FILED NOV 12, 2015 DOCUMENT NO. 07219-15 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

FLORIDA :	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
In the Matter of:	
	DOCKET NO. 150002-EG
ENERGY CONSERVATION RECOVERY CLAUSE.	COST
PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING
COMMISSIONERS	
	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR
	COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS
DATE:	Monday, November 2, 2015
TIME:	Commenced at 1:15 p.m. Concluded at 1:18 p.m.
PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
	4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734

1 APPEARANCES:

JAMES D. BEASLEY, J. JEFFRY WAHLEN and ASHLEY M. DANIELS, ESQUIRES, Ausley & McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

JEFFREY A. STONE, RUSSELL A. BADDERS, STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, ESQUIRES, Beggs & Lane, P.O. Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

DIANNE M. TRIPLETT, ESQUIRE, 299 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701; and MATTHEW R. BERNIER, ESQUIRE, 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7740; appearing on behalf of Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

JOHN T. BUTLER, R. WADE LITCHFIELD, and MARIA J. MONCADA, ESQUIRES, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420, appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Gunster Law Firm, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1839, appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

APPEARANCES (Continued):

2

3

Moyle Law Firm, P.A., 118 North Gadsden Street,

4

Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of

5

Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

6 7

DEPUTY PUBLIC COUNSEL; and PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN,

8

DEPUTY PUBLIC COUNSEL, ESQUIRES, Office of Public

9

Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison

10

Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400,

11

appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of

12

13

JAMES W. BREW, OWEN J. KOPON and LAURA A.

LEE ENG TAN and BIANCA LHERISSON, ESQUIRES,

JON C. MOYLE, JR., and KAREN PUTNAL, ESQUIRES,

J.R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL; CHARLES REHWINKEL,

14

WYNN, ESQUIRES, Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C., 1025 Thomas

Washington, DC 20007, appearing on behalf of White

15

Jefferson Street, NW, Eight Floor, West Tower,

1617

Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate

18

- White Springs.

Florida.

19

Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak

2021

Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on

22

behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission

23

24

25

APPEARANCES (Continued):

MARY ANNE HELTON, ESQUIRE, Advisor to the Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing as advisor to the Florida Public Service Commission.

CHARLIE BECK, General Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee Florida, appearing as General Counsel to the Florida Public Service Commission.

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME:	PAGE NO.
4	ANITA SHARMA Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	12
5 6	TERRY J. KEITH Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	17
7 8	CURTIS YOUNG Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	24
9	JOHN N. FLOYD Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	29
10 11	LORI J. CROSS Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	42
12	MARK R. ROCHE Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	54
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

1		EXHIBITS		
2	NUMBER:		ID.	ADMTD.
3	1	Comprehensive Exhibit List	71	71
4	2 - 13	As described on Comprehensive	71	71
5		Exhibit List		
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
		FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	NC	

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. Good afternoon, everybody. We will call this clause hearing to order, the 2015 clause hearing. Let the record show it is Monday, November the 2nd, and it's probably about three minutes after 1:00.

Staff, if I can get you to read the notice, please.

MS. MAPP: By notice issued October 2nd, 2015, this time and place was set for a hearing in the following dockets: Docket No. 150001-EI, 150002-EG, 150003-GU, 150004-GU, and 150007-EI. The purpose of the hearing was set out in the notice.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. Seeing that we have five dockets in front of us, let's take appearances.

MR. BUTLER: John Butler appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company. With me, Maria Moncada, and also enter an appearance for Wade Litchfield. We are in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets.

MR. BERNIER: Good afternoon, Matt Bernier on behalf of Duke Energy Florida in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. I'd also like to enter an appearance for Dianne Triplett in those same dockets, and John Burnett in the 01 docket.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you.

MR. BEASLEY: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

James D. Beasley of the law firm of Ausley & McMullen on behalf of Tampa Electric Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. I would also like to enter an appearance for J. Jeffrey Wahlen and Ashley M. Daniels of the same firm.

MR. BADDERS: Good afternoon. Russell Badders on behalf of Gulf Power Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. And I'd like to also enter an appearance for Jeffery A. Stone and Steven R. Griffin in the same dockets.

MS. KEATING: Good afternoon. Beth Keating with the Gunster Law Firm here today on behalf of FPUC in the 01, 02, and 03 dockets. I'm also here for Florida City Gas in the 03 docket. And in the 04 docket I'm here for FPU, FPU Fort Meade, Indiantown, Chesapeake, and Florida City Gas.

MR. HORTON: Norman H. Horton, Jr., appearing on behalf of Sebring Gas Company in the 04 docket.

MR. MOYLE: Jon Moyle with the Moyle Law Firm appearing on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group, FIPUG. I'd also like to enter an appearance for Karen Putnal who is with our firm, and we will be in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets.

MR. BREW: Good afternoon. James Brew of the 1 2 firm of Stone, Mattheis, Xenopoulos & Brew for White 3 Springs Agricultural Chemicals/PCS Phosphate. We're in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. And I also like to note an 4 appearance for Owen Kopon. 5 MR. WRIGHT: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, 6 7 Commissioners. Robert Scheffel Wright and John T. LaVia, III, with the Gardner Law Firm on behalf of the 8 Florida Retail Federation in the 001 docket. Thank you. 9 MR. REHWINKEL: Good afternoon, Commissioners. 10 Charles Rehwinkel, J. R. Kelly, Patty Christensen and 11 Erik Sayler with the Office of Public Counsel in the 12 13 01 docket. The same appearances except for Mr. Sayler in the 02, 03, 04, and 07 dockets. 14 MS. MAPP: Kyesha Mapp for staff in the 15 03 docket; Suzanne Brownless, Danijela Janjic, and John 16 17 Villafrate for the 01 docket; Lee Eng Tan and Bianca 18 Lherisson for the 02 docket; Leslie Ames and Kelly Corbari for the 04 docket; and Charles Murphy for the 07 19 20 docket. 21 Staff would also like to note that Peoples 22 Gas System and St. Joe's Gas Company has been 23 excused from this hearing in the 03 and the 04 24 dockets. 25 MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton. I'm here as

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

your advisor in the all of the dockets. 1 2 MR. BECK: And Charlie Beck, General Counsel. 3 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: And we will open Docket 4 No. 2. And, staff --5 MS LHERISSON: There are no preliminary 6 7 matters in the 02 docket. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. 8 9 MS LHERISSON: Chairman, all witnesses have 10 been excused in this docket and the parties have waived 11 opening statements. There are proposed stipulations on 12 all issues, Issues 1 through 7, with OPC, FIPUG, and PCS 13 taking no position. 14 Chairman, if the Commission decides -- or 15 decides that a bench decision is appropriate, we 16 recommend that the proposed stipulations for Issues 17 1 through 7 located on pages 6 through 11 of the 18 Prehearing Order be approved at this time. 19 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Commissioners, once 20 again, your time for questions, comments, concerns. 21 Commissioner Edgar. 22 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 Similarly for this docket, the 02 docket, recognizing 24 the work that has been done by our staff and all of the 25 parties, I would move approval of the proposed

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

stipulations for Issues 1 through 7 as they are laid out 1 2 in pages 6 through 11 of the Prehearing Order. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It's been moved and 3 seconded, Issues 1 through 7. Any further discussion? 4 Seeing none, all in favor, say aye. 5 6 (Vote taken.) 7 Any opposed? By your action, you've 8 approved that motion. 9 Staff, prefiled direct -- prefiled testimony. 10 11 MS LHERISSON: At this time we ask that the 12 prefiled testimony of all witnesses identified in Section VI of the Prehearing Order be inserted into the 13 14 record as though read. 15 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: We will insert all the 16 prefiled testimony from all witnesses into the record as though read. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
3		TESTIMONY OF ANITA SHARMA
4		DOCKET NO. 150002-EG
5		MAY 5, 2015
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Anita Sharma and my business address is 9250 West Flagler Street,
9		Miami, Florida 33174. I am employed by Florida Power and Light Company
10		("FPL") in the Demand Side Management ("DSM") Department as Manager, Cost
11		& Performance.
12	Q.	Have you previously testified in this or predecessor dockets?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
15	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the actual Energy Conservation Cost
16		Recovery costs for FPL's DSM programs for the period January 2014 through
17		December 2014.
18	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction,
19		supervision or control an exhibit in this proceeding?
20	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit AS-1, Schedules CT-5, CT-6 and Appendix A and
21		co-sponsoring Schedules CT-2 and CT-3. The specific sections of Schedules CT-2
22		and CT-3 that I am co-sponsoring are identified in Exhibit AS-1, Page 1 of 1,
23		Table of Contents.

