



November 28, 2016

Via electronic filing and email

Carlotta Stauffer
Director, Office of Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket Nos. 160021-EI, 160061-EI, 160062-EI, and 160088-EI

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Enclosed for filing in the above referenced dockets, please find 5,768 comments urging the Commission to deny FPL's requested rate increase. Sierra Club collected the comments from individuals and households throughout FPL's service territory. This includes 1,536 comments with personalized messages explaining the hardship posed by any rate increase. Commenters also emphasize their objection to FPL asking customers to fund more gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor a good value given competitive alternatives in the market such as solar power and energy storage, which FPL has repeatedly acknowledged can lower the cost of service. Should you have any questions regarding this filing, please contact me.

Sincerely,

/s/ Nachy Kanfer

Nachy Kanfer
Deputy Director, East
Sierra Club Beyond Coal Campaign
(614) 625-3894 (direct)
nachy.kanfer@sierraclub.org

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a longtime and fulltime retired household served by FPL any rate hikes is a real potential problem for us.

Sincerely,

Chas & Claudia White
1201 21 Ave. W., FL 34221

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida does not need more power plants run on fossil fuels. Florida needs clean, renewable energy and we need it now. I absolutely oppose any new power plants that burn fossil fuels and I oppose raising rates to pay for them. Our rates go up to pay for a new plant that makes money for the power company and they never go down again even after the plant is completed. We are being overcharged while the power companies continue to destroy the environment. Climate change is real and it is happening NOW. South Florida could be under water in as little as 20 years; adding more fossil fuel powered plants will only speed up the process. STOP THE MADNESS. Your job is to regulate the industry, not make it easy for them to rake in more money while exacerbating global warming. Do your job and DENY this proposal.

Sincerely,

Jeri Khajeh-Noori
3062 Eastland Blvd Unit 101, FL 33761

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is in prime position to lead our entire nation in the implementation and use of solar power and reduce our dependency on fossil fuels. FPL should be leading the charge toward cleaner, safer, more sustainable and environmentally friendly energy, not promoting further dependency on fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Carmen Campbell
1680 Arizona Ave NE, FL 33703

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is the sunshine state most behind in gaining from the sunshine. The reasons are that FPL wants to make sure it's revenue base is secure while it's perspective on the electric supply is unnecessarily opposed to using a lot more solar. Fracking for natural gas to supply this as a fuel is a horrible ingredient since it would by default pollute the precious water supply. All new construction should include solar installations wherever possible. While FPL has built some solar installations the more personal and local sources being integrated into the grid are lacking and have been a political nutshell game for a long time. BLAH BLAH BLAH!! My community of thousands of retirement apartments in four story catwalk buildings might have included solar rooftop while also being integrated into the grid. This might have saved millions of tons of coal, nuclear fuel rods and other CO2 fuels in the past 30+years.....Again BLAH BLAH BLAH!!

Sincerely,

Karl Schwartz
2903 Victoria Cir Apt H2, FL 33066

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL has the perfect opportunity to raise consciousness of SOLAR power plants -- I'm sure it would be terrific publicity that a Florida power company will harvest the free and clean energy that our poor planet could use to the entire planet's benefit.

Sincerely,

Jean Colson
10315 Cortez Rd W Lot 20J, FL 34210

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Future investment in public energy should be razor focused on renewables. We should not be looking at investments in new or additional fossil fuel energy sources.

Sincerely,

Bernard Berauer
10332 S McClung Loop, FL 34448

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Get with modern science and develop energy sources, solar, wind, whatever that do more damage to the environment than what we get from them. I realize you may be getting more profit that way but is it worth the loss to everyone.

Sincerely,

John Walker
621 Olivia St, FL 33040

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go Solar

Sincerely,

Roger Sardina
1481 Ocean Dr, FL 32963

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Hello We are in Florida. You know land of sunshine. Please use it. Makes so much more common sense wouldnt you agree. Think about our kids and there future please. RENEWABLE ENERGY PLEASE.
HELLO.....

Sincerely,

Matthew Badalamenti
715 S Ocean Dr, FL 34949

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I already have a high electric bill!! I conserve hot water, do not water nor wash my car. I only do laundry and dishes when there is a full load. My a.c. is on 80 degrees. This is the sunshine state! We need more solar power!

Sincerely,

Julia Duboe
22203 Lockport ave, FL 33952

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer and you need to stop with the fossil fuels and change over to solar and other sources of renewable energy. No way on rate hikes to continue using dinosaur energy!!

Sincerely,

Stephen Sleeper
24716 Carnoustie Ct, FL 34135

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an advocate for solar energy in the SUNSHINE STATE!

Sincerely,

Sueellen Hunter
7230 NW 200th Ter, FL 32615

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and am very disappointed that, as "the sunshine state", we rank 15th in the nation for solar power. Here is our chance to be a true leader in clean energy. Climate change is a reality and gas as a "bridge fuel" is too little, too late. The environmental damage done from fracking is too great. Choose clean energy- choose solar.

Sincerely,

Matt Johansen
830 N Atlantic Ave Apt B705, FL 32931

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I don't want my rates hiked to pay for more fossil fuels. Make FPL prove these additional gas plants are necessary.

Sincerely,

Rodney Kruit
17800 N Bay Rd Apt 801, FL 33160

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I don't want my utility rates raised to pay for more fossil fuel plants. You need to require FPL to prove these gas plants are necessary and in the consumers' best interests.

Sincerely,

Jay Mercier
17800 N Bay Rd Apt 801, FL 33160

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

i say no to FPL !!!

Sincerely,

Catherine Castro
100 Lincoln Rd, FL 33139

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I strongly oppose a gas increase from F.P.&L. We don't need any more gas removed from the ground, and I can not afford to pay more on my utilities, especially to cover the cost of more climate damaging fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Donna Sisco
8721 Hidden Pines Rd, FL 34945

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Invest in Solar and Wind!

Sincerely,

Randy Raspotnik
4266 Fox Hollow Cir, FL 32707

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time for Florida to embrace renewable energy and encourage each citizen to install roof top solar systems. This is the path to economic freedom and sustainable energy without investment in obsolete fossil fuel based or nuclear based energies that can only damage the environment. It is time for freedom of the people from the charges of companies such as FPL who want to dominate for profit the people of Florida. We need freedom and no more rate hikes to fund their polluting industry.

Sincerely,

Jerry Myers
27057 Allan St, FL 34135

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more rate hikes for expenses that should be borne by shareholders.

Sincerely,

Gavi Stevens
460 Deville Dr E, FL 33771

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Now is the time to transition to sustainable energy; replace gas and oil operations with solar and wind farms.

Sincerely,

Karen Dwyer
15937 Delasol Ln, FL 34110

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

On top of all of the other reasons for not granting this rate hike is the fact that we need to leave the fossil fuels in the ground and move on to something else to keep our environment from getting worse than it is.

Sincerely,

Marcia Bailey
3301 Alt 19 Lot 338, FL 34698

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Our FPL bills are high enough without adding to them in order to build non sustainable gas burning plants in South Florida. We desperately need to put more of our resources into solar energy as well as other sustainable forms, especially here in the Sunshine state!

Sincerely,

Stephanie Witkoski
2171 SW 90th Ave, FL 33324

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please take into consideration those of us who are disabled and have to live on fixed incomes. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Wegard
229 Foxtail Dr Apt D, FL 33415

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Protect customers not this power monopoly's profits.

Sincerely,

Donna b
123 street, FL 33604

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Renewable energy is how they should be targeting the future!

Sincerely,

Jan Campbell
PO Box 103, FL 32033

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Renewable energy only!!! Save our planet!!!

Sincerely,

Tim Bennett
180 Rhythm Rd, FL 33870

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

STOP CORPORATE GLUTTONY!!

Sincerely,

Steven Combes
36 Beachway Dr, FL 32137

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Support Florida small business and buy American at <http://GadgetsGo.com>

Sincerely,

Ross Kelson
7330 Ocean Ter Apt 1801, FL 33141

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The "Sunshine State" needs to start using the sun. A big winner for the utilities is solar hotwater systems to heat water. Let's do it!

Sincerely,

Carl Maxwell
9593 Worswick Ct, FL 33414

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The PSC always supports the power companies requests. It's time you folks supported the customers, i.e. the public!!!

Sincerely,

Gabriel Vargo
2470 Granada Cir E, FL 33712

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is the same company that promised after hurricane Andrew that the extra money we paid they were going to put the lines underground! STILL WAITING & you want an increase??????????????

Sincerely,

Mary Mckenzie
11365 Quail Roost Dr, FL 33157

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is the sunshine state, FPL should be investing more in solar power.

Sincerely,

William Hager
2844 NW 58th Blvd, FL 32606

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Try some solar power!

Sincerely,

Connie Gustafson
1434 Red Oak Ln, FL 33948

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

we are paying to much already

Sincerely,

Efrain Pagan
2037 Grand Brook Cir, FL 32810

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have the knowledge and technology to lessen and even get rid of our reliance on fossil fuel. Instead of approving FP&L's proposal to raise rates in order to continue our reliance on fossil fuel, just say no and encourage their transitioning to clean energy. Nothing will change on this earth unless people like you want it to and take the necessary steps to make the change. Make a change!

Sincerely,

Gail Nelson
6024 Francis Dr, FL 33572

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We live in one of the sunniest places in America. Why isn't FPL harnessing the free resource of the sun instead of building additional power-plants. Offering homeowners incentives to install solar panels on roof tops to eliminate reliance on FPL is a much better way to spend our money.

Sincerely,

Deborah Weinberg
2341 Lipizzan Trl, FL 32174

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We live in the sunniest State so we should be using other forms of energy and not using fossil fuels. If PSC used wind power or solar we could all get cheaper electricity

Sincerely,

jean Ann Marwick
200 North St, FL 32114

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

When federal funds are available for green energy, why is FPL still clinging to a fuel from dinosaurs? Maybe they are the 80 ton dinosaur in the room and we need competition. Obviously they are not looking to the future.

Sincerely,

Jana Stacy
6121 NW 45th Ave, FL 33319

8/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With the credibility of the utilities in the toilet, it is a BAD time for a rate increase. Amendment 1 is fraudulent via misrepresenting the truth to get the signatures.

Sincerely,

Bill Stokes
301 University Way N, FL 33701

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

"NO"!!! to rate hikes for gas plants.

Sincerely,

Elena Reyes
6530 SW 44th St, FL 33155

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

A growing number show that roof-top solar and net metering benefits all utility customers:
<https://www.brookings.edu/research/rooftop-solar-net-metering-is-a-net-benefit/>

Florida consumers, utilities, and renewable energy businesses would all likely benefit from a robust roof-top solar industry with net metering in place, and perhaps with time-of-day rate structures.

Sincerely,

James Cummings
1445 Sunrise Dr, FL 32952

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

A rate hike for fossil fuel is wasting money. You know coal is on its way out. Open your minds and eyes and see the truth. I will not pay for your foolishness.

Sincerely,

Lynn Moshier
4705 1st St NE Apt 337, FL 33703

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

A rate hike to pay for more dirty fuels? No thanks. What is FPL getting for selling the energy from the wind mills they own in Texas? We need clean energy now and in the future.

Sincerely,

Paula Morgan
833 Spinnaker Dr E, FL 33019

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

A rate increase for state of the art utility scale solar installations would make sense.

Florida after all is called the Sunshine state. Nat gas at this juncture does not have the common good at heart.

Sincerely,

Gregory Fox
9449 Heartwellville Ave, FL 34224

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Absolutely opposing any rate increase for the sake of deep pockets and not the welfare of the people...I know many who work for this company and they make huge paychecks...and those that want to implement these changes make millions each...it's all about money which is morally and ethically wrong!

Sincerely,

Michelle Ferrara
240 125th Ave, FL 33706

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Act like a Public Service Commission! No Rate Increase!

Sincerely,

Leah Peltzmacher
172 Sarita Ct, FL 33411

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Aim a little higher please.

Sincerely,

Bradley Crocks
1837 Creekwood Run, FL 33809

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

All I can afford is a car that is almost 17yrs old, and you pretend I could afford to pay double the price I pay for gas? I mean constantly hunting for a gas station that could possibly charge less, and you want to put a giant foot over me to keep me down. If the rich want to have lamborghinis, 2 ferrari, a hummer, and a jaguar in the same house... let me tell you what, they will still have them all!! You are really looking out for the oil industry, not the environment.

Sincerely,

Angelica Azpurua
223 Sidonia Ave Apt 2, FL 33134

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Already is expensive your service...

Sincerely,

Silvia Kemerl
20905 SW 84th Ave, FL 33189

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Also, as a single mom of three kids on my own, working a full-time job I can barely make it now. Doing this will raise the rate that I simply cannot afford. Thank you

Sincerely,

Annette Del Pino
1840 Acorn Ln, FL 33026

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

An public energy company is supposed to be concerned about the long-term well-being of their customers. An energy company must be current on science that affects how they do business. What are we to make of an "energy company" that willfully abandons the long-term benefit of customers for short term profit?

Sincerely,

Charles Stewart
19904 NW 190th Ave, FL 32643

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

AND I MEAN IT!!!!

Sincerely,

Heide Kaplan
16060 Lch Ktrn Trl Apt 7704, FL 33446

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

And I want a change in regulations and laws that makes it easy and inexpensive to purchase, install and use solar and wind power, including off the grid solar and wind. Why is it less expensive and easier to get solar in a 3rd world country than it is here?????

Sincerely,

Maria Minno
600 NW 35th Ter, FL 32607

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

And why do they need a rate hike with gas prices plummeting? It is far below the prices of a decade ago. Why aren't we receiving rebates since they are reaping the rewards of cheap gasoline.

Sincerely,

Dona Gould
1010 10th Ave W, FL 34205

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As

Sincerely,

Charmane Gonzalez
15966 SW 147th Ln, FL 33196

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a couple living basically on social security, but earning too much for discounts, it is unfair and heartless for a utility to take advantage of the elderly citizens. Your rates are already much higher than the previous year.

Sincerely,

Ernest Socolov
2215 NE 19th St, FL 33305

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a customer of FPL for over 30 years, I would much prefer that FPL utilize our abundant Florida sunshine & wind for new power sources rather than invest billions in fossil fuel-burning generation. Our state is the #1 at risk for sea level rise due to global warming. It's unfathomable that Florida Power and Light would be so careless with our state's future

Sincerely,

Jeff Crecelius
721 SW 18th St, FL 33315

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a Floridian, not only do I not want to pay more for my electricity usage, but also I definitely do not want any expenditures on gas plants which will only add to the worsening of climate change.

Sincerely,

Nancy Meute
3909 W 25th Ct Apt B, FL 32405

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a resident of Florida who sees the environment being degraded at ever increasing rates, we all need to develop better alternatives for a sustainable lifestyle.

Sincerely,

Karen Meyer
1966 Rose Mallow Ln, FL 32003

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a senior I can not afford higher bills. Please find alternative , cleaner ways that won't hurt seniors and low income family's!

Sincerely,

Shirley Mobley
9220 Championship Ln, FL 34655

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer I object to planet damaging proposals. We need to be going solar in Florida.

Sincerely,

Susan Creek
40 Avery St, FL 32084

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer I object to planet damaging proposals. We need to be going solar in Florida.

Sincerely,

Susan Creek
40 Avery St, FL 32084

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer, I believe they should be pursuing more energy efficiency programs and performing a thorough review of the long term cost benefit of renewable energy before being allowed to build gas-burning plants. It is time for us to move away from fossil fuels and take advantage of Florida's natural resources - specifically the sun.

Sincerely,

David Harbeitner
PO Box 833, FL 34216

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer, I hope the PSC will give serious consideration to all of the above in evaluating the necessity of a rate increase. Send FPL back to the drawing board.

Sincerely,

John Newman
1074 Pompei Ln, FL 34103

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer, I hope the PSC will give serious consideration to all of the above in evaluating the necessity of a rate increase. Send FPL back to the drawing board.

Sincerely,

John Newman
1074 Pompei Ln, FL 34103

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer, I vigorously oppose any rate hike for gas powered plants, without going through the normal review required. I certainly would not oppose a rate hike for solar, or other renewable energy plants. Please don't fold to the lobbyists self serving arguments which are not in the public's interest.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Lloyd Zand
10501 Snapper Creek Rd, FL 33156

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As the regulatory board, it is up to you to stop this proposed rate hike to finance construction of outdated power generation technology. If the electric power companies continue to do the same thing....burn fossil fuels...nothing changes. We are too smart of a society to let this continue. Ask them to first justify the need for more power generation; and second to re-focus their energies on renewables...pun intended....and get to work on building solar and wind farms. Florida is the perfect environment for both! And the cost is a fraction of that needed for the gas burning plant and the associated highly controversial pipelines, all of which spell environment disaster. Please, stand up for what is right in an age when all too often only profit matters. It is past time for Florida to become a leader in all things healthy and smart. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leah Gentry
1220 Halifax Ct, FL 32308

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Be a light in the darkness. No pun. Honestly. Do the right thing.

Sincerely,

Micki Lecronier
13532 Siesta Pines Ct, FL 33908

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Be a part of the solution

Sincerely,

Kathleen Gaulden

4155 N Haverhill Rd Apt 1420, FL 33417

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Both wind and solar are less expensive, cleaner and healthier for everyone. The transition to renewable clean power will provide many new jobs.

Sincerely,

Virginia Young
2131 Lakeview Dr Apt 702, FL 33870

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Build more solar plants. Stop trying to discourage & prevent homeowners and businesses from installing solar and selling it to others.

Sincerely,

John Myers
1891 Englewood Rd, FL 34223

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Check the stock price...they are doing FINE as is.

Sincerely,

Jerry Donaldson
13323 Millhopper Rd, FL 32653

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Clean power is the best power.

Sincerely,

Brooke Sexton
222 Weis Ln Apt 3A, FL 32507

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Climate change is "real" and will certainly become if isn't one of our most profound challenges particularly in the state of FL!

Sincerely,

Sharon Stock
5180 Datil Pepper Rd, FL 32086

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Come up with a better plan!

Sincerely,

Brenda Breil
9273 SW 31st Pl, FL 32608

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Commissioners, Would you really not even follow your own rules? You are in your position for a reason. What do you want your legacy to be? To go down in history as a sell-out to Big Gas? Shame on you, FPL, for trying to cut corners. The fossil fuel gambit is up. Face the future of your children and grandchildren and don't kowtow to the fossil fuel hype. The Lord IS watching. In Revelations it is written that the Lord will destroy those who destroy the earth. Please consider carefully what you do. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lee Redfern
4515 26th St W, FL 34207

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Consumers are sick and tired of massive rate increases that are not justified.

Sincerely,

Elmo Dunn
208 Harrogate Pl, FL 32779

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Did you know that 75% of Floridians live in Counties whose BOCC's have passed Ordinances or Resolutions to BAN fracking. Floridians want Utilities to focus on clean renewable solar, tidal, other clean energy options. Fossil fuels need to stay in the ground instead of destroying Florida's water supply, as fracking would.

NO rate hikes, FPL. You don't need the money. Bills are higher than ever. Gale Dickert

Sincerely,

Gale Dickert
193 NW Hamilton Ave, FL 32340

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

dirty rotten repugnicans

Sincerely,

Jason Vardzel
8250 Civita Dr Unit 304, FL 33896

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Do not allow any rate increases except for 100% renewable energy.

Sincerely,

Alan Pensiero

7330 NW 37th St Apt 3, FL 33024

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

don't want rates to be hiked to pay for more fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Marilyn Howard
2791 NE 11th Ct, FL 34972

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

During its extraction, natural gas produced by fracking results in high emissions of the potent greenhouse gases, CO2 and methane, as well as pollution of water, air and soil, and a host of social ills. We need to switch to renewables and leave polluting, climate changing fossil fuels in the ground if we are going to mitigate global warming.

Sincerely,

John Kesich
4281 Lenox Blvd, FL 34293

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

During the summer months I pay over \$500 a month. The costs are outrageous already, no one can afford more rate hikes.

Sincerely,

Debbie Bonnet
13600 SW 102nd Ave, FL 33176

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Electric is far too expensive as it is you should be lowering rates, or reduce some of your fat salaries

Sincerely,

Joseph Musa
3787 Southbank Cir, FL 32043

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Electric power companies in Florida have penalized consumers with poor judgement for years and years - the costs of failed nuclear plants and failed plans for construction. Enough is enough, it is time for these companies to make it work by good planning and sound judgment.

Sincerely,

Gregory Rosasco
5380 Peacock Dr, FL 34690

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

ENOUGH IS ENOUGH !

Sincerely,

Barbara Wyatt
1708 NE 9th St, FL 33304

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough is enough. Spend the money, without increase to consumers, on clean energy.

Sincerely,

April Caltagirone
26 Liberty Ave, FL 32776

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough is enough. Stop this rate hike.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Thomas
5663 Lake Shore Village Cir, FL 33463

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

First we need to recognize that electricity is a need. People die without electricity. That said, continued profits at the expense of struggling homeowners cannot be maintained. It's time for energy companies to reduce profits and pay for their own improvements like small businesses. I can't afford to pay for others to live larger.

Sincerely,

Marianne Amann
1069 Wexford Way, FL 32129

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida already has one of the worst regressive tax systems in the US. This rate hike would add insult to injury as EVERYONE, renter or homeowner/ rich or poor/ has to pay utility bills. It is not only incredibly unfair and overburdensome to the poorest among us, it also rewards outdated utility sources. We should be working to help the poor and develop renewable energy sources.

Sincerely,

Felicia Bruce
106 Mariner Bay Blvd, FL 34949

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida has perhaps one of the greatest potentials for solar energy of any state in the Union, why is the power business still hanging on to dirty fossil fuels? We need leadership, and innovative leadership at that. It's time for the old school to go.

Sincerely,

Gary Hyslop
1025 Neely St, FL 32765

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is called the "Sunshine State" let's start utilizing it!

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Hight
8362 Langshire Way, FL 33912

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is the Sunshine state, why are we so far behind the rest of the country and the world in developing solar power!

Wake up Flori- Da!

Sincerely,

Carole Butler
3214 Shamrock St E, FL 32309

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida needs to focus on alternative measures for obtaining energy. We cannot continue to be reliant on fossil fuel as we are interested in what kind of legacy we are leaving our children.

Sincerely,

Julie Mallis-Turner
3045 Clearlake Dr Apt 4, FL 32935

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida needs to focus on alternative measures for obtaining energy. We cannot continue to be reliant on fossil fuel as we are interested in what kind of legacy we are leaving our children.

Sincerely,

Julie Mallis-Turner
3045 Clearlake Dr Apt 4, FL 32935

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida should be in the vanguard of energy production from solar power. Instead, it lags far behind most states. Instead of raising rates for new fossil plants, programs to better compensate businesses and homeowners for incorporating photovoltaic systems should be improved.

Sincerely,

Henry Block
411 Navarre Ave, FL 33134

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida should be in the vanguard of energy production from solar power. Instead, it lags far behind most states. Instead of raising rates for new fossil plants, programs to better compensate businesses and homeowners for incorporating photovoltaic systems should be improved.

Sincerely,

Henry Block
411 Navarre Ave, FL 33134

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida should be leading the world in solar power production, but we aren't. We need to reduce our use of fossil fuels not increase it.

Sincerely,

Deborah Shaw, Phd
43 Lake Shore Dr, FL 33037

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida should be the #1 state to lead the way with renewables such as solar. The more money that is invested in old polluting energy production, the more damage is done to Florida. We should lead the nation, not encourage higher prices for damaging outdated energy production.

Sincerely,

Mary Watson
1817 Montague Street, FL 33461

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida, the land of "We Only Live for Today and the Profits of the Greedy." There is no hope of any improvement until Scott is gone. He has packed regulatory bodies with cronies and if none fit mandated categories, he leaves the seats empty.

Sincerely,

Lisa Stevens
3912 Mayflower Ct, FL 32303

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Focus on solar and wind, of which we have an overwhelming abundance. Get with the program FPL!

Sincerely,

Georgianne Pagano
335 Encore Dr, FL 33903

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Former FPL customer

Sincerely,

Rhiannon BOWEN
1907 Illinois St, FL 32803

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Fossil fuels are no longer the answer to the world's need for energy.

Sincerely,

Roberta Gerber
47 9th St, FL 34134

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL and the State of Florida need to invest in solar electric. If Florida is the sunshine state it needs to use the Sun as an energy resource.

Sincerely,

Michael O'Brien
845 Wood Sorrel Ln, FL 34293

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL as a lobby has made Florida less solar than countries with much less sun

Special interests and deniers caused us to lose 20 years..

Sincerely,

Clotilde Luce

301 Ocean Dr Apt 508, FL 33139

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL bills itself as a eco friendly company yet wants to build gas burning plants at their customers expense. Talk about a double standard.

Sincerely,

Oscar Drepaul
1045 SW 51st Ave, FL 33068

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL building more gas-burning plants is a bad idea regardless of who pays.

Sincerely,

Calvin Hilton
834 Alhambra Dr S, FL 32207

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL does not need to raise rates we already pay more than our share for electricity

Sincerely,

Kent Welch
14732 Day Lily Ct, FL 32824

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL does not play fair with the consumers. You give them much too much. Are they paying you off?

Sincerely,

Eileen Rowe
708 Camelia Trl, FL 32086

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL Florida Plunder & Loot and it just has to get cloudy & the power goes out

Sincerely,

Frank Maher
1242 S Ridge Rd, FL 33462

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL has enough technology to go green and eliminate fossil fuel burning by 80%. I know they have the plan for tapping into the gulf stream for power, since I wrote and designed it in 1972. We have wind and solar at our disposal in Florida, through out the state. There is no need to build more gas burning plants.

Sincerely,

Robert Zeitlin
3331 Atlanta St, FL 33021

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL has a monopoly on its customers - they waste money on useless commercials - what choice do we have? It is absurd that they are not creating solar more energy which is abundant. Please do your job and reign them in!

Sincerely,

Jan Falk
1412 Coruna Ave, FL 33156

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL has a monopoly on its customers - they waste money on useless commercials - what choice do we have? It is absurd that they are not creating solar more energy which is abundant. Please do your job and reign them in!

Sincerely,

Jan Falk
1412 Coruna Ave, FL 33156

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL is already over charging customer with the trick of how much each appliance is costing you why I said that because two year ago I was out of my apt. for 4 months my A/C was broke down and I stay at a friend house until I got the money to buy a new one and the bill was the same or even higher of the year before that. Are they really honest NO they are not.

Sincerely,

Favio Simo
1000 Country Club Dr, FL 33063

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL is now and has been for a few years now Duke Energy. Is this just old news??\

Sincerely,

Donna Orsino
1931 N Camola Ter, FL 34453

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL needs to add more solar energy rather than fossil fuel to meet the needs of Floridians. That money that would be spent on gas burning power plants could be used to help low income homeowners put solar panels on their roofs. All gas must now be obtained by fracking. Fracking is damaging to our environment and our drinking water.

Sincerely,

Rosanne Maji
271 Zephyr Rd, FL 34293

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL needs to distance itself from using natural gas as soon as possible. More natural gas use means more excuses to condone fracking. The Sunshine state should use its natural nuclear power from the sun to switch to solar power production in this state. I fear that FPL is way too cooperative with the gas industry.

Sincerely,

Helen Jo Williams
6501 17th Ave W Apt J213, FL 34209

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL needs to invest in clean, renewable energy!

Sincerely,

Rebecca Janssen
1011 Indian Oaks E, FL 32117

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL NEEDS TO QUIT WASTING MONEY FIGHTING ROOFTOP SOLAR. THE SUNSHINE STATE SHOULD BE GETTING MOST OF ITS ELECTRICITY DURING DAYLIGHT HOURS FROM SOLAR. PV'S HAVE COME DOWN A LOT, AND IT MAKES NO SENSE TO BUILD MORE FOSSIL INFRASTRUCTURE.

Sincerely,

E Haffmans
1212 N L St, FL 33460

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Fpl operates in "The Sunshine State." How about requiring them to develop solar energy instead of fossil fuel energy and sticking the public with the bill. FPI is a private for profit company. How does their cost of doing business translate into a rate hike for the public. If the Commission is actually serving the public interest, this rate hike should be illegal. But I don't recall that the PSC ever denied a request for a pay increase from FPL.

Sincerely,

Elaine Bossik
7722 Majestic Palm Dr, FL 33437

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL- Please Show Some Consideration as Both Climate Change, and Also Global Warming Are For Real On Our Miracle Planet Earth. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael Walsh
6601 Evans St, FL 33024

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL seems to be evading the rigorous analysis and public interest review required under Florida law to confirm whether energy efficiency, solar energy, or a combination of renewable resources could power our homes and businesses instead. NO rate HIKES and YES to SOLAR

Sincerely,

Mark Springle
10811 NE 8th Ct, FL 33161

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL should be making investments in conservation measures, and in renewable energy. Both would eliminate the need for new fossil fuel facilities. Florida should be the leader in renewable energy, and the Commission should be protecting the interests of the consumers on this issue.

Sincerely,

Linda Rae Gregory
1153 Groveland Dr, FL 32766

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL should not be considering more gas generated power plants. If they feel more power plants are needed they should be looking into solar, wind, and hydro.

Sincerely,

Tanya Kroeber
929 Arkenstone Dr, FL 32225

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL wants to "limit" what people in Florida can do with Solar?.....it's because they want to control our energy and still be able to make their bottom line and more. Maybe they should like about the future for sustainable energy instead of where they want to frack next.....It's all about the MONEY!!

Sincerely,

Laurie Ulrop
190 Purus St, FL 33983

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Get educated on the science, folks. Natural gas is a lousy energy source for the atmosphere.

Sincerely,

James Radford
2141 Highway 2297, FL 32404

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go Green! Go solar!

Sincerely,

Sherry Woods
3205 NW 76th Ln, FL 32053

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

GO SOLAR!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,

Tiffany Grantham
1612 N 17th Ave, FL 33020

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go solar.

Sincerely,

Ted Marr

5643 Sunset Falls Dr, FL 33572

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Haven't they bled people enough! We need to speak up and say enough is ENOUGH!

Sincerely,

Joan Ellis
8500 E Keating Park St Lot B10, FL 34436

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Haven't they bled people enough! We need to speak up and say enough is ENOUGH!

Sincerely,

Joan Ellis
8500 E Keating Park St Lot B10, FL 34436

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Haven't they bled people enough! We need to speak up and say enough is ENOUGH!

Sincerely,

Joan Ellis
8500 E Keating Park St Lot B10, FL 34436

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Haven't they bled people enough! We need to speak up and say enough is ENOUGH!

Sincerely,

Joan Ellis
8500 E Keating Park St Lot B10, FL 34436

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Hey, look at amendment 4; the people in Florida may actually be waking up to solar energy. FPL is proposing a big step back, a huge commitment that will paint that company into a 50-75 year corner as far as updating technology and reducing dependence on fossil fuel.

Sincerely,

Linda Bigelow
3647 Island Club Dr Apt 9, FL 34288

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How about spending money on alternatives to fossil fuel? I would support rate hikes if they were bring spent on innovative alternatives

Sincerely,

Jennifer Stevens
5959 Sand Wedge Ln, FL 34110

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Human health and environmental health should be your priority quit using dirty fuels and no rate hikes, the future is clean energy get on board and help everyone.

Sincerely,

Laura Ramon
101 Summit Ct, FL 32578

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I absolutely oppose any rate hike by FP&L! Fossil fuel prices are down and coal is filthy. And FP&L could be doing more to support the use of cleaner sources of energy.

NO RATE HIKE!!!

Sincerely,

Sheryll Topping
10951 Wetland Way, FL 34957

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I already pay more than enough for my electricity. Don't increase it even more by doing this!!!

Sincerely,

Clifford Blodsworth
2807 Upper Tangelo Dr, FL 34239

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I also oppose any form of 'fracking' to expose and claim any natural gas that may be beneath Florida's soil.

Sincerely,

Darrell Smethie
7433 Kingsley Court, FL 33467

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I always vote.

Sincerely,

Maida Laird
3985 Lake Shore Dr, FL 34684

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a customer living in the Keys. Why not invest heavily in solar & wind power?

Sincerely,

Rhonda Bristol
16991 Shore Dr, FL 33042

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a customer of FPL and a resident of Florida.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dyck
17528 SW 13th St, FL 33029

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer.

Sincerely,

Lannie Rawls
2800 Northwest 24 street, FL 33311

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer. Stop using fossil fuels! Alternates are solar and wind energy. Fossil fuels have done enough damage to the climate. Thanks

Sincerely,

Marney Kuna
6287 Green View Cir, FL 34231

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer. I do not want any unnecessary rate hikes or power plants.

Sincerely,

Jimmy Cornell
1350 Creel Rd NE, FL 32905

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer: solar energy or wind energy is a readily available resource in the sunshine state. These alternative resources would have less of an environmental impact - I am very disappointed in FPL covert attempt to increase costs on customers with little regard for our health or precious environment. FPL could install efficient Solar energy panels throughout the state, and be able to give the grid power back, and decrease customer cost, which makes me question the motivation behind their decision. This gas use sounds more like FPL wants to keep corporate power burning stronger and longer.

Sincerely,

Judith Spriggens
1970 SW 18th Ct, FL 33145

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am against any money for fossil fuel sources. This money could, and should be for Solar & Wind based energy initiatives, which will provide thousands of jobs, plenty of electricity, and much less polluting effects for our atmosphere.

Seems that the Electric lobby continues to ignore, not only Science backed proof of how fossil fuels cause warming - but the fact that many places in the World are working toward, and due to go Off Grid in the near future. Maybe The persons in charge are fossils, and need to wake up- or retire. Letting Science, and educated people in to transform our Energy policies is the wave goodbye to the past. Get with the future. There is no future in Fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Courtenay Hayes
308 Clayton Ave, FL 32901

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am against any rate hike that promotes fossil fuels over solar and wind power. We have plenty of solar and wind power that can be harnessed for energy purposes.

Sincerely,

Christine Foss
604 Hillcrest Dr, FL 34209

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am already sweating my butt off because I cannot afford to lower my thermostat. If you raise the prices I don't know what I will happen to me. I have health problems and live on a super limited income! I cannot afford a price hike and I know I am not the only one!

Sincerely,

Patty Lloyd
6511 A Ln, FL 33875

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer

Sincerely,

Steve Weber

125 Coral Way E Apt A, FL 32903

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and business owner and hope that as a public service commission you will represent the consumers and residents of Florida in totally rejecting this increase.

Sincerely,

Lee Webber
125 7th St, FL 33458

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and disapprove any increase. Create clean energy in Florida with solar or wind. Please stop the fossil fuel use!

Sincerely,

Raymond Zamora
801 SW 191st Ter, FL 33029

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and feel solar is the way we should be headed.

Sincerely,

John Finnerty
1369 NW 123rd Ter, FL 33026

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and have also added solar panels to help be a better steward of the environment. However, I'm finding that FPL is trying to make it harder for us to get the advantages of solar, which they should also be moving forward with.

Sincerely,

Daryl Smith
1571 Bay Club Rd, FL 32766

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I cannot afford a higher electric bill.

I also would rather my money did not go towards fossil fuel energy.

Sincerely,

Cathy Sandefur
15705 75th Ln N, FL 33470

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and oppose my rates being hiked since it is paying for more fossil fuels. Is the earth not warming up fast enough? Ultimately FPL will be assisting in Florida becoming an under water state. There is no reason for FPL to change from the low risk alternatives.

Sincerely,

Pamela Dugan
4239 Redonda Ln, FL 34119

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL Customer in Palm Beach County, Florida. Not only do I disagree with creating more fossil fuel plants but I also think it's insane to do so while we're in a state that has an 8-10+ UV rating on an almost daily basis... Solar Panels anyone??? Natural gas is by far one of the worst sources for energy and needs to be banned on a national level.

Sincerely,

Christina Provost
1449 Fairway Cir, FL 33413

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer of long standing. Recently received notice that my on-call credit would be reduced because it wasn't fair to newer customers who were receiving a lower amount. Why not give new customers the same as I was receiving rather than reduce mine. I can just see the individual who came up with this idea being rewarded for finding a way to squeeze out more money from your customers. Most important of all, decrease the use of fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Sarah Smith
660 La Sala, FL 34287

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer who believes in solar and clean energy! No more fossil fuels

Sincerely,

Irish Doxey
3443 Sandpiper Ct, FL 32935

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, and I believe that non-fossil fuel alternatives should be used if more plants are needed.

Sincerely,

Katharine Gambino
5451 Carmody Lake Drive, FL 32128

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, and I want FPL to convert to generating more energy through sustainable means. Gas obtained by extreme measures contributes significantly to the crisis of climate change, which will put the population of South Florida at risk in the coming decades.

Sincerely,

Frank Palmeri
820 Anastasia Ave, FL 33134

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, and I'm disheartened that with the abundant solar and wind energy we have in this state, FPL is proposing we invest in fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Alexis Moore
899 SW 12th Ave, FL 33486

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an fpl customer, the proposed rate hike concerns me! As well as their desire to build more fossil fuel plants, when we as a state are already so far behind the rest of the country when it comes to the green initiative. Can we really afford to let fpl increase our dependence on a environmentally toxic and decaying fuel source?

Sincerely,

Tasia Doyen
2947 alcazar terrace, FL 34286

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. I already pay all I can afford to this company. They should be working toward alternate fuels, not gas!

Sincerely,

Sandy Grindlinger
3499 Duar Ter, FL 34291

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer.

I do not understand why FPL's owners, the stockholders, are not paying for these investments that will bring more revenue and profits to FPL!

FPL has already hit its customers for approx. \$1.7 BILLION for "studies" on nuclear power station(s) that were never built !!

Sincerely,

Michael Hill

4211 Caddie Dr E Apt 103, FL 34203

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL CUSTOMERS and already pay way too much!!!

Sincerely,

Rachel Ben-Avi
3896 Boca Pointe Dr, FL 34238

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL user and I would like to see them use more alternative ways of creating electricity for me to use. Raising rates without making positive changes to the way they have done things in the past is not except able. The planet will benefit if the time is taken to explore other alternatives. Thank you

Sincerely,

Patricia Seidensticker
2274 Hillview Street, FL 34239

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am currently supplied electricity by JEA, but I am currently actively looking to buy property in an area covered by FPL. We all need to reduce our carbon footprint. I am researching info to switch to, or augment, my power needs with solar supplied energy. This is the sunshine state!

Sincerely,

Herbert Tillman
5121 Catoma St Apt 197, FL 32210

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am not a FPL customer, but we all get our power from the same grid. I oppose any action that continues to use fossil fuels and not pursue renewable forms of energy.

Sincerely,

Betty Eastham
333 S Erie Dr, FL 34946

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am not simply an angry consumer. I have invested \$20,000 in solar panels and thousands more in a new water heater, film on windows to lower heat inside, and metal foil in my attic and insulation to stop radiant heat from entering my house. I am doing my part and I want FPL to do its best to stop a rate hike by using alternative energy. And yes, I am using Arcadia wind power already.

Sincerely,

Jean-Ellen Trapani
410 Estil Dr, FL 34275

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am o tired of being screwed by politicians, lobbyists, corrupt media, and greed

Sincerely,

Larry Lewis
1555 Victoria Way, FL 34787

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am willing to pay more for green energy. Invest in clean, renewal sources and then consider a rate increase.

Sincerely,

Linda Reilly
5617 Fairway Park Dr, FL 33437

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I as resident of the State of Florida do not agree with rate hikes maybe it's about time you listen to the people and not the big business

Sincerely,

Theresa Boucher
1350 Westover st, Apt.727, FL 32935

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I do not want my rate hiked up to pay for fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Judy Gjebre
12440 SW 84th Avenue Rd, FL 33156

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I do oppose FPL rate hike!

Sincerely,

Phyllis Brown
1404 Leland Dr, FL 33573

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I don't like FPL but they are a monopoly. They are the only source of power. If you grant them this hike, it just puts more pressure on the people.

Sincerely,

Joseph Candelaria
2544 First St Apt 208, FL 33901

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I DON'T want to pay more for my electric, but I DEFINITELY DON'T want to do anymore damage to the environment than us whole human race has already done. Enough let us all think about our children, and all those future generations. Need to STOP being so greedy and inconsiderate to them and mother nature itself!!!

Sincerely,

Jo Arreaga
8815 Daytona Blvd, FL 32976

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I feel that FPL, Progress Energy and Duke have gouged the state of Florida long enough - perhaps they could use the profit/interest from the billions received over the years for the nuclear power plants we paid for.

Also, what about adding/considering Solar and wind power? Has FPL considered this - other states use several methods for power.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Jeanna Orphanidys
8147 Elbow Ln N, FL 33710

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I find it particularly interesting when we are facing climate change issues at this time in our history, you want to build on what is part of the cause of this natural disaster looming over us. I believe we and you FPL could come up with a better solution.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Nd
26 SW Bobalink, FL 34990

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been an FPL customer for over 20 years. In my opinion we do not need a rate increase to pay for fossil fuels which are at the lowest rate in years.

Sincerely,

Judith Stern
1821 N US Highway 1, FL 34946

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been an FPL customer since 1980. I strongly oppose this rate hike for multiple reasons but especially because I believe any new investments by power companies need to be in the non-fossil fuel spheres.

Sincerely,

Jacquelyn Edwards
355 1/2 W Wisconsin Ave, FL 32763

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been very disappointed in your position on utility companies. It appears you are protecting them not the citizens who need you. Please listen to our concerns and not big business and remember who it is you are to protect! Thank you

Sincerely,

Sandra Malek
281 Palm Ave, FL 33139

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been very disappointed in your position on utility companies. It appears you are protecting them not the citizens who need you. Please listen to our concerns and not big business and remember who it is you are to protect! Thank you

Sincerely,

Sandra Malek
281 Palm Ave, FL 33139

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have lived all around the world and the utilities here are ridiculously priced.

Sincerely,

Joycene Harwood
4408 Lake Fox Pl, FL 34219

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I HOPE THAT THIS MESSAGE DOES NOT GO TO "DEAF EARS!"

Sincerely,

Brenda Heistand
6060 Lexington Park, FL 32819

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I implore you to do the right thing and focus on clean energy before our already suffering state takes another environmental hit. Someone needs to protect the land and citizens of this state instead of continuing to support big gas and oil.

Sincerely,

Jane Hatker
6310 SE 47th Pl, FL 32693

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I live on a fixed income and I cannot afford any increase in my electric bill.

Sincerely,

Gayle Martin
950 Mockingbird Ln, FL 33324

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I most definitely oppose on rate hike we pay enough as it is. this is suppose to be the sunshine state and for the life of me i can't understand why we don't have big solar plants everywhere that would help florida's people tremendously cause we all know we have plenty of sun to keep it charged up.

Sincerely,

Steve Cormier
4825 NE 17th Ave, FL 33334

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I most definitely oppose on rate hike we pay enough as it is. this is suppose to be the sunshine state and for the life of me i can't understand why we don't have big solar plants everywhere that would help florida's people tremendously cause we all know we have plenty of sun to keep it charged up.

Sincerely,

Steve Cormier
4825 NE 17th Ave, FL 33334

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I most definitely oppose on rate hike we pay enough as it is. this is suppose to be the sunshine state and for the life of me i can't understand why we don't have big solar plants everywhere that would help florida's people tremendously cause we all know we have plenty of sun to keep it charged up.

Sincerely,

Steve Cormier
4825 NE 17th Ave, FL 33334

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I OPPOSE A RATE HIKE

Sincerely,

Patricia Rutt
9578 NW 53rd St, FL 33351

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I oppose any and all rate hikes.

We need to begin now to turn to investing in green energy, not dirty fossil fuels, for the sake of Florida's future.

Sincerely,

Ron Thuemler
2517 W Jean St, FL 33614

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I oppose the use of any fossil fuels especially those gotten by fracking.

Sincerely,

James Whitelock
635 NE 164th Ter, FL 33162

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I oppose this as resident of the state of Florida. This would be bad for the people of this state who already struggle with outrageously high utility bills. I believe it will also be a bad deal for the environment of this state. Please turn down this proposal.

Sincerely,

Leigh Thomas
785 73rd Ave N, FL 33702

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I personally think. This is a bad bad idea and pray you vote no Thanks. Mr Stuart Petrie a fpl customer

Sincerely,

Stuart Petrie
615 N 4th St, FL 33462

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I prefer that FPL invest my monies in clean energy. Other Nations are using these more advanced energies while lowering their carbon footprint. I feel we could be part of that inovative future, with all the influence and potential that FPL has at its fingertips.

Sincerely,

Olga Feheley
1806 Atlantis Pl, FL 32303

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I stand with the Sierra Club on restricting gas-burning power plants by FPL. The Sierra club has been a great steward of the environment here in Florida.

Sincerely,

Nancy Nassiff
3848 Virga Blvd, FL 34233

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I strongly oppose a rate hike, to any of Florida's power suppliers, to pay in advance for a plant that may never be built or put in use. That the proposed plant is not in the best interest of Florida citizens makes this proposal even more onerous. Please turn down FPL's request. Lois Jolley

Sincerely,

Lois Jolley
6605 100th Ave N, FL 33782

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I strongly oppose anything to do with fracking which creates toxic waste, pollutes air and water, and causes earthquakes. Using a high-pressure acidic injection system on a limestone shale substrate like we have in Florida is insane and jeopardizes the aquifer and miles of crystalline underground rivers. All fracking infrastructure is a distraction and deterrent from clean sustainable renewables like solar, wind and tidal. Do the right thing!

Sincerely,

Susan Werb
561 SW Manor Drive, FL 34994

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I strongly oppose building more dirty plants to pollute our environment and the rate increase.

Sincerely,

Roxanne Agelatos
19971 Back Nine Dr, FL 33498

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I thought FPL was moving towards renewable sources of energy. Requesting a rate increase for fossil fuels is unacceptable.

Sincerely,

Roberto Alvarez-Perez
1940 SW 32nd Ct, FL 33145

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I totally opposed to this to keep building more gas plants this earth is going to shit cause human all what they care it's about money it's enough I Say no stop

Sincerely,

Karina Paredes
165 SE 18th St, FL 33990

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I totally opposed to this to keep building more gas plants this earth is going to shit cause human all what they care it's about money it's enough I Say no stop

Sincerely,

Karina Paredes
165 SE 18th St, FL 33990

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I trust you to do what is right and proper for now and the future.

Sincerely,

Mary Elizabeth Mcilvane
504 Orange Dr Apt 25, FL 32701

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I want more investment in renewables. I want you to consider the people who can NOT afford a huge increase like this. The stockholders are getting enough.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Gordon
11701 SW 80th Rd, FL 33156

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I want to see more WINDMILLS for wind power and SOLAR PANELS for always accessible SOLAR POWER in Florida..

Johanna

Sincerely,

Johanna Bromberg
2052 Imperial Way, FL 33764

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I wish to preserve my health and my wealth (what there is of both)!

I always pay my FPL bills--FPL should pay me (and everyone) some respect for our well-being.

Thank You!

Sincerely,

J. Romanovich
1203 Bahama Bnd Apt A2, FL 33066

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I would be much more agreeable with allowing a rate hike that was going to be used to construct a solar farm or to construct a wind farm. There are much better uses of rate payers monies.

For that matter allowing roof top solar to be supported might make the need of additional generating power a moot point.

Sincerely,

Greg Gaucher
3610 College Pl, FL 32205

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I would be willing to pay more for solar and wind energy if necessary.

Sincerely,

Lisa Jennings
825 Muirfield Cir, FL 32712

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'd pay a rate hike for NON-FOSSIL fuel only. Keep the fossil fuels IN THE GROUND.

Sincerely,

Anne Curran
7254 Cloister Dr, FL 34231

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If FP&L requires an increase in production, they should focus on solar and wind energy - not building new fuel plants that are destined to become quickly obsolete as the rest of the country moves forward.

Florida should lead the country in clean energy and be an example to the rest of the states - not the butt of another joke for its shortsightedness.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Jensen
315 SW 84th Ter, FL 32607

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If FPL comes to you with a plan for innovative ways to increase electric generation without the need for using fossil fuels I say give it a close look. However, increasing my monthly bill for more of the same old technology is not an acceptable plan. Please deny this request.

Sincerely,

Mark Barrett
85 Brig Cir S, FL 33946

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

IF FPL WOULD USE THE MONEY FOR THESE AND THE NEW NUCLEAR PLANTS THEY WANT TO BUILD THEY COULD IMPLEMENT THEIR OWN SOLAR PANEL LEASING PROGRAM AND TURN EVERYONE ROOF INTO THERE GREEN GAIN!

Sincerely,

John Jensen
8930 SW 182nd Ter, FL 33157

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If there are other means of preventing worst effects of climate and not raise the rates. why not try this first ? NO hikes please.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Wimberly
321 W 28th St, FL 33404

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If we don't protect this earth, it will become uninhabitable.

Sincerely,

Susan Brown
66063 Cambridge Rd N, FL 33782

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If you do not deny this flagrant request to further finance investors's earnings due to your known affiliation with FPL and his ties to fracking pipelines and more , then hopefully the Fla Supreme Court will see fit to stop this crime against customers. Just like they overturned your attempt to charge us for FPL fracking investments. A majority of FPL customers do not want fracking, pipelines, associated LNG ports and transportation via trains, pipelines etc.

You all will be remembered by the decisions you make to keep the Sunshine State in the dark whilst destroying 90% of our water and our lives.

Think about this carefully.

Sincerely,

Debra Johnson
1712 4th Ave, FL 32094

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'll gladly pay more for renewable energy, but I refuse to pay more money for any fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Steven Wildones
302 S Palm Ave, FL 32951

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer and I don't want energy from dirty fuel sources or toxic, dangerous nuclear. We can do better

Sincerely,

Jean Paskalides
4786 Lakewood Blvd, FL 34112

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer and I don't want energy from dirty fuel sources or toxic, dangerous nuclear. We can do better

Sincerely,

Jean Paskalides
4786 Lakewood Blvd, FL 34112

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer and I don't want energy from dirty fuel sources or toxic, dangerous nuclear. We can do better

Sincerely,

Jean Paskalides
4786 Lakewood Blvd, FL 34112

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer and I don't want energy from dirty fuel sources or toxic, dangerous nuclear. We can do better

Sincerely,

Jean Paskalides
4786 Lakewood Blvd, FL 34112

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer. I would like to request no rate hikes for FPL. We need to use cleaner energy and save our planet and our wallets. We all can't afford a rate hike.

Sincerely,

Tina Guiler
6281 SW 5th street, FL 33317

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm having enough trouble paying my electric bill now! We certainly don't need the rates raised even more.

Sincerely,

Shade Burnett
3073 Lynnhaven St, FL 32738

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

In a time when Florida especially should be turning to solar power, we certainly should not be supporting rate increases for fossil fuel use.

Sincerely,

Judith Hannan
12181 NW 27th St, FL 33323

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

In addition, both my husband and I are 72 years old and living on a pittance of a Social Security benefits. We don't make ends meet as it is, and not getting a COLA increase doesn't help, either. The lot rent for our mobile home increases by \$35 a year due to real estate taxes etc. and a higher FPL bill would create even more of a hardship for us.

Sincerely,

Bruna Palmer
1249 SW 129th Ave, FL 33184

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

In my area FPL wants the homeowners to pay the street lights and now they want the home owners to pay for the lighting for a park by adding the cost to our property taxes! I objected to build the park because it will be a manifest for gangs! I don't think it's legal for an agency to double dip! I'm a disable veteran on a budget!

Sincerely,

Sandra Green
21201 NE 12th Ave, FL 33179

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

In the "sunshine" state, we should be using more of our natural resources i.e.: sun & wind instead of increased reliance on fossil fuel.

Sincerely,

Carol Singer
5302 Angel Wing Dr, FL 33437

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

In this SUNSHINE state, FPL should be exploring more uses for SOLAR, like making certain that any new development has solar power mandated and especially on the roofs of new office and big box buildings that are always going up.

Sincerely,

Sheila Calderon
2807 Waters Edge Cir, FL 33413

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Incentives to convert to sustainable solar and wind yes. Free money to keep doing the same destructive bs sounds more like Floriduh republikkans to me.

Sincerely,

Jim Norgrove
610 Driver Ave, FL 32789

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Is this more for the shareholders of FPL to have a larger return ?

Sincerely,

Gary Wolff
2537 SE Burton St, FL 34952

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is a scandal that here in the sunshine State there is so little solar generation of electricity. FPL should be putting money in that direction.

Sincerely,

David Cottingham
459 Beach Rd, FL 34242

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is my desire and has been for decades to support clean alternative energy. NOT fossil fuels. We're in Florida the "SUNSHINE STATE " with clean safe energy in abundance. Go solar.

Sincerely,

Sally Carmany
2072 Mobiland Dr, FL 32935

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is past time to put more corporate profits aside and deal with where we are as a planet. Florida is the sunshine state, yet is behind in the use of solar energy! We need to increase access to solar for individuals thru tax incentives and state and federal rebate programs and other ideas that spread the word that we have a clean energy option!

Sincerely,

Cynthia Crawford
1840 Sunset Pl, FL 33901

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It IS time for ALL Clean Energy!

Sincerely,

Caroline Miller
6834 4th Ave N, FL 33710

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time for FPL to invest in and build solar and wind electric plants which will make them more money in the future than any fossil fuel plants.

Sincerely,

A Lodigiani
1608 Von Phister St, FL 33040

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is Time for south florida to become a Green State - we have all we need from nature renewable resources: water wind and Sun, Let's use these resources already, what are we waiting for?

Sincerely,

Rose Marie Minio
4045 sheridan ave, 250, FL 33140

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time that fossil fuels get phased out and renewable energy sources take a greater role! There is no need for rate hikes for a fuel source that pollutes our environment. FPL does not need a rate hike, they only WANT one to make a larger profit than they already do!

Sincerely,

Lillian Hyland
9815 San Luca St, FL 33467

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time to invest the money of the future in the technology of the future, and move from the way things were done in the past.

Sincerely,

Phil Schimkat
1100 Mary Jane Ln, FL 34698

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is vital that to continue the use of fossil fuels into the next decade does not prepare for life of the next generation

Sincerely,

Sherry Springer
4209 Russell St, FL 33469

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

it would be nice if the public service commission would live up to their name and not allow fpl to raise rates and pollute the air.

Sincerely,

Mark Watson
16800 SW 107th Ct, FL 33157

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It would seem that this rate increase would be better utilized if they were investing in sustainable energy solutions. Especially given the current global environmental crisis. But it seems that FPL is only interested in moving backwards and their pockets at the expense of its customers and our sensitive Florida environment. Shame on them.

Sincerely,

Randy Astwood
376 Old Alemany Pl, FL 32765

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's about time we started implementing clean and renewable energy stations. Solar, wind anyone?

Sincerely,

Victor Barretto
1408 Sawgrass Pointe Dr, FL 32824

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time to move toward clean alternative energy sources.

Sincerely,

Daniel Goldstein
9071 Grayson Ct, FL 33473

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time to switch from fossil fuels to clean energy ; especially in our state of Fla. with so much sunshine !

Sincerely,

Ray Zink
3329 Purple Martin Dr Unit 123, FL 33950

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's way past time to eliminate the need and use for these destructive fuels.

Get forward thinking, stop fossil fuel exploration and usage.

Sincerely,

Doreen Nordstrom
2950 Olivewood Ter, FL 33431

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Just don't do it. No more fossil fuels. We only need clean energy from now on to SAVE THE PLANET - and also save money. Why don't you put up solar panels instead. THIS IS THE SUNSHINE STATE!

Sincerely,

Cheryl Vigoda
254 Dorset G, FL 33434

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Just for once, put the rubber stamps away and just say "No" to one these anti-consumer ideas.

Sincerely,

Larry Van Gelder
9600 Oak St NE, FL 33702

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

JUSTICE FOR ALL !!!!!!!

PEACE ON EARTH !!!!!!!

Sincerely,

Eleanor Kaye

1420 Atlantic Shores Blvd, FL 33009

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Keep fossil fuels in the ground. Z

Sincerely,

Carolyn Blice
1120 Banbury Trl, FL 32751

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Keep it in the ground!!!

Sincerely,

Seana Parker-Dalton
1816 Alice Ave, FL 32792

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Keep it in the ground!!!

Sincerely,

Seana Parker-Dalton
1816 Alice Ave, FL 32792

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let the oil company pay any hikes. They've been fleecing us for a century.

Sincerely,

Valerie Pflug
84 Boynton Ct, FL 32333

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's go green please

Sincerely,

Ezequiel Hernandez
9566 Kings Ranch Rd, FL 32583

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's pull our heads out of the sand and recognize that fossil fuels are killing us and our planet.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Wilkinson
1240 Brumley Rd, FL 32766

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More clean energy. Keep fossil fuels in the ground.

Sincerely,

Joan Gale
214 Mansfield F, FL 33434

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More price breaks and prices for solar panels should be given to the people purchasing them, for using power such as our own sun's rays not generated power. On another note our neighbors, businesses, etc. should not be so wasteful of energy use! How many people on your street needlessly use lights ALL NIGHT instead of on demand security lights which come on as needed. These people need to be charged at a higher rate above 'normal' usage for being wasteful as a start.

Sincerely,

Marcia Clark
2418 Sugarloaf Ln, FL 33312

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Most Florida lan's are on. Fixed income!

Sincerely,

Sybel W Lee
602 NW 100th St, FL 33150

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Much more public discussion on this topic needs to happen before any money is requested. Florida is really bad about slipping through legislation without offering public information sessions so the public can make informed decisions. Lazy dishonest.

Sincerely,

Glynda Caldwell
13822 Harbor Creek Pl, FL 32224

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Much more public discussion on this topic needs to happen before any money is requested. Florida is really bad about slipping through legislation without offering public information sessions so the public can make informed decisions. Lazy dishonest.

Sincerely,

Glynda Caldwell
13822 Harbor Creek Pl, FL 32224

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

na

Sincerely,

Scott Page
6973 Premonition Dr, FL 32940

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Natural gas and coal are rapidly becoming obsolete as a means of producing electricity. It is doubtful that new gas burning plants will be needed in ten or twenty years.

Sincerely,

Thomas Prebys
3777 Four Oaks Blvd, FL 32311

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Need to spend any new money on solar!

Sincerely,

Carolyn Moore
20550 Nalle Rd, FL 33917

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No Fracking in Florida. We need more solar and windmills.

Sincerely,

Jessika Arman-Valdivia
1787 Pinyon Pine Dr, FL 34240

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No Fracking in Florida. We need more solar and windmills.

Sincerely,

Jessika Arman-Valdivia
1787 Pinyon Pine Dr, FL 34240

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No increase in Social Security, but so many other fees, auto insurance, homeowners' insurance, Bright House have all gone up. What are we getting for the increases?

Sincerely,

Suzanne Valencia

410 lemon grove ave, FL 32904

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more careless decisions on climate change! Our children need this planet too!!!!

Sincerely,

Rhonda Moss
420 13th St SW, FL 32962

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No More fossil fuels. They are so old, and we are so not buying it anymore!!

Sincerely,

Laura Gray
PO Box 3503, FL 33946

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more money for FPL. Let's start subsidizing solar power for the roof tops of consumers.

Sincerely,

Jerry Swanson
4120 Pioneer Way, FL 32168

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO MORE PROFITS FOR BIG GAS. THAT REALLY STINKS.

Sincerely,

Roberta Sebastian
999 Hamilton Dr Apt B, FL 33034

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

no more rate hikes

Sincerely,

Robert Wiley
37054 S Pine St, FL 32046

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more rate hikes!

Sincerely,

Nicholas Zaharias
5775 Parkwalk Dr, FL 33472

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

no more robbery, we need a new electric company in Miami.

Sincerely,

Frank Reyes
1625 SW 72nd Ct, FL 33155

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

no rate hikes for florida customers without aproval for fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Dave Delson
7651 W Country Club Blvd, FL 33487

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate hikes for fossil fuels. Florida is the Sunshine state, let's make it the Solar state!

Sincerely,

Kathy VenRooy
3704 Westover Cir, FL 34748

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate hikes!!!!

Sincerely,

Darlene DuFrane
913 Chickadee Dr, FL 34285

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No to fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Sandra Houghton
116 48th St W, FL 34209

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No to gas plants. NO to fracking! Renewable is a must. I am going to check out Arcadia Power. I do not want to give any more of my money to FPL.

Sincerely,

Beverly Morris

190 Seminole Ln Apt 201, FL 32931

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No to gas, yes to solar

Sincerely,

Mary Jane Romano
2074 Grey Falcon Cir SW, FL 32962

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No to gas, yes to solar

Sincerely,

Mary Jane Romano
2074 Grey Falcon Cir SW, FL 32962

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO TO Rate Hikes to Support GAS PLANTS

Sincerely,

Richard Bradock
2161 Kendall Ct, FL 32738

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No. We have unlimited sun here in Florida tap into that! A while back you were asking for donations to pay for solar power fields. This is a slap in the face to those that donated and tried to push this technology forward. My bill is over 400 per month. No I don't want it higher...

Sincerely,

Jeanene Booth
8301 sw 44th pl, FL 33328

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Norm Hansen

Sincerely,

Norm Hansen

3401 S Ocean Blvd Apt 4, FL 33487

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Now is the time to save our planet by switching to green energy.

Sincerely,

Norman Taylor
8623 SW 108th Place Rd, FL 34481

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Phase out use of dangerous Nuclear plants that are also polluting our environment! Stop charging consumers for cleanup of their waste! FP&L makes plenty of profit already!

Sincerely,

Carla Ochoa
1736 SW 12th St, FL 33135

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

please block this rate increase. Fixed incomes cannot tolerate this increase!!

Sincerely,

Rae Wright
2931 NW 48th St, FL 33309

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

please consider people and the environment in your decision.

Sincerely,

Brenon Duff
2424 50th Ave N Lot 53, FL 33714

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please deny FPL's rate increase. FPL has, for years denied the environmental impact of discharge from its nuclear plant at Turkey Point. Because the impact is so severe it can no longer be denied, FPL now admits it was wrong, but not by the degree claimed by environmental scientists. This is another example of FPL's unwillingness to accept responsibility for its actions. The same will be true if the utility is allowed to increase its use of fossil fuel instead of investing in clean energy technology that will not exacerbate climate change.

Sincerely,

William Billings
1 Drury Dr, FL 33037

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please deny the corporate welfare.

Sincerely,

Dennis Hall
30000 Orange Ave, FL 34945

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please do not raise our electric bills any more

Sincerely,

Ellen Denham
735 Apollo Cir NE, FL 32905

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please do this for our future !

