FILED MAR 07, 2017 DOCUMENT NO. 03204-17 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

C - COMMISSION CLERK 000001

		000001
1	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	In the Matter of:	
3		
4		DOCKET NO. UNDOCKETED
5	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	
6	25-30.130 AND 25-3 FLORIDA ADMINISTRA	·
7		/
8		
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS:	DEVELOPMENT OF RULEMAKING WORKSHOP
11	COMMISSION STAFF PARTICIPATING:	KATHRYN G.W. COWDERY LAURA KING ROBERT GRAVES DON ROME
12		
13		
14		RHONDA HICKS
15	DATE:	Tuesday, February 28, 2017
16	TIME:	Commenced at 1:31 p.m. Concluded at 1:56 p.m.
17	DI ACE	-
18	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
19		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
20	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

		000002
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	J.R. KELLY, Office of Public Counsel	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

2.0

PROCEEDINGS

MS. COWDERY: Good morning (sic). Pursuant to notice, this time and place has been set for an undocketed staff rule development workshop to take input from interested persons on the amendment of Rules 25-30.130 and 25-30.355 relating to water and wastewater utility customer complaints. I'm Kathryn Cowdery with the Office of General Counsel. Also here on behalf of staff are Laura King, Robert Graves, Rhonda Hicks, and Don Rome.

This rule development addresses the customer complaint rules for water and wastewater as well as for gas and utility industries. This particular staff rule development workshop addresses the water and wastewater customer complaint rules.

The draft rules are amended for use of plain language, to update and clarify customer complaint recordkeeping and response requirements, to amend for consistency among all regulated industries as appropriate, and to delete requirements that are obsolete and duplicative of requirements found in other rules.

There are sign-in sheets at the back of the table, at the back of the room on the table, and we ask everyone to sign the sheet so we have a

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

record of who is in attendance today. Copies of the Commission's notice of development of rulemaking was sent out on February 13th, 2017. Those are also located on the back table. They have been posted on the Commission's website in the conferences and meeting agendas, notice of staff workshops, notice section. The draft rules attached to that notice are the ones we will be discussing at this workshop. Each time you speak, please identify yourself. And the first time you speak, please identify who you are representing for the benefit of the court reporter and other workshop participants.

Do we have anybody who is on the call-in line? If so, let me know.

(No response.)

2.0

It does not appear we have at this time anyone on the call-in line.

We'll start with Rule 25-30.355,

complaints. The draft rule deletes the Section (1)

requirement that a utility make a full and prompt

acknowledgment and investigation of all customer

complaints and requires also a full and prompt

response to all customer requests. The phrase "full

and prompt acknowledgment and investigation" is

replaced by language in the draft subsection (2).

This language is more objective and gives a specific standard for compliance and for regulation. That language is: "No later than the next working day after the date the utility receives a customer complaint, the utility shall inform the customer that the utility has received the customer's complaint. Within 15 working days of the utility's receipt of the complaint, the utility shall investigate the complaint and give the customer a verbal or written response."

2.0

We're thinking also of adding some

language along these lines -- this is not in the

notice -- "These requirements apply to all

complaints not being processed under Rule 25-22.032,

Florida Administrative Code." The reason for adding

this is to avoid any confusion there might be in

reading these two rule sections together.

25-22.302 is the Commission rule that addresses

complaints that have been filed with the Florida

Public Service Commission, and there is a

requirement in that rule that any documentation

surrounding those complaints be held for two years.

The -- this particular requirement would be the -- that the requirement is that they be kept for five years and that these particular rule

requirements would apply for the rule -- the complaints filed directly with the utility. We're essentially keeping the definition of complaint to be the same, just doing some wordsmithing to it.

In addition, we have the section concerning responding to emergency calls that we're adding to this rule, which is, "Each utility shall have a procedure for receiving and promptly responding to emergency calls 24 hours a day.

Reports of water and wastewater main breaks or conditions caused by utility-owned facilities wherein property damage or personal injury is reasonably foreseeable shall be considered emergencies."