- 1 Q. For the January 2014 through December 2014 period, did FPL seek recovery
- of any costs for advertising which makes a specific claim of potential energy
- 3 savings or states appliance efficiency ratings or savings?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Has FPL complied with Rule 25-17.015(5), Florida Administrative Code,
- 6 which requires FPL to file all data sources and calculations used to
- substantiate claims of potential energy savings or which state appliance
- 8 efficiency ratings or savings that are included in advertisement?
- 9 A. Yes. The documentation required by the Rule is included in Appendix A.
- 10 Q. Are all costs listed in Schedule CT-2 attributable to Commission-approved
- 11 **DSM programs?**
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How did FPL's actual program costs for the January 2014 through December
- 2014 period compare to the actual/estimated costs presented in Docket No.
- 15 140002-EG, and approved in Order No. PSC-14-0632-FOF-EG?
- 16 A. Actual program costs for the period were \$316,311,166. The actual/estimated
- program costs were \$313,534,540. Therefore, actual costs were \$2,776,626, or
- one percent, higher than the actual/estimated costs (see Schedule CT-2, Page 1 of
- 5, Line 13). Each program's contribution to the variance is shown on Schedule
- 20 CT-2, Page 3 of 5.
- 21 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 22 A. Yes.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
3		TESTIMONY OF ANITA SHARMA
4		DOCKET NO. 150002-EG
5		AUGUST 21, 2015
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name, business address, employer and position.
8	A.	My name is Anita Sharma. My business address is 9250 West Flagler Street, Miami,
9		Florida 33174. I am employed by Florida Power and Light Company ("FPL" or the
10		"Company") as Manager, DSM Cost & Performance.
11	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony in this or a predecessor docket?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
14	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to submit for Commission review and approval the
15		projected Energy Conservation Cost Recovery ("ECCR") costs for FPL's Demand-
16		Side Management ("DSM") programs to be incurred by FPL during January through
17		December 2016 and the actual/estimated ECCR costs for January through December
18		2015.
19	Q.	Are you sponsoring an exhibit in this proceeding?
20	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit AS-2, Schedule C-5 and co-sponsoring Schedules C-2
21		and C-3. The specific sections of Schedules C-2 and C-3 that I am co-sponsoring are
22		shown in Exhibit AS-2, Page 1, Table of Contents.

1	Q.	Are all of the costs listed in these exhibits reasonable, prudent and attributable to
2		programs approved by the Commission?
3	A.	Yes. The 2015 actual/estimated costs are based on FPL's current programs and
4		rebates with a transition in the fourth quarter and for 2016 to the DSM Plan approved
5		by the Commission in Docket 150085-EI.
6	Q.	Please describe the methods used to derive the program costs for which FPL
7		seeks recovery.
8	A.	The actual costs for the months of January through June 2015 came from the books
9		and records of FPL. The books and records are kept in the regular course of FPL's
10		business in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices
11		and with the applicable provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed
12		by this Commission and directed in Rule 25-17.015, Florida Administrative Code.
13		
14		Costs for the months of July through December 2015, as well as January through
15		December 2016, are projections compiled from detailed month-by-month analyses for
16		each program which were prepared by the relevant departments within FPL. The
17		projections have been created in accordance with FPL's standard budgeting and on-
18		going cost justification processes.
19	Q.	What are the 2015 actual/estimated costs that FPL is requesting the Commission
20		to approve?
21	A.	FPL is requesting approval of \$218,746,107 as the actual/estimated amount for the
22		period January through December 2015, as shown on Exhibit AS-2, Schedule C-3
23		Page 10, Line 30.

Q. What are the 2016 costs FPL is requesting the Commission to approve?

- 2 A. FPL is requesting approval of \$191,276,638 for recovery during the period of January
- 3 through December 2016, as shown on Exhibit AS-2, Schedule C-1, Page 1, Line 8.
- 4 This includes projected costs for January through December 2016 of \$173,255,538 as
- 5 shown on Exhibit AS-2, Schedule C-1, Page 1, Line 1, as well as prior and current
- 6 period under recoveries, interest and applicable revenue taxes.

7 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

8 A. Yes.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
3		TESTIMONY OF TERRY J. KEITH
4		DOCKET NO. 150002-EG
5		MAY 5, 2015
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name, business address, employer and position.
8	A.	My name is Terry J. Keith and my business address is 9250 West Flagler Street,
9		Miami, Florida, 33174. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company
10		("FPL" or "the Company") as Director, Cost Recovery Clauses, in the Regulatory
11		Affairs Department.
12	Q.	Have you previously testified in this or predecessor dockets?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
15	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present for Commission review and approval
16		the schedules supporting the calculation of the Energy Conservation Cost
17		Recovery ("ECCR") Clause final net true-up amount for the period January 2014
18		through December 2014.
19	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction,
20		supervision or control an exhibit in this proceeding?
21	A.	Yes, I am sponsoring Schedules CT-1 and CT-4, and co-sponsoring Schedules
22		CT-2 and CT-3, in Exhibit AS-1. The specific sections of Schedules CT-2 and
23		CT-3 that I am co-sponsoring are identified in the Table of Contents, which is

1	found in	Exhibit	AS-1,	Page 1	of 1.

- Q. What is the source of the data used in calculating the final net true-up amount for the January 2014 through December 2014 period?
- 4 A. Unless otherwise indicated, the data used in calculating the final net true-up amount 5 were taken from the books and records of FPL. The books and records are kept in the regular course of the Company's business in accordance with generally 6 7 accepted accounting principles and practices, and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed by this Commission 8 9 and directed in Rule 25-17.015, Florida Administrative Code. Pages 4 and 5 of 10 Schedule CT-2 provide a complete list of all account numbers used for Energy 11 Conservation Cost Recovery during the period January 2014 through December 2014. 12
- Q. What is the actual end of period true-up amount that FPL is requesting the
 Commission to approve for the January 2014 through December 2014 period?

 A. FPL has calculated and is requesting approval of an under-recovery of \$5,169,872 including interest, as the actual end of period true-up amount for the period January 2014 through December 2014. The calculation of this \$5,169,872 under-recovery is shown on Schedule CT-3, Page 2 of 3, Line 7 plus Line 8.
- Q. What is the final net true-up amount for the January 2014 through December 20 2014 period that FPL is requesting be carried over and included in the January 2016 through December 2016 ECCR factors?

1	A.	FPL has calculated and is requesting approval of an under-recovery of \$8,356,646
2		as the final net true-up amount for the period January 2014 through December
3		2014. This final net true-up under-recovery of \$8,356,646 is the difference between
4		the actual end of period true-up under-recovery of \$5,169,872 and the
5		actual/estimated true-up over-recovery of \$3,186,774 approved by the Commission
6		in Order No. PSC-14-0632-FOF-EG, issued October 31, 2014. The calculation of
7		the \$8,356,646 under-recovery is shown on Schedule CT-1, Page 1 of 1.

- Was the calculation of the final net true-up amount for the period January
 2014 through December 2014 performed consistently with the prior true-up
 calculations in predecessor ECCR dockets?
- 11 A. Yes. FPL's final net true-up was calculated consistent with the methodology set 12 forth in Schedule 1, Page 2 of 2, attached to Order No. 10093, dated June 19, 13 1981.
- 14 Q. Have you provided a schedule showing the variances between actual and
 15 actual/estimated program costs and revenues for the period January 2014
 16 through December 2014?
- 17 A. Yes. Schedule CT-2, Page 1 of 5, compares actual to actual/estimated program
 18 costs, revenues and interest, resulting in the variance of \$8,356,646.
- 19 Q. Please explain the calculation of the \$8,356,646 variance.
- A. The difference between 2014 actual and actual/estimated ECCR revenues, net of revenues taxes of \$5,579,009 (CT-2, Page 1 of 5, Line 14) minus the difference between 2014 actual and actual/estimated total adjusted program costs of

- 1 \$2,776,626 (CT-2, Page 1 of 5, Line 13) results in a variance of \$8,355,635 (CT-
- 2 2, Page 1 of 5, Line 17). This \$8,355,635 under-recovery, plus the variance of
- 3 \$1,011 in interest (CT-2, Page 1 of 5, Line 18), results in a net under-recovery of
- 4 \$8,356,646 (CT-2, Page 1 of 5, Line 22).
- 5 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 6 A. Yes.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
3		TESTIMONY OF TERRY J. KEITH
4		DOCKET NO. 150002-EG
5		AUGUST 21, 2015
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name, business address, employer and position.
8	A.	My name is Terry J. Keith and my business address is 9250 West Flagler Street,
9		Miami, Florida, 33174. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company
10		("FPL" or "the Company") as Director, Cost Recovery Clauses, in the Regulatory
11		Affairs Department.
12	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony in this docket?
13	A.	Yes, I have.
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
15	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the schedules necessary to support the
16		actual/estimated Energy Conservation Cost Recovery ("ECCR") clause true-up
17		for the period January 2015 through December 2015 and the calculation of the
18		ECCR factors based on the projected ECCR costs for FPL's Demand Side
19		Management ("DSM") programs to be incurred during the months of January
20		2016 through December 2016.

