## FILED FEB 13, 2017 DOCUMENT NO. 01865-17 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 In the Matter of: DOCKET NO. 160101-WS 3 APPLICATION FOR INCREASE IN 4 WATER AND WASTEWATER RATES IN CHARLOTTE, HIGHLANDS, LAKE, LEE, MARION, ORANGE, PASCO, 5 PINELLAS, POLK, AND SEMINOLE COUNTIES BY UTILITIES, INC. 6 OF FLORIDA. 7 8 PROCEEDINGS: CUSTOMER SERVICE HEARING 9 COMMISSIONERS CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN 10 PARTICIPATING: COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM 11 COMMISSIONER DONALD POLMANN 12 Wednesday, February 1, 2017 DATE: 13 Commenced at 9:30 a.m. TIME: Concluded at 11:25 a.m. 14 PLACE: Grand Hall of Pennbrooke 15 Fairways 33825 Pennbrooke Parkway Leesburg, Florida 34748 16 17 REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

-1		

.

APPEARANCES:

MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN, ESQUIRE, Coenson Friedman, P.A., 766 North Sun Drive, Suite 4030, Lake Mary,

Florida 32746, appearing on behalf of Utilities Inc. of Florida.

J.R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL; ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o the Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

WALTER TRIERWEILER, ESQUIRE, FPSC General
Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of
the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

MARY ANNE HELTON, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing as advisor to the Commission.

1 INDEX

25

2 WITNESSES

3	NAME:	PAGE NO.
4	JIM CHALOOPKA	22
5	GAIL GRANT DAVID BOZOTI	24 27
6	W. F. SHANKS ROGER SPERLING	29 30
7	LARRY COOPER INGRID PANEPINTO	37 38
8	CHRIS BEAULIER JON MARTIN	40 42
9	CHARLENE MINGER TOM WELT	43 47
9	JUDITH MARTUCCI	49
10	JEFFREY BROOKE-STEWART	52
11	DAVE SHOCKEY JOSEPHINE KOWYNIA	55 59
12	GERRY NESS JOLENE NATOLI	62 65
13	GERALD USHER DON MANFRE	66 68
14	WAYNE STEVENSON CHARLES BOZOTI	74 80
15	EUGENE VAUGHN MIKE GRIFFITHS	83 86
16	GARY KING	87
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

				000002
1		EXHIBITS		
2	NUMB:	ER:	ID.	ADMTD.
3	14	Affidavits of Notice	10	
4	15	Sperling Comments	35	
5	16	Minger Demonstrative - (Photo) Water Filter	44	
6 7	17	Martucci Letter/Comments	52	
8	18	Usher Demonstrative - (Photo) Water Filter	67	
9	19	Manfre Comments	74	
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

## PROCEEDINGS

_	
3	
4	
5	

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. We have folks still coming in. And I know we've got the door open here, so it's going to be quite hard to keep the chatter down. But we are on a time schedule here. And I wanted to welcome you all to this customer service hearing today. This meeting is being streamed live on the website of the Public Service Commission.

My name is Julie Brown, and I have the privilege of being Chairman of the Florida Public Service Commission. With me today -- Commissioner Art Graham is running late. He got a little lost, but he'll be here very shortly. With me today is Commissioner Polmann, and I'd like to give him an opportunity to introduce himself to you as well.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Good morning. My name is Don Polmann. A different type of microphone. Everybody can hear me?

(Chorus of affirmative responses.)

Okay. Thank you. It's a pleasure to be here with you. I'm so happy to see such a great turnout. It's a great community. We're here to listen to you and take your input. Thank you for coming, and we look forward to hearing everyone. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner

Polmann.

And we'll get into some of the details about
this proceeding, but I wanted staff counsel at this time
to read the notice. You have to speak right into it.

MR. TRIERWEILER: By notice issued on December 14, 2016, this time and place has been set for a customer service hearing in Docket No. 160101-WS. The purpose of the hearing is set forth more fully in the notice.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Trierweiler.

And at this time, we'll have staff counsel -- pardon me.

We'll take appearances of counsel, starting with the petitioner, Utilities, Inc. of Florida.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes. My name is Martin

Friedman of the law firm of Coenson Friedman on behalf

of Utilities, Inc. of Florida.

MR. KELLY: Good morning. My name is J.R. Kelly. I'm with the Office of Public Counsel, and we have the honor and privilege of representing you, the ratepayers, in this rate proceeding.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

(Applause and cheering.)

I absolutely -- I appreciate your enthusiasm and fervor, but this is an official proceeding that is being transcribed by a court reporter, and I would ask

4 5

that you please, if you can kindly refrain from clapping, shouting, and outbursts. We absolutely appreciate your passion for this proceeding and your interest. This is a very formal proceeding, though, and we appreciate your professionalism and consideration to others.

So I want to take this opportunity to stress that this is your customer service hearing. It's a very important part of the overall rate case proceeding.

Whether your comments are made today verbally or in writing, they are considered in the overall decision.

Later in the process, we will be having a week-long technical hearing in which the Commission takes the evidence and substance of the proceeding.

We've already had four customer service hearings around the state. We'll have four more, for a total of eight.

And, again, all of those comments, written or verbally, will be taken into consideration. So we definitely encourage you to come up here and speak to us and tell us about your thoughts on the quality of service, billing, any issues that you may have, but we appreciate your interest in this rate case proceeding.

I would like to note that there are company representatives from Utilities, Inc. of Florida who are here, who can address specific issues that you may have.

o =

We also have with us Public Service Commission staff members who are here to address any other issues that you may have, and they'd be happy to spend some time with you after if you have specific questions that you want addressed.

And at this time for the record, I'd like to introduce those staff members who are here with us today. We have Andrew Maurey. We have Chris Church, Mimi Hearn, Conrad Howard. We have with us Patti Daniel, Phillip Ellis. You heard from our General Counsel's Office, Mary Anne Helton and Walt Trierweiler. We have Cindy Muir and Kelly Thompson, who helped set up this proceeding in this beautiful facility. And we have also with us Rachel Arnold and our court reporter, Linda Boles. So we, again, thank you very much for that.

This is an official hearing, as I mentioned, that will be transcribed. It will become part of the official record in the proceeding. I would ask at this time, if you have cell phone devices, if you could kindly silence them so as not to interfere with the flow of the proceeding. We want to hear every word that you are saying, so we ask that you refrain from shouting and clapping and things of that nature so that we can stay tuned in. And I hope you all can hear me clearly.

Please note that since this is an official

proceeding, your comments may be subject to cross-examination. What that means is that any of the Commissioners here can ask you questions after -- when it's your time to speak, as well as the attorneys may ask you questions as well.

If you do plan on speaking, you may have noticed the sign-up sheets when you walked on in.

Please be sure to sign up with our PIO officer, Cindy Muir, up there, if you'd like to speak. Again, you can feel free to provide written comments. You can either drop them off with us today or you can mail them in, and they will be considered as part of the docket.

At this time, I would like to invite the attorneys who are present here today to give brief opening statements to you. Each party has six minutes. And we'll start with the petitioning counsel, who is Utilities, Inc. of Florida. Mr. Friedman.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chairman, as a preliminary matter, I would like to introduce into the record the affidavits of the various newspapers that we published, the notices of the various meetings in, as well as the affidavits of the company that they provided the notices by mail directly to the respective customers.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Friedman. We

will go ahead and mark that on the exhibit list as 1 Exhibit No. 14, and we'll just title it Affidavits 2 Notice. 3 (Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) 4 MR. FRIEDMAN: All right. Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right. You may begin 6 7 when ready. MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you again, Madam 8 9 Chairman, Commissioners. 10 I'm Marty Friedman on behalf of Utilities, 11 Inc. of Florida. And in a moment you're going to hear from John Hoy, who is the president of Utilities, Inc. 12 13 of Florida, who's going to explain to you the rate case and the implications of the rate case and the necessity 14 of the rate case. 15 But before he speaks, as the Chairman pointed 16 17 out, Utilities, Inc. of Florida does have some customer 18 service representatives there in the back left corner of 19 the meeting room here. If you have any billing 20 questions, they've got computers that are hooked up to 21 the billing system, and they'll be glad to address any 22 billing issues that you may have. 23

At this point, I'd like to ask Mr. Hoy to address you. Thank you.

24

25

MR. HOY: Let me see if I can make this

microphone work.

Good morning, Madam Chair, Commissioner

Polmann. Thank you for the opportunity this morning to address our customers, and I appreciate the time we've got here this morning.

What I want to do is just very briefly cover a couple of things, give you a little background. Yes.

You can't hear?

AUDIENCE SPEAKER: You're breaking up.

MR. HOY: Any better if I hold it real close? Okay.

(Chorus of affirmative responses.)

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Maybe stay put too. There you go.

MR. HOY: Okay. What I want to do this morning was just address a couple of things, give you a little background about Utilities, Inc., but, more importantly, what's driving this specific rate proceeding.

So Utilities, Inc., we've been in Florida since the '70s. We've grown to be the largest water and wastewater regulated utility by the PSC, with about 60,000 connections in the state spread across ten different counties. Up until last year, we operated those like independent companies, and last year what we

decided to do was to consolidate all of them into one company where we're now Utilities, Inc. of Florida. So Utilities, Inc. of Pennbrooke is now part of Utilities, Inc. of Florida.

This is our first rate proceeding after that consolidation where we're trying to do just a couple of things. In particular, the drivers of this proceeding are mainly around infrastructure and what we're investing in infrastructure to maintain our existing systems, but then we're also looking to consolidate all the rates we have, the different rate structures we have across the state into one. So let me address both of those independently.

First, infrastructure. The state of Florida, if you listen to the American Society of Civil Engineers, gets a grade of C+ in terms of the condition of our water and wastewater infrastructure, and the EPA estimates that about \$16.5 billion will need to be invested over the next few years just to bring them up to snuff. We've got some of those same challenges with infrastructure.

Over the past ten years, we've invested about \$100 million in our systems in Florida. And in this particular proceeding, we've got another \$35 million in projects that we are looking to invest or starting to

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

invest in to maintain that infrastructure. 12 million of that alone is just for pipe, pipe in the ground that's reached the end of its useful life. Here in Pennbrooke, another project we have, we've got about a half a million dollars that we're putting into electrical system upgrades at our water plant to maintain the consistency and provide uninterrupted service. So that's the kind of investment we're doing. And no system is shy of any need for infrastructure, so that's, that's what's driving this.