The reason we're adding this particular language is to be consistent with gas and electric rules. The water and wastewater industry currently is required by rule at least annually to give the customers a -- the name -- the phone number for making after-hours calls, but there's nothing in the rules right now to allow a response to emergency calls.

Do we have any comments on the complaints rule, 25-30.355, any of this language, any of the draft amendments?

MR. KELLY: Yes, ma'am. Good afternoon. This is J.R. Kelly with the Office of Public Counsel. Very, very briefly, number one, we feel that -- or we would like to ask you to consider language in your definition of complaint where it says, "Complaint means an objection made," and we would ask to include the words "in oral, written, or electronic format" to clarify that it's -- that they don't have to be specifically in writing or they don't have to be signed if someone was to send in an email.

I am specifically not speaking to Twitter or tweets or any other form of media. I'm talking about something where the consumer is affirmatively reaching out to communicate with the utility either orally, written, or electronically.

Another comment consistent with what was discussed in an earlier workshop with respect to electric and gas, you might want to consider defining complaint so that utilities, if they're -- for example, if someone was working out in the field and someone just came up and made a comment to them, they didn't like filing a deposit, they didn't like something like that, that that may not be considered a complaint. And I don't -- I'm not looking to create an onerous burden on the water and wastewater

utility industry, so maybe a better definition of complaint or the -- what was the word we were talking about this morning? -- maybe defining something, maybe a complaint versus an inquiry.

MS. COWDERY: Uh-huh.

2.0

MR. KELLY: Something along those regards.

And I'll commit to you guys to try to look and see if I can come up with something, maybe in my past life at the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services or something, that could help there.

And along those regards, I know there have been some concerns about whether it's a request versus a complaint if someone calls and says,
"There's a pipe busted. Water is pouring out. Can you come take a look at it?" Whether or not that would be a concerned customer notifying or informing the utility versus a complaint. How should, you know, how should that be handled? And, again, maybe if we could come up with a good definition of complaint, maybe -- hopefully those would take care of themselves.

I think that is it with -- oh, another comment that was made this morning and in an earlier workshop, and I think it might pertain to number (2), is if the utility attempts to reach out to the

"inform," where it says, "A utility shall inform the customer that their complaint has been received." I know there's -- could be a concern about whether a consumer has given a telephone number or, you know, maybe they just can't be reached, maybe they don't have a telephone, maybe their voice mailbox is full, you know. That if the company legitimately reaches out and tries to notify a consumer and they can't get ahold of them, you know, I don't think they should be held in a violation of the rule for that.

And I did have one question going back to the -- I apologize, give me one second here -- the clarification between the rule you had referenced earlier. I think it's 25-22.032.

MS. COWDERY: Yes, sir.

MR. KELLY: It hit me just a few minutes ago when you were talking about the differences -- let me ask a question. If a customer was to file a complaint with the Commission against Kelly Water Utility and you took that complaint and you sent it to the company and -- so it goes through, I don't know, five, six months, you know, its regular -- or a month, whatever it is, regular process of trying to get that dispute resolved, two questions.

One, I understand under 25-22.032 that the 1 2 Commission only retains the documentation for two 3 years. MS. COWDERY: That is correct, I believe. 4 MS. HICKS: The complaint too. 5 MR. KELLY: The complaint? 6 7 MS. COWDERY: Right. MR. KELLY: Oh, okay. Because this morning 8 9 you mentioned something about documentation versus 10 complaint. I got a little confused. 11 MS. COWDERY: Okay. Yeah. That's in the 12 25-30. -- our other rule we're looking at. 13 MR. KELLY: Right. And I know it says -- and 14 I forgot to bring a copy, but I know it does say in there the documentation shall be retained for two years. 15 16 MS. COWDERY: Right. And that's as opposed to 17 the record of complaint, which would contain -- like, if 18 we asked for a record of all complaints that you have 19 received, you know, in the last year. That record of 2.0 complaint is to be kept for five years. And that would 21 include all complaints, everything that meets the 22 definition of a complaint. 23 MR. KELLY: Of a complaint. 24 MS. COWDERY: It has to meet that definition 25 where --

MR. KELLY: So here's where I'm getting confused. So does the Commission keep the complaint and all that information, but they -- for five years, but they don't need to keep the documentation but for two years? Is that what --

MS. COWDERY: The Commission, I believe, only keeps the complaint for -- we'll have maybe Rhonda Hicks address that, if you want to come up.

