FILED APR 05, 2017
DOCUMENT NO. 04054-17
FPSC - COMMISSION CLERI

I

	DOCUMENT NO. 040 FPSC - COMMISSION	
1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORII	DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 160186-EI
4	PETITION FOR RATE	
5	GULF POWER COMPAN	//
6		DOCKET NO. 160170-EI
7	PETITION FOR APPR	
3	DEPRECIATION AND STUDIES, APPROVAL	OF PROPOSED
	DEPRECIATION RATE DISMANTLEMENT ACC	RUALS AND
	PLANT SMITH UNITS REGULATORY ASSET	AMORTIZATION,
	BY GULF POWER COM	IPANY. /
		VOLUME 7
	(1	Pages 1465 through 1502)
	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING
	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN
	DATE:	Tuesday, April 4, 2017
	TIME:	Commenced at 9:00 a.m. Concluded at 9:43 a.m.
	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
		Tallahassee, Florida
	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter
		(850) 413-6734
	APPEARANCES:	(As heretofore noted.)
	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

		001466
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME :	PAGE NO.
4		
5	***No witnesses in this volume**	< *
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

				001467
1		EXHIBITS		001407
2	NUMBER:		ID.	ADMTD.
3	249	Stipulation and Settlement	1470	1470
4	250	Agreement	1471	1471
5	230	Gulf's responses to staff inquiries on the stipulation and settlement agreement	14/1	14/1
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
		FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISS	SION	

PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right. Good morning. (Greetings from audience.)

And I'd like to -- thank you. I'd like to reconvene this hearing to order this day, April 4th, 2017, in the Gulf Power Company rate case, Docket No. 160186-EI and 160170-EI.

This hearing was recessed on March 20th, 2017, in order to allow the Commission to review the stipulation and settlement agreement that was proposed by Gulf, OPC, FIPUG, and now SACE has joined on as well. We are here to hear from the parties in this docket and provide the Commission staff, as well as the Commissioners, an opportunity to ask questions on the agreement. So with that, I'd like to take appearances, starting with Gulf Power.

MR. STONE: Good morning. I'm Jeffrey A. Stone, a partner at Beggs & Lane, and I serve as Gulf Power's general counsel.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

MR. REHWINKEL: Good morning. Charles Rehwinkel, Deputy Public Counsel. And with me is Stephanie Morse, Associate Public Counsel, and also J.R. Kelly is here today. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

	001469
1	MR. MOYLE: Good morning. Jon Moyle on behalf
2	of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group, FIPUG. And
3	I'd also like to enter an appearance for Karen Putnal
4	with our firm.
5	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
6	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Good morning. Steven
7	Goldstein with the Sierra Club. I'd also like to enter
8	appearances for Lane Johnson and Diana Csank.
9	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
10	MAJOR UNSICKER: Major Andrew Unsicker on
11	behalf of the Federal Executive Agencies, ma'am.
12	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
13	MR. MARSHALL: Bradley Marshall, and with me
14	today is Alisa Coe, and we're representing the Southern
15	Alliance for Clean Energy and the League of Women Voters
16	of Florida. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
18	MR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Madam Chairman,
19	Commissioners. Robert Scheffel Wright and John T.
20	LaVia, III, on behalf of Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, and
21	Sam's East, Incorporated. Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
23	Commission staff.
24	MS. CORBARI: Kelley Corbari, Bianca
25	Lherisson, Stephanie Cuello, and Keino Young, Commission
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I

staff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton; I'm here as your advisor today. I'd also like to make an appearance for Keith Hetrick, your general counsel.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you very much. Staff, there are some preliminary matters that we need to address. Can you go over those, please?

MS. CORBARI: Yes, Madam Chair. There are three preliminary matters, all dealing with exhibits.

Staff will note a correction of Exhibit No.s 36 and 247 --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

MS. CORBARI: -- which the Clerk has noted. Staff would ask that the stipulation and settlement agreement be marked as Exhibit 249 and entered into the record.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you. We will go ahead and mark that. And seeing no objections from any of the parties, we will go ahead and move that into the record as well.

(Exhibit 249 marked for identification andadmitted into the record.)

MS. CORBARI: Staff would also ask that Gulf's responses to staff inquiries on the stipulation and

settlement agreement from the informal meeting held on March 21st be marked as Exhibit 250 and entered into the record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you. We will go ahead and mark that as Exhibit 250. And seeing no objections from any of the parties, we will enter that into the record at this time.

