1		BEFORE THE			
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
3	In the Matter of:				
4		DOCKET NO. 150012-WU			
5	APPLICATION FOR TO CERTIFICATE 390-W				
6	COUNTY-WIDE UTILITY CO., INC. TO SOUTHWEST OCALA UTILITY,				
7	INC. IN MARION COUNTY.				
8					
9					
10	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA			
11		ITEM NO. 7			
12	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN			
13		COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM			
14		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS			
15	DATE:	Tuesday, October 11, 2016			
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center			
17		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way			
18	DEDODEED DV.	Tallahassee, Florida			
19	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter			
20 21		(850) 413-6734			
22					
23					
24					
25					

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	1	
	П	
•	_	

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Moving along to our last
3	item, Item 7.
4	MS. WATTS: Commissioners, Melinda Watts for
5	Commission staff.
6	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Just one second, please.
7	MS. WATTS: Sure.
8	CHAIRMAN BROWN: As everyone is getting seated
9	here.
10	Thank vou. You may proceed.

Thank you. You may proceed.

MS. WATTS: Okay. Item 7 is staff's recommendation on the application for transfer of Certificate No. 390-W from County-Wide Utility Company, Inc., to Southwest Ocala Utility, Inc., in Marion County.

There have been no customer comments filed in this docket either supporting or opposing the transfer. Staff recommends that the Commission should grant the transfer.

Commissioners, OPC is here, and Mr. Marty Deterding and Mr. Dirk Leeward are here on behalf of the utility and wish to address the Commission. And staff is available to answer questions.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

Is Public Counsel also going to address the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Commission? I know they were originally scheduled on 1 here. Is Public -- would they like to --2 3 MS. WATTS: They've not indicated that they wanted to speak, but are available, if necessary. 4 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. All right. 5 Mr. Deterding. Deterding; right? 6 7 MR. DETERDING: That's correct. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 8 9 MR. DETERDING: Commissioners, Madam Chairman, 10 at the risk of upsetting you, I do have a couple of minor things that I want to show you. 11 12 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Handouts? 13 MR. DETERDING: I -- they are -- they might 14 look a little voluminous. They're -- one's ten pages and one's one page. But I really want to show them to 15 you just to illustrate something that I'm going to speak 16 17 to. 18 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Deterding, we have staff here to help disseminate information. 19 20 MR. DETERDING: Great. Yeah. Let me get my 21 one copy. 22 CHAIRMAN BROWN: And a reminder to all those 23 folks in here that are here for the prehearing 24 conference, it will be starting -- as soon as we 25 conclude the agenda, it will be starting five minutes

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2

3

after, thereafter. So just a heads-up.

Okay. Thank you, Mr. Deterding. You may

proceed.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. DETERDING: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair. I'm F. Marshall Deterding of the law firm of Sundstrom &

Mindlin here on behalf of Southwest Ocala Utility, Inc.

This case involves the ownership of the assets of the former County-Wide Utility Company to Southwest Ocala.

With me is Dirk Leeward, who is the new owner of the utility. We're here primarily to take exception to the Commission staff recommendation as to Issue No. 3 relative to the question of an acquisition adjustment and what falls out of their position on that issue.

In order to give the Commission some perspective, I believe it's necessary that I provide you with a little background concerning the history of this utility company. County-Wide was certificated by the Commission in 1984 by James Leeward, Dirk Leeward's father. The Leeward family has operated this utility company since that time, the stock being 100 percent owned by James Leeward.

In approximately 2005, when its water source of supply and treatment facilities were a little over 30 years old, fully depreciated, and in need of substantial

repair and rehabilitation, the utility reviewed its alternative for a source of water and concluded it was in -- its best long-term solution was to interconnect with the City of Ocala and purchase bulk water. utility filed that application for rate relief at approximately that time, and in 2007 the Commission issued a final order in that case in which it completely removed the cost of interconnection based upon the staff's position ultimately adopted by the Commission that the utility should have continued to use its 35-year source of supply and treatment facilities rather than interconnect with the city. This resulted in approximately a \$750,000 reduction in the rate base of the utility, which was specifically removed from rate base by the Commission, leaving the utility with a net book value of \$28,000 in its water system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The utility had already borrowed money, constructed the interconnect, and retired its source of supply and treatment facilities by the time the Commission reached this conclusion and, as such, has continued to operate the utility company utilizing the bulk service main in its day-to-day operation since that time. Because James Leeward had guaranteed the note, he continued to fund the utility to pay the mortgage note. Soon after we had the recession and there was not even

the availability of CIAC hookup fees to help repay the debt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The utility's prior owner, James Leeward, was a pilot his entire life. As a result of a tragic racing accident in 2011, James Leeward was killed. Several others were injured and killed in this accident. Many claims were asserted against Mr. Leeward's estate and all of his assets, including the utility stock, which he was, at his death, 100 percent owner of.