1	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction,
2		supervision or control any exhibits in this proceeding?
3	A.	Yes, I am sponsoring Schedules C-1 and C-4, and co-sponsoring Schedules C-2
4		and C-3 in Exhibit AS-2. The specific sections of Schedules C-2 and C-3 which I
5		am co-sponsoring are identified in the Table of Contents, which is found on page
6		1 of Exhibit AS-2.
7	Q.	What is the source of the data used in calculating the 2015 actual/estimated
8		true-up amount?
9	A.	Unless otherwise indicated, the data used in calculating the 2015 actual/estimated
10		true-up amount was taken from the books and records of FPL. The books and
11		records are kept in the regular course of the Company's business in accordance with
12		generally accepted accounting principles and practices, and with the applicable
13		provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed by this Commission
14		and directed in Rule 25-17.015, Florida Administrative Code.
15	Q.	Please explain the calculation of the ECCR end of period net true-up and
16		actual/estimated true-up amounts for 2015 included in Exhibit AS-2.
17	A.	Schedule C-3, pages 11 and 12, provide the calculation of the 2015 ECCR end of
18		period net true-up and actual/estimated true-up amounts. The end of period net
19		true-up amount to be carried forward to the 2016 ECCR factors is an under-
20		recovery of \$17,957,961 (Schedule C-3, page 11, line 11). This \$17,957,961 under-

recovery includes the 2014 final true-up under-recovery of \$8,356,646 (Schedule

C-3, page 11, line 9a) filed with the Commission on May 5, 2015, and the 2015

1	actual/estimated	true-up	under-recovery,	including	interest,	of	\$9,601,315
-		01 0. O	,			-	4,001,01

- 2 (Schedule C-3, page 11, lines 7 plus 8) for the period January 2015 through
- 3 December 2015. The 2015 actual/estimated true-up under-recovery amount is
- 4 based on actual data for the period January 2015 through June 2015 and revised
- 5 estimates for the period July 2015 through December 2015.
- 6 Q. Were these calculations made in accordance with the procedures previously
- 7 approved in the predecessors to this Docket?
- 8 A. Yes, they were.
- 9 Q. Have you prepared a calculation of the allocation factors for demand and
- 10 **energy?**
- 11 A. Yes. Schedule C-1, page 2 in Exhibit AS-2, provides this calculation. The
- demand allocation factors are calculated by determining the percentage each rate
- class contributes to the monthly system peaks. The energy allocation factors are
- 14 calculated by determining the percentage each rate class contributes to total kWh
- sales, as adjusted for losses.
- 16 Q. Have you prepared a calculation of the 2016 ECCR factors by rate class?
- 17 A. Yes. Schedule C-1, page 3 in Exhibit AS-2 provides the calculation of FPL's
- 18 2016 ECCR factors being requested.
- 19 **Q.** Does this conclude your testimony?
- 20 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 150002-EG ENERGY CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

Direct Testimony (Final True-Up) of CURTIS D. YOUNG

On Behalf of FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

1 Q. Please state your name and business address.	
---	--

- 2 A. Curtis D. Young: my business address is 1641 Worthington Road,
- 3 Suite 220 West Palm Beach, Florida 33409.
- 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 5 A. I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company as a Senior
- 6 Regulatory Analyst.
- 7 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
- 8 A. To advise the Commission of the actual over/under recovery of the
- 9 Conservation Program costs for the period January 1, 2014 through
- December 31, 2014 as compared to the true-up amounts previously
- reported for that period which were based on six months actual and six
- months estimated data.
- Q. Please state the actual amount of over/under recovery of Conservation
- Program costs for the Consolidated Electric Divisions of Florida Public
- Utilities Company for January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014.
- 16 A. The Company under-recovered \$80,307 during that period. This
- amount is substantiated on Schedule CT-3, page 2 of 3, Energy
- 18 Conservation Adjustment.

Docket No. 150002-EG

1	Q.	How does this amount compare with the estimated true-up amount
2		which was allowed by the Commission during the October 2014
3		hearing?
4	A.	We had originally estimated an over-recovery of \$175,773 as of
5		December 31, 2014. In calculating the final true-up, however, we
6		determined that an error had been made in calculating that estimated
7		amount, which should actually have been reflected as an estimated
8		under-recovery \$72,307 as of December 31, 2014. This corrected
9		amount has been used in calculation of the Company's final true-up for
10		December 2014.
11	Q.	Have you prepared any exhibits at this time?
12	A.	Yes, I have one exhibit. I am sponsoring Schedules CT-1, CT-2, CT-3,
13		CT-4, CT-5 and CT-6 (Composite Exhibit CDY-1), in conjunction with
14		my testimony.
15	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
16	A.	Yes.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Energy conservation cost recovery clause.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CURTIS D. YOUNG (Actual/Estimated)

On behalf of

Florida Public Utilities Company

DOCKET NO. 150002-EG

- 1 Q. Please state your name, occupation and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Curtis Young. I am the Senior Regulatory Analyst for Florida Public
- 3 Utilities Company. My business address is 1641 Worthington Road, West Palm
- 4 Beach, Florida 33409.
- 5 Q. Describe briefly your background and business experience?
- 6 A. I graduated from Pace University in 1982 with a BBA in Accounting. I have been
- 7 employed by FPUC since 2001. During my employment at FPUC, I have
- 8 performed various accounting and analytical functions including regulatory
- 9 filings, revenue reporting, account analysis, recovery rate reconciliations and
- earnings surveillance. I am also involved in the preparation of special reports
- and schedules used internally by division managers for decision making
- projects, Additionally, I coordinate the gathering of data for the FPSC audits.
- 13 Q. Are you familiar with the electric conservation programs of the Company and
- 14 costs which have been, and are projected to be, incurred in their
- 15 implementation?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?
- 18 A. To describe generally the expenditures made and projected to be made in
- implementing, promoting, and operating the Company's electric conservation
- 20 programs. This will include recoverable costs incurred in January through

Docket No. 150002-EG

- June 2015 and projections of program costs to be incurred from July through
- 2 December 2015. It will also include projected electric conservation costs for
- 3 the period January through December 2016, with a calculation of the
- 4 Conservation Adjustment Factor to be applied to the Company's consolidated
- 5 electric customers' bills during the collection period of January 1, 2016
- 6 through December 31, 2016.
- 7 Q. Are there any exhibits that you wish to sponsor in this proceeding?
- 8 A. Yes. I wish to sponsor as exhibits Schedules C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4, and C-5, which
- 9 have been filed with this testimony.
- 10 Q. Have you prepared summaries of the Company's electric conservation
- programs and the costs associated with these programs?
- 12 A. Yes. Summaries of the electric conservation programs proposed in Docket No.
- 13 150089-EG, the petition for approval of the demand-side management plan, are
- 14 contained in Schedule C-5 of Exhibit CDY-2. Included are the Residential Energy
- 15 Survey Program, the Residential Heating and Cooling Efficiency Program, the
- 16 Commercial Heating and Cooling Efficiency Program, the Commercial Chiller
- 17 Upgrade Program, the Electric Conservation Demonstration and Development
- Program, and the Low Income Energy Outreach Program. Also included are two
- 19 new programs, the Commercial Reflective Roof Program and the Commercial
- 20 Energy Consultation Program.
- 21 O. Have you prepared schedules that show the expenditures associated with the
- Company's electric conservation programs for the periods you have
- 23 mentioned?
- 24 A. Yes, Schedule C-3, Pages 1 and 1A of 5, Exhibit CDY-2 shows actual expenses for
- 25 the months January through June 2015. Projections for July through December 2015

Docket No. 150002-EG

- 1 are also shown on Schedule C-3, Pages 1 and 1A. Projected expenses for the
- 2 January through December 2016 period are shown on Schedule C-2, Page 1 of 3 of
- 3 Exhibit CDY-2.
- 4 Q. Have you prepared schedules that show revenues for the period January
- 5 through December 2015?
- 6 A. Yes. Schedule C-4 shows actual revenues for the months January through June 2015
- 7 and projected revenues for July through December 2015 and January through
- 8 December 2016.
- 9 Q. Have you prepared a schedule that shows the calculation of the Company's
- proposed Conservation Adjustment Factor to be applied during billing periods
- from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016?
- 12 A. Yes. Schedule C-1 of Exhibit CDY-2 shows these calculations. Net program cost
- estimates for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016 are used. The
- estimated true-up amount from Schedule C-3 (Page 4 of 5, Line 11) of Exhibit CDY-
- 2, being an over-recovery, was added to the total of the projected costs for the
- twelve-month period. The total projected recovery amount, including estimated true-
- up, was then divided by the projected Retail KWH Sales for the twelve-month period
- 18 ending December 31, 2016. The resulting Conservation Adjustment Factor is shown
- on Schedule C-1 (Page 1 of 1) of Exhibit CDY-2.
- 20 Q. What is the Conservation Adjustment Factor necessary to recover these
- 21 projected net total costs?
- A. The Conservation Adjustment Factor is \$.001352 per KWH.
- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 24 A. Yes.