Sincerely,

Sara LeMaire
11109 SW 10th Ter, FL 32667

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please dont

Sincerely,

Marcelo ferreyra
6600 cypress rd, 407, FL 33317

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please don't raise our rates

Sincerely,

Laura Burkard
1360 New Forest Ln, FL 34229

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please don't raise our rates.

Sincerely,

Debra Lesniak
3497 NE 17th Ave, FL 33334

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please listen to the people in this case, not power companies.

Sincerely,

Frederick Younglove
3431 Forest Bridge Cir, FL 33511

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please no. I OPPOSE a rate hike that would allow for more burning and usage of fossil fuels. Cleaner energy solutions are out there-- the future is now. We must get away from dirty energy such as coal, natural gas and oil. Thank you. Please do this for the betterment of the greater good.

Sincerely,

Maria Bolton-Joubert
524 Meridale Ave, FL 32803

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please SAVE the planet!!

Sincerely,

Peggy Alcorn
13881 89th Ave N, FL 33776

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please support residential solar.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Huie
104 Sands Point Dr, FL 33715

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please tell FPL to invest in renewable energy sources rather than fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Jason Irsay
552 SE 5th Cir, FL 33435

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please!!!! As a 20 year plus resident of Florida.....let's focus on solar power....it is our only future and a logical choice for the Sunshine State!!!

Sincerely,

Richard Rauscher
2814 W Robson St, FL 33614

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please!!!! As a 20 year plus resident of Florida.....let's focus on solar power....it is our only future and a logical choice for the Sunshine State!!!

Sincerely,

Richard Rauscher
2814 W Robson St, FL 33614

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Power companies make more than enough money as it is, they do not deserve to rape and steal from the people any longer, this goes for Southern Company also!

Sincerely,

Russell Riley
7954 Atlas St, FL 32506

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Power companies make more than enough money as it is, they do not deserve to rape and steal from the people any longer, this goes for Southern Company also!

Sincerely,

Russell Riley
7954 Atlas St, FL 32506

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Protect our people

Sincerely,

Keith Lawrence

331 Casa Grande Ct, FL 32708

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Protect our people

Sincerely,

Keith Lawrence

331 Casa Grande Ct, FL 32708

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Raise rates? Are you frigging kidding me? How about taxing the wealthy instead of giving them tax breaks????

Sincerely,

Scott Hackenberg
1231 Larkspur St, FL 32958

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Ridiculous as it is, all about making the big man's pockets fatter

Sincerely,

Matthew Prince
318 Maine Ave, FL 32401

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Right now in this state of Florida there is on the August ballot a Solar initiative that the voters will vote on. We do not want any further gas plants, nor fracking to enable gas to be taken from the ground in Florida. I have many family members in south Florida that have FPL service and you will be hearing from them as well. We want to give SOLAR POWER in this state a chance before we continue with the same ole, same ole.

Sincerely,

Fawn Avant
2033 Bonnie Oaks Dr, FL 32034

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

So much of the Florida population are retirees and on fixed incomes. This would greatly impact our lives.

Sincerely,

Diana Olsen
6300 Lake Wilson Rd, FL 33896

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Social security hasn't increased in years so this makes a hardship on many!

Sincerely,

Sheila Freedman
1905 Alamanda Dr, FL 33181

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

SOLAR !!!! Florida + SUNSHINE !!!!

Sincerely,

John Sweeney
6836 Carob Dr, FL 34653

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar is infinitely cheaper when you consider all the costs of the health and environmental damage of fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Geraldine Swormstedt
1100 Imperial Dr. 204, FL 34236

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar on homes is the way to go.

Sincerely,

David Beaton
3706 Royal Palm Ave, FL 34234

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar power in the Sunshine State is a given please for my money: read climate change is real!!!

Sincerely,

Leon Jeffrey Lickteig
1625 42nd Sq Apt 101, FL 32960

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

SOLAR!

Sincerely,

Marck Oconnell
8423 Pebble Dr, FL 34668

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar. Not fossil fuel

Sincerely,

William Taylor
19818 Loxahatchee Pointe Dr, FL 33458

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Speaking as an FPL customer, I want you to recognize that we as current inhabitants of this planet must to move forward on developing/using renewal sources of energy and move away from fossil fuels. It is the only way to leave an inhabitable planet to future generations - human and non-human!

Sincerely,

Margaret Minges
211 Saint Charles Ct, FL 33477

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Spend the money on solar energy.

Sincerely,

Edward Sullivan
2425 Old Vineland Rd, FL 34746

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Start investing in environment-friendly sources of energy

Sincerely,

James Hagerty
3026 Sweet Pine Dr, FL 32935

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop subsidizing fossil fuels at the public's expense. We want Solar.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Ewing
PO Box 380, FL 33945

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop the Rate Hikes!

Sincerely,

Ernest Godoy
6129 SW 147th Pl, FL 33193

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop with the old fashioned energy uses that are heating up biscayne bay & ur cooling canals making these plans an environmental danger!!!

Sincerely,

Michael Gorsetman
1545 Mercado Ave, FL 33146

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Surely a little ol' person like me isn't wiser than you all. I am a native Floridian 3rd generation..please pay attention to the resources that are precious to us.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Byrd Hanson
17344 SE 34th Ln, FL 32179

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Take some action for the public for a change?and for future generations, not for the profiteers.

Sincerely,

Ray Bellamy
509 Vinnedge Ride, FL 32303

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Thank you for your serious consideration of this issue.

Sincerely,

Faye Milner
3909 Reserve Dr Apt 434, FL 32311

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Thank You!

Sincerely,

Brian Cohen

19115 NE 18th Ave, FL 33179

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The commissions continued disregard for the public interest is unconscionable!

Sincerely,

Neil Albert
1890 S Ocean Dr Apt 601E, FL 33009

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

the fossil fuel industry is the epitome of corporate welfare. They need to invest in renewable resources to get any tax break.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Blalock
2173 Highland Ave, FL 32935

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The future health of the next generation is at stake here.

Sincerely,

Mark Hernandez
1608 White Dove Ct, FL 33510

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The money would be put to a better use in developing wind generators and solar generators. I don't want you to increase your rates to destroy the planet.

Sincerely,

Patty Ramirez
9520 NW 83rd St, FL 33321

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The money would be put to a better use in developing wind generators and solar generators. I don't want you to increase your rates to destroy the planet.

Sincerely,

Patty Ramirez
9520 NW 83rd St, FL 33321

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The people of Florida have been raped enough by these electric companies. Go solar!!!

Sincerely,

Constance Carfrey
1341 Rebecca Dr, FL 33844

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The Public Service Commission should be encouraging solar energy, because solar energy is one of Florida's most abundant resources. Solar makes more sense for Florida than natural gas, which pollutes with greenhouse gases and necessitates pipelines which destroy wildlife habitat, encroach on private and public property and leak greenhouse gases. In addition, the Commission should stop its efforts to restrict energy generation and sales to the large power companies. This practice contributes to the cost of electric power to Florida customers by restricting competition and discourages innovation in power generation and distribution.

Sincerely,

Mary Hrenda
9212 31st Street Ct E, FL 34219

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

the rates are high enough and why not push solar.

Sincerely,

Mary Laughlin
1153 NW 84th Ave, FL 33322

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The SMARTER investment is in RENEWABLES! DO IT NOW!!

Sincerely,

Laura Dailey
414 sw washington ave, FL 32038

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The so-called "Sunshine" state should have already led the nation in Solar Energy, instead of fracking around with the obsolete oil industry!

Sincerely,

Karen Laakaniemi
428 Childers St, FL 32534

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The Sunshine State needs to protect the environment by using non-polluting fuel, solar panels particularly. Let people who can install the panels do so without penalty.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hughes
8211 Via Hermosa St, FL 32771

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

There's needs to be a larger emphasis on conservation and keeping Florida's air and water clean. Using less energy is a priority. And the energy we use needs to have a minimal impact on the earth.

Sincerely,

Peggy Goodale
7232 118th Cir, FL 33773

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

There's needs to be a larger emphasis on conservation and keeping Florida's air and water clean. Using less energy is a priority. And the energy we use needs to have a minimal impact on the earth.

Sincerely,

Peggy Goodale
7232 118th Cir, FL 33773

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

They've enough raises to last a hundred years!

Sincerely,

Jana Hirsekorn
8001 W Lake Dr, FL 33406

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This fossil fuel is a disaster for Climate Change and we need to do everything to preserve our air for our children and grandchildren! Not to mention our wonderful wildlife and our precious ecosystem will be destroyed! Please do not raise our rates as this fossil fuel is hazardous to our health!

Sincerely,

Rosemary Tofexis
9430 Boca Cove Cir Apt 215, FL 33428

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is an issue to be taken very seriously indeed

Sincerely,

Hamish Knight
16365 Cammi Ln, FL 33326

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is an utterly backwards proposal. Please oppose this foolhardy, shortsighted measure that sacrifices consumer happiness today and tomorrow for corporate profits!

Sincerely,

Laurel Cohen
1932 Old Colony Ln, FL 32751

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

THIS IS GREED pure & simple, we the people are sick of your monopoly. FPL made 1.3 Billion last year in net profit, why should we pay a rate hike? You pay your Top Executives MILLIONS you have some nerve to give us a rate hike. CEO Robo collected \$30 million in 2015, the big prize came in the form of stock wealth. Through stock vesting, Robo took ownership of 208,000 shares of NextEra (NYSE: NEE) worth \$22 million. He exercised options on 50,000 shares of NextEra for a gain of \$3 million. Chief Financial Officer Moray Dewhurst \$10.8 million, Armando Pimentel \$8.1 million, Manoochehr Nazar, \$6.4 million. FPL already ripped off Miami residents for the Nuclear plant you NEVER built and have leaking nuclear waste. Now if you wanted to expand GREEN ENERGY with wind turbines & solar instead of natural gas I would reconsider. For many retired people that includes myself on a fixed income this will be a hardship, either pay the FPL bill or eat.

Sincerely,

Victoria Olson
4190 NW 11th Ave, FL 33309

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is sad

Sincerely,

Wilkenia Pujols
319 Cypress St, FL 32824

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is the Sunshine State - let's maximize our use of this non-polluting resource first.

Sincerely,

David Luty
10000 SW 142nd St, FL 33176

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is the sunshine state. Use more solar energy. Never ever use fracking.

Sincerely,

Sierra Goldsmith
1440 Middle Gulf Dr Unit 3C, FL 33957

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is typical corporate mindset of the greedy, whom doesn't have any concern for the public's well being or the environment.

Sincerely,

Thomas Joy
1705 El Dorado Blvd N, FL 33993

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is ultimately about protecting ratepayers from excessive fuel adjust fees.

Sincerely,

Jim Teas

8445 SW 181st Ter, FL 33157

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This rate hike must be refused.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Fisher
7 SW 8th Ct, FL 33444

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This should be an expense of the private electric company and not a burden on the taxpayers. Please consider taxpayers want to invest in clean solar and wind power not gas!

Sincerely,

Staci Garber
14847 Seminole Trail, FL 33776

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Those like myself on fixed incomes continue to struggle to afford to live. Not only are higher rates unacceptable, but the damage from the practice of Fracking is not a sustainable energy option for the future of the planet. Energy companies need to be the leaders in safe, long term energy production. You Can Do Better!

Sincerely,

Jane Patton
5029 Elon Cres, FL 33810

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Thousands of your customers, at this time of year, are deciding between paying their utility bill or their rent. You made well over a billion dollars last year and do not a rate increase. Your customers could use your investment in greater participation in energy efficiency loans to lower their bills and keep our quickly warming planet livable. No more fossil fuel plants. They are putting us a destructive path of no return.

Sincerely,

Jerry Buechler
1719 SW Leafy Rd, FL 34953

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

To the devil with fossil fuels and fpl. Invest in sustainable ,renewable 21st century tech. and start repairing the damage done with the use of out dated carbon based energy sources. Let the new era of energy come.

Sincerely,

Karol Klein
12507 Duck Lake Canal Rd, FL 33525

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Wake up!

Sincerely,

Jason Mellica
2078 Pinehurst St, FL 34231

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We all need to switch to solar which Florida can easily do. This saves our air!!! Staying in the past will bring the same fate as dinosaurs!

Sincerely,

Christine Nonnenmacher
1348 Par Ave, FL 32174

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are all paying too much already .

Sincerely,

George Santiago
152 Belle Grove Ln, FL 33411

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We cannot afford these bills to be any higher it isn't fair!

Sincerely,

Beth Wescott

3665 Brownwood Ter, FL 34286

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We don't need any new gas burning plants in Florida. What we need is fossil free gas or fuel.

Sincerely,

Josephine Burns
6226 Coral Lake Dr, FL 33063

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have already been bilked of millions of dollars to pay for nuclear power plants we didn't want, which were never built, but we kept paying to offset the loss!!! This is stinking just the way that deal did.

Sincerely,

Valerie Friedman
7948 Snowberry Cir, FL 32819

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have both solar and wind opportunities that we should invest in instead of more fossil fuel usage.

Sincerely,

Shannon Talty
207 Reece Way Ste 1625, FL 32707

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have enough wind and solar...why are we looking at fossil fuels...look to the future not the past

Sincerely,

Gene Mcfalls
5543 Kenwood Dr, FL 34287

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have SUN! Install solar panels OVER parking lots: WE get FREE energy, WE get SHADY parking and maybe slow the rise of ocean levels. Burning fossil fuels is MASS suicide and We'd contribute to the extinction of our fellow species (WHO DON'T USE FOSSIL FUELS). The gas pipeline would kill the springs. Fracking will make our precious WATER unpotable. It only profits the spoilers.

Sincerely,

J Pence
318 SE 71st St, FL 32641

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have to stand up to utilities' backward thinking and support the new energy economy! Please listen to your citizens and not the lobbyists. They don't work for us, any of us!

Sincerely,

Albert Matheny
2613 NW 24th Ter, FL 32605

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have to stop with the gas, oil and coal. We have to put climate change FIRST. IT IS TIME WE GROW UP AND BECOME RESPONSIBLE.

Sincerely,

Bobbi Segal
5517 Hobart Ave, FL 33405

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We know that you're pushing a false solar option for the November elections, doing even more damage to the solar options to the sunshine state. Stop doing harm.

Sincerely,

David Cownie
180 N Sunset Dr, FL 32707

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need alternative energy sources such as wind and solar. We are wonderfully situated to benefit from the use of both!

Sincerely,

Kathy Jongsma
8724 51st Ter E, FL 34211

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

WE NEED ENERGY FOR PEOPLE NOT FOR PROFIT ENOUGH OF THE GREED IT'S ALREADY KILLING PLANET
EARTH ENOUGH ENOUGH OF YOUR EVIL GREED CORPORATE AMERICA

Sincerely,

Adrian Robinson
2320 SW 89th Ct, FL 33165

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

we need FPL to make life easier, not to milk our pocketbooks!!

Sincerely,

Marco Testasecca
611 Vanderbaker Rd, FL 33617

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need more electric companies..

Sincerely,

Cibelle Krust
5052 Cortina Ct, FL 34103

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need renewable energy in Florida NOW. Natural gas is NOT the solution!

Sincerely,

Sandra Jolly
2490 SE Marseille St, FL 34952

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to cut reduce our reliance on dirty fossil fuels. Climate change is real.

Sincerely,

Linda Crutchfield
320 W 54th St, FL 33012

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to focus on clean fuels. After all, aren't we "The Sunshine State?"

Sincerely,

Holly Clouse
2504 N Radcliffe Pl, FL 34207

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to look into other means to survive - solar sounds like the way.

Sincerely,

Robert Reif
4520 Feivel Rd Apt 51, FL 33417

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to reduce our dependence on fossil fuels and not add to an existing problem. I strongly disagree with FPL rate hikes for this purpose. We need more renewable sources of energy and lower costs.

Sincerely,

Carol Cook
4753 Via Carmen, FL 34105

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to round the corner toward fossil fuel free energy.

Sincerely,

Janet Stanko
13953 Athens Dr, FL 32223

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to stop using fossil fuels and using more green technology

Sincerely,

Martin Sheehan
8817 N Atlantic Ave Lot 87, FL 32920

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to take a close look at alternatives, and find ways to avoid more fossil fuel use!

Sincerely,

Helen Scheffley
1059 E Page Drive, FL 32725

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to use renewable, nonpolluting fuel sources or the planet will be uninhabitable even sooner!

Sincerely,

Nancy Roessel
211 SW Heflin Ave, FL 32038

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We really to invest in Solar here in Florida. I have family in the UK, a country not known for sunny days, and they are all benefitting from lower power bills due to solar panels on their roofs. It's about time that Florida woke up and started to use it's biggest asset, sunshine.

Sincerely,

Sonia Johannesson
400 4th Ave S Apt 204, FL 33701

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We should at least get past the solar energy amendments in the upcoming elections before allowing utility companies to expand.

Sincerely,

Jack Holland
1855 Lankcashire Ct, FL 32955

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We should be focusing on renewable energy, especially Solar, considering this is the Sunshine State.

Sincerely,

Quida Jacobs
1220 Marseille Dr, FL 33141

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We the people continue to support clean air, clean environment, alternative energy products and refuse to accept the damage caused by fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Joyce Hill
6495 Coliseum Blvd, FL 33981

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We will be paying close attention to how the Commission proceeds with this requested rate hike from FP&L and the process by which it is approved or denied.

Sincerely,

Lauren Lunde
4465 Brighton Blvd, FL 32754

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We're the sunshine state come on! Lets do more solar energy for business & homeowners as well as letting the utilities develop large scale solar.

Sincerely,

Wayne Wallace
10840 Endeavour Way, FL 33777

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We've had the worst July ever due to global warming. You need to be a responsible citizen and come up with earth friendly solutions to power needs.

Sincerely,

Colleen Rosenbaum
1005 River Wind Cir, FL 32967

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What Florida NEEDS is solar. FPL should put a solar farm next to every power plant they have now and into the future. After all, Florida is the sunshine state.

Sincerely,

Barb Wells
3109 Southern Aire Dr, FL 33825

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What is wrong with solar power? Our state can do it!

Sincerely,

Judith Sockloff
10210 SW 59th Ave, FL 33156

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What the "Sunshine State" needs to do instead is get out of the Dark Ages and provide larger subsidies for individual homeowners and businesses to put solar panels on their roofs to take advantage of our greatest natural resource. This needs to be funded sufficiently to provide for EVERY person who wants to take advantage of it, rather than the handful who are first in line every year.

Sincerely,

Jim Marsee
PO Box 836538, FL 33283

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

When are companies going to stop ripping off consumers and the land we live in?

Sincerely,

Reid Burroughs
492 Misty Oaks Run, FL 32707

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

When does the gouging stop!

Sincerely,

Jon Drossos
245 N Clara Ave, FL 32720

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

When will these big corporations learn that continuing destructive policies will, in the long run, hurt them as much as, in the short run, it will harm us consumers--harm both them and us financially and physically. Global warming is real!

Sincerely,

Stephen Fox
475 Tomoka Dr, FL 34223

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why are you so backward?

Sincerely,

Joseph Daraio
9523 Taormina St, FL 33467

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why do we allow public utilities to charge their users for infrastructure construction? We didn't prepay to McDonald's for the next one built. That's business. You, FPL, have been too cozy with the utilities you supposedly regulate. Look at the nuclear power fiasco that users prepaid for, did not get built, but no refund from the utility to the users who ponied up BIG TIME in rate increases to pay for the new nuclear plant. Let the utility take the risk, not pass it on to the users. That's how business usually works, especially without FL Public Service Commission rubber stamping whatever utilities want.

Sincerely,

Ricky Rowell
12833 Spur Rd, FL 34669

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why do you inhibit solar power in the sunshine state???

Sincerely,

Thomas Baird
550 Ryans Woods Ln, FL 34683

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why in the world are we not using solar or wave powered electricity? We NEED to do this NOW

Sincerely,

Carina Henry
839 Oak Arbor Cir, FL 32084

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why isn't the Sunshine State using more solar power?

Sincerely,

William Ramsey
4819 Sweetmeadow Cir, FL 34238

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why isn't non-polluting and renewable solar power part of FPL's plans?

Sincerely,

Virginia Hitchcock
1639 Peregrine Point, FL 34231

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Windmill fields and especially solar resources are viable alternatives to be explored before utilizing fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Charles Rieders
458 Blakey Blvd, FL 32931

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With solar and energy storage prices falling, now is not the time to invest in gas-burners. Please block FPL's rate hikes to build more fossil fueled facilities, for the good of my grandchildren.

Sincerely,

Ross McCluney
219 Johnson Ave, FL 32920

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With the cost of living increase of .02%, increase in health care costs, increase in homeowner taxes, we simply cannot afford more money for FPL to build power plants that use coal and ruin the environment. I am an FPL customer and live in Florida. I think solar energy is the better way to go and less expensive. I'm opposed to this rate increase.

Sincerely,

Sharon Leyland
8436 Kinglet Dr, FL 34224

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With the cost of solar power down to 10% of previous totals, I don't see why we cannot have a predominately clean and sustainable source of energy. Climate change and pollution are not acceptable consequences for our future.

Sincerely,

Mariko Yannacone
466 Candlestick Ave NE, FL 32907

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Wtf, as if the food prices, utility bills, insurance and cell phones, taxes, school tuition, automobiles and repair, and everything inbetween gone up dramatically throughout the years, but a person wage remains low, having people to have second jobs, or moving in with each other to cover the cost.

Now a person retirement age is 73 years old.

To old to enjoy the final their last years, plus the cost of dying.

Wow , what has become of the US, at one time looked ip upon, now ranks low in the worlds eyes. Once a hero, now a greedy nation of power, wealth, and health cost,

What ever happened in taking care of your people.

The rich would be utilized if they lead and had a middle class, good wages, fair prices on goods, that should be made in America and not imported from other and made in ither countries.

Let's have and make this country great again, simple solutions that was the pride at one time be whole again.

Sincerely

Renny Rosencrans

Sincerely,

Angela and Wayne(Renny) Urbina/Rosencrans III
1804 Landing Dr Apt B, FL 32771

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You all need to take into consideration the number of people on very tight and fixed budgets. Some can barely buy food. There is no need to be greedy. God provides the sun and if this happens I will go totally solar or better, move to another state. Greed is one of the worst things anyone can do to others. Please listen to the PEOPLE,. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Janet Grzegorek
11127 Island Pine Dr, FL 34668

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You already know it's the right thing to do.

Sincerely,

Jane Rodgers
4806 Lanett Dr, FL 32526

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You are not doing your job if you allow more fossil fuels to be used to provide energy when we have solar & wind power that can be harnessed for our needs!

Sincerely,

Lucy Thater
330 NW Millpond Ln, FL 34986

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You won't be sorry. In fact, anything you do with wisdom and careful consideration for us all is welcome and laudable. It does not include wreaking more havoc with natural systems. We are all connected, whether we like and acknowledge it or not, and it's high time we start living and using our big brains and technology that way.

Sincerely,

Tina Henize
508 Jewel St, FL 34601

8/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You've failed Floridians in the past by protecting the utilities' monopoly on power generation, undercutting people's ability to generate solar energy for themselves and their neighbors, and letting the utilities charge us higher rates for possible future nuclear plants. Please actually stand by your commission's name and defend the public by denying FPL's rate hike attempt.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Dorn
1927 Blue Rock Dr Apt 301, FL 33612

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a FPL customer, I urge you to take action to protect me and thousands more from this proposed rate hike. Like many others, I am living on a fixed income. I strongly believe there are alternatives which are safer and less harmful to our environment. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Theresa Moore
418 Elise La, FL 32940

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer, the rate hikes are unjustified and inappropriate especially with the rising costs of electricity, a warming earth, the need of transition to clean, renewable energy, FPL's record profits, and the fact that they reduced peak generation in the past. I adamantly oppose any rate hikes.

Sincerely,

Rani Khan
19027 NW 80th Ct, FL 33015

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

At an elevation of 6ft. my home is already in danger from rising sea levels and hurricane storm surges. We need to find energy solutions that are carbon neutral.

Sincerely,

Anne Harvey
5641 Cruzat Way, FL 32507

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

CLEAN ENERGY NOW!!! It's already late!!

Sincerely,

Loretta Goldenberg
27277 Gasparilla Dr, FL 34135

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Cmon now FL Power, give us a break. We are a Sunshine State...use some natural resources and brain power for a Brighter future. Stop the prehistoric, reckless thinking, its almost 2020 !!

Sincerely,

Barbara Link
2700 Bayshore Blvd Apt 1205, FL 34698

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida being the Sunshine State should really be THE Leader in Solar Energy!

Sincerely,

Jennifer Norvesh
8360 Lake Amhurst Trl, FL 32829

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL needs to put their money where their mouth is - their consistent voice is that they don't raise rates. We all know that is a lie.

Sincerely,

Elaine Hill
441 NE 55th St, FL 33334

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go solar!

Sincerely,

Judi Kearney
26008 NW 206 Place, FL 32643

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Government is supposed to be for the people, not the big utility companies.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Quinn
6828 Honeysuckle Trl, FL 34202

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I belong to Calhoun County Citizens Against Fracking, a grassroots effort to ban the industries pursuit of oil and natural gas at the expense of the health of citizens and the environment. Please invest in developing alternative energy sources instead of draining your customers and the earth dry.

Sincerely,

Thersia Smith
23125 NW Walter Potts Rd, FL 32421

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I care about this earth. We need clean energy alternatives, not more fossil fuel. As an aside my electric bill for my home is outrageous as it is and I have followed every energy saving incentive. Cannot afford any more increases

Sincerely,

Adel Sarnoff
21221 Highland Lakes Blvd, FL 33179

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I feel because we live in Florida we should be using more Solar power. The Florida Keys Cooperative makes solar power available to their customers. They even buy back power, and share.

Sincerely,

Jill Aronofsky
5781 sw 188 ave, FL 33332

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I forgot to add when I wrote before that we are customers of FPL for our condo in St. Augustine, FL.

We are very much opposed to our rates being increased unfairly. We urge FLP to explore lower cost alternatives and urge you to deny their request. With thanks.

Sincerely,

Barbara Probert
1522 SW 35th Place, FL 32608

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I just spent 300 dollars to upgrade to led products for my home and poor FPL is missing my 40 dollars a month! Well tell them to PISS OFF! Why have they not wisely spent there money to upgrade there system? Because they pay their top CEO's 6 digit salaries that's why.

Sincerely,

Glenn Bristol
PO Box 1027, FL 32136

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I was under the impression that we were the "Sunshine State". Why isn't FPL using more of that? We also have reliable tidal "power" up and down both coasts. How about harnessing that? And, we do get the occasional breeze--unbeknownst to FPL, it seems. FPL needs to get serious about using environmentally sound sources of power instead of doubling down on fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Theodore Padgett
10099 Bentley Ave, FL 34224

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'd like to see you do what's best for the people of Florida and to protect our state's natural resources.

Sincerely,

Mary Lashier
1767 Hermitage Blvd Apt 12304, FL 32308

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If this is necessary, put your reasons out first and defend them. Let the people decide if your reasons are good enough.

Sincerely,

Douglas Klein
4730 Shore Acres Blvd NE, FL 33703

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

In addition to the above, the PSC should reject FPL's request because of the ancillary risks and ecological damage associated with pipeline construction and operation. The pipelines, including the poorly planned Sabal Trail project would be needed only to transport fracked natural gas to FPL's power plants. The pipelines are a known source of methane leakage, which compounds the problem of GHG in our atmosphere.

I am an FPL customer, and I ask the commissioners to make the interests of FPL's customers and the health and safety of Florida's citizens their first priority.

Sincerely,

John Saathoff
P.O. Box 551, FL 32902

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Insanity!

Sincerely,

Victoria Heilman
42555 State Rd. 64 E., FL 34251

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is disgraceful that fpl ask for a raise. Such corporate greed

Sincerely,

Marcia Lefkowitz
10212 Caracas st, FL 33026

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time to use sustainable energy!

Sincerely,

Margot Dorfman
423 6th Ave, FL 32951

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more fossil use and that applies to natural gas and its need for Fracking. Please stop Fracking and lead the sunshine state to use our great sun to move us away from last century fossil fuels and on to solar energy: Motto: Sunshine use for the Sunshine state.

Sincerely,

Helen Jo Williams
6501 17thAveW., J-213,, FL 34209

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more hikes?? We can't afford the rates now?

Sincerely,

Keeba Hack
2146 NW 47th St, FL 33142

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate hike please!!!!

Sincerely,

Raymond Christopher
PO Box 262322, FL 33685

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No! It's time for solar and wind powered energy.

Sincerely,

Dorie Jackson
6026 22nd Avenue Dr E, FL 34221

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please consider the long term future of this great state. The future should not include more fossil fuel. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brunetta Pfaender
6175 Verdura Way, FL 32311

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please help our and future generations to keep our clean air.

Sincerely,

Nancy Pierola
732 Ibis Way, FL 33408

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please help our and future generations to keep our clean air.

Sincerely,

Nancy Pierola
732 Ibis Way, FL 33408

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please help our and future generations to keep our clean air.

Sincerely,

Nancy Pierola
732 Ibis Way, FL 33408

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Rates are high now. Solar energy needs to be encouraged.

Sincerely,

Lenore Wachtl
828 Forsyth Street, FL 33487

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop the insanity. Go solar. Our children deserve a future to believe in.

Sincerely,

Tamara Robbins
PO box 2317, FL 32616

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop the madness!

Sincerely,

MaryJo evans
885 Sailfish Dr, FL 32233

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Support photovoltaic and other renewable energy sources.

Sincerely,

Gerry Fitzgerald
1535 Center Street, FL 33957

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Thank you for helping Citizens of Florida.

Sincerely,

Michael Mac Hardy
1159 James Ave, FL 32738

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

They're just continually being paid to pollute and destroy the environment! When will it end?

Sincerely,

Judith Haglund
PO Box 3014, FL 34230

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are experiencing the hottest summer to date. The weather is changing for the worse because of man-made climate change. Please focus your efforts on developing and promoting renewable clean energy sources like solar and wind, and abandon obsolete energy sources from fossil fuels and oil. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maria Andar
8219 Kensington Sq, FL 32217

8/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need renewable energy, not more pollution. Gas burning power plants leak Methane.

Sincerely,

Ann Jackson
9450 Oxford Dr., FL 33884

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

All this will do is give the ceo another \$100 mil a year to stuff in his pocket and raise the rates on utility bills people already have a hard time paying. So-called regulators are already being paid under the table by big utilities.

Sincerely,

Laraine Winn
15666 49th St N Lot 1021, FL 33762

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I also think that looking into wind power would be a smart idea.

Sincerely,

Sheila Anderson
2651 Woodwind Hills Ln, FL 33812

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I cannot support the construction of more gas-burning power plants, particularly in Florida where sea level rise is a serious threat.

Sincerely,

Christine Klein
87 NW 48th Blvd, FL 32607

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I work with low-income, minority communities. It is unconscionable to raise rates on people who cannot afford it, while, at the same time, using environmental destructive fossil fuels, when alternative energy sources, especially solar, are so readily available in Florida and such a smarter and more common sense alternative. Rate hikes should not put people in jeopardy who are already most vulnerable to extreme temperatures from climate change and the least able to adapt to those changes. Solar is the answer.

Sincerely,

Jeannie Economos
808 W Princeton St, FL 32804

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I, personally, oppose expanding Florida's continued use of fossil fuels. This is the "sunshine state" where the expansion of the use of solar fuel would be a better use of funding to create more electricity.

I request that you not approve this expenditure of our tax payer dollars.

Sincerely,

Gwyn Littell
7325 White Oaks Rd, FL 32615

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer and I unequivocally oppose a rate hike to fuel more dirty fossil fuels. Gas fired power plants, oil wells and fracking fields, and dangerous nuclear power plants like Turkey Point need to be phased out or decommissioned. Now is the time to fund and fuel sustainable energy sources, like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Karen Dwyer
15937 Delasol Lane, FL 34110

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

now lets see what we can do about moving into wind and solar, the state has plenty to spare...lets live a responsible stewardship of our planet, we do not have much real choice.

Sincerely,

Philip Katzman
11638 Ellison Wilson Rd Apt 9, FL 33408

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Our state is blessed with an abundant source of very low cost energy = sunshine. Florida's regulators should encourage utilities to build facilities that use solar energy -- not carbon fuel facilities that increase CO2, global warming and the rate of sea level rise.

Please do not raise rates to fund more carbon fueled power plants. Florida should be leading the US in solar power, not adding carbon fuel plants. Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,

Brian A Mayhew
53 Isle of Venice Dr, FL 33301

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please - please - please take climate change into consideration and do not allow a rate hike for gas-burning power plants. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Smith
268 SW Langelier Drive, FL 32038

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Pouring more money into fossil fuels is detrimental to our lands and economy. Instead use the money for alternative fuel method such as solar. Stop supporting the mining interests and start supporting solar power especially within the state of Florida.

Sincerely,

Terry Phelan
319 SW County Rd 138, FL 32038

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Seriously? Why hike up bills, when you could help people get solar panels and charge a flat rate. You wouldn't need fossil fuels, because sunlight is free. Also wind is free, the Eddy Wind Turbine would be perfect for Florida as it can collect wind from any direction. If FPL thought about these things, they would make lives easier.

Sincerely,

Richelle Kazimor
907 Kensington Dr, FL 32922

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Time to switch to renewable energy.

Sincerely,

William Walters III
907 Springville Ct, FL 33613

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are in the 21st century but still living in the 19th century when it comes to energy. Take a look at Europe & their wind farms & solar sites. Time for America & PSC to rise to the occasion & not look for the quick fix to a lifelong problem. Say no to rate hikes for FPL & L to raise rates for extending fossil fuel generation. Solar & wind yes, fossil NO.

Sincerely,

Stephen Rhodes
6714 se 183 pl, FL 32667

8/5/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have large amounts of safe, renewable energy in Florida. Let's use the sun and not be enlarging our problems with fuel from under the ground!

Sincerely,

Sylvia Richey
7410 Lake Breeze Dr, FL 33907

8/6/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and have been for 33yrs. I seriously oppose this rate hike for more dirty fossil fuels. Explain yourself, I want to know why.

Sincerely,

Joyce Lerch
82 Piedmont Dr, FL 32164

8/6/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am both a residential and commercial FPL customer

Sincerely,

Susan Graham
PO Box 485, FL 32949

8/6/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Just say NO! To FPL. We need to slow climate change not speed it along. Please do not allow this new ploy that will boost rates and endanger the planet further. Thank you for your consideration.

P

Sincerely,

Kathy Snyder
4965 Southard St, FL 33463

8/6/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop rate hikes on fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Debra Penna
2977 Mandarin Hollow Drive, FL 32257

8/6/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop rate hikes on fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Debra Penna
2977 Mandarin Hollow Drive, FL 32257

8/7/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer who believes that rate increases should NOT be used to fund more fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Sue Michel
200 Cocoanut Ave Apt 10, FL 34236

8/7/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Our environment is suffering beyond belief. Rather than focusing on building more dirty fossil fuel facilities we should be looking ahead as to how to produce much cleaner and cheaper energy facilities. Think of our future.

Sincerely,

William Robinson
1525 Hannah Dr, FL 32952

8/7/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is clearly a turning point for the future of our planet and the legacy we leave behind for future generations. Considering the damage that already has been done, we need radical, unprecedented action to begin the healing process. Our greatest hope should be that it is not already too late. "We are the most dangerous species of life on the planet, and every other species, even the earth itself, has cause to fear our power to exterminate. But we are also the only species which, when it chooses to do so, will go to great effort to save what it might destroy."

- Wallace Stegner

Sincerely,

James Sorrells
564 Timber Run Ln, FL 34736

8/7/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are already experiencing the effects of climate change! Please consider converting to solar and or wind energy! Consumers will still pay to maintain their equipment and lines

Sincerely,

Tanya Pierce
4039 E Orange Ave, FL 32736

8/7/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We must begin thinking long term for our children and future generations. Please let us think of them and leave their future brighter.

Sincerely,

Kirk Dorhout
2524 Carambola Rd, FL 33406

8/7/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to protect what natural resources are remaining.

Sincerely,

Deborah McClelland
913 SW Santa Fe Dr, FL 32038

8/7/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With polar ice caps now rapidly disappearing and 2016 continuing the yearly trend as the latest record holder for hottest recorded year on record we cannot continue to support fossil fuel power plants when so many other viable options have become available that are clean, safe, viable and fiscally rewarding. If FPL and other power companies can not keep up with this available technology they should be sanctioned. Not rewarded for their irresponsible business platform.

Sincerely,

Richard Sargent
PO Box 1305, FL 32781

8/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a Florida resident, power consumer and concerned citizen, I ask that FPL be denied a rate increase for building more fossil-fuel plants, We are the Sunshine State and our energy requirements should be met through clean, sustainable means.

Sincerely,

William Loftus
5600 Dominica St., FL 32967

8/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I believe that we reached a brake point on which we need to change the way we think and act towards our environment and animals that share this planet with us.

Sincerely,

Valerio Churi
3221 Buckrun Dr, FL 33511

8/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We will not be able to reduce our CO2 Climate Crisis if we allow power companies to continue to build fossil fuel burning plants. FPL is actively opposing the use of solar panels by FL residents

Sincerely,

Norma Ewing
1039 Bedford Ave, FL 33403

8/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We will not be able to reduce our CO2 Climate Crisis if we allow power companies to continue to build fossil fuel burning plants. FPL is actively opposing the use of solar panels by FL residents

Sincerely,

Jim Ewing
1039 Bedford Avenue, FL 33403

8/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL has been advising customers that rates are coming down. With this proposed increase it seems that we get a rate reduction and then a rate hike.

Sincerely,

Barbara Buchman
2251 Blue Springs Rd, FL 33411

8/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Hell NO!!!! We are the sunshine state. Let's start using it!!! No more fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Ryan Dick
1017 Nw 5th st, FL 33486

8/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm tired of this greedy mentality. You are a huge company that could have a positive influence for our environment but instead you choose not to. I'm against this.

Sincerely,

Michelle Hawkins
18257 Poplar Rd, FL 33967

8/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

it is hard enough to pay for our bills plus electric due to the economy here in the state of Florida . This is why people are breaking into our homes & stealing what they can pawn or sell on the street . So lets help our people & keep things low as possible & don't let the big boys get greedy . Do what is benefiscial to us with our concerns Thanks ! A single parent !

Sincerely,

Katherine Nofal
PO Box 101035, FL 32910

8/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

THE FPL PLAN PURSUES MORE FOSSIL-FUEL ENERGY. THIS SUGGESTS THE CORPORATE MINDSET IS AS EXTINCT AS THE DINOSAURS.

FLORIDA VOTERS WILL SOON SPEAK TO THEIR SUPPORT FOR SOLAR ENERGY. SADLY, MANY WILL BE DUPED BY AMENDMENT 1 THAT SERVES THE UTILITY INDUSTRY. HOPEFULLY ENOUGH WILL KNOW THAT AMENDMENT 4 SERVES ENERGY CONSUMERS AND THE HEALTH OF THE ENVIRONMENT, NOT UTILITIES STRANGLEHOLD ON ENERGY DEVELOPMENT AND THEIR BOTTOMLINE. ISN'T IT IRONIC THAT FPL AND OTHERS WOULD SUSTAIN PRACTICES THAT INCREASE SEARISE WHICH WILL IN FAIRLY SHORT ORDER PUT THEIR CUSTOMER BASE BENEATH THE SEA?

Sincerely,

K Rohrer
PO Box 362, FL 33921

8/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is outrageous. If you wanted extra money to go solar - in the Sunshine State - I might be more amenable... but to add money to our bills to pay for gas-burning power plants is the epitome of poor planning for the future.

Sincerely,

India Foster
64 Via Mizner, FL 33480

8/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

move away from fossil fuels, and toward renewable energy.

Sincerely,

Susan Davis
9108 Cr 645, FL 33513

8/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Not only do I oppose a rate hike I propose development of solar energy facilities and equipment to make solar power affordable to implement in homes and businesses! What a complete waste of resources it is not to take advantage of our sun!

Sincerely,

Claudia Lee
1540 Fletcher St, FL 33020

8/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Not only do I oppose a rate hike I propose development of solar energy facilities and equipment to make solar power affordable to implement in homes and businesses! What a complete waste of resources it is not to take advantage of our sun!

Sincerely,

Claudia Lee
1540 Fletcher St, FL 33020

8/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We should be doing EVERYTHING possible to limit costs to users while expanding support for solar and other non-fossil fuel options.

Sincerely,

Mimi Anzel
4160 1st Avenue North, FL 33713

8/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Your greed has no limits

Sincerely,

Maria Elena Alvarado
19336 NW 67th Pl, FL 33015

8/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

US needs to take the lead and be an example to the world in regards to renewable energy. You are already lagging behind and the more time it takes the more difficult it would be. Start investing in renewable now!!

Sincerely,

Jan Berrios
2401 Bayshore Blvd, FL 33629

8/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop your hostile agenda harming the working public. It is so corrupt overcharging Florida residents.

Sincerely,

Scotty Ferrell
1529 N Andrews Ave # 2, FL 33311

8/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Tired of these guys lying about climate change and burning fossil fuels instead of going full bore for renewables. No rate hike!

Sincerely,

Deborah Deland
6278 Miramonte Dr Unit 104, FL 32835

8/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Our dependence on fossil fuels is foolish and short sighted. If we put our resources into finding better alternatives we can end that dependence and free ourselves from being held hostage to oil and gas availability.

Sincerely,

Judith Tylke
1923 High Glen Ct N, FL 33813

9/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I would ask for a proper hearing on this rate increase BUT I have seen your rubber stamps of yes for years.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Bilheimer
4121 67th Ave N, FL 33781

9/6/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please make decisions that will protect our children's air that they are breathing.

Sincerely,

Katrina Cosme
8424 Southampton Drive, FL 33025

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

After forcing your " smart meters" through force, lies, manipulation, now again your lies, trauma, wrecking health if people, animals, environment with your greed. I think we ALL should shut down ALL electricity even for a few days or one day a week to hurt FPL. They don't care about anyone but them

Sincerely,

Reverend Karen SIMPSON
, FL 32119

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Among the many Native Peoples displaced by ruthless authorities since 1830, the Tribal members remaining in Florida deserve deferential treatment, not further persecution.

This proposed Big Cypress Reservation electricity factory is not the advertised friendly green energy plant in the middle of nowhere. This is an Everglades-eating, planet-cooking, water-fouling nasty knife in the back of the last of our Native Americans and a danger to all the world. "Natural" gas is not what the name implies. Released into your home, it will kill everyone in a matter of minutes?if it doesn't explode and blow up your entire neighborhood first. Gas is a non-renewable fossil fuel that creates billions of cubic feet of CO2 as a poisonous and climate-changing byproduct. It's no more natural than plutonium. Why put this next to the Big Cypress Reservation?

Sincerely,

Dr. John Dwyer
, FL 34110

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a customer, I urge you to think of our children's future. We need sustainable solar, water, and wind power, with clean air and water.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Leichtling
, FL 32920

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

be smart, not only people with less privileges will be affected, every person on earth will be, rich or poor, it will not make any difference.

Sincerely,

zoraida del campo
, FL 33331

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough is enough! No more rate hikes!!!

Sincerely,

Olga Gonzalez
, FL 33012

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FL is "The Sunshine State". Invest in solar energy. Natural gas is NOT a clean alternative to coal. It will poison our water supply! Hasn't our water been damaged enough by Lake O? Natural gas will make a bad situation much worse.

Sincerely,

Lesina Visgaitis
, FL 34120

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL already has collected millions of dollars from customers for nuclear power plants WHICH EVEN FPL admits may never be built. Their continued record profits further underscore the lack of need for any rate increase. And needless to say, we now have tritium in south Florida drinking water from nuclear power plants at turkey point. I'm concerned about FPL's massive profits over creating clean energy and water. NO RATE INCREASE

Sincerely,

Bonita Kasper
, FL 33417

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL Engineers, start thinking out of the Box and start using alternatives. The technology is there just find it. I'm sure your BOD will feel better about producing energy that is clean?

Sincerely,

Thomas McCoy
, FL 34231

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL stands for Florida plunder and loot

Sincerely,

Jeff Healy
, FL 33162

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL, let's move into the 21st century. Be a leader in developing clean energy!

Sincerely,

judith dunlap
, FL 32086

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Get away from fossil Fuel and Nuclear power this is so in Florida and it's a joke that we don't have wind water or solar power as our source for power.

Sincerely,

Frank Anderson
, FL 33305

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go solar go wind harness the ocean tides stop burning fuel

Sincerely,

Christopher Milark
, FL 33324

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a current FPL customer choking on the not-so-clean air I must breathe (downwind from Apollo Beach).

Sincerely,

Cynthia Wheeler
, FL 34293

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer as well. I cannot afford my electric bill now and you want to increase my Bill? This is plain wrong.

Sincerely,

Marcia Wallace
, FL 33952

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a longtime FPL customer and i do not want to be charged more to subsidize investments in Fossil Fuel.

Sincerely,

Joel Eyres
, FL 33478

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer

Sincerely,

Janice Russillo
, FL 33414

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am disabled and definitely do not need a rate increase.

Sincerely,

Ana Garrido Duero
, FL 33155

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am not currently an FPL customer, but all every companies need to make drastic jumps to green technology such as solar and wind.

Sincerely,

WENDY VAZ
, FL 32765

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am on a fixed income and I haven't gotten an increase. Where am I supposed to get the money to pay yours?

Sincerely,

Pat Snyder
, FL 32796

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I do not want another rate hike for fossil fuel, please invest in renewable energy instead. ...solar.

Sincerely,

Marcia Lawrie
, FL 33060

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been an FPL customer for over 34 years. We were the first couple to build one of the passive solar homes in South Florida that FPL offered in the 80's. We still live in it and love it. You were going in the right direction then. What happened? More fossil fuels?!!

Sincerely,

Connie Hutton
, FL 33478

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I oppose this rate hike. DM

Sincerely,

donna Morrison
, FL 33463

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I wouldn't mind paying a little more if they were making efforts to go green, BUT THEY AREN'T. Until they do, I don't want to pay any more than I have to, KNOWING I am supporting a DIRTY energy company.

Sincerely,

Allie Tennant
, FL 33905

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I, am an FPL customer. Please ! No more rate hikes, no more raping the land. Go Solar!!

Sincerely,

Paula Lloyd
, FL 33905

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If there's going to be a rate hike make it a modest one for the creation and use of more renewable and green tech energy. And make sure it's not financed by those who can least afford it. It's time those who profited from the continual fouling of our water and air picked up the tab to clean it up.

Sincerely,

Richard Tucker
, FL 33029

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer and if FPL wants to do the right thing, they will support the move to solar and wind instead of secretly fighting against it to line the pockets of it's share holders.

Sincerely,

Robert Watford
, FL 33435

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's develop more reliance on Solar power, and other renewable options. We do Not need more reliance on fossil fuels that only poison the environment and contribute to climate change.

Sincerely,

Olivia Pozy
, FL 32085

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's develop more reliance on Solar power, and other renewable options. We do Not need more reliance on fossil fuels that only poison the environment and contribute to climate change.

Sincerely,

Olivia Pozy
, FL 32085

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's invest in solar and wind power....If other nations can do it, so can we.....Don't wait for laws as in California force you to switch.....Do it voluntarily.

Sincerely,

Cesar Gonzalez
, FL 33183

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Letting these utilities get away with outright extortion is not in the public interest. Paying for a product ahead of time that we suspect will never be provided is awful public policy.

Sincerely,

Donald Jordan
, FL 33022

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO to rate raises and fossil-fuel based plants.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Burt
, FL 34986

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No way!!!! Not when you people want to NOT let us have solar energy!!

Sincerely,

Deanna Korell-Hall
, FL 32117

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO!

Sincerely,

George Peltzmacher
, FL 33411

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Our bills are too high as it is. I'm a senior on a fixed budget and can't afford a raise in my bill! Go to gas or solar!

Sincerely,

Janice Lawrence
, FL 34972

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please invest the money you would use to build these gas plants into alternative renewable energy resources, such as solar & wind!

Sincerely,

James Battles
, FL 32935

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop the Manipulation of your foolish company and invest in Solar, Wind, and Tidal Power,,,, Also I think it would be astonishingly wise for you to help with Desalination to create fresh water out of salt water,,,, just friggin do it !!!!

Sincerely,

David Knapp
, FL 34223

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is why we will push for solar energy because you are pushing your customers away!!

Sincerely,

Rosann Caraker
, FL 33351

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This utility is not spending their money wisely as they have already got us funding nuclear reactors that will never be built at Turkey Point! Pushing off their responsibility for cleaning the coing canals and financing a sham solar amendment that will codify the current restrictions on solar. Please understand that with our continued dependence on fossil fuels the seas are rising and so are we!

Sincerely,

Sarah Younger
, FL 33426

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Time for clean energy

Sincerely,

Debbie Messenger
, FL 32907

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are three sisters on Soc. sec. and can barely afford to keep the home we live in! Soc. Sec. doesn't go up as fast as our bills do . You can't keep doing this to us!

Sincerely,

Cheryl Oligney
, FL 34223

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

we can barely pay our bill now if you increase it you will be hurting all of us low income folks..

Sincerely,

Janet MacKenzie
, FL 32920

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need clean energy, and there is no better time than now!

Sincerely,

Maranda Murphy
, FL 33032

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We want clean energy in this state such as solar and wind energy not fossil fuel and absolutely no fracking!!!!

Sincerely,

Pauline Cruz
, FL 34953

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What happened to the solar pitch

Sincerely,

Halee Lanzet
, FL 33472

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What happened to the solar pitch

Sincerely,

Halee Lanzet
, FL 33472

9/8/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why the hell is FPL investing in fossil fuels when Germany beats out Florida in use of solar energy? Why are we throwing away our future on the planet, to make a few fat cats richer?

Sincerely,

Malcolm Brenner
, FL 33982

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

A RATE HIKE of \$1.3 billion is unwarranted when they spend \$19,000,000 for their propaganda advertising instead of converting to SOLAR, WIND or NON-FOSSIL energy. We are ab FPL customer and prices are already too high.

Sincerely,

Gordon Hayduk
, FL 32141

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Additionally, FPL is failing the state of Florida conceptually. Florida has natural sunlight all year long, yet we rank 14th in the nation for solar energy. That is just unacceptable and wasteful!

Sincerely,

David Gergora
, FL 33186

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Also FPL should be reprimanded for working to discourage rooftop solar use--for many, many years, including their latest major effort Amendment 1 on the November ballot. FPL has significant deficits in its behavior if it wants to be considered a "good corporate citizen".

Sincerely,

John Myers
, FL 34223

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Also stop FLP's constant radiation leaks at their power plant near Miami and the Everglades. Disgraceful

Sincerely,

Eileen Tegg
, FL 34105

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

An FPL customer hoping for a future that we can all live in.

Sincerely,

Lynn Watkins
, FL 34207

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

And, FPL has wasted entirely too much money on unnecessary advertising.

Sincerely,

Judith Hayes
, FL 33176

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Are you people freaking kiddin me

With all this free clean sun we have you want to steal more money from us and destroy the planet w this garbage

Sincerely,

Eddy Pardo
, FL 33143

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

ARE YOU THE COMMISSIONERS OF THIS STATE LIKE THE REST OF THE COUNTRY'S POLITICIAN,,,BOUGHT AND PAID FOR BY THE THE BIG COMPANIES ARE YOU FOR THE AVERAGE PERSON.??? IF SO THEN DO US A FAVOR.

Sincerely,

jAMES WALD
, FL 32759

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a Floridian and FPL customer, we should be transitioning to solar and wind energy to power the state. It's time to move forward.

Sincerely,

Sharon Carmack
, FL 33324

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer I am fed up with their continual rate increases. Your office needs to act on behalf of the consumer and not this profit hungry utility.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Tieger
, FL 33324

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer I am outraged!

Sincerely,

Vanessa Benitez
, FL 33157

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer in Palm Beach County, our electric bills are already very high. As a senior, I'm concerned about the impact that future rate hikes will have not only on seniors but on our young people just starting out. The building of fossil fuel burning plants should not be necessary here in south Florida. We have so many options here in south Florida for the use of renewable fuel sources that should be fully investigated before the PSC allows FPL to resort to more gas-burning plants resulting in rate hikes for consumers. Thank you for taking care of consumers.

Sincerely,

Sheila Mandelbaum
, FL 33414

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer, I would prefer an alternative source of energy. Please, for the health and safety of everyone, consider the alternatives.

Sincerely,

Sandra Morrow
, FL 33952

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Aside from the obvious environmental impact, it's simply not fair to the consumer. The consumer is the one who, in the end, bears the cost. The consumer though, was not even asked if he is willing to spend more money. Money consumer households are already stretched on their budgets and there is no consideration taken for that. If the company wants to spend money and invest in things without regard to customer cost and wishes, then they should do so at their own financial risk.

Sincerely,

Douglas Mincer
, FL 32909

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Clean energy is a necessity. It is no longer a privilege.

Sincerely,

Lizdiel Ramos-Hering
, FL 32958

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Considering FPL's lobbying of public officials to prevent consumers from accessing solar power easily and with reasonable cost, this rate hike is outrageous and counter productive to global initiatives on warming trends!

Sincerely,

Claire Susan Clarke
, FL 33460

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Do right for the people of Florida not the greedy power corporations.

Sincerely,

David Malott
, FL 32773

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Doll fall into the category of White trash!

Sincerely,

Laura Pedre
, FL 33140

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough already make decisions please that will not cause or increase climate change. This is insanity.

Sincerely,

L A Ross
, FL 33950

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough already make decisions please that will not cause or increase climate change. This is insanity.

Sincerely,

L A Ross
, FL 33950

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough with the fossil fuels we have the technology to use renewables

Sincerely,

Conny Pinder
, FL 32177

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough!! We are the "Sunshine State" so why are we not going all out for solar energy!! THT I might be happy to help fund, but no more fossil fuel pollution generators!

Sincerely,

AMY ALEXANDER
, FL 33334

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

ENOUGH, already. Why on earth is the Sunshine state not investing in clean and renewable energy?!! There is just no reasonable excuse anymore.

Sincerely,

ava stewart
, FL 32958

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Every one of you climate change deniers are a danger to our state. Rick Scott is enemy number one and they all need to be voted OUT.

Sincerely,

sally brachfeld
, FL 34113

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida has become recognized for our politicians having financial interests in our States Utilities. Stop the corruption

Sincerely,

Anthony Curcio
, FL 32084

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is already hugely susceptible to global warming... we need to take steps to improve the environment- not make it worse. I have taken steps with my own home (solar for water, pool & whole house electric) @ great personal expense, because it's the right thing to do. Please do your part to save our planet.

Sincerely,

Brandi Brooks
, FL 34231

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is already hugely susceptible to global warming... we need to take steps to improve the environment- not make it worse. I have taken steps with my own home (solar for water, pool & whole house electric) @ great personal expense, because it's the right thing to do. Please do your part to save our planet.

Sincerely,

Brandi Brooks
, FL 34231

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is the "Sunshine" state! Let's harness solar & get fossil fuels out of production! If FPL is worried about profits, buy into a solar facility & make money selling the systems! Stop trying to destroy Florida with increased fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Kimberly Kaeser
, FL 33919

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FP&L -- More Solar Energy!!!

Sincerely,

Rebecca McFee
, FL 34997

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL should be developing more solar energy sources and planning the downsizing of fossil fuel plants not building more.

Sincerely,

Dale Anne Averill
, FL 34224

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL already is charging way too much for power. It's ridiculous!!! STOP RAISING THE PRICES!!

Sincerely,

Raymond Fantauzzi
, FL 32738

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL consumer here, and I am against this rate increase!!!

Sincerely,

Raeann Hightower
, FL 32127

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL customer

Sincerely,

Barbara Wood
, FL 33950

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL makes all kinds of \$\$\$s off the people of FL. That hike is certainly unfair its not the people's fault that FPL screwed up!! If they went solar a long time ago it wouldve been cheaper all the way around for everyone!! They are greedy!

Sincerely,

Alice Dawson
, FL 33312

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL should be investing more heavily in solar power!

Sincerely,

Joanne McNeely
, FL 34974

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL swore a few years ago if we built these plants prices would go down?

Sincerely,

William Murphy
, FL 34957

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Fpl your dominance in a choice less utility deserves to be controlled against unending Rate increases and separation of customers from their hard earned dollars

Sincerely,

Joseph Bellanca
, FL 33315

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Get with modern times and go green! It's called "TECHNOLOGIC ADVANCES"

Sincerely,

Amy Cordek
, FL 32792

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

give us incentives to go solar!!!

Sincerely,

donna bond
, FL 32976

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go Solar in the sunshine state!!!! Or wind, but keep fossil fuel in the ground!

Sincerely,

Tiffany Grantham
, FL 33020

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go solar!

Sincerely,

John Mathison
, FL 33312

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I also oppose any legislation or regulation aimed to prosecute off-gridders. Let people install solar roofs and sell the excess to power companies at fair price.

Sincerely,

Damian Sebastian
, FL 33023

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a current FPL customer and do NOT approve this rate hike!

Sincerely,

Jennifer Carter
, FL 34951

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

i am a customer of FPL,too.

Sincerely,

Ramon Bello
, FL 33010

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a customer of FPL.

Sincerely,

Christina Amato
, FL 34202

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FP&L customer, this is wrong and FP&L should not be wasting money on idiotic projects! My Electric energy bill is already very high, and these stupid expensive and risky projects will only raise my cost! This rate increase should not be granted to a monopoly company FP&L!

Sincerely,

Arthur Collins
, FL 34241

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer

Sincerely,

patricia zaccaro
, FL 34224

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer

Sincerely,

Christine engels
, FL 34266

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer and can not afford a rate increase .

Go solar, PLEASE

Sincerely,

Roberta Rich
, FL 33312

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer and I oppose any rate hike until we know why they need this rate hike. Is this hike going towards moving forward with renewable energy in our state? How do they justify the rate hike compared to their profit in their last quarter? Please put a hold on this rate hike.

Sincerely,

Candice Orndoff
, FL 32926

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a fpl customer and I oppose paying increased prices to subsidize new fossil fuel based energy plants.

Sincerely,

Meaghan manning
, FL 33445

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a Native Floridian, VN Veteran, and FPL customer; I'm proud of two. This State fights solar, while doing the bidding of fossil fuel lobbyists. It is blatantly obvious that money is playing a big part in granting wishes to Industry, while the people and environment suffer the consequences. I love Florida, and detest what our lawmakers allow to foul our water and air. "Here's \$25,000 for your 'campaign'. Now let's get on and 'get away with it'. Thank you, very much". These are not 'mistakes', this stuff is done with 'intent', and that's when it get's all legal. Be careful not to fall victim to collusion, we've got a lot of it happening in Tally, and a lot of shame to come. True Floridians are a diverse citizenry...farmers, fishermen, scientists, doctors and nurses, firemen, teachers, students, seniors, and some great legal experts, all of whom want a clean, healthy and affordable future for our children and grandchildren. Please work with us, thank you

Sincerely,

tim hughes
, FL 33470

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a proud resident of Florida. Do not allow FPL to make rate hikes for these gas plants that aren't necessary and indeed risky, not to mention the rate hikes that will, of course, fall on all Florida residents!

Thank you gentlemen and gentle ladies for giving this unnecessary issue your full attention and discernment.

Sincerely,

Carole Hook
, FL 33060

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a proud resident of Florida. Do not allow FPL to make rate hikes for these gas plants that aren't necessary and indeed risky, not to mention the rate hikes that will, of course, fall on all Florida residents!

Thank you gentlemen and gentle ladies for giving this unnecessary issue your full attention and discernment.

CB H

Sincerely,

Carole Hook
, FL 33060

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a senior citizen on fixed income and the increases effect living situation.

Sincerely,

Hazel Bergeron
, FL 32955

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am against adding more plants when we should be leading the country in solar development. There is NO excuse for lagging behind in sustainable energy... It's shameful!!

Sincerely,

Suzanne Mauzey
, FL 34241

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am against this rate hike both as a FPL customer and as a supporter of clean energy. This is a time to invest in new technologies for power, not old and both economically and environmentally detrimental fuel burning plants.

Sincerely,

Linda Bubbers
, FL 32953

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FP&L customer and I don't want to subsidize what shareholders should be subsidizing.

Sincerely,

Robert Franke
, FL 33313

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FP&L customer who feels that they are already polluting the environment too much as it is, and if this is approved I will be switching to solar power.

Sincerely,

Christopher Tuccitto
, FL 33021

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and am on Social Security and am supporting my daughter and her two disabled children. My bill is \$300 plus a month and I would not mind paying more for electric if the increase if it were toward go towards wind or solar power but not for oil or foscile fuels that also put us at the mercy of foreign countries who set the price of oil high and put our economy dependant on them!

Sincerely,

Cheryl Winters
, FL 32901

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and do not like how FPL is handling business.

Sincerely,

Frank Velazquez
, FL 33127

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and do not want to see a rate increase for more fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Kathryn Jones
, FL 32128

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I do not want to pay for or use more dirty fuel, it is time for FPL to use low cost, clean energy alternatives such as solar energy or wind turbines.

Sincerely,

Deborah Monchek
, FL 33327

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I oppose the rate hike. They don't deserve it.

Sincerely,

Carlos Garcia
, FL 33146

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I oppose the rate hike. They don't deserve it.

Sincerely,

Carlos Garcia
, FL 33146

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I oppose the rate hike. They don't deserve it.

Sincerely,

Carlos Garcia
, FL 33146

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I oppose the rate hike. They don't deserve it.

Sincerely,

Carlos Garcia
, FL 33146

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and oppose the gas powered plants! We need to think about renewable energy sources.

Sincerely,

Dwain Boggess
, FL 34117

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and this is outrageous considering the US pledge to significantly cut carbon emissions. Use the free sun above our heads which provides an INFINITE, free source of energy. Stop dismissing the well-being of the next generation by setting them up for failure via fossil fuels. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Danielle Morron
, FL 33130

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, and want to see this company move towards clean energy. It's an investment for everyone's future, including that of FPL.

Sincerely,

Ximena Delgado
, FL 33155

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. I feel that FPL should be doing more to decrease our dependence on dirty fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Eileen Coe
, FL 33919

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. It is time to end our dependence on fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Barbara Millios
, FL 34209

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am tired of the Florida Public Service Commission giving FPL exactly what they want when ever they want it. They should be renamed as The Florida Plundering Commission because they keep putting the big companies before the public. They do NOT represent the people. They represent BIG business and I am sure, for a price!!

Sincerely,

Jim Gigliello
, FL 33305

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I can not afford one more increase. Please! STOP!