In hopes of saving his family business, Dirk Leeward, who had been the president of the utility for two decades, decided to do what he could to save the utility from bankruptcy and from receivership, recognizing that the stock itself, though it would be inherited by the family, would be of little value, given the claims of creditors. Mr. Leeward made an arrangement with the bank holding the mortgage to acquire the mortgage note on all of the utility and non-utility assets in his and his wife's own name. in order to obtain control of the utility assets, given that the utility entity, County-Wide, could not meet its debt service obligations with its existing revenues, Mr. Leeward chose to -- Dirk Leeward chose to foreclose on the utility. And as a result, a circuit court order was issued finding that Dirk Leeward's mortgage was

valued at approximately \$1,007,000, including costs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

If you'll look at the several-page document that was provided to you, this is the summary final judgment of foreclosure from the circuit court. And if you look at page 2, right in the middle of the page it shows this 1,007,000 determination by the court as to the value that was owed to Mr. Leeward as the mortgage holder by the utility.

The entire amount of this debt was found to be -- found to be owed to Dirk Leeward by the court, had to be surrendered in order to obtain the utility assets in foreclosure. It is our contention and clearly in conformance with the provisions of the Rule 25-30.0371 dealing with acquisition adjustments that this is a value that should be assigned to Mr. Leeward's acquisition of the utility. It's clear from the circuit court order issued setting the value of the assets surrendered by Dirk Leeward in obtaining the utility assets that this is the purchase price of both the utility and non-utility assets that were acquired.

We have provided the court order to the staff and have now provided it to the Commission. This order alone should be sufficient to resolve the issue against supplying an acquisition adjustment. A court of competent jurisdiction has found the debt owed to Dirk

Leeward, and it was surrendered in its entirety in order to obtain the assets. If you will look at the single-page document, you will see at the top a calculation of the net book value at the time that Mr. Leeward foreclosed. It is the 72,720 figure.

The next section shows the amount of the water main that was disallowed by this Commission and, therefore, a non-utility asset, and its net book value of \$684,000 at the time of foreclosure.

And then finally, the wastewater system, which is a wastewater line, which is not a regulated asset either because we don't have a regulated utility, sewer utility, this is a water-only utility, of \$292,000 in net book value at the time.

As you can see at the bottom in the summary, therefore, the assets acquired are pretty close, within 3 percent of -- the net book value of those assets, it's pretty close to the amount that the court determined was the amount given up for those assets. Not enough to trigger the acquisition adjustment.

The Commission rule on acquisition adjustments specifically refers to a, quote, difference between the purchase price of the utility system assets to an acquiring utility and the notebook value of utility assets. The Commission staff, in its recommendation,

notes that it has requested information on the amount that Mr. Leeward paid to acquire the mortgage and note on the utility assets from the bank. Since the utility is not at liberty to disclose those facts as a result of a confidentiality agreement imposed upon it by the bank as part of the transfer of the note and mortgage, the utility has been unable to provide that information to the staff. However, we believe it is wholly irrelevant of the result of the facts as I've outlined above.

2.0

Absent this information, the staff has proposed to use the amount bid by Dirk Leeward at the foreclosure sale as the purchase price in applying an acquisition adjustment, this despite the fact that Mr. Leeward could have bid the entire amount of the debt owed of \$1,007,000, but chose to bid the minimum because he was the sole bidder in order to pay -- avoid paying more in doc stamps than was necessary.

In any case, under the staff's logic, if
Mr. Dirk Leeward had bid the entire amount of the debt
owed to him, there would be no argument for an
acquisition adjustment. The amount bid is not the
purchase price paid. The full consideration given in
exchange for the utility assets is the \$1,007,000 of
debt surrendered in order to obtain title to both the
utility and non-utility assets.

I do not believe there's ever been a case like this before the Commission, and the staff is proposing to expand the meaning of an acquisition adjustment far beyond what has ever been done before. Certainly there has never been a case where the Commission has looked behind what was determined to be the value of the asset given up, which is the debt, in order to attempt to apply an acquisition adjustment.

Had Mr. Leeward or his family simply inherited the stock of the utility at no cost to themselves as inheritance, there would have been no suggestion that it was appropriate to consider an acquisition adjustment, and Dirk Leeward would have acquired the utility with its net book value of 88,000 plus the disallowed water main and the unregulated sewer main and a debt of over a million dollars. Had the bank foreclosed on the utility company for the amount of the loan just as Dirk Leeward did, there would have been no suggestion of an acquisition adjustment even if they too had been the required -- the minimum required to avoid doc stamps.

It should be kept in mind that the assets acquired by Mr. Leeward through the foreclosure involve more than just a regulated utility asset. At the time of foreclosure, the net book value of the utility was, as noted here, approximately \$72,000. In addition to

this asset, by foreclosing the mortgage, he acquired the water main that had been specifically disallowed by the Commission nine years ago and a sewer main that is simply a bulk line and a nonregulated asset that is not currently part of a jurisdictional sewer utility.

This demonstrates that 91 percent of the assets acquired at foreclosure were non-utility assets at the time of foreclosure, and now the Commission staff is proposing to apply an acquisition adjustment rule that relates to utility assets to all of those assets, 90 percent -- over 90 percent of which were non-utility.

And as a result of their being non-utility assets and not part of a regulated utility, they are, by definition, something of higher risk than a utility asset because a utility asset is, by statute and the constitution, guaranteed a recovery to the owner of that asset and they're providing service to the public.

These two assets, one of which had been specifically disallowed and the other which has never been considered in a rate proceeding, don't have any basis at present for recovery of those costs.

The Commission has -- the Commission staff has --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Deterding, can you please succinctly wrap up your comments?

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DETERDING: Yeah. I've only got about a page and a half left.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

MR. DETERDING: Well, it's large print, so.