1		GULF POWER COMPANY
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission Prepared Direct Testimony and Exhibit of
3		John N. Floyd
4		Docket No. 150002-EG Date of Filing: May 5, 2015
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, business address employer and position.
7	A.	My name is John N. Floyd and my business address is One Energy Place,
8		Pensacola, Florida 32520. I am employed by Gulf Power Company (Gulf
9		or the Company) as the Energy Efficiency and Renewables Manager.
10		
11	Q.	Mr. Floyd, please describe your educational background and business
12		experience.
13	A.	I received a Bachelor Degree in Electrical Engineering from Auburn
14		University in 1985. After serving four years in the U.S. Air Force, I began
15		my career in the electric utility industry at Gulf Power in 1990 and have
16		held various positions with the Company in Power Generation, Metering,
17		Power Delivery and Marketing. In my present position, I am responsible
18		for the development and implementation of Gulf's customer program
19		offerings associated with the Company's Demand-Side Management
20		(DSM) Plan.
21		
22	Q.	Have you previously testified before this Commission in connection with
23		the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause?
24	A.	Yes.
25		

ı	Q.	wir. Floyd, what is the purpose of your testimony?
2	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the results of the approved
3		Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause programs and related
4		expenses for January 2014 through December 2014.
5		
6	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits to your testimony?
7	A.	Yes, I sponsor Exhibit JNF-1, Schedules CT-1 through CT-6.
8		
9	Q.	Have you verified that the information contained in Exhibit JNF-1 is
10		correct?
11	A.	Yes, I have. This exhibit was prepared under my direction and control,
12		and the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of my
13		knowledge.
14		Counsel: We ask that Mr. Floyd's exhibit consisting of 6 Schedules, CT
15		1 through CT-6, be marked for identification as:
16		Exhibit No (JNF-1)
17		
18	Q.	Please summarize for this Commission the deviations between the actual
19		expenses for this recovery period and the amount of estimated/actual
20		expenses previously filed with this Commission.
21	A.	The estimated/actual true-up net expenses for the entire recovery period
22		January 2014 through December 2014, previously filed were \$19,087,404
23		while the actual expenses incurred in 2014 were \$17,412,618 resulting in
24		a variance of (\$1,674,786) or 8.8% under the projection. See Schedule
25		CT-2, Line 10.

i	Q.	Mr. Floyd, would you explain the January 2014 through December 2014
2		variance?
3	A.	Yes. The variance was a result of actual expenses being less than
4		estimated in almost all programs. These variances were offset by the
5		following programs which experienced more actual expenses than
6		estimated: Variable Speed Pool Pump, Refrigerator Recycling,
7		Commercial HVAC Occupancy Sensor, Food Services, Energy Select
8		Electric Vehicle Pilot and Conservation Demonstration and Development.
9		Overall, these variances mean that actual program expenses for the 12
10		month period through December 2014 were \$1,674,786 less than the level
11		of estimated/actual program expenses filed on August 27, 2014. A more
12		detailed description of the deviations is contained in Schedule CT-6.
13		
14	Q.	Mr. Floyd, what was Gulf's adjusted net true-up for the period January
15		2014 through December 2014?
16	A.	There was a \$560,637 over-recovery as shown on Schedule CT-1.
17		
18	Q.	Please describe your program participation levels during the recovery
19		period.
20	A.	A more detailed review of each of the programs is included in my
21		Schedule CT-6. The following is a synopsis of program participation
22		levels during this recovery period.
23		(A) Residential Energy Surveys - During the 2014 recovery period, the
24		Company completed 7,927 surveys compared to the projection of
25		10,061.

1	(B)	Home Energy Reporting - During the 2014 recovery period, a total
2		of 39,171 customers were enrolled in the Home Energy Reporting
3		program as projected
4	(C)	Community Energy Saver - During the 2014 recovery period, the
5		Company implemented a total of 2,326 efficiency measures for
6		eligible participants compared to a projection of 2,500.
7	(D)	Landlord-Renter Custom Incentive - During the 2014 recovery
8		period, no participants enrolled in this program compared to a
9		projection of 0 participants.
10	(E)	HVAC Efficiency - During the 2014 recovery period, there were a
11		total of 10,166 participants in this program compared to a projection
12		of 12,091.
13	(F)	Heat Pump Water Heater - During the 2014 recovery period, a total
14		of 471 heat pump water heaters were installed compared to a
15		projection of 643.
16	(G)	Ceiling Insulation - During the 2014 recovery period, a total of 271
17		participants installed high efficiency ceiling insulation compared to a
18		projection of 226.
19	(H)	High Performance Window - During the 2014 recovery period, a
20		total of 626 customers installed high efficiency windows and 56
21		customers installed window film compared to projections of 750 and
22		200, respectively.
23	(1)	Reflective Roof - During the 2014 recovery period, a total of 97
24		participants installed a qualified reflective roof compared to a
25		projection of 281.

1	(J)	Variable Speed Pool Pump - During the 2014 recovery period, a
2		total of 287 participants installed a high-efficiency variable speed
3		pool pump compared to a projection of 218.
4	(K)	Energy Select/Energy Select LITE - During the 2014 recovery
5		period, there was a net increase of 1,754 customers (Energy Select
6		and Energy Select LITE combined) with a total of 14,381 customers
7		on-line at December 31, 2014. Gulf projected 1,600 net new
8		customer additions during 2014.
9	(L)	Self-Install Efficiency - During the 2014 recovery period, 677
10		customers installed qualifying ENERGY STAR appliances
11		compared to a projection of 3,854.
12	(M)	Refrigerator Recycling - During the 2014 recovery period, 903
13		customers participated in the Refrigerator Recycling program
14		compared to a projection of 218 participants.
15	(N)	Commercial/Industrial (C/I) Energy Analysis - During the 2014
16		recovery period, a total of 487 C/I Energy Analyses were completed
17		compared to a projection of 600.
18	(O)	Commercial HVAC Retrocommissioning - During the 2014
19		recovery period, there were 64 participants in this program
20		compared to a projection of 169.
21	(P)	Commercial Building Efficiency - During the 2014 recovery period,
22		1,606 tons of Commercial HVAC were completed, 73 tons of
23		geothermal were installed, one heat pump water heater was
24		installed, 4,742 sq. ft. of ceiling insulation and 2,122 sq. ft. of
25		window film were installed, 1,672 kW of Commercial interior lighting

1			was installed, 3,650 lighting occupancy sensors were installed and
2			533,691 sq. ft. of reflective roof installed. Comparisons to 2014
3			projections can be found in Schedule CT-6.
4		(Q)	HVAC Occupancy Sensor - During the 2014 recovery period, a
5			total of 82 HVAC occupancy sensors were installed compared to a
6			projection of 146 sensors.
7		(R)	High Efficiency Motors - During the 2014 recovery period, 1,527
8			HP of high-efficiency motors were installed compared to a
9			projection of 2,754 HP.
10		(S)	Food Service Efficiency - During the 2014 recovery period, there
11			were 11 participants in this program compared to a projection of 11
12		(T)	Commercial/Industrial Custom Incentive - During the 2014
13			recovery period, there were no participants in this program.
14		(U)	Renewable Energy - During the 2014 recovery period, 0 Solar for
15			Schools PV, 50 Solar PV, 29 Solar Thermal Water Heater and 14
16			Solar Thermal Water Heater for Low Income systems were
17			installed. Further description of the participation in the Renewable
18			Energy pilot programs can be found in Schedule CT-6.
19		(V)	Conservation Demonstration and Development - Further
20			description of the 2014 Conservation Demonstration and
21			Development projects can be found in Schedule CT-6.
22			
23	Q.	Shoul	d Gulf's recoverable energy conservation cost for the period be
24		accep	ted as reasonable and prudent?
25	A.	Yes.	