(Exhibit 250 marked for identification and admitted into the record.)

All right. Any other preliminary matters? MS. CORBARI: No, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Parties, are there any preliminary matters?

MR. STONE: None for Gulf.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Seeing nods, shaking heads. So, okay, we'll go ahead.

Before we hear from the parties on the settlement, I first would like to confirm the parties' status with regard to the stipulation and settlement agreement. And my understanding is that Gulf, Office of Public Counsel, FIPUG, and now SACE has signed onto -as signatories to the settlement agreement. And while FEA, Wal-Mart, League of Women Voters, and Sierra Club have not joined the agreement, they do not necessarily oppose the agreement. And I'd like to just get that

001472 confirmation on the record at this time, starting with 1 Retail Federation. 2 MR. WRIGHT: That is correct, Madam Chairman. 3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Wal-Mart. Sorry. 4 5 MR. WRIGHT: That is correct, Madam Chairman. Wal-Mart cannot join the stipulation and settlement 6 7 agreement, but we do not oppose it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 8 9 League of Women Voters. 10 MR. MARSHALL: That is correct. The League of Women Voters of Florida has not signed the settlement 11 agreement but does not oppose the settlement agreement. 12 13 The Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, of course, has signed the agreement. 14 15 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 16 FEA. 17 MAJOR UNSICKER: That's correct also for FEA, 18 ma'am. FEA has not joined the agreement, but we do not 19 oppose it. 20 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 21 Sierra. 22 MR. GOLDSTEIN: That's correct, Madam Chair. 23 The Sierra Club has not signed but does not oppose. 24 CHAIRMAN BROWN: See, but you're sitting with 25 the signatories, so you're confusing me here a little

bit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Oh, I'm sorry about that.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

MR. MOYLE: FIPUG has signed and supports the settlement agreement.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

MR. REHWINKEL: And, of course, the Public Counsel has signed and supports it.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you.

And so each party will now have an opportunity to present and provide comments on the agreement. And so I will begin with Gulf, followed by the rest of the signatories, and then following, the non-signatories as well. And good morning.

MR. STONE: Thank you, Chairman Brown. I will be brief in recognition that I know you have another item at 9:30.

The settlement that is before you today is the result of many months of work not only on the settlement itself, but also in litigation of the case. And I think the fact that we were able to come to a settlement that, although not joined by all the parties, at least is acquiesced to and accepted by all the parties on the eve of hearing shows that there was a concerted effort, a spirited effort in contested litigation, and yet we came

to a resolution that is in the best interest, the public interest.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This Commission has a long-standing policy of favoring settlements among the parties. And the fact that we're able to bring the settlement to the Commission that not only is joined by four parties but is accepted -- not joined but are willing to live by the results of the settlement by the remaining parties to the case. So there is no opposition to the settlement that's before you. It is a reasonable compromise of the positions that were vigorously held by all parties after an extensive discovery period, and we urge the Commission to approve the settlement in the public interest.

I'm prepared to answer any questions the Commission may have. I was not intending to go through a summary of the settlement. I think we spent a great deal of time with your staff the day following the presentation of the settlement to the Commission, but I'm happy to answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Stone. And we've had two weeks to review it, so I appreciate you not going through each provision for us.

Mr. Rehwinkel.

MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you, Madam Chairman,

Commissioners.

The Public Counsel supports the stipulation and settlement agreement that resolves the 2016 Gulf Power rate relief and depreciation petitions. By signing the agreement, after many months of intensive litigation practice that included hours of depositions and thousands of pages of discovery and countless hours of preparation time, in addition to months of negotiations dating back to the summer of 2016, the Public Counsel has indicated that he believes the settlement agreement is in the public interest and is in the best interest of customers, given the facts, circumstances, and the posture of the case.

All settlements are unique to the specific facts and circumstances existing at the time they are negotiated and executed, and this one before you is no exception. The Public Counsel strongly believes the overall results are fair to all. We also strongly believe that the agreement contains provisions that are important to the parties to the case and represents positive outcomes for those who took positions on certain issues.

In this regard, we believe the agreement represents the culmination of many hours of difficult and good faith negotiations by the parties to this

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

docket. Even if they are not signatories, all parties have contributed to the agreement. And to us, that is further evidence that it is in the public interest. Accordingly, we urge you to vote to adopt the agreement in the public interest. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. FIPUG.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOYLE: Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

And FIPUG is pleased to join the agreement as a party who has affirmatively signed it. And I wanted to thank Gulf for the way in which they handled this case. It was professionally done. Their staff, the deponents, it was, it was well done. It doesn't mean it was easy. It was not easy. The negotiations were hard and arduous and long. But I think at the end of the day -- you all have had settlements before you previously, and I think it is a fair deal. And I think that it represents give and take, and we can support it.