If you look at the rate increase, so it is driven by infrastructure, but I think one of the handouts that the Office of Public Counsel had talks about salaries and labor. One of the other things we're doing is we're adding some additional crews to, particularly here in Central Florida, to maintain our systems, to do the maintenance that's required to avoid outages, but, more importantly, to invest in those systems to avoid outages in the future but to maintain or try to extend the service life of the aging infrastructure. So that's the infrastructure drive.

On the rate side, we're looking to consolidate all of the -- we've got about 15 or 16 different rate structures across Florida, and we'd like to roll those into one. Obviously in those, some will have some

increases and some will have some decreases. Here in Pennbrooke, I'll be honest, the rates are going up somewhat. I'm sure you noticed that. But let me address one of the drivers of that, because, unlike most of our other systems, it's been a while since we've had a full rate proceeding here. No, it was 2012. And those rates -- the rates that were established then were based on costs in 2011. So that is -- that is one of the drivers.

2.0

But the other thing that we're trying to do is consolidate those rates so we can avoid a number of rate cases in the future and try to reduce those, but then also tamp down or avoid the significant rate shock that can happen for one individual system as we invest in systems in the future.

Let me give you one other example, then I'll close. But I know here in Pennbrooke just a few years ago we looked at water quality. We looked -- we did an extensive study to determine additional investments we can make to help further reduce the iron that's present in the water from the underground aquifer. We looked at that. I think we made a proposal, we brought it to the community, and I think the estimated rate impact was going to be too significant. So we decided not -- the decision was made not to go ahead with it.

But if we are successful in the consolidation that we're proposing in this proceeding, if we're successful in that, that may -- we'll take another look at that because that could dramatically reduce the -- or change the economic impact, and it's something that may result in a different decision. So I'll close with that. I thank you for the opportunity today to address you, and I look forward to your comments. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for that.

At this time, we will be hearing from Public Counsel, Mr. J.R. Kelly.

MR. KELLY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Good morning again. As I said, I'm J.R.

Kelly. I'm with the Office of Public Counsel, and we represent you, the ratepayers, in this proceeding.

To sort of set the stage of why we're here,
UIF is requesting to consolidate approximately 25
systems into one statewide rate. You heard Mr. Hoy say
there are going to be some winners and going to be some
losers. There's no doubt about it. And you folks are
on the losing side, or most of you are, because your
rates would go up if the Commission approves exactly
what UIF is proposing in this matter.

Many of you have probably spoken with Erik Sayler in my office. Erik is the lead attorney, and

he's back at home with our consultants and our outside experts working on the case, and that's why you have me here today to speak to you.

everything that they're asking for, the overall rates for everybody that's going to be consolidated will go up about \$7 million. And there's certain areas that we are looking at very, very closely, and we've hired some -- an outside engineer, an outside accounting expert, we have our own in-house CPA, that are poring through a lot of discovery, a lot of documents, because we want to wean out everything that we think is not reasonable or prudent on your behalf.

And a couple of things that we are looking for. You heard Mr. Hoy mention that they are seeking some major, major capital improvements, about \$35 million, and that represents almost a 35 percent increase in Florida. And so we do have an engineer that's looking very closely at those to make sure are they needed? If they are needed, are they going to be -- is the investment going to be exactly what is needed in those areas that something may have worn out? And then lastly, is it a reasonable cost of what UIF is wanting to do?

You heard Mr. Hoy mention salaries. Yes,

they're seeking to increase their salaries and benefits by \$500,000. That causes us a lot of concern on your behalf because, one, if you're going to consolidate, the first thing you would expect is what? Some increased economies of scale, increased efficiencies. And we want to make sure -- we certainly don't understand at this point why there's got to be \$500,000 in additional salaries and benefits. So we are really taking a hard look at that one expense.

In addition, UIF is owned by a corporate entity that is not located in Florida, and they want to allocate some \$36 million of what you would pay for that goes outside the state. It goes up to Chicago and then eventually on up to Canada, and those are in corporate allocations to their corporate parent, other affiliates. And we believe that, in our initial look at that, that those are excessive, and we hope to trim a lot of the fat out of that.

The bottom line today is, folks, this is your meeting. It's not my meeting. It's not the Commission's meeting. It is certainly not UIF's meeting. This is your meeting. This is your opportunity to come up here today and speak to the Commissioners and testify and tell them how you feel about, one, the quality of service you are receiving or

have received from UIF, good or bad. Number two, the service that you've gotten also and how they handle when you call them, when you call to file a complaint or ask for information. Are you getting boil water notices, if any, timely? And if you have a billing question, how are they treating you when they call? Because, folks, you pay for that. You deserve and you should expect A-1 expert customer service. And lastly, how will this rate increase affect you and your quality of life, the way you live? And that is extremely important.

So, please -- we have about 25 speakers, I think. I looked. Please, if you wish to speak, take the opportunity to come up. You do not have to be an eloquent speaker. You do not have to be an orator.

Just come up here and speak from your heart and talk to these people behind me. I promise you they will not bite you, and -- but they desperately want to hear from you and what you have to say about this rate case.

So thank you so much for this wonderful turnout this morning, and I look forward to hearing your comments.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Kelly.

I'd like to give Commissioner Graham an opportunity to introduce himself. He got a little lost earlier, but --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## **COMMISSIONER GRAHAM:** Good morning.

(Chorus of audience responses.)

Come on. You can do better than that. Good morning.

(Chorus of audience responses.)

Thank you. I apologize for being a little late. I got caught on one of these farm roads back here behind a tractor and just managed to get around him finally.

I tell you what, this is probably one of the biggest crowds I've seen in a long, long time. want to let you guys know this is kind of us -- we're coming here to listen to you, as Mr. Kelly had just said. Feel free to tell us your issues, the things, the concerns you have, the things you want to look at. Don't get down into the valleys. Just kind of hit the peaks. If you have something longer than three minutes to say, please email it to us or call the office. Because right now we're trying to get to you and two other locations throughout the day today. So if you can just kind of hit the peaks and let us know the things that we want. We're going to sit here; we're going to listen. I know it's going to be frustrating to you. We're not going to be responding. Once again, we're just going to be listening. But if you turn -- if you

tune into our hearing, you can actually probably hear us actually ask some of the same questions that you ask because we may not know the answers to this. And also understand when you get up here, this is just what the utility is asking for. This is not necessarily what they're going to get, but this is what they're asking for. So bear all that in mind, and I look forward to hearing from y'all. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner Graham.

And another point I want to make, and I know some folks are confused about what -- who the Public Service Commission is. We are separate from the utility entirely. We are an impartial body. And we act in a quasi-judicial manner, and we take the evidence of the case and make our decisions based on the law and the facts as applied to them. So just a clarification.

I do want to point out that we have one of your elected officials, Office -- district legislative assistant who is here today from Senator Dennis Baxley, Mr. Matt McClain. If you could just wave your hand. Thank you for being here. We appreciate it. I'm sure your constituents appreciate that as well.

So just an overview of what goes on when you come up to the microphone. Again, as Mr. Kelly said,

please don't be scared. We don't bite, and we're very interested in hearing from you. You'll have three minutes. When -- you have lights right up here at the podium. So when the light gets to yellow, you have about a minute left. And when it gets to red, unfortunately I'll have to stop you.

2.0

My understanding is that there are several board members here from the homeowners association who have asked to speak a little bit longer than the three minutes, and we'll work with them in lieu of other customers signing up to speak.

Again, a point of reminder too. You all got this when you walked in. At the very back, as I mentioned, you can provide written comments. They're right back here, the last page. Please feel free to provide those written comments to us too. We have such a big crowd here. I'm sure you have a lot of thoughts on your mind. And we'll be happy to take the written comments as well.

And we will be swearing all of you in who plan on speaking at the same time. So if you are here signed up to speak or would like to speak, please stand with me and raise your right hand.

Do you swear or affirm to provide the truth in this proceeding?

(Chorus of affirmative responses.)

2

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

3

Thank you. Please be seated.

4

will be calling you up two at a time. And with that --

The Office of Public Counsel, Mr. J.R. Kelly,

5

and when you come to the mike, please make sure to state

6 7

your name, your address, and your telephone number. And

8

I believe we've covered it all; is that correct?

9

Mr. Kelly, would you please call the first

10

customer.

MR. KELLY: Yes, Madam Chair. The first

12

11

speaker is Mr. Jim -- is it Chaloopka?

13

MR. CHALOOPKA: That's correct.

14

MR. KELLY: Followed by Gail Grant.

15

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

16

on this hearing that -- and give my input that about a

MR. CHALOOPKA: Good morning. I wish to speak

1718

little bit over a year ago the water went out

19

completely, and I woke up in the morning and I found

2021

that the tap didn't produce any water. I called the water company and I got a rude receptionist on the

22

phone, and she says, "Yes, I know," and there was

23

silence. And I'm thinking, "Well, what am I supposed to

24

do about that? What does that mean to me, 'Yes, you

25

know'"? And I said, "When are you going to fix this?"

She said, "Well, we'll fix it first thing in the morning." And I said, "Dear lady, this is first thing in the morning." So evidently they don't have an emergency crew to be able to come out earlier than what they call first thing in the morning.

I'm totally against the consolidation of these 17-some water companies in Lake County. I don't see how that can possibly work. Everyone has different conditions and requirements and wells and such, and how can you combine them to all have the same rate? Many people move to different neighborhoods and the water rate that they see is what they are looking for, and that is a requirement to -- for them to live there. In other words, they're choosing an economical place to live.

And there are many people that get to an age where they can't really complain anymore, it's difficult to speak publicly and to be heard, and they won't even speak here today. And I know they're totally against this rate increase. Every community should be billed according to the requirements for the community. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Sir, could you please state your name and address for the record?