1		GULF POWER COMPANY
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission Prepared Direct Testimony and Exhibit of John N. Floyd
3		Docket No. 150002-EG Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause August 21, 2015
5		
6	Q.	Will you please state your name, business address, employer and
7		position?
8	A.	My name is John N. Floyd and my business address is One Energy Place,
9		Pensacola, Florida 32520. I am employed by Gulf Power Company as the
LO		Energy Efficiency and Renewables Manager.
_1		
_2	Q.	Mr. Floyd, please describe your educational background and business
_3		experience.
_4	A.	I received a Bachelor Degree in Electrical Engineering from Auburn
.5		University in 1985. After serving four years in the U.S. Air Force, I began
-6		my career in the electric utility industry at Gulf Power in 1990 and have
-7		held various positions with the Company in Power Generation, Metering,
-8		Power Delivery and Marketing. In my present position, I am responsible
.9		for the development and implementation of Gulf's customer program
20		offerings associated with the Company's Demand-Side Management
21		(DSM) Plan.
22		
23	Q.	Mr. Floyd, for what purpose are you appearing before this Commission
24		today?

1	A.	I am testifying before this Commission on behalf of Gulf Power regarding
2		matters related to the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause and to
3		answer any questions concerning the calculation of recoverable
1		conservation costs in this filing. Specifically, I will address projections for
5		approved programs during the January 2016 through December 2016
5		recovery period and the anticipated results of those programs during the
7		current recovery period, January 2015 through December 2015 (7 months
3		actual, 5 months estimated).

- 10 Q. Have you prepared an exhibit that contains information to which you will refer in your testimony?
- 12 A. Yes. My exhibit consists of 6 schedules, each of which was prepared under my direction, supervision, or review.

Counsel: We ask that Mr. Floyd's exhibit consisting of six schedules be marked as Exhibit No. ____(JNF-2).

17

14

15

- Q. Would you summarize for this Commission the deviations resulting from the actual costs for January 2015 through July 2015 of the current recovery period?
- A. Projected expenses for the first seven months of the current period were \$16,144,805 compared to actual expenses of \$10,641,125 for a difference of \$5,503,680 or 34% under budget. A detailed summary of all program expenses is contained in my Schedule C-3, pages 1 and 2 and my Schedule C-5.

1	Q.	Did you project expenses for the period August 2015 through December
2		2015?
3	A.	Yes. A detailed summary of those projections can be found in my
4		Schedule C-3.
5		
6	Q.	How do the estimated actual expenses compare to projected expenses
7		included in the 2015 Projection filing for the period August – December
8		2015?
9	A.	Estimated actual expenses for the period August – December 2015 of
10		\$7,291,304 are 13% less than the projected expenses for that same
11		period of \$8,348,289.
12		
13	Q.	Audit Finding No. 1 of the 2014 period concerning the Ceiling Insulation
14		Program required an adjustment of \$45,852.91. Has this adjustment been
15		made to the Company records, and have measures been implemented to
16		prevent future occurrences?
17	A.	Yes, the adjustment was performed in May 2015. A review step has been
18		added to the current process whereby the Energy Efficiency Supervisor
19		will approve the verification that all pertinent program requirements and
20		standards are properly reflected in the program enrollment and tracking
21		system.
22		
23	Q.	Have you provided a description of the program results achieved during
24		the period, January 2015 through July 2015?

1	A.	Yes. A detailed summary of year-to-date results for each program is
2		contained in my Schedule C-5.
3		
4	Q.	Would you summarize the conservation program cost projections for the
5		January 2016 through December 2016 recovery period?
6	A.	Yes. Program costs for the projection period are estimated to be
7		\$12,849,374. These costs are broken down as follows: depreciation,
8		return on investment and property taxes, \$2,440,724; payroll/benefits,
9		\$4,431,063; materials/expenses, \$4,456,287; advertising, \$650,000
LO		(collectively "Administrative Expenses"); and incentives, \$871,300. More
L1		detail concerning these projections is contained in my Schedule C-2.
L2		
L3	Q.	How did Gulf Power develop its Administrative Expenses?
L 4	A.	Gulf estimated its Administrative Expenses based upon historical program
L5		costs adjusted for participation projections associated with the January
L6		2016 through December 2016 recovery period. For programs involving
_7		outside vendor services, Gulf estimated these expenses based on current
-8		vendor contracts.
-9		
20	Q.	Are the Company's projected expenses for the January 2016 through
21		December 2016 period reasonable and appropriate for cost recovery?
22	A.	Yes. Gulf continually evaluates the resources necessary to deliver its
23		DSM Plan and all of its components in order to meet the Company's DSM

goals. With the current level of goals, Gulf has carefully considered the

1		appropriate level of administrative resources necessary to achieve the
2		goals.
3		
4	Q.	What is the basis for Gulf's conservation program cost projections for the
5		January 2016 through December 2016 recovery period?
6	A.	These projections are based on program cost estimates associated with
7		Gulf's 2015 Demand-Side Management (DSM) Plan which was approved
8		on July 21, 2015 in Order No. PSC-15-0330-PAA-EG.
9		
10	Q.	Have you reflected the impact of the transition from the 2010 DSM Plan to
11		the 2015 DSM Plan in the period August 2015 through December 2015?
12	Α.	Yes, expenditures and participation have been adjusted to reflect the
13		programs being discontinued as well as those newly created in the 2015
14		DSM Plan.
15		
16	Q.	Would you describe the expected results for your programs during the
17		January 2016 through December 2016 recovery period?
18	A.	Program details, including expected results, for the period January 2016
19		through December 2016 can be found in my Schedule C-5.
20		
21	Q.	What is the proposed 2016 factor for Rate Schedule RS, and what will be
22		the charge for a 1,000 kWh monthly bill on Gulf Power's rate schedule
23		RS?
24	A.	The proposed Energy Conservation Cost Recovery factor for Rate
25		Schedule RS is .068 cents per kWh, which results in a charge of \$0.68 on

1		a 1,000 kWh monthly bill on Gulf Power's rate schedule RS.
2		
3	Q.	When does Gulf propose to collect these Energy Conservation Cost
4		Recovery charges?
5	A.	The factors will be effective beginning with the first bill group for January
6		2016 and continue through the last bill group for December 2016.
7		
8	Q.	Mr. Floyd, does this conclude your testimony?
9	Α.	Yes, it does.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA DOCKET No. 150002-EG

Energy Conservation and Cost Recovery Final True-up for the Period January through December 2014

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF Lori J. Cross

MAY 5, 2015

O	State v	/OUT	name	and	business	address
Q.	State v	youi	Hallie	anu	DU2IIIE22	auul 655.

A. My name is Lori Cross. My business address is 299 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg, FL 33701.

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

A. I am employed by Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (Duke Energy Florida, DEF, or the Company), as Director Regulatory Strategy in the Customer Planning and Analytics department.

Q. What are your current duties and responsibilities at Duke Energy?

A. My responsibilities include the regulatory planning, support and compliance of the Company's energy efficiency and demand-side management (DSM) programs. This includes support for development, implementation and training, budgeting, and accounting functions related to these programs.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

The purpose of my testimony is to compare DEF's 2014 actual energy conservation program costs with the actual revenues collected through the Company's Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause (ECCR) during the period January 2014 through December 2014.

Q. For what programs does Duke Energy Florida seek recovery?

- A. Duke Energy Florida seeks recovery through the ECCR clause for the following conservation programs approved by the Commission as part of the Company's DSM Plan, as well as for Conservation Program Administration (i.e., those common administration expenses not specifically assigned to an individual program). Notably, DEF seeks recovery of costs for conservation programs approved by the Commission on August 16, 2011 (see Order No. PSC-11-0347-PAA-EG) modifying and approving DEF's Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs. In Order No. PSC-11-0347-PAA-EG, the FPSC modified DEF's DSM Plan to consist of those existing programs in effect as of the date of the Order. Therefore, DEF seeks recovery for actual conservation program costs and program administrative costs for the following approved programs:
 - Home Energy Check
 - Home Energy Improvement
 - Residential New Construction
 - Neighborhood Energy Saver
 - Low-Income Weatherization Assistance Program

1		Energy Management (Residential and Commercial)
2		Business Energy Check
3		Better Business
4		Commercial/Industrial New Construction
5		Innovation Incentive
6		Standby Generation
7		Interruptible Service
8		Curtailable Service
9		Solar Water Heating with Energy Management Pilot
10		Solar Water Heating Low Income Residential Pilot
11		Residential Solar Photovoltaic Pilot
12		Commercial Solar Photovoltaic Pilot
13		Photovoltaic for Schools Pilot
14		Research and Demonstration Pilot
15		Technology Development
16		Qualifying Facility
17		
18	Q.	Do you have any exhibits to your testimony?
19	A.	Yes, Exhibit No(LJC-1T) entitled, "Duke Energy Florida Energy
20		Conservation Adjusted Net True-Up for the Period January 2014 through
21		December 2014." There are five (5) schedules included in this exhibit.
22		
23	Q.	Will you please explain your exhibit?