MR. KELLY: I'm sorry.

MS. COWDERY: No, that's a good question.

MS. HICKS: Hello. The Commission keeps complaints for two years. We're required to keep them two years.

What I think the difference is, is when you say, "a record," you're saying, "Okay. I need to know" -- you're talking about numbers, not really so much details. When we ask you for how many complaints you've received over five years, the record of all complaints that you've received, I don't think that that includes details.

And so the documentation, to me, in my opinion, includes all of the follow-up and supporting documents that you may have received.

So, in my opinion, what happens is, say, for example, we ask you -- we ask the company for

four years of complaints, four years of complaints, they may not -- they may only have two years of documentation supporting those complaints, but they may have a listing of all the complaints they've received. MR. KELLY: Okay. Of course, that will change over to this rule because they'll have to keep it for five years now. MS. HICKS: No, not for 032, not for the complaint rule. MR. KELLY: Well, and that's the clarification. Thank you. MS. COWDERY: Right. Right.

MR. KELLY: That was my second clarification because I understand -- it seems to me maybe there's an inconsistency here, and I'm trying to think this out loud as I'm saying this. It seems to me that if you have -- if John Doe files a complaint against Kelly Utility with the Commission, the documentation only has to be kept for two years. If you wanted to go back and look at the backup documentation, it's only --

MS. HICKS: Correct.

MR. KELLY: But if John Doe files that exact same complaint first with Kelly Utilities, under this rule proposal, you're going to have a separate -- you're

going to have a different standard of recordkeeping.

Because Kelly Utilities, me, if I'm Kelly Utilities, I'm going to have to keep all that documentation and record evidence and information for five years.

MS. COWDERY: I think what the intent is, if we move over to the record of complaint document that's on page 5 of the notice --

MR. KELLY: Right.

MS. COWDERY: -- 25-30.130 -- well, it's the new -- it's the new second --

MR. KELLY: Right.

MS. COWDERY: -- sentence to Section (1). You keep the record that shows the name and address of the complainant, the nature of the complaint, the date received, the result of investigation, how the complaint was resolved, and the date of resolution. There's not necessarily any additional backup documentation that occurs when a complaint ends up being unresolved and comes to the Commission.

Then we might look at it in more detail and say, "Well, we want to see where's all the documentation that shows your -- you know, that you fixed the pipe or that, you know, there's a manifest somewhere about materials" or whatever would be asked for. That would be the distinction.

MR. KELLY: Okay. So the utility only 1 just -- they could keep a spreadsheet, if you will --2 3 MS. COWDERY: Exactly. MR. KELLY: -- of all this information. 4 MS. COWDERY: Exactly. 5 MR. KELLY: The documentation backing up any 6 7 of this would only be kept for two years. MS. HICKS: Right. 8 9 MS. COWDERY: If it goes to the Commission. 10 If it goes to the Commission. Now this is a minimum 11 too. 12 MR. KELLY: So -- I got you. So what -- got 13 you. I understand. Thank you for that. 14 So what if -- so what if a consumer files 15 a complaint directly with the utility? How long does the utility have to keep any and all 16 17 documentation for that? They can destroy it the next day after it's, quote, resolved, disposed of, 18 whatever, finalized, closed? 19 MS. COWDERY: That would be up to the utility 20 21 and their internal, you know, internal practices. 22 MR. KELLY: Okay. 23 MS. COWDERY: You know, I mean, they've got 24 reasons, I believe, to keep records of this also. But

25

for our purposes --

MR. KELLY: Okay.

MS. COWDERY: -- you know, if somebody comes in later and comes and files a complaint because something has been going on for a year or two, it's never been resolved, we're going to ask for that information.

MR. KELLY: Right.

MS. COWDERY: And you would think that the utility would be holding onto it. At that point, we would need it. That's how we're doing it right now. That's the draft.