MR. CHALOOPKA: Oh, James Chaloopka, 1038

Forest Breeze Path, Leesburg, Florida, (216) 978-4440. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Commissioners, any questions? Parties? 3 Thank you for your time. 4 MR. KELLY: After Ms. Grant is Mr. David 5 Bozoti. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning. MS. GRANT: Good morning. Well, I'd like to 8 9 say I agree with everything that Mr. Chaloopka just 10 said. 11 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Name and address, please, for 12 the record. 13 MS. GRANT: Oh, 450 Grand Vista Trail, Leesburg, Florida. I've lived in this community since 14 15 we started building in 2003. Moved in in early summer

MS. GRANT: Oh, 450 Grand Vista Trail,

Leesburg, Florida. I've lived in this community since

we started building in 2003. Moved in in early summer

of 2004. And I've got to say the quality of my water

has not been good since I moved in here. I moved from

The Arbors, which is up in the front, to, you know, the

center of the community, and it's just terrible. I

can't drink the water out of the faucet. I have a water

filter on my home, and I also have a water filter on my

refrigerator so that I can drink something other than

bottled water all the time, which is not good for the

environment either, throwing all these bottles away.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But one of the problems that I'm having is

that when we go away in the summer for three months 1 usually every summer, my water bill is -- I'm using more 2 water in the summer than I am any time else in the year, 3 and that doesn't make any sense. I've called the 4 offices up and I've asked why. Nobody seems to be able 5 to give us a straight answer. I've talked to the 6 7 representatives in the back. Their computers are not working appropriately right now, so they're going to get 8 back to me regarding that. But, you know, so that's my, 9 10 one of my big issues besides the water quality.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The other issue is regarding your -- some of your increases. I really don't think it's fair that we be grouped in with areas with larger populations.

We're, like, a community of less than 13,000 people here, of homes, and so I don't think that we should be --

(Audience responses.)

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Excuse me.

MS. GRANT: I'm sorry. What?

**AUDIENCE SPEAKER:** 1,200.

MS. GRANT: 1,200.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

MS. GRANT: That's what I meant, 1,200 homes, a little over 1,200 homes. So I don't really think we should be grouped into, you know, communities like

Charlotte and Lee County that have significantly bigger populations, and parts of Orange County, you know.

And we also -- you need to consider the fact that we're a retired community. We're all over 55 here. We don't -- we don't get, like, increases every year like you're asking for. And I honestly don't begrudge salary increases for employees. I do begrudge the money that's going up to Chicago. That seems like millions of dollars for what? I don't understand that concept at all. But -- so that's my feelings, and I hope that you take them into consideration.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Could I just get you to say your first name and last name?

MS. GRANT: Gail, G-a-i-l, Grant, G-r-a-n-t.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Ms. Grant, how long has the issue going on with the summer months --

MS. GRANT: Probably -- years, it's been going on for years. We go away every summer for, like, a few months. And I call all the time, my husband calls all the time, and we don't get a straight answer.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: So you've been calling -- you call as soon as you notice your bill, even though you're gone?

MS. GRANT: Yeah. Uh-huh. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right. Well, we'll be

sure to have someone at least from the Commission look 1 2 into that and make -- follow up. 3 MS. GRANT: Yeah. And some of my neighbors don't have this problem and some of them do. So I don't 4 think anybody is stealing my water while I'm gone. You 5 know, we turn off the house water when we leave, so 6 7 there's no water being used in the house at all under any -- there's no leakage going in. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BROWN: We'll definitely follow up with that. 10 11 MS. GRANT: Okay. 12 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Commissioners, any other questions? 13 14 Seeing none, thank you for your testimony. MS. GRANT: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Next customer. 16 MR. KELLY: After Mr. Bozoti is W.F. Shanks. 17 18 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good evening. 19 MR. BOZOTI: Yes, good morning. 2.0 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning. 21 MR. BOZOTI: My name is David Bozoti. I live at 32549 Oak Park Drive. 22 23 AUDIENCE SPEAKER: Can't hear. 24 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Can you speak into the mike a 25 little bit? It's sensitive.

MR. BOZOTI: All right.

MR. KELLY: You have to put your mouth right

up next to it.

MR. BOZOTI: Oh, all right. Did you get my

address? 325 -- 32549 Oak Park Drive. The phone number is (352)321-4099. And I would just like to say I am against this. We have had lousy service in the past, and I don't see where our service is going to get any better. All this is is spreading the wealth. They're making us pay for some other place, and they're probably to pay for new communities they want to put pipe in and we're not getting anything out of it.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Bozoti.

Commissioners? Commissioner Graham.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Yes. Sir, you said you've had lousy service. Can you be more specific?

MR. BOZOTI: The water is extremely hard. It stains the house. If you -- no way of getting rid of it. If you want to have a nice looking house, you have to change the siding.

**COMMISSIONER GRAHAM:** Okay. But as far as the customer service goes, that's fine?

MR. BOZOTI: I've not had to deal with them on that.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 1 2 Any other questions? 3 Thank you for your testimony. Next customer, please. 4 5 MR. KELLY: After Mr. Shanks is Roger Sperling. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning. MR. SHANKS: Good morning. My name is W.F. 8 9 Shanks. Excuse me. I live at 410 Grand Vista Trail. 10 My phone number is (352)435-5067. My concern here is a 11 little more personal than most of the other people. 12 It's that the quality of the water here has affected my 13 lifestyle. I am a dialysis patient. I do my dialysis 14 at home. In order to get my water to where I can use it, I had to put in a water softener. We have a double 15 LINX osmosis system, and then in my fluid tank there is 16 17 another osmosis system. 18 Now the osmosis system in the tank is supposed 19 to last me from 8 to 12 weeks. I get four weeks out of 2.0 it. So the quality of the water is not good. And, of 21 course, everybody else is talking about the taste of the 22 water. We also have filters on our refrigerator as 23 well. 24 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. SHANKS: And needless to say, I am against

this consolidation.

2

for your testimony. 3

Cooper.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, sir, Mr. Shanks,

MR. SHANKS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Next customer.

MR. KELLY: After Mr. Sperling is Larry

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

MR. SPERLING: My name is Roger Sperling. a homeowner in Pennbrooke, I am a customer of Utilities, In addition, as treasurer of the Pennbrooke Homeowners Association, which is also a customer.

I want to comment on two aspects of today's subject. First, we have experienced rate increases by Utilities, Inc. several times since I have lived here. There is a pattern of requesting increases far exceeding anything found reasonable by the Public Service Commission. With each filing, we pay the costs associated with the filing of itself that are of absolutely no value to the customers. At times these rate filing costs have been pancaked, leaving us paying for two at the same time. This is abuse of the system.

The most recent case was around 2012, at which time the Public Service Commission allowed a much smaller increase than was requested. Even so, in 2015,

Utilities, Inc. had over-earned with a rate of return of 15.63 percent, per their books, on wastewater operations.

2.0

It is noted that Schedule A3 in the present filing shows transfer of over \$200,000 in plant in service from water to wastewater. This is nothing more than accounting sleight of hand to draw attention from the fact that customers have been overpaying for wastewater for the past five years. On combined water and wastewater operations their rate of return, based on their books, was 7.4 percent. With today's interest rates, that's very good money on a low-risk investment and clear evidence that no rate increase is justified.

Also on Schedule A3 under accumulated depreciation is an entry "Correct Depreciation of Project Phoenix, Ten Year." This adjustment of more than \$32,000 relates to treatment of the cost of a business system and reappears with each new filing, even though the Public Service Commission ruled on it many years ago. It should again be disallowed.

An element of the filing that needs close attention is the inclusion of pro forma costs. This is where Utilities, Inc. seeks to charge us for projects they claim are needed. History shows that Utilities, Inc. has had a practice of including unneeded pro forma

items for the purpose of inflating the rate base. An example in an earlier rate case was inclusion of a new well at a cost of roughly half a million dollars, in spite of the fact that Pennbrooke was already built out. The Public Service Commission determined that the well was not required and the cost was removed from the calculations of the final approved rates. Water

capacity has not been limiting.

2.0

The present filing pro forma items total more than \$589,000. The biggest is described as electrical improvements and is understood to include an air-conditioned building that would house new electrical equipment. Available details are sketchy, but you don't need to be an electrical engineer to know that modern electrical and electronic equipment is physically smaller than the old equipment it replaces. The existing building is a well-maintained concrete block structure approximately 22 feet by 36 feet in size, of which between 200 and 250 square feet are air-conditioned with a window unit. Certainly the existing building is adequate, even if the Public Service Commission determines that the electrical upgrade is really needed.

Additional pro form additions are described as major truck upgrade, vehicle replacement program, and

GIS mapping service, all of which are shown to be proportionately allocated. The degree to which these items are actually necessary is beyond my ability to judge, as are the fairness of the allocations and the accuracy of the stated costs. I certainly hope the Public Service Commission will take a hard look at these areas, keeping in mind the history Utilities, Inc. has of adding unnecessary pro forma items and inflating statements of expected costs.

The second area I want to speak to is the desire of Utilities, Inc. to consolidate rate structure. I strongly oppose this. The fact that operations are now characterized as consolidated does not require the Public Service Commission to decide all customers should pay the same rates where there is zero commonality of infrastructure among the systems.

The water and sewer systems operated by the City of Leesburg have two rate structures. Customers outside the city have longer connection infrastructure and pay different rates than those within. Rates for Utilities, Inc. customers should likewise reflect the operating costs and capital asset values of the systems that serve them. There is no other fair way to do it.

A couple of years ago we asked Utilities, Inc. to consider improving our water quality. Specifically

2.0

we felt that removal of iron would be better than the current use of a sequestrant to address unacceptable levels of iron in the water. A study was done, and after seeing the effect Utilities, Inc. projected it would have on rates, we chose to forgo the improvement and live with the lower quality water. If rates are made uniform, we could very well end up subsidizing the same kind of improvement in the systems in other counties, while our siding, laundry, plumbing, fixtures, and curbs continue to accumulate rust stains.

Utilities, Inc. has a history of acquiring and divesting utilities in Florida. Establishing uniform rates would enable them to enhance their overall rate of return simply by selling the systems where rates don't provide sufficient revenue. The buyers could immediately file rate cases and justify increased rates for the systems they acquired while Utilities, Inc. continued to charge at the rate set to offset losses on the systems they no longer own. Rates must not be consolidated. That would simply be unacceptable.