Sincerely,

Josephine Milano
, FL 33412

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I do not have the money that you have and are barely getting by. A rate increase is NOT needed.

Sincerely,

Lynn Gibson
, FL 34205

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I don't want my rates hiked to pay for more fossil fuels. Leave fossil fuels in the ground!!

Sincerely,

Bonnie Southwind
, FL 33980

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

i oppose any hike for more atmosphere damaging fossil fuels....have you heard that we are the sunshine state-so i have never understood why you don't expand solar energy-have you thought about that????

Sincerely,

john martinez
, FL 33179

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I refuse to pay for fossil fuel plants. Of course like everyone else I am part of the captive audience of FPL.

Sincerely,

Eduardo de Aragon
, FL 33189

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I would support measures such as FPL installing solar panels on existing buildings and on shade-providing roof structures it could construct in parking lots.

Sincerely,

Melinda Zipin
, FL 33403

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I wouldn't mind an increase if it meant cleaner energy and a better future for the generations that we'll be leaving behind... but I'll be damned if I'm going to pay a higher rate just to move BACKWARDS.

Sincerely,

Leanne Smith
, FL 33324

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I. will pay more to help you go solar.

Sincerely,

Dana Schroeder
, FL 34229

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If you're not the Scott Service Committee you'll vote down the hike.

Sincerely,

RAY Henderson
, FL 33157

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm a customer of FPL and would like to see more renewable energy sources being used to produce electricity to the grid.

Sincerely,

Nathan Renouf
, FL 33143

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm a customer, check my zip code. You should be spending money on solar and wind power, not fossil fuel! I can't believe you're doing this!

Sincerely,

Linda Keser
, FL 32901

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer and do not support the price increase. I think the way to go is green. Solar power is becoming very affordable, and Florida is a perfect place to invest in solar panels. Let's help the environment and, at the same time, invest in a more efficient and clean future.

Sincerely,

Donald Hammond
, FL 33165

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer wanting clean energy!

Sincerely,

Ernie Winn
, FL 34208

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

In City of Vero Beach we supposedly have our own power plant but we do not use that power. Our supply comes from Tampa or somewhere and we sent our supply elsewhere. I "thought" we had nuclear power in Florida. Im paying close to \$400 a month for power already trying to stay cool indoors to avoid mosquitos that carry various viral diseases.

Sincerely,

Vickey Wilson
, FL 32960

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Instead of asking for a rate increase, FP&L should first invest the huge profits it makes in the replacement program. And instead of investing in obsolete generation plants, such as plants that burn carbon for power fuels to generate power, FP&L should be investing in solar power as the future source of electrical power. Either at the home site, which would cut maintenance costs to very low levels, or invest in hydrogen technology to generate electrical power. And if it would require them to not give everyone a large raise of big bonuses for a couple three years, then the company should simply tighten their belts as the majority of their customers have done this last decade.

Sincerely,

William Pellegrini
, FL 33020

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Invest in solar and wind, no more resources and definitely no more rate hikes! !!!

Sincerely,

iliana Martinez
, FL 33187

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Invest in solar, you're in the Sunshine state! If Germany can do it, you can too!

Sincerely,

Doriane Rencker
, FL 34243

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It appears FPL in its quest for excessive profits, at the expense of our state, has forgotten that we are already dealing with coastal flooding because of a century of reckless greed. Enough is enough.

Sincerely,

Michael Justiz
, FL 33156

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time for the Florida PSC to do its job and represent the interests of the citizens of Florida. NO MORE DIRTY FUEL power plants!

Sincerely,

Richard Berke
, FL 33496

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time to be investing in better energy investments - solar and wind. I oppose having my bill increased to subsidize the plants targeted for South Florida at this time. Robin McCrae

Sincerely,

Robin McCrae
, FL 32744

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's already expensive as is.

Sincerely,

Elsa Zamora
, FL 34952

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's better to invest in wind/solar.

Sincerely,

David Parkin
, FL 33498

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's past time for clean energy!

Sincerely,

Lynda Garner
, FL 33484

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's past time for clean energy!

Sincerely,

Lynda Garner
, FL 33484

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's past time we abandoned fossil fuels, especially here in the sunshine state. As an FPL customer I urge NOT getting further involved with them!

Sincerely,

Thomas DeBoni
, FL 33909

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's the 21st century how about we move towards clean renewable energy. Such as solar, wind, water or hemp. Stop being greedy and look out for our planet.

Sincerely,

Nick Graham
, FL 34209

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time to make the sunshine state lead with solar power!!!

Sincerely,

Regina Marston
, FL 32176

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Lets go to clean green energy

Sincerely,

Ronald Reusswig
, FL 32905

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's save our planet for our future generations

Clean energy always... Come on this the sunshine state!!!!

Sincerely,

Vera Verga
, FL 34119

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's save our planet for our future generations

Clean energy always... Come on this the sunshine state!!!!

Sincerely,

Vera Verga
, FL 34119

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's save our planet for our future generations

Clean energy always... Come on this the sunshine state!!!!

Sincerely,

Vera Verga
, FL 34119

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's save our planet for our future generations

Clean energy always... Come on this the sunshine state!!!!

Sincerely,

Vera Verga
, FL 34119

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Many of us are in a stick monthly budget, it's between paying mortgage, medicines costs or having dinner on the table. Enough of the abuse against the 98%.

Sincerely,

Mireya Martínez
, FL 33133

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More Fossil Fuels ?? Unbelievable!!! FU FPL Im going solar.

Sincerely,

james mcclure
, FL 33312

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More solar power, we're the "Sunshine" state, no?

Sincerely,

Tor Hermannsson
, FL 33407

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Most sincerely,

Sandra Thompson

Sincerely,

Sandra Thompson

, FL 33433

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My electricity bill is so high, I can't afford to pay it. We need more solar panels, not an increase in a money hungry company.

Sincerely,

Diana Whitaker
, FL 32958

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My family is a FPL customer!

Sincerely,

June Bowie
, FL 34997

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My FPL bills are ALREADY TOO HIGH! Fixed income & new units! Bills avg \$450/mo! No more rate increases! :-{

Sincerely,

Deborah Santoro
, FL 33467

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My rates are already too high. No more increases!!!

Sincerely,

Leslie Telesca
, FL 32903

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No

Sincerely,

Lisa Daniello
, FL 33919

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No

Sincerely,

Mary Boudreau
, FL 34110

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more electric increases.

Sincerely,

Mary Gaul
, FL 33917

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO MORE FOSSIL FUELS!

Sincerely,

Sherry Johnson
, FL 34243

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO MORE INCREASES.

Sincerely,

Anthony Foley
, FL 33408

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No need determination?! DENY!

Sincerely,

Kathy McGahan
, FL 34990

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO NO NO NO Other countries can use alternative energies The ONLY reason we don't is GREED We are over it NO MORE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,

Astara Summers
, FL 34238

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate hike would be necessary if Florida invested in solar.

Sincerely,

Karen Luoto
, FL 32258

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

no rate hike!

Sincerely,

donna corbitt
, FL 33021

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Off set with more solar pv systems and lighting rebates. Don't take money from my family's stressed budget.

Sincerely,

Mike & Paula Evans
, FL 34275

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

ONLY CLEAN ENERGY.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bishop
, FL 32962

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Oppose = No Nuclear either !

Sincerely,

Mark Kreidler
, FL 33024

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

People cannot afford these rate increases, I cannot count over the years how many times you have increased our electric costs! This is unfair, there are people out there who are on fixed incomes! Have a heart you guys enough money!

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Wescott
, FL 34286

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

People who are on a very fixed budget will have to start cutting out food or medicine just to have power. Have a heart!

Sincerely,

Joshua Truxton
, FL 33437

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please don't

Sincerely,

Reed Dixon
, FL 33437

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please don't do this to us.

Sincerely,

beverly hockel
, FL 32177

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please follow the example of Germany.

Sincerely,

wilmer de choudens
, FL 33412

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please make the sunshine state GREEN!

Sincerely,

Charles Ekendahl
, FL 33186

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please save florida

Sincerely,

Cathy Kornoelje
, FL 34135

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please, enough of the use of fossil fuels! There are ample other alternatives that are non harming and cancerous and literally killing our Earth and all upon it. This MUST be stopped for preservation of all.

Sincerely,

Linda Lippner
, FL 34952

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Promote solar and windmills

Sincerely,

Christianne Murphy
, FL 35135

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Protect Earth!

Sincerely,

Rose Davis
, FL 33154

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Put more research/ finances into renewable energy like solar.

Sincerely,

Sophie Cieciora Boden
, FL 33484

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Put the proposal in plain everyday English. We need to use clean energy sources and keep oil in the ground now.

Sincerely,

Debra Ewing
, FL 33433

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Put the proposal in plain everyday English. We need to use clean energy sources and keep oil in the ground now.

Sincerely,

Debra Ewing
, FL 33433

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Raising rates to burn more fossil fuel, is destroying the earth!!! NO!!!!!!!

Sincerely,

Angela Beitia
, FL 32773

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

REALLY? Why would you not update your systems and stop burning fossil fuel?

Sincerely,

s Wells
, FL 33308

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Say hell NO!!!!

Sincerely,

Sharon Killay
, FL 32907

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Seniors can't afford an unnecessary and anti environment gas price hike. Who will benefit --YOU?

Sincerely,

william Riley
, FL 34224

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Seniors can't afford an unnecessary and anti environment gas price hike. Who will benefit --YOU?

Sincerely,

william Riley
, FL 34224

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

SOLAR FTW!

Sincerely,

Marshall Weaver
, FL 32780

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

solar is the new energy! quit using tax money for dirty fuels!

Sincerely,

Staci Garber
, FL 33776

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

SOLAR..... SOLAR.....SOLAR.....

Sincerely,

Rain Daily
, FL 34232

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop killing the bee's.

Sincerely,

Kim Smith
, FL 33904

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop the madness at taxpayers expense. Support more development of alternative energy sources. No more fossil fuel or nuclear plants.

Sincerely,

Patricia Abbott
, FL 33411

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

SUNSHINE STATE....use it.

Sincerely,

Christine Newell
, FL 34251

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Support development of solutions, not problems, Solar and Wind are the current public objectives and public interest.

P.S.: I have never ---- and I will never----- make monetary contributions on-line.

Sincerely,

Asher Bob White
, FL 33907

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Switch to clean energy.

Sincerely,

Melinda Stone
, FL 34997

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The CEO makes enough money for them he doesn't need another raise

Sincerely,

Jeff Healy
, FL 33162

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The economy is so tight today that working families, & people on fixed incomes such as seniors on Social Security do not have any spare money to pay rate hikes.

Sincerely,

Janie Sheehan
, FL 32011

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The environment of this planet is near or quite likely passed a tipping point of no return. Time may just have run out, that anything humankind can cure the damage we have inflicted in our lust for more. The irony is there is much greater wealth to be had from perusing alternative energy sources. It is high time we wake up and take stock of these all too real facts...!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!}= Before it really is too late...

Sincerely,

Joel Fairchild
, FL 33313

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The money needs to be spend for Solar Energy in the Sunshine State. Other countries like Germany, close to the North Pole has already more Solar Power that we here. That's total shame to our thought of progressive and innovative thinking. Lets get serious how we take care of the people needs and the environment.

Sincerely,

Carlos Llanos
, FL 33023

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The scientific connection of natural gas to climate change is due to methane leakage. CH₄ is known to be at least 50 times more powerful than burning natural gas in terms of its global warming potential. Methane leakage, which cannot be completely eliminated, will certainly increase because FPL is investing with Spectra Energy in hundreds of miles of new pipelines to supply natural gas to its proposed power plants.

The bottom line is we do not support a rate increase to pay for natural gas infrastructure that will only compound the impacts of climate change.

Sincerely,

John Saathoff
, FL 32901

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

There are a large number of elderly Florida residents on a fixed income. We can't pay higher rates!

Sincerely,

Linda Figueroa
, FL 32905

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

There are a lot of people living on fixed incomes that can barely make it now.

Sincerely,

gloria curtis
, FL 33916

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

They have already jacked their rates up this summer. I am using less kilowatts summer than last summer but I am paying more!!!!

Sincerely,

Janice Daycock
, FL 33314

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

They still haven't paid us back for the billions in tax dollars that they were supposed to use to build a power plant they never built..

Sincerely,

Erik Koski
, FL 34241

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

They want us to pay for their intrusion into the Everglades. Their day is coming because soon the technology will catch up and we won't need them. Until then, they need to make do with what they have.

Sincerely,

Sandra Brinker
, FL 34238

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This country needs forward thinking and clean energy.

Sincerely,

Jennie Schluth
, FL 33428

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This country needs forward thinking and clean energy. I'm an FPL customer in Boca.

Sincerely,

Jennie Schluth
, FL 33428

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

this is not needed. For the sake of all of our children, please use renewables..

I power my home with wind power and I live in an apartment.

This is not needed and It just hurts our environment.

Sincerely,

thomas roy
, FL 33189

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Though I am no longer in their bailiwick, I surely remember Florida Plunder & Loot. There are two things they don't need from us:

- A. More leeway;
- B. More money.

Sincerely,

Rey Mohammed
, FL 32744

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Time to move in a green direction... The Earth is on fire.

Sincerely,

mark Vinciguerra
, FL 33455

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Use cleaner alternative, we have the technology. We must create a sustainable future for society to flourish. The rate of current climate change is undeniable and it's future effects will forever shape this world.

Sincerely,

Michael Prisco
, FL 34952

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Use solar and wind

Sincerely,

Michael Quimby
, FL 33142

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Wake up the planet is being choked with CO2 we need more non fossil fuel energy plants.

Sincerely,

William Capps
, FL 33030

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We already pay too much for electric. When will it stop my bill last month was \$344 dollars and I am on disability. NO I SAY NO

Sincerely,

Ronald Harper
, FL 32953

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are a tourist state. Polluting it is a brain dead thing to do. We are talking the 'ultimate stupidity'.

Sincerely,

Andrea Kanter
, FL 33067

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are customers since 2/2014. It seems our bill has been raised several times since then. We do not need any more gas burning power plants. We need more solar power!

Sincerely,

Judith Migliano
, FL 33955

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are the SUNSHINE STATE. Why the continued dependence on fossil fuel? Stop the madness.

Sincerely,

Susan Hess
, FL 33406

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are the Sunshine State. We have no excuse for not making better use of the solar energy we receive every day. Imagine how far \$1.3 billion would go if invested in solar plants.

Sincerely,

George Hatcher
, FL 32952

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

we can barely pay our bill now

Sincerely,

diane cappetta
, FL 32750

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We do t need more fossil fuels!

We do t need a rate hike!

Sincerely,

Dian Keller
, FL 33470

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We don't need more fossil fuels

We don't need a rate hike!

Sincerely,

Dian Keller
, FL 33470

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have been FPL's customers for decades. Stop burying your heads in the sand by polluting with dirty fuels and invest in clean energy! FPL has had it's hand in climate change and it must stop. Floridians will eventually go off the grid.

Sincerely,

Pam Monaghan
, FL 33480

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

WE HAVE OTHERS ALTERNATE SOURCES OF ENERGY. STOP THE MAFIA CARTEL, THE GOV. CORRUPTION. TIME TO GO SOLAR, WIND POWER ETC..THE ANSWERS ARE OUT THERE AND THEY KNOW IT. STOP THE PONOOLY.P.

Sincerely,

Ibrahim Franco
, FL 33126

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

WE HAVE OTHERS ALTERNATE SOURCES OF ENERGY. STOP THE MAFIA CARTEL, THE GOV. CORRUPTION. TIME TO GO SOLAR, WIND POWER ETC..THE ANSWERS ARE OUT THERE AND THEY KNOW IT. STOP THE PONOPLY..

Sincerely,

Ibrahim Franco
, FL 33126

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We move from NewEngland because of high prices

Sincerely,

Joseph Bernard
, FL 32176

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need more access to solar and wind power, a power generating corporation willing to join with power creating private parties (i.e., buying excess power from solar panel owners) fewer anti solar rules from HOAs, and more investment in safe energy storage batteries. Less pollution, more independence.

Sincerely,

Anne Frates
, FL 33067

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

we need more solar

Sincerely,

Linda Fetter
, FL 32174

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need solar power. We need sustainable resources and development. We need to take smarter decisions. We need to protect our waters and land for our children

Sincerely,

Mayerly Martinez
, FL 33178

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need sustainable energy sources, not more of the same.

Sincerely,

Michele Sutter
, FL 33070

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to cut down on fossil and use cleaner energy. Let's help the earth stay clean!!!!

Sincerely,

Dorothy Sullivan
, FL 33954

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We pay enough for our electricity. We don't need another gas-burning plant. Investing in a sustainable would be much smarter. Wind and solar are proven but what about using the ocean currents. Now that I would be willing to invest in, look into it. It would cause zero pollution!

Sincerely,

Kathy Boling
, FL 34209

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We should be moving forward to use Florida's abundant sun and wind resources, not more climate change inducing fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Andrew Babler
, FL 33137

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We the people of the United States are trying to support our families as best we can. I do not make much money and have Always paid my bill, but, why is everything going up besides our paychecks. Try to get from the Gov't What We Can Not!!!! Please. We pay your bill's, Help Us Pay Ours.

Sincerely,

Judith Renko
, FL 33060

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What FPL is doing instead of working with energy clean solutions towards a Cleaner environment is dispicable.

Sincerely,

Tracie Belling
, FL 33319

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

WHAT HAPPENED TO SOLAR POWER? GREED!

Sincerely,

Barbaea Britton
, FL 33322

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Whatever happened to the Solar Corridor that Florida was considering building all the way up the state! Enough! Stop polluting and participate in clean energy.

Sincerely,

Wendy Powell
, FL 33024

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

When are we ever going to get smart.

Please consider your Children and Grand Children.

Sincerely,

Sieglinde Seidelman
, FL 33426

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

When does the stupidity and greed stop? I will fight this like so many Floridians!

Sincerely,

Linda gancitano
, FL 33062

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

When the market price of fossil fuels is lower than any time in many years...there is something wrong that FPL wants to raise rates again. With no increase in my Social Security for years... I cannot afford such increases for my power bill. Yes, I'm an FPL customer, but with increases I may have to rely upon wood for cooking and the sun for light.

Sincerely,

Anthony Waters
, FL 34951

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Where are the renewable energy options you promised us 10 years ago? We have been waiting on you to fulfill your promise to this state!

Sincerely,

a spencer
, FL 32110

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why do we not have more solar as the "Sunshine State"? Stop being Flora DUH!

Sincerely,

Linda May
, FL 33414

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why? Where is the money for clean energy initiatives going?

Sincerely,

Abbey Ernest
, FL 34293

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Work on windmills and solar. Period

Sincerely,

Cathy Kollmer
, FL 32128

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You know, there has to be a limit into how long the PSC will keep caving in to these greedy entities....nothing but crony capitalism happening here. Shameful!

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Knoche
, FL 34953

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You need to invest in solar, wave and wind energy especially here in the sunshine state!

It what the people want. Not your rotten pollution!

In addition to this, I was told you would be lowering my rates to adjust for fuel prices after last winter was over.

I have yet to see that happen.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Green
, FL 32086

9/9/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You should be investing in more clean energy, not making us pay and be dependent on dirty fossil fuels. It is not all about greed, but you seem to think so. I am outraged you want to charge us more money, As a customer and a single parent, it is difficult enough to pay the high electric bills as it is. I have an idea, why don't you cut down the rate hike. Perhaps you could give some sort of credit on your next bill for paying the bills on time. It is way too high as it is. It is not fair to the consumers. Have a heart.

Sincerely,

Lynn Gaudette
, FL 32707

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Also, against nuclear power plants, not needed with so many other less costly options available.
Currently FPL customer

Sincerely,

ML Ryan
, FL 34112

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a retiree in the SUNSHINE state, we should be using solar energy. Many of us are on fixed incomes.

Sincerely,

Lucille Acocella
, FL 33139

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer I oppose rate increase proposal, we need to stop our reliance on burning fossil fuels. We must protect our environment and planet and move to clean energy alternatives. Climate change is real and FPL needs to stop putting profits over human lives. I also hate the smart meters (those were jobs taken away from staff that read the meters, plus I oppose the radiation exposure of the smart meters). FPL Board members please stop the greed. Thank you in advance for your attention on the above concerns.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Salguero
, FL 33134

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a customer of FPL and a longtime utility employee (not FPL) and owner of 4 utilities stock (not FPL) I am strongly opposed to this rate increase. We are still paying a surcharge for hurricane damage over 12 yrs. ago with no accountability and we're charged for gracing and a proposed nuke not built.

Sincerely,

Pat Reed
, FL 32976

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Can we please use the awareness we obtain these days and advance from destroying OUR environment??? Money is good I understand but not when there's nothing left to enjoy!!

Sincerely,

amanda Blanken
, FL 34996

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Clean energy. Let's move forward and protect our environment. No backward infrastructure for FPL please!

Sincerely,

Sybs Barnett
, FL 33484

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

CLOSE TURKEY POINT, IT'S A DISASTER. MGO SOLAR. EVERY HOME AND BUSINESS, AS PART OF BLDG.CODE.

Sincerely,

Bedelia Barnette
, FL 33133

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Corporations are destroying the middle class which in turn is destroying this country. CEO's and leaders of companies are led by greed and not service to their customers. It must stop. We, the citizens of this country are the ones that are responsible for the PSC employee salaries. You work for us, we employ you, we are your bosses. You do what we say and not what the lobbyists request of you. Just as easy as you are placed in your positions, you can be removed.

Sincerely,

Gregory Pizzuto
, FL 32119

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Dear PSC,

Fossil fuel energy is already too expensive for us. I request you to deny any rate hikes for more of the same. Please consider clean energy alternatives in your future decisions.

Thank you!

Sincerely,

Roland Logan
, FL 33411

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

DON'T DO IT!

Sincerely,

Martha Govea
, FL 33176

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough already

Sincerely,

Cindy Kessler
, FL 33330

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Everything is going up and many on limited incomes or households working more than 1 or 2 jobs cannot afford another rate increase. NO MORE!

Sincerely,

Arlea Igoe
, FL 34286

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Expand in solar here in the State of Florida!

Sincerely,

Thom Stewart
, FL 32043

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida has the 3rd best solar resources in the country. Free up the way for solar through the same legislation states such as RI, VT, NY and others have passed that has dramatically increased renewable energy.

Sincerely,

Bob Chew
, FL 32132

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is the Sunshine State, solar energy development and generation should be at the forefront of our energy policy. This senseless spending on more dirty and inefficient fuel would be much better directed toward an energy source that is renewable, clean, and INFINITE.

Sincerely,

Nick Rybicki
, FL 34293

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is the Sunshine State...start using it. It is FREE!

Sincerely,

Barbara Mileto
, FL 34952

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Fossil fuel is 20 century technology, use more 21st technology and stop raising our monthly bill

Sincerely,

Jose Aviles
, FL 33024

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL is already too big and too expensive. For a family of 4 in a average home with a pool, my monthly bill is nearly \$400/ month this summer. My home a/c is set at 77 degrees. Wasn't the last rate hike intended for improved FPL infrastructure supposed to reduce consumer rates. Mine didn't decrease. Did yours? Seems to me the rates just keep climbing and we long term, native Floridians keep paying more for increased population (which we don't want, but can't seem to slow.) Many cannot afford to live here anymore.

Sincerely,

Edward Foley
, FL 32955

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL needs to stop have out of state companies do illegal operations and dumping in the US.

Sincerely,

Kelly Newman
, FL 33734

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go Solar!

Sincerely,

Abel Rodriguez
, FL 33180

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

GREED

Sincerely,

Todd Smith
, FL 34994

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How about using some of this sun and ocean breezes we all love to provide more energy? Seems pretty stupid to stick with fossil fuel.

Sincerely,

Penelope Swan
, FL 34234

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How can you put more problems instead of going with clean air. NO INEFFICIENT plants.

Sincerely,

Barb Arana
, FL 34224

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am fpl customer.

Sincerely,

Loretta Blessing
, FL 33919

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer. Please do not raise our bill. Allow us to have solar power.

Thank you

Annie Koshy

Sincerely,

Annie Koshy

, FL 33024

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a full time Sarasota, Florida resident.

Sincerely,

Albert McMullin
, FL 34231

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am already paying fl 500.00 a month

Sincerely,

Ivonne Marin
, FL 33018

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Beck
, FL 33478

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I also have a 10Kw rooftop solar system on my rooftop. If more owners added solar capacity to their rooftops, there would be no need for new power plants to be built. Solar reduces the peak demand on the grid via Netmetering. The customer gets a much lower electric bill and FPL doesn't need to build new dirty fuel power plants. It's a win-win for all. Natural gas is claimed to be "cleaner" fuel but often it's a byproduct of oil and FPL's parent company, NextEra engages in fracking in OK which will provide allegedly cheap natural gas for FPL to use (if the PSC allows it). Just as oil production is not environmentally friendly, the same is true for the hydraulic fracking process (increasing frequency of earthquakes in OK? I wonder why?). Solar wins all round, cheap, clean and environmentally friendly. FPL and the other utility companies should be welcoming this new technology, not trying to stop it via the misleading Amendment 1 proposal in November.

Sincerely,

Daniel Pearson
, FL 33324

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I want my payments to go towards a clean energy future

Sincerely,

Casey Muldowney
, FL 33441

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and would rather have more investment in clean energy than fossil fuels. Please help us protect our environment and fight climate change.

Sincerely,

Katherine Jurich
, FL 34120

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, and am opposed to any rate hike for FPL. Because of the system in Florida, it is the consumers, not the shareholders, that assume the risk when FPL makes bad investments in power plants, and enough is enough. Our family is living with stagnant wages and exploding prices for food and health care -- we can't afford more for FPL. Please, turn this down.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Fast
, FL 33919

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, and I want clean sources of energy, not more money spent on fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Margaret Hayes
, FL 32931

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. Please for the sake of our children and our children's children, start relying more on wind or solar energy. These are the two things Florida has in abundance.

Sincerely,

Robyn Baker
, FL 32114

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am currently an FPL client & enough is enough my bill is too high now!! anymore rate increases I'm going solar!!!

Sincerely,

Timothy Evans
, FL 32905

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am on oxygen and have to run my air when hot. ..I have lung cancer and COPD...My bill last month was 875.00.

We only have SS income..please don't raise these rates...

Sincerely,

George Shavet

, FL 34266

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am willing to pay more for electric from renewable sources, but not fossil fuels or nukes!

Sincerely,

Todd Shannon
, FL 34233

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I can't afford it.

Sincerely,

mark woolley
, FL 32908

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I live in Florida and FPL is so high every month. It is robbery

Sincerely,

Lisa Hunkler
, FL 34990

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I live in Florida. I am sick and tired of the peninsula, very at risk to the results of global warming, continuing to ignore the perils of fossil fuels. We have more sun than practically any other state. WHY ISN'T FPL USING A RATE HIKE FOR RESEARCHING SOLAR? Is it all about being in the pockets of the fossil fuel industry? NO on the rate hike for gas-burning power plants! I VOTE.

Sincerely,

Marie Carianna
, FL 33401

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I pay additional each month on my FPL bill to support solar initiatives. Please consider clean energy, this earth is all we have.

Sincerely,

Yvonne McConnell
, FL 33935

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I pay enough for electricity I am a widow can't pay for an increase. They make billion of dollars let them pay for it themselves without charging the poor consumer.

Sincerely,

Elaine Lozito
, FL 33065

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I support GREEN energy.

Sincerely,

c pogel
, FL 33325

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I unfortunately do receive power from FPL. Getting solar panels for house soon.

Sincerely,

Tanya Reid
, FL 33324

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I would GLADLY pay increased rates for meaningful renewable energy production, and I support allowing solar for homeowners with full storage and sharing.

Sincerely,

Sarah Dunagan
, FL 34990

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am on a fixed income and can hardly afford my 400 dollar light bill. I don't know how I could afford it.

Sincerely,

Darlene Foster
, FL 32759

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'd gladly pay more to help invest in clean *renewable* energy sources. It's time already, let's get started. [=

Sincerely,

Joshua Boyle
, FL 33912

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If you were proposing rate hikes so that you could convert to clean burning or even better yet no burning fossil fuels to generate electricity, I would not oppose the hike. Obviously, I believe that burning fossil fuels is a main factor in climate change.

Sincerely,

Susan Stewart
, FL 34275

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm a Florida resident and FPL customer.

Sincerely,

john nott
, FL 33177

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm not going to pay you more for destroying more land. We already have alternative energy opportunities that doesn't destroy the water air and land. No I don't want your damn drilling and oil what I want is for you all to stop. Not everything is about money.

Sincerely,

Barbara Clark
, FL 32934

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

In addition, senior citizens can't afford the rate increase. They are already dropping cable and giving up cell phones, there's very little left they can economize on to pay your increases. Soon they'll be sitting in the dark and without A/C.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Burt
, FL 34986

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Isn't it time to finally do what's right for the people of Florida over corporate profits?

Sincerely,

Christine Schwartz
, FL 33470

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is CRIMINAL to allow BLACK ENERGIES to be used and ignore the urgency of the crying planet to adopt CLEAN energy. FPL - your NUKE plants are NOT CLEAN

& SAFE as you tell the misled consumer. FPL IS contaminating our environment, the source of our health and wealth, with MILLION year HIGHLY RADIOACTIVE WASTE stored ever accumulating above ground, with NO solution. STOP LYING TO US.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Howard
, FL 34951

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time to put an end to fossil fuel use and begin the

Sincerely,

Melanie Wildfong
, FL 34231

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time to put an end to fossil fuel use and begin the quest for clean energy now! Stop wasting our tax dollars to support pollution!

Sincerely,

Melanie Wildfong
, FL 34231

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time to end Our addiction to fossil fuels. We have proven technologies which will provide clean renewable energy!

Sincerely,

Frank Millin
, FL 33062

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time to take alternative energy seriously. As the Sunshine State, we are long overdue to bringing solar to FL. As a south Floridian, and caring of our environment, solar is our best choice for now and our future!! Get serious about it!!

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Meyers
, FL 33009

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I've been a Florida resident my whole life, it's a beautiful place to live. I think it's time we invest in renewable sources of energy and get away from fossil fuel. We are the sunshine state, let that be our guide to the energy future, together with other clean sources

Sincerely,

Iliana Alonso Garcia
, FL 33156

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Just do the right thing!

Sincerely,

Vee Wohlers
, FL 33990

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Look to green energy!!!! Stop water pollution!

Sincerely,

Kiley Yohn
, FL 32955

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Make solar energy affordable with incentives

Sincerely,

Mila Dorotea
, FL 33196

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Man-made climate change, no pun intended, is a snowball running up hill...melting along its path...guided by needless unrevealed sources of power. FPL just recently asked to hike my monthly bill by \$9 to provide renewable energy production. I am disgusted to see that they are now planning non renewable production. Please be on the right side of history. Do the right thing.

Sincerely,

Vicki Ribera
, FL 33180

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More should be done to find clean and renewable energy. Wind, solar, water current - there is a lot out there.

Sincerely,

Tracy Goggin
, FL 33004

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More solar & wind power-now!!

Sincerely,

Cindy McDonough
, FL 34108

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My bills are high now I am on a fixed income

Sincerely,

Patricia Smith
, FL 34956

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My rate is high enough now

Sincerely,

Janice Ferrell
, FL 32097

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No hike!

Sincerely,

Henry Loeb
, FL 32207

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

no more hikes

Sincerely,

Lisette Alvarez
, FL 33023

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more rate hikes whst will happen when we run out of fossils as nothing lasts forever

Sincerely,

Thurl Bailey
, FL 34221

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate hikes! Use your executives bonuses!

Sincerely,

Carol Burbaugh
, FL 32174

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No thank you FPL

Sincerely,

Lee Shockley

, FL 0

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO!!!! CLEAN ENERGY PLEASE!!!! FPL IS ALREADY RIPPING US OFF, NO MORE!!!

Sincerely,

Robert McHugh
, FL 32136

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Noone can pay more money

Sincerely,

Daina Rizzotto
, FL 34997

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Not to up price,

Sincerely,

Jose Abraham
, FL 33054

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Once again proving how deplorable Governor Scott and the money that backs him truly is.

Sincerely,

Timothy Lee
, FL 34134

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please continue your pursuit of alternative energy sources.

Sincerely,

Brent Canute
, FL 33442

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please invest in 21st Century power generation,

Sincerely,

DENNIS WINFIELD
, FL 32937

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please stop the insanity!!!

Sincerely,

Francisco Patino
, FL 33460

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Quit being greedy and ruining the environment

Sincerely,

kimberly matson
, FL 33428

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Quit living in the past and embrace alternative energy sources -- that's what's best for the planet and that's what the people want.

Sincerely,

Bill Bowen
, FL 33483

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Say NO! Clean energy investment ONLY!

Sincerely,

Carolyn Fleischner
, FL 33321

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar needs to be the number 1 fuel source soon, or we won't have much Florida left!

Sincerely,

John McDermott
, FL 34984

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar power is s must. FPL more money in Excutives pockets.

Sincerely,

Pauline Rhoden
, FL 33317

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop delaying the move forward toward clean, non fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Michael Harvey
, FL 33316

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Sun/wind, we have lots of it. Let's make our state clean and inviting for our children.

Sincerely,

Lynne Colyer
, FL 34285

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Sustainability. We are a peninsula. Wind yes

We are surrounded by water. Tides yes

Gas no... nuclear no.... Coal no...

Sincerely,

Ernestine Ellington
, FL 32904

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Thank you...

Sincerely,

june grieco
, FL 33435

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The increase isn't warranted & will cause hardship for people on fixed income.

Sincerely,

MR & Mrs Emil Reisert
, FL 32976

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The investors are already making real good money from FPL stocks and it should be re-invested back into the company.

I would rather see FPL invest in solar technology to cover the wasted roof top spaces that could be covering all the wasted parking lot spaces across the states. These large parking lots absorb massive amounts of heat from the large amount of sunshine, especially in our 'sunshine' state.

Sincerely,

Robert Gamble
, FL 32908

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The time to change to solar wind and water is NOW! I'm sick and tired of greedy 1%ers insisting on the status quo to line their pockets and pollute my inalienable right to clean air, drinking water and soil containing nutrients not toxins.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Walsh
, FL 32164

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The timing is not a coincidence, they are simultaneously trying to block solar with an amendment, and blaming solar for infrastructure costs. BTW, they spent 12 million on campaign contributions and another 700thou. on advertising to pass amendment 1. Would have made a dent in the cost of the new plant *we would not need if we had more solar!*

Don't fall for misleading advertising!

Vote NO on 1!!!!

Sincerely,

Rebecca Davis
, FL 32174

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

There is no excuse for florida the "sunshine state" to not be going solar ...!

Sincerely,

Chris Hanna
, FL 32656

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

there is NO reason for this dirty polluting way of producing energy when there is solar

Sincerely,

susanne manno
, FL 32725

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

this is the sunshine state, try solar

Sincerely,

kimberly stamper
, FL 33903

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This rate hike is just robbing the people more...could you consider robbing your pocket books and cut salary from your side.

Sincerely,

Judith Shubert
, FL 32168

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Time to look toward alternative fuel sources that are safer, cleaner and better for all of us, in general.

Sincerely,

Bonita Knapp
, FL 34102

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Time to switch to solar! Enough with the greedy oil companies and utilities destroying our environment and abusing their customers!

Sincerely,

Donald Bass
, FL 32114

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

To those who will make this decision, I pray you will not raise the rates because many Seniors cannot afford it. In the hot months my bill skyrockets. In the real cold months my bill skyrockets again. Please remember how many Seniors who live here and Social Security is their only source of income.

Sincerely,

Gerri Schlotterback
, FL 34232

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

WE ALREADY PAY FOR THEIR SUBSIDIES

Send me a copy of this please.

Sincerely,

DERRICK PORTER
, FL 33130

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We already Pay Too much ! Give us a Break !

Sincerely,

Barbara Wyatt
, FL 33304

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are FLP CUSTOMERS and want to save our planet's resources.

Sincerely,

Gail Sherry
, FL 32940

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We live in "the sunshine stat" and it is ludicrous that we are not taking advantage of this free supply of energy. Drilling for oil is costly and extremely bad for the environment as we all have witnessed with repeated oil spills. Fracking for natural gas is causing earthquakes and destroying vast amounts of natural habitat. Coal burning plants are the worst of all! It is extremely dirty and the major destruction it has caused to the environment where it is mined is inexcusable!

Renewable energy is the ONLY energy source that makes sense. It's free, it's clean and it is our future! Act now and do the right thing for all of us, present and future!

Sincerely,

Rebecca Dulin
, FL 34997

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need Solar energy now! We are the sunshine state!

Sincerely,

Julie Navarrete
, FL 33070

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to invest in solar, install solar sell it on the grid before the customers do it first.

Sincerely,

Eric Farella
, FL 32174

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to move forward with technology and use less fossil fuels and more wind/solar power. Or use another non pollution ways to provide power.

Also minimum wage has not gone up so prices should not be allowed to increase the cost of living greatly outways the wages.

Sincerely,

Sherri Crane
, FL 32137

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to reduce our reliance on fossil fuels!!!!!!

Sincerely,

bibi martinek
, FL 33312

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We pay enough costs increase income has not. Reject this hike request please.

Sincerely,

Belynda Grays
, FL 34207

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We seniors have hard enough trying to make ends meet so we would look very favorably upon a utility company who would bite the bullet with costly change over to cleaner energy option and GO SOLAR. They don't call us The Sunshine State for nothing!!!

Sincerely,

Jean E Colson
, FL 34210

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What about all the people who have difficulty now paying the high utility prices and the break FPL already gets with our warmer winters? Why can't FPL invest extra money in non-fossil fuel-powered plants? Florida is so behind the curve it's nauseating.

Sincerely,

Donna Boron
, FL 34239

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Where is the fairness in this proposal not only for the customer's but the overall environment. Please say "No".

Sincerely,

Sallie Darden
, FL 32765

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Who needs the extra \$ more? The Koch brothers or their benefactor, Satan? Or does the latter just want to speed up the timetable on the extinction of humanity?

Sincerely,

CJ Webber
, FL 33190

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why do you continue to strip the planet instead of utilizing what we have? Solar power? Knock it off. How much money is enough for you greedy bastards? Oh, that's right. It's never enough, it's about more.

Sincerely,

Paula Bloom
, FL 33441

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why do you continue to strip the planet instead of utilizing what we have? Solar power? Knock it off. How much money is enough for you greedy bastards? Oh, that's right. It's never enough, it's about more.

Sincerely,

Paula Bloom
, FL 33441

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why do you continue to strip the planet instead of utilizing what we have? Solar power? Knock it off. How much money is enough for you greedy bastards? Oh, that's right. It's never enough, it's about more.

Sincerely,

Paula Bloom
, FL 33441

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why is my water bill 36.00 and FPL 200.00?

Sincerely,

Kelly Benoit
, FL 34957

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why on earth do we the American tax payer have to pay for any upgrade done by any utility they the utility should have to pay for their own upgrades and new construction the utility company and their share holders make all the profit ~~~ how on earth is this legal for a public utility ~~~ it's Plane theft from us !

Sincerely,

Jim Shanahan
, FL 33060

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why pay for more fossil fuels when solar is the future and it's FREE! Oh, I know you're all cozy with the oil companies, but you are living in the past and making the people pay for your "payed off" viewpoint.

Sincerely,

Fred Schonberg
, FL 34236

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With all the solar potential we have down here, why are we even considering fossil fuel. It's time to invest in our future, not line the pockets of the oil industry.

Sincerely,

Larry Bisceglia
, FL 33311

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

with no increase in social security this year again , it is a bad idea for many people

Sincerely,

carl lachman
, FL 32127

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With so many clean alternatives why use gas power. Solar would be ideal, this is the sunshine state correct?

Sincerely,

Ann Glynn
, FL 32086

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With solar you no longer need this also you should be paying us back for the franchise taxes you added over the years for your oil spills that you were paid 3 times for THIEVES

Sincerely,

Vicki Massimino
, FL 33334

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You are promoting a vote in November that would raise our rates if we go solar and still you are raising our rates if you do not????

Sincerely,

John LiMarzi
, FL 34243

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You are still charging high prices even though oil prices are down, we need wind power and Sun power plants.

Sincerely,

Juana Salcedo
, FL 33024

9/10/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You should spend your investment and borrowed money only on Clean Natural energy as other States and Countries are doing.

Sincerely,

Captain Nihal and Ginnette Perera
, FL 33166

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

21st. Century solutions please...

Sincerely,

Richard McCullough
, FL 34105

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

A rate hike is not called for. They wasted the last one, let the shareholders pay up.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Nolan
, FL 33496

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Absolutely NO! This is Florida and we have absolutely NO reason, whatsoever, to not be using solar, to supply or supplant our energy needs.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Leibacher
, FL 33317

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Although FPL are not providing my electricity, they ARE polluting my air.

Sincerely,

Ginny Brommelsick
, FL 33770

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

And no longer practicing energy conservation

Sincerely,

Dale Gulden
, FL 34209

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Are electric bill is high enough

Sincerely,

Dale Fell
, FL 33179

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a born-and-raised Palm Beach County resident, I am deeply disappointed that FPL's rate hike appears to be both unnecessary and not focused on investing in renewable energy sources.

Sincerely,

WPB Home
, FL 33406

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a business owner and home owner in the FPL section of Florida I am upset to hear of this construction plan.

Sincerely,

Michael Wilson
, FL 33981

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a resident of Dade County FL and customer of FPL, we don't need rate hikes from fossil fuel. We need Clean Sustainable Energy, like Solar Energy which we have plenty of and won't create more pollution.

Sincerely,

Susan Black
, FL 33133

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a senior on limited income, I pay too much already.

Sincerely,

Robbie small
, FL 33321

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an engineer, I must speak out against this short term and unsustainable move in favor of a long term solution of renewable energy.

Sincerely,

Michael Fletcher
, FL 32709

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer, I thought FPL was always innovative and looking for efficient and earth-friendly ways to improve power supply. I do not support this.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Darst
, FL 33074

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer, my elec. bill is already \$150. a month. I only get \$1200. a month on SS. I just can't afford this. Our environment can't afford this either. Why aren't we investing in clean energy and fuels?

Sincerely,

Mayona Gentile
, FL 32174

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

California has low electric. They have solar power. My daughter billing every month is \$24.00

Sincerely,

Robin Cummings
, FL 33179

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

California has low electric. They have solar power. My daughter billing every month is \$24.00

Sincerely,

Robin Cummings
, FL 33179

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Can't afford now many medical bills

Sincerely,

Lisa Boyle
, FL 33981

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Can't afford rate hikes, the earth can't afford any more power plants

Sincerely,

Jayme Howard
, FL 32945

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Climate change is real and a mortal threat to all humanity. A decision by the public service commission to build more fossil fuel power plants is a repudiation of the warnings of the vast majority of climatologists around the world. I urge you to focus on funding renewable energy projects and to reject this Florida Power application. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Vena
, FL 32825

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough is enough

Sincerely,

suz kays

, FL 34112

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough is enough, FPL.

Sincerely,

Lara Amoroso
, FL 32963

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FL is not just tourist most of the population is made up of Senior citizens living on fixed incomes while these huge utility companies continue to rob us! FPL does not care about the environment and the dangers they put us all in with their new plants especially nuclear plants! Free the people from these huge companies that are already overcharging the citizens of FL

Sincerely,

Noreen Allison
, FL 34117

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is behind the new system of clean energy. Germany, Greece and other countries are using and developing new energies, such as solar. It is time for Florida to embrace new energies, namely solar. We don't need to continue the outdated system of solar energy!

Sincerely,

Rachel Wynnberry
, FL 34235

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

For heaven sakes this is Florida-----the sunshine state. We need more solar

Sincerely,

Margaret Atchley
, FL 34232

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL is the worst utility I have ever experienced. It insisted on an gag order before making a \$125 service credit after an illegal cutoff of power. When we demurred on the gag order, FPL wrongfully withdrew the service adjustment and inflicted an illegal deposit requirement. Please investigate FPL's rebarbative mismanagement.

Sincerely,

Ed Slavin
, FL 32085

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL is trying to get public support for this hike by claiming we have some of the lowest BILLS in the country. As the PSC, you know very well that BILLS- & RATES are not the same. In sunny FL, we should have lower bills. But they are asking for a RATE hike. Very mis-leading! We should also have and keep some the lowest RATES in the country!

Sincerely,

Rick Fried
, FL 33982

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go alternative and clean!

Sincerely,

Kathleen Burson
, FL 32780

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Green Energy is what Florida needs. Act on it !

Sincerely,

William Crowl
, FL 33040

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a customer of FPL. Please don't use fossil fuels! We do have many other options available today. Stay as green as possible and don't pollute!!!

Sincerely,

Angele Rosen
, FL 34285

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a fpl customer

Sincerely,

william burnworth
, FL 33311

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer and want clean energy not fossil fuels. Saving our planet is more important than the economy, terrorists, etc. Wake up people, look at Oklahoma! They have earthquakes now from fracking!

Sincerely,

Deborah Greenleaf
, FL 34232

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer. Where is the clean energy? I've lived in Florida since 1982, monopoly no competition. Rates keep going up higher than so called rate cuts.

Sincerely,

Sandra Nevins
, FL 32922

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer. Where is the clean energy? I've lived in Florida since 1982, monopoly no competition. Rates keep going up higher than so called rate cuts.

Sincerely,

Sandra Nevins
, FL 32922

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a long time FPL customer and living on a fixed income as a senior citizen. I can not afford these ridiculous rate hikes. I know I am not alone. There are many of us in this same situation.

Sincerely,

mary strates
, FL 32738

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a long-time FPL customer.

Sincerely,

Karen Jaeger
, FL 33023

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am against anything new that isn't green friendly.

Sincerely,

Christopher Drzik
, FL 33936

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am also concerned about what fracking will do to our ground water and the aquifer.

Sincerely,

Linda Colindres
, FL 33713

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am also concerned about what fracking will do to our ground water and the aquifer.

Sincerely,

Linda Colindres
, FL 33713

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am also concerned about what fracking will do to our ground water and the aquifer.

Sincerely,

Linda Colindres
, FL 33713

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am also concerned about what fracking will do to our ground water and the aquifer.

Sincerely,

Linda Colindres
, FL 33713

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am also concerned about what fracking will do to our ground water and the aquifer.

Sincerely,

Linda Colindres
, FL 33713

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I am against any rate hikes as the rates are already too high

Sincerely,

Robin Skopinski
, FL 33063

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer as well as a senior citizen living on a fixed income who cannot afford repeated increases in my electric bill. .

Sincerely,

Carolyn Kalmus
, FL 33964

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, please don't raise the rates. It's already so expensive.

Sincerely,

Fiona Barone
, FL 33486

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, please don't raise the rates. It's already so expensive.

Sincerely,

Fiona Barone
, FL 33486

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. They need to concentrate on clean energy sources!

Sincerely,

Wendy Jones
, FL 34243

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. Why in this day and age are we not using solar and wind power. I am disgusted in you. There is no need for more fossil fuels when we have renewable resources right at our fingertips! It just shows me that you are more interested in the oil lobby than the natural beauty of this state.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Carroll
, FL 34222

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am having a difficult time paying my electric bill as it is!!! NO!!!!

Sincerely,

Charleen Pla
, FL 33411

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am on a fixed income and it's hard for me to pay my bills now. Can't afford an increase of any kind

Sincerely,

Connie Stephenson
, FL 32907

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I don't understand why we don't use our natural resorts.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Quit
, FL 33442

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been a FPL customer for 28 years. I have been very unhappy to see our local and state governments cave to their pressure at the public's expense numerous occasions. FPL has often failed to use their profits to manage their infrastructure responsibly to the detriment of public. I am against rate hikes and the spending of public funds unless it is to improve safer delivery of electricity to the end user, or the expansion of non-nuclear clean and renewable energy production.

Sincerely,

Matthew George
, FL 33415

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been a FPL customer for all of my life. I live in Miami-Dade county and everything is expensive. I don't think this had been a proven need or value. It's unfair!

Both myself and my husband are unemployed. We can't afford this!!!

Sincerely,

Laura Danielsen
, FL 33015

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been an FPL customer for 20 years, It is time that we are given green energy choices instead of further fossil fuel investments.

Sincerely,

Boris Pelakh
, FL 32931

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I hope FPL will consider using our most abundant resource, sunshine, as their next source of choice and invest in the infrastructure of clean energy. It is obviously the right choice to every Floridian.

Sincerely,

Kelly Fried
, FL 34232

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I now use Arcadia Electric from renewables & they provide it to me through FPL's infrastructure.

Sincerely,

Kate Merrick
, FL 32084

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I oppose the rate increase that Fpl is trting to do.

Sincerely,

Christine Beasley
, FL 32950

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I receive disability income I can barely afford to pay my bill as it is now. I strongly oppose rate hike.

Sincerely,

Denise Payne
, FL 33069

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I support clean energy alternatives.

Sincerely,

Pat Watts
, FL 32092

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I will keep you in MY prayers for God to grant you wisdom. God bless!!!

Sincerely,

Estrella Acosta
, FL 32703

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I will not support more use of fossil fuel! I would, however, consider a small rate hike for solar or wind or geo thermal!

Sincerely,

Diana Brooks
, FL 34952

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

i wonder how much Dick i mean Rick Scott is making or is getting in return on this deal ??

Sincerely,

robert henick
, FL 33458

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I would be happy to approve such rate hikes for solar, wind or other clean energy. If this goes through, I may very well install solar panels on my house. Something I'd ruled out, due to FPL's low rates & previous investments into clean power.

Sincerely,

Mark Peterson
, FL 33954

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I would rather pay higher rates for advancement of non fossil fuel energy to protect our climate.

Sincerely,

Sherry Nutter
, FL 34951

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm on a fixed income. I cannot afford any more increases!

Sincerely,

Dagmar Martinez
, FL 33313

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm on a fixed income. I can't afford to pay any more than I have to. please don't do this.

Sincerely,

Roxanna Hamilton
, FL 32148

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Increase renewable energy & drop destroying the earth in search of non renewable dirty fuels!!!

Sincerely,

John Doughton
, FL 33470

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Invest in solar lower the rates!

Sincerely,

william mosher
, FL 32904

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It seems this is the only message we ever receive- just ad another burden to the taxpayer

Sincerely,

Sallie Darden
, FL 32765

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's the 21st century. Let's start acting like it.

Sincerely,

Ryan Little
, FL 32771

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let home owners and businesses generate and transfer solar energy power throughout Florida...

Sincerely,

John Leveroni
, FL 33067

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let us use solar pirates!

Sincerely,

Brandon Kohn
, FL 33133

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let your share holder split the cost and stop ripping off and stealing from people that can barely afford it. your unfair monopoly is already bad enough.

Sincerely,

cyrille wendling
, FL 33405

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More Solar Now!

Sincerely,

chris collard
, FL 33483

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Most of us especially seniors and single moms have to choose between eating and getting our medication. We don't need to be raped again by corporate america. You FPL is making us victims of abuse of the worse kind GREED>

Sincerely,

Maria Dichter
, FL 33414

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My Husband And I don't Want Our FPL Rates To Hike To Pay For More Fossil Fuels...We Just Can't Afford it.

Sincerely,

Janet Robbins
, FL 33312

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My Husband And I don't Want Our FPL Rates To Hike To Pay For More Fossil Fuels...We Just Can't Afford it.

Sincerely,

Janet Robbins
, FL 33312

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My Husband And I don't Want Our FPL Rates To Hike To Pay For More Fossil Fuels...We Just Can't Afford it.

Sincerely,

Janet Robbins
, FL 33312

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NAVY veteran and active voter

Sincerely,

Matthew Hogel
, FL 33351

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No

Sincerely,

Debi Woodruff
, FL 33028

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more drilling. Leave fossil fuels in the ground. Go green! It's best for us and we are the Sunshine state after all. USE IT!

Sincerely,

Janine Krogh
, FL 33434

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO MORE GAS BURNING PLANTS IN FLORIDA!

I'VE LIVED IN FLORIDA FOR 40 YEARS! WE WANT

ELECTRIC POWER AND SUNLIGHT POWER ONLY!

MRS. TRUDY STORACE

Sincerely,

TRUDY STORACE

, FL 33311

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more increases!!!

Sincerely,

Laura w Tobin
, FL 32953

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No No No

Sincerely,

Nancy Rose
, FL 32141

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate hike

Sincerely,

Teri Pinto
, FL 33321

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate hike! As it is, I am a one person household and do not use that much power. I wash my dishes by hand, minimal oven use, two loads of laundry each week, and keep my AC on 78-79 degrees, and my bill monthly has ranged from approx. \$70-100 plus from month to month with SAME usage!!!! Why???

Sincerely,

nancy fitzpatrick
, FL 33435

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate hikes to use fossil fuel --- of any kind! This is the "sunshine" state, use it.

Sincerely,

Mary Guay
, FL 34112

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO RATE INCREASE

Sincerely,

BEN PACKER
, FL 33433

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No. Higher bills!

Sincerely,

Ralph Finelli
, FL 33435

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Not having solar in Florida of all states is just ridiculous!

Sincerely,

Lynda Garner
, FL 33483

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Not investing in clean energy is only going to make it more difficult to deal with the problems we face in the future.

Sincerely,

Rodrigo Saldana
, FL 33071

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Our Electric bill is sky high already!

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Hilarcik
, FL 33328

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please ,Our planet needs to heal, not add more pollution to an already messed up world!

Sincerely,

Denise Lacroix
, FL 33458

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please get more involved with clean energy and stop fossil fuels

Sincerely,

Steve Hody
, FL 33313

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please invest in clean energy fuels - solar, wind!

Sincerely,

Marilyn Caplin
, FL 33146

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please it is so hard for seniors on a fixed income now to make ends meet. If prices go higher we may not survive.

Sincerely,

Sondra Newall
, FL 33321

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please reconsider for your consumers as well as the environment. All your families will also be affected.
Thank you

Sincerely,

Roseanna Pratt
, FL 32746

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please reconsider for your consumers as well as the environment. All your families will also be affected.
Thank you

Sincerely,

Roseanna Pratt
, FL 32746

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please reconsider for your consumers as well as the environment. All your families will also be affected.
Thank you

Sincerely,

Roseanna Pratt
, FL 32746

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please support the people!

Sincerely,

Kathryn Elalouf
, FL 33176

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Pure Greed Greed Greed is behind this request to pilfer into their customer's wallets and purses.

Sincerely,

Fred Mitchell
, FL 32118

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Pursue clean energy choices for our future!

Sincerely,

Deborah Mongato
, FL 32168

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Put that money into solar energy generation. If Florida has anything, it is abundant sunshine. It is proven that solar energy generation is cost competitive with fossil fuels so there is no economic advantage to using fossil fuels. I would accept a rate increase to finance clean energy solar farms but not a dime to build fossil fuel generation plants.

Sincerely,

Joseph Hinkley
, FL 34114

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Put the breaks on new power plants! FPL should lead the way in investing in solar! Us Floridians are paying the unnecessary price for FPL's big financial gain. All new energy contracts should be researched to the fullest to determine need and impact! Start today to build a greener tomorrow!!!

Sincerely,

Leah Rothschild
, FL 33460

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Retired people can neither afford the rate increase nor the time to watch FPL fail the public.

Sincerely,

Donna Kerntz
, FL 32174

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Say NO to FPL

Sincerely,

jerry smith
, FL 33426

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Saying "No" to fossil fuel, no rate hikes from FPL.

Sincerely,

Susan Blameuser
, FL 32145

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Seniors are having difficulty meeting their bills as it is. Congress wants to cut Social Security benefits. Last year there was no COLA. We can't afford higher bills.

Sincerely,

Lynn Lazarus
, FL 33411

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Shame shame shame on greed that is ruining our planet for shame on all of you

Sincerely,

Doreen Harvey
, FL 32136

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar and wind are the future - get with th program !!

Sincerely,

Marlee Matheson
, FL 34990

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar and Wind. Please. No coal or gas

Sincerely,

Timothy Blake
, FL 33156

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar and Wind. Please. No coal or gas

Sincerely,

Timothy Blake
, FL 33156

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar is great in so many ways, but not for FPL stockholders. Your children and grandchildren will thank you!

Sincerely,

Lizabeth Riepe
, FL 34288

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar is the way to go

Sincerely,

Hazel Stamey
, FL 32132

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar is the way to go

Sincerely,

Hazel Stamey
, FL 32132

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop it!

Sincerely,

Julie Plutowski
, FL 32117

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop the bs and got solar energy

Sincerely,

Daisy T
, FL 33181

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

STOP THE FPL MONOPLY IN FLORIDA AND START LETTING OTHER CLEANER ENERGY COMPANY'S COME TO SOUTH FLORIDA AND COMPETE WITH FPL WITH CLEANER & CHEAPER ENERGY PRICES. NOT JUST ONE COMPANY TO CHOOSE FROM.

Sincerely,

Frank Reyes
, FL 33155

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Sunshine State FL..... please use the sun and the wind.... which are gratis and are here always....

Sincerely,

Ursula Thime
, FL 33308

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Sunshine state needs to invest in solar not gas.

Sincerely,

Margaret Sateach
, FL 33308

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Take power green, fossil fuels are criminal!!

Sincerely,

Marc Baer
, FL 32145

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The future is NOT fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Jose Rodriguez
, FL 33178

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

There is lots of sunshine in Florida. How about more solar and less fossil?

Sincerely,

Nancy Gold
, FL 34231

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is a totally unnecessary move. We the peoples natural resources are not to be proselytized for a commercial gains. Do not let this happen to our state. We only have one earth for all of us. Enough of the greed and lust for power and wealth. Big sugar has abused us beyond reason, stop the madness with our resources.

Thank-you

Sincerely,

Glenda Lynd
, FL 32759

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is the sunshine state-- apparently solar energy is a rare commodity Fail FPL

Sincerely,

Ramona Venuto
, FL 33317

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Time to move to sustainables.

Sincerely,

Sherry Kizer
, FL 32707

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

USE MORE SOLAR! We are the SUNSHINE State! I have grandchildren who would love to live on a clean earth!

Sincerely,

Lauren McHenry
, FL 33852

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We already have huge issues in Florida with pollution. WE DO NOT NEED MORE FOSSIL FUEL POLLUTION. Florida is called the Sunshine State, so lets get with the 21 Century and use SOLAR POWER!!! Besides I refuse to pay you any more than we are already over paying for power!

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Taylor-Martinez
, FL 33166

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are FPL customers and live in a state where sunshine will never run out.

Sincerely,

merrilee bueno
, FL 34951

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are FPL customers who have supplemented our electric for years with photovoltaic panels. Florida has more sunlight per year than any other state; we should be relying on solar and wind power as it would be more cost efficient for us - we're blessed with a natural resource that costs nothing to harness. Stop living in the past!

Sincerely,

Melissa Hoagland
, FL 32952

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We had enough of your rate increases . Especially if your going to keep killing the environment. Start getting into another type of energy source.

Sincerely,

Dennis Kratz
, FL 32940

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We had enough of your rate increases . Especially if your going to keep killing the environment. Start getting into another type of energy source.

Sincerely,

Dennis Kratz
, FL 32940

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We hear so much that people are coming around to the idea of global warming. I heard so much about the future of solar power, now I'd like to see some action. What are the studies showing? We must move on protecting the future.

Sincerely,

Lavonda Collins
, FL 33319

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground!

Sincerely,

Linda Corcoran
, FL 33461

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We must turn to sustainable sources of energy before it is too late. As an FPL customer I wish we - in the Sunshine State- would turn to the sun to supply us with most of our energy.

Sincerely,

Gudrun Matthaus
, FL 33982

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need solar power you fools !! Why do we need this !

Sincerely,

Donald Woods
, FL 33133

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to move towards sustainable energy sources, such as wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Jessica Prescott
, FL 32773

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to use solar and wind power. Our summers are becoming hotter and hotter because of the pollution of our upper atmosphere by things like the burning of fossil fuel.

Sincerely,

Sharon Jones
, FL 33031

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to use solar and wind power. Our summers are becoming hotter and hotter because of the pollution of our upper atmosphere by things like the burning of fossil fuel.

Sincerely,

Sharon Jones
, FL 33031

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We should be focusing on renewable energy not spending more money and raising the price for the use of FPL. I am a FPL customer and am against the hikes and do not want the expansion of fossil burning facilities. The money wanted for this should be used for the growth and expansion of renewable energy. We live in Florida so wind and solar power is abundant. The sunshine state.... I mean come on. It's a no brainer

Sincerely,

Robert Allen
, FL 32901

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We still have power outages here all the time just a little rain and No Power so why should They get an increase

Sincerely,

Ellen Cox
, FL 33441

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Well my opinion is Florida Power and Light to pay for their own projects as my bill is outrageous.. Why should we pay for them to build a plant for them to make money off us

Sincerely,

Regina Maglio
, FL 33410

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why aren't we (FPL) looking more aggressively to wind and solar energy? Why would we continue to facilitate the use of fossil fuels when we KNOW that we are going to have to use these (cleaner) methods in the end...for the good of our and our children and their children's future?

Sincerely,

Paula DeGroat
, FL 33066

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why don't you invest on solar power instead

Sincerely,

Vilma Rivera
, FL 33351

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why is The Sunshine State not leading the way in solar energy production?

It's too busy Fucking People Legally.

Harshest political comment I've ever dropped, but I must let you know what your acronym means to so many of us. It's a sharp, albeit crass, commentary to your monopoly on so much of Florida's grid. Furthermore, since living off the grid is illegal in Florida, I cannot vote yes on one and continue to charge me yet be free of any responsibility to reimburse me for the power I provide to the grid. I will fight for solar off grid power; I should not be forced to be a customer to any utility company.

Sincerely,

Katherine Holloway
, FL 34951

9/11/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You are a greedy self serving monopoly.

Sincerely,

Russell Carey
, FL 33411

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

A lot of people can't afford to pay their bills now and need Electric for medical equipment, companies are getting as bad as the Government taking from the people, seniors on SS are doing without food and medicines already and dying because of it. I think you need to rethink things. Pray about all things before you do it.

Sincerely,

Ruby Roberts
, FL 32177

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

A rate hike at this point and time would be immoral and extremely unpopular. People are out of work and desperately trying to make ends meet. Have some compassion for your fellow Americans. It is now a well known fact that corporate greed is out of control. People are trying to pay their bills to the best of their ability. The climatic conditions in South Florida call for air conditioning. I keep mine at 79 and 80 degrees and I am sure other people do the same. Please consider humanity's suffering before you go ahead and increase our rates. Thank you so much in advance for your kind consideration.