You know, a lot of times it's about getting the pot right, and ultimately this pot, this settlement pot got right. And I would also just make a comment. We don't get into details on, you know, settlements and things, but I think FIPUG was able to sign, thankfully, due to the efforts of the Office of Public Counsel and

001477 Gulf. And I want to be intentionally obtuse and say 1 2 that sometimes things --3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Like a lawyer. MR. MOYLE: -- sometimes things that are not 4 5 in agreements also have meaning, and that would also be the case here today. So we support the agreement and 6 7 would urge you to favorably support it. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Moyle. 8 9 MR. MOYLE: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN BROWN: You know I like -- enjoy 11 kidding with you. 12 All right. SACE. 13 MR. MARSHALL: Both SACE and the League are 14 very pleased that the proposed residential rate redesign 15 was withdrawn as part of the settlement. Frankly, that was the impetus for both SACE and the League to 16 17 intervene in this case was to oppose that residential 18 rate redesign. That rate redesign has been withdrawn as 19 part of that settlement, and both organizations strongly 20 support that. Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN BROWN: But yet League of Women 22 Voters, just for confirmation, is not a signatory still. 23 MR. MARSHALL: That is correct. 24 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you. 25 Sierra Club. FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

001478 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you, Madam Chair. 1 The Sierra Club doesn't have a comment at this time, but we 2 3 do not oppose, again. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Still giving you all 4 an opportunity. 5 FEA. 6 7 MAJOR UNSICKER: Thank you, Madam Chair. FEA does not oppose the agreement at this time 8 9 but would like to say thank you to all the parties. 10 Everybody was very cooperative during these proceedings. 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Wal-Mart. 13 14 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Madam Chairman. And 15 thanks again to all the parties: Gulf, Public Counsel, and all these other nice people here. We greatly 16 17 appreciated the opportunity to be deeply involved in the 18 settlement negotiations that ultimately led to the 19 product that's before you today. Regrettably Wal-Mart cannot join in this 20 21 settlement because Wal-Mart simply believes that the 22 return on equity provided for is too high and cannot 23 affirmatively support the settlement. On balance, we 24 believe it's a reasonable settlement and do not oppose 25 it. Thank you very much.

001479

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Wright.

And now we'll move to the Commissioner questions as well as staff questions. But, first, I just want to thank the parties for coming together and putting forth a thoughtful, well-intended, meaningful settlement agreement for us to consider. It is one of the cleanest and most straightforward agreements I've seen during my time as a Commissioner, and I don't think there's a whole lot of ambiguity in it. So I appreciate the parties for that, for those efforts.

And at this time, Commissioners, I would like to open the floor for questions as well as -- I'll start with the Commissioners. And if staff has a question, please just feel free to chime in. But you do have some data requests that have been marked and moved into the record. And I'll start with Commissioner Graham.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I have to say that I feel cheated. I was looking forward to a hearing. You guys just took it away from me. I have to say -- because the parties started off so far apart when this was filed, and so it's just so fantastic to see that this thing could even possibly come together. It shows when you set your minds to things, you guys can make things happen. And the fact that nobody is opposing it is just -- I mean,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

we've come a long way, and I do appreciate the effort that you guys all put into this.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Commissioner Patronis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Thank you, Madam Chair. I had the pleasure of being the Prehearing Officer on this rate case. There's some really tough issues to deal with. But first and foremost, I want to thank staff, Kelley and Keino. You were an incredible asset to me, but not just to me, but the ratepayers of the state of Florida. And thank you for your efforts, and I know you've put a lot of time in.

I know that there's more than a couple of dozen folks that worked on this case. But I can't take the time to thank everyone, but I just wanted again to thank staff on their efforts here.

To Gulf and the intervening parties, thank you. I saw incredible cooperation amongst the parties to strike a deal that's in the best use of -- the public interest and also prudent use of the ratepayers' dollars.

I had some concerns, as I think we all had issues, as just -- as Commissioner Graham just pointed out, but in particular was the rate structure, and I was wondering how it was going to ultimately impact our, our

low-income families in Northwest Florida. So I'm -- can support the settlement today, and for the most reasons that this settlement keeps the important protections in place for Gulf Power's ratepayers and their customers. And thank you for allowing me to participate.