MR. KELLY: Got you. Okay. No, I understand. No, I appreciate it. I was trying to think it out loud.

MS. COWDERY: No, that's good.

MR. KELLY: Because I -- I got you. Okay.

MS. COWDERY: Now one other point I wanted to raise on the complaint rule, 25-30.355, is we are specifically deleting the requirement that utilities respond fully and promptly to customer requests. And the reason for this is that the complaint rule is not the appropriate place to address the customer requests which deal with initiation of service, continuance of service, discontinuation of service, refusal of service, that all those areas are covered in other rules more specifically. You know, 25-30.310(2), they all --

25-30.250, 25-30.320, 25-30.266 all address the
requirement for water and wastewater utilities to
respond quickly to these various service incidents.
that's why that section has been taken out in Section
(1).

2.0

I think we've covered some of the record of complaints rule.

MR. KELLY: And I just had one comment to share about the record of complaints --

MS. COWDERY: Uh-huh.

MR. KELLY: -- and that is in the first -- under sub (1), where it says, "A utility shall keep a record of all complaints received." And we would suggest that where -- it would be added where, "A utility shall keep a record of all complaints received and its responses to the customers for each complaint received," so we -- so there is a record of how, when, you know, they do respond to the customer.

MS. COWDERY: Uh-huh. Okay. And that might be something that we would put in what the record shall show, something like that. But, yes, that information is good input.

And I think you understand why we're taking out the requirements that the complaints be signed, written complaints, that that information --

that is obsolete. It predates electronic recordkeeping. It would be unusual, I think, for a signed, written complaint to be, for instance, mailed to a utility, but that would still be covered under our current rule.

The draft subsection, as we were previously discussing, for subsection (2) of this rule requires each utility to maintain its record for a minimum of five years. Utilities might have a reason to keep it longer than that. But water and wastewater utilities are currently required, under Rule 25-30.110, to keep a record of customer service complaints for a minimum of three years. This requirement in the recordkeeping rule comes from the National Association of Regulatory Utility

Commissioners' guidelines, Regulations to Govern the Preservation of Records of Electric, Gas and Water Utilities from 1985.

Changing that retention time to five years is consistent with current Commission regulatory practice, rules, and statutes. For instance, the Commission is required, in every water or wastewater rate case proceeding, to consider quality of service. Commission rules require that water and wastewater utilities' rate case applications and

applications for authority to transfer an existing water utility must include a copy of all customer complaints that the utility has received regarding DEP secondary water quality standards during the past five years.

2.0

Section 367.082 requires the Commission to consider the extent to which the utility provides water service that meets secondary water quality standards as established by DEP.

Reading all of these statutory and rule provisions together, in addition, looking at the 2007 NARUC guidelines for record retention, which is for six years, led staff to conclude that a five-year retention period was a reasonable retention period.

So if we receive any post-workshop comments from utilities, we'd be interested in any input they have as to how long they are currently keeping this type of record.

Also, to be clear, that -- let's see. I think we've already covered that, the rest of Section (2). We're also adding the requirement that the record of complaints be provided to the Commission staff upon Commission staff's request. This requirement puts all water and wastewater utilities on notice that there may

be times when the Commission staff requests the record of complaints and assures that the record is kept in such a manner and format that it could easily be provided to the Commission staff, if requested.

Are there any other questions or comments on these draft amendments?

The transcript of the workshop will be posted on the Commission's website by March 10th, 2017. We would encourage anybody to file post-workshop comments. We would ask that you please file those by March 24th, 2017.

As part of this rulemaking, staff will prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs consistent with Sections 120.543(b) and 120.541(2). As part of the post-workshop comments, we would appreciate any input that the industry may have on whether you believe that these rules are likely to have an adverse impact on any of the matters listed in Section 120.541(2).

Thank you very much for your participation. This workshop is adjourned.

(The hearing ended at 1:56 p.m.)

23

24

25

	00002
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
8	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true
9	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
11	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
12	financially interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS 7th day of March, 2017.
14	
15	
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	Official FPSC Hearings Reporter Office of Commission Clerk
18	(850) 413-6734
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	