Sincerely,

kallya georgiades
, FL 33410

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

And really... are you kidding me. More fossil fuel??? Are we still in the stone ages???????

Sincerely,

Debra Burger
, FL 34209

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Another way to get money, go solar fpl now save money for us !!!!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,

Jules Waddell
, FL 32907

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a Native American- We have known- when will other People see and understand- Water is Essential to Life? If the pipelines break and the drinking water is contaminated- the people will no longer exist. The Oil industry plays on words. They say the massive quakes are not caused by Fracking, it is caused by normal oil drilling and pumping the water that is brought up, too contaminated to be brought onto land. Pumped back deep into the earth, in injection wells. Why would Oklahoma allow this Earthly Assault to be done? Knowing oil wells are causing quakes. When the pipelines are run under our waterways we are Risking our human life and existence. We are supposed to leave the world in a better way than we found it. Our Grandchildren are going to inherit what we have done. It is as though we live for Today, without any thought of Tomorrow. We must wake up! Deny rate increase and force them to go to clean Energy!

Sincerely,

Kay Haering
, FL 33904

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer, I am disheartened to hear of FPL's recent proposal to build more gas-burning plants in South Florida. This state has so much potential in solar and wind power energy alternatives that I just don't see the need to invest in gas plants that will only continue to degrade the quality of our environment. FPL runs many commercials toting its dedication to being "green," but this proposal is far from being environmentally friendly. Please do not approve its proposal.

Sincerely,

Brittany Witters
, FL 33467

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As the "Sunshine State" I believe that we should set an example as a solar powered state. We the people are tired of the dependence on fossil fuels. We used to be on the leading edge of technology but because we have refused to change, to move forward embracing the new, the smarter, the better, we are no longer the country that everyone aspires to duplicate. We are so far past needing proof as to the high cost paid for using, mining and transporting fossil fuels. It is time to bite the bullet and move to a technology that supports life on the planet instead of just supporting living on the planet.

Sincerely,

Linda Kelley
, FL 32092

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Burning fossil fuel is killing our planet

Sincerely,

Dorothy Fritz
, FL 33411

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Don't let any potential payoffs hinder doing the right thing. Stand for the public for which you serve.

Sincerely,

Lisa Setian
, FL 33428

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Don't make us pay for your incompetence..

Sincerely,

Mariamee Rodriguez
, FL 33130

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

electric is too high already when your on s.s. its hard to pay

Sincerely,

viola long
, FL 34997

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough is enough

Sincerely,

Tatiana Jean
, FL 33411

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough with the dirty fossil fuels that are killing our planet. It's time to care about the future generation and go towards cleaner energy. No more fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Josephine Jones
, FL 33312

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is blessed with abundant sunshine so there is no need for more fossil fuel to pollute our air and water. We need more solar power - non-polluting, cleaner and, in the long run, less expensive

Sincerely,

Caroline Plante
, FL 32025

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Foward not backwards

Sincerely,

Paul Jehlen
, FL 33062

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL is also collecting billions for 2 new proposed nuclear plants to be built South of Miami. They were proposed before 2006 and there is no firm date that they are to be finished. FPL makes huge political donations to buy influence in order to get their plans approved. They are the major reason the private people and industries are not allowed to sell solar power and Florida is so far behind most states using solar power. Stop these excessive profits that FPL makes.

I am an FPL customer.

I also used to work for FPL and was laid off after I reported to the NRC and supplied calculations and data that the Turkey Point air radiation environmental monitoring setpoint was 8 times the site boundary limits. FPL is more concerned with their profits than they are about their customers safety.

Sincerely,

Bill Klein
, FL 32796

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL needs to get out of the way for private investment in solar adoption. Just because it doesn't fit their fossil fuel / nuclear agenda should solar become a non-option for the sunshine state.

Sincerely,

Andrew Nilssen
, FL 34242

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Get your heads out of your ass's!! GO GREEN!

Sincerely,

John Ponshock
, FL 32952

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go clean!

Sincerely,

Nick Moss
, FL 33146

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go Solar instead! Dump Rick Scott and the Big Oil supporters.

Sincerely,

Richard Thurston
, FL 33304

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

GO SOLAR...QUIT DAMAGING THE EARTH AND AIR !!

Sincerely,

Licia Babb
, FL 32174

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How about solar & windmills & bank the surplus.

Sincerely,

Cathy Hesketh
, FL 32953

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How about solar & windmills & bank the surplus.

Sincerely,

Cathy Hesketh
, FL 32953

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I also do not agree or understand why I have to pay to build any power plant and then again pay for the power. I don't prepay to have a gas station built.

Sincerely,

David Robinson
, FL 32909

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer and want money invested in solar energy here in FL not fracking!

Sincerely,

Shawn Doering
, FL 34223

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer who wants solar energy. I am very upset at this step backwards. Shame on you for not thinking of our future.

Sincerely,

Karen Cruz
, FL 33433

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer

Sincerely,

CHRISTINE Lonabaugh
, FL 33905

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and definitely oppose any rate hikes for what seems unnecessary.

Sincerely,

Karen Patten
, FL 33428

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I oppose a rate hike and, more importantly, the reliance on fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Melissa Ray
, FL 33405

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and see no reason why they feel that the electric company should build more gas-burning plants across our state. We have seen enough loss of sea life and human illnesses caused by pollution from fossil fuels.

Why put out commercials stating that you as a corporation are doing all that you can do to keep our rates low when you are trying to get a rate hike? This makes no sense except that you are lying to your customers.

Sincerely,

Pamela Dugan
, FL 34119

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I can't afford a rate hike. I think they should pursue cleaner energy solutions for the good of all of us!!!!

Sincerely,

Eva Foerst
, FL 32127

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have read this issue. Please don't allow this!

Sincerely,

Gretchen Miscik
, FL 34275

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I just cannot deal with a hike in my electric bill I am retired it's difficult now I had to go on a budget and I keep my thermostat down to 80 degrees.

Sincerely,

Joanne Guadagni
, FL 32909

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I live in Broward County. Florida has a tremendous amount of sunshine, and we need solar, NOT MORE FOSSIL FUELS. Stop serving the oil cartels and do what's good for the environment.

Sincerely,

George Davis
, FL 33315

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I live off social security on a fixed income. I can't barely afford to pay my bill now. Whats going to happen if they keep raising utilities but they don't raise ssd? Is it that no one cares?

Sincerely,

Olga Rosado
, FL 32114

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I oppose this on the grounds stated, plus the fact that FPL should be investing in the future of energy, not the past. We know that clean, fairly easy to attain fossil fuel is coming to an end. Please do not waste our money on yesterday's technology.

Sincerely,

Jane Henson
, FL 33406

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I. Am an FPL customer and oppose this increase!

Sincerely,

Peggy Casanova
, FL 33024

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer.

Sincerely,

Greg Wheeler
, FL 33334

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm an FPL customer. Go green and do not raise my electric bill!

Sincerely,

Donna Byrnes
, FL 34997

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm tired of paying for FPL to become filthy rich, let them subsidize their own projects. Remember when we started to "Conserve" too much, they raised rates on us. Just another example of corporate greed.

Sincerely,

Mike Fink
, FL 32750

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

In this land of sunshine and Ocean, we have the "power" to change to an environmentally sound method of obtaining energy. I say "No" to a rate hike when a resource is so available without the hazardous repercussions!

Sincerely,

Joanne Reilly
, FL 32169

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Invest in clean energy!

Sincerely,

Laura perez
, FL 34996

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time to get away from fossil fuel-wake up

Sincerely,

Joanne Guarente
, FL 33024

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's just common sense to invest in wind and solar. Cheaper and cleaner.

Sincerely,

Janet Rosso
, FL 33460

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's needs to be about people, our planet not profits!!!!

Sincerely,

Ronald Miskie
, FL 33417

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's needs to be about people, our planet not profits!!!!

Sincerely,

Ronald Miskie
, FL 33417

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I've already been paying into FPL's failed and failing attempts to undertake unsafe and unsound power generation for 25 years. It is PAST DUE time to move into the future! If the grossly overpaid management of FPL feels the continuation of such ill practices are to their benefit, allow them to leave FPL and undertake the creation and operation of a business based on their model, with their own money, and keep the public interest out of it, as it is apparent they are only interested in their own.

Sincerely,

Charles Boyer
, FL 34120

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My bill has already gone from \$180.00 mos to \$300.00. We are so far behind other countries that use solar that it is embarrassing and immoral!

Sincerely,

Susan Roskay
, FL 34990

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My bill is high enough! You're already killing us! Stop!

Sincerely,

Brian Pooler
, FL 32117

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My fortune for clean, solar energy - not one penny for more pollution!

Sincerely,

Charlotte McCullough
, FL 34119

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No Gas. No Nukes. Clean up your act.

Sincerely,

Robert San Socie
, FL 32224

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more.

Sincerely,

Elba Montalvo
, FL 33470

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more.

Sincerely,

Elba Montalvo
, FL 33470

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No way!

Sincerely,

Dalia Koss
, FL 34243

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Our family could not handle a hike increase for our already high rates. Look into solar energy. Our environment here in Florida, is already fragile and unstable. No! Just No!

Sincerely,

Kelly Cohn
, FL 33919

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please continue to protect us Floridians from un-warranted spending and subsequent price hikes from our utilities, especially FPL. There is no proof FPL needs to make expensive changes we customers eventually have to pay for.

Sincerely,

Sandra Mercer
, FL 32310

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please deny this unwarranted rate hike! Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

cynthia morris
, FL 34990

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop global warming. Think more efficient, you are always sending us letters to

Sincerely,

Judith Williams
, FL 32958

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop global warming. Think more efficient, you are always sending us letters to

Sincerely,

Judith Williams
, FL 32958

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop global warming. Think more efficient, you are always sending us letters to

Sincerely,

Judith Williams
, FL 32958

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop global warming. Think more efficient, you are always sending us letters to

Sincerely,

Judith Williams
, FL 32958

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop the dependency on gas, oil, & plastics! Stop the rate increase! Stop investment into these operations!

Sincerely,

Raeann Hightower
, FL 32118

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop the insanity. Implement solar and wind energies. We're destroying the very ecology upon which ALL life depends.

Sincerely,

Leigh Emerson Smith
, FL 33143

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop you Greedy Bastards.

Sincerely,

Joanne Kennedy
, FL 34231

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

strongly disagree with the need for this in THE SUNSHINE STATE !!!!!!!

Sincerely,

Bruce Brynes
, FL 33469

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

SUN, YES SUNSHINE OR WIND TURBINES. WE ARE LUCKY TO LIVE HERE!! Let's use our resources, NOT WRECK THIS BEAUTIFUL STATE!

Sincerely,

Pat Papandreopoulos
, FL 34952

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jessica Flores
, FL 33326

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Thanks for putting a priority on this important issue.....

Sincerely,

Sherrie Lowe
, FL 32131

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The cost of electric service is already too high for average people. No increases should occur at this time.

Sincerely,

PATRICIA CROSS
, FL 32176

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The cost of electricity in South Florida is already ridiculous. When the fuel charges for a month are only \$60 but the total bill ends up being over \$200, something is ridiculously wrong! There's absolutely zero need for rate increases, FPL is already making a fortune off overcharging customers!!

Sincerely,

Aдриenna Wormull
, FL 33461

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The day will come when there is nothing but darkness surrounding us. We can not continue to bleed the earth dry!

Sincerely,

Tina Segal
, FL 34210

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is a disgrace if allowed to go through. The US and China contribute 40% of total harmful emissions. Global warming is real, its time to start ignoring delusional republicans.

Sincerely,

Dean Brown
, FL 33315

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is the Sunshine State!!! We need more solar power!!! No more dependence on fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Susan Russell
, FL 33026

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is the wrong direction.

Sincerely,

Dan McCarthy
, FL 32082

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This request to FPL reason to continue use the old method alone with proving the need is just unfair to their customers. I have family and friends FPL has given a great career opportunities; but now at expense of your committed customers. Do do the same deceit as others practice in this state. What about the fixed incomers , disabilities and seniors citizens? Pleade allow us to live out our lives as not choosing between lights and meds or good!

Thank you for resdo g my.concern,I hope you have a heart and make better less costs,And still gain a profit! It's a way to earn and be fair at the same time. Do not allow Greed to destroy this.company!
Amen!

Sincerely,

Sybel W Lee
, FL 33150

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are paying more than enough now are you joking with the economy the way it is, give me a break, please!

Sincerely,

Elisheo Vera
, FL 32955

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We live in a 1,500 sq. ft. home and are paying over \$200.00 a month now. We live on SS only and we need a break from the gas and oil barons. They don't need more money, we do.

Sincerely,

Virginia Ferguson
, FL 32926

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to be building a renewable energy plant. MO more fossil fuel plants. We are ruining the environment.

Sincerely,

Kathy Boling
, FL 34209

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to move away from fossil fuels ASAP! Clean energy technologies are cost competitive and ready now!

Sincerely,

Christopher Champagne
, FL 34250

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What FPL should be concentrating on is the constant outages of power which in part is due to the outdated gas plants. Additional gas plants will only increase the poor performance we are experiencing now.

Sincerely,

Alfred Dorsey
, FL 34292

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What happened to all the solar power Obama cashed in on to get re-ected and bank routing the solar company? and where is all the solar power in Hendry County going?

Sincerely,

Katie Powers
, FL 33919

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why can't we use renewable energy ? We have so much sun than other countries that it's time to uses solar energy and stop damaging our earth

Sincerely,

Amrita Hansra
, FL 33026

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Yes I am an FPL customer. I think the rates are already ridiculous! They increase every year.

Sincerely,

priscilla Spurgeon
, FL 32117

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You are always presuming that you have low rates...that is such a lie....you and your shareholders only want more profits for your pockets and don't care about us

Sincerely,

Raquel Saenz
, FL 33012

9/12/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You have got to be kidding me? the Sunshine state should be leading the way in sustainable energy and you give us this.

Sincerely,

Gary Yates
, FL 33460

9/13/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Hell no the bill is already. Toooooo high

Sincerely,

Micheline Jean Joseph
, FL 33065

9/13/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With the new gas pipeline connecting to your very door, offering low cost, abundant gas, why would you be increasing your rates? The people of Florida want to go solar. Get on board NOW!

Sincerely,

Kathleen Kourie
, FL 34994

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

\$1.3B will buy a lot of solar panels. We are the Sunshine State.

Sincerely,

Jt Hyland
, FL 32127

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Absolutely NOT!

Sincerely,

Mildred Dukes
, FL 34104

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

And, adding injury to insult, what is this we read about a new COAL-FIRED plant??? Enough! If FPL won't pursue solar energy, let citizens install their own and sell it back to FPL, an arrangement that works perfectly well in other states.

Sincerely,

Freda Tschumy
, FL 33133

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

ARE YOU SERIOUS...YOU ARE THE GREEDIEST GROUP OF PEOPLE I HAVE EVER HAD THE BAD LUCK TO BE A SLAVE TO!!!! Florida needs to continue to go totally solar letting the little people sell power or share power with other states...YOU GUYS AT FP&L & YOUR DANGEROUS SMART (???) METERS, YOU'RE KILLING YOUR OWN CUSTOMERS.

Sincerely,

Louise Pinson
, FL 33401

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a citizen advocate of Florida I vehemently oppose this rate hike. Now, is the time to invest in solar and wind power not more gas burning, environmentally unsound, global warming causing power plants.

Sincerely,

Vicki Rogerson
, FL 33418

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a FPL customer I guarantee you that I am opposed to any rate hike, especially to build more gas burning power plants. I speak for my pocketbook and the environment.

Sincerely,

Apryl Preston
, FL 32934

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a homeowner, I have made every effort to reduce electric costs. Including insulating windows; insulating attic; controlling thermostat. Would add solar if possible.

So why, as a consumer, do I feel punished for a utility companies greed?????

Sincerely,

Charles Ferrari
, FL 34243

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a professor environmental law and public policy I find it intolerable that FPL has in effect discouraged renewable energy in a state with such solar potential. We have become a national laughing stock!

Sincerely,

Peter Ortner
, FL 33176

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a retiree on Social Security it puts a heavy strain on my budget. I'm still waiting for the Company to clean up the holding canal at Turkey Point which has been promised for YEARS. Make them keep their promises before we reward them with MORE money. Thank You

Sincerely,

Margaret Bryson
, FL 33322

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a voter and FPL consumer, I strongly oppose this rate hike, as well as the purpose for which it is being requested.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Murphy
, FL 32951

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer for over 14 years now, I encourage FPL to move away from fossil fuels and actively encourage residential solar.

Sincerely,

Chuck Farrell
, FL 33305

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As FPL customers, we urge you to advance clean energy SOLAR options instead of fossil fuel.

Sincerely,

Mr And Mrs Poole
, FL 33140

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Burning natural gas will contribute to climate change and the rising level of the ocean. It's not worth the cost in the long term.

Sincerely,

Laurence Key
, FL 34997

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Clean energy will be the salvation of this nation. The fossil fuel industry needs to get out of the way and do what is in the best interests of mankind.

Sincerely,

Debra Biddle
, FL 33062

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Coming from the North, I fail to understand why "The Sunshine State" doesn't use more solar energy or wind power. We had community centers with arrays of solar panels as well as private homes utilizing this renewable resource.

Sincerely,

Norma Eagles
, FL 33432

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Concentrate on more solar and wind power- so plentiful here in Florida.

Sincerely,

Carmen Ramsey
, FL 34233

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Don't do this! !

Sincerely,

Daniel Flynn
, FL 33458

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Due the right thing say NO! To FPL

Sincerely,

Kenny Warren
, FL 33470

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Electric rates are high enough as it is. Plus, they are pushing solar so why more fossil fuel to add to the climate problem on earth. FPL needs to find a better cheaper way, not something that is going to hurt the economy and poor people who are already struggling and seniors who can't even get a SS increase plus the greedy Fed Govn taxes 85% of our SS which was a tax on top of all other taxes we paid. I say no to FPL.

Sincerely,

Carol Lowery
, FL 34203

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

ENOUGH - no more damage to the Earth

Sincerely,

Diane Kossman
, FL 33308

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Enough with fossil fuels and using fpl users as fodder to fill their coffers.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Pappas
, FL 33472

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida and the earth do not need the atmosphere to be destroyed! no gas! need more solar

Sincerely,

Gene Liming
, FL 32080

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida Public Service Commission should recommend better incentives for Solar and other alternative energy sources and the per kilowatt back-feed from those sources FPL needs to pay a competitive rate.

Sincerely,

Pierre Curtis
, FL 33321

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida should be one of the most concerned states about more fossil fuel burning. We are in Miami and have actually witnessed the rise of water in our area. We must go green.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Chester
, FL 33137

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

For the sake of my grand children.....please

Sincerely,

Kerrie Shechter
, FL 33024

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Fossil fuels should be a thing of the past, we should be investing in clean energy

Sincerely,

Fran McCabe
, FL 32174

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL has polluted Biscayne Bay and continues to lie about it. The increased profit margin they are asking for--because of the good job they claim to do--predicated on shameless lying.

Sincerely,

Chris Cornish
, FL 33028

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL is pursuing every avenue to increase rates and profits. Make them prove they must have a rate hike to serve their customers.

Sincerely,

Terry Kelley
, FL 32084

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL is taking advantage, please do not let them. It will harm so many Floridians, myself among them.

Sincerely,

Ann Fonfa
, FL 33446

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL needs to push Solar power FAR more strongly than it does -- for instance, encourage PBCo to give more valuable RE Tax discounts for building owners, who add Solar power sources to their properties.

Sincerely,

John Edwards
, FL 33467

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go solar! Forget fossil fuels. We have plenty of sun to use which is clean and free !!

Sincerely,

Robert Gulley
, FL 34109

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go solar! Forget fossil fuels. We have plenty of sun to use which is clean and free !!

Sincerely,

Robert Gulley
, FL 34109

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Has one ever noticed how sunny Florida is???? How about Solar Arrays in Strategic Locations. Check out Nevada and California ignoring Warren Buffets greed, just look at the production of MegaWatts from Solar. Just Saying it is a better idea than Fracking and Offshore Fossil Fuels. Thanks BP. Get Wise and get it done. If this is read by Rick Scott- Sir, they kicked you out of Tennessee & it can happen here,too.

Sincerely,

Denise Clark
, FL 33436

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

HELL NO - We NEED Renewables and Energy Storage solutions like compressed air or liquid salt batteries too. The future is NOW. Let's work for the best future for all.

Sincerely,

Mark Tardif
, FL 33176

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How about solar? Sun is free. Lots of it here in Florida. The sun shines even when it rains.

Sincerely,

Nancy Harkinson
, FL 33982

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How much more are we suppose to pay for big corporations and their refusal to get with programs to help our planet for the future. It is tiring to witness the bottom line to investors is more important than health.

Sincerely,

Dennis Flamenbaum
, FL 33473

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How much more will be put on the backs of FP&L customers! Enough is Enough! NO MORE!

Sincerely,

David Steger
, FL 32168

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I absolutely oppose this rate hike which I see unnecessary and greedy. We live in the sunshine state. Fossil fuels, give me a break.

Sincerely,

Billie Holloway
, FL 33064

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I adamantly appose the expanded use of fossil fuels instead of finding ways to use sustainable resources.

Sincerely,

Carl Mccaskill
, FL 33401

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I agree with Sierra Club. Let's encourage alternative energy.

Sincerely,

Gary Rosenberger
, FL 33140

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I already paid more than two hundred dollars and my rent aptm. is too small, so I think it is too much.

Sincerely,

Maria Teresa
, FL 33129

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I already try to keep my carbon footprint low. FPL needs to be environmentally responsible and quit making excuses.

Sincerely,

Cindy Fleming
, FL 33189

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a current FPL customer and do not agree with rate hikes to fund more growth for FPL. If they continue to raise their rates and choke me out of my home I will not be able to afford to live, let alone breathe.

Sincerely,

Rudolph Wheeler
, FL 34293

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a current FPL customer and strongly believe that there should not be any rate increase that is used to fund non clean energy projects. Investment should be made in solar resources and consumers should not be penalized, rather they should be incentivized to install and utilize clean solar panels to decrease our reliance on fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Ovid Battat
, FL 33414

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer and am not happy with this proposed project

Sincerely,

Joseph Korvick
, FL 33068

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer and I oppose this rate hike for them. I think they should look into more solar power energy rather than using fossil oil energy.

Sincerely,

Linda Cane
, FL 32907

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer and I oppose this rate hike for them. I think they should look into more solar power energy rather than using fossil oil energy.

Sincerely,

Linda Cane
, FL 32907

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer and shareholder. FPL had the annual meeting in OK which lasted only a few minutes. It dare not hold it in FL. We have no chance to confront FPL on this rate face to face. So we rely on you, the PSC to protect us.

Sincerely,

Charles Edelstein
, FL 33143

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer and would like the utility to invest in solar power.

Sincerely,

Nancy Perry
, FL 32080

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer. We definitely do not need an increase to keep going in the wrong direction by building more gas burning plants.

Sincerely,

Hilda Andrews
, FL 33176

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a senior citizen and bills are already to high

Sincerely,

Bradley Clarke
, FL 33912

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am against FPL increasing rates. This utility is one of this country's most profitably and management compensation is insane. I am against these new plants. If you want any to build them so bad, find the funds within. Sharpen your pencils. Your rate hike is disgusting and ill times.

Sincerely,

Saz Zook
, FL 33435

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. I do not want to raise rates to pay for fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Bernadette Thibodeau
, FL 34104

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and am concerned about this money grab. FPL needs to embrace distributed renewable energy and do even more to promote solar in partnership with customers. This proposal is part of the old paradigm, and I urge you to vote against it.

Sincerely,

Allison Wendy Sunshine
, FL 34235

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I am very suspicious of FPL. In my opinion, there is a conspiracy between FPL and our governor to block Floridians from using solar energy so they can continue to be the only source of energy. I strongly oppose this proposal.

Sincerely,

Tamara Zamora
, FL 33165

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I oppose this rate hike because, they don't need it. They should do what everyone else does when they need money...tighten their belt. How about starting with salaries...how many millions to you need to make? then let's stop buying other companies, then let's improve what we have. Every time it rains heavy or the wind blows hard, my power goes out (I am happy to provide details), so let's fix those things. I do not want to pay for expanding our dependence on fossil fuels, let's try raising rates and explore SOLAR ENERGY. Oh, that's right, they don't make any money that way,.

Sincerely,

Hellen Hoffman
, FL 33449

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I take the threat of climate very seriously. Nothing is more important than protecting our environment and health.

Sincerely,

Georgia Nelson
, FL 33928

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and oppose the rate hike: it is madness to continue to build fossil-fuel powered power generators.

Sincerely,

K Cornish
, FL 33028

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I do not want my rates increased and I do not support unsustainable dirty fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Tammy Paulino
, FL 33024

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, FPL should be investing in solar or other renewable energies, not fossil fuels and creating more greenhouse gases.

Sincerely,

Alexa White
, FL 34237

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. I am incensed that FPL wants another rate increase to add more gas-burning plants. Tell them, NO! Tell them to put solar panels on every south facing roof in our area. Tell them to invest in Tesla batteries and reduce our use of fossil fuels. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Catherine Dente
, FL 34238

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. I oppose the rate hike and the use of more fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Robert Canini
, FL 33455

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am having a hard enough time as a widow living only on SS check, I cannot afford a rate hike. Have some compassion for elderly seniors, we have to live also. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Flora DeMarco
, FL 32174

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I can barely afford my almost 300.00 dollar bill now with an increase most people will be with out power. So I say NO to an increase

Sincerely,

Denise Mora
, FL 33054

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I can no longer afford!

Sincerely,

Frank Cammisa
, FL 33417

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I can no longer afford!

Sincerely,

Frank Cammisa
, FL 33417

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I don't mind paying for an increase as long as it supports Solar efforts. We live in Florida for God's sake! Its not that hard to figure out.

Sincerely,

R Dixon
, FL 34237

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I find it interesting that FPL advertises low rates and clean energy at the same time that they raise rates in order to use gas burning power plants that harm the environment. Florida really needs to develop a sustainable energy infrastructure.

Therefore a rate hike to support unsustainable polluting practices is short sighted, impractical and in the long run dangerous.

Sincerely,

Jayne Cobb
, FL 34207

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I implore you to think of your own children before approving something like this. Especially in the state that has the greatest of natural resources.....SUNSHINE. At the very least encourage people to go off the grid and make their own, nonpolluting solar electricity.

Sincerely,

Dana Marie House
, FL 34102

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I prefer to focus on renewable resources such as solar especially here in Florida. Hello Sunshine, goodbye gas!

Sincerely,

Charlene Grall
, FL 33145

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I said NO to unwanted rates hikes. Go green, protect the planet do not destroy it.

Sincerely,

Gloria Silva
, FL 33068

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I strongly disagree for the increase in electricity.

Sincerely,

Gretta Chung
, FL 33319

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I support increased use of alternative/natural sources of power, i.e. solar, wind, tides, and geothermal.

Sincerely,

William Washer
, FL 33955

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I thought I donated \$9 per month for years for FPL to get started on Solar Power. My God! Florida should be supplying the entire nation & making a fortune. Jinx McDonald

Sincerely,

Jinx McDonald
, FL 34109

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I tried to modify the "canned" message from the Sierra Club, but it was not possible. What I'd like to say is that I would not fight a rate hike (I am a FPL Customer) if they were going to expand with renewable energy - not fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

April King
, FL 32935

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I try very hard to conserve my energy consumption. And I succeed.

FPL's rate increase falls directly on people in the lower socio-economic sector of our society, as well as punishing conservation minded folks like me.

Please don't allow this travesty to go forward.

Thank you

David K Riman

4304 3rd Ave NW

Bradenton, FL 34209

941-748-2577

Sincerely,

David Riman

, FL 34209

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I want FPL to expand its use of solar energy and stop concentrating on expanding the use of fossil fuels. I am an FPL customer, and this has an effect on my budget.

Sincerely,

Lucille Serody
, FL 32901

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I would like to leave my grandkids a better place to live. DO YOU?

Sincerely,

Richard Poole
, FL 32779

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'd much prefer to see FPL use its considerable resources to use alternative fuels, to help wean the industry away from fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Carole Greene
, FL 34109

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If anything they should be investing in developing more Earth friendly means of producing energy, not continuing along the same old path using methods that are known to be hazardous to our environment. Start working for the people. Please deny the FPL request.

Sincerely,

Susanna Gilmore
, FL 34293

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If the rates are going to be raised, let FPL put those funds into renewable energy sources.

Sincerely,

Ginger Peeler
, FL 34103

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If this happens, that will cement my determination to move out of the state of Florida and back home to Colorado, where it's civil. Please help us Commissioners.

Sincerely,

Betty Gorman
, FL 33441

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm for SOLAR POWER!!

For my Grandchildren's sake use more solar power!!

Sincerely,

Mahendra Patel
, FL 33414

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm with them!

Sincerely,

Carol Devine
, FL 34957

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

In my opinion there are far better options than what FPL has proposed. Solar power is at the top of the list.

Sincerely,

Jason Love
, FL 33311

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Invest in clean, renewable, self-sustainable energy sources. We need to get out of energy debt.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Parsons
, FL 33073

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Invest in solar!

Sincerely,

Alma Tyus
, FL 32033

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is high time we broke the chain of fossil fuels that keep us polluting our atmosphere. We don't need more gas-fired power plants. We must pursue clean renewable resources like solar, wind, tidal, and geothermal. We owe it to ourselves, our children, and our planet to take this step.

Sincerely,

Dana Sterling
, FL 32955

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is imperative we find alternate fuel sources that are clean and efficient. We have an abundance of wind and sun that are natural resources. The western States are utilizing wind turbines with success. We need to be progressive and think about our future generations. If climate change is not addressed Florida eventually will be part of the Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico.

Sincerely,

William Patterson
, FL 32960

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It looks like FPL's lobbying money is keeping our state from the obvious choice - low cost solar power. Why is Florida not the absolute leader in renewable energy - we have the ocean breezes and sunshine to power the state and sell the excess energy to surrounding states. Get it together Tallahassee!

MB Slack

Sincerely,

mary beth slack
, FL 33410

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time Florida starts investing in solar power. We can have both and should use all our resources to help save Florida for the great state it can be.

Sincerely,

Rober Kinsley
, FL 34223

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time to use more the free solar we have here in the Sunshine state.

Sun is free. Use it to the max.

Must done more in all Florida's communities. Stop catering to the oil and coal barons in our country. They are super rich already. Think about our planet Earth for once and for all. Thank you

Sincerely,

Alexander Wahl
, FL 33139

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I've added Arcadia Power to my Utility Service to cut back on electrical expenses.

If I could I would go Solar..

Sincerely,

Barbara Sanchez
, FL 34287

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's look at using more solar and wind power to generate our electricity.

Sincerely,

Lynn Mastrototaro
, FL 34949

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Lets save our earth while we still have a chance.

Sincerely,

Joseph Gusek
, FL 32907

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

lets spend the money harnessing all this florida sunshine, instead of fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Margaret Sellgren
, FL 34238

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's start using solar power and wind power. Too much control by FPL

Lobbyists. Every home and business in Fl. Should have solar power. New Jersey

Had great incentive for home owners to install solar power on their roofs. They rent the solar panels fr electric companies

And it is competitive. In fl. FPL had to much control. Amen

Sincerely,

Kathleen Brown
, FL 34114

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Meanwhile, these same utility companies have lobbied to put a constitutional amendment on the ballot further restricting solar options for individual homeowners! Please vote against their proposal.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Rojas
, FL 32937

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More money going out less coming in, put the retired on your mind

Sincerely,

Dorothy Edwards
, FL 33020

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More solar, more wind and start using tidal/wave power. End fracking now. More CNG plants mean more fracking, more aquifer contamination, more methane released into the atmosphere. Is this really going to be about money and power or about people and the future? Next time you spend time with your children and your grandchildren please think about that.

Sincerely,

C Gavin Alford
, FL 33020

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Moreover, the timing could not be worse. We still have not emerged from the former recession and the causes of that recession still exist. If FP&L wants to invest its own money in their project, I would voice no objection. But making it a taxpayer burden is an abuse of taxation.

Sincerely,

Ed Turcotte-Shamski
, FL 33982

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My bill is sky high now. Please no more rate increases!!!

Sincerely,

Carla Jackson
, FL 33142

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My bills are enormous already!! OmG there were 140\$ of FEES on my last bill. I could lease a brand new 7 series BMW for what my electric bills are w/this monopoly company. We have no choice. My bills are almost identical year after year. (Scam) and I have made so many changes on my usage. Do NOT allow this rate hike!!! Where is the competition? Why do we not have another choice for electric.?? This is greed.

Sincerely,

D Preston
, FL 33462

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Natural Gas is cleaner than coal but it emits half as much carbon as coal. Florida is called the sunshine state but less than 0.1% of Florida's energy comes from solar. This makes no sense. The rising water level at Miami and Fort Lauderdale is a serious problem and Florida along with the other states must significantly reduced carbon emissions. I am a customer of FPL. We live in Port Charlotte.

Mike Smith

2100 King's Highway, Lot 497

33980

Sincerely,

Michael Smith

, FL 33980

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Next Era Energy is a leader nationally in wind power.

What about more wind and solar power?

Sincerely,

Vivian Leshner
, FL 33432

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No hike. On a fixed income. Please !!!!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,

Romona Andujar
, FL 33312

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more fossil fuel. Period

Sincerely,

Sherril Whitney
, FL 33415

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO MORE FOSSIL FUELS ! NO MORE POLLUTING THE ENVIRONMENT!!!

Sincerely,

Victor Nahmias
, FL 33446

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more money for dirty energy. They'll have to make due on 1 billion plus profits.

Sincerely,

Steve Waxman
, FL 33019

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more rate hikes!

Sincerely,

Joel Espelosin
, FL 33024

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No Rate Hike! I have been a customer

Sincerely,

James Gallagher
, FL 33317

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No Rate Hike! I have been a customer

Sincerely,

James Gallagher
, FL 33317

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO RATE HIKES WITHOUT DECENTRALIZED ROOFTOP SOLAR!!!

Sincerely,

Abe Levy
, FL 34134

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate increase....enough is a enough !!!!

Sincerely,

Donna Lagomarsino
, FL 34997

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate,hike!

Sincerely,

Audrey Ashford
, FL 33127

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Opposed to expansion of gas-burning power plants; adverse direction for climate change.

Sincerely,

Elaine Owen
, FL 34239

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

people like me live off fixed income so if rates go up then who will provide for our food and other needs just to fill fat cats pockets with more money no rate hike god dont like ugly

Sincerely,

Cynthia Phillips
, FL 32129

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please be aware, that even though the above form letter only uses the singular "I", - "We" are 2 registered voters that believe strongly in Sierra Club's message!

Sincerely,

Glenn & Roberta Valentine
, FL 32707

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please do it right!!!

Sincerely,

Olivera Primeau
, FL 33321

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please do not approve the requested rate hike as it is time for Florida to start taking advantage of our abundant sunshine. I have solar pool heat and will install solar electricity as soon as it is available for a nominal fee. We must stop our green house gas emissions.

Sincerely,

David Butler
, FL 32164

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please don't let FPL do this! There so many other alternatives to using fossil fuels and Babcock Ranch is an icon in showing that there are other ways to provide CLEAN energy. Other states want homeowners to invest in solar power, but not FPL. Protect the environment and the homeowner from this company's profit motivated goals.

Sincerely,

Stephanie King
, FL 33949

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please encourage solar in Florida like so many other State utilities do.

Sincerely,

Richard Carling
, FL 34285

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please find better ways to provide energy without building more power plants! We live in the sunshine state, utilize the sun. Wind turbines!

Sincerely,

Sharon Pirone
, FL 33470

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

please lets go for solar power..this is the sunshine state. lets use our natural resources.

Sincerely,

Cindy Cottom
, FL 34286

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please note that I am an FPL customer, retired on a fixed income. I also am concerned about climate change and would like to see FPL explore and compare costs of using solar or other more environmentally friendly sources of energy.

Sincerely,

Pamela Skilling
, FL 32174

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please protect Florida. This fragile state cannot continue to be pillaged for profit and power without suffering the loss of what made it wonderful.

Sincerely,

Nancy Clifton Flynn
, FL 32127

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please say no to FPL rate hikes to build more dirty fossil fuel power plants spewing more CO2 into an already overheated planet. FPL needs to move more aggressively into solar and other renewables. The state of Florida should be especially sensitive to the ramifications of global warming and rising sea levels. Otherwise, we and our future all drown in ignorance and salt water.

Sincerely,

Wanda Bankston
, FL 32174

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please, for the love of God, we can hardly meet ends now, no more hikes...you advertise keeping the cost down for consumers!!!

Sincerely,

Ivonne Carlson
, FL 33907

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

PRICES ARE TOO HIGH NOW!

Sincerely,

Cynthia Roberttson
, FL 32034

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Rates hikes to burn more gas? You've got to be kidding us. Stop this now!

Sincerely,

James Van Maanen
, FL 33434

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Renewable energy should be the only source of power in this country. We have plundered and raped our Earth of Her non-renewable resources it is way past time that we stop. Your company is asking we Floridians to pay more to further destroy our planet. No Thank You!

Sincerely,

william nyikes
, FL 33411

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Ridiculous they haven't improved to utilize more solar

Sincerely,

Jason Miller
, FL 33312

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Say no to FPL's rate increase.

Sincerely,

Donald Luke
, FL 34208

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Simply, unacceptable.

Sincerely,

Arsenio Pardo
, FL 33141

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

SOLAR POWER NOW!

Sincerely,

Barbara Guttman
, FL 33140

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop going backwards!!!!

Sincerely,

Madeline Day
, FL 32129

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop it!! Ruining our planet... Fine an alternative !!! Money Chases

Sincerely,

Elaine Pickrel
, FL 33441

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop Lining your pockets.

Sincerely,

Doris Brown-Hunt
, FL 33169

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop using fuels that are killing our planet, especially when there are a lot of choices out there for our future...and our children

Sincerely,

Evan Dorsey
, FL 32092

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The people of Florida want Solar Power. It's the sunshine state. We want clean power and we want to protect our environments throughout the state as much as possible. Germany has more power than our state. The State of Florida should lead the nation in solar production NOW.

Sincerely,

Julie Walters
, FL 33109

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The prices for everything in Florida are obscene. The wages are frighteningly low and the state does a terrible job of taking care of their residents. this attempt by FPL is shameful.

Sincerely,

Judith Favia
, FL 34292

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

They'll spend millions on lobbying against solar/clean energy but want ratepayers to pay for dirty fossil fuels and nuclear plants NO ONE WANTS!

Sincerely,

Lawrence Lintner
, FL 33954

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

They'll spend millions on lobbying against solar/clean energy but want ratepayers to pay for dirty fossil fuels and nuclear plants NO ONE WANTS!

Sincerely,

Lawrence Lintner
, FL 33954

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This cannot and must not happen, why are we going backwards instead of forwards. No more fossil fuel rate hikes! Clean energy is not as expensive as it was, this rate change is totally unjustified.

Sincerely,

Donald Campbell
, FL 33132

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This FPL plan is insane. There has not been a demonstrated need for the \$1Billion in new power plants, and if they are needed, I do not want to pay for new fossil fuel plants! It's time to join the present in Florida and not continue living in the past.

Sincerely,

Jerry Debaun
, FL 34104

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is an absolute outrageous plan...I am Absolutely Shocked! Any increase of Pollution is not acceptable in our State you are not only Harming the Environment and the Lives in it...You are putting Money and Greed before the Inhabitants of this State and this Planet!!!

Sincerely,

Telma De Albuquerque
, FL 33445

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

To support such a backwards harmful action is totally unacceptable.

Sincerely,

Luke Kahlich
, FL 33305

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Utilize the sun in the sunshine state.

Sincerely,

Bruce Connolly
, FL 33305

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

we already pay too much for electricity. enough is enough

rose pierini

Sincerely,

Rose Pierini
, FL 33430

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are the Sunshine State and should be relying on solar power not more dirty coal!

Sincerely,

Mindy Huggins Clay
, FL 32773

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We can use solar power in Florida. Please let's not add more pollution and use of resources that big money is benefitting from. Not the public.

Sincerely,

Karla Walter
, FL 33408

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We don't need dirty fossil fuel. We have to work on stopping our climate change problems.

Sincerely,

Carol Granger
, FL 34951

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have plenty of taxes in Palm

Beach County and across the state. Please no more rate hikes

Sincerely,

Jeri Engler
, FL 33411

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We live in the SUNSHINE state, more solar power please!!

Sincerely,

David Farina
, FL 32080

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We must attempt to make a transition to renewable energy, and depending on fossil fuels further in this state is only worsening our issue. FPL exploits the environment in order to make a profit, and Florida should not be allowing this to continue.

Sincerely,

Skylar Wilson
, FL 32033

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need more solar power. In the 50s just about every home in Miami had a solar water heater.

Sincerely,

Fernando Freire
, FL 33030

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

we need solar, not gas.

Sincerely,

Virginia Aradio
, FL 33414

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to be stepping forward, (solar), not backwards (more reliance on fossil fuels).

Sincerely,

Bruce Franke
, FL 34103

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to be thinking about long term sustainability and the impact on the environment - not just ways to make money or save money by doing things we know are harmful!

Sincerely,

Lynn Drees
, FL 34251

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to look to alternatives, how about starting with the SUN

Sincerely,

Russell Deetz
, FL 34116

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to move away from fossil fuels and put resources into clean renewable energy. We need a carbon tax on fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Peg Tams
, FL 34232

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to protect Florida. No rate hikes. No fossil fuel. Yes to solar please!

Sincerely,

Kathleen Pacitti
, FL 34219

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We pay too much already - you work on reducing your costs not raising are costs

Sincerely,

Ted Dylewski
, FL 32819

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

we pay too much now ,, and they wanna raise ,, NO

Sincerely,

Ange Drossi
, FL 33160

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We should be focusing on building solar power farms to prepare our state for an unavoidable sustainable future, especially since we are the sunshine state. We should be leading the way into the future, not trailing behind in the dirty power sources of the past.

Sincerely,

Bradley Weaver
, FL 33322

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We will gladly accept a rate increase if and only if FPL transitions to renewable energy. We are killing ourselves if we continue to use fossil fuels. How can the decision makers at FPL sleep at night? Do they wear gas masks?

Sincerely,

Susan Emond
, FL 33308

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why not use solar or wind they are clean & we have plenty of both. We do not need dirty fuels befouling the air!

Sincerely,

Paul Horne
, FL 33436

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With Florida being the "Sunshine State" - we ought to be encouraging adoption of solar, wind and wave renewable energy sources to a high degree before investing in any CO2 producing power plants

Sincerely,

Daniel Ross
, FL 34224

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With Floridas abundant sunshine, why are we so dependent on fossil fuel rather than using Mother Nature? Because FPL couldn't make money off sunshinen

FPL cannot make money if we see the sue to power our homes

Sincerely,

Carol Singer
, FL 33437

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

With me being on a fixed income the rate height would really hurt me deeply. my income is small compared to some already hard for me to get foods i need.

Sincerely,

Renee Jones
, FL 32773

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You are on the wrong side of a healthy environment history. Profit, clearly, is your mantra.

Sad...

Sincerely,

Theodore Hickman
, FL 33309

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You need to add renewable sources, like solar, if you need to increase capacity. Over time, renewables should REPLACE gas, and especially, coal. Maybe more nuclear would also be good.

Sincerely,

Christopher Gerhart
, FL 32920

9/14/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You need to be deregulated!!! Let see if we can't just do that!! I am a FPL customer & ive paid you enough for a lifetime!!!

Sincerely,

Donia Dewees
, FL 32759

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Additionally, solar energy becomes more affordable all the time, yet FPL blocks homeowners from using it. If additional capacity is truly needed, we should receive incentives for purchasing solar panels, rather than paying FPL for more fossil fuel plants. I am an FPL customer and thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Suzie Duffin
, FL 32164

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Another rate increase will hurt our seniors and our young families. There are thousands of single parents who are struggling because they receive no financial support from the non-custodial parent. How much of this rate hike will actually go to line the pockets of upper management??

Sincerely,

Madeline Woodard
, FL 33177

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a Florida resident I am not only against a rate hike but more importantly the damage to the air we and our grandchildren will be breathing in the future.

Sincerely,

Virginia Vivian
, FL 32771

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a FPL customer I want the company to invest in sun and wind power not fossil fuel.

Sincerely,

H J Wilson
, FL 33304

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a government employee with no raise of income for the pass 12 years, health cost and fuel constantly raising the last thing we need is FPL adding on the problem and not the solution.

Sincerely,

Jose Torres
, FL 33177

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a long-time FLP customer, I vigorously oppose rate hikes to build more gas-burning power plants. We live in a state where solar energy is an obvious choice for increasing our power because we have so much sunshine virtually all year. Solar power is also clean energy and will not make the contribution to global warming that gas will. I am aware that with most bills, you include information that tries to sell the "cleanness" of gas. It is true that it is not the most polluting fuel, but it is too polluting when there are alternatives and when Floridians are already seeing effects from global warming. No more new gas plants--no rate hikes to build them.

Sincerely,

Susan Joy Smellie
, FL 34110

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a registered voter, I strongly object to any rate increases for FPL. We live in a very delicate ecosystem in Florida. Rather than pressing like dumb and dumber for more fossil fuels and nuclear like Turkey Point (already leaking nuclear tritium into Biscayne Bay), they should press for next generation solar plants now, for example. Hearings must be held to assure we don't blunder into the next decades without an improved strategic plan that addresses Florida's unique environment and the urgent issues of climate change.

Sincerely,

Michael Haley
, FL 33157

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As all our family are customers of F.P.L. we do agree with the above and protest any rate hike. This will adversely affect moderate to low income families once again!

Sincerely,

Ted Talbot
, FL 32063

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an FPL customer, I oppose any rate hike.

Sincerely,

Matt Little
, FL 33305

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As an organization that was supposedly created to help the citizenry rather than line their own pockets, you have lost your way.

Sincerely,

Sara Peterson
, FL 32043

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As the leading electric utility of a state that has so much at stake in the growing threats of climate change, FPL has a duty to take an active role in reducing Floridians' reliance on dirty fuels such as gas which contribute to anthropogenic climate change. We will not pay for you to contribute to the detrimental environmental, health, and economic consequences of climate change. NO rate hike for gas plants!

Sincerely,

Rosibel Roman
, FL 33196

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Ban fracking in Florida too! If Lake Okeechobee is contaminated, the legislature should be forced to take a swim and drink the water of the lake!

Sincerely,

Keith Marzan
, FL 33326

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Better to invest in renewable sources before we have no planet to save.

Sincerely,

Diane Gumpel
, FL 34243

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Better to invest in renewable sources before we have no planet to save.

Sincerely,

Diane Gumpel
, FL 34243

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Building a new gas burning power plant is NOT something you should do as you would be turning back the movement to STOP fossil fuel plants. There are many other alternatives available to you !!!! PLEASE cancel this plan !!!!!

Sincerely,

Raymond Bolster
, FL 34219

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

don't do this to us

Sincerely,

Nancy Guzman
, FL 32773

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

ENOUGH

Sincerely,

Carol Drouin
, FL 34108

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida has the perfect climate to be a leader in solar energy not only in the nation, but the world. It's way past time that we used more clean energy. Especially in a peninsula state such as ours. Just look at what is happening in Miami already as a result of climate change. We have the technology. Let's be leaders!

Sincerely,

L & J Moore
, FL 34242

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is the sunshine State. There's no reason why we shouldn't be funding solar farms instead of gas power plants. The public service board should put the rate increase and fund solar hot water

Sincerely,

William Calfee
, FL 32043

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida should be leading the path the clean energy, not fighting against it. Approve a rate hike to be used to build alternative energy facilities, not gas that requires fracking, not oil and not coal.

Sincerely,

Robert Thomas
, FL 32177

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Fossil fuels are no longer needed when there are more efficient and effective ways of heating and cooling and cooking! Let's get smart and start saving the only earth we have instead of destroying her for once let's consider our future and our children's children's future instead of lining the pockets of big companies with our money that we can barely afford now! We are on the brink of no return as it is please let's get it together now while we have a chance to change

Sincerely,

Cynthia Sharpe
, FL 32060

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Fossil fuels are no longer the way of the future. I and others will only support NEW technology that is environmentally friendly. We are sick and tired of our water being contaminated. Please do the right thing for the citizens, voters and even the young future voters!

Sincerely,

Fawn Avant
, FL 32034

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FP&L and all the other public utilities in this state forget most people living here are retired and on a fixed income. We need every penny we get and have no option to get a raise just because we want one.

Sincerely,

Carl Leininger
, FL 32132

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL :: do the state of favor and invest in solar instead of fracking!

Sincerely,

Katrina Shadix
, FL 32765

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL already makes too much money, despite the propaganda they put out with ratepayers money.

Sincerely,

Gail Obenauf
, FL 33331

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Fpl has a solar "display" art a park near my house which was built on a landfill then never used. They only give lip service to alternative sources of fuel, use cheap gas and raise our rates anyway

Sincerely,

Lee Bosserman
, FL 34240

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL must do the rigorous analysis and public interest review required under Florida law to confirm whether energy efficiency, solar energy, or a combination of renewable resources could power our homes and businesses instead. So much energy could be saved by individuals here in South Florida with little or no inconvenience or discomfort; I see examples of it every day

Sincerely,

Caroline Dickinson
, FL 33134

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL seems to be evading the rigorous analysis and public interest review required under Florida law to confirm whether energy efficiency, solar energy, or a combination of renewable resources could power our homes and businesses instead....!

Sincerely,

Georgina Escobar
, FL 33145

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL should have lead the way with renewable solar energy decades ago . Their absolute dedication to the fossil fuel industry has hurt our environment and the economic well-being of people in Florida. Do not let them continue with this abusive behavior. You have the power to stop them and you should do so.

Sincerely,

Porr Beth Ann
, FL 33071

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL shows its true colors with a constitutional amendment this November in which it prohibits Florida homeowners using third party leasing companies for small solar systems. If homeowners could lease those systems new power plants might not be necessary...

Sincerely,

Nick Penniman
, FL 34103

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Fracked gas will destroy this earth, contaminate water, and suffocate us. We don't want it to power our energy production -- especially in Florida. How can FPL betray us and the children in their own families and still sleep at night? I already pay way too high a bill to FPL and am outraged that you would consider raising rates to build power plants that require gas.

Sincerely,

Sylvie Reichmann
, FL 34208

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Gas burning gas plants is a very bad idea !

Sincerely,

Jimmy Carter
, FL 32097

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

GET SCOTT OUT ALREADY, BEFORE HE DESTROYS THE LAST MIDDLE CLASS WORKER IN THE STATE. HE S
MADE MORE THEN ENOUGH FRIENDS FOR WHEN HE LEAVES OFFICE TO BE ROLLING IN DOUGH FOR A
LONG TIME.

Sincerely,

Jorge Tamargo
, FL 33165

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

hey fuel has come down and we are paying more then what we use to 7 years ago shame on FPL how much money do u need off the working class

Sincerely,

Enrique Rodriquez
, FL 33185

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How about a rate hike for clean alternative development???

Sincerely,

Elaine Kampmann
, FL 34231

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How can families continue to live well if they continue to ask for more and more money. We have to make changes to insure the future of our children and the next generation.

Sincerely,

Wendy Johnson
, FL 33023

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

How can the Sunshine State have power rate hikes? As a Northerner who recently moved here, I am so disappointed with the leadership in this state. Sad.

Sincerely,

Maria Tomacari
, FL 32931

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a current FPL customer. Please stop these robber barrons from further fleecing of Florida residents. We need more competition in the State.

VOTE NO TO FPL RATE INCREASES.

Sincerely,

Frank Frank
, FL 33478

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a divorced woman who just turned 70 (last week). My only source of income is Social Security. I had an ID theft 4 yrs. ago and have had 2 major surgeries since. In addition, I have 3 major painful, chronic diseases and was not granted disability. Go figure! I am not able to supplement my income because of my poor health. My apartment faces Due West without one tree to even filter the sun. Since I am housebound a lot, I obviously need my A/C on almost all the time. It would be a real hardship for my electric bill to increase, not to mention the use of even more fossil fuels!

I am originally from Massachusetts and had solar panels installed on my MA home way back in the 80s. Why can't FPL support more solar, along with wind power instead of polluting our beautiful Florida habitat? All you could do to avoid raising our rates and keeping Florida clean would be very much appreciated!

Sincerely,

gail young

Sincerely,

Gail Young
, FL 33436

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a Florida Power & Light customer!

Sincerely,

Nancy Martin
, FL 32086

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a Florida resident and I am opposed to another rate hike for FPL. We need renewable energy sources. Not fossil fuel based power.

Sincerely,

Joseph Candelaria
, FL 33901

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a multi-account FPL customer. You do so much good why let that all go to waste by doing a bad action.

Sincerely,

Arvind Singh
, FL 33027

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a native Floridian and a long-time customer of FPL. We are the Sunshine State. It is absurd that we should be having to pay this kind of money for electricity generated by fossil fuel. Florida needs to get with the program and catch up to states like South Carolina who are making great investments in alternative energy through their electric companies. This is ridiculous.

Sincerely,

Lynn Paisley
, FL 33149

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a new Florida resident and I new customer and truly I am hard fight that you would pull this scam on everybody! Get real and use the sunshine in the sunshine State that's what we want to use in the future not what you're planning

Sincerely,

Suzanne Gallo
, FL 34292

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a new Florida resident and I new customer and truly I am hard fight that you would pull this scam on everybody! Get real and use the sunshine in the sunshine State that's what we want to use in the future not what you're planning

Sincerely,

Suzanne Gallo
, FL 34292

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a new Florida resident and I new customer and truly I am hard fight that you would pull this scam on everybody! Get real and use the sunshine in the sunshine State that's what we want to use in the future not what you're planning

Sincerely,

Suzanne Gallo
, FL 34292

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am against on any rate increase proposal from FPL.

Sincerely,

Carmenteresa Luengo
, FL 33196

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and am tired of rate increases. We need to invest in clean fuels

Sincerely,

Stacy Casson
, FL 33024

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and am very happy with their service but like many others I am on a fixed income and can't afford to pay more

Sincerely,

Sharon Barry
, FL 32908

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and have been for many years and these plants are unnecessary and unethical given the availability of cleaner energy resources. VOTE NO.

Sincerely,

Alisha Alfonso
, FL 33018

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I have already a sky high bill to pay every month; I can't imagine how could I afford if the bill increases more.

Sincerely,

Liliana Escobar
, FL 32164

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I want to be protected from unwarranted rate hikes. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Themistokles Konstantinou
, FL 34236

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and strongly object to their plan.

Sincerely,

Polly Tripp
, FL 33458

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer who lives in Naples, FL.

I am in favor of developing clean energy sources like Solarvoltaic Energy in the SUNSHINE STATE.

Sincerely,

Art David
, FL 34104

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, and have been for the past 16 years. Please do NOT raise our rates for more fossil fuel burning plants! I would much prefer investment in solar and wind projects to generate power.

Sincerely,

Katherine Aron
, FL 34241

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer.

Sincerely,

Marsha Katz
, FL 33484

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. FPL already raised our rates a long time ago to generate funds to build more nuclear plants - which haven't happened. I think FPL should use that money to have more solar, and other regenerative alternatives.

Sincerely,

Wendy Edler
, FL 34209

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. Its Florida! We want solar power! Every home's roof should be built with solar panels. Also, invest in Lockheed's nuclear FUSION technology. Fossil fuel burning is antique technology - let's embrace the future. Clean, non-polluting energy for us, for our children, for our planet. Please.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Shabetai
, FL 34239

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

i am an FPL customer. they must transition their direction to clean energy, or we will all be under water here in Florida much sooner than predicted. no gas burning power plants and no nuclear power.

Sincerely,

Walter Harris
, FL 33143

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

i am an FPL customer. they must transition their direction to clean energy, or we will all be under water here in Florida much sooner than predicted. no gas burning power plants and no nuclear power.

Sincerely,

Walter Harris
, FL 33143

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am FPL customer. We need to encourage and invest in solar and wind energy not more gas burning plants that contribute to climate change and pollute our atmosphere.

Sincerely,

Joel Bruning
, FL 34986

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am not in favor of a rate hike and am not in favor of expanding plants that use more fuels that add deleterious chemicals to the environment. Please do not approve this rate hike.

Sincerely,

Norma Bell
, FL 33428

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I approve this message

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Sueroberts
, FL 33062

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I can't afford a price hike

Sincerely,

Michele Alexander
, FL 32773

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I further believe solar panel system for private residences and businesses should be subsidized.

Sincerely,

Craig Temple
, FL 33186

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I live in a waterfront home which experienced more than 30 day of salt water flooding in my street. Burning more fossil fuels like natural gas is nuts! We need to promote roof top solar to share the cost of renewable energy roll out which we must have if south Florida is to stay above water.

Sincerely,

John C. Van Leerr
, FL 33138

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I OPPOSE ANY RATE HIKE THAT CANNOT BE VERIFIED THAT IT WILL DO THE PUBLIC GOOD, IF YOU CANT VERIFY IT, THEN IT SHOULD NOT PASS AS A RATEHIKE THAT WILL ONLY COST US CUSTOMERS MORE FOR FP&L'S POCKETS TO GET BIGGER THAN WHAT THEY ALREADY ARE. THEY WON'T EVEN PAY FOR SERVICES THAT THEY OFFERED... LIKE THE ANTI-SURGE, MY HOME GOT HIT, HAD IT VERIFIED THAT A SURGE RUINED MY STOVE, AND REFRIDGERATOR. THEY DENIED PAYING FOR REPAIRS AND WOULD NOT EVEN RECEIVE MY CALLS AS TO WHY THEY DENIED IT, TO THIS DAY, I DON'T KNOW WHY, BUT THEY KEEP THEIR MONIES WE PAY THEM AND DELIVER A BUNCH OF BULL. NO TO ANY RATE HIKES NOW OR IN THE FUTURE !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,

David Hazen
, FL 34974

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I oppose this as I am an FPL customer and Florida resident.

Sincerely,

Dawn Strecker
, FL 33315

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I pay my FPL bill every month, and I would not be at all happy to support a rate hike for fossil fuel burning plants!

Sincerely,

Sharon Watkins
, FL 32931

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I said no enough. My boll is already at 270 dollars are you kidding me

Sincerely,

Laura Argote
, FL 33417

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I said no enough. My boll is already at 270 dollars are you kidding me

Sincerely,

Laura Argote
, FL 33417

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I said no enough. My boll is already at 270 dollars are you kidding me

Sincerely,

Laura Argote
, FL 33417

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I said no enough. My boll is already at 270 dollars are you kidding me

Sincerely,

Laura Argote
, FL 33417

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I said no enough. My boll is already at 270 dollars are you kidding me

Sincerely,

Laura Argote
, FL 33417

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I said no enough. My boll is already at 270 dollars are you kidding me

Sincerely,

Laura Argote
, FL 33417

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I said no enough. My boll is already at 270 dollars are you kidding me

Sincerely,

Laura Argote
, FL 33417

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I think FPL does a great job; however, I cannot afford a rate hike at this time since I am retired. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Jeannette Pina
, FL 33308

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I Thought FPL was moving toward cleaner energy?

Sincerely,

Valerie Masch
, FL 32904

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I used to "donate" \$9 a month to the solar fund, until I realized it was just window dressing to make FPL appear as though they were dedicated to changing their patterns away from fossil to alternative fuels. I am an FPL customer, and I resent having to add extra money to my bill every month to build new nuclear plants.

Sincerely,

Jocelyn Boyce
, FL 34996

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I want solar power and can no longer afford rate hikes living on Social Security. Its absurd that we do not have more solar use here in the sunshine state .

Thank you

James Elder

Sincerely,

James Elder

, FL 33407

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I want to see FPL invest in clean solar energy. If required, I would support a rate hike for development of solar energy

Sincerely,

Susan Taylor
, FL 33983

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I would rather see more renewables or a nuclear plant.

Sincerely,

David Rusk
, FL 33024

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer in Boca Raton. FPL sent me a skewed survey, about a year ago, thinly veiled to urge me to answer questions that favored fracking. I do NOT want fracking, and it appears that is the goal of this rate hike.

Sincerely,

Christine Lloyd
, FL 33433

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

If ratepayers pay for capital improvements they must be given shares in the company. Otherwise it would be theft and coercion.

Sincerely,

Douglas Siemers
, FL 32951

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm a FPL customer

Sincerely,

David Carter
, FL 32909

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm a teacher and this can't happen ??

Sincerely,

Joe Vetter
, FL 32129

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

i'm on a fixed income. please keep energy as affordable as possible without destroying the earth.

Sincerely,

Vikki Iovino
, FL 34231

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is long past time for Florida to move into the 21st century by converting to solar and wind, clean, renewable energy. We have a crisis of epic proportion with the continued use of fossil fuel. Oil and gas must remain in the ground. All of the science tells us that we must convert to clean, renewable energy now. Especially in Florida where sea rise has already begun to be a problem. Florida should be at the forefront in solar energy. We are the Sunshine State after all.

Sincerely,

Joan Davis
, FL 32907

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time for the PSC to stop automatically approving every rate hike request that it receives. The public deserves and demands a more thorough investigation of any requested rate increases.

Sincerely,

Nancy Israelson
, FL 34275

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is time that we go to solar since we are the "sunshine" state. We need to reduce our dependence on fossils and find other ways. This is a HUGE rate hike that FPL wants and wants Floridians to pay, pay, pay.

Sincerely,

Valerie Finnegan
, FL 32127

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time for more solar power!

Sincerely,

Linda Campbell
, FL 33328

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time FPL stops these rate hikes on the back of their customers. The ones benefiting from these hikes are their stock holders and CEO's. Give us little people a brake!!!!!!?

Sincerely,

Sylvia Machulis
, FL 33437

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time FPL stops these rate hikes on the back of their customers. The ones benefiting from these hikes are their stock holders and CEO's. Give us little people a brake!!!!!!?

Sincerely,

Sylvia Machulis
, FL 33437

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time FPL stops these rate hikes on the back of their customers. The ones benefiting from these hikes are their stock holders and CEO's. Give us little people a brake!!!!!!?

Sincerely,

Sylvia Machulis
, FL 33437

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time to change direction toward cleaner fuels and environment.

It's time to change direction toward consumer friendly before corporate friendly.

We all know what's right and we're all watching.

Sincerely,

Robert Lowell
, FL 32128

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It's time to find alternatives to fossil fuels instead of doing things the same way we have.

Sincerely,

Melissa Dalton
, FL 34293

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I've been an FPL customer since 2001 in Melbourne , Fl. Tell FPL to use the money they've scammed over the years to build nuclear plants that were never built.