(Laughter.)

The Commission staff has not -- staff has still not recognized that it's appropriate to include in rate base the cost of the water main. However, because of their proposal for an acquisition adjustment in this case, they are virtually eliminating the great majority of the cost -- of those costs at some future date by proposing an acquisition adjustment should the Commission at some future date recognize the cost of the utility's only source of supply, and that is they are proposing an acquisition adjustment that affects those assets even though they are not part of this proceeding. It stands to reason that their position would be that we've already decided that that transaction resulted in an acquisition adjustment. The same impact awaits the sewer main for the same reasons.

In conclusion, it's our position that the application of the Commission's acquisition adjustment rule to these circumstances is wholly unprecedented and that the Commission has never looked behind a court order establishing a value of assets surrendered in

1 ex 2 he

exchange for utility assets as the staff is proposing here.

Secondly, the application of the acquisition adjustment rule is inappropriate since the great majority of the assets were non-regulated utility assets at the time of the foreclosure.

Third, it makes no sense to apply an acquisition adjustment simply to provide a windfall to the customers as a result of the tragic death and surrounding circumstances of the utility's owner and his son's attempt to continue to operate the utility after the tragedy. It serves no useful purpose other than confiscation of the utility property. Therefore, we ask that you recognize these facts and the inapplicability of the acquisition adjustment rule under these unique circumstances and recognize the full amount of the Court's finding as the value relinquished in exchange for the utility assets and the non-utility assets and recognize the full net book value of the utility assets on a going-forward basis.

The Commission staff's proposal devastates the utility's rate base and its ability to operate this small family-run utility in a reasonable manner going forward, and provides a windfall to the utility's customers for no good regulatory purpose. The utility

is not seeking anything in this proceeding except to
maintain its rate base as though the tragic death of the
previous utility owner had not occurred.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

MR. DETERDING: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Public Counsel, would you like to address us or just respond to any questions?

MS. ROTH: Good afternoon, Madam Chair and Commissioners. Danielle Roth for the Office of Public Counsel. OPC supports staff's recommendation.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Staff, any additional comments before we bring it back to the Commission board?

MS. NORRIS: Certainly. Yes, certainly.

We -- as Mr. Deterding has laid out, there's many
different scenarios and many different factors and
certainly many that we sympathize with in this situation
not making it a typical transfer. Still -- and maybe -and I know there were many points laid out, and
certainly if I don't address them, please come back
around to it.

But I think in starting off, the scope of the acquisition adjustment rule, staff is not intending to deviate at all for that or opening up the acquisition adjustment itself and the application of it. Certainly

I think here what we're having is maybe perhaps a difference in opinion of interpreting what the actual purchase price was. So I just want to kind of really try to lay that out as really the narrow area that I feel like there's a difference in that interpretation.

Staff maintains that although the summary judgment, which was for a little over a million dollars, reflects the full debt of the utility, which Mr. Leeward was in possession of that debt, it doesn't reflect the true consideration paid by Mr. Leeward to acquire that debt, which subsequently allowed him to control the foreclosure process by which he was able to acquire the utility's assets.

Additionally, to consider the summary judgment as the purchase price would not be fair to consumers or customers in the subsequent rate case as it would inflate the net book value -- or the net book value and the actual investment Mr. Leeward paid to acquire the assets. At that point, it would be reflective in rates based on if we put the whole 1 million without an acquisition adjustment.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. No additional comments unless the Commission board has some questions.

Commissioners, what I really wanted to do with this -- the owner here has owned this -- has been in

operation for three years. The transfer has been going before us -- they filed for it in 2015. We deferred it in 2016. There are no critical dates. The staff recommendation is not an actual accurate purchase price, nor is what is proposed by the utility an actual fair representation of the value of the assets. I have been an attorney for a title insurance company. I've dealt with these confidentiality agreements. There is some flexibility on getting that purchase price. I don't think either the recommendation by staff is accurate nor what the utility is proposing is accurate.

Our job is to do the best that we can do with the information that we have. As -- and this -- we're just not there yet. And I understand the challenge that staff has had in trying to come up creatively with a very discounted purchase price, but that is -- that does give a windfall to the customers, and it will be very hard for the utility to ever even come in for a rate request for rate relief. So in the converse side, if we go with the judgment, it's giving a windfall to the customers.

I'd like to defer this item and give staff some time to meet with the utility and the attorney and come up with the actual purchase price. Otherwise, I think we're setting a precedent that we may not want to

go down. And so that's the direction that I'd like to go in.

MS. JANJIC: I would just like to make a

comment or maybe ask a question. We have worked with the utility in trying to get that amount, and we have not been successful. Are they able to provide it? I would just like to ask them at this time.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Deterding?

MR. DETERDING: Well, we have not gone to the bank. We have an agreement that we've shown the staff that specifically says we -- that all those -- the amount paid is confidential and not to be divulged to anyone.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: You do realize that the Commission has confidential protective orders.

MR. DETERDING: No, I understand that we could get protection from information that we provide, but that doesn't change the fact that we have no right to disclose it. We have an obligation not to disclose it.