In closing, I want to recognize that the Public Service Commission has a daunting task before it. Utilities, Inc. has paid their lawyers a lot of future customer money to make things as opaque and complex as possible. Although we are lay people and can't fully

understand the hundreds of pages of small print in the 1 filings and rules, we do see and have seen in the past 2 rate cases much that should not be allowed. The last 3 time, Utilities, Inc. was able to get wastewater rates 4 above what they are allowed to earn. We hope the Public 5 Service Commission will scrutinize the present filings 6 7 even more closely, and we thank them for the work they do to ensure the rates we pay are justified. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, sir. 10 Could you state your name? And I'd like to know if you would like that marked as an exhibit. 11 12 MR. SPERLING: Yes, please. 13 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. 14 MR. SPERLING: My name is Roger Sperling. My address is --15 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Can you spell your last name? 16 17 MR. SPERLING: S-p-e-r-l-i-n-g. 18 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you. We're going to go ahead and mark your written comments as 19 Exhibit 15. 2.0 21 (Exhibit 15 marked for identification.) 22 MR. SPERLING: Where shall I put them? 23 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Right -- Mr. -- Phillip is 24 right there. 25 MR. SPERLING: Thank you very much.

1	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Just one second,
2	sir. Mr. Sperling, there's a question.
3	Commissioner Polmann.
4	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you,
5	Mr. Sperling. I would simply note that the information
6	you provided is very valuable and we do appreciate your
7	effort in putting that together. This is the type of
8	information with all the detail and the information in
9	terms of the data, your comments, the level of effort,
10	and simply the detail provided we will find particularly
11	useful. So I thank you for your effort.
12	MR. SPERLING: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Sperling, you're
14	treasurer of which HOA?
15	(Applause.)
16	Nobody listens. We again, we appreciate
17	your enthusiasm. You're president of HOA.
18	MR. SPERLING: I'm treasurer of the Pennbrooke
19	Homeowners Association, Inc.
20	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
21	MR. SPERLING: Thanks.
22	CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right. We appreciate
23	your testimony.
24	Next customer, please.
25	MR. KELLY: After Mr. Cooper is Ms. Ingrid

1 Panepinto.

2.0

2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

MR. COOPER: Yes. Good morning. Thank you for this opportunity to --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Name and address.

MR. COOPER: My name is Larry Cooper, 732 Old Oaks Lane. I've been a resident here now since December of 2014. I do not have any -- have not had any problems with the service provided. The previous speaker spoke in great detail about the concerns that I also have, but I will just summarize my thoughts.

First of all, let me say that I come from a background of 40-some years in consulting engineering in which I -- my career was devoted to infrastructure-related work. Also as an investor, I'm familiar with the bonding process and investment-related issues and risks. I also happen to have a daughter who is a CPA, so I have some familiarity with accounting.

My concern is that there are -- what is it? -22 different entities, I believe is the number. Each of
them have -- whatever the number is, okay -- each of
them have their own operational costs and revenues,
which any accountant can fairly easily keep track of.
And so even if they are all part of a larger entity,
those revenues and costs can easily be tracked. So I

cannot see a justification for the cost being treated --1 costs and revenues being treated as if they are equal 2 between all those entities. 3 I would also be interested in knowing the 4 names of the engineering firms and the accounting firms 5 that you're working with. 6 7 So, in summary, the -- I guess I feel if you can't keep the costs and revenues for each entity 8 9 separate and have the rate schedule reflect the cost and revenues for each of those entities, maybe we will have 10 to organize to deal with that properly. Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Cooper.

Appreciate your testimony.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioners, any questions or comments? Seeing none, thank you.

MR. KELLY: After Ms. Panepinto is Chris Beaulieu (verbatim).

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

MS. PANEPINTO: My name is Ingrid Panepinto. I live at 838 Eagles Landing, Leesburg, Florida 34748. Well, I have a question for the gentleman on the left side here. I'd like to invite him to my house and let him drink a glass of water out of my sink. I don't drink the water out of the sink. My dog doesn't even drink the water out of the sink. I have bottled water.

The iron in the water is very high. The toilet stains, the faucet is getting all kind of yucky looking, and constantly you have to use Lime-A-Way, which is not very healthy on your breathing.

Another thing, last year my husband passed away. I had a water bill a certain amount. Now I'm by myself. It's the same amount. I don't use my dishwasher once a week, I don't use a lot of water, and my water bill is sky high. It's higher than my electric bill. I called up and they said, "Well, we'll send somebody over and check it." Well, I had somebody checking my sprinkler system. Everything was working, and I paid \$75 just to check it, just to see of any leaks in the area. I don't use -- abuse water.

But I think the increase -- we're all retired. We get a \$4 increase in our social security. I look at the increase, what they expect to get as salary, I don't think it's fair. That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your testimony. Ma'am, I'm just curious about what the leak detector consultant provided, the \$75 that you paid for. Did they detect a leak?

MS. PANEPINTO: No, I had no leak in my system. And sometimes I'm outside, I can see the water meter -- the guy coming by, click, click, nothing. They

don't even check. I think most of the time they guess.

How can I have a water bill higher now than when my
husband was alive and it was the same? I don't use as
much water. He -- I mean, I used water when he was
alive, yes. He was in a wheelchair, et cetera, and he
was, you know -- but I don't understand. They're just
guessing right now.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, the utility

2.0

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, the utility representatives are here in the back, and I absolutely encourage them to talk to you afterwards and see what the situation is at your property.

MS. PANEPINTO: Okay. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your testimony.

Next customer.

MR. KELLY: After Ms. -- is it Beaulieu
(phonetic)?

MS. BEAULIER: Close. Beaulier.

MR. KELLY: Will be Jon Martin.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

MS. BEAULIER: Hi. My name is Chris Beaulier. I'm at 639 Timbercrest Drive, and my phone number is (352)314-9615. I've been in the community here for 20 years this month. And I'm on a fixed income, and my pension has never increased in the 20 years that I'm here. And I'm -- maybe I should work for the water

company. (Laughter).

As I said, I've been here for 20 years, and I've been to several of these meetings. I would have thought it was more than you mentioned. But -- and it always begins by asking for a ridiculous increase. And then when it's reduced to a lower amount, then you make us think that we, because we come to the meetings, we've gained something. But I think the original requests are usually much too high deliberately.

There's so much rust in the system. You just have to drive around the community and look at the homes. The sides of the buildings are rust. And if they're not rust, then they've had their home painted, which we have had to do because of the rust stains.

I just got two brand new toilets just two
years ago, and already they're starting to get the rust
stains in it. And I use very strong chemicals. It gets
it out, but in a couple of days it just crawls right
back. I never drink the water. It tastes terrible.
And we've got a water softener, but it's still terrible.

I moved from Connecticut 20 years ago. The water bill in Connecticut, again, 20 years ago, was \$6.40 for three months. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your testimony.

Commissioners, any questions or comments?

Seeing none, thank you again.

Next customer.

MR. KELLY: After Mr. Martin is Charlene

6 Loop. My --

Minger.

---

\_

^

MR. MARTIN: It's Jon Martin, 32717 Westwood

**-**-

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Martin, can you speak up a little bit, please?

MR. MARTIN: Yes. Jon Martin. I live at 32717 Westwood Loop. My telephone number is 787-9316.

Two things to start with. First, I want to totally agree with what Mr. Sperling stated up here.

The other thing I would like to digress for a minute.

We had one of these meetings four years ago. All of us people who stood up here and spoke to this Public

Service Commission, it fell on deaf ears. This community had to pay \$20,000 to hire someone to go to Tallahassee before we could get anybody to listen to us. That being the point, I've heard the attorneys say how they want to put this together with other groups. First of all, the only thing that does is penalize Pennbrooke Fairways because, according to my plumber that replaces my hot water tanks and everything else on a yearly basis -- and, in fact, I have a whole house water system filter that's supposed to last six months. It lasts two

months. So as a result, I don't think you should be giving an increase. I think you should be giving a decrease. And we certainly don't need to be lumped in with other people with the condition of our service and pay a higher rate. I think this utility should fix our problems before they come to us and look for more money.

And these people need to realize -- I worked for AT&T for 38 years and worked on rate cases in upstate New York. It's common practice for any utility to ask for an enormous increase rate and then gamble on what they're going to get. As an example, ask for 52 and be happy if you get 20. My concern is you should get nothing based on our service here.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your -- again, please refrain from clapping. I'm sorry. I'm going to have to ask you again. We've heard you clap several times. Thank you. We understand that you are very, very passionate about these issues, but please continue to be professional and cordial to others. Thank you.

MR. KELLY: After Ms. -- after Ms. Minger is Tom Welt.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

MS. MINGER: Good morning. I have brought -- hopefully this will be an exhibit for you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: We can go ahead and mark it right now, if you'd like it.

MS. MINGER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Or we can take a picture of it if we have staff -- we're going to go ahead, before your time starts, and mark that as Exhibit 16.

And if you could state your name.

MS. MINGER: Yeah, Charlene Minger, 32908
Crooked Oaks Lane, Leesburg, and it's 34748.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Before your time starts, I just want to mark that as Exhibit 16. And we're going to have Charlene Minger exhibit, Demonstrative Exhibit - Water Filter.

(Exhibit 16 marked for identification.)

MS. MINGER: Water filter, yeah. As you can see, we have a whole house water filter. And we're still experimenting. We try to replace about every 45 days. I've gone online trying to find filters that will serve us better. This was a little bit more of an expensive one. This is one that -- like I say, we're experimenting. And I just went to Home Depot and bought another filter, which is a much cheaper one. We do, about every 45 days, replace them. And there are still times that even with the filter we still have brown water that will occasionally come out of our faucets, as

people were saying about their toilets, having stains in their toilets and in our shower beds. And so using harsh chemicals, remember, that's going down through our

wastewater as well, through our septic.

So there's a lot of things that they need to take into consideration before they think about increasing our rates. Maybe if they end up improving our water system, then maybe we won't be so opposed to having a little bit of an increase. But the increase that they're talking about is astronomical. These people are on a fixed income, as you've heard. And so I think they need to take into consideration, before they think about a rate increase, improving the water first. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: How old -- I may have missed that. How old is that water filter?

MS. MINGER: About 45 days. Which, according to the -- when we bought this, it was supposed to be about three -- three to four months is how often we're supposed to replace it. But as you can tell, if we waited three or four months, we would -- it probably wouldn't even do what it's supposed to do. And -- because I'm sure that after a while it has lost its life expectancy, so, you know, you might as well not even have one on.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I appreciate you bringing that in to us. Commissioner Polmann. COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I assume that was white when it was new. MS. MINGER: Yes, it was white at one time. COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you. Just a 

general comment. I appreciate you providing us with the detail. And to everyone who's speaking, when you come forward with a service-related point in your testimony, it would be helpful to us if you identify -- if you have an issue with regard to service, if it's customer service related, quality of service regarding the billing issue. And it's fairly clear when you identify that -- the water quality issue, and, again, it's quite clear when you identify that. So just a point there to

MS. MINGER: I hope you understood that mine
is the quality of the water.

help us in the clarity of your presentation. So thank

you very much for that.