Sincerely,

Richard Losi
, FL 32940

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I've installed solar electric panels to do my part to get us off of reliance on fossil fuels and help make America energy independent. I strongly urge the Commission consider greater emphasis on renewable energy for Florida, one of the best situated states in the Union to take advantage of solar!

Sincerely,

Robert Howard
, FL 32766

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Keep fossil fuels in the ground, invest in solar, wind and other alternative forms of energy!

Sincerely,

Joseph Barboza
, FL 34952

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's get it clean and keep it clean!!!

Sincerely,

Kathy Quinn
, FL 34994

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's look at spending money on the solar and help protect our planet and our selves!

Sincerely,

Martha Iriarte
, FL 33990

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's save Florida's beautiful environment and seek other cleaner, less costly alternatives.

Sincerely,

Alan Sisisky
, FL 33062

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Long time Florida if a Resident and FPL customer. Enough is Enough! We are the sunshine state we must harvest the power if the sun and other fossil fuel alternatives.

Sincerely,

Carmela Fermin
, FL 33138

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Maybe another company should be considered that takes into account the environment!

Sincerely,

Joey Burns
, FL 33020

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More often than not, Earth is subjected to widespread climate change. As congress represents the people, I step up to say that I am one of them. I am being represented, and I will represent what is morally right. Please take me into consideration.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Riley
, FL 33027

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More solar in the Sunshine state!!

Sincerely,

Marie Garafano
, FL 34209

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Most new developments and housing in Florida are all electric, so why do we have to underwrite costs for new gas facilities?

Sincerely,

Lisa Spett
, FL 33477

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Mr. Medicare fraud just won't quit he will be Soooo voted out!

Sincerely,

linda nissen
, FL 33860

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My employer has not given raises for 10 years. I don't feel the ceo should get one either. Also allow people with solar to do net metering.

Sincerely,

Dean Catalano
, FL 32738

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My employer has not given raises for 10 years. I don't feel the ceo should get one either. Also allow people with solar to do net metering.

Sincerely,

Dean Catalano
, FL 32738

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Need to prove rate hikes are necessary. Since there are other methods of affordable clean energy solutions available such as solar & energy efficiency programs, don't see the necessity of increasing FPL bills. However, WE do appreciate all that FPL does for the Florida environment and our communities!

Sincerely,

Sharon Leber
, FL 34238

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more abuses! Until when?

Sincerely,

Lourdes Rodriguez Gaton
, FL 33015

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more fossil fuel more solar!

Sincerely,

Robert Pierro
, FL 32966

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more gas pipelines in the Sunshine State!

Sincerely,

Tracy Marinello
, FL 32640

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more unnecessary rate hikes!

Sincerely,

Gianfranco Lira
, FL 33487

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No RATE HIKE - let FPL pay out of their own shareholder profits if they want to go the gas route! Better yet make next era pay!

Sincerely,

Linda Drake
, FL 34953

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No rate hikes for fossil fuels, please! Solar, solar, SOLAR!

Sincerely,

Susan Conyac
, FL 32713

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO RATE INCREASE PERIOD FOR ANY REASON RATES ARE TO HIGH ALREADY

Sincerely,

General Carter
, FL 32953

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO THANK YOU! Cut FPL executives' commissions and pay your own way! how DEPLORABLE!

Sincerely,

Vicky Karhu
, FL 33196

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Noooooo !

Sincerely,

Jeanne Ripa
, FL 33415

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Older citizens are having a terrible time making ends meet. Price increase would make it worst. And destroying our planet is another reason this would be a terrible idea. We are to walk lightly, so future generations will have a better world than we have now.

Sincerely,

Hilda Lugo
, FL 34116

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Other alternatives.....let's save our planet. Thank you

Sincerely,

Roberta Stancy Tintor
, FL 33020

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Our planet needs your help and support. Eliminate planet warming or our state will end up be under water. Check out the beach erosion that is taking place now.

Sincerely,

Richard Queenan
, FL 33908

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Overwhelming our S.S. '17 COLA increase already!

Sincerely,

Luis Dorticos
, FL 33460

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Perhaps FPL needs to add solar to the mix for delivering electricity.

Sincerely,

Mary Mantini
, FL 32724

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please consider alternatives such as conservation, solar, wind, geothermal and energy derived from harnessing the Gulf Stream.

Sincerely,

Terry Lianzi
, FL 33913

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please do not allow FPL build a plant using fossil fuels

Sincerely,

Ed Slater
, FL 33020

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please do not allow this rate increase to pay for their expansion of gas usage. Let's invest in solar. Makes much more sense for the environment and our pockets. Thanks

Sincerely,

Cynthia Greenburg
, FL 34119

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please do not allow this rate increase to pay for their expansion of gas usage. Let's invest in solar. Makes much more sense for the environment and our pockets. Thanks

Sincerely,

Cynthia Greenburg
, FL 34119

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please do NOT approve FPL's rate hike. Please do NOT allow FPL to build more gas plants. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy Friedman
, FL 33180

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please do not permit FPL to build GAS Power Generation Facilities when other options are available if there is really a true and verifiable need.

We are customers of FPL and see no reason to add to the fossil fuel emissions and be forced to pay more for it when we have better non polluting solutions.

Sincerely

Rick and Susan Joyce

1450 Ioni Court

Ormond Beach, FL 32174

Phone 386-677-4298

Sincerely,

Rick And Susan Joyce
, FL 32174

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please don't let fossil fuels be our future or there will be no future for any of us. It is the sunshine state after all, the wind always blows. Surely we are smart enough to save ourselves rather than sizzle the planet for profit.

Thanks for your time and may you be blessed with the wisdom to do the right thing.

Sincerely,

Robbin Davis
, FL 33455

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please people, choose being human and generous over profits. The environment needs a break, before the entire planet becomes toxic and unsafe. Let's use some common sense people!

Sincerely,

Christopher Brown
, FL 34207

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please take the \$ from the massive FPL executives' commissions and bonuses!

Sincerely,

Heidi Diana
, FL 33196

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please, Please!

Sincerely,

Frederick Michel
, FL 32034

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Reduce/eliminate fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Kathleen Roath-Algera
, FL 32780

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Shame on FPL for skimming the WE THE PEOPLE while handing out huge commissions to the executives!

Sincerely,

GIO DIANA
, FL 33196

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Since FPL wants to increase our bills, then the government should allow us to pay our electric bill using Accept For Value. Unfortunately FPL fights the "American People" & not allow us to use AFV.

Sincerely,

Amy Turner
, FL 32796

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar for Florida!

Sincerely,

Juan Torres
, FL 33029

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Sometime a bad idea is just a bad idea.

Sincerely,

Bob Wolf
, FL 33067

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

SPEND DIFFERENTLY The State has space and existing roofs for massive solar effort.

Sincerely,

Wenyon Wyser
, FL 34134

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

SPEND DIFFERENTLY The State has space and existing roofs for massive solar effort.

Sincerely,

Wenyon Wyser
, FL 34134

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop killing Mother Earth, for when you that you are killing us!!!

Sincerely,

Michael Marvosh
, FL 33020

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop the use of fossil fuels! Invest in Solar! The sunshine state??? Germany has 60. % of their electricity from solar and they are over cast most of the time! Climate change is going to swallow Florida if you don't change !

Sincerely,

Stephanie Gout
, FL 33139

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The bills are already high enough and hard to pay.

Sincerely,

Leslie Johns
, FL 34953

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The Commission should be supporting increased usage of solar power and gas turbines to generate consumer electrical need not coal fired plants.

Sincerely,

John Selter
, FL 32724

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The Sunshine State has plenty of sunshine for solar electricity. Use the sunshine and help save the planet.

Sincerely,

John Deull
, FL 33418

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

There are alternatives to fossil fuels and their catastrophic damages. Please consider the planet and all life that depends on it's health - including yours!!

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Grafe
, FL 33032

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is Florida. Why not rely more on solar?

Sincerely,

Sue Duchene
, FL 33403

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is just ridiculous I am on a fixed income I cannot afford any more money out per month

Sincerely,

Patricia smith
, FL 34956

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is the Sunshine State use solar

Sincerely,

Mark Shaner
, FL 33406

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is the Sunshine State. Solar power needs to be pioneered. FPL has the land, the infrastructure, and the capital to make our grid almost entirely solar powered, and they can profit from doing just that.

Sincerely,

Cody Randolph
, FL 32181

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

THIS MESSAGE IS FOR YOU ALL THIEVES AND EXPLOITED. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH. WE DON'T WANT YOUR GARBAGE ANYMORE. YOUR GREED AND SELFISHNESS IS BRINGING US INTO A BIG CATASTROPHE.

Sincerely,

Sandra Johnston
, FL 33408

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Time out money in wind solar and ocean currents

Sincerely,

John Hall
, FL 33312

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Utilities just keep hiking charges while our service stays the same. It is well documented wages are not keeping pace with these percentage rate increases. Families have run out of "places to cut back" to pay necessities like electric, water, etc.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Crane
, FL 33952

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We are hardworking Americans who cannot pay more for electricity and certainly don't want our money going toward fossil fuels!!!

Sincerely,

Babette Thurston
, FL 33032

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We can barely afford this expense at this time already and if there were alternatives we would grab them. But since they are a monopoly we have no choice. Please be fair and wait until the economy comes back!

Sincerely,

Caroline Luley
, FL 32920

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We can't afford to pay the bill as it is with wages stagnant, food and utilities rising, gender pay gap, taxes rising on the poor only, tax breaks for the wealthy and huge corps, etc.

Sincerely,

Erica Toylar
, FL 33062

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We don't need another rate hike. FPL has always had the lowest rates in Florida and I am 76 years old and on a fixed income so don't need a higher electric bill.

Sincerely,

Sally Wavrick
, FL 34201

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have been ripped off by these companies for years!!! The sun is free!

Sincerely,

Joy Priem
, FL 32792

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have enough electric plants in Miami Dade County and the state of Florida that FPL is able to sell electricity all over the nation. Why not charge them more and subsidise and/or lower our rates in Florida. After all, it is FLORIDA Power & Light, isn't it?

Sincerely,

Alphonso Mccray
, FL 33157

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We in South Florida are well aware of the negative impact of burning fossil fuels. If FPL need greater capacity, then renewable energy sources should be exploited.

Sincerely,

H Allan Aho
, FL 33461

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We installed 27 solar electric panels on our roof that will pay for themselves. We drive a used '12 Leaf that will pay for itself. Most importantly for us & our children is to stop burning fossil fuels or will burn ourselves out of a planet!!

Sincerely,

Jerry Sicinski
, FL 32904

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We must stop this madness that is making people chose between having electricity and maybe even eating or medical needs. This unconstitutional monopoly monster must be placed at bay...for the good of all.

Sincerely,

Carlos Pazmino
, FL 33178

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need Clean Energy!

Sincerely,

Karen Veder
, FL 33433

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need more solar and more wind power. FPL can deliver it to us, but they can buy the power from another source.

Sincerely,

Lynn Wheeler
, FL 33143

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to concentrate on more solar power and wind turbines...

Sincerely,

Carole Hazlett
, FL 34240

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to put much more interest and work toward any other energy source other than fossil fuel or natural gas. This must stop.

Sincerely,

Pamela Marreel
, FL 33470

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We pay enough already

Sincerely,

Maryjo Fagereng
, FL 32976

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We should be forgetting fossil fuels and doing more with solar and wind. We have plenty of both in Florida!

Sincerely,

Kathryn Schillreff
, FL 34110

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What about solar? It's Florida, right. We have two homes in Naples and take a very dim view of "same old, same old" just charge the consumer more and don't innovate. You can do better than that for the consumer and the reputation of the company.

Sincerely,

Goldie Wetcher
, FL 34119

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

What I cannot understand is why FPL and the public can't see the benefits of using less electricity. Common sense approaches to consumption would allow a sustainable utility-- one that FPL could keep its profit while doing more than appearing " green"

Sincerely,

Iora Iosi
, FL 32780

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why does all of your correspondence talk about how you're lowering our rates? You aren't politicians so something is wrong.

Sincerely,

Wayne Reichman
, FL 33418

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why, as our Florida Commissioners, would you EVER consider a rate hike for your fellow Floridians?? You know that you're doing the wrong thing!! You know what repercussions there will be for our future - for our planet and for our grandchildren! Shame on you! And your desire for greed!!

Sincerely,

Mary-Jane Burke
, FL 34239

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why, as our Florida Commissioners, would you EVER consider a rate hike for your fellow Floridians?? You know that you're doing the wrong thing!! You know what repercussions there will be for our future - for our planet and for our grandchildren! Shame on you! And your desire for greed!!

Sincerely,

Mary-Jane Burke
, FL 34239

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You already charge too much. . . . people are using more solar energy and less from companies like FPL. Go get your money from the people who are not paying their bills. I'm putting more solar energy in my house so I don't need FPL!!!! Stop the increases, Florida has more power outages than anywhere.

Sincerely,

Beth Pemper
, FL 34241

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You got to be kidding me that's all I have to say

Sincerely,

William Robinson
, FL 32780

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You guys need to get with a clean energy program. If you don't make some headway, some other company will, and your customers WILL DO BUSINESS WITH THEM!!!

Sincerely,

Julie Blue
, FL 32931

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You say in ads that we have the lowest electric rates and yet you want to keep raising the amount - does not make sense !! No way.

Sincerely,

Priscilla Tindall
, FL 33314

9/15/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You will only get more people to change to clean energy and away from FPL if you do this. I already have!

Sincerely,

Maria Cristina Beato-Lanz
, FL 33146

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a civilization, we need to reduce reliance on fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Jerrie Butler
, FL 34110

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a civilization, we need to reduce reliance on fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Jerrie Butler
, FL 34110

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a civilization, we need to reduce reliance on fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Jerrie Butler
, FL 34110

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Be thoughtful of others and consider using more solar energy in the Sunshine State. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kate Blascovich
, FL 34208

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Build more green plants. You have sun and wind to power your plants. Let us make the environmentally friendly state. Europe, especially Germany and the Scandinavian countries are doing it. Chile is almost all green, make the "Sunshine" state the second "GREEN" state after Hawaii.

Sincerely,

Ronaele Bowman
, FL 32137

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

don't go backwards advance forward to the future

Sincerely,

gene liming
, FL 32080

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Fix Turkey Point NOW!!!

Sincerely,

Monte Downum
, FL 33158

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL let trivium get in our water supply. The radiated form of hydrogen. Please don't let our water, a need, pure, clean, safe, and protected to be bought for profit. Money won't quench your thirst. Money is not about 70% water, something each one of us is made of. Please protect water over profit. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maria Parra
, FL 33030

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL wants people to save energy? I guess you should think about what you ask for! Now you want more money and I say NO!

Sincerely,

Glenn Bristol
, FL 32136

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Give future generations a chance for Gods sake. What is wrong with you and the greed you display?

Sincerely,

Sadie Wright
, FL 32034

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Give future generations a fighting chance for gods sake. What is wrong with you and the greed you are displaying? Have you no conscience?

Sincerely,

Sadie Wright
, FL 32034

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Go solar please. Fuck this monopoly.

Sincerely,

jose bregio
, FL 33157

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Have you seen how much money they make salary wise???

That's enough to make a person so no in itself!!

Sincerely,

Natalie Hinebaugh
, FL 33411

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am a FPL customer. Please don't let this monopoly raise their rates for this ridiculous proposal.

Sincerely,

Doug Lubahn
, FL 33315

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and I do NOT approve raising rates to pay for fossil fuels. The Sunshine State should be powered by clean, renewable solar power, period.

Sincerely,

Marlene Robinson
, FL 33916

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer in Broward County and dismayed by their actions to promote the interests of their shareholders more than the future of our beautiful state and the ability of future generations to live here.

Sincerely,

Brian Guerdat
, FL 33076

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I believe that "Green & Renewable Energy" should be used more & more, until we are 100% Green & Renewable as a nation, and then as a world. Any money, funds, etc. wasted on old dirty power technology is nothing but a waste. Florida could be using Wind, solar, tidal, and wave energies right now! But instead big companies and corporations throw money at politicians to kill Clean Green & Renewable energies, so they can keep Dirty petroleum based/fossil fuels/non-renewables pumping out, making them more rich, and polluting more of our planet. Please spend more on saving our planet & us by using Green & Renewable Energy sources, rather than spending more on old tech./fossil fuels & ETC. That are killing our planet, soon our way of life, and inevitably all of us.

Sincerely,

Victor Bourget
, FL 34953

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have an average size 3 bedroom home that I have lived in for 50 years. My electric bills are now, and have always been exceedingly high, but I am now on Social Security and am straining to be able to afford the bills! FPL should be looking for cleaner and less expensive ways to provide power to us, such as Solar power. I cannot afford to pay higher fees than I am paying now, so PLEASE, do NOT give FPL a rate hike!! There are so many people out here that are struggling like me. We need a break!!

Sincerely,

Nancy Sonnett-Selwyn
, FL 33141

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been an FPL customer for atleast 15 years as have my parents and numerous other family members.

Sincerely,

Lorin Newingham
, FL 34209

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been an FPL customer for over twenty years. Rates have already increased beyond being affordable for most of its customers, including myself. Please do not allow this rate hike.

Sincerely,

Carlie Doebereiner
, FL 32937

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I say no ,it all about to make money every time you look around they asking f0r rate hike.it not fair just greed

Sincerely,

Perry Wilson
, FL 34997

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's look for ways to improve our planet not dirty and destroy it!

Sincerely,

Joanna Lukin
, FL 33178

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

More solar and wind power!

Sincerely,

Paul Murphy
, FL 33917

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO hike, we should be looking at a refund for all the overage of power provided via solar.

Sincerely,

Sandra Cestero
, FL 33436

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO NO NO

Sincerely,

constantine hanzivasilis
, FL 34229

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No, no, no. Terrible idea. Oppose this plan. There has to be a safer alternative to this.

Sincerely,

Gina Weiss
, FL 33027

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

The last rate hike was due to the skyrocketing cost of oil. Oil prices have dropped significantly - why weren't the rates then DROPPED? They report record profits every quarter! No rate hike!!

Sincerely,

Melanee Packard
, FL 34291

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

This is causing me to look into solar more and more

Sincerely,

Dawn O'Connor
, FL 32168

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We have a grandchild in Florida, 2 months old. I am signing this for him. If you have a conscience, & any care for your own future family, you will listen and respond.

Sincerely,

Alison Hollis
, FL 33137

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why do we need to pollute our air any further? I'm already paying high electric bills. I'm a senior citizen give me a break always paid my bill on time.

Sincerely,

Bertha Marshall
, FL 33056

9/16/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Yes, I am a FPL customer. Solar is the way to go!!!!

Sincerely,

Lee Patrizzi
, FL 33766

9/17/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida is the sunshine state, therefore we should be one of the leaders in our country for sustainable solar power. If not now, when? If not us, who? The time is NOW.

Sincerely,

Heather Locklear
, FL 34239

9/17/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer, and this is definitely NOT the direction in which I want to see my power supplier headed!

Sincerely,

Barbara Gideon
, FL 33418

9/17/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been a FPL customer for over fifty years.

Sincerely,

Janet Shipes
, FL 33189

9/17/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm a customer of FPL

Sincerely,

Angela Cangialosi
, FL 32738

9/17/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

NO!

Sincerely,

Marc Poletti
, FL 33161

9/17/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Prices are already high. We need to be working on alternate sources of fuel to ween away from fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Rodriguez
, FL 32901

9/17/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We cannot continue to utilize and rely on fossil fuels. We must identify more innovative, cheaper, and safer sources of energy.

Sincerely,

Janine Scoville
, FL 32820

9/17/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We need to move to clean solar and wind energy and stop destroying the planet with fossil fuel. The profits of a few are not worth the destruction of the planet.

Sincerely,

Diana Cao
, FL 34293

9/18/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

A 22% rate hike over two years is criminal. Additionally, how come our "fuel adjustment charges" didn't lower when the cost of oil plummeted?

Sincerely,

Jim Turner
, FL 32952

9/18/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Florida does not need more expensive electric rates in order to spend money on gas plants.

Sincerely,

Penelope Carlson
, FL 32796

9/18/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I have been working hard to preserve our legal ability to use roof-top solar panels to produce electricity for homeowners - while FPL has spent over \$19 million to promote Amendment 1 which is aimed at restricting the use of roof-top solar panels. How does FPL justify this expense?

Sincerely,

Jim Ewing
, FL 33403

9/18/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I think that moving towards solar power is in the long term interest of Florida esp. Given our abundance of strong sun filled days and the real risk and economic costs we face from global warming and rising sea levels

Sincerely,

Steve Kloebler
, FL 32080

9/18/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My FPL bills are high enough already!

Sincerely,

Nicole O'Connor
, FL 32168

9/18/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more fossil fuels. More solar energy.

Sincerely,

Denise Langella
, FL 33026

9/18/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop it. Stop it. Stop it!

Sincerely,

Dennis Dempsey
, FL 32909

9/18/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

These gas-fueled plants would not be necessary if FPL built more solar farms and did not support Amendment 1 that will inhibit private solar cooperatives.

Sincerely,

Wade Matthews
, FL 34231

9/18/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We (and you) should be making efforts to use sustainable clean energy, not trying to increase reliance on fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Barbara Martin
, FL 32097

9/18/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

We really need to invest more in solar and other alternative energies, not fossil fuel burning facilities

Sincerely,

Joanne Cimorelli
, FL 33928

9/19/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer. FPL's over reliance on fossil fuels is not wise when there are alternatives available. I am also angry that rate increases are used to punish ratepayers and to enhance payments to shareholders who hold no risk when it comes to FPL's numerous adventures.

Sincerely,

Frances Wojyn
, FL 33417

9/19/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I LIVE IN A VERY SMALL HOME AND AM ON DISABILITY. HOW DO YOU EXPECT ME TO EAT WHEN MY BILL IS 255.43? EACH MONTH GETTING HIGHER IT IS 65.00 LESS THAN MY MORTGAGE. I MISS MEALS ALREADY, SOON I WILL CHOOSE TO LIVE BY CANDLE LIGHT!!! REALLY? THIS IS CRUEL AND INSANE. DESTROY ME AND OUR PLANET, GREAT JOB! NOT!

Sincerely,

Marie Roman
, FL 32738

9/19/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I would welcome a price hike for clean energy. I don't understand why companies keep with this "same old" dirty, the way it's always been done, attitude. Get INNOVATIVE!!!! This country grew because of INVENTIONS AND INNOVATORS!!!!

Sincerely,

Thelma Armenteros
, FL 33483

9/19/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is a SIN to waste the nearly incessant sun shine we have here in the SUNSHINE state and not put it to use for our electricity needs. We also have wind.

At the same time, compounding FPL's incompetence, we now have radioactive water in Biscayne Bay. WHEN ARE THE POLITICIANS IN THIS STUPID STATE GOING TO WAKE UP THE FACT THAT THEY ARE DESTROYING OUR ENVIRONMENT WHILE EMPOWERING THE POWER COMPANY.

I am unfortunately an FPL customer, and I am sick and tired of getting screwed by FPL, the corrupt Tallahassee government with crooked Rick Scott. You are all out of your fucking minds.

Sincerely,

Margaret Meltzer
, FL 33073

9/19/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

My bills are too high i cant afford to pay any more for that service is ridiculous. No money..

Sincerely,

Janet Alonso
, FL 33032

9/19/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

No more rate raises

Sincerely,

Carlos Cabanas
, FL 33460

9/20/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

please don't raise rates again, inflation, living expensive is difficult as it is already.

Sincerely,

Emma Cruz
, FL 33147

9/20/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why aren't you developing solar and wind power? enough sullyng of the environment.

Sincerely,

Cee Ceeton
, FL 34223

9/21/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I love living in Florida because of its diverse nature, let's keep it clean.

Sincerely,

Karen Mittendorf
, FL 33070

9/21/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I think Florida should be a natural leader in solar power and am wondering why this hasn't happened yet?

Sincerely,

Donna Lobdell
, FL 34209

9/22/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Hello,

I moved here from Washington state. We have hydro electric there. We live in a state where the sun shines more than not. We should move towards protecting the planet by using natural resources and not destroying the planet with burning and mining fossil fuels. It makes sense to move forward the planet is not going to be sustainable for future life if we do not act intelligently. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sherri Williams
, FL 32117

9/22/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

STOP, DO WHAT IS RIGHT FOR THE COUNTRY NOT JUST
YOUR STOCKHOLDERS/YOURSELVES

Sincerely,

Judith Basch
, FL 33331

9/23/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer living on social security. Please protect the people instead of corporations by refusing this rate increase and anything else that destroys our environment for more fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Arlene Oakes
, FL 34233

9/26/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As mentioned above, is this something we actually need or is there another solution? More measures should be taken regarding this before any decision is made anything else that is going to further pollute our atmosphere should be carefully assessed.

Sincerely,

L Lewis
, FL 33068

9/26/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I don't see any reason a property owner should be required to be connected to a power company. It's our property and our business how we get energy. We should have the freedom to generate our own electricity if we want to.

Sincerely,

Karen Hudon
, FL 34997

9/27/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please don't let FPL take advantage of its consumers dependence on electricity by increasing the already high rates we pay. Florida is the sunshine state and we can be the leaders in clean energy. Please listen to the science and not greedy corporations who are only concerned with increasing their profits.

Sincerely,

Ashley Beasley
, FL 32046

9/28/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Let's work together to save our planet. Stop climate change before it's too late!

Sincerely,

Nicole Matos Ramirez
, FL 33325

9/30/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

As a Floridian, I find FPL's disregard for its customers and the environment disgraceful. This state should be on the forefront of solar and renewable energy sources.

Sincerely,

Kristina Bragg
, FL 34231

9/30/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Come on FPL, embrace solar and get us off of fossil fuels!

Sincerely,

Marcelle Crago
, FL 34231

9/30/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL's rate hike request for gas plants is illegal and unnecessary. There are much better unproposed options available using solar and other non-climate damaging options.

Sincerely,

Winifred Krafton
, FL 33707

9/30/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer in Sarasota and strongly oppose any rate increase for FPL. They are trying to lock in their return on investment in a way that is clearly counter to the public interest. Don't let them get away with it.

Sincerely,

David Cullen
, FL 34243

9/30/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Renewable clean energy only. We are Florida go solar, stop the greed!

Sincerely,

Victoria Brill
, FL 32148

9/30/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why can't we go solar?

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Boynton
, FL 33617

9/30/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Why can't we go solar?

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Boynton
, FL 33617

10/1/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

An FPL customer

Sincerely,

Stefanie DeChiaro
, FL 33484

10/1/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Clean solar and wind power are there for the taking in this sunny coastal state!

Sincerely,

Diana Gagne
, FL 33301

10/1/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Especially in Florida we need more solar energy produced. Fossil fuels are causing both our oceans and temperatures to rise.

Sincerely,

Douglas Campbell
, FL 33328

10/1/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar, solar, solar! It just makes sense for ALL Floridians! It's also right for the environment! Lead by example.....not just for profit!

Sincerely,

Kathy Zalewski
, FL 34109

10/1/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Solar, wind are the way to go

Sincerely,

Barbara Borden
, FL 32934

10/2/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Stop the greed! We need solar!

Sincerely,

Mia Friedman
, FL 34698

10/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

FPL should be working to quickly increase solar power & wind power generation of electricity!!! We have a perfect opportunity to lead the country in developing these renewal energy sources and decrease our reliance on petroleum fuels for generating our electricity! Let's become leaders in creating more jobs and less climate change! Now is the perfect time to move quickly in the right direction, to provide clean energy for our communities, our children and grandchildren and future generations of Floridians!!! Please take action now. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sheri Safier
, FL 33437

10/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I am an FPL customer and resident fo South Florida.

Sincerely,

Cathy Gilbert
, FL 33137

10/3/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please keep Florida's environment and beings healthy and do the right thing using safe renewable resources

Sincerely,

Eileen Naaman
, FL 34242

10/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

It is a disgrace that the Sunshine State is not a leader in renewable energy.

Sincerely,

Margaret Carlock
, FL 34453

10/4/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

You can't drink money when all the clean water is gone. Greedy pigs.

Sincerely,

Morgan Millett
, FL 32641

10/13/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

I'm having a hard time paying what my bills are now

Sincerely,

Martin Sheehan
8817 north Atlantic ave, #87, FL 32920

10/17/2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose any rate hike for gas-burning power plants that are neither necessary, nor proven to be a better value than clean, low cost, low risk alternatives. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future, not to mention exposing us to the worst impacts of climate change.

Before adding such expensive and risky projects to rate base, FPL should have obtained a need determination from the Commission. It is through that need determination process that the Commission and stakeholders can get answers to the questions that will ultimately protect customers: For instance, do we actually need more peaking generation? How can FPL reconcile this with the cost savings it reports from reducing peak generation in recent years? Could solar energy and/or other resources have been procured at lower cost and greater value to our local economies?

The Commission should hold FPL to the same standard as everyone else when it comes to obtaining approval for large new expenditures for electric generation. FPL should be required to prove need and value first. Because FPL failed to get a need determination, this rate hike is unfair, unjust and unreasonable and should be denied.

Thank you, Commissioners, for protecting customers from unwarranted rate hikes.

Personal Message:

Please don't approve this massive hike when FPL OFFICIALS have stated under oath that solar is at parity or cheaper. It's not just that, you need to take into account health costs and pollution. Not to mention the fact that the ocean is rising and destroying property on our entire coastline.