Α.

Yes. Exhibit No._(LJC-1T) presents Schedules CT-1 through CT-5. Schedules CT-1 to CT-4 set out the actual costs incurred for all programs during the period from January 2014 through December 2014. They also describe the variance between actual costs and previously projected values for the same time period. Schedule CT-5 provides a brief summary report for each program that includes a program description, annual program expenditures and program accomplishments over the twelve-month period ending December 2014.

Q. Would you please discuss Schedule CT-1?

A. Yes. Schedule CT-1 line 14 shows that Duke Energy Florida's actual end-of-period ECCR true-up for December 31, 2014 was an over-recovery of \$609,857 including principal and interest.

Q. What does Schedule CT-2 show?

A. The four pages of Schedule CT-2 provide an annual summary of conservation program revenues as well as itemized conservation program costs for the period January 2014 through December 2014 detailing actual, estimated and variance calculations by program. These costs are directly attributable to DEF's commission approved programs. The significant favorable variance in advertising costs in 2014 is primarily related to advertising campaigns for the Home Energy Check and Home Energy

levels that were already being experienced.

Improvement Program that were not executed due to the high participation

Yes. Page one of Schedule CT-3 provides the actual conservation program

costs by month for the period January 2014 through December 2014. Page

two of Schedule CT-3 presents the program revenues by month offset by

the expenses and a calculation of the end of period net true-up for each

month and the total for the year. Page three provides the monthly interest

calculation. Pages four and five of Schedule CT-3 provide conservation

The five pages of Schedule CT-4 report the monthly capital investment,

Yes. Schedule CT-5 provides a brief summary report for each program. The

summary includes a program description, annual program expenditures and

3

4

Q. Would you please discuss Schedule CT-3?

account numbers for the 2014 calendar year.

What is the purpose of Schedule CT-4?

Would you please discuss Schedule CT-5?

depreciation and return for each conservation program.

program accomplishments for the 2014 calendar year.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Q.

Q.

Α.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. Please explain the source of data used to calculate the true-up amount.

- 5 -

The data used in calculating the actual true-up amounts was taken from DEF records unless otherwise indicated. These records are kept in the regular course of business in accordance with general accounting principles and practices and provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed by the Commission. Pursuant to Rule 25-17.015(3), Florida Administrative Code, in Schedule CT-3, pages 4 and 5, DEF provides a list of all account numbers used for conservation cost recovery during the period January 2014 through December 2014.

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

A. Yes.

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA DOCKET No. 150002-EG

Energy Conservation and Cost Recovery 2015 Actual / Estimated and 2016 Projected Costs

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF Lori J. Cross

August 21, 2015

Q.	State	your	name	and	business	address.
----	-------	------	------	-----	-----------------	----------

A. My name is Lori Cross. My business address is 299 First Avenue North, St.
 Petersburg, FL 33701.

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

A. I am employed by Duke Energy Florida, LLC. (Duke Energy Florida, DEF, or the Company), as Director Regulatory Strategy in the Customer Planning and Analytics department.

Q. What are your current duties and responsibilities at Duke Energy?

A. My responsibilities include the regulatory planning, support and compliance of the Company's energy efficiency and demand-side management (DSM) programs. This includes support for development, implementation and training, budgeting, and accounting functions related to these programs.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

The purpose of my testimony is to describe the components and costs of the Company's Demand-Side Management ("DSM") Plan. I will detail the projected costs for implementing each program in that plan, explain how these costs are presented in my attached exhibit, and show the resulting Energy Conservation Cost Recovery ("ECCR") factors for customer billings in 2016.

Q. For what programs does Duke Energy Florida seek recovery?

Duke Energy Florida seeks recovery through the ECCR clause pursuant to Rule 25-17.015, F.A.C., for the following conservation programs approved by the Commission as part of the Company's DSM Plan, as well as for Conservation Program Administration (i.e. those common administration expenses not specifically linked to an individual program). Notably, DEF seeks recovery of costs for conservation programs approved by the Commission on August 20, 2015 (see Order No. PSC-15-0332-PAA-EG) modifying and approving DEF's Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs. DEF seeks recovery for actual conservation program costs and program administrative costs for the following approved programs:

- Home Energy Check
- Residential Incentive Program (formerly Home Energy Improvement)

¹ DEF is seeking recovery of the 2015 actual and estimated costs for the Solar Water Heating for Low Income Residential Customers, Solar Water Heating with Energy Management, Residential Solar Photovoltaic, Commercial Solar Photovoltaic, Photovoltaic for Schools projects, and the Research and Demonstration Project, but does not project any 2016 costs associated with those projects.

1	Residential New Construction (combined with Residential Incentive)
2	Program beginning 2016)
3	Neighborhood Energy Saver
4	Low-Income Weatherization Assistance Program
5	Energy Management (Residential and Commercial)
6	Business Energy Check
7	Better Business
8	Commercial/Industrial New Construction (combined with Better)
9	Business beginning 2016)
10	Florida Custom Incentive (formerly Innovation Incentive)
11	Standby Generation
12	Interruptible Service
13	Curtailable Service
14	Technology Development
15	Qualifying Facility
16	Solar Water Heating with Energy Management Pilot
17	Solar Water Heating Low Income Residential Pilot
18	Residential Solar Photovoltaic Pilot
19	Commercial Solar Photovoltaic Pilot
20	Photovoltaic for Schools Pilot
21	Research and Demonstration Pilot
22	Q. Do you have any exhibits to your testimony?

Yes, Exhibit No._(LJC-1P) which supports Duke Energy Florida's energy conservation calculations for the 2015 actual/estimated period and the 2016 projection period. There are five (5) schedules included in this exhibit.

4

5

Q. Will you please explain your exhibit?

Α. Yes. Exhibit No._(LJC-1P) presents Schedules C-1 through C-5. Schedules C-1 to C-4 set out the actual costs incurred for all programs during the period from January 2015 through July 2015. They also set out the estimated costs to be incurred for all programs during the period from August 2015 through December 2016. Schedule C-5 provides a brief summary report for each program that includes a program description, estimated annual program expenditures for 2016, and program accomplishments through the period ending July 2015.

14

15

Would you please discuss Schedule C-1?

16

Schedule C-1 provides the calculation of the cost recovery factors for 2016 by rate class.

18

19

20

17

What does Schedule C-2 show?

21

Schedule C-2 provides annual and monthly conservation program cost estimates for the 2016 projection period for each conservation program, as well as for common administration expenses. Additionally, Schedule C-2 presents program costs by specific category (i.e., payroll, materials,

23

incentives, etc.) and includes a schedule of estimated capital investments, depreciation and return for the projection period.

3

4

Q. Would you please discuss Schedule C-3?

5 6 Α.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

by specific category and by month for the period of January through July 2015 (actual) and August through December 2015 (estimated). In addition,

Schedule C-3 presents a schedule of capital investment, depreciation and

Schedule C-3 contains a detailed breakdown of conservation program costs

return, an energy conservation adjustment calculation of true-up, and a

calculation of interest provision for the 2015 actual/estimated period.

Q. What is the purpose of Schedule C-4?

A. Schedule C-4 projects ECCR revenues during the 2016 projection period.

Q. Would you please discuss Schedule C-5?

A. Schedule C-5 presents a brief description of each program, as well as a summary of progress and projected expenditures for each program for which DEF seeks cost recovery through the ECCR clause.

Q. Would you please summarize the results presented in your Exhibit?

A. Yes. Schedule C-2, Page 1 of 9, Line 37, shows total program costs, net of the prior period over-recovery, of \$108,145,590 for the 2016 projection period. The following table presents DEF's proposed ECCR billing factors,

Primary Transmission

Voltage

N/A

.265

N/A

.97

.66

.83

.095

.046

N/A

Voltage

N/A

.263

N/A

.96

.66

.82

.094

.045

N/A

1

by retail rate class and voltage level for calendar year 2016, as contained in Schedule C-1, Page 2 of 2.

