### AUSLEY MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302) TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

January 31, 2017

#### HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Carlotta Stauffer, Director Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

FILED JAN 31, 2017

DOCUMENT NO. 01116-17

**FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK** 

Re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor <u>FPSC Docket No. 170001-EI</u>

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and seven (7) copies of Tampa Electric Company's Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order relating to portions of Forms 423-2, 423-2(a) and 423-2(b) for the months of September 2016, October 2016, and November 2016.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely, COM AFC Ashley M. Lamels APA GCI IDN TEL CLK

AMD/ne Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record (w/enc.)

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor.

DOCKET NO. 170001-EI

FILED: January 31, 2017

#### TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR SPECIFIED CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT AND MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to §366.093, Fla. Stat., Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "the company") submits the following Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order relating to the company's Forms 423-2, 423-2(a) and 423-2(b) for the months of September 2016, October 2016, and November 2016.

 Attached hereto is a detailed Justification for the requested confidential treatment of the highlighted portions of Tampa Electric's 423 Forms for each of the months of September 2016, October 2016, and November 2016.

2. Tampa Electric requests that the information for which Tampa Electric seeks confidential classification not be declassified until 24 months after the issuance of the Commission Order disposing of this quarterly request. This time period is necessary to allow Tampa Electric to negotiate future contracts without its competitors (and other Customers) having access to information which would adversely affect the ability of Tampa Electric to negotiate future contracts. The period of time requested will ultimately protect Tampa Electric and its Customers.

 The material for which classification is sought is intended to be and is treated by Tampa Electric as private and has not been disclosed. WHEREFORE, Tampa Electric submits this quarterly Request for Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order relating to the information contained in the attached Justification.

DATED this 31st day of January 31, 2017.

Respectfully submitted, JAMES D. BEASLEY J. JEFFRY WAHLEN ASHLEY M. DANIELS

ASHLEY M. DANIELS Ausley & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 (850) 224-9115

#### ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by hand delivery(\*) or electronic mail on this 31st day of January 31, 2017 to the following:

Ms. Suzanne Brownless\* Office of the General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us

Ms. Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street – Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us

Ms. Dianne M. Triplett Duke Energy Florida, Inc. 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701 Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Mr. Matthew R. Bernier Senior Counsel Duke Energy Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 Matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Mr. Jon C Moyle, Jr. Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Mr. Robert L. McGee, Jr. Regulatory and Pricing Manager Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780 rlmcgee@southernco.com Ms. Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 bkeating@gunster.com

Mr. John T. Butler Assistant General Counsel – Regulatory Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard (LAW/JB) Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 john.butler@fpl.com

Mr. Kenneth Hoffman Vice President, Regulatory Relations Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859 <u>ken.hoffman@fpl.com</u>

Mr. Mike Cassel Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Florida Public Utilities Company Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corp. 1750 SW 14th Street, Suite 200 Fernandina Beach, FL 32034 mcassel@fpuc.com

Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone Mr. Russell A. Badders Mr. Steven R. Griffin Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591-2950 jas@beggslane.com rab@beggslane.com srg@beggslane.com Mr. Robert Scheffel Wright Mr. John T. LaVia, III Gardner, Bist, Wiener, Wadsworth, Bowden, Bush, Dee, LaVia & Wright, P.A. 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 <u>Schef@gbwlegal.com</u> <u>Jlavia@gbwlegal.com</u> Mr. James W. Brew Ms. Laura A. Wynn Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007-5201 jbrew@smxblaw.com laura.wynn@smxblaw.com