Sincerely,

Paul DeMasi
5808 Sullivan rd, FL 33458

Ken Shoaf
FL
32702

Steven Dodd
FL
34243

Janice Overly
FL
34953

Diane Tusin
FL
33991

Ellen LaVan
FL
33331

K Paro
421 Wisteria Rd Daytona Beach FL
32118

Kathleen Paro
FL
32118

Adrienne Amatulli
FL
34953

Cheryl Kirby
806 W Kalmia Dr West Palm Beach FL
33403

R.D. Peterson
8648 E Magnolia St Floral City FL
34436

Robert Siegfried
FL
33408

monnie levin
5837 24 ave s gulfport FL
33707

Marilyn Stern
FL
33321

Alessandro Laudisio
FL
34983

Alexandra Collins
757 NW 23rd Ln Delray Beach FL
33445

Ashley Tarantola
FL
33029

Angelina Petrozza
FL
32141

Al Siles
FL
33174

Paul Verzosa
7913 Tangerine Dr Temple Terrace FL
33637

Peter Greaney
232 Cactus St Tallahassee FL
32304

Alexander Abel
6570 SW 26th St Miami FL
33155

Ana Maria Agrusa
5881 Bristol Ln Davie FL
33331

Alberto Rivera
2053 NW 79 Ave Doral FL
33122

Patricia Thompson
FL
34951

Ann Bard
4900 Fay Blvd Cocoa FL
32927

Laura Mcdonald
435 Aquatic Dr Atlantic Beach FL
32233

Aqllyn Prosten
13855 Luna Dr Naples FL
34109

Nazir Abdool
1020 Fox Trail Ave Minneola FL
34715

Mohammad Abdul-Aziz
1815 Sandhill Crane Dr Fort Pierce FL
34982

Susan Abell
FL
34113

Alan Barnes
FL
33026

Gabrielle Simeon
FL
33021

A C
R Monticello FL
32344

Ann Carney
FL
34238

Megan Prats
6374 SW 43rd St Miami FL
33155

Dennis Cosentino
13721 Fern Trail Dr North Fort Myers
FL
33903

Debra Cosentino
13721 Fern Trail Dr N Ft Myers FL
33903

Pamela Clemente
FL
33138

William Weimatlock
FL
33410

Benjamin Oppenheim
FL
33158

Adam Carriero
FL
34105

Cree Ganmoryn
6211 SE 24th Ave Ocala FL
34480

Arthur Demis
FL
34957

Alan Davison
823 Renaissance Pointe Apt 307
Altamonte Springs FL
32714

Anna Diliberto
100 Cabana Cay Cir Unit 521 Panama
City Beach FL
32413

Arian Dineen
FL
34994

Linda Smith
FL
33309

Linda Smith
FL
33309

Alan Dorfman
7848 Mansfield Hollow Rd Delray Beach FL
33446

Adrian Siegel
601 NW 103rd Ave Apt 162 Pembroke
Pines FL
33026

Allen Wickell
FL
33905

William Conrad
FL
3080

Arlette Casellas
FL
33175

Ann Galloway
137 Retreat Pl Ponte Vedra Beach FL
32082

Alfred Escoto
14310 SW 133rd Ct Miami FL
33186

Andrea Caine
FL
33417

Adriane Esteban
1911 NE 8th Ct Ft Lauderdale FL
33304

Andrew Gordon
910 NW 40th Dr Gainesville FL
32605

Adele Treece
FL
34233

Alan Guadagno
3110 SW 20th St Fort Lauderdale FL
33312

Agustina Torres
FL
33133

Agnes Whalen
PO Box 46582 Saint Petersburg FL
33741

Al Hagopian
FL
32903

Audrey Millsaps
2665 NE 37th Dr Fort Lauderdale FL
33308

Ann Hodgetts
4219 Overture Cir Bradenton FL
34209

Andrea Axelrod
FL
33064

Aida Sheets
6926 10th Ave N Saint Petersburg FL
33710

Aida Campbell
FL
32703

Aiko Fujii
FL
33137

Alice Smith
FL
33445

Denise Johnson
FL
34219

Bob Magill
FL
33316

Paula Farkas
FL
32117

Alice Adorno
FL
32904

Francesca Palermo
FL
33472

Amanda Lum
360 13th Ave NE Apt 29 Saint Petersburg
FL
33701

Alice Korosy
FL
32765

Allyson Leicher
FL
33484

Alan Kitchman
FL
33026

Alan Thomas
11271 SW 175th St Miami FL
33157

Alba Barros
2115 SW 3rd Ave Miami FL
33129

albert Conliffe
1242 NW 51st Terrace Miami FL
33142

Albert Elsen
773 Caya Costa Ct NE Saint Petersburg
FL
33702

Albert Alvarez
18984 NW 54th Ave Miami Gardens FL
33055

Mary Wilson
209 Colonade Cir Naples FL
34103

James Aldrich
6730 Landover Cir Tallahassee FL
32317

Alejandra Benito
FL
33919

Ana Ledesma
FL
33196

Maurice Kelhoffer
225 Mansfield F Boca Raton FL
33434

Alejandro Munoz
FL
33122

Alejandro Munoz
2053 NW 79th Ave Doral FL
33198

Jose Hernandez
FL
33324

Alek Williams
FL
32839

Alejandro Leon
FL
33183

April Esterly
6723 Washington Pl Bradenton FL
34207

Alessa Zaias
FL
33141

Alan Leveton
1972 Woodlake Dr Fleming Island FL
32003

Alexandra Jelley
FL
33028

alexis velez
FL
33172

Alexis Fernandez
2050 NW 16th Ter # E214 Miami FL
33125

Alex Kamin
7460 S Aragon Blvd Unit 3 Sunrise FL
33313

Alex Ramo
FL
33138

Alex Savory
FL
33444

Aron Gladney
2640 NE 51st Ct Lighthouse Point FL
33064

Alice Bailey
FL
34953

Alice Pascale
FL
33140

Alice Gallahue
FL
34104

Alicia Keenon
FL
32127

Alina Valdes
FL
33027

Alison Carville
19049 Bartow Blvd Fort Myers FL
33967

Alissa Davis
FL
34205

Allain Hale
5327 Densaw Rd North Port FL
34287

Allan Weiss
9678 NW 15th Ct Pembroke Pines FL
33024

Meghan Houston
312 Jefferson Ave Lehigh Acres FL
33936

Al Pa
FL
33065

Allison Creightney
FL
33313

Allison Gondek
FL
33435

Alejandra Campuzano
FL
33193

Alice Gardner
FL
34472

Angelica Lopez
FL
33186

Scott A Joseph
FL
33469

Johnny Galletti
FL
33317

A Lynn Raiser
571 E Kings College Dr Saint Johns FL
32259

Al Sammarco
FL
34986

Barbara Drake
FL
34209

Alexia Luby
FL
34102

Alvaro Ruiz
FL
33176

Alvera Pritchard
120 W San Marino Dr Miami Beach FL
33139

Gerald Lampe
FL
32176

Alexander Grau
FL
33312

Allyson Nelson
FL
32927

Alyce Mcdaniel
FL
33155

Alyssa Rodriguez
15270 SW 104th St Miami FL
33196

Rosanne Thompson
FL
34957

Rebecca Comunale
546 Notre Dame Dr Altamonte Springs
FL
32714

Amanda Smith
1546 Linden Rd Apalachicola FL
32320

Amanda Osborne
6183 White Tip Rd Jacksonville FL
32258

Amanda Andino
733 Creekwater Terrace Lake Mary FL
32746

Amanda Perez
FL
33405

Amanda Fenick
469 E Lake Dr Largo FL
33771

Amanda Young
FL
32137

Annemarie Martine
FL
32174

Amaya Vinuela
FL
32907

Amber Ward
2299 Scenic Hwy Apt K3 Pensacola FL
32503

Michelle Cristantiello
FL
33029

Amy Lauren
711 E Coco Plum Cir Plantation FL
33324

Mike LeHew
FL
34987

Amina Sidky
8338 SW 157th Ct Miami FL
33193

Kathy Brack
FL
32958

Nobuko Takeda-Amoah
FL
33323

Albert Morris
FL
33324

Amparo Gomez
855 SW Rocky Bayou Ter Port St Lucie
FL
34986

Amparo Gomez
855 SW Rocky Bayou Ter Port St Lucie
FL
34986

Amy Lane
FL
33477

amy foster
FL
33418

Amy Reaume
4125 Bond Ave Rockledge FL
32955

Amy Mezni
FL
32780

Ana Rodriguez
FL
33155

Amy Chastain
FL
32137

Ana Escribano-Cruz
FL
33186

Ana Sanchez
FL
33134

Ana Berger
FL
33027

John Pope
FL
33403

Ana Rivera
FL
33193

Ana Del Alamo
1215 NE 16th Ter Ft Lauderdale FL
33304

Dorothy Shaw
FL
32046

Andy Colee
261 S Bayshore Dr Valparaiso FL
32580

Beverly Fortuna
FL
33134

Andreena Harriman
FL
32792

Andreas Ohland
FL
33141

Andrew Boda
3281 Littlefield St Deltona FL
32738

Andrew Boda
FL
32738

Sarah Fisher
FL
34293

Angela Pruett
FL
32901

Laurel Covington
17303 Estes Road Lutz FL
33548

Angelica Leon
19135 US Highway 19 Na Clearwater FL
33764

Angelique St.Pierre
1456 Arnold Dr Melbourne FL
32935

Angel Rivera
3701 Tcu Blvd Orlando FL
32817

Dawn Lillis
2729 Maitland Crossing Way Apt 1108
Orlando FL
32810

Angelina Petrovic
FL
33919

Roxanne Williams
401 S Pegasus Ave Clearwater FL
33765

angie markun
FL
33312

Angie Rhinier
FL
33316

Dj Myers
789 Blackmoor Gate Ln Saint Augustine FL
32084

DJ Myers
FL
32084

Anne Macfadyen
250 High Point Ct Apt C Boynton
Beach FL
33435

Christopher Sullivan
FL
34120

Ann Albrecht
875 Pioneer Way Geneva FL
32732

Ann Marie Peterson
FL
33928

Annabelle Sanchez
FL
33024

Anna Madaschi
5385 Huntingwood Ct Sarasota FL
34235

Anne Brain
FL
34236

Annette Duplessis
FL
34997

Annette Quintero
660 NE 78th St Apt 309 Miami FL
33138

Ann Hall
FL
34210

Annia Freire
FL
33010

Annie Hatzakorzian
FL
33308

Ann Long
FL
32174

Ann Laurilliard
402 primavera av palm beach FL
33480

Ann Malachowski
FL
33469

Mary Ann Oglia
FL
33407

Anthony Conn
1404 Boca Chica RD Key West FL
33040

P Antinoph
FL
33301

Anne-Marie Whitby
FL
33032

William Edmunds
FL
33409

Andrea Koeber
FL
32931

Mary Perry
9772 SW 1st Street Plantation FL
33324

Armando Perez
FL
33027

Anthony Curcio
FL
32084

Ari Blumenfeld
FL
33319

Ari Blumenfeld
FL
33319

Christian Hernandez Christian
Hernandez
FL
33182

Arthur LEE
FL
34224

Maria Naclerio
FL
33433

Abel Rodriguez
FL
33168

Arpad Rajki
FL
34957

A Johnson
FL
33483

Loren Ryan
FL
34205

Art Oliva
FL
33412

Art Oliva
FL
33412

Adams Sabin
FL
33069

Alyson Scotti
803 NE 91st Ter Miami FL
33138

Anita Garrison
8705 288th St Branford FL
32008

Ashley Dean
17808 SW 154th Ct Miami FL
33187

Ashley Good
FL
33004

Amy Smith
417 Howard Ave Orange City FL
32763

Asmitha Buddam
1008 bittersweet branch ct Saint Johns
FL
32259

Alan Sperl
999 W Prospect Rd Oakland Park FL
33309

Alice Stern
FL
34235

Andrew Tirado
FL
33907

Suzanne Smither
901 SW 32nd Ct Apt 7 Fort Lauderdale
FL
33315

Michael Lester
FL
34241

Alan Lipson
6233 Brava Way Boca Raton FL
33433

Nancy Dominguez
FL
32725

Nancy Dominguez
FL
32725

Shinja Towsley
9041 SW 214th St Cutler Bay FL
33189

Alexander Kazanci
FL
33312

Phillip Pink
FL
33428

William Tracy
1275 NE 199th St Miami FL
33179

Arlene Ustin
FL
33445

Ana Cillacorta
FL
33433

Anne Dufault
4630 15th Ave SW Naples FL
34116

Anna Vogtritter
2424 Placida Rd Englewood FL
34224

Allison Governale
FL
33435

Andrea Wildner
FL
34109

Annette Windham
FL
32907

Alice Windle
2850 SW Marquis Ter Stuart FL
34997

Ayesha Mohid
FL
34135

Anne Sheldon
FL
33132

Alvin Yoffee
FL
34238

E Peter McLean
FL
33139

Carmen Garcia
FL
33919

Babs Marchand
5217 Berkeley Dr Naples FL
34112

Barbara Britton
FL
33322

Sasha Lagano
FL
33460

Barbara Biasi Esposito
FL
33435

Tammi Rondinini
1411 SW 8th Ct Fort Lauderdale FL
33312

Susan Bloom
7708 Cherry Blossom Way Boynton
Beach FL
33437

Elaine Backal
FL
33334

Kathleen Shull
1350 Mustang St Nokomis FL
34275

Diana Lain
919 Penman Rd Jacksonville FL
32250

David Bailey
5730 82nd Ter N Pinellas Park FL
33781

Balarama Bosch
13405 NW 145th Ave Alachua FL
32615

beverly alfimow
461 golf blvd daytona beach FL
32118

Lisa Ballenger
FL
33418

Jaime Ballester
201 Sedona Way Palm Beach Gardens
FL
33418

Marisol Ballester
FL
33314

Beth Widzowski
2385 NW Executive Center Dr Boca Raton
FL
33431

Sharyl Ballejo
FL
32926

Sandra Emerson
4490 Cave Lake Road De Leon Springs
FL
32130

Gerald Crean
FL
33931

Brent Bills
FL
32713

Patti Schultze
17811 Lake Carlton Dr Apt D Lutz FL
33558

Patricia Maher
650 Kirkwood Ter N St Petersburg FL
33701

Bantwal Rao
310 N Country Club Blvd Boca Raton FL
33487

Barbara Brodbeck
3942 Flag Dr Palm Beach Gardens FL
33410

Bill Charboneau
795 Devon Rd Venice FL
34293

Barbara McKinley
FL
33458

Barbara Reisert
9471 Fleming Grant Rd Micco FL
32976

Barbara Shaver
FL
34243

Barbara Fernandez
11297 N Kendall Dr Miami FL
33176

BARBARA KATZ
FL
33322

Barbara Paytas
FL
32174

Leyah Fredericks
FL
32853

Barbara Smyth
FL
33418

Danielle Barcion
2930 Day Ave Apt N305 Miami FL
33133

Barbara Brown
796 Tanglewood Cir Weston FL
33327

Karen Reichgott
FL
33162

Barry Rogers
FL
32949

Barbara Wood
FL
33950

D Bartcher
FL
32754

Barbara Rudziecka
7468 Ashmont Cir Tamarac FL
33321

lisa hoffmeyer
FL
33019

Joyce Bass
FL
32640

Joyce Bass
FL
32640

Tina Beedle
6324 Blueberry St Milton FL
32570

Babette Bruton
5001 25th Ave S Gulfport FL
33707

Bernice Bulgatz
9673 Shadybrook Dr Apt 201 Boynton
Beach FL
33437

Jim&Betty Burrell
1019 Riflecrest Ave Valrico FL
33594

Bert Alm
1482 Tralee Bay Ave Melbourne FL
32940

Corbitt Nesta
FL
34242

Bianca Deleon
2306 Bella Vista Way Port Saint Lucie FL
34952

William Devine
FL
33418

Bev Devoney
268 Orienta Point St Altamonte Springs FL
32701

Robert Deyoung
712 Saegrass Venice FL
34292

Bernadette Hamera
8740 Lueck Ln Apt G1 Fort Myers FL
33919

Richard Hite
640 Park Dr Bradenton FL
34209

William Dolly
19809 89th Rd Mc Alpin FL
32062

Brian Rice
2324 Sea Ave Indialantic FL
32903

Marnica Andrews
1199 Garden St Labelle FL
33935

Michael Brandes
FL
33446

Larry Crumbley
FL
32909

Bill Deppen
144 Nicholas Pkwy E Cape Coral FL
33990

Brenda James
1915 19th Ave Vero Beach FL
32960

Barbara German
FL
33916

Beatriz Baldan
1430 Brickell Bay Dr Apt 307 Miami FL
33131

Don Howanitz
FL
34983

Sharon Beaukieu
FL
33436

Susan Becker
FL
34609

Lorraine Beck
FL
33321

Rebecca Coughlin
3554 Collonade Dr Wellington FL
33449

Rebecc Coughlin
FL
33449

Rebecca Schroth
FL
32259

Bonnie Emery
FL
32174

Linda Behret
5960 Herons Landing Dr Viera FL
32955

Anna Negron
201 Wimbledon Lake Dr Plantation FL
33324

Belysa Noel
FL
33162

Benedicte Monroe
2511 Hickory Ave Sarasota FL
34234

Terrie Smith
FL
33009

Benedicta Mcgrath
FL
32962

benn swickle
FL
33019

benjamin parker
FL
32164

Beth Day
FL
33436

Stephen Berman
4785 Preserve Dr Delray Beach FL
33445

Bernadette Soto
3421 SW 40th Ave West Park FL
33023

Bernadine Turner
371 NW 249th St Newberry FL
32669

Harvey Wanda
FL
32937

Bernardo Ramos
3023 NW 30th Ave Lauderdale Lakes
FL
33311

Bernita Smith
6955 Carlisle Ct Apt 210 Naples FL
34109

David Bernstein
1521 Pepper Dr Tallahassee FL
32304

Betty Gleason
FL
33455

Beth Mcdermott
11404 Oyster Bay Cir New Port Richey FL
34654

beth borden
FL
34448

Elizabeth Knoche
FL
34953

Betsey Mccoy
FL
32080

Betsi siddall
FL
32953

Bettie Sonnenberg
1804 Paloma Ave Sanford FL
32771

Betty Kolodziejcki
5115 22nd St N Saint Petersburg FL
33714

Beatriz Perez
FL
34110

Betty Ward
9912 Lake Seminole Dr E Largo FL
33773

Beverly Castricone
3437 Darlington Rd Holiday FL
34691

Beverly Cheney
14053 Cisne Cir Fort Pierce FL
34951

beverly hockel
FL
32177

Bevin Bocchicchio
FL
32707

Bevin Thomas
4377 fox Casselberry FL
32708

Beverly Root
758 El Centro Longboat Key FL
34228

Brian Franz
7590 SW 150th St Palmetto Bay FL
33158

Beverly Golden
27850 SW 164th Ct Homestead FL
33031

Bonnie Goodman
3100 North Rd Naples FL
34104

Brian Paradise
13 Arbor Club Dr Ponte Vedra Beach FL
32082

Bianka Salomon
50 w 7th street hialeah FL
33010

James Zirin
FL
33186

Bil & Susie Click
FL
32766

Anna Ebersole
2474 NW 77th Blvd Apt 2007
Gainesville FL
32606

Donna Billing
FL
33444

William Borden
FL
34293

Bindu Eriksson
FL
32792

Boril Iordanov
3288 NW 26th Ave Boca Raton FL
33434

Vincent Digiovanni
FL
33487

VINCENT DIGIOVANNI
FL
33487

Megan Davis
FL
33919

Megan Davis
FL
33919

jill weissman
FL
33436

Bonnie Fitzpatrick
2670 Diane Ave SE Palm Bay FL
32909

Bonnie Fitzpatrick
FL
32909

Barbara J Glass
1426 NW 60th St Miami FL
33142

Bob Bugnacki
FL
32771

Kathleen Houppermans
2601 NW 106th Ave Coral Springs FL
33065

Bobby Wooden
FL
33169

Dale Ann Varney
3031 SW Lucerne St Port St Lucie FL
34953

Barbara Konits Dugan
FL
33446

Belinda Stewart
FL
32092

Belinda Stewart
FL
32092

Kenneth Blackett
500 Durham Pl Fleming Island FL
32003

Donald Bovaird
FL
33311

Brig Larson
6237 sw Moore st Palm city FL
34990

Bobbie Lee Gruninger
2481 Golden Gate Blvd E Naples FL
34120

Bobbie Lee Davenport
FL
34120

Sandra Cutrone
5913 Split Oak Ln Tallahassee FL
32303

Barbara Lizardi-Azzolina
FL
32951

Daryl Clark
6095 Manasota Key Rd Englewood FL
34223

Pam Koller
FL
32136

Pam Koller
Po box 14;8 Flagler beach FL
32136

Pam Koller
FL
32136

Astrida McFarland
FL
33128

Bonnie Stockdale
1518 Blind Pond Ave Lutz FL
33549

Brenda Thyrré
FL
33024

Irena Franchi
301 174th St Apt 2206 Sunny Isles
Beach FL
33160

Chris Miller
FL
34242

Wanda Souza
FL
33024

Anuchka Güngör
FL
33432

Christianne Marcoplos
285 Cays Dr Naples FL
34114

Karen Roland
2697 Gilberts Mill Rd Chipley FL
32428

Jesse Bicardi
FL
33162

Marianne Verhagen
12030 NW 15th Ct Pembroke Pines FL
33026

Barry Migicovsky
FL
33328

bruce moran
FL
34291

Bjorn Nagle
FL
34239

Barbara H Grigsby
9998 Equus Cir Boynton Beach FL
33472

Bridget Norwood
3552 Crystal Ln Davie FL
33330

Bonnie Peacock
FL
32086

Marie Rickey
340 Pinellas Bayway S Apt 207 Tierra
Verde FL
33715

Bohdan Sawczyn
5230 Jamaica Rd Port St John FL
32927

Bo Sawczyn
FL
32927

Robert Gutierrez
FL
33908

Robert Hildebrand
FL
32903

Bob Dube
FL
33435

Bob Conrich
3387a Sw 13th Ave Fort Lauderdale FL
33315

Robert Baker
1327 SW 96th St Gainesville FL
32607

Robert Edewaard
FL
33316

Bobbi Hill
351 Terrapin Rd Venice FL
34293

Roberta Beaucage
FL
34984

Robert Goldberg
FL
32707

Robert Mcfarland
12 NE 19th Ct Apt A211 Wilton Manors
FL
33305

Robert McFarland
FL
33305

Robert Gorman
7612 Mill Pond Loop Tallahassee FL
32317

Robert Foot
760 E Ocean Ave Apt 507N Boynton
Beach FL
33435

Foot Robert
FL
33435

Robert Forte
FL
33026

Robert Essex
200 Chantal Ct Ponte Vedra FL
32082

Robert Laforge
FL
34224

Robert Laurita
FL
33312

Elizabeth Obrecht
FL
34233

Robert Scobee
3606 Rollingbrook St Clermont FL
34711

Robert Smith
FL
34952

Robert C Turski
FL
32958

Janet Robinson
6391 Toulon Dr Boca Raton FL
33433

Janet Robinson
6391 Toulon Dr. Boca Raton FL
33433

Julie Gschwend
FL
33433

Robert Donly
FL
33004

Sandi Armstrong
FL
34239

Berri Nelson
5077 NE 17th Dr Pompano Beach FL
33064

Tracy Hawes
FL
33428

Harriet Bolin
215 W Minnesota Ave Deland FL
32720

Bonnie Conley
6260 Kipps Colony Ct S Apt 101
Gulfport FL
33707

Mara Hyman
FL
33321

Bonita Knapp
FL
34102

Bonnie Dos Santos
FL
33441

Bonnie Kilgore
7675 Praver Dr E Jacksonville FL
32217

Bonnie Smith
FL
32796

Bonnie Rushing
FL
34102

Navind Boodoo
FL
33308

Denise Prescott
705 NW 42nd Way Deerfield Beach FL
33442

Denise Prescott
FL
33442

John Meissner
FL
33980

Elizabeth Opazo
FL
33417

Charles Borg
15805 Meadow Wood Dr Wellington FL
33414

robert walsh
FL
33317

Steve Bove
804 Charles Blvd. Oldsmar FL
34677

Lynda Boyer
FL
34233

Patty Goode
9191 Edgemont Ln Boca Raton FL
33434

William Paxton
FL
32141

Brad Bleich
FL
33904

Brad Bleich
FL
33904

Brad Bleich
FL
33904

Marilyn bianco
FL
33301

Brenda Jaffe
FL
33483

Brenda Hefty
4636 NW Wandering Oak Ct Jensen Beach
FL
34957

C. Brent England
FL
33460

Brett Frey
739 NE 111th St Biscayne Park FL
33161

Brian Irvin
FL
33947

Brian Ainsley
1227 Pine Needle Ct Altamonte Springs
FL
32714

Brian Kring
3226 Mirella Dr Riviera Beach FL
33404

Brian Mclaughlin
FL
33442

Brian Schoenberg
FL
33467

Bridgette Rodriguez
12630 NW 22nd Ct Miami FL
33167

Susanne Hesse
29003 NW 182nd Ter Alachua FL
32615

Brigette Hein
FL
33139

Brigitte Bernhardt
3821 Lake Saint George Dr Palm
Harbor FL
34684

Brigitte Loper
2924 Antique Oaks Cir Winter Park FL
32792

Brigitte Whitlow
FL
32909

Richard Brimer
FL
34105

Brian Mitchell
1262 Sweetwater Ln Unit 2 Naples FL
34110

Brian Mitchell
FL
33928

Brian Mitchell
22915 Forest Ridge Dr Estero FL
33928

Sabrina Gonzalez
FL
33967

britt laine
FL
33460

Brittany Sweeten
245 SW 5th St Dania Beach FL
33004

Bryan Laudenslager
FL
32084

Carolyn Stankowitz
FL
32084

Carolyn Stankowitz
FL
32084

Bill Kappa
FL
32124

Janet Potenza
FL
33063

Brooke Bennett
3806 52nd Dr W Bradenton FL
34210

James Brooks
FL
32081

Janet Broughton
FL
33418

William Rowe
246 Via Russo Ln Lake Mary FL
32746

Sandra Seaton
FL
33173

Marie Ford
FL
33069

Bruce Bernhart
8531 Macoma Dr NE Saint Petersburg
FL
33702

Robert Starr
FL
33458

Denise Ranieri
FL
33411

Beverly Dodson
382 Rich Bay Rd Havana FL
32333

Barbara Singer
7880 NW 51st St Lauderhill FL
33351

Bobette Stanbridge
FL
34235

Robert Stivler
FL
33027

Ben Stoddart
FL
33327

Barbara Stormes
1722 Nebraska Ave Palm Harbor FL
34683

Jan Dauth
426 3rd St N Jacksonville Beach FL
32250

Rich Maggio
1013 Estremadura Dr Bradenton FL
34209

Barbara Tetro
4306 Holland Grove Way Plant City FL
33567

Bernadette Thibodeau
FL
34104

Becky Trudeau
FL
32128

George Booker
FL
33025

Carl Ott
FL
32940

Janice Moland
2981 164th Ave N Clearwater FL
33760

Thomas Delegal
1895 San Marco Blvd Jacksonville FL
32207

Charles ODonnell
661 S.W. Santa F e Dr. Fort White FL
32038

Brandon Knealing
FL
33441

Heidi Buitron
330 NW 32nd Ct Oakland Park FL
33309

Jonathan Scott
Sea Grape Drive Fort Lauderdale FL
33308

Elinor Lieberman
FL
33176

Curtis Hughes
8211 Via Hermosa Sanford FL
32771

Sondra Kelly
171 Ocean Pines Ter Jupiter FL
33477

adnan duane razack
FL
33139

Arnell Harrison
2411 Bay Blvd Indian Rocks Beach FL
33785

Sheila Mandell
401 E Las Olas Blvd Ste 1400 Fort
Lauderdale FL
33301

Mary Misick
1112 NW 43rd Ter Lauderhill FL
33313

Willie Butts
3 Kings Cir Brooksville FL
34601

Jeanne Johnston
FL
34234

Bryan Watson
1724 Blue Lake Ct Tarpon Springs FL
34689

Barbara Weber
FL
32765

Bennie Woodard
208 E Lake Howard Dr Apt 401 Winter
Haven FL
33881

B Wayne Walker
3313 W Hawthorne Rd Tampa FL
33611

Christina Beaulieu
FL
32703

Byron Perez
FL
33173

Nancy Byron
605 Sabal Lake Dr Apt 101 Longwood FL
32779

Bernadette Zupan
FL
33319

Sarah cook
FL
33946

Chase Canade
1215 N 17th Ct Apt E Hollywood FL
33020

Craig Smith
Magnolia st Tallahassee FL
32301

Carol Nichols
FL
33444

Clifford Trudeau
FL
32128

Rita Caban
FL
33068

Carol Cook
1853 Clearbrooke Dr Clearwater FL
33760

Caren Freigenberg
FL
33467

Steven Reynolds
8140 SW 24th St Apt 309 North
Lauderdale FL
33068

Caitlynn Crawford
FL
34113

C Keller
1023 Canovia Ave Orlando FL
32804

Cheryl Krumrine
FL
33401

Carlos Leo
FL
33019

Richard Welch
FL
34953

Susan Calkins
122 Moorings Park Dr Apt 306 Naples
FL
34105

Cynthia Humphrey
FL
34219

Calvin Hilton
FL
32207

Gayle Ryan
1225 NW 21st St Apt 2002 Stuart FL
34994

Catherine Sternlicht
FL
33428

Camille Phillios
FL
34221

Grant Campbell
2321SW44ST Fort Lauderdale FL
33312

Candace Mcmanus
780 1st St Merritt Island FL
32953

Candace Kane
FL
34990

Donald White
320 Murcott Dr Oviedo FL
32765

Candice Burgess
417 California Dr Mexico Beach FL
32456

Candice Fischer
FL
33472

Sandi Nirenberg
FL
33026

Michele Jacobina
FL
33480

william cannon
1723 indiana st dunedin FL
34698

Erika Capin
PO Box 92 La Crosse FL
32658

Robert Slapinski
FL
34983

Tim Bogle
1701 NE 2nd Ave Delray Beach FL
33444

Catherine Rivera
FL
33418

Carole Hartleb
1430 Duroc Dr Lake Helen FL
32744

Carole Hartleb
FL
32744

Caramarie Bevenour
FL
32901

CARY deVROEDT
FL
32607

Flavio Cardoso
916 NW 23rd Ct Miami FL
33125

Karen Toker
FL
32082

Caren Bar-Zvi
902 Congressional Way Deerfield Beach FL
33442

Charity Baker
3213 Southfield Ln Sarasota FL
34239

Caridad Guanche
FL
34203

Carissa MARTINEZ
FL
33030

carla christianson
FL
32174

Carlos Sio
FL
33411

Colleen Devivo
FL
33076

Carmen Olano
FL
33413

carol blais
FL
33953

Carol Abarbanell
FL
33947

Carol Campos
FL
33184

Carole Springer
FL
33143

Carol Hospador
FL
34209

Carolina Araica
FL
33177

Carolina Armenteros
FL
33912

Caroline McNair
FL
33316

Caroline McNair
FL
33316

Caroline Gonzalez
FL
33624

Caroline Evans
FL
33305

Italia Lillo
2490 SE Marseille St Port Saint Lucie FL
34952

Carol Lonsdale
FL
33445

Carol Drabin
FL
33478

Carol Stephenson
FL
32935

Carolyn Bandklayder
FL
33176

Carolyn M Murphey
PO Box 333 Bokeelia FL
33922

Neena Carouthers
2305 NW 6th St Cape Coral FL
33993

Carrie Louzy
FL
33435

Martin Carrillo
118 SW 27th Ave Miami FL
33135

Carroll Giocondo
FL
32034

Rick O'Brien
5742 Woodmere Lake Circle Naples FL
34112

Caryl Rappaport
FL
33403

Cathy Perry
FL
33428

Catherine Guevarra
FL
32081

Catherine Breheny
235 Boca Ciega Point Blvd N Madeira
Beach FL
33708

Catherine Winston
3434 Blanding Blvd Unit 125
Jacksonville FL
32210

Cathrine Spencer
FL
33472

Cathy Chambers
FL
32926

Cathy Deptula
433 Kensington Lake Cir Brandon FL
33511

Cathy Koch
FL
32819

Cathy Koch
FL
32819

Carmel Severson
FL
33024

Catherine Stevens
215 Rubens Dr Apt E Nokomis FL
34275

christopher austin
FL
34235

Carolyn Borden
FL
32259

Cecilia Behrendt
FL
32952

Abby Earl
1215 Leewood Holw Tallahassee FL
32312

Colleen Bleyenbug
12620 Lake Jovita Blvd Dade City FL
33525

Jonathan Hooks
1634 Sharpe St Port Charlotte FL
33952

Cindy Brown
FL
32937

Christiane Butler
4018 Sandpiper Ct Palm Harbor FL
34684

Connie Calla
FL
33186

Carolyn Helm
8690 Autumn Green Dr Jacksonville FL
32256

Cathyn Cordell
FL
34242

Cynthia Crawford
FL
32935

Cherie Cray
3616 NW 60th Ter Gainesville FL
32606

Catherine A Bakula
4329 Corso Venetia Blvd Venice FL
34293

jackie Blake
FL
34990

Catherine McNamara
2152 Torchwood Dr Orlando FL
32828

Cecilia Gaines-Williams
FL
33196

Pete Vorac
3012 Girvan Dr Land O Lakes FL
34638

Claudia Chester
FL
34207

John Johnston
FL
33183

Celeste Smith
FL
32771

Celia Hirsch
FL
33445

Santiaga Melendez
FL
33405

Claudia Ewald
FL
33168

Chana Fonte
8426 Coral Lake Way Coral Springs FL
33065

Chana Fonte
FL
33065

Cynthia Hartley
7715 Pine Lakes Blvd Port Saint Lucie
FL
34952

Caryn Atkin
FL
33904

Patricia Bannon
1504 Live Oak St New Smyrna Beach FL
32168

V. Chalkle
FL
32164

Nicolas Garces
8818 SW 127th Ter Miami FL
33176

Chandra Gabriel
13149 Moonflower Ct Clermont FL
34711

Chantal Mirabile
2770 Hillcrest Ave Titusville FL
32796

Charlotte Green
FL
32905

Charlene Blake
509 Tumblin Kling Rd Fort Pierce FL
34982

Charles Hickling
7390 SW 109th Path Miami FL
33173

Charles Havlik
FL
32259

Charles Matteson
5005 NW 50th Ct Tamarac FL
33319

Charles Young
FL
33484

Charlene Patenaude
FL
32962

Clare Warner
FL
33956

Stephen Stone
FL
33455

Charles Gilliland
4810 Gamling Lane Orlando FL
32821

Charles Gilliland
FL
32821

Charles Robbins
2858 Marion Ct W, 29 Orange Park FL
32073

Charles Spiller
1564 Parkwood St Jacksonville FL
32207

Manuel Chaviano
FL
33186

Christian Cotton
FL
33458

Jackie Cheek
FL
32082

Frank Mach
FL
33472

Thomas Peterson
FL
32080

Chelee Eaton
FL
34239

Bill West
1908 NW 4th Ave Apt 111 Boca Raton
FL
33432

Zaida Schneider
FL
33186

CHERE HIGH
FL
33458

CHERE HIGH
FL
33458

CHERE HIGH
FL
33458

Cheri Mulhall
FL
32181

cheri riley
FL
33704

April Vaughan
FL
34205

Jimmie Clark
FL
34210

Chey Richmond
3009 E Lee St Pensacola FL
32503

Debbie Wood
2118 Candlewood Ct Middleburg FL
32068

Charles Perez
FL
33319

Charles Perez
FL
33319

Melinda Lovett
4825 Old Bradenton Rd, Apt 1
Satasota FL
34234

Candy Childrey
11055 NW 38th St Coral Springs FL
33065

Andrea Chisari
FL
32780

Chloe Conradi
FL
32792

James Stone
155 S 4th St Santa Rosa Beach FL
32459

Carol Horne
2919 Montfichet Lane Winter Park FL
32792

Charlie Day
4608 W Paul Ave Tampa FL
33611

Chris Rauh
1230 NW 133rd Ave Sunrise FL
33323

Christopher `
FL
32732

Chris Underhill
5409 Seagrape Dr Fort Pierce FL
34982

Christopher Danne
3010 SW 23rd Ter Apt 118 Gainesville FL
32608

Christiaan Petersen
PO Box 66926 St Petersburg FL
33736

Elizabeth La Rocca
FL
33467

Christine Donovan
FL
32790

Christine Donovan
FL
32790

Linda Fowler
13862 Stone Mill Way Tampa FL
33613

Christian Bagshaw
FL
33131

Christina De Armas
6721 SW 157th Ct Miami FL
33193

Christine And Dennis Reilly
3315 Whirl A Way Trl Tallahassee FL
32309

Maryann Benio
343 Davey Rd South Daytona FL
32119

Carl Updike
FL
33069

Carl Updike
FL
33069

Charles Ziegenfuss
FL
33486

Shirley Solis
730 86th St Miami Beach FL
33141

Cyndi Hunt
960 Towhee Rd Tallahassee FL
32305

Frances Danna
6115 Oxbow Bend Ln Port Orange FL
32128

Frances A Danna
FL
32128

Frances A Danna
FL
32128

James Dewey
FL
33172

Anne Henry
4601 66th St W Apt 625B Bradenton FL
34210

Cynthia Wheeler
2675 Valencia Rd Venice FL
34293

Cindy Cox
FL
32128

Cynthia Clemments
1626 Southwind Dr Brandon FL
33510

Cynthia Horton
2402 Pinecrest Dr Lutz FL
33549

Cynthia Horton
2402 Pinecrest Dr Lutz FL
33549

Kathie Moon
1904 Dalecroft Sarasota FL
34235

Cis Hancock
900 River Reach Dr Fort Lauderdale FL
33315

Chrystl James
FL
33136

Carol Bearfield
4307 83rd St W Bradenton FL
34209

Cynthia Iannacone
7630 NW 79th Ave Apt K6 Tamarac FL
33321

Robert & Cindy Webb
FL
32754

John Paul
FL
32086

Cornelia Smele
FL
33980

Claudia Cairns
2208 Pine Park Trl Orlando FL
32817

Claudia Hernandez
FL
33193

Jane Gill
FL
34287

Tom & Carol Davis
2318 11th St Saint Cloud FL
34769

Claudia Parra
FL
33186

Clara Branco
FL
33972

Claris Withrow
FL
32934

Paulette Clarke-Wood
1417 Four Seasons Blvd Tampa FL
33613

Claudia Miranda
221 Morning Glory Dr Lake Mary FL
32746

Claudia Bulcao
FL
33066

Cheryl Calliari
1363 Cottage Grove Rd Tarpon Springs
FL
34689

Carol Collier
1337 Pinebrook Way Venice FL
34285

Wade Collier
FL
34285

Cynthia L Crean
FL
33931

Rene Valiente
FL
33196

Roxana Ballester
FL
33125

Thomas Provost
FL
33073

Clifford I Nomberg, J.D.
FL
33424

Cheryl Norris
14 Huntington Dr Pensacola FL
32506

Corey Piser
114 Pine Creek Ct Ormond Beach FL
32174

Chris Shawyer
6311 Heart Pine Dr Pensacola FL
32504

Cynthia Luster
506 W Noble Ave Lot 44 Bushnell FL
33513

Clyde Beck
1610 NW 118th Ave Pembroke Pines FL
33026

Betty Callander
FL
33177

Marilyn Cekal
452 Date Palm Dr West Palm Beach FL
33403

Debbie Morgan
11431 Nellie Oaks Bnd Clermont FL
34711

Christine Morales
FL
33321

Chrissy Schwar
FL
33980

Carol Smerling
6608 Patio Ln Boca Raton FL
33433

Charles Spinelli
600 SW 68th Blvd Pembroke Pines FL
33023

Claudia Rivera
10456 Burrows St Orlando FL
32832

Carmen Ramirez
2221 Shangri La Ln Tallahassee FL
32303

Claudio Naranjo
PO Box 141404 Miami FL
33114

Celeste Navara
FL
34140

Chanel Harrington
3817 Fairview Cove Ln Apt 103
TampaTampa Tampa FL
33619

Angelique Nelson
FL
33460

Rebecca Straw
2580 62nd Ave S Saint Petersburg FL
33712

Angela Colasanti
FL
33436

Maria V Balias
FL
33903

Maria Victoria Balias
FL
33903

Lasha Wells
6243 3rd Ave S Saint Petersburg FL
33707

Jo York
7346 NW 116th Ln Parkland FL
33076

Colleen Hausman
FL
32953

Fernando Colom
12201 Lepera Ct Orlando FL
32824

Colleen Basham
FL
32935

Tom Combs
FL
34217

Marie J Cameau
2362 Crawford St Fort Myers FL
33901

Christopher Marshall
1807 Rouse Lake Rd Orlando FL
32817

Robert Parkinson
1542 sw 18th terr Fort Lauderdale FL
33312

Susan Connell
FL
32127

Constance White
FL
32796

Bruce Blackwell
5000 SW 25th Blvd., Apt. 2124 Gainesville
FL
32608

Carmen Elisa Bonilla-Jones
545 Yale Rd Venice FL
34293

Tobias Frisch
FL
33418

Arturo Alvarez
9405 NW 41st St Doral FL
33178

Jamie Friend
FL
33024

Paula Jaruse
FL
32955

Corbett Kroehler
5104 Stratemeyer Dr Orlando FL
32839

corinna selby
FL
34286

michael rowe
FL
32139

Cory Miller
FL
33021

Mark Couch
3081 Savona Ct Jacksonville FL
32246

Stephen Courtade
FL
33068

Christopher Pardais
FL
33028

Carmelo Perez
FL
33418

Cheryl Putnam
1715 Mariner Way Tarpon Springs FL
34689

Carol Phipps
FL
34117

Christine Quigley
7725 Pebble Creek Cir. Naples FL
34108

Cheryl Rider
6301 S West Shore Blvd Apt 405
Tampa FL
33616

keith corneille
FL
33408

cindy ray
FL
34232

Jayne Ortiz
3021 Whisper Lake Ln Winter Park FL
32792

Jayne Ortiz
FL
32792

Craig Barthuly
4526 Saddleworth Cir Orlando FL
32826

Connie Reynolds
FL
32707

Carol Hollander
4770 NE 7th Ave Oakland Park FL
33334

Cricket Blanton
FL
32935

Crisrina Rionda
FL
33486

Cristina Albright
1255 Blue Rd Coral Gables FL
33146

Christopher Messersmith
2679 Sabal Springs Cir Apt 205
Clearwater FL
33761

Colleen Mcglone
3540 Hartland Dr New Port Richey FL
34655

Carol Messina
518 Cadiz Dr. Davenport FL
33837

Charlene Rowe
FL
33328

Charlene Rowe
FL
33328

Marizol Cruz
FL
33138

Michael Arenburg
FL
33025

Crystal Sick
FL
32754

Crystal Ferreras
FL
32792

Christine Zack
FL
34994

Susan Alessi
FL
33919

Cheryl Slack
FL
32905

Shirley Spaeth
605 Universe Blvd Apt T707 Juno Beach
FL
33408

Carol Stokrocki
450 SE 7th St Apt 246 Dania FL
33004

Christine Telega
FL
32110

Chrisi Thanos
FL
34986

Covi Lopez
4930 30th Ave SE Naples FL
34117

Abbe Arenson
FL
32773

Linda Kronholm
3653 Lakewood Blvd North Port FL
34287

Carlos Iglesias
400 Glenridge Rd Key Biscayne FL
33149

Curt Nicholson
1301 SW 28th St Ft Lauderdale FL
33315

Deanna Mousaw
7208 Lake Magnolia Dr New Port
Richey FL
34653

Stephanie Miller
FL
33014

Charles Walkoff
FL
34952

Carol Walton
2902 Bay Blvd NE Palm Bay FL
32905

Carole Barrett
583 NE 628th St Old Town FL
32680

Charles Haffey
FL
33322

Calvin Hartman
9243 Beaufort Ct New Port Richey FL
34654

Calvin Hartman
9243 Beaufort Ct New Port Richey FL
34654

Claudia & Charles White
1201 21st Ave W Palmetto FL
34221

Claudia White
FL
34221

Cynthia Thomas-Willis
FL
33319

Candy Wisotsky
21160 Mainsail Cir Apt H13 Aventura FL
33180

Carol Woofter
FL
34212

Julio Andujar
1560 50th St N St Petersburg FL
33710

Sandra Boylston
105 Ventura Dr Sanford FL
32773

Cynthia Odierna
FL
34104

Cynthia Benkert
9188 Independence Way Fort Myers
FL
33913

Cynthia Scothorn
5155 Cleveland Rd Delray Beach FL
33484

Cynthia Scothorn
FL
33484

Carl Zwerling
FL
34984

Carl Zwerling
FL
34984

William Friedland
FL
33321

Debra Lancia
5629 Indiana Ave New Prt Rchy FL
34652

David Urich
3919 McKinley Ave Fort Myers FL
33901

David Urich
3919 McKinley Ave Fort Myers FL
33901

David Urich
FL
33901

Daniel Vezina
FL
34135

Miguel Gimenez
FL
33143

Daniel Alva
4036 Sw 6 Davie FL
33314

Deborah Dean
FL
32060

Stephen Luchs
3490 NW 47th Ave Coconut Creek FL
33063

David Freeman
FL
33909

Patricia Ramos
1108 SE 14th Ct Deerfield Beach FL
33441

Donna Weismantle
FL
33021

Dale Sprintz
3527 Mistletoe Ln Longboat Key FL
34228

Dale Woodburn
3546 Oak Lake Dr Palm Harbor FL
34684

christine daley
FL
33418

Doris Alpern
6012 Medici Ct Sarasota FL
34243

Michael Donoway
FL
34207

Damary Lopez
FL
33155

Damian Futzsimmons
FL
33483

Damien Condo
FL
33408

Dan Brown
2131 SW 25th St Miami FL
33133

Dan McCormic
FL
33538

Dana Lloyd
FL
32084

Dana O'Mara
5753 Valente Pl Sarasota FL
34238

Dan Cross
FL
33312

Dan Hauck
17508 Quincy Ave Port Charlotte FL
33948

Daniel Smith
21701 Asturias Rd Key West FL
33040

Daniela Goncalves
FL
33334

Daniel Clark
562 Joyhaven Drive Sebastian FL
32958

Walter Mendoza
FL
33409

Daniela Puglia
2222 SW 5th St Miami FL
33135

Daniel Morneau
12174 145th Ln Largo FL
33774

Daniel Silvestri
FL
33317

Danny Flores
FL
32127

Dan Phillips
FL
34990

Alejandra Parapar
FL
33149

Alejandra Parapar
FL
33149

Landis Crockett
2964 Lakeview Point Rd Quincy FL
32351

Darcy Wilson
FL
34243

Dario Morell
FL
33184

Darlene Robinson
1120 3rd St Orange City FL
32763

Darlene Zackson
FL
33020

Linda Peckett
FL
33029

D. Ann Saladino
FL
33161

David Lane
FL
32119

Dave Jones
FL
32168

David Kaether
FL
33442

David Karrmann
2870 Sand Castle Ln Jacksonville FL
32233

David Wicker
6942 Phillips Parkway Dr N
Jacksonville FL
32256

David Senn
FL
33189

David Berger
178 Shady Pine Ln Nokomis FL
34275

David Shuff
FL
33432

David Carey-Kearney
157 Bouganvilla Dr Ponte Vedra Beach FL
32082

David Gold
1938 NE 7th Ter Gainesville FL
32609

David Arthur Weinstock
4072 E. Ridgeview Dr. Davie FL
33330

David Griffin
2670 e sunrise Blvd 515 Ft Lauderdale FL
33304

David Hoes
5564 West Hesse Homosassa FL
34448

David Upson
FL
32168

David Upson
FL
32168

Davina Lewin
FL
33060

Craig Davis
FL
33067

Joan Davis
FL
32907

David Wengert
FL
32174

Dawn Baker
FL
33071

dawn moore
FL
32168

Gail Larkin
FL
33433

Dawn Sierra
FL
33174

Dawn Scire
FL
34238

Brian Day
FL
32955

Don Eckert
FL
34108

David Bates
2801 Florida Ave Apt 418 Miami FL
33133

David Beard
FL
33950

Derek Blackshire
FL
32082

Dale Blake
4008 W Rogers Ave TAMPA FL
33611

Dale Blake
4008 W Rogers Ave TAMPA FL
33611

Debra Messer
843 22nd Pl Vero Beach FL
32960

Debra Mrsser
FL
32960

Elliot Shamis
825 N 3rd Ave Deltona FL
32725

Dorthe Carmignani
FL
34210

Donna Carroll
1119 Little Spring Hill Dr Ocoee FL
34761

Dorothee Custer
1775 S. Merrimac Dr Merritt Island FL
32952

David Barco
1861 NW 37th Ave Miami FL
33125

David Hancock
3140 Mary St Miami FL
33133

Janice Miller
FL
32773

Diana F Colardi
FL
33418

Daniel Cropp
5180 E Sabal Palm Blvd Apt 232 Tamarac
FL
33319

Daryl Wilson
FL
33901

Dwight Gilbert
1799 N Highland Ave Apt H119
Clearwater FL
33755

Dyala Corrales
FL
33193

Dawn Kuhns
FL
33060

Diana Mcnair
14979 Hawksmoor Run Cir Orlando FL
32828

Dean Lashbrook
3384 Bimini Ave Hollywood FL
33026

Dean Goodman
625 SW 15th Ave Fort Lauderdale FL
33312

Marlon Miller
315 SW 43rd Ter Gainesville FL
32607

Debra Cook
FL
32904

Debra Kohn
FL
33446

Joanne Debartolo
FL
34207

Debbie Hunt
12554 Waterhaven Cir Orlando FL
32828

Debbie Brashears
FL
32011

Deborah Stone
FL
33433

Debbie King
1988 Fiesta Ridge Ct Tampa FL
33604

Debbie Thompson
FL
33322

Debbie Zarr
FL
33437

Deb Chapin
FL
32082

Debi Mohan
DEBI Mohanyahoo Ca Miami FL
33199

Debra Leigh
FL
33901

Deborah Longman-Marien
1861 Long Iron Dr. #1105 Rockledge FL
32955

Deborah Moore
FL
34898

Doug Brantley
FL
33139

Debra Rivera
3370 Beau Rivage Dr Apt K7 Pompano
Beach FL
33064

Deb Russell
571 Juniper Pl Wellington FL
33414

Debra Thomas
FL
32115

David Coffey
FL
33186

Mary Decunzo
FL
34951

Donna Coles
FL
33063

Olga Gonzalez
1655 W 44th Pl Apt 210 Hialeah FL
33012

Olga Gonzalez
FL
33012

Dayana Avila
14933 SW 59th St Miami FL
33193

Dee Zimmerman
FL
33446

Diana Owens
1382 Oakford Rd Sarasota FL
34240

Robert Degara
FL
33304

Andreia Figueiredo
FL
33442

Delaney Imar
9361 SW 192nd Dr Cutler Bay FL
33157

Isidro Delcampo
FL
33313

Gregory Delozier
FL
32958

Brian Del Rey
22 Lee Dr Saint Augustine FL
32080

Delia Romero
FL
33185

Pablo Espejo
FL
32725

Donna Elwood
FL
34233

Dena Tribbett
617 Narvaezi Street Venice FL
34285

Dene Decandio
310 S Dixie Hwy Apt 1 West Palm Beach FL
33401

Denise Fox
FL
33315

Denise White
FL
34997

Dennis Branse
4970 E Sabal Palm Blvd Apt 102 Tamarac
FL
33319

Rose Branse
4970 E Sabal Palm Blvd Apt 102
Tamarac FL
33319

Dennis Branse
FL
33319

denise williams
FL
34222

Derek Markulin
76 Club House Dr E Freeport FL
32439

Derick Rothbeck
401 Date Palm Dr Lake Worth FL
33461

Francis Laluna
4217 Oakhurst Cir E Sarasota FL
34233

Deryk Davidson
1017 NW 105th Ave Plantation FL
33322

Desiree Barreto
FL
33324

susan johnson
1456 arnold dr. melbourne FL
32935

Nimai Griffith
13009 NW 151st Rd Alachua FL
32615

D Faust
FL
33426

Donald Foster
FL
34205

Dorothy Altman
109 Saint Andrews Rd Hollywood FL
33021

Tara Grimes
1150 Hamilton Ave Orange City FL
32763

David Gundersen
FL
32955

Deborah Donnison
FL
32931

David Hamera
FL
33919

Donna Haver
FL
33413

Donna Haver
FL
33413

Dorothy Carlson
2525 Gulf of Mexico Dr Unit 13E
Longboat Key FL
34228

Dwayne Hale
155 Aquarina Blvd Melbourne Beach FL
32951

Don Hess
1818 Madero Dr The Villages FL
32159

Dan Hoffman
FL
33435

Deborah Howe
FL
32819

Denise Howell
FL
33912

Angie Marrero
FL
33161

Diana Ruiz
FL
33009

Alina Green
FL
33015

Diane Frazier
FL
32903

Diane Lombardi
904 W Cherry St Kissimmee FL
34741

Diane Shackelford
FL
33472

Diane Reus
5300 S Atlantic Ave Apt 17504 New
Smyrna Beach FL
32169

Diane Williams
7951 58th Ave N Unit 103 Saint Petersburg
FL
33709

Diane Sands
FL
32187

Relman R Diaz
1220 SW 73 Ct Miami FL
33144

Constance DiCandia
FL
33024

Richard Hooker
241 NE 16th Pl Apt 204 Ft Lauderdale
FL
33305

Diane Decker
FL
33063

diego cadena
FL
33139

David Byrne
FL
33908

Diane Goldberg
6470 NW Volucia Dr Port St Lucie FL
34986

Diane Hulser
331 108th St W Bradenton FL
34209

Diana Montane
FL
32118

Diana Montane
FL
32118

Diane Miller
405 S 9th St Leesburg FL
34748

Dino Joannides
FL
34135

Diana Reid
FL
33948

joyce schwartz
486 northwestern ave altamonte springs
FL
32714

Debbie Sierchio
1429 Canberley Ct Trinity FL
34655

bruce white
FL
33062

Curtis Cole
FL
33334

Dara Errichetti
FL
33176

donna Morrison
FL
33463

Donald Porter
FL
34242

Douglas Jones
5020 Clark Rd 223 Sarasota FL
34233

Yip Ko Yuen
Floor 2, No.7 Wing Hing Street Tin Hau
FL
852

donna suresch
4077 n hatchet cir beverly hills FL
34465

donna suresch
FL
34465

Daisy Lahullier
FL
33012

d bond
FL
32976

Dotty Benton
FL
32164

Diane De Hay
FL
33332

Diane De Hay
FL
33332

darrell dunagan
FL
34990

Dena Gross Leavengood
3007 W Chapin Ave Tampa FL
33611

D Gable
1907 Sylva Lakeland FL
33803

Debbie Kaufman
3630 Siena Cir Wellington FL
33414

David Lewin
FL
33445

Irene Lloyd
8232 Alderman Rd Jacksonville FL
32211

Diane Lohr
7665 Sun Island Dr S Apt 303 South
Pasadena FL
33707

Dorothea Mullen
FL
33328

Drew Martin
720 Lucerne Ave Unit 1396 Lake Worth FL
33460

Christine Hemphill
FL
33460

Diane Martens
229 E Amelia St Orlando FL
32801

Diana Fisher
329 Evergreen Ave Niceville FL
32578

Diana Merson
500 W Airport Blvd Apt 408 Sanford FL
32773

David Miner
2539 Bispham Rd Sarasota FL
34231

Diane Killane
FL
34946

Michelle Collins
FL
32780

Dan Moriarty
FL
33483

Darryl Rutz
670 SW 67th Ave Pembroke Pines FL
33023

Donna Weeks
FL
33040

Deborah Nardi
FL
34207

Lynn Pierce
FL
32907

David Oakley
FL
32725

Ivy Brown
FL
33455

Terry Shetler
3713 Iroquois Ave Sarasota FL
34234

Gordon Kelly
FL
34983

William Siff
1255 N Gulfstream Ave Apt 604
Sarasota FL
34236

Cecile Gelb
FL
33952

Jonathan Hartman
3150 N Course Ln Pompano Beach FL
33069

Dolores Betancourt
FL
33185

kathy zinck
FL
32976

Bonnie Hicks
FL
34953

Glenda Beard
FL
32955

Donald Freedland
12623 Coral Lakes Dr Boynton Beach FL
33437

Don Margeson
320 62nd Ave NE St Petersburg FL
33702

Donna Sutton
21717 Baccarat Ln Unit 102 Estero FL
33928

Donald Ediger
2930 Day Ave Miami FL
33133

Eleanor Parisi-Shaw
1906 Hawaii Ave NE Saint Petersburg FL
33703

Donald Shaw
1906 Hawaii Ave NE Saint Petersburg
FL
33703

Donald Kotecki
FL
33908

Donna Lowry
FL
34212

Donnalea Needham
1550 Gay Rd Apt 517 Winter Park FL
32789

DonnaLea Needham
1550 #517 Gay Rd Winter Park FL
32789

Donna Plotkin
FL
33411

Donna Plotkin
203 Lake Susan Lane West Palm Beach
FL
33411

Diana Donovan
FL
34209

Donald Smith
FL
32905

Craig Dopp
106 Freeport Ln Palm Coast FL
32137

Raymond Shea
FL
33908

Doreen Feingersch
FL
33071

Dorlise O'Hara
FL
33428

Dorothy Nichols
FL
34983

Danielle Orr
FL
32950

Doris Stanley
1733 Lakemont Ave Orlando FL
32814

Kelli Johnson
5419 Kennedy Hills Dr Seffner FL
33584

Dorothy LeCompte
FL
33458

Dorothy LeCompte
FL
33458

Dorothy Valone
FL
32084

Jan Dougherty
307 Hidden Hollow Ct Sanford FL
32773

Doug Campbell
10206 SW 58th St Cooper City FL
33328

Andrea Afanador
FL
34239

Deborah Stewart
FL
33066

David Parrett
FL
32118

Donna Peiffer
FL
33325

Donald Shockey
95 NW 41st St Miami FL
33127

Deevon Quirolo
222 E Liberty St Brooksville FL
34601

Doris Sadow
FL
33467

John Iгла
4237 Midland Rd Sarasota FL
34231

Dianna Hamilton
6761 San Souci Rd Jacksonville FL
32216

Maria Ciorca
FL
34203

Krystal Osborne
2709 riviera dr Titusville FL
32780

Elizabeth Bowman
FL
34240

Cori Carlton
106 25th St NW Bradenton FL
34205

Sheila Curren
FL
33141

Donna Ream
1026 11th St N Saint Petersburg FL
33705

Shakeh Grady
FL
33129

Donna And David Redisch
11817 Derbyshire Dr Tampa FL
33626

Donna Padula
FL
32909

James Macool
765 Douglas Ave Altamonte Springs FL
32714

Karin Figueroa
7330 Woodbriar Ct Orlando FL
32835

Martha Martinez
FL
33025

Mary Snow
628 Vermont Ave Daytona Beach FL
32118

Ettienne Fuentes Jr.
4320 SW 108th Ave Miami FL
33165

Virginia duffy
FL
33908

Victoria Barlow
FL
32940

Duane Sebesta
817 San Remo Dr Weston FL
33326

Donna Selquist
10530 SW Waterway Ln Port St Lucie
FL
34987

David Simms
715 N L St Apt 5 Lake Worth FL
33460

David Simms
FL
33460

David Smith
11068 Frigate Bird Ave Weeki Wachee
FL
34613

Donna Nager
4750 S Ocean Blvd Highland Beach FL
33487

David Sterling
978 English Town Ln # 2 Winter Springs
FL
32708

DIANE TARTAGLIA
FL
32750

Dakota Avila
FL
34953

Alicia Calloway
800 NE 45th Pl Ocala FL
34479

Dulce Manzini
9424 SW 140th Ct Miami FL
33186

Mary Talmadge
FL
33155

Diane Uhley
FL
32224

Jonathan Steele
401 SW 4th Ave Fort Lauderdale FL
33315

Jonathan Steele
FL
33315

Steve Simmons
184 SE 3rd St Satellite Beach FL
32937

jorge j tamargo
FL
33165

Daniel Walton
2564 Colorado St Sarasota FL
34237

Diana Ward
2401 41st St N Saint Petersburg FL
33713

Donald Williams
17 Bogart Place Merritt Island FL
32953

David Montgomery
FL
32907

Douglas Woerschling
FL
33414

Carina Wonn
FL
34232

Donna Yeubanks
FL
32907

Estella Hadley
FL
33313

Ellen Schorr
8731 NW 19th St Pembroke Pines FL
33024

Elizabeth Bayer
17745 Gulf Blvd Apt 502 Redingtn Shor FL
33708

Emily Nelson
9340 Mandrake Ct Tampa FL
33647

Elizabeth Cherubin
821 La Jolla Ave Sun City Center FL
33573

Robin Randolph
FL
32034

Stephanie Gaspar
1011 Delaware Ave Kissimmee FL
34744

Eric Ashley
5365 NE 1st Ter Oakland Park FL
33334

Maria Poiani
301 N Atlantic Ave Apt 401 Cocoa Beach FL
32931

Jerry Bellamy
8900 First Tee Rd Port Saint Lucie FL
34986

William Barragan
FL
33030

Esther Johnson
508 E Ventura Ave Clewiston FL
33440

Erick Matos
FL
32926

Eddie Bryan
FL
32609

eugenia bushmich
FL
34286

Andrea Kanter
FL
33067

Andrea Kanter
FL
33067

Eric Durham
33725 Sickler Dr Dade City FL
33523

Elisabeth Hoffman
FL
33431

Brenda Mora
FL
33190

Ken Gunther
FL
33478

Jon Clark
FL
34287

Dean Ruscoe
1717 Primrose Ct Port St Lucie FL
34952

Dean Ruscoe
FL
34952

Dean Ruscoe
FL
34952

EDWARD MURRAY
FL
32750

Ed Jackson
FL
32033

Emma Daughtry
660 NW 147th St North Miami FL
33168

Edward Day
3227 Socorro Ave Orlando FL
32829

Edward Tedtmann
FL
33426

Edgar Cabral
PO Box 191721 Miami Beach FL
33119

Steven Edmonds
PO Box 656 Inglis FL
34449

Elizabeth Brown
121 SW 2nd Ave Hallandale Beach FL
33009

Eddie Driest
FL
34286

E Rubio
2221 Cypress Island Dr Pompano
Beach FL
33069

Eddie Alvarez
FL
34952

Edwin J Vivas
FL
33068

Lynn Eland
103 Los Arbor Dr DeLand FL
32724

Efrain Hernandez
FL
33177

Eric Gardze
FL
32953

Esther Garvett
10431 SW 143rd Ave Miami FL
33186

teena ege
FL
33063

Gary Usinger
FL
32708

Eileen Fitzpatrick
FL
32968

Eileen Valachovic
2332 Martha Dr Lake Alfred FL
33850

Elliott Brecht
1000 W Horatio St Apt 217 Tampa FL
33606

Erma Layne
FL
34984

Eva-lynn DellaGuardia
FL
32725

orestes bello
FL
33183

Vernon Weaver
4005 Easy St Southport FL
32409

Elena Darden
FL
34231

Jorge Tormes
FL
33155

Francisco Rodriguez
FL
33143

Elisha Blizzard
1195 9th street Okeechobee FL
34974

Cecilia Fiad
FL
33141

Elizabeth Gallardo
14789 SW 180th St Miami FL
33187

Elizabeth Cano
FL
33174

Elizabeth Lopez
471 Sanford Ave Longwood FL
32750

Ella McRae
18406 Hancock Bluff Rd Dade City FL
33523

Ella Miletic
FL
33126

Emma Meehan
6885 20th St Apt 280 Vero Beach FL
32966

Ellen Gold
6676 Windsor Ln Miami Beach FL
33141

Linda Bailey
26 N Federal Highway Dania Beach FL
33004

Elaine Leas
FL
33415

Mary Alexander
FL
34275

Elizabeth Mejia
FL
33174

Elizabeth Mejia
FL
33174

Ann Marie
FL
34981

Emilia Kosonen
FL
32816

Emily Sagovac
14913 Paddock Dr Wellington FL
33414

Mary Jane Damavandi
FL
33321

Emma Johnson
FL
34990

Anne Bernt
FL
33312

Edward Morales
6800 Bird Rd Miami FL
33155

Amber Campbell
FL
33020

Esther Prexl
7000 NW 94th Ter Tamarac FL
33321

David Sjostrom
100100 Overseas Hwy Unit 37054 Key
Largo FL
33037

Ernest Wohlbold
FL
32953

Ernest Wohlbold
FL
32953

joann athas
FL
32129

herb levin
FL
33954

Michael Richardson
3907 W Cass St Tampa FL
33609

Elizabeth Pavone
2320 West Azeele Street Tampa FL
33609

Elizabeth Lamers
2088 Vision Ct The Villages FL
32163

Paul Epperson
FL
34983

Paul Epperson
FL
34983

Edward Rowell
51 NE 165th Ter Williston FL
32696

Larry Porta
FL
33324

Elena Rhodes
2490 SW 14th Dr Apt 33 Gainesville FL
32608

Robert Magnus
FL
33431

Eric Fuchs
8065 Brindisi Ln Boynton Beach FL
33472

Erica Davey
FL
33064

Eric Magelssen
4636 NW Wandering Oak Ct Jensen Beach
FL
34957

Eric Dickson
FL
33021

Eric Jacobs
FL
33143

Eric Streett
4924 Tyler St Hollywood FL
33021

Erie Santiago
95120 Windflower Trl Fernandina
Beach FL
32034

Sheryl Groenenberg
3727 Beech Tree Dr Orlando FL
32835

Erik Sorensen
FL
33156

Erin Smith
FL
34759

Erinn Gilson
2796 Downing St Jacksonville FL
32205

Ermine Owenby
817 Elizabeth Dr Tallahassee FL
32303

Ernie Cosenza
11 Slatington Pl Palm Coast FL
32164

Erica Schwarz
1040 Homewood ave melbourne FL
32940

Erika Rodamer
FL
32927

Mark Grzegorzewski
1952 Laughing Gull Ln W Apt 1414
Clearwater FL
33762

Karen Estel
PO Box 322 Land O Lakes FL
34639

Esti Allina-Turnauer
FL
33324

Eric Tochterman
14216 Puffin Ct Clearwater FL
33762

Emily Valdes
25374 SW 108th Ct Homestead FL
33032

Evetette Hensley, iii
FL
34210

Barbara Evans
FL
34229

Barbara Evans
FL
34229

Eve Parente
FL
32139

Evgenia Vyatchanin
501 SW 75th St Gainesville FL
32607

Jose Gonzalez
FL
32738

Evelyn Gonzalez
FL
33156

Eve Fetzek
7600 15th Ave NW Bradenton FL
34209

Jonelle Kop
88500 Overseas Hwy Apt 110
Tavernier FL
33070

Jonelle Kop
FL
33070

Katherine Hunter
2773 S Oklnd Forst Dr Apt 201 Oakland
Park FL
33309

Fadel Fahs
FL
33428

Florence Cowan
FL
33947

Dorothy Kirkpatrick
2300 NW 23rd Ave Apt 109 Boynton
Beach FL
33436

Fred Burgess
3482 Trevino Cir Titusville FL
32780

Frank J Auer
FL
33165

Tim Walters
FL
33484

M M
FL
33176

Fay Gauster
504 E Call St Tallahassee FL
32301

Fay Bracken
770 109th Ave N Naples FL
34108

Dennis Clawson
FL
32765

Frederick J Corbett
118 E 8th St Chuluota FL
32766

Emilie Johnsen
FL
33324

Felix deBruin
FL
33305

Felicia Sunderland
FL
34135

Sondra Sparapani
FL
32145

Fernando Vazquez
FL
33172

Antonio Marin
FL
33174

Laura Ferrin Picun
FL
33022

Frank Fojtik
5220 Brittany Dr S Apt 702 St
Petersburg FL
33715

Felicia Frestan
FL
32128

Frank Horowitz
FL
33073

David Wiinikainen
FL
32081

Barbara Hauck
10912 124th Ave Largo FL
33778

Phil Roberts
4215 42nd Way West Palm Beach FL
33407

Scott Finamore
3122 W Higgins Pl Citrus Springs FL
34433

Jim Keys
9647 SW 147th Ct Miami FL
33196

Aimee Imami
FL
34758

JoAnn Schurmann
FL
32176

Monica Firely
1236 13th St Apt C Sarasota FL
34236

Karen Black
FL
33325

Debbie Fisher
FL
34997

Elia Sloat
2701 Bass Lake Blvd Orlando FL
32806

Frank Perez
4511 W Brookwood Dr Tampa FL
33629

Karen Schweizer
FL
33908

Jennifer Alpiste
FL
33162

Steven Reid
7909 Venture Center Way Boynton
Beach FL
33437

Tracy Cavaretta
FL
32080

Courtney Fallon
FL
33436

Phill Baker
1634 NE 7th Ave Fort Lauderdale FL
33305

Melissa Sheotes
FL
33418

Michele Masso
FL
33470

Gini Longarzo
FL
34288

Jennifer Hofslie
FL
32084

James Meyer
FL
33460

Fae Mansfield
2171 43rd St SW Naples FL
34116

Nan McFerren
FL
32744

Florian Schofer
FL
34232

Horst Stapelfeldt
3525 SE 1st Ave Cape Coral FL
33904

Lynn Hafter
18545 NW 23rd Ct Miami Gardens FL
33056

Judith Klar
4104 N Myrtle Ave Tampa FL
33603

Helen Scott
FL
33408

Valerie Robbin
730 Palermo Ave Coral Gables FL
33134

Debbie Rhodes
FL
32812

F Richbourg
FL
34243

Suzanne Jacobs
5025 Glenville Dr Boynton Beach FL
33437

Carlos Contreras
1926 Queen Ave Sebring FL
33875

Lynn Leverett
7604 SW 178th Ter Palmetto Bay FL
33157

Frank Mazuca
5510 Old Scott Lake Rd Lakeland FL
33813

Frank Mcneil
7414 Rosewood Cir BOCA RATON FL
33487

Frank McNeil
7414 Rosewood Cir Boca RaTON FL
33487

Francis Ouellette
FL
33442

Yvonne Eatley
10 NW 203rd Ter Apt D18 Miami FL
33169

Maria Andal
8219 Kensington Sq Jacksonville FL
32217

Michael Jones
373 Springdale Dr Altamonte Springs
FL
32714

Traci Fox
FL
34984

Kathleen Roberts
FL
34488

Peter Homan
12535 SE 143rd Ct Ocklawaha FL
32179

Felicia Plastini
1 Karren Pl Palm Coast FL
32164

Frances Berntson
FL
32117

Frances Berntson
FL
32117

Francina Johnson
FL
32117

Charlene Francis
FL
32091

Franco Agnello
FL
33460

Francisco R Gonzalez
FL
32738

Francisco R Gonzalez
FL
32738

Fran Wilensky
FL
33484

Pegi Gleason
FL
32951

Francisco J Auer
FL
33165

Franklin Hamilton
701 McIntyre Ave Winter Park FL
32789

Frank Escalona
16503 NW 9th Ct Pembroke Pines FL
33028

Frank Gallart
FL
33021

Frances Harris
901 Williams Ditch Rd Cantonment FL
32533

Francesco Tarsitano
FL
32127

Frank Bodine
12629 Rockridge Cir Thonotosassa FL
33592

Patricia Linhoff
3901 Windward Passage Cir Bonita
Springs FL
34134

Lee Brockmann
FL
34238

Cynthia Freeman
FL
33141

Karen Heesch
1826 Rowland Dr Odessa FL
33556

Dorothy Fritz
FL
33411

Fritzie Gaccione
FL
33154

Ed Trujillo
FL
33020

Joe Staiger
3225 Whidden Loop Rd Immokalee FL
34142

Julia Pasinski
FL
33405

Fidel Arbolaez
5550 Highlands Vista Cir Lakeland FL
33812

Zack Stoyshich
FL
32962

Jeremy Pyle
720 Ardmore Rd West Palm Beach FL
33401

Marion Geiges
4233 Monroe St Hollywood FL
33021

Mona Hoag
FL
32940

David Patnaude
6098 NW 193rd St Micanopy FL
32667

Gary Howland
FL
34275

Gail Flanders
2509 Indian Mound Trl Coral Gables FL
33134

GAIL STERN
90 S HIGHLAND AVE TARPON SPRINGS
FL
34689

gail buchanan
FL
33157

Gail Comtois
FL
32905

Gail Mcrobie
FL
32963

Gail Murphy
FL
33315

Gail Stewart-Iles
1 Sweet St Rockledge FL
32955

Frances Galasso
3609 Somerville Dr Sarasota FL
34232

Gale Oppenberg
6742 Osage Cir West Palm Beach FL
33413

Suzanne Gallipeau
FL
34982

Gail Lopez
FL
33026

George Ammatuna
FL
32765

Karen Feinen
FL
33905

Garie Blackwell
FL
33315

Gary Heldenmuth
FL
33181

Gary Heldenmuth
FL