In addition, Commissioner, as I've said, we believe that the amount paid for the debt is not the issue. If the -- if Mr. Leeward had maintained the debt and maintained a note and mortgage owed by the utility and this utility had remained a regulated utility, this Commission would not have said your debt has to be

discounted. That is the amount of the debt owed by the utility to Mr. Leeward.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Staff, what I'm struggling with here is that the purchase price is not a fair reflection of the value or the purchase of the assets, nor is the judgment a fair amount -- evaluation of the assets. So really the recommendation is using the best information that the utility provided, but it's not accurate. And you're just trying to, for lack of a better word, make a recommendation so that we can effectuate the transfer. Well, this transfer, he's -- the utility owner is already operating for the past three years. I just feel very uncomfortable voting on this item.

MS. JANJIC: And we understand your frustration because we have tried. We've worked with them. We're trying to get the information. This is the best information that we have. So if we cannot get that information, we're going to be sitting here at the next agenda at this exact same position that we are sitting right now.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, I'm going to go ahead and defer it. And I'm going to advise the utility, and I wanted to get an opportunity to speak with you and hear your thoughts, but I want to give you direction

here that for the next time that this is presented to us, to get the most accurate information, and the judgment is not that. So there are other options the staff has provided. We could potentially do a show cause to get that information. But either way, I want to give you that guidance. And with that, we are concluded with this Agenda Conference. this room. Thank you. (Agenda item concluded.)

We will -- the prehearing conference for the clauses will begin -- five minutes -- in five minutes in

	00002
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
8	<pre>same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.</pre>
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	
13	DATED THIS 18th day of October, 2016.
14	
15	LINDA BOLES
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	FPSC Official Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT, FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA

DIRK J. LEEWARD and DONNA G. LEEWARD,

Case No. 12-4792-CA-N

Plaintiffs.

٧.

COUNTY-WIDE UTILITY CO. INC., a Florida Corporation; BAHIA OAKS, INC., a Florida Corporation; CITY OF OCALA, a Florida municipal corporation,

Defendants.

CIVIL DIVISION

ZIII MAR - 4 P 4: 1

DAVID :: ELLSPERMANI
CLERK GIRCUIT COURT
MARION COUNTY, FL

SUMMARY FINAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

THIS ACTION having come before the Court upon the Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Final Judgment of Foreclosure and for Attorney's Fees and Costs.

It is ADJUDGED that:

- 1. Proper service of process was perfected upon the Defendants herein.
- There are no material issues of law or fact in this matter and Plaintiffs are entitled to a
 Summary Final Judgment of Foreclosure and for Attorney's Fees and Costs as to the
 Complaint filed herein as a matter of law.
- Plaintiffs, DIRK J. LEEWARD and DONNA G. LEEWARD, whose address is 8492
 Leeward Air Ranch Circle, Ocala, FL 34472, are due on the obligations sued hereunder:

Parties Staff Handout Internal Affairs Agenda on 10/11/16
Item No. 7

Page 1 of 4

20

	•	
	Principal	\$927,046.48
	Interest to date of this judgment	\$67,738. 46
	Late fees	\$4,457.47
	Title search expense	\$0.00
•	Taxes	\$0.00
	Attorneys' fees Finding as to reasonable number of hours: Finding as to reasonable hourly rate: Attorneys' fees total	15.55 \$350.00 \$5,442.50
	Court costs, now taxed	\$2,062.00
	Appraisal Fee	\$0.00
Subtotal		\$1,001,987.66
	LESS: Escrow balance	0.00
	LESS: Other	0.00
TOTAL		\$1,006,746.91

that shall bear interest at the statutorily prescribed rate after the date hereof.

- 4. Plaintiffs hold a lien for the total sum superior to all claims or estates of the Defendants, COUNTY-WIDE UTILITY CO. INC.; BAHIA OAKS, INC.; and CITY OF OCALA, (other than as provided for in Paragraph 8) on the following described property in Marion County, Florida: SEE COMPOSITE EXHIBIT 'A'.
- 6. Plaintiffs shall advance all subsequent costs of this action and shall be reimbursed for them by the Clerk if Plaintiffs are not the purchaser of the property for sale, provided, however, that the purchaser of the property for sale shall be responsible for the documentary stamps

payable on the Certificate of Title. If Plaintiffs are the purchaser, the Clerk shall credit Plaintiffs' bid with the total sum with interest and costs accruing subsequent to this judgment, or such part of it as is necessary to pay the bid in full.

- On filing the Certificate of Title, the Clerk shall distribute the proceeds of the sale, so far as they are sufficient, by paying: first, all of Plaintiffs' costs; second, documentary stamps affixed to the certificate; third, Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees; fourth, the total sum due to Plaintiffs, less the items paid, plus interest at the rate prescribed in paragraph 3 from this date to the date of the sale; and by retaining any remaining amount pending the further order of this Court.
- 8. On filing the Certificate of Sale, Defendants, COUNTY-WIDE UTILITY CO. INC., CITY OF OCALA and BAHIA OAKS, INC., and all other parties claiming through them since the filing of the Notice of Lis Pendens, shall be foreclosed of all estate or claim in the property except as to rights of the CITY OF OCALA pursuant to the Bulk Water and Waste Water Agreement dated September 5, 2003, as amended on August 2, 2004. Upon the filing of the Certificate of Title, the person named on the Certificate of Title shall be let into possession of the property. If Defendant, COUNTY-WIDE UTILITY CO., INC., remains in possession of the property, the Clerk shall without further order of the Court issue forthwith a Writ of Possession upon request of the person named on the Certificate of Title.
- Jurisdiction of this action is retained to enter further orders that are proper including, without limitation, a deficiency judgment.