001481

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

And before we get to Commissioner Brisé, I do want to thank the Prehearing Officer, Commissioner Patronis. He put a lot of time into this case, as did staff, over the past year, and the parties as well. But, Commissioner Patronis, a three-hour prehearing conference with a fine-tooth comb going over the issues, you really streamlined this proceeding for us.

Commissioner Patronis.

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: And I'm so -- I'm sitting here reading my notes, and the one person I didn't thank that actually helped probably more than anybody else is Ryan West. Ryan, thank you for everything you did. Because Ryan had double duty because Angelina, my staff executive assistant, had a baby at the same time.

So, anyway, Ryan, thank you, and especially to your family, Kim and Parker, for allowing me to monopolize so much of your time during this rate case.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: So go back to a three-hour

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

22

23

24

25

1

2

prehearing conference.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: And, oh, by the way, he had emergency room visits during that whole time because of Parker having a bicycle accident. So, anyway, I know you really poured it into this. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner Patronis.

Okay. Commissioner Brisé.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you, Madam Chair. So I want to thank the parties for coming together and working out this settlement agreement.

I just have one question. And obviously every settlement is different, and so the last few settlements that we have seen have had stay-out provisions, and so I'm just curious, what is -- what was the situation or is there a situation that prevents us to have a stay-out provision with this settlement?

MR. STONE: Commissioner Brisé, I'll take a first turn, and I'm sure Mr. Rehwinkel will have some further comments.

In most cases that have a stay-out provision, there is some sort of mechanism that gives the company some comfort that it can honor the stay-out provision. In our last settlement, there were such provisions. One

of the most significant ones was as a result of a very contested matter about the size of the cost of removal reserve and the dismantlement reserve and the question of whether or not it was adequate or more than adequate or less than adequate. That was a matter of contention. And one of the issues in that particular case was the --whether or not there had been a more up-to-date study on the dismantlement side to resolve that issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A part of this last settlement was to commit to a more thorough dismantlement study in this round, and that we would use the dismantlement reserve, the cost of removal reserve as the safety value to support the stay-out provision.

As it turns out, we were able to fully resolve that question with the study in this case. And, in fact, what we have presented and is part of this stipulation is that our dismantlement accruals will be reset in this case based on what the company proposed when it filed the case last summer, first on the depreciation dismantlement side and then also when it filed the rate case in October.

As a consequence, the dismantlement accruals are now reduced -- essentially on the base rate side they are at zero or will be at zero starting July 1. And on the -- in the ECRC side, they will only be

dealing with a small aspect of future dismantlement costs because the original intended purpose of the accrual, we have, at least at this time based on this study, sufficient funds to deal with those issues at the end of the life of those plants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the fact that we've now determined there is not an ample reserve in order to use it as a safety valve, it really didn't have anything that we could rely on as a basis to support a stay-out provision, so it therefore became much more important to, as Mr. Moyle said, get the pot right.

That's where we find ourselves. And as a, somewhat of a replacement for a stay-out provision, we have written into the settlement an incentive provision that gives the parties comfort that Gulf is not going to be coming right back in. I hope it would give the Commission and the staff comfort. Quite frankly, it -even without that incentive, there are folks in this room that have no desire to come back in any time soon. And so I would not -- I mean, obviously I can't commit to you --

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure.

MR. STONE: -- that we have any stay-out provision, but it is not our intention to revisit the issue of a revenue review at any time soon, and it would

take some circumstances that are not foreseen at this moment to bring us back in.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. REHWINKEL: From the customer side, let me echo what Mr. Stone has said. But I think Commissioner Graham put his finger on it: We were a long ways apart. And when I said at the, the last time we got together, your staff did a great job of narrowing the issues. Gulf Power never gave up trying to resolve differences. We got close enough where we became comfortable that, as Mr. Moyle said, we got the pot right. And getting the pot right means that there's less chance that we're going to have to come back in again.

And I think that Gulf Power has enough flexibility and incentives in the agreement to stay out. And I think taking the stay-out -- trying to devise a stay-out mechanism simplified this process. And as the Chairman said, we brought together a fairly streamlined and more traditional type settlement to you. And so I think that's going to bode well for the future, and we think that it got done right. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.