3

2016 ECCR Billing Factors

Secondary

<u>Voltage</u>

.325

.268

.210

.98

.67

.84

.096

.046

.108

5	
6	Retail Rate Schedule
7	Residential (Cents/kWh)
8	General-Service-Non-Demand (Cents/kWh)
9	General Service 100% Load Factor (Cents/kWh)
10	General Service Demand (\$/kW)
11	Curtailable (\$/kW)
12	Interruptible (\$/kW)

Standby Monthly (\$/kW)Standby Daily (\$/kW)

Lighting (Cents/kWh)

15 16

17

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

18 19 A. Yes.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 150002-EG FILED: 05/05/15

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		MARK R. ROCHE
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, address, occupation and employer.
7		
8	A.	My name is Mark R. Roche. My business address is 702
9		North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am
10		employed by Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or
11		"the company") as Administrator, Regulatory Rates in the
12		Regulatory Affairs Department.
13		
14	Q.	Please provide a brief outline of your educational
15		background and business experience.
16		
17	Α.	I graduated from Thomas Edison State College in 1994 with
18		a Bachelor of Science degree in Nuclear Engineering
19		Technology and from Colorado State University in 2009
20		with a Master's degree in Business Administration. My
21		work experience includes twelve years with the US Navy in
22		nuclear operations as well as seventeen years of electric
23		utility experience. My utility work has included various
24		positions in Marketing and Sales, Customer Service,

Distributed Resources, Load Management, Power Quality,

Distribution Control Center operations, Meter Department, Meter Field Operations, Service Delivery, Revenue Assurance, Commercial and Industrial Energy Management and Demand Side Management ("DSM") Planning Services, and Forecasting. In my current position I am responsible for the company's Energy Conservation Cost Recovery ("ECCR") Clause and Storm Hardening.

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

10

11

12

13

14

9

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present and support for Commission review and approval the company's actual DSM programs related true-up costs incurred during the January through December 2014 period.

15

16

17

Q. Did you prepare any exhibits in support of your testimony?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MRR-1, Yes. Exhibit entitled "Tampa Electric Α. No. Company, Schedules Supporting Conservation Cost Recovery Factor, Actual, January 2014-December 2014" was prepared direction and supervision. This includes Schedules CT-1 through CT-6 which support the company's actual and prudent DSM program related true-up costs incurred during the January through December 2014

period. 1 2 3 Q. What were Tampa Electric's actual January through December 2014 conservation costs? 4 5 the period January through December 2014, 6 Α. Electric actual 7 incurred net conservation of \$46,620,508. 8 9 What is the final end of period true-up amount for the 10 Q. 11 conservation clause for January through December 2014? 12 The final conservation clause end of period true-up for 13 Α. 2014 14 January through December is an over-recovery, including interest, of \$7,549,999. This calculation is 15 16 detailed on Schedule CT-1, page 1 of 1. 17 Please summarize how Electric's actual program 18 Q. Tampa costs for January through December 2104 period compare to 19 20 actual/estimated costs presented in Docket No. 140002-EG? 21 22 23 Α. For the period January through December 2014, Electric had a variance of \$2,326,128 or 4.75% less than 24 25 the estimated amount. The estimated total program costs were projected to be \$48,946,636 which was the amount approved in Order No. PSC 14-0632-FOF-EG, issued October 31, 2014 as compared to the incurred actual net conservation costs of \$46,620,508.

5

6

7

1

2

3

4

Q. Please summarize the reasons why the actual expenses were less than projected expenses by \$2,326,128?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

variance result the following Α. The was а of actual in the following expenses being less than estimated residential programs: Prime Time; Duct Repair; Renewable Construction; Energy Systems Initiative; New Common Building Envelope; Electronically Commutated Motors; Education Outreach and HVAC Re-Commissioning. Additionally, actual expenses less than estimated in the following commercial programs: Conservation Industrial Load Management; Cooling; Duct Repair; Energy Recovery Ventilation; Building Envelope Improvement; Demand Response; Chillers; Refrigeration Anti-Condensate; Water Heating, HVAC Re-Commissioning; Electronically Commutated Motors and Cool Roof. Each DSM program's detailed variance contribution is shown on Schedule CT-2, Page 3 of 4.

24

25

Q. Are all costs listed on Schedule CT-2 directly related to

the Commission's approved DSM programs? Α. Yes. Should Tampa Electric's cost incurred during the January Q. through December 2014 period for energy conservation be approved by the Commission? Yes, the costs incurred were prudent and directly related Α. to the Commission's approved DSM programs and should be approved. Does that conclude your testimony? Yes it does. Α.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 150002-EG FILED: 08/21/15

i	Ī	
1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		MARK R. ROCHE
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, address, occupation and employer.
7		
8	A.	My name is Mark R. Roche. My business address is 702
9		North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am
10		employed by Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or
11		"the company") as Administrator, Regulatory Rates in the
12		Regulatory Affairs Department.
13		
14	Q.	Please provide a brief outline of your educational
15		background and business experience.
16		
17	A.	I graduated from Thomas Edison State College in 1994 with
18		a Bachelor of Science degree in Nuclear Engineering
19		Technology and from Colorado State University in 2009
20		with a Master's degree in Business Administration. My
21		work experience includes twelve years with the US Navy in
22		nuclear operations as well as seventeen years of electric
23		utility experience. My utility work has included various
24		positions in Marketing and Sales, Customer Service,

Distributed Resources, Load Management, Power Quality,

Distribution Control Center operations, Meter Department, Meter Field Operations, Service Delivery, Revenue Assurance, Commercial and Industrial Energy Management and Demand Side Management ("DSM") Planning Services, and Forecasting. In my current position I am responsible for the company's Energy Conservation Cost Recovery ("ECCR") Clause and Storm Hardening.

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

The purpose of my testimony is to support the company's actual conservation costs incurred during the period through December 2014, the actual/projected January period January to December 2015, and the projected period January through December 2016. The projected 2016 ECCR factors have been calculated based on the current approved allocation methodology. Also, I will support appropriate Contracted Credit Value ("CCV") the Service Industrial participants in the General Load Management Riders ("GSLM-2" and "GSLM-3") for the period January through December 2016. In addition, I will support appropriate residential variable pricing the rates ("RSVP-1") for participants in the Residential Price Responsive Load Management Program for the period January through December 2016.

	I	
1	Q.	Did you prepare any exhibits in support of your
2		testimony?
3		
4	Α.	Yes. Exhibit No. MRR-3 was prepared under my direction
5		and supervision. This document includes Schedules C-1
6		through C-5 and associated data which support the
7		development of the conservation cost recovery factors for
8		January through December 2016 using the current 12
9		Coincident Peak ("CP") and 1/13 Average Demand ("AD")
10		Factor allocation methodology.
11		
12	Q.	Please describe the conservation program costs projected
13		by Tampa Electric during the period January through
14		December 2014.
15		
16	Α.	For the period January through December 2014, Tampa
17		Electric projected conservation program costs to be
18		\$52,110,132. The Commission authorized collections to
19		recover these expenses in Docket No. 130002-EG, Order No.
20		PSC-13-0614-FOF-EG, issued November 20, 2013.
21		
22	Q.	For the period January through December 2014, what were
23		Tampa Electric's conservation costs and what was
24		recovered through the ECCR clause?

A. For the period January through December 2014, Tampa Electric incurred actual net conservation costs of \$46,620,508 plus a beginning true-up over-recovery of \$5,476,721 for a total of \$41,143,787. The amount collected in the ECCR clause was \$48,690,159.

6

1

2

3

4

5

Q. What was the true-up amount?

8

9

10

11

12

13

7

Α. The true-up amount for the period January through December 2014 over-recovery of \$7,549,999, was an including interest. These calculations are detailed in Exhibit No. MRR-1, Conservation Cost Recovery True Up, Schedule CT-2, filed May 5, 2015.