10.

IF THIS PROPERTY IS SOLD AT PUBLIC AUCTION, THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL MONEY FROM THE SALE AFTER PAYMENT OF PERSONS WHO ARE ENTITLED TO BE PAID FROM THE SALE PROCEEDS PURSUANT TO THIS FINAL JUDGMENT.

IF YOU ARE A SUBORDINATE LIENHOLDER CLAIMING A RIGHT TO FUNDS REMAINING AFTER THE SALE, YOU MUST FILE A CLAIM WITH THE CLERK NO LATER THAN 60 DAYS AFTER THE SALE. IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A CLAIM, YOU WILL NOT BE ENTITLED TO ANY REMAINING FUNDS.

ORDERED in Chambers in Ocala, Marion County, Florida this 4 day of March. 2013.

Jonathan D. Ohlman Circuit Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have furnished a true and accurate copy of the foregoing SUMMARY FINAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE AND FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 5th day of March. 2013, to the following:

Bryce W. Ackerman 125 NE First Avenue, Suite 1 Ocala, Fl 34470

Lawrence C. Callaway, III 333 NW 3 Avenue Ocala, FL 34475

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendants, County-Wide Utility Co., Inc. and Bahia Oaks, Inc.

W. James Gooding 15313 SE 36 Avenue Ocala, FL 34471

Attorneys for Defendant, City of Ocala

Deputy Clerk/Judicial Assistant

Debtor's Name and Address:

COUNTY-WIDE UTILITY CO., INC., A FLORIDA CORPORATION P.O. Box 1476, Ocala, FL 34478

- 2. This Security Agreement or Financing Statement covers the following types and items of property:
 - (A) All of Debtor's right, title, and interest in and to that certain Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement by and between the Debtor and the City of Ocala dated September 5, 2003, as amended by Amendment to Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement dated August 24, 2004, (the "Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement"), including, but not limited to, all rights of Debtor in and to sums due thereunder from the City of Ocala or from any Customer as that term is defined in said Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement.
 - (B) All accounts and accounts receivable of Debtor Including, but not limited to, payments due or to come due from the provision of potable water or wastewater disposal to third parties, or otherwise generated by Buyer's Wastewater System or Buyer's Water System, as those terms are defined in the Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement, including but not limited to all amounts due or to come due from Customers, as that term is defined in the Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement. The terms "accounts" and "accounts receivable" are intended to have their broadest possible interpretation. (The "Accounts")
 - (C) All rights of Debtor arising from or related to the following (hereinafter the "Easements"):
 - (i) Limited Assignment of Developer Rights dated May 4, 2005, from Bahia Oaks, Inc., a Florida Corporation to County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation recorded in OR Book 4025 at Page 1292, Public Records of Marion County, Florida.
 - (ii) Easements, license or other rights arising under Grant of Utility Easement dated February 12, 2004, from Ruth Datton to County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation recorded in OR Book 3641 at Page 0626, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, pertaining to the real property described in Exhibit A-1.
 - (iii) Easements, license or other rights arising under Grant of Utility Easement dated February 12, 2004, from Doris E. Vedder to County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation recorded in OR Book 3641 at Page 0628, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, pertaining to the real property described in Exhibit A-2.
 - (iv) Easements, license or other rights arising under Grant of Utility Easement dated February 12, 2004, from Glenn B. Laney to County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation recorded in OR Book 3641 at Page 0624, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, pertaining to the real property described in Exhibit A-3.
 - (v) Easements, ticense or other rights arising under Grant of Utility Easement dated January 20, 2005, from Antonia Lecuona to County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation recorded in OR Book 3934 at Page 531, Public Records of Marlon County, Florida, pertaining to the real property described in Exhibit A-4.
 - (vi) Grant of Utility Easement dated March 28, 2005, from James K. Leeward to County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation recorded in OR Book 3999 at Page 264, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, as corrected by Corrective Grant of Utility

Easement recorded in OR Book	at Page	_, Public Records of Marion
County, Florida, pertaining to the	real property descrit	bed in Exhibit "A-5".

- (vii) Grant of Utility Easement dated May 31, 2005, from James K. Leeward to County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation, recorded at OR Book 4057 at Page 1650, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, pertaining to the real property described in Exhibit "A-6".
- (viii) Grant of Utility Easement dated May 31, 2005, from Bahia Oaks, Inc., a Florida Corporation to County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation, recorded at OR Book 4057 at Page 1652, Public Records of Marton County, Florida, pertaining to the real property described in Exhibit "A-7".
- (ix) Grant of Utility Easement dated June 17, 2005 from Blackbird Investments, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, to County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation, recorded at OR Book _______ at Page _______, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, pertaining to the real property described in Exhibit "A-8".
- (x) Any and all other easements held by, or in favor of, County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation, and any real property owned by County-Wide Utility Co., Inc., a Florida Corporation, whether now existing or hereafter acquired, in Marion County, Florida.

(All real property described in any of the foregoing the "Land".)