**COMMISSIONER POLMANN:** Yes, yes.

MS. MINGER: I've not had a problem with customer service, to be quite honest, not myself. Okay? Thank you.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Any other questions? 3 Thank you for your testimony and bringing that in. 4 5 Next customer. MR. KELLY: After Mr. Welt is Ms. Judith --6 7 Judith Martucci, Martucci. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning. 8 9 MR. WELT: Good morning. 10 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I think they're trying to 11 tone down the microphone a little bit. There you go. 12 MR. WELT: That one is too loud or whatever. 13 My name is Tom Welt. I've lived in this 14 community three years next month. AUDIENCE SPEAKER: We can't hear. 15 MR. WELT: I've lived in this community --16 CHAIRMAN BROWN: A little closer. 17 18 MR. WELT: -- three years next month. 19 retired last January, and I selected a terrific 2.0 community. But I'm on a fixed income now, and my 21 increases have not been realized since I retired. To 22 receive a bill increase of a potential 40 percent as 23 proposed is totally unconscionable. I appreciate the 24 effort that has been made towards simplification in the

form of consolidation, but it's not necessary.

25

Computerization can take care of that, as has already been mentioned, in accounting systems. That is not an issue or justification for such a high rate.

Other topics like capital improvements has been mentioned. In my experience, capital improvements fall into one of two categories: New develop -- new capital improvements or expansion, which typically is borne by new development that's going in. The other category is existing. If we have a problem that needs to be replaced, piping or whatever, that typically should be borne in the reserves that are budgeted for. And if they aren't, then that's a management issue in the company providing the service.

I want to end with helping you realize that most of us in this community are hoping for cost of living increases -- often that come, but often that do not. I thank you for coming to Pennbrooke Fairways. It's convenient to us to have you here. I hope that you'll deny this request. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your testimony.

MR. WELT: Questions?

CHAIRMAN BROWN: No questions?

Thank you.

Next customer, please.

MR. KELLY: After -- is it Martucci?

MS. MARTUCCI: Martucci.

2

MR. KELLY: Martucci -- is Jeffrey

3

Brooke-Stewart.

4

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

5

MS. MARTUCCI: Good morning. Judith Martucci,
721 Timbercrest Drive, Leesburg, Florida. Do we have to

6

give our phone number? I'd rather not have that on

7

public television.

basis is 10.40 percent.

me to say it.

8

CHAIRMAN BROWN: You don't. They just require

10

MS. MARTUCCI: Okay. Thank you.

1 ^

11

12 Regarding Docket No. 160101-WS, Utilities,

13

Inc. of Florida, some of the notes from Utilities, Inc.

14

to Pennbrooke residents and other communities from John Hoy, president of the utilities, dated 1/2/2017, quote:

16

15

The utility is requesting a rate increase/decrease

17

because the existing rates do not provide sufficient revenues to cover the required expenses of operations on

1819

a going-forward basis and a fair return on the utility's

20

investment in used and useful property for the public

21

use, including pro forma projects. The rate of return

22

on equity requested in this proceeding on a systemwide

2324

And then further down it stated, "Given the

25

breadth and variety of communities we serve throughout

the state, it's impossible to describe our average customer from primarily vacation communities. With low usage rates to well-established year-round neighborhoods with higher usage rates, monthly charges have historically varied. Our proposed rate structure will help minimize the rate shock that can occur when major improvement projects are performed in a single community. The consolidated rates that we are requesting will result in immediate rate decreases for many of our customers." Quote, decreases for many of our customers.

"The utility has requested a permanent revenue increase/decrease for its water and wastewater systems as follows." Further down, it states, "Lake County, Pennbrooke, a 162,961, or 42.6 percent, increase for the Pennbrooke water system. The requested increase would produce annual revenues of \$545,187 for its water system."

Personal notes from Judith Martucci,

Timbercrest Drive. The reason given for this increase
to water at Pennbrooke Fairways' consumers is the fact
that the utility needs to, quote, replace aging water
main piping in many of Utilities, Inc.'s systems in
Seminole, Orange, Pasco, and Pinellas Counties, end
quote. However, as residents of Pennbrooke and Lake

County, we are not being offered nor given any improvements to the present water quality, ongoing — ongoing water pressure problems throughout the community, or service in any fashion, yet this community is being told to expect a rate increase of 42.6 percent for status quo product and service. In my opinion, we are being asked to subsidize the utility's customers who are primarily vacation communities or have aging systems that are going to receive replacement piping. The community of Pennbrooke has many residents on fixed incomes who do not have the additional funds available to subsidize other communities.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: You have about ten seconds, ma'am.

MS. MARTUCCI: Perhaps the utility should have better prepared for the inevitable need for upgrading their equipment as time went by rather than expecting us to pay for items that did not benefit us in any fashion, not even throughout the county.

Thank you for your kind attention. Copies of this letter will be sent to the federal Public Service Commission as well as Martin Friedman, Esquire. And I would like the address for the other counsel who is on our side.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Thank you,

Ms. Martucci. 1 Commissioners, any questions? 2 3 We -- do you want to put that into the record? MS. MARTUCCI: Yes. I have a copy. 4 5 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Let's mark this as an exhibit, Exhibit 17. It's going to be entitled -- could 6 7 you spell your last name? MS. MARTUCCI: M-a-r --8 9 CHAIRMAN BROWN: M-a-r --10 MS. MARTUCCI: -- t-u --11 CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- t-u-c-c --12 MS. MARTUCCI: -- i. 13 CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- i. We're going to mark that as Exhibit 17, Martucci Letter/Comments. Thank 14 15 you. (Exhibit 17 marked for identification.) 16 17 Next customer, please. MR. KELLY: After Mr. Brooke-Stewart is Dave 18 19 Shockey. 2.0 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning. 21 MR. BROOKE-STEWART: Good morning. Thank you 22 very much. Jeffrey Brooke-Stewart, B-r-o-o-k-e hyphen 23 S-t-e-w-a-r-t, 33236 Grand Cypress Way, Leesburg, 24 Florida 34748. Thank you very much. And we've lived 25 here since -- excuse me -- we've lived here since 2004,

and really I guess I'm just confirming a lot of what Mr. Sperling said, a lot of detail from Mr. Sperling, which I appreciated, and also the comments about the water quality, especially the rust. The iron content is very, very high, and the last attempt to do anything about that resulted in an outrageous costly procedure. So I'm really confirming that.

The background in the docket refers to an overall return request of 7.75 percent, and then it goes on to say that Pennbrooke wastewater is at 12.45 percent over, Pennbrooke water at 3.5 percent under. It's hard to put them together, but it is apparent that Pennbrooke is already ahead of the required -- requested amount, which confirms an earlier remark that there are winners and losers, and Pennbrooke is a loser. And for a community of 1,200-plus houses, that's just not right. And that's the point I want to make. It's just not right to take a small community, put us in with a lot of larger communities, and ask us to pay for the much larger infrastructures.

For example, the -- if we just take the base charge increases, Pennbrooke residents will pay every month an additional \$17.38 for their meter costs. For those who took the advice of a few years ago and put in a second meter for irrigation, we will pay \$24 a month

increase in base charge only, base charge. There's 1 nothing we can do to reduce that. There's obviously no 2 other water source to go to to change. That's just not 3 right to put us on. 4 And as has been said by a number of speakers, 5 this is a retirement community, most are on fixed 6 7 incomes, and it's going to be very difficult for some people. It's just not right. So thank you very much. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Brooke-Stewart. 10 A question for you, sir. I don't know if 11 you're familiar with the procedure that you all -- that 12 13 this community contemplated with the iron. Could you elaborate a little bit on that and the pricing of the 14 15 proposed --MR. BROOKE-STEWART: It was so detailed, I 16 17 could not. But certainly Mr. Sperling and others, I 18 suspect, could. But I cannot, no. 19 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you. I am interested in that. 2.0 21 Commissioners, any other questions or 22 comments? 23 Thank you. 24 MR. BROOKE-STEWART: Thank you. 25 MR. KELLY: After Mr. Shockey is Ray Warner.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SHOCKEY: Good morning. My name is Dave Shockey. I live at 242 Grand Vista Trail, Leesburg, Florida, which is here in Pennbrooke. We've been here about 12 and a half years.

A brief background on myself. I spent a 30-year career with the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company. I managed the rate department for ten years. We served mostly electric service. We also served steam service and water service to some customers. And most of what's already been said about consolidation I totally agree with. In my experience of ten years, it would not be permitted in Ohio to consolidate one community subsidizing another and vice versa. Here you've got a dozen communities, give or take, that they're trying to consolidate. It should be based on -the cost of service should be based on their used and useful equipment and the cost of operating that for that individual community. That would not -- the consolidation would not have been permitted, to my knowledge, in Ohio.

Also they talk about future costs, future improvements, et cetera. Regardless of what they are, the rates that you pay today should be based on what's used and useful in your community now. Future service

costs were not permitted in Ohio. I don't know about you here in Florida. That's my experience. It's not permitted.

Beyond that, they sent a notice -- well, without digging it up -- it seemed to indicate we'd have about a 42 percent rate increase in our water service but a 6.5 percent decrease in our wastewater. And yet the handout that I got as I came in the door today shows a 45.6 percent increase for water, which is close to the 42. But then it shows 31.6. I just simply did the math, the before and the after numbers. How do you get the 31.6 percent increase in my wastewater when the notice you sent to me said a 6.5 percent decrease?

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I'm going to ask our technical folks for clarification in just a moment, but allow you to wrap up your comments.

MR. SHOCKEY: Well, that's basically all I have. I totally agree with Mr. Sperling and others. Consolidation is wrong. We should not be subsidizing and supporting other systems. That's up to them to cover their own operating costs and maintenance and investment, et cetera, and future costs should come in some future rate case. It's that simple.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you so much.

Appreciate those comments.

