaw.com
Mike Cassel	Florida Retail Federation
Florida Public Utilities Company	Robert Scheffel Wright/John T. LaVia
1750 SW 14th Street, Suite 200	Gardner Law Firm
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034	1300 Thomaswood Drive
mcassel@fpuc.com	Tallahassee, FL 32308
	schef@gbwlegal.com

Robert L. McGee, Jr. Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520 <u>rlmcgee@southernco.com</u>	J.R. Kelly/P. Christensen/C. Rehwinkel/ Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Kelly.JR@leg.state.fl.us Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us Rehwinkel.Charles@leg.state.fl.us
Matthew Bernier Duke Energy 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301 <u>Matthew.Bernier@duke-energy.com</u>	Dianne M. Triplett Duke Energy 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701 Dianne.Triplett@duke-energy.com
Raoul G. Cantero, III, Esq. White & Case, LLP Southeast Financial Center, Suite 4900 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, FL 33131-2352 rcantero@whitecase.com	Andrew Maurey Michael Barrett Division of Accounting and Finance Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 mbarrett@psc.state.fl.us amaurey@psc.state.fl.us

Bert Karley By: ____

Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 521-1706