ATTORNEY

#### <u>Request for Specified Confidential Treatment</u> Justification for September – November 2016

Docket No. 170001-EI

Page 1 of 10

United Bulk Terminal Big Bend StationG(11) Disclosure of the effective purchase price "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla Stat. Additionally, prohibiting the purchase price would enable one to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility, shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation the delivered price at the transfer Facility Polk Station1-2 November United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2 Novembercompetitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation charges for river barge transport and for deep water transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa. It is this segmented transportation cost data which is	FORM 423-2			
Big Bend Station"would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms."1-2 OctoberSection 366.093(3)(d), Fla Stat. Additionally, prohibiting the purchase price would enable one to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility, shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation cost would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe	Plant Name/Lines	Column	Justification	
Big Bend Station"would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms."1-2 OctoberSection 366.093(3)(d), Fla Stat. Additionally, prohibiting the purchase price would enable one to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility, shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation cost would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe	United Bulk Terminal	G	(11) Disclosure of the effective purchase price	
<ul> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 October</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>Big Bend Station</li> <li>1-5 October</li> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>United Bulk Terminal</li> <li>Transfer Facility Polk Station</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 October</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 October</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 October</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 October</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-3 November</li> <li>1-4 September</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-3 November</li> <li>1-4 September</li> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-3 November</li> <li>1-4 September</li> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>1-3 November</li> <li>1-4 November</li> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>1-2 November</li></ul>		0		
1-2 OctoberSection 366.093(3)(d), Fla Stat. Additionally, prohibiting the purchase price would enable one to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility, shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2 September 1-2 November1-2 NovemberUnited Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2 September 1-2 November1-2 NovemberUnited Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation costs of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe	8			
1-2 Novemberprohibiting the purchase price would enable one to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility, shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2 September 1-2 NovemberUnited Bulk Terminal transfer Facility Polk Station the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation cost swould have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe	1			
Big Bend Stationascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility, shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2 September 1-2 October 1-2 October 1-2 Novemberascertain the total transportation in competitor with knowledge of the total transportation in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation costs of the total which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe				
<ul> <li>1-6 September</li> <li>1-5 October</li> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>United Bulk Terminal</li> <li>Transfer Facility Polk Station</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 October</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>Polk Station</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>Polk Station</li> <li>1 September</li> <li>1 October</li> <li>1 November</li> <li>1 Novembe</li></ul>			· · · ·	
<ul> <li>1-5 October</li> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>United Bulk Terminal</li> <li>Transfer Facility Polk Station</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 October</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>Polk Station</li> <li>1 September</li> <li>1 October</li> <li>1 September</li> <li>1 October</li> <li>1 November</li> <li>Better facility and for deep water transportation costs he segmented transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe</li> </ul>	8			
<ul> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>United Bulk Terminal</li> <li>Transfer Facility Polk Station</li> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 October</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>Polk Station</li> <li>1 September</li> <li>1 October</li> <li>1 November</li> &lt;</ul>				
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Stationcharges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation charges for river barge transport and for deep water transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa. It is this segmented transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe			•	
Transfer Facility Polk Stationconjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation charges for river barge transport and for deep water transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa. It is this segmented transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe				
1-2 September 1-2 October 1-2 November Polk Station 1 September 1 November United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation charges for river barge transport and for deep water transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa. It is this segmented transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe			0	
1-2 October 1-2 November Polk Station 1 September 1 October 1 November How ber 1 November 1 No	-			
1-2 November Polk Station breakdown of transportation charges for river barge transport and for deep water transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa. I October It is this segmented transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe	1-2 October		•	
1 SeptemberGulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa.1 OctoberIt is this segmented transportation cost data which is1 Novemberproprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe	1-2 November		breakdown of transportation charges for river barge	
1 OctoberIt is this segmented transportation cost data which is1 Novemberproprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe	Polk Station		· · · · ·	
1 November proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe	1 September		Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa.	
segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe	1 October		It is this segmented transportation cost data which is	
impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe	1 November		proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the	
transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe			segmented transportation costs would have a direct	
bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe			impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and	
That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe			transportation contracts by informing potential	
its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe			bidders of current prices paid for services provided.	
its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe				
of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe			· · ·	
Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric from September 29, 1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe				
1986, in Docket No. 860001-EI-D ("Rowe				
· · ~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			In the Commission's Order No. 12645 issued in	

In the Commission's Order No. 12645 issued in Docket No. 830001-EU on November 3, 1983 (In re: Investigation of Fuel Adjustment Clauses of Electric Utilities), the Commission prescribed the current 423 Form filings. In so doing, the Commission observed:

Next, we must determine whether any portion of the monthly reports should be accorded confidential treatment. We agree that certain portions of the confidential information. However, many portions of the monthly reports will not. The proprietary information

Page 2 of 10

FORM 423-2		
Plant Name/Lines	Column Justification	

for all types of fuel is transportation. Any breakout of transportation costs must be treated confidentially. In addition, F.O.B. mine prices for coal is proprietary in nature as is the price of Disclosure of separate fuel oil. transportation or F.O.B. mine prices would have a direct impact on a utility's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services. Disclosure of fuel oil prices would have an indirect effect upon bidding suppliers. Suppliers would be reluctant to provide significant price concessions to an individual utility if prices were disclosed because other purchasers would seek similar concessions.

The vigorous competition discussed in Mr. Rowe's earlier testimony, as recognized by the Commission, justifies proprietary confidential treatment of the information in Column G.

Disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods and services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. This information would inform other potential suppliers as to the price Tampa Electric is willing to pay for coal. This would give present and potential coal suppliers information which could be harmful to Tampa Electric's interests in negotiating coal supply agreements. This is much the same as paragraph (1) under Form 423-1(a) regarding No. 2 oil suppliers.

Page 3 of 10

FORM 423-2			
Plant Name/Lines	Column	Justification	
United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-2 September	Н	(12) The disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section	
1-2 October 1-2 November		366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. As was stated in (1), Columns G and H both need confidential protection	
<b>Big Bend Station</b> 1-6 September 1-5 October 1-5 November <b>United Bulk Terminal</b>		because disclosure of either column will enable competitors to determine the segmented transportation charges. Accordingly, the same reasons discussed in (1) likewise apply with regard to Column H.	
<b>Transfer Facility Polk Station</b> 1-2 September 1-2 October			
<ul><li>1-2 November</li><li>Polk Station</li><li>1 September</li></ul>			
1 October 1 November			

Page 4 of 10

FORM 423-2(a) Plant Name/Lines Column Justification			
Column	Justification		
G	(13) This column discloses the value of shorthaul and loading charges on a \$/Ton basis, which is a negotiated price the disclosure of which would adversely impact Tampa Electric in future negotiations for this component of the overall price, which, in turn, would adversely impact Tampa Electric's customers.		
Η	(14) If the original invoice price is made public, one can subtract the original invoice price from the publicly disclosed delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility and thereby determine the segmented river transportation cost. Disclosure of the river transportation cost "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station). See also Rowe Testimony.		
	G		

- 1 September 1 October
- 1 November

Page 5 of 10

		RM 423-2(a)
Plant Name/Lines	Column	Justification
United Bulk Terminal	J	(15) This information, like that contained in
<b>Big Bend Station</b>		Column H, would enable a competitor to "back
1-2 September		into" the segmented transportation cost using the
1-2 October		publicly disclosed delivered price at the United
1-2 November		Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility. This would be
<b>Big Bend Station</b>		done by subtracting the base price per ton from the
1-6 September		delivered price at United Bulk Terminal, thereby
1-5 October		revealing the river barge rate. Such disclosure
1-5 November		"would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to
United Bulk Terminal		contract for goods or services on favorable terms."
<b>Transfer Facility Polk Station</b>		Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional
1-2 September		justification appears in paragraph (1) of the
1-2 October		rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form
1-2 November		423-2 (United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility -
Polk Station		Big Bend Station). See also Rowe Testimony
1 September		2 , ,
1 October		
1 November		
United Bulk Terminal	L	(16) This information, if publicly disclosed, would
<b>Big Bend Station</b>		enable a competitor to back into the segmented
1-2 September		waterborne transportation costs using the already
1-2 October		publicly disclosed delivered price of coal at the
1-2 November		United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility. Such
<b>Big Bend Station</b>		disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa
1-6 September		Electric to contract for goods or services on
1-5 October		favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.
1-5 November		Additional justification appears in paragraph (1) of
United Bulk Terminal		the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of
<b>Transfer Facility Polk Station</b>		Form 423-2 (United Bulk Terminal Transfer
1-2 September		Facility - Big Bend Station). See also Rowe
1-2 October		Testimony
1-2 November		
Polk Station		
1 September		
1 October		
1 November		

•

Page 6 of 10

FORM 423-2(b)			
Plant Name/Lines	Column	Justification	
United Bulk Terminal	G	(17) Disclosure of the effective purchase price in	
Big Bend Station		Column G "would impair the efforts of Tampa	
1-2 September		Electric to contract for goods or services on	
1-2 October		favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.	
1-2 November		Such disclosure would enable a competitor to "back	
Big Bend Station		into" the segmented transportation cost using the	
1-6 September		publicly disclosed delivered price for coal at the	
1-5 October		United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility. This	
1-5 November		would be done by subtracting the effective purchase	
United Bulk Terminal		price per ton from the price per ton delivered at	
<b>Transfer Facility Polk Station</b>		United Bulk Terminal, thereby revealing the river	
1-2 September		barge rate. Additional justification appears in	
1-2 October		paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of	
1-2 November		Column G of Form 423-2 (United Bulk Terminal	
Polk Station		Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station). See also	
1 September		Rowe Testimony. Such disclosure would also	
1 October		adversely affect Tampa Electric's ability to	
1 November		negotiate future coal supply contracts.	
United Bulk Terminal	н	(18) This column discloses the value of additional	
Big Bend Station		shorthaul and loading charges on a \$/Ton basis,	
1-2 September		which is a negotiated price the disclosure of which	
1-2 October		would adversely impact Tampa Electric in future	
1-2 November		negotiations for this component of the overall	
Big Bend Station		price, which, in turn, would adversely impact	
1-6 September		Tampa Electric's customers.	
1-5 October			
1-5 November			
United Bulk Terminal			
Transfer Facility Polk Station			
1-2 September			
1-2 October			
1-2 November			
Polk Station			
1 September			
1 October			