I'm going to have Mr. Maurey come up, though, real quickly, if he could, and just explain -- or Ms. Daniel, either one of you, explain our handout and the percentages that -- so that we clarify for the record and for the customers here what is being proposed.

MR. SHOCKEY: Okay. No questions?

CHAIRMAN BROWN: No questions.

This will be Patti Daniel from Public Service Commission staff.

MS. DANIEL: Good morning, everyone. I'm

Patti Daniel with Commission staff. Can you hear me
okay in the back?

All right. The handout that you received as you came, I hope you received it, and if you will look on page 2 of that handout. All right. As you've been hearing this morning, the company is seeking to have consolidated rates. So to help you customers as we go across the state of Florida in each of these eight customer meetings, we wanted to be able to demonstrate to each of you what this potential rate increase that the utility has requested, and as Commissioner Brown told you, it has not been decided yet what that ultimate rate increase will be, but we wanted to give you a price point so that you could see what

this potential increase might mean to you.

And if you'll look at the top of the page, presently how much is the monthly water and wastewater bill for a residential customer using 5,000 gallons of water. Now I don't know if that's what your average demand is or not, but it's the price point that we picked for comparison.

So for Pennbrooke, if you use 5,000 gallons right now, your bill would be \$14.69 for water and \$37.99 for wastewater. If the company's consolidated rates as well as their entire requested revenue increase were approved by the Commission, your water bill at 5,000 gallons would be \$21.39. The 42 percent, I believe, and I didn't look at where that calculation came from, but that looks like about a 40 percent increase. Is that -- has somebody got a calculator?

MS. DANIEL: Okay. There it is. And then for wastewater your bill would go, at 5,000 gallons, from 37.99 to \$50.02. And you see that \$50.02 is repeated for a number of the systems for wastewater, and the 21.39 is also repeated for a number of the systems — all of the systems for water, and those would then reflect the consolidated rates. Is that helpful to you?

**AUDIENCE SPEAKER:** 45.6.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Daniel. And

we will have Commission staff here available to talk in 1 depth with each of you after this proceeding, but we 2 3 need to continue with the other customer testimony. So let's go to the next customer, Mr. Kelly. 4 5 Thank you, Ms. Daniel, again. MR. KELLY: Mr. Warner. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right. Mr. Warner. (No response.) 8 9 MR. KELLY: The next customer -- I apologize if I get this name wrong -- Josephine Kowynia, 10 11 K-o-w-y-n-i-a. 12 MS. KOWYNIA: It's Kowynia. 13 MR. KELLY: Kowynia. I'm sorry. 14 MS. KOWYNIA: I'm sorry. You'll have to wait 15 a minute for me. MR. KELLY: And I apologize, I can't -- is it 16 17 Sherry --18 MS. KOWYNIA: That's all right. 19 MR. KELLY: -- Mein, Jerry Mein? 2.0 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning. 21 MS. KOWYNIA: Good morning. 22 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Could you spell name, your 23 last name for us, please? 24 MS. KOWYNIA: Yes, certainly. It's K-o-w-y-, 25 as in yes, n-i-a. It's pronounced Kowynia, and I

2004.

Pennbrooke.

my second home here. I've lived in Pennbrooke since

eight years. In that time I have replaced my water

I just had my water heater, my second water heater

repaired to the tune of \$150 because it had to have a

pipe replaced in the center of it. And every plumber in

go bad is because the quality of the water in Pennbrooke

house, and my house was built in 2000. Now I don't know

that anyone in the water commission has replaced every

fixture in their house in a 15-year period, but I have.

I also have replaced the fixtures in the house I owned

previously, which was built by a different builder but

I have had to replace every fixture in my

the area knows that the only reason for those pipes to

is so bad that they frequently tell you to replace it

before you install the water heater.

heater, and I have replaced my filtration system twice.

I live at 527 Grand Vista Trail, Leesburg, in

I have lived in Pennbrooke -- and this is

In the current house, I've lived here for

generally say it's pronounced very carefully.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

it was here in Pennbrooke.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

on my house broken by the mowers, and in order to match

what was existing siding around, they've had to paint

I've had occasion to have some of the siding

siding to match the rust stains, and it doesn't match the color of the siding that belongs on the house.

We've been asked to give a rate increase of 42 percent. In the past three years, our COLA, that's our cost of living increase, was zero. In the last year, it was three-tenths of 1 percent on our social security. The way it worked out for me, and I don't know how it worked out for other people, but the way it worked out for me is that I ended up -- because my health insurance had gone up so much, it worked out that I get \$10 less a month than I got before. I don't get a 17 percent increase in my social security.

In addition, we have to have our wastewater -our water pipes flushed every three months. And when
that's done, we frequently end up with superfluous
stains that come as a result of flushing the water
pipes.

I had something else and I can't find it. Oh, on the second page that was just referred to --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: You have about ten seconds, if you could wrap it up.

MS. KOWYNIA: Okay. Item No. 1, Charlotte

County, \$125 is being reduced to \$50. Further down,

Pasco County for Labrador, \$124 is being reduced to \$50.

Ours is being raised. We have no additional expenses,

but we're getting a rate raise. And as far as I'm 1 concerned, this should be declared as criminal. 2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your testimony. 3 Commissioners, any questions or comments? 4 Thank you. 5 Next customer, please. 6 7 MR. KELLY: And I apologize. I can't read the It's someone with Pennbrooke Fairway -- is 8 writing. 9 it -- I apologize, Gwen (sic) or Sherry (sic) or something Mein (sic)? I apologize. Let me read the 10 address. 927 Eagle Landing. 11 12 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Here she comes. 13 MR. KELLY: I'm sorry. I couldn't read it. And she'll be followed by Jolene Natoli. 14 15 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning. MS. NESS: I'm sorry. My name is Gerry Ness, 16 17 I guess my handwriting wasn't very readable. I live at 927 Eagles Landing. I've been a Pennbrooke 18 resident since 2004. 19 In any case, I wish to speak -- I've spoken at 20 21 these meetings before. I've written in the past about 22 rate increases, and we have the same issue here. We 23 have water quality and we have an indefensible rate 24 increase. 25 The water quality, as everyone has spoken to,

consists of too much hardness and a lot of iron.

Personally we've tried a couple of different water

filters. None of them have been effective. They just

don't seem to keep the iron out. I spend a fortune on

cleaners trying to keep my toilets clean especially, and

I personally don't drink the water and buy all bottled

water for myself. My husband is a wine maker. We have

to buy the water for him to make his wine. I wouldn't

use that water for wine. It's not suitable.

There was discussion at the last request for a rate increase about acquiring a different -- a second tank so that tank could be flushed of the iron that accumulates in the bottom of it. To my knowledge, that has not been done. We continue to try to flush the hydrants at the source, which seems to me to be bad. It's not good. It's already there. I mean, it's on the streets. It's everywhere.

And more importantly than the water quality even is the fact that we just don't -- we're not getting anything for our money. It's patently unfair to get one rate, to charge small communities and highly populated communities the same rate. I know that there were communities that used to be published on the Utilities, Incorporated website where millions of dollars has been spent in capital improvements. So they're already ahead

of the game, and we're -- we have not made one ounce of 1 improvement here in 12 years. We're fighting the same 2 3 issues we fought before: low water pressure and poor quality water. 4 5 So, you know, I agree with the speakers that spoke previously that we are subsidizing other 6 7 communities, helping to retire their debt, while we get no improvements. I would encourage the Commission to 8 9 look at allocating rates and not consolidate them, and 10 earmark, if you can -- if we end up with a rate increase, why can't our dollars in excess of what's 11 12 needed to run this facility be allocated to the improvements we've been asking for for the last 12 13 14 years? Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your testimony. It was very helpful.

Commissioners? Commissioner Polmann.

Ma'am --

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

**COMMISSIONER POLMANN:** A comment or a question. You're the first person that I recall hearing -- making a comment about low water pressure.

MS. NESS: I think there were a couple of others who did mention it.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Thank you for that comment. And I would encourage others not

necessarily to come forward and speak, but again, as the Chairman has indicated, if you have other issues, we've heard many comments about iron and water quality, other issues such as low pressure, if -- please feel free to send your comments in writing about the various types of concerns that you have. And it is very helpful to hear different types of concerns that you have. So thank you for mentioning it.

MS. NESS: Thank you for listening.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thanks for your testimony.

Next customer, please.

MR. KELLY: After Ms. Natoli is Gerald Usher.

MS. NATOLI: Good morning. I'm Jolene Natoli. I live at 33243 Pennbrooke Parkway, right down the street the other way. One of the issues I was going to raise has now been extensively covered, and that is the quality of the water, which is poor. To me, if Utilities, Inc. owns this, they ought to fix it at their expense. My understanding was that they took some of the filters out that stopped a lot of the water and the iron from coming in and never replaced them and wanted

But the other -- I won't go into any more about water quality. It's poor. I've never lived in a

to charge us for them. I don't know the details of all

Roger Sperling could explain that.

place, and I've lived all over the world, where the 1 2 water was yellow and rusty in the toilet bowls, in the sinks. When I take my showerhead apart, there's black 3 grease in it. 4 5 My input is more or less a question. Villages intends on building 4,000 homes right across 6 7 the street from here, across U.S. 44 near 468. wondering where all the water is going to come from to 8 9 service these people and how that's going to affect our 10 water. 11 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. And I assume that's a question for the utility. Public Service 12 13 Commission staff will be able to get with you after the proceeding and let you know. Thank you. 14 15 MS. NATOLI: Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Any other issues you'd like 17 to address? MS. NATOLI: No, that's it. 18 19 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you so much. 2.0 Next customer, please. 21 MR. KELLY: After Mr. Usher is Don Manfre. 22 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning. 23 Good morning, Madam Chairman. MR. USHER: 24 CHAIRMAN BROWN: It looks like you have

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

something with (sic) us. Do you want to have that

identified and marked as an exhibit before your time begins?

MR. USHER: If you wish.

2.0

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Let's do that. We're going to go ahead -- and your name, your first name?

MR. USHER: My name is Gerald Usher. I live at 700 Grand Vista Trail.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Usher. We're going to go ahead and mark Gerald Usher's exhibit as

No. 18, and write it Usher Demonstrative Exhibit.

(Exhibit 18 marked for identification.)

AUDIENCE SPEAKER: Hold it up.

MR. USHER: I will in a minute.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: You can begin. Thank you.

