

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Item 13

VOTE SHEET

FILED JUN 09, 2016
DOCUMENT NO. 03488-16
FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

June 9, 2016

Docket No. 160104-WS – Application for NSF and late payment charges in Charlotte, Highlands, Lake, Lee, Marion, Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Polk, and Seminole Counties by Utilities Inc. of Florida.

Issue 1: Should UIF’s current late payment charge of \$5.25 be applied to all of UIF’s systems?

Recommendation: Yes. UIF’s request to uniformly implement a late payment charge of \$5.25 should be approved. UIF should be required to file a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved charge for those systems where the charge is not currently approved. The approved charge should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheet, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). In addition, the approved charge should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. The utility should provide proof of the date notice was given no less than ten days after the date of the notice.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS’ SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in blue ink on four horizontal lines]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Docket No. 160104-WS – Application for NSF and late payment charges in Charlotte, Highlands, Lake, Lee, Marion, Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Polk, and Seminole Counties by Utilities Inc. of Florida.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should UIF's current NSF charge be applied to all of UIF's systems?

Recommendation: Yes. UIF's request to uniformly implement a NSF charge should be approved. Staff recommends that UIF revise its tariffs to reflect the NSF charges currently set forth in Section 68.065, F.S. UIF should be required to file a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved charge for those systems where the charge is not currently approved. The NSF charges should be effective on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. Furthermore, the charges should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. The utility should provide proof of the date the notice was given within 10 days of the date of the notice.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: If Issue 1 and 2 are approved, the docket should remain open for staff's verification that the revised tariff sheets and customer notice have been filed by the utility and approved by staff. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance date of the Order, the tariff sheets should remain in effect with all charges held subject to refund pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed, a consummating order should be issued and, once staff verifies that the notice of the charges has been given to customers, the docket should be administratively closed.

APPROVED