33181

Melissa Gaskins
5785 Saint Joe Rd Tallahassee FL
32311

Sue Canada
2299 Heritage Dr Titusville FL
32780

Annamay Waldman
4165 Gator Trace Villas Cir Fort Pierce FL
34982

Craig Shirley
FL
32926

Gayle Rogalski
FL
33484

Gayle Rogalski
FL
33484

Gay Markham
FL
34990

Cindy Araya
18311 SW 113th Ave Miami FL
33157

R Fox
Featherstone Sarasota FL
34238

Gabe Bruno
1430 Circle Ln Chuluota FL
32766

Gregory Brown
5151 Collins Ave Apt 719 Miami Beach
FL
33140

Gina Mondazze
3909 Taft St Hollywood FL
33021

Gabriel de la Iglesia
FL
33174

Gabriel de la Iglesia
FL
33174

Gregory Dudley
1410 Oak Forest Dr Ormond Beach FL
32174

willmon edwards
FL
32935

Lauren Silver
FL
32169

Carol Fogarty
1223 David Dr Daytona Beach FL
32117

Donald Gilreath
19551 E Levy St Williston FL
32696

Gene Margaritondo
9683 CR 671 Bushnell FL
33513

Genette McKnight
FL
32136

Genicarmen Noble
13594 Chatsworth Village Dr
Wellington FL
33414

George V. & Linda M. Willilams
13528 Woodside Dr Hudson FL
34667

Geoffery Greenfeld
3601 69th Way N St Petersburg FL
33710

George Speese
1735 Brantley Rd Fort Myers FL
33907

George Root
311 57th Ave S St Petersburg FL
33705

George Diner
FL
33160

George Speers
FL
33907

Georgina Fernandez
FL
33181

Gayle Pryor
705 E Lakeshore Dr Ocoee FL
34761

Gerard Damiano
FL
33916

Geri Gallagher
1621 NE South St Jensen Beach FL
34957

Geri Collecchia
1461 Lacosta Dr E Pembroke Pines FL
33027

Jean Germain
FL
34231

Gerry Kinyoun
2560 62nd Ave N Lot 349 St
Petersburg FL
33702

Christi Gray
13440 Heron Cove Dr Orlando FL
32837

Gerry Vergason
FL
33141

Gloria Bell
7835 Exeter Blvd E Tamarac FL
33321

George Sigler
1144 SW 28th Ave Boynton Beach FL
33426

Gerald Robbins
FL
33919

Teresa Mitchell-Grein
815 N Glenwood Ave Clearwater FL
33755

Lisa Prescott
6310 Green Rd Lakeland FL
33810

Suzanne Sloss
5212 SW 24th Pl Cape Coral FL
33914

Gianna Krstic
FL
33070

giannelli munoz
FL
33135

Linda Gibson
1515 Lake Dr Delray Beach FL
33444

Regina Coffin
FL
34997

Glynis Gladden
FL
34953

Gillian Miller
FL
33165

Gillian Miller
FL
33165

Gina Chillemi
501 Augusta Cir St Augustine FL
32086

VIRGINIA Crane
FL
32176

Elizabeth Bates
FL
32935

Virginia Pecknold
FL
33021

Virginia Wilson
FL
33063

Carol McDonald
FL
33157

Gisel Santos
FL
32766

Giselle Shamis
FL
33024

Greg Jacobs
FL
33064

Gail Buswell
FL
33947

George DiPiero
FL
33332

Gladys Giraldo
900 Scenic Hwy Apt 71 Pensacola FL
32503

Michael Stasko
FL
32174

Glee Biery
8149 NW 25th Ln Gainesville FL
32606

glenn carretta
FL
33957

Glennis Smith
1407 Magnolia Cir E Jacksonville FL
32211

Glenn Reese
3123 NW 23rd Ave Miami FL
33142

Gloria Donn
FL
33321

Gloria Donn
FL
33321

Gloria Donn
FL
33321

Gloria Stacholy
1254 Andalusia Ave Coral Gables FL
33134

Gloria Restrepo Beaux
FL
32713

Gloria Collins
FL
34232

Glory Cato
444 Cardinal Ave Fort Walton Beach FL
32548

Gloria Stein
FL
33437

Gloria Montes
FL
33143

George Pestik
FL
33955

Geraldine Lesperance
102 Cranes Lake Dr Ponte Vedra Beach FL
32082

Gail Mitchell
FL
32118

George Mackison
200 Leslie Dr Apt 430 Hallandale
Beach FL
33009

Robert Scott
373 Winding Path Dr Ponte Vedra FL
32081

Cesar Gonzalez
FL
33183

Golnaz Jalilvand
FL
33196

Elsy Shallman
17294 37th Pl N Loxahatchee FL
33470

Marta Gonzalez
12038 SW 210 Street Miami FL
33177

Ann Fisher
5028 SW Elk River Ct Palm City FL
34990

Gordon Price
FL
33162

Gordon Scott
1516 S Fairfield Dr Pensacola FL
32507

Amanda Gordon
828 Lighthouse Cv Sanford FL
32773

Amanda Gordon
FL
32773

Amanda Gordon
828 Lighthouse Cove Sanford FL
32773

Amanda Gordon
FL
32773

Terrill Symons
FL
34222

Tami Redi
1220 N 15th Ct Hollywood FL
33020

Gicele Perna
FL
34983

Gerald Brosseau
FL
34232

Charles Ferrari
FL
34243

G Pogel
460 Petersburg Ter Plantation FL
33325

Gabrielle Puccini
FL
33401

Gerry Quintero
FL
33189

David Tinsley
2370 NE 14th Ter Pompano Beach FL
33064

Grace Monaco
8950 NE 8th Ave Apt 302 Miami FL
33138

Grace Morrell
FL
33980

Grace Wong
FL
33023

Donna Pemberton
FL
32926

George Radell
8910 SW 67th Ave Miami FL
33156

Peggy Nichols
FL
33527

Grace YOUNG
FL
34233

Charlene Walker
3711 S 56th Ter Greenacres FL
33463

Chuck Ferrari
917 Ell Way Sarasota FL
34243

Stacey Menendez
2729 NW 63rd Place Gainesville FL
32653

Mr. Matt Ryan
FL
33334

Chelsea Fields
3207 Saddlebrook Ave. Tampa FL
33618

Gabriella Perez
FL
33317

Kerstin Green
9431 Live Oak Pl Apt 208 Davie FL
33324

Anna Greer
FL
33428

Greg Lowe
FL
33325

Grissobelle Reyes-Obando
15728 SW 139th St Miami FL
33196

Grey Perna
FL
34896

George Rojas
FL
33027

Debbie Griffin
9524 Crown Prince Ln Windermere FL
34786

Matt Griffin
9524 Crown Prince Ln Windermere FL
34786

Randy Griffith
FL
32174

Rain Ingraham-Spinner
FL
34787

Gus Rojas
FL
33018

Martin McCormick
FL
34972

Eugene Smenos
1704 Pelican Cove Rd Sarasota FL
34231

Scott Jon
FL
32931

Gerald Stevens
FL
32127

James Archer
FL
32960

Gail Grainger
FL
34135

Whitney Butler
9616 NW 7th Circle Apt 1634 Plantation FL
33324

Nestor Gutierrez
FL
33145

Colleen Mclaughlin
FL
34117

gustavo panesso
FL
33196

Graciela Verbil
FL
34235

Lynn Gaudette
650 Bayou Dr Casselberry FL
32707

Gwen Fannings
FL
32905

George Schuster
4570 Temple St Cocoa FL
32926

Gary Yates
FL
33460

larry lesser
FL
33436

wendy Wieser
FL
32736

Harriet Mathis
3070 NE 39th St Fort Lauderdale FL
33308

Erik Melear
2406 Carlton Dr Orlando FL
32806

Mike Gibaldi
FL
33140

James Stalls
1745 18th St Niceville FL
32578

Tara Tanaka
4797 Lakely Dr Tallahassee FL
32303

Michael Callinan
FL
34207

George Haff
14 Elmwood Pl Mims FL
32754

Michelle Hagan
3333 Skywagon Dr Crestview FL
32536

Gary Hagermann
FL
33326

Harry Jarrell
525 Wexford Dr Venice FL
34293

Hal Boylan
12026 W Bayshore Dr Crystal River FL
34429

Jane Henrich
FL
33035

Halimah Polk
5240 Nesting Way Apt D Delray Beach
FL
33484

Marlene Hamilton
FL
33323

Catherine Hudson
28062 35th Path Branford FL
32008

Hannah Hill
FL
32601

Hans VanHeuveln
FL
33161

Jim Hanson
1271 Via Capri Winter Park FL
32789

Gary Nyerick
225 Via Villagio Hypoluxo FL
33462

Robert Carlton
FL
34217

Krismas Sparks
1890 Calmar St NW Palm Bay FL
32907

Jack Hogan
132 Gingerwood Ct Melbourne FL
32940

Harlie Mountain
FL
32963

Harold Aylsworth
FL
33328

Harriet Roberts
FL
33327

Harry Geye
359 Porta Rosa Cir Saint Augustine FL
32092

Barbara Nailler
FL
32082

Pamela Haun
FL
33328

E Downes
FL
34240

Jennifer Smith
3903 W 19th St Panama City FL
32405

Haydee Garcia
FL
33138

Brenda Hayden
FL
32935

Brenda Hayden
FL
32935

Holly Berline
FL
33304

Harriet Bialkin
12825 Cloverdale Ln Clermont FL
34711

Harvey Lillywhite
3020 NW 10th Pl Gainesville FL
32605

Harriet Boggi
6838 Toland Dr Apt 201 Melbourne FL
32940

Carla Hayden
6742 Hikina Dr North Port FL
34287

Harry Cunningham III
7423 Valrie Ln Riverview FL
33569

Clinton Archambault
FL
33149

Elisabeth Fritsch
FL
33410

Summer Devlin
330 Kenzel Ct Merritt Island FL
32953

Summer Devlin
FL
32953

Harvey Metzger
11699 Briarwood Cir Boynton Beach FL
33437

Denise Healey
FL
33922

Larissa Koloboff
FL
34994

Julie Hearn
FL
32038

Heather Braut
1435 Kiwi Ct Punta Gorda FL
33950

Gina Spencer
FL
33020

Mariana Aguirre
FL
33015

Heide Freed
FL
32086

Margarethe Abbott
11615 SW 97th Ave Miami FL
33176

Helen Reynolds
FL
33304

Helena Brody
FL
33308

Helen Goldenberg
FL
33321

Helen Hauck
4344 Langley Ave Apt D122 Pensacola FL
32504

Helen Reynolds
FL
33304

Phyllis Heller
7259 Lantana Cir Naples FL
34119

Henry Edwards
FL
33334

Herb Allenson
8660 Windsor Dr Miramar FL
33025

Herb Sculnick
38 Monterey Way Port Saint Lucie FL
34952

Hernando Duque
FL
33905

henry roach
FL
33065

Helen Higgins
FL
34233

april whitfield
FL
32034

Hillary Boyadjiev
FL
34026

Brijane Hills
FL
33334

Brijane Hills
FL
33334

Hughie Nairn
FL
33127

Heather Brosi
FL
32714

Hilary Capstick
835 N Forest Dr Tallahassee FL
32303

Harold Keown
12226 Lyndell Plantation Dr Panama
City Beach FL
32407

Hugh Bowman
15 Frontier Dr Palm Coast FL
32137

Hope Lockhart
FL
35243

Harold Mckee
FL
33931

Sarah Hodge
FL
32953

Sarah Hodge
FL
32953

Eva Arnold
FL
33410

Patricia Connelly
FL
33326

Holly Hawkes
1760 Opechee Dr Miami FL
33133

Holly Cole
FL
32958

Holly Carroll
501 Three Islands Blvd Hallandale
Beach FL
33009

Holly Carroll
501 Three Islands Blvd Hallandale Beach FL
33009

Holly Carroll
501 Three Islands Blvd Hallandale
Beach FL
33009

Christine Guma
5725 Greenwood Ave North Port FL
34287

Christeen Anderson
4609 Top Flight Dr. Crestview FL
32539

Kim Horn
291 N Country Club Blvd Boca Raton FL
33487

B Loo
6852 Coralberry Ln S Jacksonville FL
32244

John Howard
FL
33418

Regina Howell
FL
32084

Margaret Howell
FL
33139

Jose Lorenzo
8530 SW 149th Ave Apt 915 Miami FL
33193

Henry Buery
FL
33433

Mary Hrenda
FL
34219

Mary Hrenda
FL
34219

Hugh Gilmore
FL
33156

Leslie Hall
3882 40th Ave W Bradenton FL
34205

Hamilton Ross
25340 Goldcrest Dr Bonita Springs FL
34134

Tina Knight
FL
33917

Heidy Torres
FL
33018

Hugh Havlik
1422 Aken St Port Charlotte FL
33952

Michael Hubbard
4353 50th Ter S Saint Petersburg FL
33711

william hudson
FL
32207

Humberto Quintero
FL
33327

Kimberly Schmidt
2449 Scaup Pl De Leon Springs FL
32130

Howard Wapner
1850 SW 35th Pl Gainesville FL
32608

Howard Goldson
FL
33950

Harvey Witlin
6652 Peruzzi Way Lake Worth FL
33467

Ian Norris
20500 Cot Rd Unit 630 Lutz FL
33558

Ian Forbes
28350 Moray Drive Bonita Springs FL
34135

Iara Gonzalez
FL
32086

Jo Ann Lee
FL
33029

Ana Vizcaino
7119 Peregrina Loop Wesley Chapel FL
33545

Daniel Piedra
FL
33155

Iliana Bolanos
7860 SW 141st St Palmetto Bay FL
33158

Ileana Burnett
FL
33437

Izzy Ech
FL
33133

Izzy Ech
FL
33133

Izzy Ech
FL
33133

Izzy Ech
FL
33133

Kenneth Leroy
FL
33467

Ina Sturgeon
FL
34223

Inge Ness
13985 SW 161st Pl Miami FL
33196

Mary Browne
FL
32081

Shannon Guidebeck
7645 Landmark Dr Spring Hill FL
34606

Ingrid Elisabeth Ingalls
3644 NW 4th Ct Boca Raton FL
33431

Joseph Ingoglia
2532 SW 55th St Fort Lauderdale FL
33312

Carl Corona
FL
33023

Jose Lledo
FL
33321

Pat Shelton
8511 Country Creek Blvd Jacksonville
FL
32221

Ira Horowitz
FL
33137

Irene Radke
4648 SW 38th Ter Fort Lauderdale FL
33312

irene Radke
FL
33312

Irene Napolitano
11547 Walden Loop Parrish FL
34219

Irene Napolitano
FL
34219

Irma Riley
3321 NW 30th Ave Gainesville FL
32605

Iris Rodriguez Rodriguez
FL
34953

Iris Rodriguez Rodriguez
FL
34953

Margaret O'Callaghan
65 Hilo Court Naples FL
34112

Margaret O'Callaghan
FL
34112

Mildred Headdy
2734 Seaspray St Sarasota FL
34231

Anthony Valdes
FL
33016

Don Harvey
2690 Park Windsor Dr Apt 606 Fort Myers
FL
33901

Isabel Rimanoczy
2449 Sugarloaf Ln Fort Lauderdale FL
33312

Isabelle Livingston
FL
34120

Isa Diaz
FL
33157

Victoria Sweeney
FL
34209

Ron & Karen Kacprowicz
FL
34286

Geoffrey Freitag
FL
33311

Carolyn Ivey
18517 Kingbird Dr Lutz FL
33558

Jutta Milobinski
FL
34135

John Widdowson
1203 Durrance Rd Lake Placid FL
33852

Jennifer Gary
FL
34219

Mariana Camacho
FL
34221

Jesse Green
13144 Via Vesta Delray Beach FL
33484

J. Tarantola
FL
33029

Judith Bass
FL
33315

j bonn
FL
33030

Jacque Macarthur
7019 Mills Rd Winter Park FL
32792

Jack Ryan
2724 Highlands Blvd Apt B Palm
Harbor FL
34684

Jack Ryan
2724 Highlands Blvd Apt B Palm Harbor FL
34684

Audrey Skowronski
2149 Middleton Dr Navarre FL
32566

Serena Nyikes
FL
33411

Jackie Sweet
FL
33884

Jaqueline Bagdonas
FL
33009

Jackie Centofanti
FL
33004

Jacki Withers
FL
33313

R Banks
FL
32707

Jack Price
FL
34234

Jacob Wurtz
306 10th Ave NE Saint Petersburg FL
33701

Jacob Johnson
815 Old Welcome Rd Lithia FL
33547

Joseph Costanzo
FL
33309

Jacqueline Pavan
FL
33063

Meryl Dovzak
21906 Lake Forest Cir Boca Raton FL
33433

Jade Gates
FL
34231

Jade Gates
FL
34231

j griffiths
FL
32034

Jaime Davila
FL
33315

Jason Akrami
FL
34113

Judith Holbrook
FL
33016

Janet Allison
FL
34243

James Dale
FL
34103

James Colen
FL
33324

James Zuniga
FL
33178

Jamie Fagen
FL
34233

Jamie Sickles
FL
33441

Jan McKinley
FL
32641

Janice Chin
400 NW 24th Ave Boynton Beach FL
33426

Jane Martinson
6425 Emerson Dr New Port Richey FL
34653

Jane Gilbert
5420 N Ocean Dr Apt 704 Riviera
Beach FL
33404

Janet Poitras Prueitt
190 Ingrid Pl Oldsmar FL
34677

Richard Spisak
FL
33455

Janice Bradley
5522 Modena Pl Sarasota FL
34238

Janice Walton
517 e moss wood trace Pvb FL
32082

Janie Soliz
FL
33034

Janie Thomas
4650 Washington St Apt 407 Hollywood FL
33021

Janine Chouiniere
FL
34997

Janine Summers
2954 Bermuda Ave S Apopka FL
32703

Jan Smith
506 Empress Way Lakeland FL
33803

Janis Sexton
147 Ryberry Dr. Palm Coast FL
32164

Janna VICK-MORRIS
22045 sw 254th street Homestead FL
33031

Linda Janney
FL
33470

Jann Warfield
FL
34239

Jane Nogaki
9640 Minnesota St Fanning Springs FL
32693

Patsy Janowitz
7821 W 15th Ave Hialeah FL
33014

Jan Sheib
FL
34243

Jan Sheib
FL
34243

Janet MacKenzie
FL
32920

Judith Parris
FL
34112

Diana Cancel
FL
32773

Jaime Ralat
24742 Portofino Dr Lutz FL
33559

Jaren Vitale
FL
34208

Judith Rose
FL
34293

Jasleen Kahlon
FL
32174

Jann Spalding
10032 Airetop Ave Dade City FL
33525

Paul Whiteside
2635 Wax Myrtle Ct Port Charlotte FL
33953

George O'Malley
FL
32952

Javier Buitrago
7331 derexa drive Windermere FL
34786

Jay Alexander
3301 58th Ave N Lot 102 St Petersburg
FL
33714

Jayne Burdick
FL
34990

Jay Rozner
74 Ventnor D Deerfield Beach FL
33442

David Jones
9675 Old Baymeadows Rd Apt 88
Jacksonville FL
32256

Kathy Steinert
FL
32792

JoAnn Reece
FL
33980

John Fitzpatrick
2670 Diane Ave SE Palm Bay FL
32909

Jim Bangerter
FL
32937

Bonnie Aylward
FL
34293

brad cochrane
FL
32128

John Beier
FL
33156

Joseph Jacobs
FL
32920

Joseph Hines
FL
33028

Joyce L Britcher
FL
33324

John Carr
359 Brookline Ave Daytona Beach FL
32118

John Carter
2206 Saw Palmetto Ln Apt 102
Orlando FL
32828

J Cermak
PO Box 5008 Bradenton FL
34281

Julie Grise
FL
33919

Jim Charles
FL
32955

John & Linda Chastine
FL
32034

Joanna Lemire
FL
32174

Jacqueline Clark
FL
33176

Judy Loose
1429 Charles Rd Fort Myers FL
33919

John Fernandez, Esq.
18760 Jolson Ave Apt 3 Boca Raton FL
33496

Michelle Bogucki
FL
32141

Jack Conklin
724 Palm Dr Orlando FL
32803

Sue Ann Coppens
422 N Dunkenfield Ave Crystal River FL
34429

Jack Neilly
570 Monaco L Delray Beach FL
33446

John Dunagan
FL
33487

Jeanne Dimidio
FL
34239

Julia Davis
87 Jennifer Cir Ponce Inlet FL
32127

Judy Demersman
FL
34223

John Dickinson
236 Godfrey Rd SE Palm Bay FL
32909

John Kelleher
FL
34231

John Kelleher
FL
34231

Jo Lee McClain
FL
33455

Jaime Diran
FL
34117

Jonerik Murphy
FL
33139

Jeean Ott
FL
32940

Jeannine Piro
4408 Sir Kenneth Dr Boynton Beach FL
33436

Jeanette Otero
FL
33409

Jeanne Whaley
FL
34231

Jean Paskalides
FL
34112

Jeffrey Bains
1721 Myrtle Beach Drive The Villages FL
32159

Jean Coker
6622 Southpoint Dr S Jacksonville FL
32216

Aaron Aldrich
FL
32086

Jeffrey Koppel
4350 Mangrum Ct Hollywood FL
33021

Jeff Null
FL
32907

Jeff Brown
FL
33181

Jeff Dymek
FL
34209

Jeff Haugh
FL
33912

Jeff Clark
115 Harbor Dr Cape Canaveral FL
32920

Jeffrey Clark
FL
32920

John Kirkbride
8609 Sumner Ave Fort Myers FL
33908

J S Morrison
FL
33952

Sandy Lehew
FL
34987

jennifer garrido
FL
33157

Jeniffer Clark
7011 SW 9th St Pembroke Pines FL
33023

Jennifer Caldwell
FL
34210

Jennifer Lynn
FL
34293

Jennifer Chatt
FL
34217

Jenny Bramlette
5909 Estes Ln Wesley Chapel FL
33545

James Novak
816 Nottingham Street Orlando FL
32803

Jen Odom
FL
33064

Michael Zambra
FL
33411

Jeri Barkow-Romero
401 Golden Isles Dr Apt 813 Hallandale
Beach FL
33009

Gerald and Susan Pinnas
FL
33146

Jerry Joyner
634 Cadiz Rd Venice FL
34285

Jerry Moss
FL
33069

Jesma Mays
FL
33056

Jesse Benavidez
FL
34112

Jessica Johnson
FL
32763

J G
FL
33076

Jennie Eads
FL
32796

JOHN EVANS
FL
33401

Jen Odwyer
FL
32176

Loren Evans
FL
33624

JOHN ALLEN
FL
32934

Jean McNair
FL
32931

John Oberle
FL
34119

Jeanne Acosta-Caipe
6312 N 13th St Tampa FL
33604

Jeanne Fletcher
2710 Wendover Ter Palm Harbor FL
34685

Joni Frater
FL
33305

Julio Grabiell
FL
33134

Jean And Geoff Lee
FL
33150

Jerome Czarnecki
FL
34231

Jeanne Lebow
1918 Wahalaw Ct Tallahassee FL
32301

J. Gordon Spears
1626 Baltimore Avenue Orlando FL
32803

Joseph Haley
611 Collins Dr Tallahassee FL
32303

Jack Hannings
FL
34120

Jeffrey Hesketh
FL
33909

Richard Acosta
FL
33155

Julie Glenn
254 Briarcliff Circle Sebastian FL
32958

Jill Aronofsky
FL
33332

Jillian Sang
4434 NW 81st Ter Coral Springs FL
33065

Jill Calvert
FL
33308

James Bickham
3910 Rock Hill Loop Apopka FL
32712

Jim Brunton
12718 Forest Hills Drive Jim FL
33612

james colon
FL
32907

Mariah Jimenez
FL
33446

James Hickman
9315 34th Ct E Parrish FL
34219

James Hickman
FL
34219

James Long
FL
33461

Jimmy Doty
461 Golf Blvd Daytona Beach FL
32118

James Meisenhelter
1844 Jackson St Hollywood FL
33020

James Steinmuller
1233 NW 113th Ter Coral Springs FL
33071

Judith Knight
98 Regina Blvd Beverly Hills FL
34465

James Banfield
867 47th Ave N Saint Petersburg FL
33703

JOHN Jenkins
FL
33703

Andrea Chisari
720 Walker Rd Titusville FL
32780

James Kennedy
FL
33065

James Kennedy
FL
33065

Jorge Rivas
FL
33144

Jorge Redhead
FL
33125

Jennifer Scott
15930 Bayside Pointe W Apt 703 Fort
Myers FL
33908

Janice Shannon
1704 W Country Club Dr Tampa FL
33612

Jean Koch
6772 Via Regina Boca Raton FL
33433

Jennifer Bowman
5213 Redrac St. Jacksonville FL
32205

Jose Crespo
FL
33189

John LiMarzi
FL
34243

Joann Palladino
3530 66th Way N Saint Petersburg FL
33710

Julie Miro
2121 N Bayshore Dr Apt 411 Miami FL
33137

James Adams
630 20th Ave NE Saint Petersburg FL
33704

Joseph Madres
FL
33311

Janice March
FL
34234

Jeff Marlow
FL
34119

Jeremy Maxaner
FL
33414

Judi Trecartin
2529 Sandy Hill court Holiday FL
34691

Joe McCandrew
FL
34639

Julia Galeano
FL
33009

Julia Galeano
FL
33009

Jennifer Kori
4223 Water Oaks Ln Tampa FL
33618

Janet Mitchell
4555 Stewart Pl Middleburg FL
32068

Jim Monarchy
FL
32908

Joshua Montalvan
FL
33179

Julie Shames-Rogan
FL
33437

Jill Sidley
FL
34996

Janice Wolfe
FL
33315

Jim Abbondante
1661 SE Dome Cir Port St Lucie FL
34952

Jan Novotny
401 15th Ave N Jacksonville Beach FL
32250

Joan Best
FL
32168

Joan Briggs
10850 SW 158 lane Miami FL
33157

Joan Briggs
10850 SW 158 lane Miami FL
33157

Joan Gale
FL
33434

Joan Gale
FL
33434

Joanne Manel
FL
33990

Joanne Lee
11616 Waterstone Loop Dr
Windermere FL
34786

Joanne Fish
3226 Mulberry Dr Clearwater FL
33761

Joanne Bolemon
1183 Paseo Del Mar Apt D Casselberry FL
32707

Patricia Lydon
FL
33312

Joan Moyer
4275 Caskie Pl Brooksville FL
34604

Joanne Miller
FL
33326

Joe Mirti
FL
32176

Joel Lee
FL
33414

Joellal Trull
FL
33405

Joe Moyer
4522 Moore Cir # C3 Tallahassee FL
32304

Joey Henson
11975 Walsh Blvd Miami FL
33184

Johann Pautz
FL
32207

John Lago
FL
33563

John Landau
FL
33912

John Pickens
FL
32086

John D'Orazio
FL
34293

John Flickinger
FL
33141

John Kaufmann
800 Del Rio Way Merritt Island FL
32953

Ben & Cynthia Oswald
3127 Holiday Beach Dr Avon Park FL
33825

John Schumacher
619 Sally Ln Apt 6 Clearwater FL
33756

Johnny Wilson
1503 NW 4th St Gainesville FL
32601

Jonathan Ramirez
FL
33141

John Preli
927 11th St N Naples FL
34102

John Reader
FL
33462

Donna Johnston
FL
34116

John Ventiera
FL
33328

John Fenner
6335 Riverwalk Ln Unit 7 Jupiter FL
33458

John Schierman
274 Boros Drive North Fort Myers FL
33903

Jo Brown
FL
34217

John Koitsch
FL
34275

John Koitsch
FL
34275

Marjorie Angelo
1003 E Moody Blvd Ste C Bunnell FL
32110

Joline Barth
7339 Greenway Dr Jacksonville FL
32244

Joanne Casson
FL
33324

Jon Surprise
FL
33931

Jonathan Pezzi
FL
33064

John Rumpf
FL
32953

Eleanore Jones
8470 NW 20th Ct Sunrise FL
33322

Maryn Jones
3507 Seffner Dr Holiday FL
34691

John Pridy
7065 Regina Dr Englewood FL
34224

john pridy
FL
34224

Jonathan Smith
7930 Biscayne Point Cir Miami Beach FL
33141

jorge gonzalez
FL
33178

Josephine Scott
FL
33437

Marie Joseph
60 NW 163rd St Miami FL
33169

Josephine Mulcahy
FL
33487

Joseph Regallis
2673 SW Abelard St Port St Lucie FL
34953

Joseph Reinek
FL
33909

Jose Barriga
9205 Pebble Creek Dr Tampa FL
33647

Josefina Batista
FL
33143

Josefina Batista
FL
33143

Joanne Tapella
13923 Pepperrell Dr Tampa FL
33624

Joseph Kabbas
1280 Sugar Plum Dr Boca Raton FL
33486

Jowanna Wharton
FL
32118

joy carhartt
FL
33019

Joyce Freeland
FL
34293

Joyce Soldo
FL
33405

Joy Hill
15637 SE 90th Ct Summerfield FL
34491

Regla Blanco
FL
33134

James Windholtz
5130 NW 27th St Margate FL
33063

Jackie Anoff-Parks
FL
33321

Jane Paulkovich
FL
32951

Jeffrey Pennell
FL
33410

John Perrault
1941 Kathy Ln North Palm Beach FL
33408

Jeremy Pisano
2782 SW 139th Ave Miramar FL
33027

Joan Ashley
29415 NW 142nd Ave High Springs FL
32643

Jan Portman
9101 E Bay Harbor Dr Apt 1005 Bay
Harbor Islands FL
33154

Joseph Brown
323 Casa Grande Edgewater FL
32141

Jane Bicks
FL
34974

Jocelin Gardner
4200 54th Ave S St Petersburg FL
33711

John Halpern
FL
33467

Julio Rodriguez-Luis
FL
33154

Janet Mcaliley
FL
33133

Jackie Robb-Carp
FL
33019

John Ruscito
8618 Veronawalk Cir Naples FL
34114

John Zohn
FL
32968

Kathy Smith
FL
33414

Justine Selzer
FL
33023

Jason Sadock
FL
32033

Johnny Amygdalitsis
FL
33460

John Darovec
FL
34202

Joyce Stetson
FL
33062

James Stewart
FL
34207

Joan Strickland
5621 Wildflower Rd Orlando FL
32821

Jessica Tomlinson
602 23rd Ave. N. St. Petersburg FL
33704

James Toth
15061 Lakeside View Dr Apt 1902 Fort
Myers FL
33919

Joanne Rubinoff
FL
33480

Janice Russillo
FL
33414

Judyrh Tonico-savage
FL
33076

Jt Whissel
FL
32771

Juan Osorno
FL
33133

Juan Gonzalez
FL
33178

Juan Onaindia
24 SE 4th St Dania Beach FL
33004

Judi Semel
FL
33411

Judi Fidler
FL
32935

judy dempsey
FL
34104

Judith Hankins
5561 Milmar Dr N Jacksonville FL
32207

Judith Cooper
156 NW 152nd Ln Pembroke Pnes FL
33028

Judith Hushon
81 Seagate Dr Apt 1501 Naples FL
34103

Joan Seagal
2450 NE 209th Ter Miami FL
33180

Judy Seagal
FL
33180

JUDY TRAPP
FL
34240

Cynthia Gordon
3251 NW 151st St Opa Locka FL
33054

julie levine
6326 Greengrove Court Orlando FL
32819

Julie Miro
FL
33137

Julie Fridlington
419 N Riverside Dr Apt 24 Pompano
Beach FL
33062

Julie Fridlington
419 N Riverside Dr Apt 24 Pompano
Beach FL
33062

Julie Burns
112 NW 32nd St Gainesville FL
32607

Julius Ophar
534 NE 76th St Miami FL
33138

Juan Veloz
FL
34116

James Vodnik
2700 NE 29th St Fort Lauderdale FL
33306

Joann Lewis
FL
33411

John Wadovsky
50 SE 1st St Lake Butler FL
32054

Juanita Casagrande
1911 NW 22nd Dr Gainesville FL
32605

John West
16091 Quail Trl Bokeelia FL
33922

Mary Jo Whitaker
FL
32730

Joan Lacalle
FL
33480

Jim Meyer
313 Lindsey Ct Cape Canaveral FL
32920

James Robertson
55 Jasper St Apt 31 Largo FL
33770

Joyce Walker
FL
32080

Jeri ZeBelle Bracey
FL
32738

Jacqueline Zimmerman
FL
33401

Karl Keister
1581 Cambridge Dr Clearwater FL
33756

Ken Warren
2359 Bentley Dr Palm Harbor FL
34684

Karen Stephens
FL
33908

Kaatje Bernabei
FL
33165

Kenneth Gonzalez
FL
34116

Lisa Harding
7140 Cisco Gardens Rd Jacksonville FL
32219

Karen Bond
6699 2nd St Jupiter FL
33458

Kaithleen Hernandez
FL
34743

Kaitlin Bockmeyer
260 Costello Rd West Palm Beach FL
33405

Janet Kalman
FL
33431

karen alqasem
FL
33331

Sandra Kanner
FL
33137

Kareen Sassine
1750 N. Bayshore Drive # 2002 Miami FL
33132

Karen Dyson
FL
32011

Karen Semon
FL
34221

Karen Chartier
FL
32176

Karen Billek
28832 Winthrop Cir Bonita Springs FL
34134

Karen Francis
FL
32034

Karen Martin
FL
34233

Karen Monteagudo
4101 Pine Tree Dr Miami Beach FL
33140

Karen Milo
9645 Fox Hearst Rd Tampa FL
33647

Karen Moriarty
FL
32082

Karen Smith
PO Box 161 Aripeka FL
34679

Karen Waltman
8524 SW 90th Ln Ocala FL
34481

Karin Braunsberger
842 17th Ave N Saint Petersburg FL
33704

Karin Shea
8126 Winthrop Dr Port Richey FL
34668

Kari Lobo
FL
33024

Karita Reifsnnyder
FL
32927

Karole Cooney
1127 E Seminole Ave Jupiter FL
33477

Karyl Neal
FL
33458

Lisa Dantonio
FL
33414

Kasy Kane
FL
34236

Cat Seye
FL
32119

Kathryn Kemmerling
8736 SE May Ter Hobe Sound FL
33455

Katrina Freire??
14380 SW 97th Lane Miami FL
33186

Lourdes Torruellas
FL
32137

Katharine Gambino
5451 Carmody Lake Dr Port Orange FL
32128

Kathe Angell
FL
32127

Katht Braun
FL
33480

Kathleen Reed
FL
33907

Kathleen Gould
FL
32920

Kathleen Obre
FL
34293

kathleen shaver
FL
33919

Kathryn Holy
164 Kevin Dr Gulf Breeze FL
32561

Kathryn Smith
FL
33312

Kathleen Collins
11426 Lake Dr Leesburg FL
34788

ed lerner
FL
32164

Mart Wagner
1408 1st Street N St Petersburg FL
33704

Kathy Mailhot
5324 S Russell St Tampa FL
33611

Kathleen Procanik
FL
32174

Katia Pirozzi
FL
33326

Tony Miragliotta
323 Oriole Rd Venice FL
34293

Charles & Kathy Pavlick
1716 Viscaya Pkwy Cape Coral FL
33990

Katrina Daniel
3671 N Bay Homes Dr Miami FL
33133

Katrina Daniel Rosen
FL
33133

Katherine De la rosa
FL
34997

Anne Croasdale
FL
33071

Kent Andrews
5504 W 26th Ct Hialeah FL
33016

Kirk Brooks
PO Box 36132 Panama City FL
32412

Kathleen Kaye
1215 Greenridge Rd Jacksonville FL
32207

Kimberly Jo White
FL
33415

Katherine Botelho
FL
33069

Karen Chapman
FL
34208

Kimberly Bonomi
FL
32962

Daniel Weckering
4631 N Dixie Hwy Boca Raton FL
33431

Karen Collins-Fleming
2601 Wisteria Pl Sarasota FL
34239

Bernard Lenett
2803 Campus Cir Melbourne FL
32935

Kevin Collins
FL
33483

Karen Mchugh
4774 Quail Run Pl Melbourne FL
32904

Karyn Sederberg
4534 Frances Dr Delray Beach FL
33445

Ken Sherman
FL
32935

Keith Cutler
FL
34234

Sandy Keith
FL
34229

Sheila Reine
FL
34221

Kelley Anderson
6903 Superior Street Cir Sarasota FL
34243

Lisa Kelly Jarvis
700 Stewart St Englewood FL
34223

Kelly Reed
4051 Burlington Ave N Saint Petersburg
FL
33713

Kelly Kern
FL
34231

Kelly Brennan
FL
35974

Kenneth T. Brennan
FL
33308

Kenneth Biro
FL
33019

Kenneth Biro
FL
33019

Kendra Walton
3230 NW 66 St Fort Lauderdale FL
33309

Kendra Tallman
FL
32084

Keren Ortiz
FL
32224

Kerrie Miller
FL
33076

Kerrin Sweet
FL
32792

Kerri Shaughnessy
FL
32725

kerstin magnusson
FL
33067

Suzanne Schluter
FL
33408

Patrick Lehmann
FL
33408

Joyce Kessel
4006 SW 1st Pl Cape Coral FL
33914

Keth Luke
5438 Tennessee Ave New Port Richey
FL
34652

Keth Luke
5438 Tennessee Ave New Port Richey FL
34652

Kevan Vance
2275 Launch Ct Apt 359 Melbourne FL
32904

Kevin Stubbs
FL
33025

Kevin Gilbert
1907 Imperial Palm Dr Largo FL
33771

Kevin) Vliet
FL
33024

Kevin Doty
FL
32963

Kevin Sullivan
865 Amherst Ave Davie FL
33325

H Mckee
1516 United St Key West FL
33040

Kathryn Flood
FL
34997

Karen Flounlacker
FL
34119

Karen Flounlacker
FL
34119

Kimberlee Gott
FL
32137

Kris Davis
FL
32640

Krista Hailwood
FL
33759

Karen Ansell
3240 Morris Ln Coconut Grove FL
33133

Keith Paulson-Thorp
FL
33435

Nikolaos Markis
FL
33467

Wayne Killinger
FL
32904

Kim Huffstutter
FL
33458

Kim Singer
3431 Ballybridge Cir Bonita Springs FL
34134

Kim White
3227 Hawks Ridge Pt Kissimmee FL
34741

Kimberly Huff
21708 NW 131st Pl High Springs FL
32643

Kimberley Etheridge
5 Florida Dr Key Largo FL
33037

Kimberly Gunn
FL
33954

Kimberly Field
FL
34952

Kim Norman
FL
33321

Kimberly Harrison
1202 Heidi Ln N Lakeland FL
33813

Kim Benedict
FL
33487

Kim Raubolt
FL
34135

Kim Thomas
FL
33483

Kip Mark
7659 Martino Cir Naples FL
34112

Kira Moore
FL
34201

Lisa Hunkler
4981 SW Saint Creek Dr Palm City FL
34990

Kirk Zinkowski
5809 La France Rd Tallahassee FL
32305

Kirk Cavender
FL
32117

Charlotte Noll
FL
33319

Kirsten Lovett
4825 Old Bradenton Rd Apt 1 Sarasota FL
34234

Nikita Schultz
FL
34236

Angela Memoli
226 Ancona St Fort Myers FL
33913

Christine Moreno
FL
33334

KATHLEEN GEARHART
FL
33324

Sherry Hogan
36825 Micro Racetrack Rd Fruitland
Park FL
34731

Karen Wade
FL
32940

Kenneth Babineau
5687 Pipers Waite Sarasota FL
34235

Kristen Johansson
1484 Seagull Dr Apt 302 Palm Harbor
FL
34685

Kenneth Jones
5153 Isla Key Blvd S unit 408 Saint
Petersburg FL
33715

Kathy Arcangeli
FL
33312

Ken Kistner
7775 SW 86th St Apt 303 Miami FL
33143

Kathryn Murphy
7403 Green St Bradenton FL
34201

Karen Cascardi
FL
33441

Stephanie Kless
3121 San Fernando Dr Delray Beach FL
33445

Barb Holmes
2530 Dumas Dr Deltona FL
32738

Kathleen Luann Fisher
12081 Forsythia Dr Orlando FL
32827

Kathleen Mathis
FL
32210

Kimberly Maute
15206 Heathridge Dr Tampa FL
33625

Kathryn Garra
FL
34119

Karen Hudon
4900 SE Hanson.Circle Stuart FL
34997

Karen Hudon
4900 SE Hanson.Circle Stuart FL
34997

Kat Miller
11242 S Lakeview Dr Milton FL
32583

Karen Mullen
FL
34285

David Knight
160 Lindsay Dr Lake Wales FL
33898

Janet Konfal
3478 Royal Palm Ave Miami Beach FL
33140

Konnie Ort
16960 SE 52nd Pl Ocklawaha FL
32179

Kimberly Panarelli
425 Cove Tower Dr Apt 404 Naples FL
34110

Justin Kramer
3401 N Country Club Dr Apt 503
Aventura FL
33180

Marion Kreuzscher
FL
33193

Marion Kreuzscher
FL
33193

Marion Kreuzscher
FL
33193

Kris Pagenkopf
7625 SW 7th Pl Gainesville FL
32607

Kris Pagenkopf
FL
32607

Kris Pagenkopf
FL
32607

Kris Lacy
15103 Craggy Cliff St Tampa FL
33625

Kris Lacy
15103 Craggy Cliff St Tampa FL
33625

Kris Cunningham
361 King James Ct Port Orange FL
32129

Krista Lohr
3728 Colby St Sarasota FL
34232

Krista Lohr
FL
34232

Kristina Stein
6410 79th Ave N Pinellas Park FL
33781

Kristopher Downer
9313 SW 3rd St Boca Raton FL
33428

Kathleen Smith
2765 Stirrup Ln Weston FL
33331

Kathleen Herbert
3240 Meridian Way S Apt C Palm Beach
Gardens FL
33410

Kathleen Spinks
5023 SW 69th Ter Gainesville FL
32608

Kevin Strobel
FL
32907

Sharon Wistner
2608 Black Lake Blvd Winter Garden FL
34787

Katherine Thousand
FL
33905

Donna Murphy
FL
34286

Kathleen Kucharski
FL
34221

Kerri Ford
FL
32935

Kwame Whyte
1400 Escorial Pl #207 Palm Beach Gardens
FL
33410

Karen Weismantle
FL
33138

Kimberly Welsh
FL
34286

Keith Latal
FL
33069

Patrick Lewis
5521 SW 36th Ct Davie FL
33314

Kevin Yates
FL
32174

Leslie Papageorge
FL
33405

Lynn O'Brien
11621 Brush Ridge Cir N Jacksonville FL
32225

Lillie Mckendry
2383 Orangeside Rd Palm Harbor FL
34683

Latika Young
1009 N Adams St Tallahassee FL
32303

Lynnette Angell
35 Lakeview Ct Mascotte FL
34753

Sury Recio
FL
33147

H. Kurt Kettelhut
227 Goolsby Blvd. Deerfield Bch FL
33442

Linda Strutf
FL
33442

Michele Bielski
FL
32127

Carolyn Patterson
FL
34953

D Cordero
405 Connecticut Ave Saint Cloud FL
34769

Linda Conejo
11580 E Hillcrest Ct Floral City FL
34436

Grace Coughlin
FL
32773

Carla Owens
FL
32137

Lee Moreau
FL
33322

Carol Peterson
1016 Success Ave Lakeland FL
33803

Douglas Dorrie
4320 NE 15th Ave Oakland Park FL
33334

Laura Hauss
FL
33455

Lenore Alpert
FL
33060

Liduvina Alvarez
50 se 6th ave apt 2 Homestead FL
33030

Linda Amin
FL
34241

Enrique Langton
835 NE 92nd St Miami Shores FL
33138

Lani Baldi
1420 28th Ave N Saint Petersburg FL
33704

Lannie Rawls
2800 NW 24th St Fort Lauderdale FL
33311

Joanne Lansing
FL
33953

Laura Quiroga
FL
33304

Larry Benvenuti
PO Box 501403 Marathon FL
33050

Lawrence Scheffler
FL
32135

Larry Mikolashek
9111 NW 25th St Sunrise FL
33322

Linda Bray
9637 Leland Dr Orlando FL
32827

Larry Mendenhall
FL
33903

Heather Gray
FL
33484

Laura Mcgeary
FL
34205

Laura Foren
FL
33321

Lauralyn Bunn
2521 Inagua Ave Miami FL
33133

Laura Norris
FL
32055

Laura seserman
FL
33446

Lauren Becker
5970 Bur Oaks Ln Naples FL
34119

Laurence Mcnamara
422 N Lakeside Dr Lake Worth FL
33460

Laurie Cates
2803 Arlington St # 222 Orlando FL
32805

Laurie Levitan
FL
33176

Michael Lavin
FL
33022

Lavonia r Talbot Farrior
593 champion oaks circle Havana FL
32333

Lawrence Dowling
FL
32907

Lawrence Jackson-Rosen
FL
33304

Lori Benson
16994 Colony Lakes Blvd Fort Myers FL
33908

Laura Biasci
12610 N 51st St Temple Terrace FL
33617

Linda Bing
FL
33177

Louis Carliner
3765 W Warbler St Lecanto FL
34461

Lorrie Chloros
5352 Nicklaus Dr Winter Haven FL
33884

Luke Tikasingh
FL
33162

Linda Darin
1 Boca Ciega Point Blvd Apt 314 Saint
Petersburg FL
33708

Lissette Diaz
FL
34953

Susan Snedeker
7370 Holiday Dr Spring Hill FL
34606

L.D. Zafar
820 Thalia Dr Orlando FL
32807

Lea Adams
FL
33486

Leah Johnson
2511 East Pine Street Orlando FL
32803

Anne Peterson
FL
32080

Lee Karkruff
12796 San Jose Blvd Jacksonville FL
32223

Lee Dalton
2532 1st St Fort Myers FL
33901

Leela Corman
1102 NE 5th Pl Gainesville FL
32601

Iris Daugherty
1941 W Tanager Rd Avon Park FL
33825

Lee Patrizzi
265 Riverwoods Trl Chuluota FL
32766

Lee Patrizzi
FL
32766

Lisa Quisenberry
FL
33408

Lisa Quisenberry
FL
33408

Margaret Jones
FL
34223

Leila Jean-Mary
FL
34285

Leila Matson
149 Roberta Rd Ormond Beach FL
32176

Leisha Clark
3189 Meadow Rd Palm Springs FL
33406

David Leithauser
FL
32724

Alba Arevalo
FL
33125

Laurie Lorch
FL
34957

Linda Emerine
FL
32746

Mark Ernst
9802 28th Ave E Palmetto FL
34221

Mark Ernst
FL
34221

Mike Leon
1135 Edgewood Ranch Rd Orlando FL
32835

Jennifer Graham
5873 Wild Olive Ter Fort Myers FL
33919

Guillermo Zegarra
FL
33133

Lynn Ratoff
22147 Flower Dr Boca Raton FL
33428

Lester Frenz
1695 Sellers Ct The Villages FL
32162

Lesley Royce
4520 Fulton Rd Jacksonville FL
32225

Leslie Mason
9603 NW 66th St Tamarac FL
33321

Lois Hatfield
FL
33948

Leticia Torres
387 City View Dr Fort Lauderdale FL
33311

Sara Leviten
FL
33161

Ivan Levy-Hara
555 Fraternity Dr Gainesville FL
32603

Morgan Levy
9927 NW 52nd Ter Doral FL
33178

Louise Scarfone
FL
33908

Laurie Fuller
5900 N Andrews Ave Fort Lauderdale
FL
33309

Luis Gutierrez
FL
33137

Lesley Gamble
318 NE 2nd Ave, Apt. 2 Lesley FL
32601

Lara Fuller
524 Notre Dame Dr Altamonte Springs
FL
32714

Lizette Gonzalez
FL
33181

Larry Guevarra
FL
32081

Lauren Mora
406 SW 38th Ter Cape Coral FL
33914

Liana Roche
FL
33157

Beverly Hallam
FL
32953

Libia Johnson
FL
33458

Aaron Lichtig
FL
33408

Felicity Hohenshelt
11326 Carlsburg Ct Jacksonville FL
32246

Olga Ortega
FL
34116

lidia cerchiara
FL
32952

Linda McGee
FL
34238

Lisa Hews Ihns
2020 Reservation Rd Gulf Breeze FL
32563

Stacy Andrade
27594 Tierra Del Sol Ln Bonita Springs FL
34135

Noeline Coore
FL
33409

Susan Reyna
638 Nocatee Rd Tallahassee FL
32305

Lizzy Cartaya
FL
33025

Linda Thomas
FL
32923

Linda Madison
FL
33952

Linda Siegel
FL
33064

Linda Stuart
647 Hampton Downs Ct Saint Johns FL
32259

Linda Griffin
FL
33460

Linda I. Carlson
1260 Luminary Cir Apt 106 Melbourne FL
32901

Linda Kirkland
FL
32780

Linda Valkos
4680 Huber St Cocoa FL
32927

Linda Kilby
FL
34951

Linda Kilby
FL
34951

Daniel Lindley
FL
34108

Lindsay Crouch
FL
32746

Barbara Friedman
11208 NW 21st St Coral Springs FL
33071

Larry Linn
2600 Rio Grande Dr Punta Gorda FL
33950

Lisa Mohan
FL
33308

Lisa Britt
FL
34239

Lisa Jenig
10365 Paradise Blvd Treasure Island FL
33706

Lisa Soto
FL
32935

Lisa Rispoli
FL
33436

Lisa Rose
FL
34994

Lisa Rose
200 Island Sanctuary Vero Beach FL
32963

Lisa Willman
4000 Lake Underhill Rd Orlando FL
32803

Mark Woodland
FL
34209

Carmelita Capozzi
FL
32174

Jennifer Vaca
FL
33175

Audrey Samelson
FL
33066

lizscapp Scappatura
FL
34239

Elizabeth Vogele
FL
33407

Leslie Coopet
FL
33330

Liane Conn
1056 39th Ave Vero Beach FL
32960

Linda Kanter
17848 Mission Oak Dr Lithia FL
33547

Judye Kriston
1400 SW 137th Ave Pembroke Pines
FL
33027

Linda Lippner
3121 SE Canby Rd Port St Lucie FL
34952

Linda Lippner
FL
34952

Lauriann Moore
FL
34285

Lisa Ossman
FL
32901

LJ Travers
FL
33955

Linda Valgus
FL
32953

Leonard Weinbaum
6778 Crystal Lake Rd Keystone Heights FL
32656

Lauren Wilson
129 Surfside Ave Saint Augustine FL
32084

Lois Feinberg
FL
33021

Leslie Kirby
1406 W 15th St Sanford FL
32771

Leslie Koontz
19 Wentwood Dr Debary FL
32713

Laura Kuzma
2220 Cimarron Ter Palm Harbor FL
34683

Lorrie Cozzens
2219 Holyoke Ave Bradenton FL
34207

Len Lessmiller
FL
32176

Lisa Hoffman
4216 1st Ave S St Petersburg FL
33711

Lisle Lewis
278 Ground Dove Cir Lehigh Acres FL
33936

Lydia Martin
FL
34212

Lourdes Maya
5766 SW 9th Ter West Miami FL
33144

Lisa Mazzola
1723 W Followthru Dr Tampa FL
33612

Lura Messier
FL
33020

Lura Messier
FL
33020

Lauren Bernal
FL
33166

Lewis Meyer
9240 SW 66th St Miami FL
33173

Liisa North
FL
33462

Dorothy Eville
3654 Stepping Stone Ct Port Orange FL
32129

Carolyn Jones
FL
34983

Laureen odlum
FL
34997

LOIS HARRISON
FL
33139

lois sprague
FL
34232

Trudy Jock
FL
33071

Rebecca Deen
FL
32117

John Eisele
FL
34983

Lora Westphal
FL
33917

Mary Geddings
8451 NW 120th St Chiefland FL
32626

Lora Vannoord
899 Cleland Ct Apt D Palm Harbor FL
34684

Lorena Balint
14518 Josair Dr Orlando FL
32826

Loretta Holscher
FL
34482

Lori Horner
FL
32656

Lori Smith
FL
33428

Lori Vail
829 95th Ave N Naples FL
34108

Lori Paschal
FL
32750

Lorrie Schilling
FL
33062

Alina Yllanes
FL
33312

Lourdes Gil
FL
33064

Lolette Stanley
FL
33461

Louise Muoio
927 NE 199th St Miami FL
33179

Llewellyn Pimentel
FL
33196

Lourdes Fuentes
FL
33157

Lovice Holland
FL
34110

Jean Scott
FL
34292

Lois Wiesler
FL
33445

Linda Pease
201 Circle W Jupiter FL
33458

Linda Pegnatore
FL
33323

Linda Pegnatore
FL
33323

Lisa Petitfils
1722 Fairway Ave S St Petersburg FL
33712

Lynne Irvine
FL
34105

Lois Popi
FL
32164

Lina Poskiene
5738 Aspen Ridge Ct Delray Beach FL
33484

Lauren Devine
1377 Walnut Ter Boca Raton FL
33486

Lanny Reddick
1030 Royal View Cir Winter Garden FL
34787

Linda Shirey
355 SE 16th Ave Okeechobee FL
34974

Luis Sanchez
1925 SW 21st Ter Miami FL
33145

Linda Carter
100 Tulpan Dr Kissimmee FL
34743

Lee Miller
125 22nd Ave SE Saint Petersburg FL
33705

K Petrillo
FL
32949

Lori Veber
FL
32967

Lisa Smith
FL
34120

Luis Tirado
4151 NW 43rd St Apt 601 Gainesville FL
32606

Lourdes Tonarely
5784 SW 32nd St Miami FL
33155

Laurie Tuttell
FL
33478

Lucilene Willmersdorf
1311 SW Halford Ave Port St Lucie FL
34953

Debra Day
501 Center St Jupiter FL
33458

Lucy Paschke
FL
34135

Lucy Carlson
FL
34292

Louise Wendt
2135 Margarita Dr Lady Lake FL
32159

Miguel Lugo
FL
33012

Luis Elizondo
FL
33326

Luis Iora
FL
33498

Luis Castano
FL
33130

Luis Garcia Falcon
FL
33125

Luis Montalvo
FL
32771

Trista Hermsen
FL
33179

Karen Culotta
FL
33436

Linda Manrodt
FL
33020

Lior Attias
FL
33176

Lynne Warberg
FL
33947

A W
16221 SW 287th St Homestead FL
33033

Christina Coll
1653 Spinfisher Dr Apopka FL
32712

Lydia Kennedy
FL
32966

lynda fay braun
FL
34105

Lynn Dyer
FL
33414

Lynn Brescia
FL
32796

Lynn Loiacono
FL
33981

Lynn Prno
8272 Boca Glades Blvd E Boca Raton FL
33434

Lynn Snyder
6550 NW 6th Ct Margate FL
33063

Mr.Lynnward Lacy
1145 64th Ave S St Petersburg FL
33705

Mercedes Palacios
FL
33150

Michael Rubler
15149 Shaw Rd Tampa FL
33625

Marcia Wagaman
5700 Escondida Blvd S Apt 505 Saint
Petersburg FL
33715

Matthew Borland
11189 Hendon Dr Jacksonville FL
32246

Jack Macfadyen
250 High Point Ct Apt C Boynton Beach
FL
33435

Mary Ann Chlopan
2125 La Rochelle Dr Tallahassee FL
32308

Mary Allen
FL
32976

Gary Madole
2948 Woodland Dr Edgewater FL
32141

Wendy Fir
FL
33316

Mary Ann Turner
FL
34997

Mae Feagin
FL
33441

Mary Gurslin
1398 Park Shore Cir Apt 2 Fort Myers
FL
33901

Maggi Hall
717 N Amelia Ave Deland FL
32724

Melody Patton
FL
32137

Maria Watkins
FL
34207

heather gabrey
FL
34997

jeff howe
5321 ne 24th terr. fort lauderdale FL
33308

Susan Pelakh
41 9th Ter Cocoa Beach FL
32931

Susan Pelakh
41 9th Terrace Cocoa Beach FL
32931

Susan Pelakh
FL
32931

Susan Pelakh
FL
32931

Gustav Sallas
2520 Canterbury Dr N Riviera Beach FL
33407

Mark Lainer
6418 Quarter Horse Ln Orlando FL
32818

maya greven
FL
32168

Jean Hontz
1745 18th St Niceville FL
32578

Armando Ramos
FL
32713

Marissa Alleyne
11818 Branch Mooring Dr Tampa FL
33635

Julie MallisTurner
3045 Clearlake Dr. # 4 Melbourne FL
32250

Julie MallisTurner
3045 Clearlake Dr. # 4 Melbourne FL
32250

Leslie Maloney
FL
32907

Michael Alonso
FL
34293

Margie Arnold
FL
32952

Lois Joseph
FL
32789

Michael Andrews
FL
33139

Joel Anastasi
FL
33308

Michael Mansueto
FL
33334

Mansur Khawaja
12212 Pines Pembroke Pines FL
33026

James Gulcroft
12587 NW 68th Dr Parkland FL
33076

Agatha Mantanes
FL
34209

Manuel Goldberg
FL
34229

Nancy Wood
FL
34293

Mary Pilafian
8645 SW 125th St Miami FL
33156

Marlene Mayfield
13530 Mystic Dr Apt 205 Sebastian FL
32958

Marcelle Higginbotham
FL
33948

Marcia Roseman
5777 Gemstone Ct Boynton Beach FL
33437

Marcia Lefkowitz
10212 Caracas St Hollywood FL
33026

Marcos Cintron
8540 Dundee Ter Miami Lakes FL
33016

Margie Koelling
492 Alice Drive Melbourne FL
32935

Mark Role
FL
33952

Maren Shaw
FL
34205

Margaret Spencer
FL
32732

Margaret Spencer
FL
32732

Margarita Kreine
FL
33024

Marjorie Williams
FL
32079

Rita Tiessen
1930 NE 2nd Ave Apt L201 Wilton Manors
FL
33305

Mari Mennel-Bell
FL
33304

Mari Mennel-Bell
FL
33304

Mari Mennel-Bell
FL
33304

Maria Alessi
FL
33009

Maria Ktori
FL
33141

maria hernandez
FL
33415

Erika Bustamante
FL
33140

Maria Mirabal
FL
33126

Mariana Stein
FL
33020

Maria Narcis
10828 SW 75th Ter Miami FL
33173

Marian Ryan
PO Box 773 Winter Haven FL
33882

Maria Tinker
FL
34953

Maricel Dick-Camara
FL
33145

Maria-Cristina Valdes-Crespo
FL
33173

Marie Manuel
FL
33060

Marie Mariano-Simmons
PO Box 112198 Naples FL
34108

Marie Donze
FL
33312

marie guenette
FL
33024

Marie Raich
FL
33484

Marie Raich
FL
33484

Marilyn Egan
FL
33484

Maria Morales
FL
33016

Marilynn Hall
FL
32764

Marina Tito
4787 Temple Dr Delray Beach FL
33445

Marina Nobles
FL
33161

Scott Mayaudon
FL
33138

Marisa Magill
8841 SW 58th St Miami FL
33173

Marissa Borde
FL
32569

Marissa Garone
6231 Pga Blvd Palm Beach Gardens FL
33418

Marissa Lew
NE 18th Pl Miami FL
33179

Marjorie Gelber
1619 NE 5th Ct Fort Lauderdale FL
33301

Mark Bonaparte
FL
33186

Mark Grundy
FL
33414

Mark Constant
5542 SW 88th Ct Gainesville FL
32608

Gay Markham
2546 SW Mayacoo Way Palm City FL
34990

Mark Massimino
FL
33311

Maria Kolins
6542 Somerset Circle Boca Raton FL
33496

Mark Pucci
FL
33413

Mark Reed
FL
34224

Mark Resnick
8421 Lagos De Campo Blvd Tamarac FL
33321

Robert Marks
19433 Spring Oak Dr Eustis FL
32736

Robert Marks
19433 Spring Oak Dr Eustis FL
32736

Marcia Markwardt
5209 S Indian River Dr Fort Pierce FL
34982

Marla Hyman
FL
33458

Marleah Dailey
FL
33903

Marlene Shapiro
7156 Boca Grove Pl Unit 102 Lakewood
Ranch FL
34202

Jeanne Marlowe
FL
32909

Marlon Martinez
FL
33141

Marsha Schaub
FL
34113

Mary Ann Soltis
3012 SW 10th St Fort Lauderdale FL
33312

Mars Jaffe
261 Nightingale Trl Palm Beach FL
33480

Marta VanderStarre
FL
34110

Martha Gill
FL
34116

Martie Enfield
103 Jamestown Dr Winter Park FL
32792

Bernard Martin
FL
32127

Melanie Martinez
FL
32738

Martin Weeks
FL
32901

Martha Burton
11015 Bullrush Ter Lakewood Ranch FL
34202

Mary Barfield
FL
33176

Mary Cassell
FL
33445

Mary Gutierrez
332 Oakland Cir NW Fort Walton Beach
FL
32548

Mary Rawl
1345 Plumosa Dr Fort Myers FL
33901

Mary Tucker
FL
32177

Mary Detrick
2304 Cumberland Cir Apt 201
Clearwater FL
33763

Maryann Owens
FL
33196

Mary Kugler
4224 NW 2nd Ct Boca Raton FL
33431

Mary Blakemore
5086 Marsh Field Rd Sarasota FL
34235

mary heicher
FL
32244

Maryin Vargas
FL
33134

Mary Carey
FL
32259

Marylin Harrison
FL
33437

Marylin Holzberg
FL
33160

Mary Rowell
2329 Roanoke Ct Lake Mary FL
32746

Mary Sue Baker
6318 Goldfinch St Sarasota FL
34241

Mike Kantor
1450 Lincoln Rd Apt 906 Miami Beach FL
33139

Michelle Sebree
FL
33183

Mason Hjelle
FL
34135

Elaina Thomas Hansen
13826 NW 39th Ave Gainesville FL
32606

Louis Palazzini
1818 Salmon Dr Tallahassee FL
32303

marvin heckert
FL
33935

Matt Garra
FL
34119

Matthew Shaffer
FL
32084

Matilde Ferro
13139 SW 15th Ln Miami FL
33184

Matthew Mayer
FL
33460

Maudie Valero
20 Alhambra Cir Apt 5 Coral Gables FL
33134

Maureen Condiotte
FL
34242

Maurice Mizrahi
FL
33334

Stephen Reinertsen
FL
34117

Elaine Snyder
FL
33426

Lee Vanzandt
FL
32819

Mayleen Sosa
FL
33018

Mayona Gentile
FL
32174

Mayra Fernandez
FL
33175

Bob Mazza
FL
32259

Martin Berke
FL
33321

Monique Bedard-Cook
FL
32080

Mary LoBuono
FL
33444

Helen Bernstein
843 Mattocks Ct Casselberry FL
32707

Marjorie Holcombe
415 Elsie Ave Holly Hill FL
32117

Marjorie Holcombe
FL
32117

Mary Parham
FL
33460

Mike Budd
FL
33434

Mark Cleary
FL
32926

Mary Allen
FL
34232

Mary Caseber
FL
34241

Linda Carle
16396 77th Lane North Loxahatchee FL
33470

Martin Becker
834 Hyacinth Ct Marco Island FL
34145

Ronald Mccallister
FL
34135

Frank and Bonnie Mc Cune
5631 SW 78TH ST APT 3 Miami FL
33143

Frank and Bonnie Mc Cune
FL
33143

Dave McGowan
2536 Nassau St Sarasota FL
34231

Michael Chase
3744 Lilly Rd S Jacksonville FL
32207

Michael Clark
141 Azalea Rd Edgewater FL
32141

Claudia Lozano
401 Golden Isles Dr Hallandale Beach FL
33009

Carol Malott
109 Cala Ct Venice FL
34292

Carol Malott
FL
34292

Carolyn Kiel
FL
32127

Mary Duda
FL
34212

James Mcmurtry
1812 Fernando Dr Tallahassee FL
32303

Michael Congdon
600 NE 36th St Apt 621 Miami FL
33137

Mary Cooper
FL
33441

Stephen Hague
10680 Great Falls Ln Tampa FL
33647

Marisely Farias
FL
33187

Martha Curtis
1890 Opa Locka Blvd Opa Locka FL
33054

Mike Dabrowski
2837 Weston Ter Palm Harbor FL
34685

Marianne Dal Cero
2710 Kingdom Ave Melbourne FL
32934

Mary Delia
FL
33304

Magda Derival
FL
34112

Myra Dewhurst
FL
33176

Michelle Ferguson
FL
33314

Maria Leon
FL
33142

Merlin Normand
FL
33920

Edisson Ducuara
FL
33015

Diane Brown
FL
33412

Rita Meagher
FL
33334

Tatiana Medina
7630 NW 25th St Miami FL
33122

Megan Lynch
FL
32935

Mary Pinsker
FL
33428

Mary Pinsker
FL
33428

Mary Pinsker
FL
33428

Meghan Miller
12498 Mt Pleasant Woods Dr Jacksonville
FL
32225

Meghan Miller
12498 Mt Pleasant Woods Dr
Jacksonville FL
32225

Daisy Mejia
13121 SW 242nd Ter Homestead FL
33032

Andres Mejides
25650 SW 197th Ave Homestead FL
33031

Marilda Ferreira
14840 sw 181 ter Miami FL
33187

Marilda ferreira
FL
33187

Linda Flanagan
FL
33076

Melisa Caprio
FL
33330

Melissa Allen
8405 SW 156th St Palmetto Bay FL
33157

Melissa Klemundt
FL
32903

Melissa Jones
FL
33065

Michael Ellis
FL
33418

Mellissa Gross
FL
34235

Mellissa Gross
FL
34235

Melissa Fisher
FL
34234

Joseph Mascetta
FL
32907

Margaret Poppe
7130 SW 108th Ter Pinecrest FL
33156

Jennifer Mercado
FL
32738

Jennifer Mercado
FL
32738

Mercedes Garcia
FL
32905

Merrilee Malwitz-Jipson
2070 SW County Road 138 Fort White
FL
32038

Merrilee Malwitz-Jipson
460 SW County Road 138 Fort White FL
32038

Zack Todd
FL
33311

Michael And Rebecca Winters
4509 W Sylvan Ramble St Tampa FL
33609

john decindio
FL
32164

Janice Mevis
FL
33950

Martin Grozan
FL
32940

Mary Murray
FL
34223

Marie Glidewell
3810 Spyglass Hill Rd Sarasota FL
34238

Meg Goldcamp
FL
32931

Melody Fund
1198 Venetian Way Miami Beach FL
33139

Michael Goldfarb
FL
33325

Meridith Harrell
FL
32905

Mamie Holst
FL
33901

Margie Hernandez
FL
33014

Matt Heyden
FL
32780

Matthew Fisher
FL
33434

Mark Mitchell
1011 S Summerlin Ave Orlando FL
32806

Maureen Holder
115 112th Ave NE Apt 209 St
Petersburg FL
33716

Mary Helen Venos
3434 Merrimac Dr Tallahassee FL
32312

Mary Helen Venos
3434 Merrimac Dr Tallahassee FL
32312

Mark Hydro
FL
33157

mirta rodriguez
FL
34953

Fred Barrios
16312 SW 42nd Ter Miami FL
33185

Meredith Arguelles
FL
33145

Tom Wilson
1856 SW 25th St Miami FL
33133

Michelle Barros
9305 SW 44th St Miami FL
33165

Michael Schluth
FL
33428

Michael Lieberman
8609 Via Rapallo Dr Estero FL
33928

Michael Reese
2086 SW Villanova Rd Port Saint Lucie
FL
34953

Fujah Ristic Brown
219 NW 16th St Apt 6 Miami FL
33136

Michael Shapiro
415 Aragon Ave Coral Gables FL
33134

Michael Keeyes
FL
32935

Michael Radell
248 Babbling Brook Run Bradenton FL
34212

Michael Stella
529 Elizabeth St Unit 1 Key West FL
33040

Michael Zeno
1331 Lemur Lane Viera FL
32940

R C Walker
FL
34135

Michele Bishop
FL
34207

Michele Matthews
14 Pinehurst Pl Palm Coast FL
32137

Michele Menno
110 Royal Park Dr Apt 2D Oakland Park
FL
33309

Micheline Carignan
FL
33484

Michelle Gale
FL
33073

michele labrie
FL
32976

Sonia Hernandez
13563 87th Ave Seminole FL
33776

Michael LaGassey
907 E Cayuga St Tampa FL
33603

Michele Sherriton
3111 N Ocean Dr Hollywood FL
33019

Tamara Dobbs
FL
34135

James Marsh
408 E Cayuga St Tampa FL
33603

Jocelyn Stowell
2022 Lawson Rd Tallahassee FL
32308

Michael Garnett
404 W Whitney Dr Jupiter FL
33458

Mignon Craig
330 NE 3rd Ave Williston FL
32696

Miguel Stroe
FL
33180

Mihai C
1717 N Bayshore Dr Miami FL
33132

Mia Perez
FL
32904

James Domke
2865 Catherine Dr Clearwater FL
33759

Michael Ebner
11633 NW 161st St Alachua FL
32615

Michael Deloye
2500 SW 10th St Boynton Beach FL
33426

Michael Flinn
34 Burgundy Delray Beach FL
33446

Michael Juneau
4231 Whistlewood Circle Lakeland FL
33811

Michael Goldberg
FL
33133

Michael Locascio
1341 Arbor Vista Loop, Unit 109 Lake
Mary FL
32746

Mike Lynch
1956 Coral Island Rd Pensacola FL
32506

Lewis Deene
FL
32117

George Radke
4648 SW 38 Terr Ft Lauderdale FL
33312

Michael Freire
1181 Aviary Road Wellington FL
33414

Michael Wagner
2201 SW 98th Ter Davie FL
33324

Michael Day
12610 Lynchburg Ct Orlando FL
32837

Mike Hoff
900 NE 45th Pl Ocala FL
34479

Michael Walkowski
FL
33311

Milton Lestz
565 Oaks Ln Pompano Beach FL
33069

Carmela Davis
1300 SE Starfish Ln Stuart FL
34996

Jorge Cano
FL
34207

Margery Wry
FL
33469

Virginia Anderson
FL
33066

Mindy Rapkin
FL
33312

maria ramirez
FL
33024

debbie weaver
FL
32117

Mira Kovacic
FL
33139

Mireya Rodriguez
FL
33187

Mirra Miller
FL
33467

Michelle Mondragon
601 Hermits Trl Altamonte Spg FL
32701

Carmen Plaza
FL
33021

Missie Eshbaugh
25861 Pebblecreek Dr Bonita Springs FL
34135

Meredith Miller
FL
33445

Terri Orebaugh
FL
33030

Linda Noyes
FL
33019

Misty Matthews
12642 Belcroft Dr Riverview FL
33579

Mitchel Fortner
6501 Main St Apt 104 Miami Lakes FL
33014

Juana Torres
779 Seneca Meadows Rd Winter Springs
FL
32708

Mark Barrett
3606 NE 67th Ter Silver Springs FL
34488

Matthew Blazek
FL
33428

Marsha McGraw
FL
34102

Mike Drake
PO Box 582 Inglis FL
34449

Mark Fields
72 S Ocean Blvd Apt 2 Delray Beach FL
33483

Mark Fields
FL
33483

Mark Fields
FL
33483

Marcia J Kasabian
FL
32958

Marc Krein
FL
34135

Mary Jo Sanchez
2674 Walnut Dr Palm Harbor FL
34683

Malcolm Mckinney
3864 NW 2nd Ct Deerfield Beach FL
33442

Mary Meek
FL
34105

Michael Nagy
FL
33155

Michael Richmond
PO Box 942 Intercession City FL
33848

Mike Kovach
1264 Mazurek Blvd Pensacola FL
32514

Mary Kay Siegel
609 E Orange St Tarpon Springs FL
34689

Mary Teas
FL
33157

Marianne Lachapelle
FL
32164

Peg Lancioni
FL
33908

Meaghan Leavitt
2601 53rd St N Saint Petersburg FL
33710

Merry Glisch
FL
32931

Mary Johnson
FL
32132

Mike Loucks
FL
32118

Paul Abrams
2652 Vining Street West Melbourne FL
32904

M L Ryan
2816 W Crown Pointe Blvd Naples FL
34112

Martin Luna
FL
33193

Marilyn Lustig
FL
32765

Molly Powers
FL
34219

maria ruiz
FL
33323

Marlonpl Medrano
FL
33025

Melvyn Grunthal
230 56th Ave S St Petersburg FL
33705

Maggie Hodges
Mmhodges22@gmail.com Tallahassee
FL
32312

Maggie Hodges
Mmhodges22@gmail.com Tallahassee FL
32312

Madelaine Axler
FL
33325

Massimo Maviglia
5505 67th Ave N Pinellas Park FL
33781

Michael Mcnally
FL
33908

Michelle Hummel
103 Lexington Pl Royal Palm Beach FL
33411

John Monschein
4932 Buttonwood Dr Melbourne FL
32940

Margie Schneider
FL
33905

Margie Schneider
FL
33905

Michele Stewart
512 Acacia Lane Nokomis FL
34275

Michael Myjak
FL
32780

Michael Nutini
2431 Del Aire Blvd Apt B Delray Beach
FL
33445

Michael Nutini
FL
33445

M.S. Nicholson
442 E Macewen Dr Osprey FL
34229

Norma Fleischer
263 Henley Dr Naples FL
34104

melissa herron
FL
34210

Karen Mobilia
FL
33137

Marlene Semple
FL
34987

Maureen Peterson
1316 Pasadena Ave S Apt 301 South
Pasadena FL
33707

Monica Smilko
FL
32219

Alycia Mohr
FL
33413

Rita O'Hearn
FL
34120

Tori Becker
FL
33931

Tori Becker
FL
33931

Marcella Oliveri
FL
34983

Marcella Oliveri
FL
34983

Melinda Henderson