MR. USHER: I just have to concur with everything that others -- everything that's been already said. There's no point in repeating it.

I do want to add, though, about the water pressure, you know, the water pressure is definitely not good. But my main concern for you guys today is the water quality. And this is my exhibit. This is the filter that I installed in my house strictly for taking out the iron. This is approximately five months' use right here. It costs me \$65 to buy that, to replace that every year -- every six months. And, you know, if

everybody added that to their house and paid \$65 each 1 time, I'm not sure if that would equal the cost of 2 putting in an iron filtering system. 3 The other thing I want to say is that now my 4 hands are pretty dirty and I don't know if I want to 5 clean them on your clothes, but just think about what 6 7 it's doing to your stomach. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Usher. 8 9 you for not putting it on our clothes. We appreciate 10 that. (Laughter.) 11 Next customer, please.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KELLY: After Mr. Manfre is Wayne Stevenson.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

MR. MANFRE: Good morning, Madam Chair. request your indulgence in taking a little longer than three minutes. I'm speaking in lieu of some of the other board members, but I speak for myself.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And thank you. And I've conferred with this gentleman. He is a representative of an HOA representing a broader group, and we're going to be generous with his allotted time. So I understand that you have something to read into the record, but would you also like it marked as an exhibit?

MR. MANFRE: I would. But whatever I turn in,

I would like to just get a clean copy because this has got scribbling and notes all over it.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Let's do that after your testimony today. All right? Let's just go ahead and state your name and address for the record.

MR. MANFRE: Don Manfre, 32705 Timberwood

Drive, Leesburg. I think in its simplest terms, a

government -- a utility is no more than a government

regulated monopoly. And I emphasize the word "monopoly"

because, as customers, we have nowhere else to go but to

Utilities, Inc. So as a homeowner, and all the

homeowners behind me here, we have to rely on you. We

have to rely on you, the Public Service Commission, to

safeguard us from any form of abuse or overreach by

Utilities, Inc. More specifically, our reliance on the

Commission extends to utility ratemaking where

Utilities, Inc. has the right to set rates that they

will charge us. Therefore, we are relying on you and

you alone to be fair across the group of consumers, that

is to say, the 12 different districts.

And here's the crux of the problem I have with some of the issues that are stated in the letter from the president, Hoy. I don't believe that the Pennbrooke customers are being treated fairly, and we need your intervention to prevent this from happening.

Recognizing that the Commission's purpose is to serve both the customers and the utility, I recognize that, but it must attempt to be equal and fair and serve the interests of both. However, in this case, I see a regulatory conflict, one between Pennbrooke and what I believe to be the overreaching hand of Utilities, Inc. Utility rates cannot be set so high as to be viewed and perceived as confiscatory. This, in turn, demands that rates be fair, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory across the customer base. Yet in this case, I believe that, as the numbers substantiate, the proposed rate increase is not reasonable, nor fair, and discriminatory based on a district bias basis the -- via the capital improvements made to each district and being charged to other districts.

I believe that rates must be impartial and based on real and factual applicability. This is to say that -- the consumption of each district, maintenance, and required capital improvements. This is not case here. I believe that there is a bias by Utilities, Inc. The rate hike bias is by virtue of the 12-company consolidation and unfair capital expenditure base for the cost that's simply being spread across us for improvements, capital improvements in other areas. I think that's unfair.

I question the foundation upon which

Utilities, Inc.'s proposed rate hikes are based. I

believe them to be both biased and flawed; therefore,

invalid. I don't believe that the proposed rate hike is

illegal; however, I personally question the ethical

practices and the bloated proposals, absolutely bloated

proposals.

When I received UI's letters -- UI's -- when I received Utilities, Inc.'s letter signed by the president, John Hoy, I was incredulous. To me, a 42 percent increase in one year implies that either somebody screwed up or that it's grossly overstated or both. From my personal work experience, which handled big dollars, if I got a proposal like this, I would have sent it back, not even paid attention to it, and said, "Sharpen your pencil and get the facts and then come back to me." If 52 -- if 42 percent wasn't bad enough, the consolidation of the 12 regulatory -- the 12 regulated companies into one, to me, was a red flag.

I want to address a little bit about Mr. Hoy's letter because it does concern me. I realize that he had to make some kind of an attempt to soften the blow. I think that the letter itself was absolutely crowded with platitudes and vagaries. I couldn't help but wonder, does this have a pertinent requirement basis?

Where's the cost backup per district and the supporting
data that can withstand in-depth scrutiny? Does this
even exist? This kind of proposal and the amount of
money requested here, which is, to me, unimaginable,
absolutely requires specific, specific supporting

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

details to verify exactly how it aligns with the

Pennbrooke district and only the Pennbrooke district.

The real issue here is one of disparity, of water and waste system operational costs, varying equipment needs, and questionable long-term planning and execution over 12 different companies. If the company -- if Utilities, Inc. asked for 42 percent -this has been brought up a little bit before -- and they get 25 percent, they may be belting vodka and champagne thinking they got four to five times what's actually required. And what I believe is that the Commission is honor bound to really get to the baseline of this and see what is actually required. The letter covers I'm not buying that one. So turning -obtaining all relevant details is necessary in order to access the fundamental realities of the base requirements.

In looking at this letter, Mr. Hoy's letter, he talks about the EPA estimates, and he's going out 20 years. That's a long, long time. Yet --

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. -- and I hate to cut you off right where you are. If you could wrap it up in about a minute, that would be great. Thank you.

MR. MANFRE: Recommendations -- and I'm sorry I couldn't get to the rest of it because it does support this. Recommendations are: assert that there exists a vague and contestable basis for Utilities, Inc.'s price increase. Accordingly, I recommend that this highly questionable price increase be subjected to an in-depth fact-finding to question line by line with construction of the numbers from the bottom up. I recommend that you demand that Utilities, Inc.'s long-term capital expense program provide complete, factual, and verifiable base for immediate and necessary equipment only directly connected with Pennbrooke. Accordingly, I implore you, the decision makers and the decision influencers, to use your authority to remedy this wrongdoing as soon as possible. I urge you to reject Utilities, Inc.'s price increase.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Manfre. And we're going to go ahead and mark a clean copy, which my understanding is that you will submit to us a clean copy, but I'm going to go ahead and do it for the record here for our exhibit list, and as Exhibit No. 19, Mr. Manfre, M-a-n-f --

MR. MANFRE: F-r-, one e, e. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: M-a -- okay. We're going to 3 go ahead and mark a clean copy of your comments as Exhibit 19. And we will -- he's going to send them to 4 us to by email or by written mail. 5 (Exhibit 19 marked for identification.) 6 7 MR. MANFRE: Right. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Just one moment. 8 9 Commissioners, any questions or comments? 10 Mr. Manfre, when do you plan on sending that to staff, Commission staff? 11 12 MR. MANFRE: No worse than tomorrow evening, 13 no worse. 14 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Sounds good. 15 MR. MANFRE: But thank you for hearing me out. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 16 17 All right. Next customer. MR. KELLY: After Mr. Stevenson is Ken 18 19 Alcardi. MR. STEVENSON: Wayne Stevenson, 931 Forest 20 21 Breeze Path. I just want to go into a few things, and 22 one is the -- how the water company treats us in what 23 they say and how they operate their business compared to 24 the people here.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

When I first came here, like most new

residents when they had their home built, you had to put 1 a lot of water to get your lawn going, and my bill was 2 astronomical. But once my lawn was established, it went 3 down, but I thought it was still too high. So I called 4 up and said, "Why is it so high?" And they said, "Well, 5 because you're doing the wastewater and the irrigation 6 7 water and your -- and the water you live with at the same time." Well, I said, "Why can't you put a simple 8 9 flow valve on the exit to the house for the wastewater?" 10 And they said, "One, it would be way too expensive." I said, "What's the alternative?" He said, "You could 11 12 separate." I said, fine, I would. And they were 13 reluctant, but they said you could do that. So I said, 14 "Okay," and I convinced three of my neighbors and they 15 saved quite a bit of money. Except they didn't tell me one little detail, that every month I'm paying a charge 16 for that extra meter. It goes on forever. There's no 17 18 ending to it. And I had my meter put in in 2004, and my three neighbors that I convinced to separate their water 19 20 from their irrigation water is doing the same thing. 21 That's number one.

Number two, the representative of the water company made a statement, and they're proud of it, they did a study to see the water quality. He failed to say that that study was brought on by the board pressuring

22

23

24

them to do that, and the board paid for most of that study with the understanding before we started that if we had to do something, it wouldn't cost more than X amount of dollars. Well, once we did the study and all, they came back and told us it was a huge increase in what they proposed to improve the water. And we said, because we have about -- we guestimated at the time we had about 25 percent of our people had some type of water purification system, it would not be cost-effective and the residents would not want to do that. So we did not do that.

2.0

Also we asked questions at the time why don't they have filters to get rid of some of these particulates in the water, especially the iron. Because the iron was — it meets the Florida state standards, but it's very close to not meeting them at the same time. And they said they took the filters off when they took over because the filters were too small for our community. And what they did, and I can't remember the word, it starts with an S, but they put a chemical in the water to keep the iron floating in the water so it wouldn't come down. Well, we're all drinking that now. They put a chemical in, and maybe it's good, maybe it's not harmful to humans, but that's an extra chemical that we're consuming every day with the water here instead of

the filters that they had before that they said were too small, but they were doing a job at that time.

The other point I want to put out is the trustworthiness -- I know I'm getting close. I'm not a good speaker. I apologize for that.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: You're doing great. I was just going to ask -- this is great information. If you could wrap up in 30 seconds, that would be helpful.

MR. STEVENSON: Oh, well, we have -- I call it a bridge between our property and where the water company is. Their trucks broke that bridge. They fixed it. We got after them and they fixed it. First they started arguing about it and then they fixed it. And they said they would look into, because the fencing is on -- by a road that they would come in through, make a gate through that. They never did that either. And they're tearing up, because of the weight of their equipment, still the roads going into our RV park and all which they have to go through.

The other question I have, and everybody is saying a rate increase, a rate increase. Why are we even talking about a rate increase at all? I mean, it should be looking also about a decrease.