14

15

16

17

Q. Please describe the conservation program costs projected to be incurred by Tampa Electric during the period January through December 2015?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. The actual costs incurred by Tampa Electric through July 2015 and projected for August through December 2015 are \$43,989,839. For the period, Tampa Electric anticipates an over-recovery in the ECCR Clause of \$5,228,885 which includes the 2014 true-up and interest. A summary of these costs and estimates are fully detailed in Exhibit No. MRR-3, Conservation Costs Projected, pages 20 through

i			
1		26.	
2			
3	Q.	Has	Tampa Electric proposed any new or modified DSM
4		Prog	grams for ECCR cost recovery for the period January
5		thro	ough December 2016?
6			
7	A.	Yes,	on March 16, 2015, Tampa Electric filed its 2015-
8		2024	DSM Plan for approval that includes the
9		disc	continuation of nine existing DSM programs, the
10		crea	ation of one new DSM program, the modification of 28
11		exis	sting programs and retirement of the renewable energy
12		syst	tems initiative. These programs are listed below and
13		can	be found in Schedules C-1 through C-5.
14			
15		Disc	continued DSM Programs:
16		1.	Residential Heating, Ventilating, and Air
17			Conditioning ("HVAC") Re-Commissioning
18		2.	Residential Window Film
19		3.	Commercial Energy Recovery Ventilation ("ERV")
20		4.	Commercial Lighting Exit Signs
21		5.	Commercial HVAC Re-Commissioning
22		6.	Commercial Motors
23		7.	Commercial Cooling-Packaged Terminal Air
24			Conditioning ("PTAC")
25		8.	Commercial Roof Insulation

ĺ	I	
1	9.	Commercial Window Film
2		
3	New I	OSM Programs:
4	1.	Thermal Energy Storage ("TES")
5		
6	Modif	ied DSM Programs:
7	1.	Residential Walk-Through Energy Audit (Free Energy
8		Check)
9	2.	Residential Customer Assisted Energy Audit
10	3.	Residential Computer Assisted Energy Audits ("RCS")
11	4.	Residential Ceiling Insulation
12	5.	Residential Duct Repair
13	6.	Residential Electronically Commutated Motors ("ECM")
14	7.	Energy Education, Awareness and Agency Outreach
15	8.	ENERGY STAR for New Homes
16	9.	Residential Heating and Cooling
17	10.	Neighborhood Weatherization
18	11.	Residential Wall Insulation
19	12.	Residential Window Replacement
20	13.	Commercial/Industrial Audit (Free)
21	14.	Comprehensive Commercial/Industrial Audit (Paid)
22	15.	Commercial Ceiling Insulation
23	16.	Commercial Chiller
24	17.	Conservation Value
25	18.	Cool Roof

1		19.	Commercial Cooling
2		20.	Commercial Duct Repair
3		21.	Commercial Electronically Commutated Motors ("ECM")
4		22.	Industrial Load Management (GSLM 2&3)
5		23.	Lighting Conditioned Space
6		24.	Lighting Non-Conditioned Space
7		25.	Lighting Occupancy Sensors
8		26.	Refrigeration Anti-condensate Control
9		27.	Commercial Wall Insulation
10		28.	Commercial Water Heating
11			
12		The	following existing DSM Programs did not require any
13		nece	essary modifications:
14		1.	Price Responsive Load Management (Energy Planner)
15		2.	Renewable Energy
16		3.	Cogeneration
17		4.	Demand Response
18		5.	Commercial Load Management (GSLM 1)
19		6.	Standby Generator
20		7.	Conservation Research and Development (R&D)
21			
22	Q.	Plea	se summarize the proposed conservation costs for the
23		peri	od January through December 2016 and the annualized
24		reco	overy factors based on a 12 CP and 1/13 AD basis
2.5		appl	icable for the period January through December 2016?

	i		
	A.	Tampa Electric has estimated that	the total conservation
		costs (less program revenues) duri	ng the period will be
		\$38,194,329 plus true-up. Includ	ing true-up estimates,
:		the January through December 2016	cost recovery factors
		allocated on a 12 CP and 1/13 AD	basis for firm retail
		rate classes are as follows:	
,			Cost Recovery Factors
		Rate Schedule	(cents per kWh)
		RS	0.191
1		GS and TS	0.182
		GSD Optional - Secondary	0.150
		GSD Optional - Primary	0.149
		GSD Optional - Subtransmission	0.147
:		LS1	0.073
			Cost Recovery Factors
,		Rate Schedule	(dollars per kW)
		GSD - Secondary	0.65
		GSD - Primary	0.64
		GSD - Subtransmission	0.63
		SBF - Secondary	0.65
		SBF - Primary	0.64
		SBF - Subtransmission	0.63
:		IS - Secondary	0.53
		IS - Primary	0.53
	1		

0.52 IS - Subtransmission 1 Exhibit No. MRR-3, Conservation Costs Projected, pages 15 2 3 through 19 contain the Commission prescribed forms which detail these estimates. 4 5 Has Tampa Electric complied with the ECCR cost allocation 6 methodology stated in Docket No. 930759-EG, Order No. PSC-93-1845-EG? 8 9 Yes, it has. Α. 10 11 Please explain why the incentive for GSLM-2 and GSLM-3 12 Q. rate riders is included in your testimony? 13 14 In Docket No. 990037-EI, Tampa Electric petitioned the 15 Α. 16 Commission to close its non-cost-effective interruptible service rate schedules while initiating the provision of 17 a cost-effective non-firm service through a new 18 This program would be funded through 19 management program. 20 the ECCR clause and the appropriate annual contracted credit value ("CCV") for customers would be submitted for 21 Commission approval as part of the company's annual ECCR 22 23 projection filing. Specifically, the level of the CCV would be determined by using the Rate Impact Measure 24

in

the

Commission's

cost-

contained

("RIM")

25

Test

effectiveness methodology found in Rule 25-17.008, F.A.C. By using a RIM Test benefit-to-cost ratio of 1.2, the level of the CCV would be established on a per kilowatt ("kW") basis. This program and methodology for CCV determination was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 990037-EI, Order No. PSC-99-1778-FOF-EI, issued September 10, 1999.

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

5

6

Q. What is the appropriate CCV for customers who elect to take service under the GSLM-2 and GSLM-3 rate riders during the January through December 2016 period?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

For the January through December 2016 period, the CCV will be \$8.81 per kW. If the 2016 assessment for need determination indicates the availability of new non-firm load, the CCV will be applied to new subscriptions for service under those rate riders. The application of the cost-effectiveness methodology to establish the CCV is found in the attached analysis, Exhibit No. MRR-3, Conservation Costs Projected, beginning on page 63 through 67.

22

23

24

Q. Please explain why the RSVP-1 rates for Residential Price Responsive Load Management are in your testimony?

A.	In Docket No. 070056-EG, Tampa Electric's petition to
	allow its pilot residential price responsive load
	management initiative to become permanent was approved by
	the Commission on August 28, 2007. This program is to be
	funded through the ECCR clause and the appropriate annual
	RSVP-1 rates for customers are to be submitted for
	Commission approval as part of the company's annual ECCR
	projection filing.

б

Q. What are the appropriate Price Responsive Load Management rates ("RSVP-1") for customers who elect to take this service during the January through December 2016?

A. The appropriate RSVP-1 rates during the January through

December 2016 period for Tampa Electric's Price

Responsive Load Management program are as follows:

18	Rate Tier	(Cents per kWh)
19	P4	30.774
20	Р3	7.176
21	P2	(0.645)
22	P1	(2.165)

Page 68 contains the projected RSVP-1 rates for 2016.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

1	Α.	Yes it does.
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Exhibits? 1 2 MS LHERISSON: Staff has compiled a stipulated 3 Comprehensive Exhibit List which includes the prefiled 4 exhibits attached to the witnesses' testimony in this case. The list has been provided to the parties, the 5 6 Commissioners, and the court reporter. This list is 7 marked as the first exhibit, and the other exhibits should be marked as set forth in the chart. 8 At this time staff would like to move the 9 Comprehensive Exhibit List marked as Exhibit 1 into the 10 11 record. 12 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: We will move Exhibit 1 into the record. 13 (Exhibit 1 marked for identification and 14 admitted into the record.) 15 MS LHERISSON: In addition, staff would like 16 17 to move Exhibits 2 through 13 into the record as set forth in the Comprehensive Exhibit List. 18 19 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: We will also move Exhibits 2 20 through 13 into the record. 21 (Exhibits 2 through 13 marked for 22 identification and admitted into the record.) 23 24 25

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. Once again, this concludes the hearing for Docket No. 2. Staff. MS LHERISSON: There are no other matters to be addressed in this docket. As the Commission has made a bench decision, post-hearing filings are not necessary. Staff notes that the final order will be issued on November 25th, 2015. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. So this will adjourn Docket No. 2. (Hearing adjourned at 1:18 p.m.)

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
7	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 13th day of November, 2015.
13	
14	Lie Bolos
15	Linda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR FPSC Official Hearings Reporter
17	(850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	