Page 7 of 10

	FOF	RM 423-2(b)
Plant Name/Lines	Column	Justification
United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-2 September 1-2 October 1-2 November Big Bend Station 1-6 September 1-5 October 1-5 November United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station	I	(19) Disclosure of the rail rate per ton would adversely affect the ability of Tampa Electric to negotiate favorable rail rates. Disclosure of the rail rates paid would effectively eliminate any negotiating leverage and could lead to higher rail rates. This would work to the ultimate detriment of Tampa Electric and its customers. Accordingly, disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.
<ul> <li>1-2 September</li> <li>1-2 October</li> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>Polk Station</li> <li>1 September</li> <li>1 October</li> <li>1 November</li> </ul>		500.075(5)(u), 1 la. 5tat.
United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-2 September 1-2 October 1-2 November Big Bend Station 1-6 September 1-5 October 1-5 November United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2 September 1-2 October 1-2 November Polk Station 1 September	J	(20) This column discloses the value of other rail charges on a \$/Ton basis, which is a negotiated price the disclosure of which would adversely impact Tampa Electric in future negotiations for this component of the overall price, which, in turn, would adversely impact Tampa Electric's customers.
1 September 1 October 1 November		

Page 8 of 10

	FOF	RM 423-2(b)
Plant Name/Lines	Column	Justification
United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-2 September 1-2 October 1-2 November Big Bend Station 1-6 September 1-5 October 1-5 November United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2 September 1-2 October 1-2 November Polk Station 1 September	K	(21) These columns contained information the disclosure of which "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Each of these columns provides specific information on segmented transportation costs which are the primary objects of this request. Additional justification appears in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality for Column G on 423-2 (United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station). <i>See also</i> Rowe Testimony
1 September 1 October 1 November United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-2 September 1-2 October	L	(22) See item (21) above.
<ul> <li>1-2 November</li> <li>Big Bend Station</li> <li>1-6 September</li> <li>1-5 October</li> <li>1-5 November</li> <li>United Bulk Terminal</li> </ul>		
Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2 September 1-2 October 1-2 November Polk Station 1 September 1 October 1 November		

Page 9 of 10

FORM 423-2(b)			
Plant Name/Lines	Column	Justification	
United Bulk Terminal	М	(22) Sec item $(21)$ above	
	IVI	(23) See item (21) above.	
<b>Big Bend Station</b> 1-2 September			
1-2 October			
1-2 November			
Big Bend Station			
1-6 September			
1-5 October			
1-5 November			
United Bulk Terminal			
Transfer Facility Polk Station			
1-2 September			
1-2 October			
1-2 November			
Polk Station			
1 September			
1 October			
1 November			
United Bulk Terminal	N	(24) See item (21) above.	
<b>Big Bend Station</b>			
1-2 September			
1-2 October			
1-2 November			
Big Bend Station			
1-6 September			
1-5 October			
1-5 November			
United Bulk Terminal			
<b>Transfer Facility Polk Station</b>			
1-2 September			
1-2 October			
1-2 November			
Polk Station			
1 September			
1 October			
1 November			

Page 10 of 10

FORM 423-2(b)			
Plant Name/Lines	Column	Justification	
United Bulk Terminal	0	(25) See item (21) above.	
Big Bend Station	0	(25) See Rein (21) above.	
1-2 September			
1-2 October			
1-2 November			
<b>Big Bend Station</b>			
1-6 September			
1-5 October			
1-5 November			
United Bulk Terminal			
<b>Transfer Facility Polk Station</b>			
1-2 September			
1-2 October			
1-2 November			
Polk Station			
1 September			
1 October			
1 November			
United Bulk Terminal	Р	(26) See item (21) above.	
Big Bend Station	1		
1-2 September			
1-2 October			
1-2 November			
<b>Big Bend Station</b>			
1-6 September			
1-5 October			
1-5 November			
United Bulk Terminal			
Transfer Facility Polk Station			
1-2 September			
1-2 October			
1-2 November			
Polk Station			
1 September			
1 October			
1 November			