4206 Mindi Ave Naples FL
34112

Monica Drake
1040 Lexington St Lakeland FL
33801

Jane Fasone
1010 SE 14th Pl Apt 7D Fort Lauderdale FL
33316

Jane Fasone
1010 SE 14th Pl Apt 7D Fort Lauderdale
FL
33316

Tereasa Flanagan
FL
32168

Tereasa Flanagan
FL
32168

Robin Keelor
FL
34117

Rose Monahan
FL
34238

Michael Monday
2297 SW 14th Ct Ft Lauderdale FL
33312

monica demertsidis
FL
32034

Monica Markesteyn
631 Vassar St Orlando FL
32804

Monica Willard
FL
34209

Monika Thomet
FL
34208

Kiarri Miller
FL
33189

Monique Perez
FL
33301

Carlos Montans
FL
33027

Monnah Mann
FL
32796

Wanda Moon
FL
33433

linda haskell
FL
32725

sara gruber
FL
33069

Morgan Belfer
110 Standish Dr Ormond Beach FL
32176

Morgan Terry
FL
33480

Grant Morris
FL
32955

Morris Matza
1900 Purdy Ave Miami Beach FL
33139

Jorge Pena
FL
33142

sofia Morycan
FL
33160

Erik Kishlicky
FL
33026

Monica Pineda
500 Conservation Dr Weston FL
33327

Maresa Luzier
2773 Cr 546A Bushnell FL
33513

Mark Polsky
3414 Emerald Oaks Dr Hollywood FL
33021

Margaret Rivers
16347 Cutters Ct Fort Myers FL
33908

Margaret Rivers
FL
33908

Raul Rodriguez-Pena
5535 Wishing Star Ln Greenacres FL
33463

Marylinda Ramos
FL
33160

Brian Smith
3259 Pinehurst Dr Lake Worth FL
33467

Daniel Hudson
6130 Pierce St Hollywood FL
33024

Michelle Reiken
FL
33445

Milton Reinoso
FL
33304

Pamela Leach
FL
33435

Maria Quinones
FL
33184

Maria Quinones
FL
33184

Maria Quinones
FL
33184

Maria Quinones
FL
33184

Mark Robbins
FL
33477

Marianne Robin Russo
FL
33064

Brendalee Lennick
420 E Park Ave Apt 33 Tallahassee FL
32301

Paula Hanson
FL
33462

Laura Kindred
FL
32119

Michael Thompson
4801 Big Oaks Ln Orlando FL
32806

David King
139 Seville Rd West Palm Beach FL
33405

David King
FL
33405

Michael Ruf
FL
32724

William Lundell
FL
32937

willaim Lundell
FL
32937

Ana Lima
FL
33136

Michael Siebel
5272 52nd Ave N St Petersburg FL
33709

Marilyn Scharpf
FL
32934

Monica Schmieler
510 Cranes Way Apt 307 Altamonte
Springs FL
32701

Marjorie Davis
4900 Gmd Dr Unit 306 Longboat Key
FL
34228

M S Dillon
4100 Malaga Ave Coconut Grove FL
33133

Michelle Seelos Apuzzo
FL
32779

jean vincent
FL
32640

Susan Gamble
FL
32951

Audrey Nichols
1806 Linda Ave Ormond Beach FL
32174

Mary Shabbott
FL
33950

Susan Kairys-Courech
237 Overlook Dr Chuluota FL
32766

Mary Stack
FL
32751

Mary Stack
FL
32751

Michelle Stone
FL
33029

Conswello Worthy
300 Conniston Way Boca Raton FL
33496

Marcia Toth
FL
33162

Terry Bulla
FL
32086

Terry Bulla
FL
32086

Tina Mossbarger
FL
34235

Sarah Schmidt
445 Cortez Rd NW Palm Bay FL
32907

Mary Swann
FL
32127

Nathan Fehr
FL
33469

Katie Muldoon
FL
33418

Suzanne Murphy Larronde
FL
34238

Deborah Szumski
FL
33445

Esteban E
FL
33145

Sean Vennett
PO Box 10571 Tampa FL
33679

Miguel Vasquez
FL
33176

Michael Violante
2121 S Ocean Blvd Pompano Beach FL
33062

Morgan Weber
FL
33980

Michele Wade
FL
33134

mark woolley
FL
32908

Michael Dunick
FL
33403

Dean Myers
1508 Satsuma St Clearwater FL
33756

Myles Robertson
2024 Ted Hines Dr Tallahassee FL
32308

Adrian Valdes
312 Westward Dr Apt 3 Miami Springs
FL
33166

Marcy Zerbini
FL
34953

Latoyia Small
FL
33133

Nancy Boyd
5115 Genesee Pkwy Bokeelia FL
33922

Jb Mitchell
FL
34221

Nadine Santos
FL
34135

Nicole Drucker
FL
32164

Sandra Bourbeau
FL
33917

Nancy Greenside
FL
33071

Lawrence Holtzman
11342 SW 69th Ter Miami FL
33173

Lawrence Holtzman
11342 SW 69th Ter Miami FL
33173

Mary- Ann Cofran
FL
32114

Nancy Mclaughlin
FL
34116

Nancy Costello
26455 S Tamiami Trl Bonita Springs FL
34134

Nancy Hanson
1803 Olive Ct Orange Park FL
32073

Nancy Nguyen
3239 Justina Rd Apt 30 Jacksonville FL
32277

Nancy Sadowsky
4225 SW 84th Ave Miami FL
33155

Nancy Bliss
2067 Villa Hermosa Ct Orlando FL
32822

Nancy Guadiane
FL
32175

Nancy Stamm
11885 Twin Creeks Dr Fort Pierce FL
34945

Nanette Cromartie
FL
33324

Nan Lighter
FL
34990

Nancy Nevacoff
FL
32080

Pamela Jarvis
FL
33138

Pamela Jarvis
FL
33138

Nan Byrne
7161 Lyle Ter # 3 Fort Myers FL
33907

Arlene Naranjo
3853 SW 21st Ter Gainesville FL
32608

Nasha Pisano
1153 W 42nd St Hialeah FL
33012

Natalie Alvarez
FL
33136

Natasha Groden
FL
32904

Migdalia Vendrell
FL
33955

Natalia Romero
FL
33172

Beth Weeks
FL
33415

Robert Harris
FL
32958

Darlene Wolf
1705 Gordon Dr Naples FL
34102

Nav Khalsa
FL
32765

Nancy Charre
FL
33157

Nicole Chatel
10370 SW 150th Ct Apt 9103 Miami FL
33196

Nicole Chatel
FL
33196

Nicola Giorgio
14 Jeff Rd Largo FL
33774

Iris Davidson
FL
33180

Noah Davids
FL
33186

Amy Kline
21070 Sweetwater Ln N Boca Raton FL
33428

Ned Skinner
525 Turnberry Ln Saint Augustine FL
32080

Fred Neesemann
FL
32082

Neika Garrison
PO Box 1102 Orange Park FL
32067

Neil Bacher
FL
33407

Helen Strader
5053 SW 34th Pl Ocala FL
34474

Nelson Ross
1441 Scout Dr Rockledge FL
32955

Elizabeth Nelson
615 Lakeland Ave Naples FL
34110

Elizabeth Nelson
615 Lakeland Ave Naples FL
34110

Enrique Baloyra
1012 NE 117th St Biscayne Park FL
33161

Carmen Arnold
7256 Saddle Rd Lake Worth FL
33463

Joyce Newman
1212 Santana St Coral Gables FL
33146

Newton Gregory
PO Box 570282 Miami FL
33257

Nancy Milewski
8391 Johnson St Pembroke Pines FL
33024

Nicole Ackerman
FL
33444

Nicole McAtee
2280 Hontoon Rd Deland FL
32720

Nancy Nicholson
FL
32796

Nick Schuhmann
FL
33071

Nick Robinson
3389 Florida Palm Ave Melbourne FL
32901

Nikki Harris
FL
34209

Nicole C
3451 Queens St Sarasota FL
34231

Patricia Mooney-Olkkola
1712 Apache St NE Palm Bay FL
32907

Nilda Howington
FL
33055

Nina Berlin
2840 66th St SW Naples FL
34105

Nilda Castro
FL
33141

Ariel Cintron
FL
33305

Nitya Samanich
FL
34113

James And Nancy Katzoff
6051 N Ocean Dr Apt 1504 Hollywood
FL
33019

Nancy Rothrock
FL
34952

jean sidor
FL
33759

Nancy Miller
2774 S Ocean Blvd Apt 209 Palm Beach
FL
33480

Nita Laca
3991 50th Ave S Saint Petersburg FL
33711

Lynn Needham
FL
33066

Nancy Meyer
FL
34957

Nina Nordgren
FL
33955

Natalia Trejo
FL
33055

Cody Larimore
28 S Graham Ave Orlando FL
32803

Matt Norby
FL
33040

Amber Johnson
FL
32707

Daniel Hess
FL
32707

Johnson Bell
FL
34951

Laura Guttridge
FL
32963

michael Guyette
FL
34109

Mary-Frances & Thom Mitchell
21 Sandpiper Dr St Augustine FL
32080

rachael riccobene
FL
34997

Norry Lynch
FL
33436

Frank Wilson
FL
32905

Renee M
FL
33029

Michele Balfour
124 E Turgot Ave Edgewater FL
32132

Norma Goldberg
FL
33027

Norma Gillis
FL
32080

Nancy Ricker
2362 Holly Ln Orange Park FL
32073

Leo Schaeferle
FL
33415

Nancy Siebert
2099 Van Orman Dr Deltona FL
32725

Wendy Tyler
611 Serendipity Dr Naples FL
34108

Wendy Tyler
611 Serendipity Dr Naples FL
34108

Isidoro Tamborello
1726 W Bedingfield Dr Tampa FL
33603

Nubia Guevara
FL
33161

Nelly Vega
4300 NW 37th Ave Miami FL
33142

Norma Washburn
33 Indian Trl Ormond Beach FL
32174

Neal Wiggan
FL
33458

Crystal Poncet
FL
34952

Maria Marte
FL
33177

Kelli Ferraro
FL
33312

Kelli Ferraro
FL
33312

Nicole Rizley
FL
33901

Herbert Rodriguez
FL
33909

Carolyn Kalmus
2501 W Golf Blvd Apt 226 Pompano
Beach FL
33064

JoAnne Rodriguez
FL
32244

oscar obando
FL
33141

D. Copp
11890 Rosalinda Ct Fort Myers FL
33912

Bonnie Malfese
405 73rd St NW Bradenton FL
34209

Beverly obryan
FL
33504

Mary Barber
FL
32129

Maria Gnam
FL
32951

Maria Gnam
FL
32951

Shannon Hogan
FL
33065

Pauline I Stacey
1846 Lindsey Ct Wellington FL
33414

Catherine Maxwell
FL
33060

Keith Koelling
492 Alice Dr Melbourne FL
32935

Keith Koelling
FL
32935

Olga Gary
13044 SW 108th Ave Miami FL
33176

Steven Odgis
FL
33432

Lisa Lamos
11413 Worcester Run Estero FL
33928

Mike Sell
840 NE 23rd Ave Pompano Beach FL
33062

John Klemundt
FL
32903

Peggy Kelly
8339 Lawfin St S Jacksonville FL
32211

Bonnie-Jean Creais
FL
33761

Olga Formichella
1621 Gulf Blvd, 506 Clearwater FL
33767

Carolyn Kruse
3737 43rd Ave N Saint Petersburg FL
33714

Olga Vallejo
FL
33428

Jeff Omans
FL
33418

Charlotte Brewer
FL
33032

Copley Smoak
3804 Cardinal Cir Bonita Springs FL
34134

Omodara Adonai
431 Dunblane Dr Winter Park FL
32792

Lisa Webb
FL
33478

Nichole O'Neil
118 Yacht Club Dr Apt 2 North Palm
Beach FL
33408

Parris Turner
8821 Lagoon St Tampa FL
33615

Travis Simmons
FL
34243

Onika Anglin
FL
33409

Orlando Olmo
FL
33852

Teresa Pemberton-jouany
2405 Darlene Dr Seffner FL
33584

Norris Williams
2430 NW 38th St Gainesville FL
32605

George Box
FL
34234

Barry Orlove
FL
33467

Rosanne Nangle
9759 Beauclerc Ter Jacksonville FL
32257

Bonnie Mauck
FL
33305

Judi Oswald
FL
32937

Otto Irizarry
FL
44423

Otto Grossmann
FL
33305

Vivian Spielbichler
621 Shore Dr Boynton Beach FL
33435

Otto Spielbichler
FL
33435

John Outland
1562 Tunghill Dr Tallahassee FL
32317

Olympia Zacharakis
555 NE 15th St Miami FL
33132

Evan Kaplan
FL
34108

Peter Cronas
2572 Privada Dr The Villages FL
32162

Patrick Delay
324 Prudence Ln Panama City Beach FL
32408

Paul Kripli
FL
32907

Michael Goggins
FL
34953

Marcelo Ferreyra
6600 Cypress Rd Apt 407 Plantation FL
33317

Marcelo Ferreyra
FL
33317

Jill Einsmann
FL
34431

Maria Novelli
FL
33309

Joanne Midkiff
FL
32931

Patti Pitts
FL
33408

Ivy Pruss
9815 Bahia Rd Ocala FL
34472

Clarissa Echezarreta
FL
33470

PAM NOLAN
FL
33311

Pam Robbins
FL
34113

Pamela Julson
2667 Shoni Dr Navarre FL
32566

Pamela Hare
1121 NW 10th St Boca Raton FL
33486

David Smith
301 Lullwater Dr Unit 281 Panama City FL
32413

Joseph Rindler
1135 Hall Ln Orlando FL
32839

Patricia Walker
FL
32935

Patti Walker
FL
32935

Michael Paris
9555 Blind Pass Rd St Pete Beach FL
33706

Janat Parker
FL
33186

John Parks
FL
34243

Linda Headley
121 SE 276th St Cross City FL
32628

Carroll Drake
FL
34237

Pamela Sennott
4890 Flagstone Dr Sarasota FL
34238

Lucia Pasqualini
FL
33486

Patricia Rabin
FL
34235

Pat Ander
2365 Lynn Lake Ct S St Petersburg FL
33712

Brenda Ferriolo
FL
33314

Ron Engebrecht
FL
34210

Patricia Finstad
FL
34232

Patricia ORourke
FL
33308

Pat MacFarland
FL
33305

Pat MacFarland
FL
33305

Patricia McDonald
FL
32792

Patrice Kennedy
FL
34239

patricia gaddis
FL
32114

Leanne Leeds
FL
34120

Patrisa Philips
3020 NE 32nd Ave, unit 1213 Fort
Lauderdale FL
33308

Selma Robinson
FL
32927

Patricia Seidensticker
2274 Hillview St Sarasota FL
34239

Helen Fenyo
10442 Autumn Breeze Dr Apt 102
Estero FL
34135

Paula Clark
3030 22nd St Vero Beach FL
32960

Paula Centofanti
FL
33009

Paul Pavone
635 Segovia Rd St Augustine FL
32086

Paula Rosasco
1748 Arbor Knoll Loop Trinity FL
34655

Paul Bagley
1152 Harbor Dr North Fort Myers FL
33917

Paulina Watson
FL
34285

Paul Kelley
64 Ocean Oaks Ln Palm Coast FL
32137

Paul Jones
FL
32132

paul saulo
FL
34221

Paul Thompson
FL
32967

Carmen Rodriguez
79 Canton Rd Lake Worth FL
33467

Philip Bettencourt
4378 Fletcher Ln Titusville FL
32780

P Van Ryn
7185 SW 22nd Pl Davie FL
33317

Patricia Caswick
FL
33189

Corinne Pezzati
FL
34240

Pauline Cruz
FL
34953

Pamela Culkin
FL
34292

patricia hetrick
FL
33404

Nicole Ramirez
FL
34996

margie RUEDA
FL
33126

Peg Hughes
FL
34234

Peggy Gibbs
2957 Donley St Pensacola FL
32526

Peggy Lee Mattingly
FL
32164

peggy thomas
FL
33324

Princess Delisle
FL
33460

Penny Appelbaum Goldman
FL
33076

Penny Birch-Williams
2025 San Marino Way S Clearwater FL
33763

Penny Nebrich
FL
32905

William Pritchard
13511 Woodcrest Blvd Panama City FL
32409

Jean Soporek
FL
34234

Lynda Perez
590 SW 10th Court Pembroke Pines FL
33023

Debra Hamilton
546 Oak Rdg E Lakeland FL
33801

Nancy Perty
FL
32080

Nancy Perty
FL
32080

Nancy Perty
FL
32080

Nancy Perty
FL
32080

perry welsh
FL
32904

Magda Santiago
427 Woodlark Dr Davenport FL
33897

Paulette Dinnerstein
143 Richmond F Deerfield Beach FL
33442

Judith Peter
2184 Pellam Blvd Port Charlotte FL
33948

Peter Herbert
PO Box 37712591 Ryegrass Loop
Parrish FL
34219

Peter Broderson
608 Fulton Rd Tallahassee FL
32312

Peter Campbell
4142 NW 13th Ave Fort Lauderdale FL
33309

Peter Vann
4588 Lake James Cir Edgewater FL
32141

William Shaw
1216 Central Ave Sarasota FL
34236

Howard Petlack
FL
33414

Terrienne Tuskes
14569 86th Rd N Loxahatchee FL
33470

Cindy Petruzelli
3725 winkler avenue extension Ft
Myers FL
33916

Jody Hallowell
FL
32909

Patrick Fogel
8767 E Jefferson St Floral City FL
34436

Patrick Furelos
FL
33161

Patrick Furelos
FL
33161

Daniel Galiszewski
FL
33319

Peter Schreiner
1674 Promenade Cir Port Orange FL
32129

Peter Harding
1534 Holly Oaks Lake Rd W Jacksonville FL
32225

Pamela Hatfield
FL
33406

Paula Fenda
FL
33472

Phillip Clark
14015 Wolcott Drive Tampa FL
33624

Joyce Groves
FL
33137

Alfred Sasiadek
FL
33137

Howard Barnes
FL
33177

Phyllis Hall
481 Forest Ct Altamonte Springs FL
32714

Brett Kieslich
111 Granada Blvd Davenport FL
33837

Rex Lowther
FL
32907

Willa Francis
FL
33948

Norma Salinas
FL
33126

Arlene Oakes
FL
34233

Brad Knight
326 W Lewis Ave Apopka FL
32712

Barry Friedman
19688 Dinner Key Dr Boca Raton FL
33498

Miriam Pagan
FL
33193

Armando Ubeda
FL
34233

Peter Thompson
FL
34293

John Mercer
4573 Hunting Trl Lake Worth FL
33467

Charles Pittman
4117 W Regency Ct Vero Beach FL
32967

Pamela Senn
8421 SW 201st St Cutler Bay FL
33189

Pamela Senn
FL
33189

Pamela Senn
FL
33189

Paul Groh
6443 Heronwalk Dr Gulf Breeze FL
32563

Phyllis Snyder
656 Broadway Apt 1 Dunedin FL
34698

Pablo Viteri
FL
33178

Philip Weber
FL
33063

Preston Kealer
FL
32909

Corrin Becker
FL
34286

Patrick Kroll
1715 Whittling Ct Fort Myers FL
33901

Dave Morris
FL
33912

Stephen Dickstein
4693 Barbados Loop Clermont FL
34711

Patricia Lynch
2318 Terry Ln Sarasota FL
34231

Lorraine Zimmerman
FL
33487

Paula. Hodges
317 William St. Key West FL
33040

Patricia Norton
2252 Appalachian Dr Melbourne FL
32935

Patrick Reyna
FL
33127

Michelle Kirstein
FL
32962

Gator Osceola
16945 SW 90th Terrace Cir Miami FL
33196

Bruce Blackwell
5000 SW 25th Blvd Unit 2124 Gainesville
FL
32608

Dorothy Pollock
FL
34293

Greta Pompa
FL
33414

Doris Pong
345 N FtI Bch Blvd Apt 201 Fort Lauderdale
FL
33304

Cheri Moore
116 Falls of Venice Cir Venice FL
34292

Nancy Metz
925 SW 52nd St Cape Coral FL
33914

Ronald Walton
FL
33981

Herbert Davis
FL
33054

Angela Porcelli
5636 100th Way N Saint Petersburg FL
33708

Jamie Roussel
6077 N Peardale Ter Beverly Hills FL
34465

Philip Owens
120 51st Street Cir E Palmetto FL
34221

Pam Patterson
4035 SW 113th Ct Miami FL
33165

Christy Carle
4211 52nd Pl W Bradenton FL
34210

Christy Nakama
4211 52nd place west BRADENTON FL
34210

Predrag Mihajlovic
7352 Rangi Dr Sarasota FL
34241

Ronald Prado
2460 NW 5th St Miami FL
33125

Catherine Anderson
1536 Mercado Ave Coral Gables FL
33146

Penny Cukier
10060 SW 2nd Street Plantation FL
33324

Tea Muslic
4635 83rd Ter N Pinellas Park FL
33781

Patricia Richards
FL
34207

Wilmarie Gonzalez
1507 Ormond Ave Apopka FL
32703

Roy Johnson
FL
32935

Priya Sridhar
FL
33156

Philip Kane
FL
34231

Patricia Kretzschmar
FL
33445

Brenda Probasco
1099 52nd St S Gulfport FL
33707

Barbara & Walter Probert
1522 SW 35th Pl Gainesville FL
32608

Joyce Fox
2971 Bravura Lake Dr Sarasota FL
34240

Anne Mc Kinney
2150 Arbor Way Mount Dora FL
32757

Patrick Reap
FL
33952

Peter Schumacher
FL
33913

Pedro Salgado
5310 Newton Ave S Gulfport FL
33707

Pam Stambaugh
FL
33953

Hilda Gilman
11455 SW 109th Rd Apt C Miami FL
33176

Ronald Cloud
2352 Forbes St Jacksonville FL
32204

Mary Virginia Dorfman
FL
34223

Sally Kaufmann
10499 SW 122nd Ct Dunnellon FL
34432

Stephen Pulley
FL
33309

Suzy Murphy
FL
32137

Paul Vilches
10000 SW 8th St Pembroke Pines FL
33025

Patricia Williams
FL
33486

Paul Witte
FL
32955

Peter Worley
10462 112th Way Largo FL
33778

Christopher Bueno
FL
33313

Sue Holland
1855 Lankcashire Ct Viera FL
32955

Helene Szabo
FL
33437

Ronda Piche
3529 N S St Pensacola FL
32505

Debora Williams
FL
32084

Richard Spadola
FL
33909

Querido Galdo
3105 Riverdale Rd The Villages FL
32162

Carl Skipworth
5715 Simms St Hollywood FL
33021

Carl Skipworth
5715 Simms St Hollywood FL
33021

Jean Martielli
FL
33139

Jamie Duncanson
FL
33411

Richard Lovesky
FL
34207

Roy Scutro
4979 Duson Way Rockledge FL
32955

Lori Bacon
FL
32259

Rachel Ohara
FL
34236

Lorne Carey
FL
32773

Laurel Morris
FL
33065

Ronald Agriesti
11750 Capri Cir S Treasure Island FL
33706

Cathryn Cizek
FL
32780

Raiza Pou
FL
33185

Vijay Jainanan
9284 sw 220th st Miami FL
33190

Roberto Bruce
FL
34109

Ralph Bellon
FL
34293

Ralph La Fontaine
3025 Old Bradenton Rd Sarasota FL
34234

Alexis Ramirez
FL
33015

Ramon Miguez
FL
33145

Rob Murchison
1232 SE 12th Ave Deerfield Beach FL
33441

niurka Pelea
FL
33175

Randall Love
FL
33334

Rand Lieber
1590 Weeping Willow Way Hollywood FL
33019

Raul Ortiz
1336 W Fowler Dr Deltona FL
32725

Raquel Myers
FL
33907

Ray Hetchka
FL
32034

Ray Kalinski
PO Box 700743 Saint Cloud FL
34770

Leslie Ray
FL
32901

Raymond Blumel Jr.
10659 Pearl Berry Loop Land O Lakes FL
34638

Raymond Burr
FL
33315

Judith Gamble
FL
32909

Randy Corbin
2020 Barcelona Ter Margate FL
33063

Robert Keim
PO Box 1327 Bushnell FL
33513

Ramesh Parikh
10039 Noceto Way Boynton Beach FL
33437

Robin Cargille
FL
33161

Ryan Cassell
FL
33133

Rafael Ciordia
FL
33161

Karolyn Couch
1610 Via Tuscany Winter Park FL
32789

Rachel Cohen
FL
32955

Robin Connell
755 NE 83rd Ter Apt 4 Miami FL
33138

Ricardo Canepa
FL
33304

Ramon Cros
FL
33015

Rachel Defauw
9239 Villa Entrada New Port Richey FL
34655

Ron Jordan
FL
33009

Adolfo Castellano
FL
33426

Patricia Bonello-Castellano
FL
33426

Reanna Green
FL
33445

Teresea Metzger
FL
32909

Reba B.
Nw 8th Ave Gainesville FL
32605

Rebecca Murphy
FL
32168

R Tedder
FL
33432

Rebecca Holden
FL
33478

Cheryl Morales
FL
33141

William Latimer
4 SW 11th St Deerfield Beach FL
33441

Maxine McKenzie- Materowski
FL
33417

Charles Rivera
FL
33326

Charles Rivera
FL
33326

Raymonnd Cole
FL
32754

Lory Doty
10238 SW 36th Trl Lake Butler FL
32054

Hermenegildo Vera-Gotzfried
FL
33134

Harry Jacobs
5816 NW Conus Ct Port Saint Lucie FL
34986

Rebecca Redford
5980 Shore Blvd. S., #804 Gulfport FL
33707

Shannon Simpson
FL
32119

Mark Wigginton
2226 Ryan Rd Fernandina Beach FL
32034

Katherine Godin
2226 Ryan Road Fernandina Beach FL
32034

Regina Goodman
FL
33319

Shannon Geis
FL
32169

Shannon Geis
706 Horton Street New Smyrna Beach FL
32169

MARILYN REMBERT
FL
33417

Sally Boisseau
2260 Lazy Ln Lazy Lake FL
33305

Renee Duncan
158 Dove Creek Dr Tavernier FL
33070

Renee Martinez
FL
33020

Robert Epler
FL
32940

Annmarie Anders
3990 75th St W Bradenton FL
34209

Karen Respress
3709 Bond Pl Sarasota FL
34232

Reuel Sherwood
4515 NW 45th Ct Tamarac FL
33319

Jim Bullock
4370 Coastal Hwy St Augustine FL
32084

Eileen Devlin
FL
34222

Edward Jon Derevere
4401 Tucker Sq New Port Richey FL
34652

Robert Wilson
FL
34209

Rexford Thompson
121 Crandon Blvd Apt 449 Key Biscayne
FL
33149

Daniel Reyes
FL
33142

Rosemary Alexander_dunn
FL
33063

Richard Georg
FL
32792

Raymond Gibson
2439 Hayes St Hollywood FL
33020

Raymond Gibson
FL
33020

Ryan Green
FL
32935

Ron Good
3464 Valley Creek Dr Tallahassee FL
32312

Robert Grottkau
FL
34243

Robert Gulley
FL
34109

Ronald Hammersley
1311 Welser Ave NE Palm Bay FL
32907

Richard Baker
FL
32958

Rhea Moss
9448 Palestro St Lake Worth FL
33467

Georgann Reichel
2461 NW 105th Ln Sunrise FL
33322

Lori Oas
3257 Fairview Dr Melbourne FL
32934

Robert Lyle
FL
33308

Rhonda Smith
FL
32117

Rita Feisthammel
3969 NE 167th St North Miami Beach FL
33160

Ricardo De Azevedo
FL
33129

Richard Fowlkes
66 Sand Dunes Rd Santa Rosa Beach FL
32459

Richard Beaulieu
FL
33317

Richard Beaulieu
FL
33317

Richard Henthorn
FL
33319

Richard Henthorn
FL
33319

Rich Chute
FL
33324

Richard Villadoniga
FL
32084

Richard Jones
FL
33913

Tracey Sickler
2958 Meginnis Arm Rd Tallahassee FL
32312

Richard Bourne
FL
33931

PEGGY ROSE MORRIS
2914 W PEARL AVE TAMPA FL
33611

Rick Piper
FL
32931

Fred Rilling
135 Inlets Blvd Nokomis FL
34275

Patricia Deluca
FL
34275

Rick Buttery
FL
32927

Lora Browne
FL
33312

William Rigo
FL
33463

Amelia Urso
655 Broadway Dunedin FL
34698

Troy Rippetoe
FL
34953

Blake Risch
FL
33901

Rita Jungman
305 E 8th Ave Havana FL
32333

Rita Bateman
175 1st St S St Petersburg FL
33701

Robert Bennett
3863 Enchanted Oaks Ln Sebring FL
33875

Rita Garvey
1715 Estelle Dr Clearwater FL
33756

Rita Starr
FL
33139

Rita Saker
FL
32080

Rosemarie Morris
FL
32796

Richard Ives
FL
33311

Robert Jeffrey
FL
33908

Marilyn Dempsey
FL
33458

Richard Kobbe
FL
34951

Roger Leff
FL
33025

Rebecca Neely
1230 Nottingham Dr Naples FL
34109

Rebecca Neely
FL
34108

Richard Segura
FL
32940

Ray Kenny
8723 44th Ave N Saint Petersburg FL
33709

richard payette
FL
32114

Richard Becker
FL
32224

Lee Buechler
FL
34236

Robin Hedrick
FL
34983

Richard Louttit
717 Berkshire Ter Saint Augustine FL
32092

Richard Martini
10344 Falls Grove St Orlando FL
32836

Robert Boggy
1400 NE 57th Ct Apt 302 Ft Lauderdale
FL
33334

Cathy Messersmith
FL
33605

Cathy Messersmith
FL
33605

Rebecca Willard
FL
34210

Rosemary Scully
5520 London Lake Dr Jacksonville FL
32258

Ron Smolenski
1847 Belmont Dr E Clearwater FL
33765

Janine Renner
FL
33418

Donna Nicholas
FL
34221

Ronald Bunn
4613 Clemens St Lake Worth FL
33463

Ron Warren
FL
33463

Steve Ness
FL
33954

Robertus Veldwijk
945 W Keller St Hernando FL
34442

Robert Shaw
FL
33334

Robert Blackgrove
8305 SW 160th St Palmetto Bay FL
33157

Rosemarie Ambrosio
FL
33021

Robert Oberdorf
8831 W Sunrise Blvd Plantation FL
33322

Robert Preiss
9764 Sills Dr E Apt 102 Boynton Beach FL
33437

Roberta Lopez
419 N O St Lake Worth FL
33460

Roberta Oswald
FL
34952

Robert Watson
2355 Centerville Rd Tallahassee FL
32308

Robert Heyden
4530 Slippery Rock Rd New Port Richey
FL
34653

Robert Mansito
4955 SW 75th Ave Miami FL
33155

Robert Posch
2131 N Ocean Blvd Apt 17 Fort Lauderdale
FL
33305

Robert Miller
14319 Village View Dr Tampa FL
33624

Robert O'Brien
972 Allamanda Dr Delray Beach FL
33483

Robert Sturgeon
FL
33950

Robyn Blair
FL
33478

Denise Bautz
FL
33907

Rosemary Tann
1910 NE 207th St Miami FL
33179

Rocio Lario
4930 30th Ave SE Naples FL
34117

Richard Rand
FL
33308

Jeannette Hall
FL
33952

sharlene gampel
FL
34972

Oswaldo Rocafort
FL
33183

Oswaldo Rocafort
FL
33183

Roch Polit
FL
34231

Roda Carter
FL
32835

Margarita Rodriguez
FL
34105

Roger Pszonowsky
FL
32908

roger pszonowsky
FL
32908

James Williams
FL
32609

James Williams
FL
32609

Roger Hall
3150 Hamblin Way Wellington FL
33414

rosemarie grubba
FL
34601

Rosalind Lutfey
FL
34953

Rosalind Lutfey
FL
34953

Roger Little
7600 18th Ave N Saint Petersburg FL
33710

Raul Roman
FL
33139

Ronald Eike
FL
32953

Ronald Wasdin
1975 Rada Ln North Port FL
34288

Ronald Stepp
FL
33157

Ronald Lipton
5753 16th Ave N Saint Petersburg FL
33710

Colonel Meyer
3701 Eagle Pass Street North Port FL
34286

Colonel Meyer
FL
34286

Ron Michel
952 SW 7th St Fort Lauderdale FL
33315

ron wood
FL
32901

Ronald Rosebrough
FL
33165

Ronald Withrow
1273 Wilbur Ct NE Palm Bay FL
32905

Ronne Kaufman
5020 Golfview Ct Delray Beach FL
33484

Rose Costa
FL
32086

Rosanne Strassberg
703 NE 120th St Biscayne Park FL
33161

Rose Nariman
FL
34243

Sharon Kaylor
5217 Suwannee Dr New Port Richey FL
34652

Rose Collins
20047 Castlemaine Ave Estero FL
33928

Rosemary Silva
FL
33445

R. Loeff
FL
33435

Rosemary Mills
FL
33981

Rossana Sopo
FL
33125

Ross Taylor
FL
33312

Elizabeth Roth
2109 NE 9th St Gainesville FL
32609

Valerie Gentile
FL
33478

Frank Roublick
FL
34112

Roy Bassett
35425 Francine Dr Zephyrhills FL
33541

Robert Brock
FL
32937

Robin Peterson
4735 Tara Woods Dr E Jacksonville FL
32210

Ruth Quintana
FL
33131

Robyn Reichert
6916 Stoney Creek Cir Lake Worth FL
33467

Robert Roloff
918 Cardinal St Naples FL
34104

Cesarina Somogy
3680 17th Ave SW Naples FL
34117

Richard Stover
FL
34232

Ronald Stubbs
FL
33129

Richard Arnold
FL
33410

Richard Szymanski
11915 SW 119th Place Rd Miami FL
33186

Richard Albright
FL
34104

Richard Albright
FL
34104

shelle mcdevitt
8101 south woods cir fort myers FL
33908

Richard Tobin
FL
32952

Rachel Toomim
FL
34233

Michael Quimby
FL
33142

Rodolfo Barrientos
11801 NE 6th Ave Biscayne Park FL
33161

Joan Rubin
750 SW 133rd Ter Apt 114 Pembroke
Pines FL
33027

Myra Ummer
325 Hanging Moss Cir Lake Mary FL
32746

Thea Surrey
FL
32901

Katherine McKittrick
405 Allspice ct Kissimmee FL
34759

Ruby Wood
200 Florence Ave Interlachen FL
32148

Trisha Rollings
8 Webb Pl Palm Coast FL
32164

Robert Guarascio
FL
33411

Iris Chang
FL
33161

Christine Gasco
13207 Boca Ciega Ave Madeira Beach
FL
33708

Babette Walsh
6770 NW 11th Ct Margate FL
33063

Elmo Dunn
FL
32779

Elmo Dunn
FL
32779

Russi Taylor
FL
33317

Charles Quaintance
FL
33477

Ruth Ann Wiesenthal-Gold
657 Hurst Rd NE Palm Bay FL
32907

Ruth Grassmann
FL
33060

Ruth Lawler
17697 NE 246th Pl Fort Mc Coy FL
32134

Rita Vidur
FL
33316

richard Wallace
FL
32952

richard Wallace
FL
32952

Robin Welch
FL
34761

Richard Briggs
FL
32080

Ronald Hammon
FL
33013

Ralph Robbins
FL
33309

Richard Wilkins
FL
32792

Mark Ryan
715 Ashford Oaks Dr Apt 201 Altamonte
Springs FL
32714

Ellen Arias
FL
33030

Luisa Patroni
6801 Harding Ave Miami Beach FL
33141

Susan Komsa
FL
34238

sonja Koppenwallner
FL
33324

Steve Wolfe
1231 Paradise Way Venice FL
34285

Steve Wolfe
FL
34285

Sara Mulvihill
FL
33967

Ellen Rosichan
2060 Alton Rd Miami Beach FL
33140

Mark Sackoor
805 Oak Forest Ct Deland FL
32724

Susan Admire
FL
33157

Anne-Marie Laney
FL
32976

Edwin Kline
8408 Hunting Saddle Dr Hudson FL
34667

Bruce Athey
200 1st Ave Apt 305 St Pete Beach FL
33706

Charles Singmaster
3813 Carupano Ct Punta Gorda FL
33950

Charles & Barbara Garbarino
FL
33950

Renee Pella
61 Buccaneer Dr Leesburg FL
34788

Fred St Clair
7347 44th Ter N West Palm Beach FL
33404

Stephanie Loudis
7820 SW 103rd Pl Miami FL
33173

John Hardy
FL
33064

David Weber
1512 SE Hearne Ct Port Saint Lucie FL
34952

William Pouzar
1432 Stone Trl Enterprise FL
32725

Sally McDonald
FL
34208

Sally Draper
FL
32953

Salvador Cohen
FL
33158

carole fox
7356 featherstone blvd sarasota FL
34238

Roger Landry
3152 Novus St Sarasota FL
34237

Samantha Turetsky
608 John Anderson Dr Ormond Beach FL
32176

Sarah Evans Murray
FL
33165

John Samuels
FL
33143

Sandra Marchione
FL
34293

Sandra Harris
FL
33319

Steven Anderson
16540 NW 126th CT Reddick FL
32686

Thomas Pittman
144 Landing Dr Leesburg FL
34748

Patricia Caetto
FL
34286

Sandra Boice
FL
32937

Sandra Hamasaki
FL
33156

Sandra Thompson
FL
33433

Alessandro Abate
FL
33166

Merry Sue Smoller
6621 SW 77th Ter South Miami FL
33143

Sandy Grindlinger
3499 Duar Ter North Port FL
34291

Sandy King
FL
34275

Sandy Maranesi
FL
33313

Tessa Pou
527 Lakeview Dr Coral Springs FL
33071

Sylvana Arguello
FL
33183

Ismael Santos
FL
33135

Sara Rafferty
7711 Great Glen Cir Delray Beach FL
33446

Sarah Arango
FL
33322

Sara Riedel
22428 Waterside Dr Boca Raton FL
33428

Saroj Earl
18734 NW 243rd St High Springs FL
32643

Mike Stone
2076 Piccadilly Circus Naples FL
34112

Saul Lesser
FL
33496

Savannah Davis
FL
32114

Donna Thomay
FL
33445

Shelby Proie
FL
33317

Mona Saxena
FL
33176

Sue Bennett Bara
FL
32137

Sylvia Hernandez
7601 E Treasure Dr Apt 1807 North Bay
Village FL
33141

Susan Chamish
6592 Blue Bay Cir Lake Worth FL
33467

Steve Bearl
2511 W Jean St Tampa FL
33614

Susan Dixon
FL
34219

Sue Boulais
809 Truman Ave Lady Lake FL
32159

Steven Bradbury
FL
33919

Susan Biccum
15842 Pine Lily Ct Clermont FL
34714

Sandra Brinker
FL
34238

Scott Brookover
1907 White Cedar Way Brandon FL
33511

Sharon Cooper
4030 W Palm Aire Dr Pompano Beach FL
33069

Mary Santa
FL
33334

Tina Endicott
1747 S Curlew Ln Homestead FL
33035

Patrick Schrader
FL
33334

janet sciorra
FL
32907

JAMES COPELAND
FL
33771

Sueann Nichols
FL
32119

Scott Hinson
FL
34983

Jo Mcmillan
5016 SW 104th Loop Ocala FL
34476

S Logan
FL
33131

Scott Rivers
5960 30th Ave S Gulfport FL
33707

Scott Miller
FL
33140

Scott MacGregor
FL
34209

Scott MacArthur
FL
33460

Scott Netts
FL
33062

David Scott
FL
32725

Denise Walder
FL
34288

Susan Severino
113 Reedy Creek Dr Frostproof FL
33843

Susan Severino
113 Reedy Creek Dr Frostproof FL
33843

Sare Deome
FL
32084

Susan Dorchin
7588 Mansfield Hollow Rd Delray
Beach FL
33446

Sunshine LaMont
5025 Ashley Lake Dr Boynton Beach FL
33437

Shelley Driskell
5994 Avenue of the Palms Weeki
Wachee FL
34607

Shelley Driskell
FL
34607

Barbara Searcy
FL
32091

donna zeigler
FL
32071

Michael Mckenna
FL
33024

sandra osser-gaspar
FL
32080

Douglas Miller
FL
33470

Seana Parker-Dalton
FL
32792

Susan DeWitt
8500 Ulmerton Rd Largo FL
33771

Suzanne Saunders
8455 13th St N Apt D Saint Petersburg
FL
33702

Emily Dugan
FL
32931

Sally Lindabury
FL
33908

Ingrid Clark
5701 Haines Rd N Lot 223 St Petersburg
FL
33714

Valerie Willard
FL
34219

Rick Chapel
4198 Fairway Pl North Port FL
34287

Sandra Gadzia
FL
34293

Steve Griffith
FL
32935

Steve Griffith
FL
32935

Susan Grundner
FL
33160

R Shafer
FL
33071

Shagg Catri
6224 Leeward Ln Melbourne Beach FL
32951

Susan Haines
FL
33462

Shannon Teper
32 Palm Dr Ormond Beach FL
32176

Shantall Harster
FL
33410

Shanti Copeland
12821 Cool Water Way Jacksonville FL
32246

Shari Jorge
FL
33486

Shari Mizrahi
FL
33432

Sharon Rich
2834 Regent Crescent South Daytona
FL
32119

Sharon Anjal
FL
32780

S.P. Franklin
955 New Waterford Dr Naples FL
34104

Shawn Sweeten
707 5th St Neptune Beach FL
32266

Shawnette Cole
FL
32736

Shawn Thompson
FL
33908

Sharron Kovel
15640SW 80th St Apt 204 Miami FL
33193

Sherri Fuchs
10847 Cypress Glen Dr Coral Springs
FL
33071

Shayne DePasquale
FL
33073

Siobhan Shea
PO Box 2436 Palm Beach FL
33480

Sheila Marshall
FL
32244

Sheila Strenkert
FL
34113

mary laughlin
FL
33322

Norman Desrosiers
FL
32934

Christi Sherouse
FL
33146

Sherri Serino
FL
32130

Sherrie Keating
200 Country Club Dr Ormond Beach FL
32176

Sherrie Keating
FL
32176

Sherrie Pelt
1791 Poinciana Ave Titusville FL
32796

Sherrie Pelt
FL
32796

Sam Herron
FL
34292

Sherry Steiner
PO Box 141693 Gainesville FL
32614

Sheryl Suissa
FL
33301

Don Utzman
3618 Highland Fairways Blvd Lakeland FL
33810

Shirley Douglas
FL
32713

Shirley Williams
464 Paula Dr N Dunedin FL
34698

Susan Hochanadel
FL
32080

Kathy Collins
FL
32092

Lisa Mateas
790 Lytham Cir Osprey FL
34229

Komal Bhojwani
1655 Washington Ave Miami Beach FL
33139

Stuart Singer
FL
33435

Sharon Hillman
3631 W Commercial Blvd Fort
Lauderdale FL
33309

Scott Hutter
3112 Dowling Dr Tallahassee FL
32309

Shawn Cloninger
FL
33578

Richard Siegel
811 NE 59th Ct Ft Lauderdale FL
33334

Mike Anglin
FL
33436

LOU FISHER
FL
33028

Ellen Porter
FL
34232

Vince L
129 Reserve Cir Apt 109 Oviedo FL
32765

Ethel Dumas
30641 Pumpkin Ridge Dr Wesley Chapel
FL
33543

Mindy Kay
FL
32746

Mary Lou Hofmann
FL
32086

Sandra Koop
718 W Rich Ave Deland FL
32720

Susan Termini
FL
32952

Stephanie Whitney
FL
34208

Shelley King
FL
32136

Kevin Samms
5120 Avignon Ct Orlando FL
32839

Robert Keiser
FL
33143

Clarke Kirby
20610 Fruitful Dr Estero FL
33928

S. Korn
9050 NW 28th St Apt 111 Coral
Springs FL
33065

stephen koury
1029 rustic estates dr stephen FL
33811

Steven Koury
1029 Rustic Estates Dr Lakeland FL
33811

Karen Turnbull
FL
33950

Mary Dinino
FL
33063

Sherri Lademann
FL
32136

Sonja De La Cruz
1113 E Paul Russell Rd Tallahassee FL
32301

John Slattery
FL
33441

Mark Milik
FL
33467

carol springer
FL
32773

Stephanie Lewis
321 Charlemagne Cir Ponte Vedra Beach
FL
32082

Lawrence Cromwell
9895 SE Osprey Pointe Dr Hobe Sound
FL
33455

Susan Hunt
FL
32779

Stephanie M Lewis
FL
33147

William Davis
1425 Yates Street Orlando FL
32804

Susan Jacob
3006 Sherry Dr Orlando FL
32810

Tom Orehowsky
FL
34209

Chris Coston
FL
33029

Alec Harding
FL
34241

Richard Stevenson
339 Petty Dr Cantonment FL
32533

Richard Stevenson
339 Petty Dr Cantonment FL
32533

Shawn Lyon
3522 Greatbear Ct Orlando FL
32810

Sandra Beltran
FL
33133

Sandra Beltran
FL
33133

Stella Mason
308 Cocomanut Ave Sarasota FL
34236

suzanne mcelroy
FL
33403

Susan Mcdonough
FL
34207

Alice Smith
513 Middle River Dr Ft Lauderdale FL
33304

Sid Snider
PO Box 543 Reddick FL
32686

Steven Carter
8935 Sonoma Lake Blvd Boca Raton FL
33434

Sheilah Ball
900 Oak Ridge Rd St Augustine FL
32086

Stephanie Norman
825 NW 10th ave Gainesville FL
32601

Stephen Stephan
FL
33304

Katherine Sogolow
5760 Bee Ridge Road Ext Sarasota FL
34241

Katherine Sogolow
FL
34241

Shari Oconnor
11036 Windsor Place Cir Tampa FL
33626

Linda Criuse
29120 Poinsetta Ln Big Pine Key FL
33043

Estela Pulido Duran
FL
33161

Alejandra Sola
FL
33484

Jeff Hanna
1608 Maple St Nokomis FL
34275

Stephen Tognoli
1507 Madison St Hollywood FL
33020

Jerry Gremling
FL
33415

Suzy Gordon
FL
33462

Sondra Fitzgerald
1360 Tall Maple Loop Oviedo FL
32765

Sonia Rego
5 Burgundy Pl Palm Coast FL
32137

Thomas Crockett
FL
34223

Al Buono
8630 NW 10th Pl Plantation FL
33322

Sonya Myers
FL
32950

Suzy Berkowitz
FL
33470

Sophia Denardo
FL
33606

Sophia Fonseca
FL
33029

Sophia Tarte
8837 92nd St Seminole FL
33777

Catherine Nuccio
FL
34116

Lisa Thomas
FL
33414

Cosmo Gatto
1938 N Highland Ave Clearwater FL
33755

Scott Page
6973 Premonition Dr Melbourne FL
32940

Scott Page
6973 Premonition Dr Scott FL
32940

Gabrielle Granofsky
27150 Soult Rd Brooksville FL
34602

Ronald Rader
FL
33955

Albert Arauz
FL
33193

Suzanne Giddings
FL
34119

Aaron Applebaum
298 NE 2nd Cir Boca Raton FL
33431

Camilla Spicer
142 Sinclair St SE Port Charlotte FL
33952

Theodore Spiliotes
FL
33304

Pam Arthur
FL
34997

Maurice Spitz
FL
33132

Rick and Kathy Spalding
FL
34994

Brigitte Clary
FL
33073

Diane Cascini
FL
32092

Steve Schildwachter
17226 Lake Ingram Rd Winter Garden
FL
34787

Judith Brouard
FL
32901

Susanna Purucker
900 West Ave Miami Beach FL
33139

Daniel Ferry
FL
34208

Kerry Bienvenue
FL
32244

Sheila ressel
4320 s coolidge ave tampa FL
33611

Sarah Roland
1780 Setting Sun Loop Casselberry FL
32707

Sheela Gaaby
6832 SW 68th St South Miami FL
33143

Gina Cockrell
FL
34231

Stephanie Ryan
7621 rose ave Orlando FL
32810

Susan Sander
2325 Sutton Pl Clearwater FL
33763

Silvio Sandino
881 Ocean Dr Key Biscayne FL
33149

Steve Schafir
1709 Whitehall Dr Apt 301 Davie FL
33324

Linda Schulman
416 Conn Way Vero Beach FL
32963

Sandra Sherman
2 Tarragona Ct St Augustine FL
32086

Sheila Lobel
FL
33319

Sandi Pray
FL
32259

Susan Sponnoble
FL
33321

Sandra Stein
FL
33025

Scott Goncalves
FL
33325

Stanley Smith
FL
33027

Staci Garber
FL
33776

Stacy Bouilland
1016 SW 21st Ave Boca Raton FL
33486

Stanley Foshay
1848 W Jena Ct Lecanto FL
34461

Stanley Pannaman
FL
33321

Stanley Pannaman
7301 NW 75th Ct Tamarac FL
33321

Robert Fleck
835 Banbury Dr Port Orange FL
32129

Jennifer Sempe-Suarez
FL
33015

Elisa Barnett
FL
32738

EsAstella Coker
FL
32703

Melanie Friend
343 Wilson Avenue Daytona Beach FL
32114

Stella Mariani-Gonzalez
FL
33133

Pasquale Stellato
329 Glenn Rd West Palm Beach FL
33405

Sean Stenson
FL
32129

Stephanie Travers
1711 Stonehaven Dr Boynton Beach FL
33436

Stephan Medcalf
FL
33136

Stephen Sutton
3606 W Lykes Ave Tampa FL
33609

Stephen Howard
6911 Aloma Ave Winter Park FL
32792

Stephen Scheeren
9020 NE 8th Ave Miami Shores FL
33138

L.j. Stetson
FL
33487

Steve Adler
FL
33435

Steve Henderson
818 W Tropical Way Plantation FL
33317

Steven Hemping
8073 Tiger Lily Dr Naples FL
34113

Steve Cook
FL
34983

Steven Martin
FL
34285

Steven Newby
13371 NE 76th St Bronson FL
32621

S Everett
808 53rd Ave E Bradenton FL
34203

Stephen Vignet
9962 NW 2nd Ct Plantation FL
33324

Steven Zeit
FL
32907

Scott Curtis
FL
34108

Dana Huffman
8400 49th St N Apt 1518 Pinellas Park
FL
33781

Shelli Ecklund
FL
33483

James Boyle
FL
33020

James Boyle
FL
33020

Susana Padula
FL
33445

Maryann Piccione
2202 Arcadia Rd Holiday FL
34690

Patty Street
125 Wilson Springs Rd Fort White FL
32038

Brett Robert
1295 NW 87th Avenue Coral Springs FL
33071

Brett Robert
1295 NW 87th Avenue Coral Springs FL
33071

Tanya Downs
2161 NW 122nd Ave Plantation FL
33323

Stefan Taylor
7517 N 40th St Apt F204 Tampa FL
33604

Heather Huddleston
FL
32806

Susan Snyder
730 SW 191st Ave Pembroke Pines FL
33029

Susan Hoffmann
FL
33063

Sue Lang
FL
34285

Susan Linden
1061 Hunt St NW Palm Bay FL
32907

Susan Campbell
FL
34109

Whitni Watters
24 Riberia St Saint Augustine FL
32084

Lisa Bromiley
FL
34203

Adele Kappel
FL
32174

Summer Ankiel
1061 Providence Ln Oviedo FL
32765

David Nielsen
6228 Sprinkle Dr N Jacksonville FL
32211

Maryanne Owens
FL
34208

Brian Norton
FL
33020

Brian Norton
FL
33020

Vicki Messier
FL
34275

Leanne Tucker
492 Alice Drive Melbourne FL
32935

Angela Wade
430 Cellini Ave NE Palm Bay FL
32907

Gary Pollack
2352 SW 17th Pl Deerfield Bch FL
33442

Sandra Dubeau
FL
33467

Kathy Walsh
350 8th Ave N Apt 11 Tierra Verde FL
33715

Amy Diamond-Brewer
FL
32080

Carolyn Logan
FL
34239

Sujayris Recio
FL
33142

Susan Demis
FL
34957

Susan Roach
6329 Park Ln W Lake Worth FL
33449

Susan Stavros
809 Wayne Avenue Altamonte Springs
FL
32701

Susan Long
921 E Broad St Tampa FL
33604

Susan Aziz
11210 Golfridge Ln Boynton Beach FL
33437

Susan Campbell
472 Arbella Loop The Villages FL
32162

Susan Ross
575 Lowell Ave NW Port Charlotte FL
33952

Susan Ross
FL
33952

Susan Holcombe
6876 Blue Bonnet Dr Cocoa FL
32927

Susan Wills
4600 Lillian Hwy Pensacola FL
32506

Susan Nesbitt
FL
34203

Susan Nesbitt
FL
34203

Susan Perrow
3150 N Harbor City Blvd Apt 143
Melbourne FL
32935

Susan Sanchez
FL
34236

Suzann Maass
FL
32086

Suzanne Ackel
1010 Seminole Dr Apt 912 Fort
Lauderdale FL
33304

Suzan Roll
FL
34990

Renee Gauthier
2270 Deerwood Acres Dr Saint
Augustine FL
32084

Lonnie Brubaker
FL
34984

Stephanie Victoria
FL
33186

Stephen Wallace
FL
34285

Tim Anderson
FL
32953

Nidia Swanson
FL
33028

Aby Rodriguez
1080 94th St Bay Harbor Islands FL
33154

Katherine Fellin
FL
34243

Susanna Werner
1435 E Venice Ave # 169 Venice FL
34292

Linda Paleias
FL
33308

William Sywetz
FL
32940

Rachel Jett
1017 Fox Trace Ct Port Orange FL
32127

Sydell Rosen
5 Windsor Ln Boynton Beach FL
33436

Sylvia Martin
FL
33305

Ash Decker
2920 NW 69th Ave Margate FL
33063

Margaret Szymanski
FL
34119

Margaret Szymanski
FL
34119

Margaret Szymanski
FL
34119

Margaret Szymanski
FL
34119

Michael Harrison
800 N Pokeberry Pl Saint Johns FL
32259

Thomas Harrison
FL
32259

Michelle Thomas
FL
32214

Diane Tabbott
2280 Shepard Street Jacksonville FL
32211

Ashby Cathey
FL
33126

Ashby Cathey
8631 NW 4th Ter Apt 7 Miami FL
33126

Tad Swackhammer
9911 Martinique Dr Cutler Bay FL
33189

Tom Patalano
FL
34291

Julius Roehl
1213 12th Ter Palm Beach Gardens FL
33418

Tammie Landers
2213 Harvard Ave Fort Myers FL
33907

Tammy Bobbitt
4321 Dewey Dr New Port Richey FL
34652

Tracey Sadiq
FL
32713

Tim & Karen Anderson
FL
34239

Tania Cocito
FL
33498

Tara Lawrence
1700 Glen Rd West Palm Beach FL
33406

Tara Warfield
FL
33928

Colin Tardiff
FL
34293

Michael Rhodes
FL
32713

Toni Saul
300 Sunrise Dr Apt 2B Key Biscayne FL
33149

Tatiana Yurchenko
FL
33160

Tatum Hammer
1917 Redbridge Dr Brandon FL
33511

Paul Motes
FL
33026

Tim Bourgault
FL
32164

Bonnie O'Keefe
PO Box 3043 Deland FL
32721

Curtis Thompson
5411 Ravenswood Rd Fort Lauderdale
FL
33312

Thomas Dague
FL
33983

Anne Daimler
1725 W Beresford Rd Deland FL
32720

Thomas Deasy
FL
32136

Tom Dumas
FL
32202

Tom Dumas
FL
32202

Teresita Lopez
FL
3317u

Mary Kuhnley
FL
32174

Leah Stables
FL
32095

Juan Godoy
1470 SW 159th Ave Pembroke Pines
FL
33027

Marie Camarata
FL
33472

Ted Rickel
FL
33146

Tracy Ellison
FL
33064

Teresa Adams
1373 Sequoia Rd NW Palm Bay FL
32907

Teresa Ligorelli
3044 Hamblin Way Wellington FL
33414

Teri Cotter
6141 SW 48th St Miami FL
33155

Terie Ramos
FL
33187

Teresa Bartley
FL
32958

Terri Rand
305 S 9th St Leesburg FL
34748

Terrie Maines
4711 W Estrella St Tampa FL
33629

Terrie Urban
FL
32903

Terri Hartman
FL
34997

T Simon
225 Mark Twain Ln Rotonda West FL
33947

Florida_Friend Last_florida
FL
33712

Michigan friend Last_michigan
109 E Grand River Ave Lansing MI
48906

Terri Fazzari
FL
33458

Tony Flaris
1608 1st St Neptune Beach FL
32266

Toni Gandel
FL
34987

Tina Gardner
5464 Rollins Ave Jacksonville FL
32207

Theresa Geiger
FL
32064

Thomas Warrington
20390 Pezzana Dr Venice FL
34292

Rainbow Thacker
1806 Cincinnati Ave Panama City FL
32405

Jessica Leis
3308 Rowena St Sarasota FL
34231

Jessejames Antonelli
FL
33319

Robert Carter
715 N B St Lake Worth FL
33460

Rosa Batlle
FL
33129

Cyndi Cox
13444 Gran Bay Pkwy Apt 620
Jacksonville FL
32258

Joan Leece
1626 Peregrine Cir Unit 407 Rockledge FL
32955

Angela Smith
FL
33442

Charlotte Ackerman
FL
33952

Jesse Sable
FL
33462

Diane Barron
FL
33176

Kathleen McCall
FL
32901

Stanley Ring
FL
34287

Therese Hildebrand
FL
32148

steve arnold
FL
32819

William Thissen
FL
32909

William Thissen
FL
32909

Terrance Hood
FL
33478

Tricia Holliday
FL
32765

Thomas Mccall
1083 Landers St Ormond Beach FL
32174

Thomas Blazier
FL
33917

Thomas Van De Steeg
5151 4th St N Lot 344 Saint Petersburg FL
33703

Timothy Leslie
2517 NW 52nd Ave Gainesville FL
32605

Janell Curtis
140 Cabana Way Crestview FL
32536

Richard Tiberius
FL
33133

Diana Umpierre
1105 NW 122nd Ter Pembroke Pines FL
33026

Tiffany Wills
7200 NW 2nd Ave Boca Raton FL
33487

Donna Sweeney
1290 9th St Apt 201 Daytona Beach FL
32117

Timothy Moore
661 Segovia Ct NE St Petersburg FL
33703

Timothy Boyens
1330 West Ave Apt 2606 Miami Beach
FL
33139

Tim Boyens
FL
33139

Karin Robinson
FL
32732

Tim Oswald
425 NE 27th Dr Wilton Manors FL
33334

Timothy Oswald
FL
33334

Tim Devine
FL
33173

Judy Moran
6109 N Star Dr Panama City FL
32404

TIMOTHY VANBUREN
FL
32953

Tina Corona
FL
33023

Tina Lubore
FL
33309

Tina Stitzer
FL
33027

Tirso Moreno
1050 S Hawthorne Ave Apopka FL
32703

Maria Perez
FL
33444

Tita Sokoloff
1829 W 30th St Panama City FL
32405

Teresa Jenkins
FL
34114

Teresa Jenkins
FL
34114

Tiffany Freer
709 SW 3rd St Gainesville FL
32601

Thomas Robinson
FL
33029

Tom Jenkins
97 Matanzas Ave Saint Augustine FL
32080

Ted Kaplan
102 Little Oak Ln Altamonte Springs FL
32714

Terri Roberts
FL
33469

Rebecca Potter
1417 Michigan Dr Lake Worth FL
33461

Tary Mann
FL
32937

Tina Crumpacker
FL
33931

Thomas DeBoni
16708 Coriander Lane Fort Myers FL
33908

Tony Michaelyn Milidantri
FL
32137

Tom Osborn
FL
33917

Ron Wilson
5028 SW Elk River Court Palm City FL
34990

John Campbell
288 River Dr Tequesta FL
33469

Toby Friedman
5402 NW 54th Dr Coconut Creek FL
33073

CALVIN WALKER
FL
33161

Todd Dorschel
FL
33435

Thomas Poulson
FL
33458

Tomas Conde
FL
33954

Tom Southern
60 NE 16th St Homestead FL
33030

Tommy Zamplus
FL
33435

Thomas Perez
6196 Noel Ln Mims FL
32754

Toni Aros
FL
32958

Toncy Bilicich
FL
33063

Antonia Gary
807 Cypress Trails Dr Tarpon Springs
FL
34688

Tony Delia
991 SW 15th St Deerfield Beach FL
33441

Tony Hernandez
FL
33029

Anthony Marici
290 Naples Cove Dr Apt 2301 Naples
FL
34110

William Graham
FL
32164

Debra Topping
FL
34291

Lourdes Valdes
FL
33177

Tracy Nowell
FL
34293

Miriam Y Ortiz
FL
32725

Eric Wells
FL
32927

Terry & Charlene Proeger
755 Indian Beach Ln Sarasota FL
34234

Tracey Planinz
FL
32765

Paul Sadiq
344 Sabal Springs Ct Debary FL
32713

Tracey Sadiq
344 Sabal Springs Ct Debary FL
32713

Tracy White
FL
32967

Tracy Perez
FL
34117

Kim Stern
FL
33432

Kenneth Lee
FL
32904

Theresa Freeman
FL
32955

Tree Gallagher
FL
32952

Trisha Garland
26546 Glenwood Dr Zephyrhills FL
33544

Nadine James
FL
32703

Dorothy Tindall
FL
34221

Trooper Lee
FL
32129

Dimitra Arneson
FL
34114

Renee Roig
FL
33950

Timothy Rose
2151 NE 42nd Ct Apt 123 Lighthouse
Point FL
33064

Thomas Rossetos
FL
32086

Ralph Troutman
19701 NW 6th Ct Miami FL
33169

Ted Padgett
FL
34224

William Kelley
414 W Rossetti Dr Nokomis FL
34275

Tami Schreurs
FL
33472

Tom Bryson
10951 NW 29th Ct Sunrise FL
33322

Terry Freedman
2678 NW 64th Blvd Boca Raton FL
33496

Troy Toggweiler
315 Dartmouth Ave Melbourne FL
32901

Mary T Smith
FL
32128

Keith Travers
3308 Creekridge Rd Brandon FL
33511

lee wesselt
FL
32164

Robin Krivanek
3016 Turtle Gait Ln Sanibel FL
33957

Tracey Walden
FL
32168

Thora Wagner
FL
34287

Lisa Tweedell
1438 Tropical St Key West FL
33040

Christine Widmer
FL
33976

theresa hall
FL
32926

David Twigg
8951 SW 60th Ter Miami FL
33173

anthony wyne-robert
FL
33315

Bonnie Mclean
2319 N 15th Ave Pensacola FL
32503

Kelly Thomas
FL
33449

Donald Udelson
11906 SW 48th St Cooper City FL
33330

Michael Adler
FL
32601

Ingrid Deckelman
35 Blaine Dr Palm Coast FL
32137

Albert Koehler
FL
33410

Frank Black
FL
33455

Clara Gonzalez
FL
33176

Gregory & Geraline Butts
8843 Founder Circle Palmetto FL
34221

Alicia Addeo
970 85th Ave N Apt 210 Saint Petersburg
FL
33702

Gary Nuccio
FL
34116

Maria Rosa Bradley
FL
33181

Valerie Cribb
5699 Ashton Lake Dr Sarasota FL
34231

Virginia Vega-Siferd
FL
33144

Valentina Mamut Sosa
FL
33139

Valentin Marino
12721 SW 37th St Miami FL
33175

Valerie Sebring
FL
33487

Valerie Friedman
FL
32819

Barbara Schwartz
3827 Ne 17Th Street Circle Ocala FL
34470

Barbara Schwartz
3827 NE 17th Street Cir Ocala FL
34470

Barbara Schwartz
3827 Ne 17Th Street Circle Ocala FL
34470

Maryann Vella
5700 NW 2nd Ave Boca Raton FL
33487

Vanessa Berman
FL
33028

Valerie Kessler
FL
33065

Vanessa Carbia
5704 SW 86th Dr Gainesville FL
32608

Vincent E. Frazzini
FL
33417

Anand Prabhakar
300 Three Islands Blvd Apt 3A
Hallandale Beach FL
33009

Vita Casale
FL
32137

Sharon Russick
1240 SE 3rd Ct Apt 10 Deerfield Beach
FL
33441

Janice McSween
FL
34293

Vera Hamady
530 Lavers Cir Apt 358 Delray Beach FL
33444

Vernelle Nelson
6606 NW 73rd St Tamarac FL
33321

Clara Vertes
FL
33173

Christine Miller
10903 Autumn Oak Pl Tampa FL
33618

Vera Green
4737 Dolphin Cay Ln S St Petersburg FL
33711

Vivian Hernandez
FL
33055

Leonardo Viani
8010 Tatum Waterway Dr Apt 6 Miami
Beach FL
33141

Vicki Matheny
16435 SW 14th Avenue Rd Ocala FL
34473

Vicki Flaherty
FL
34609

Vicki Jones
1327 Cottonwood Trl Sarasota FL
34232

Vicki Thacker
FL
32935

Vicki Ginsburg
1530 sw 161st St. Ocala FL
34473

Vincent Terpe
24659 Buckingham Way Punta Gorda FL
33980

Mark Ackerman
FL
34234

Martha Vinick
5551 Dunrobin Dr Unit 4301 Sarasota
FL
34238

Virginia Mendez
2365 NE 173rd St North Miami Beach FL
33160

Andrea Smith
FL
33351

Don Fisher
120 S Church Ave Unit 203 Tampa FL
33609

M L Klein
FL
33351

Lynda Volaric
FL
33024

Peter Serrano
2714 W Dewey St Tampa FL
33607

Victoria Roth
FL
33437

Vivian Self
4262 Castlewood Rd Seffner FL
33584

Valerie Styles
112 Borada Rd Sanford FL
32773

Vivian Thanos
FL
33063

Victor Torres
12038 NW 13th St Pembroke Pines FL
33026

Vanessa Urbina
FL
32771

Amber Miller
136 Rolling Sands Dr Palm Coast FL
32164

Nicole Licourt
FL
34117

Wesley Thompson
3734 Jamestown Ln Jacksonville FL
32223

WADE BOYLES
FL
33305

William Fisk
2105 Redwood Cir NE Palm Bay FL
32905

Fred Wahl
FL
33308

Marcia Miller
880 SW Hamberland Ave Port St Lucie FL
34953

Walter Ferreyra
FL
33142

Judi Travis
14805 Quay Ln Delray Beach FL
33446

Kimberly Walker
1213 Radis Pl Jacksonville FL
32225

Rickey Walker
1513 SW Andalusia Rd Port St Lucie FL
34953

Marilyn Walker
FL
33034

Tina Walker
FL
33440

Tina Walker
FL
33440

Tina Walker
FL
33440

Edward Wallace
4250 A1A S Unit G11 Saint Augustine FL
32080

Edward Wallace
4250 A1A S Unit G11 Saint Augustine FL
32080

Waleshka Estien
FL
33024

Walter Trauner
FL
34209

Jeanne Cardillo
FL
33441

John Pallotta
FL
34243

G A Symanski
FL
34228

Paula Warner
FL
32908

Manuel Pradilla
10109 SW 127th St Miami FL
33176

Joe Stanton
1911 Burlington Ave N St Petersburg FL
33713

Wayne Lyford
FL
34946

William Becker
FL
33311

Karol Bryan
4438 Hunting Trl Lake Worth FL
33467

Wsrren Cestare
FL
33431

William Claiborn
106 Calle Del Paradiso Venice FL
34285

Calvin Hayes
FL
33056

Ronald Schwartz
13130 Cross Creek Blvd Apt 112 Fort
Myers FL
33912

Jessica Hoyt
FL
33160

Guy & Joyce Weddle
2350 Plum Ct Pembroke Pines FL
33026

Paul & Coky Michel
FL
33165

Shirley Lippi
306 Sabal Park Pl Apt 102 Longwood
FL
32779

Wendy Joffe
FL
33133

Wendy Scruggs
FL
32118

Karen West
204 Clusterwood Dr Yalaha FL
34797

Barbara Brunckhorst
FL
33404

George Chesrow
FL
33146

Wanda Gustafson
1383 Illinois St NW Palm Bay FL
32907

Wanda Gustafson
1383 Illinois St NW Palm Bay FL
32907

Lynn Schneider
606 105th Ave N Naples FL
34108

Steve Woodman
2079 Broad Oak Dr Jacksonville FL
32225

William Heer
FL
32927

Waldo Graton
4131 SE Peterson Ln Stuart FL
34997

Larry Whipple
FL
33145

Bruce Pettingill
FL
32789

Penelope White
FL
33062

Veda Simpson
FL
34207

Brian Ford
FL
32927

William Kostrzewski
PO Box 12193 Miami FL
33101

Lisa Leikus
FL
33019

Lisa Leikus
FL
33019

Faith Houck
7530 NW 96th Ter Tamarac FL
33321

Grant Campbell
FL
33312

Grant Campbell
FL
33312

Bradley Smith
2809 NE 2nd Pl Cape Coral FL
33909

Katy Whitehouse
FL
33909

Bradley Smith
FL
33909

Steven Wilkie
5551 Lockett Rd A13 Fort Myers FL
33905

David Coxwell
4080 King Arthur Dr Pensacola FL
32514

Brian Wilson
441 Anastasia Ave Coral Gables FL
33134

Brian Wilson
441 Anastasia Ave Coral Gables FL
33134

William Norman
FL
33460

Bill Easterling
FL
34952

William Larrison
FL
33418

William Larrison
FL
33418

Michael Willingham
FL
34983

William Swanson
3621 SW 18th St Gainesville FL
32608

William Walton
FL
33139

William Hernandez
FL
33179

Winfield Clamens
FL
33428

Winthrop Telford
FL
34109

Winnie Leshner
FL
33478

Winifred O'Connor
FL
33304

Tamera Wise
FL
33916

Anne Hogan
FL
33322

William Kolarsick
1125 Pinellas Bayway S Apt 305 Tierra
Verde FL
33715

Wallis Kudak
FL
32164

Mitzi Dubois
4982 Creekside Ln Milton FL
32570

Chris Wludyka
1300 NE Miami Gardens Dr Apt 918
Miami FL
33179

Willam Schaaf
5570 G Ave Mcintosh FL
32664

jeanne rogers
21033 bella terra blvd. estero FL
33928

Theresa Clark
1818 Live Oak Dr S Rockledge FL
32955

William Ahrens
FL
33325

Mary Manupella
FL
33305

Bianca Chang-Gentile
FL
33411

Melanie Cook
1625 Kennedy Causeway Ph-7 North
Bay Village FL
33141

Eva Cater
FL
33143

Scott Ross
17930 SW 228th St Miami FL
33170

John W. Mccree
5304 Barbarossa Ave Sarasota FL
34235

Norwood Mcdaniel
26319 Feathersound Dr Punta Gorda FL
33955

Kristina Harper
1818 E Oklnd Prk Blvd Apt 98 Oakland
Park FL
33306

Zach Platt
FL
33707

William Shay
FL
32955

Richard Garza
FL
34112

Winton Wirt
6090 S Redbird Ave Lecanto FL
34461

Wendy Wish-Bogue
1429 E Gore St Orlando FL
32806

William Wright
775 Dove Ct Marco Island FL
34145

wylene godwin
FL
32656

Xavier Borgen
FL
33446

Ximena Gomez
FL
33137

Debbie Myers
FL
34221

Xochitl Gadea
FL
33029

Yleana Aguilar
3420 SW 100th Ave Miami FL
33165

Darline Le Comte
FL
33912

Yamilee Jaramillo
6910 W 2nd Way Hialeah FL
33014

Gloria Muszynski
PO Box 2100 Flagler Beach FL
32136

Grace Frasca
3571 SW Dellamano St Port St Lucie FL
34953

Yasser Faraco
FL
33012

B Mann
405 laurel cove way Winter haven FL
33884

Hadi Yaziji
6460 SW 111th Dr Miami FL
33156

Jim Richardson
FL
32904

Yves Juste
FL
33324

Lynn Stubenrauch
FL
32926

EVELYN MITSOS
FL
33486

EVELYN MITSOS
FL
33486

Yimy Garcia
FL
33312

Yahaira Lopez
19020 NW 56TH CT MIAMI GARDENS FL
33055

Lisa Grace Kestel
1213 Walnut Grove Way Rockledge FL
32955

M G
FL
33324

Joy Wolfe
FL
34952

Ryan Washburn
FL
32926

Kathy Shankland
FL
32953

Christopher Rathbun
715 S Peninsula Dr Daytona Beach FL
32118

Daniel Zapson
FL
33446

Eileen Plastini
FL
32137

Linda Zembuch
FL
33309

Alexine Pope
5040 Yellow River Log Lake Rd Holt FL
32564

Zhiqi Zhang
FL
33155

Michelle Dumar
104 Marvin Gdns Kissimmee FL
34743

Elizabeth Bohn
FL
33312

Mary Sutcliffe
2411 Covina Way S St Petersburg FL
33712

Brendan Sutton
FL
33187

Susan Charboneau
FL
33322

Zeke Moreno
FL
33317

Zulma Hammond
1770 Sycamore Ter Weston FL
33327

Edie Colon
FL
33993

Deb Zwetsch
FL
33922

Ziyad Mneimneh
FL
33143

Sharon Reynolds
FL
32765