Now the other one I want to point out is the pressure, the water pressure. During that same time we

were looking at the water quality, we came up with -and it was a voluntary thing and they did it, a section,
change the dates for irrigation, and that brought some
of the pressure back up to some of the other areas. But
that was a voluntary thing, and we're still doing it to
this day. They did not do anything to increase the
pressure; the community did or the residents on a
volunteer basis.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, sir. A couple of questions for you regarding your earlier comment about paying for an extra meter because you separated. Can you explain that a little bit more? I was a little confused by that.

MR. STEVENSON: Oh, I can give you -- I remember that completely. When I got here, I was upset about my water bill because I come from an area where water is very reasonable compared to here. They -- to separate my water and my irrigation system I had to put a separate meter in. They installed the meter. At the time they were doing it one way and I suggested that they do it another way, which was cheaper for them, and they did it. Before they would snake it across the ground and all, but they didn't have to do all that. They could just put a saddle and go right to it. My lawn was torn up three -- two times by the --

1	CHAIRMAN BROWN: You have two water meters,			
2	though; is that right?			
3	MR. STEVENSON: Yeah, I've got two water			
4	meters: one for the house and one for the irrigation.			
5	It's separate. And so do my neighbors. They didn't			
6	tell me it was an automatic \$5 a month for that meter,			
7	which is going on forever. They never said it would			
8	never stop.			
9	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Each meter is billed			
10	separately, a separate charge.			
11	MR. STEVENSON: Right. Uh-huh.			
12	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you, sir.			
13	Commissioners? Mr. Friedman, you have a			
14	question?			
15	MR. FRIEDMAN: Mr. Stevenson, you mentioned			
16	earlier that the board paid for a study, the water			
17	quality study.			
18	MR. STEVENSON: Yes.			
19	MR. FRIEDMAN: And isn't it true that			
20	Utilities, Inc. of Florida reimbursed the board for that			
21	study?			
22	MR. STEVENSON: Not that I'm aware of.			
23	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Commissioners, any			
24	questions or comments?			
25	Mr. Stevenson, you provided some very good			

1	information. If you would I would please encourage			
2	you to go ahead and send us some written comments, and			
3	we'll take those into consideration.			
4	MR. STEVENSON: Okay. Thank you.			
5	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your testimony.			
6	Next customer, please.			
7	MR. KELLY: After Mr is it Alcardi,			
8	Alcardi?			
9	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Alcardi.			
10	(No response.)			
11	Seeing no Alcardi are there any customers			
12	here who have not been sworn in who are planning on			
13	testifying? I know we've seen a few more sheets come up			
14	here. Anybody who's not been sworn in, raise your hand.			
15	No?			
16	(No response.)			
17	MR. KELLY: The next speaker is Charles			
18	Bozoti.			
19	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Charles Bozoti. Seeing him			
20	coming in. Is there a Charles Bozoti? Oh, there he is.			
21	MR. KELLY: After Mr. Bozoti is Eugene Vaughn.			
22	CHAIRMAN BROWN: I know we're getting at that			
23	two-hour point, but we're just going to try to motor on.			
24	I think we just have two more, so			
25	MR. BOZOTI: Do you want to take a break now?			

CHAIRMAN BROWN: No. Please go ahead, sir. 1 MR. BOZOTI: Good morning. 2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Have you been sworn in? Have 3 you been sworn in, sir? Sworn in when you came in? 4 MR. BOZOTI: Sworn many times, not here. 5 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Sir, do you swear or 6 7 affirm -- please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm to provide the truth in this proceeding? 8 9 MR. BOZOTI: I do. (Witness sworn.) 10 11 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, sir. Name and address for the record. 12 13 MR. BOZOTI: Thank you very much. My name is Charles Bozoti, B-o-z-o-t-i. I live at 329 Grand Vista 14 Trail, Leesburg 34748. 15 First, I would like to thank the Commission 16 17 for coming down from Tallahassee. I know it's a 18 stretch. Been through it. The question I have, you was down here 19 20 seven years ago and we went through the same procedure 21 for the same problem, and we got a result that --22 instead of about a 42 percent at that time, we settled

for 17 percent and was happy about it. If you went

through it that time and you disallowed the results of

the study, which is identical as it is today, then why

23

24

are you here redoing it over again? And at that time, you gave Utilities, Inc. two years to correct their problems with the water supply and with the pressure and with the quality, and that has not yet been done. So I don't know what this is all about. I don't know why they're asking for such an increase. If they want to get \$500,000 for their employees, they should look somewhere else. That's like saying, okay, everybody at that table should be getting the same amount of money, and that isn't true. It never will be. We're individuals. The company -- the communities are individual communities. They have to be treated as such. And that's all I have to say.

2.0

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your comments.

And earlier before -- I don't know if you were here for the president of the company gave an overview of the reason for the rate request. But I'll tell you -- and we made some comments -- I made some comments earlier that we will be having a technical hearing where we take the substance and the evidence as well as this consideration into it. So your comments will absolutely be heard here.

MR. BOZOTI: Yeah. Because if we went through it seven years ago and you made a result then on the same criteria that they're claiming now, you know, why

are you back?

here.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, sir.

Commissioners, any questions, comments?

Thank you for your testimony.

MR. BOZOTI: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Last speaker, Mr. Kelly?

MR. KELLY: Eugene Vaughn.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

MR. VAUGHN: I feel like a basket case up

First of all, I want to thank y'all for coming out. And this coming April, I'll be here 24 years. And I've had some incidents in my water meter by the end of the driveway. I -- the first couple of years I was here it broke. And Frank Hoss (phonetic) was still the owner here, and I asked him -- I told him about it and I had to -- he said, "That's your problem." I said, "It's between the street and my meter." He said, "It's still your problem," so I had to fix it.

Now in November I had to replace the whole thing because of the vibration (phonetic) of the pipes, it went bad. And I called our service guy that works here, and he got the guys come back here from the water, he come out, and said, "It's going to have to be replaced." So I had to replace the whole thing.

2

3

-

5

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

Also my water pressure is very, very bad. And I'm from Dade County, Miami, Florida, and have been all my life, and we've got better water down there than we do here.

Also my neighbor called Daniel, who used to work back here and then went back there, and -- for some reason or another, and I said, "I'm going to show you something." So I went and got a black cup of coffee and brought it out, and I said, "What do you see there?" He said, "It's like oil and water." He took a picture of it with his camera. I never heard anything about that. But that's -- I wouldn't even feed my cats the water that comes out of that faucet. I wouldn't even do that. Unfortunately we have to take a shower with this crap, you know. And this is the world's worst water I've ever seen in my entire life. And all the years I've been living here nothing has ever been done about it. And it's just that y'all keep going and raise their rates and we're getting less and less service, and you're not doing -- no one has ever, ever done anything about this thing here. It's just -- it's a nightmare. And the water is still the same. And I think a lot of people here -- to be honest with you, you know, a lot of people here are dying of cancer because of the stupid water here. That's my opinion. I could be wrong. But it's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

causing a lot of problems, health problems here.

And also it's got a smell to it. It has a very bad smell. And it's -- like I said, I don't drink it. I have a filter in my icebox I put water in every day for my coffee or anything else. I do the same thing for my cats. You know, I wouldn't give my cats the water that comes out of that faucet.

I've also had to replace all the water faucets in my house, both bathrooms and the kitchen. They've all been replaced, you know. And I don't know what you people are going to do about it. You keep raising their rates but you don't do anything about the system back here. Something has got to be done here and no one has ever done nothing, and yet people still are sick or dying because of this water. Thank you very much. Thanks for coming out.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your testimony. Can you give your name and address, please, for the record?

MR. VAUGHN: My name is Gene Vaughn, 32205 Summertree Circle, one word. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony.

Mr. Kelly, does that conclude -- that concludes all of the customers who have signed up. Is

there anybody here who has not spoken that would like to 1 address the Commission? I see a hand. All right. 2 can you come on up? You all are being very patient. 3 know sitting around for two hours is -- gets to be a 4 little antsy, and I appreciate your patience and your 5 cooperation. 6 7 Sir, have you been sworn in? MR. GRIFFITHS: My name is Mike Griffiths. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Have you been sworn in, sir? 10 MR. GRIFFITHS: Yes, I have. 11 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Can you give a name and address for the record? 12 13 MR. GRIFFITHS: Mike Griffiths, 32660 Oak Park Drive. Okay. You guys actually ruined my day. I 14 15 thought -- I came out here with the expectation that I 16 was going to get rebated or get my money back on a lot 17 of stuff that I have spent for chemicals to clean my 18 house. I thought you was going to offer me money for a new filter I put in and was destroyed because your meter 19 20 went bad. I was told by your employee that it was --21 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Sir, we're the Public Service 22 Commission. We are --23 MR. GRIFFITHS: -- the plumber's fault. 24 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Sir, we're not the utility.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

We're the Public Service Commission.

MR. GRIFFITHS: Right. Okay. You ruined my 1 I honestly thought you was going to apologize and 2 take my rates down. I really did. I'm shocked. 3 (Laughter.) 4 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, sir. 5 Is there anybody else in the audience who'd 6 7 like to speak -- you'd like to -- all right. We have one more customer who's actually handling all of the 8 9 microphones and the sound system here. Thank him for this wonderful facility and helping us out. Sir, can --10 you have not been sworn in. 11 12 MR. KING: No, I have not. 13 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Do you swear or affirm to 14 provide the truth in this proceeding? 15 MR. KING: Yes, I do. 16 (Witness sworn.) 17 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you. Name and address. 18 19 MR. KING: My name is Gary King, 709 Glen Oaks 20 Drive, same city and zip. 21 I'd just like to state that the water quality 22 here is bad. I did have the water analyzed by a 23 company. And addition -- in addition to the iron, 24 there's a thing called tannins in the water, and these

tannins is what's causing the toilets and sinks to have

this yellow color to them. And I have a water softener and they put in special beads to take that tannin out of the water. So that's just another thing that is in the water. I just wanted to state that.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. King. Thanks for coming on up here.

Is there anybody else in the audience who would like to address the Commission who has not spoken yet? Going once, going twice.

Again, we want to encourage you to provide the written comments on this sheet. Send them in to us or leave them here today. Thank you for coming out here. We heard you all, and your input will be taken into consideration.

If there are no other closing comments, this service hearing is adjourned.

(Proceeding adjourned at 11:25 a.m.)

STATE OF FLORIDA	) : )	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.

IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED THIS 13th day of February, 2017.

LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
Office of Commission Clerk
(850) 413-6734