- (D) All fixtures or personal property of Debtor including but not limited to all pipes, conduit, drains, inlets, lift stations, pumps, grates, mechanical systems, wiring, or other equipment, improvements, or personal property, however described, incorporated in, or constituting a portion of Buyer's Wastewater System or Buyer's Water System, as those terms are defined in the Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement, including all extensions and expansions thereof, and replacements thereto (the "Improvements"), including, but not limited to , all of Debtor's interest in all fixtures, equipment and tangible personal property of any nature whatsoever now or hereafter (I) attached or affixed to the Land or the Improvements, or both, regardless of whether physically affixed thereto or severed or capable of severance benefitor or (ii) regardless of where situated, used, usable, or Intended to be used in connection with any present or future use or operation of or upon the Land (the "Tangible Property").
- (E) All licenses, permits, or other governmental approvals or authorizations to operate Buyer's Wastewater System and/or Buyer's Water System as those terms are defined in the Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Florida Public Service Commission Certificate 390-W2.
 - (ii) Florida Department of Environmental Protection I.D. No. 642013.
 - (iii) Southwest Florida Water Management District Water Use |Permit No. 203239.01.
 - (Iv) Marion County Right-of-Way Permit No. 2005050556.
 - (v) Florida Department of Environmental Protection Sewer Construction Permit.
 - (vi) Florida Department of Transportation Utility Crossing Permit

(the "Parmits")

- (F) All of Debtor's rights in, away from, or related to the construction contract by and between Debtor and ______ dated the _____ day of June, 2005. (the "Construction Contract").
- (G) Income. All rents, issues, incomes, and profits in any manner arising from the Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement, the Land, the Easements, the improvements, the Accounts, the Permits or the Tangible Property, or any combination, including Debtor's Interest in and to all leases, licenses, franchises, and concessions of, or relating to, all or any portion of Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement, the Land, the Easements, the Improvements, the Accounts, the Permits or the Tangible Property, whether now existing or hereafter made, including all amendments, modifications, replacements, substitutions, extensions, renewals, or consolidations. The foregoing items are jointly and severally called the "Rents" in this instrument.
- (H) <u>Proceeds</u>. All proceeds of the conversion, voluntary or involuntary, of any of the property described in this paragraph into cash or other liquidated claims, or that are otherwise payable for injury to, or the taking or requisitioning of, any such property, including all insurance and condemnation proceeds.
- (I) Contract Rights. All of Debtor's right, title and interest in and to any and all contracts, written or oral, express or implied, now existing or hereafter entered into or arising, in any manner-related to use, operation, sale, conversion, or other disposition of any interest in Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement, the Land, the Easements, the Accounts, the Permits, the Improvements, the Tangible Property, or the Rents, or any combination, including any and all deposits, prepaid items, and payments due and to become due thereunder, and including construction contracts, service contracts, advertising contracts, purchase orders, and equipment leases. Without limiting the foregoing, all of Debtor's right, title, and Interest in any operating, maintenance, or other agreement with Enviro-Masters Water & Waste Water Services, Inc.
- (J) Other Intangibles. All contract rights, accounts, instruments and general intangibles, as such terms from time to time are defined in the Florida Uniform Commercial Code, in any manner related to the use, operation, sale, conversion, or other disposition (voluntary or involuntary) of Bulk Water and Wastewater Agreement, the Land, the Easements, the Accounts, the Permits, the Improvements, the Tangible Property, or the Rents Including all permits, licenses, insurance policies, rights of action and other chose in action.
- (K) <u>Secondary Financing</u>. All of Debtor's rights, power or privilege to further encumber any of the property described in this paragraph by debt.
- (L) Construction Documents. The foregoing types of property include specifically all of the following: all contracts, plans and documents that concern the design and construction of the Improvements, including plans and specifications, drawings and architectural and/or engineering contracts, and construction contracts, together with all amendments, revisions, modifications and supplements.
- (M) Other. Specifically included and not by way of limitation, the following is included in the definitions of improvement, Contract Rights and Other Intangibles as set forth herein, to-wit:

[NONE]

As used in Paragraphs (A) through (M), the term "include", and all variations thereof, are for illustrative purposes only and are always without limitation.

Y:\tidh\oandocs\County-Wide Utility\sec-egt-exhibit,wpd

Page 3 of 3

Ezhibit A - I

That part of.

Lot 39, Block 9, Bahia Oaks Unit No. Two, as recorded in Plat Book "L", Page 66, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, being in Section 9, Township 16 South, Range 21 East,

Described as follows:

Commence at the southwesterly comer of Lot 39, Block 9, Bahia Oaks Unit No. Two, as recorded in Plat Book "L", Page 66, Public Records of Marion County, Florida; thence N. 2° 17'25" E. a distance of 26.00 feet along the westerly line of said Lot 39 to the POINT OF BEGINNING; thence S. 2° 17' 25" W. a distance of 26:00 feet along the westerly line of said Lot 39 to the southwesterly corner of said Lot 39; thence N. 74° 32' 37" E. a distance of 70.00 feet along the southerly line of said Lot 39; thence westerly approximately 67 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Exh. S.t A-2

That part of:

Lot 2, Block 9, Bahia Oaks Unit No. Two, as recorded in Plat Book "L", Page 66, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, being in Section 9, Township 16 South, Range 21 East,

Described as follows:

BEGIN at the southwesterly corner of Lot 39, Block 9, Bahia Oaks Unit No. Two, as recorded in Plat Book "L", Page 66, Public Records of Marion County, Florida; thence N. 2" 17' 25" E. a distance of 33.03 feet along the easterly line of said Lot 2 to the northeasterly corner of said Lot 2; thence N. 87° 42' 34" W. a distance of 128.87 feet along the northerly line of said Lot 2 to the northwesterly corner of said Lot 2 intersecting the easterly right-of-way line of S.W. 60th Ave; thence southerly along and with the arc of a curve concave easterly, having a central angle of 4° 08' 50" and a radius of 5679.42 feet (said curve also being the westerly boundary of said Lot 2 and the easterly right-of-way line of S.W. 60th Ave.) a distance of 20.00 feet; thence departing said curve, S. 87° 42' 34" E. a distance of 50 feet; thence easterly approximately 80 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Exh. b. + A-3

That part of:

Lot 40, Block 9, Bahia Oaks Unit No. Two, as recorded in Plat Book "L", Page 66, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, being in Section 9, Township 16 South, Range 21 East,

Described as follows:

BEGIN at the northwesterly corner of Lot 40, Block 9, Bahia Oaks Unit No. Two, as recorded in Plat Book "L", Page 66, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, thence N. 74°32'37" E. a distance of 131.25 feet, thence S. 2°17'25" W. a distance of 43.00 feet along the easterly line of said Lot 40; thence westerly approximately 125 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

The easterty 20 feet and the southerty 10 feet of a portion of Lot 17, Block 12, Bahia Oaks Unit No. Three, as recorded in Plat Book "L", Page 67, Public Records of Marion County, Florida, and other lands as more particularly described. 4.5 for 16 = 35.

Lot 17, Block 12 of BBHIA DARB UNIT BO. Three, as recorded in Flat Book 1, Page 67, Public Records of Perion Commty, Florida; Except that portion of mid bot 17, Block 12, deeded to Harion County, Florida by Wermanty Deed recorded is Official Records Book 1383, Page 937, Public Records of Berion County, Florida 180

POGETHER HITE portion of S.H. Gird Street (ferourly S.W.
51St Street), described in recolution to close and chandon road,
60. 85-R-202, as recorded in Official Records Book 1399, Page
118, Pablic Records of Sariem County, Florida; lying Sorth of
afureraid Lot 17, Shock 11 of SAKIA OALS SHIT BO. Three West
of S.W. 50th Remains and Sorth of the canterline of said S.W.
53rd Street and the Eastarly projection thereof, being more
fully described as follows:
Commencing at the Sortheest corner of aforesaid EARIA OALS SHIT
EX. THREE, as recorded in First Book 1, Page 57, Fublic Records
of Marion County, Florida, and point being on the West right of
very line of S.W. 60th Remain (100 foot wide right of very); thames
8.60'14'30"S. along said Seat right of very line, and along the
East boundary of aforesaid East right of very line, and along the
East boundary of aforesaid East loundary, and along
aforesaid West right of very line, 125.68 feet to the Southmest
southwest corner of said Lot 17, themes S.89'47'08"W. along the
South boundary of said tot 17, a distance of 139.59 feet to the
Southwest corner of said Lot 17, themes H.00'11'2"W, along the
East boundary of said tot 17, a distance of 150.8 feet to the
South boundary of said tot 17, a distance of 150.8 feet to the
South right of way line of S.W. Gird Street (formerly S.W. 61st
Ekreet), and the Earthwest corner of said Lot 17; thence
8.89'47'09"E. along said South right of very line 24.72 feet to
the Deint of Curvature of a curva cornerse Seathwarterly, having
a radius of 25.08 feet and a contral angle of 48'11'27"; thence
Southmenterly along said south right of very line 24.72 feet to
the Deint of Curvature of a curva cornerse Seathwarterly, having
a radius of 25.08 feet and a contral angle of 48'11'12'2"; thence
Southmenterly along said south right of very line 24.72 feet to
the Deint of Curvature of a curva curve an arc distance of
21.03 feet to a point of reverse of curvature of a curva curve
Southmenterly projection of the centarline of aforesaid s.W. 63rd
Elreet

Cx4.6. + 4-5

A 15" wide portion of Lot 28 as more particularly described below as 15"

the easterly twenty (20) feet of Lot 28, Block 20, Bahin Oaks Unit No. 4, as recorded in Plat Book L, Page 70, Public records of Marion County, Florida, tying north of the property described in the attached Exhibit "A" and contiguous to the right-of-way of SW 60th Avenue, AND

the easterly twenty (20) feet of Lots 17 through 27, inclusive, and the northerly twenty (20) feet of Lots 16 and 17, all in Block 29, Bahia Ozks Unit No. 4, as recorded in Plat Book L, Page 70, Public records of Marion County, Florida,

LESS AND EXCEPT

that ten (10) foot wide area deeded to Marian Cousty, Florida as recorded in O.E. Book 3272, Page 6718 :

COMMENCING AT THE WEST 1/4 CORNER OF SECTION &, TOWNSHIP 16 SOUTH, RANGE 21 EAST, MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA, THENCE N.89°5500°E. ALONG THE SOUTH BOUNDARY OF THE NORTH 1/2 OF SAID SECTION 8, A DISTANCE OF 3318.24 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE SW 1/4 OF THE SW 1/4 OF THE NE 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 8; THENCE NL00°05'40"E. ALONG THE EAST BOUNDARY OF SAID SW 1/4 OF THE SW 1/4 OF THE NE 1/4, A DISTANCE OF 662.69 FEET TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT ON THE MONUMENTED SOUTH BOUNDARY OF BAHLA OAKS, UNIT NO. FIVE, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK L, PAGE 71 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA; THENCE N.89°53'38"E. ALONG SAID MONUMENTED SOUTH BOUNDARY 663.48 FEET TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID MONUMENTED SOUTH BOUNDARY AND ALONG THE SOUTH BOUNDARY OF BAHLA OAKS, UNIT NO. POUK, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK I, PAGE 70 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MARION COUNTY, FLOREDA 8.69°20'41"E. 554.47 FEET TO A NON-TANGENT INTERSECTION WITH THE WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF STATE ROAD NO. 200 (WIDTH VARIES) AS PER FLORIDA DEFARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION RIGHT OF WAY MAP, SECTION 36110-2521, SAID POINT BEING ON A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHWEST AND HAVING A RADIUS OF 22/33.31 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG AND WITH THE ARC OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY CURVE THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 01°15'37", AN ARC DISTANCE OF 502.27 FEET AND SUBTENDED BY A CHORD BEARING AND DISTANCE OF N.42"10'49"E. 502.26 FEET TO THE POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE ON A NON-TANGENT RIGHT OF WAY LINE S.48"27"00"E. 10.00 FEET; THENCE CONTINUE ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE N.41°33'00"B. 417.34 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE DEPARTING FROM SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE N.48°27'00 W. L5.00 FEET; THENCE N.41°33'00"E. ALONG A LINE THAT IS 15.00 FEET WESTERLY OF AS MEASURED PERPENDICULAR TO SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE 142.83 FEET; THENCE N.DO"10'09"E. ALONG A LINE THAT IS 15.00 FEET WESTERLY OF AS MEASURED PERPENDICULAR TO THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE, AS PER OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 3272, PAGE 718, PUBLIC RECORDS OF MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA, OF SW 60TH AVENUE (RIGHT OF WAY VARIES) 114.84 FEET; THENCE 3.48°27'00"E. 19.99 FEET; THENCE S.00°10'09"W. ALONG SAID WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE 104.33 FEET TO AN INTERSECTION WITH AFORESAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF STATE ROAD NO. 200; THENCE 5.26°15'41"W. ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE 7.42 FEET; THENCE CONTINUE ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE S.41°33'00"W. 143.56 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Exhi bit A-6

The southerly 60 fact of Lets 11 and 22, Block 20, Bahis Oaks Unit No. Four, 25 recorded in Pint Book L, Page 70, Public Records of Marion County, Florida.

Exh. S. + A-7

The easterly 10 feet of Lots 41 through 46, Black 21, and the southeasterly 16 feet of Lots 47 through 49, Block 21, all in Behla Oaks Unit No. Four, as recorded in Plat Book 1, Page 70, Public Records of Marion County, Florids.

Eth. b.+ 4-8

The northwesterly 30 feet of Lots 3 and 4, Block 20, Bahia Oaks Unit No. Four, as recorded in Plat Book L, Page 70, Public Records of Marion County, Florida.

Regulated Water Utility Assets

		Balance per			
	Balance at	Annual		Balance	
	Foreclosure	Report	Audit	per Audit	
	April, 2013	12/31/13	Adjustments	12/31/13	
Utility Plant in Service	213,206	219,537	691,870	911,407	
Land	2,815	2,815	-	2,815	
Accumulated Depreciation	(89,032)	(93,858)	(132,952)	(226,810)	*
Contributions in Aid of Construction	(87,008)	(87,008)	(10,839)	(97,847)	
Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	38,992	40,982	42	41,024	
NET BOOK VALUE	78,973	82,468	548,121	630,589	
Net Book Value per Audit	630,589				
Less Water Transmission Main	(684,693)				
Plus Water Transmission Main Accumulated Depreciation	133,155				
Less 2013 post foreclosure investment	(6,331)				
Adjusted Net Book Value at Foreclosure	72,720	(Not including	g Water Transm	ission Main)	

^{*} The auditor added back a portion of the disallowed water transmission main that was disallowed by the FPSC in Docket No. 050862-WU. While the main has been in service for several years, the utility does not believe it is appropriate to include \$133,155 of accumulated depreciation on that asset as the Commission has never recognized it as an appropriate part of rate base and therefore it is the position of the utility that no depreciation should accrue until such time as it is recognized as part of rate base.

Water Transmission Main

Original Cost as of foreclosure	805, 0 07	See Table 2-1 of the May 20, 2015 Audit Report.
Less cost allowed	(15,927)	
Less project management fee disallowed	(104,387)	
Net	684,693	

Only the \$15,927 of this cost approved by the FPSC are included in the utility's the regulatory books. This asset will be transferred to Southwest Ocala Utility, Inc. if the FPSC approves the auditor's adjustment.

Wastewater System

Original Cost as of foreclosure

292,457

Non-utility property not yet placed in service, so there is no depreciation.

Summary

Regulated Water Utility Assets	72,720
Water Transmission Main	684,693
Wastewater System	292,457
Total Net Book Value	1,049,870
Foreclosure Amount	1,006,747
Attorneys' Fees	16,303
Total	1,023,050

Parties Staff Handout
Internal Affairs Agenda
on D / 11 / 6
Item No. 7

3%