MR. MOYLE: Can I, just briefly? The settlement agreement does make a provision that says that, that if Gulf comes back in before -- and seeks new

001486 rates before January 1, 2019, to the extent that they have not amortized their rate case expense, it's below the line, they don't get the rest of the money. So it's a little bit of a nudge. But I think from FIPUG's perspective, you know, it's a deal that provides for Gulf's needs. You know, they'll go run their operation. And I'm not sure that, you know, companies enjoy rate cases, and so we're hopeful that it will return. And I looked at the history of Gulf's rate cases, and there was a pretty big period of time where Gulf was not in. And we're kind of hopeful that they do well, and respectfully we like them, but we'd prefer not to see them again anytime soon. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Any other questions? Okay. Staff, do you have questions? MS. CORBARI: Staff just has a few clarifying questions. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Before you go to those, I have just two questions I want to go through. And, Commissioner Polmann, do you have any questions? COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I don't have any questions. I'd like to make some comments on questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Go ahead, sir. COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Madam

I do believe I've been thoroughly briefed by staff and counsel, so I don't have any questions at this point. But -- and I do feel that we were well prepared. I'll echo Commissioner Graham's comments that I really was looking forward to the opportunity to participate in the hearing. I had some concerns and -- but I do feel that, that the settlement is very beneficial at this point.

I want to recognize and agree that the efforts of the parties to come together is an excellent pathway, and I appreciate the work of all the parties, the cooperation and the coordination in doing so. And at this point, as it turned out, with the settlement coming forward, not including the additional expense I think is of great benefit. I believe this settlement is rational and reasonable. And on balance, I do believe it's in the public interest, and I can support that.

So, again, recognizing the efforts of everyone preparing for the hearing and then preparing the settlement, I do see of great benefit. So I thank all of you for the hard work.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Polmann.

And I do have just two questions, although I do believe the resulting byproduct of the settlement agreement represents a very fair balance of interest. And as Commissioner Patronis said, the main issue that we heard at the service hearings was the residential -the customer charge rate design issue, which is no longer an issue in this.

But we also heard that the Plant Scherer ownership interest was an issue, and the utility now has agreed to a 32.5 million write-down, which I believe is a huge concession, and that was not contemplated in the original filing.

But I think the major highlight of the settlement agreement really is the increase requested. It's almost cut in half with this settlement agreement, and that's just to be lauded for -- I know that Gulf will -- intends to continue investing in infrastructure improvements, and I encourage them to do that as well.

With that, there are just two questions for clarification on paragraph 7, the storm damage recovery it provides some discretion for the utility to suspend or continue the current accrual. I just was wondering at what point does Gulf anticipate that it will address that, either suspend or continue?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STONE: Commissioner, the discretion to suspend the accrual is an important part of our being able to manage our business in the near term, given the compromise on the revenues that the settlement presents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are, we are mindful of the fact that this -- that the uninsured property reserve is an important regulatory tool, and so it is not something that is a decision we would take lightly to suspend the accrual. But it is -- the flexibility to do so is very important in us being able to accept the compromise on revenues that resulted from the case.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Is it necessarily a threshold? Is there --

MR. STONE: There's no, there's no -- as far as the suspension, there is no thresholding. And there is a mandatory provision that if it is suspended, that it has to be renewed if we -- if the reserve reaches zero.

But the settlement agreement allows Gulf the discretion to turn it -- as long as there's a positive reserve, it allows Gulf the discretion to turn it on and off as it needs to in order to continue managing its business, and that is a part of our effort to be able to avoid coming back in prematurely. I realize that may not have been as precise an answer as you would have

001490

liked, but it's an area --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I got the drift.

MR. STONE: -- where we have discretion.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I got the drift. Thank you for that explanation. I appreciate it.

And then another area, and Commissioner Brisé got my question and you answered it thoroughly on the stay-out, but another area was the electric vehicle charging facilities. This is an area of personal interest to me. I believe this is really a program that is going to see a lot of value, and it shows Gulf's initiative to be a trendsetter in emerging technologies. So I'm excited about it; I'm excited to see what comes out of that five-year pilot. In the original filing, it was proposed as a permanent program. Could you just elaborate maybe on the rollout of that?

MR. STONE: Commissioner, we are also excited by the opportunity to help get a nascent market established. We think that electric vehicles are important in our future as a society, and we want to do what we can to encourage that.

In terms of the rollout, we are -- one impediment to us being able to move ahead was the absence of a depreciation rate for the equipment that we would invest in behind our customers' meters. Now that

the settlement is -- well, once you approve the settlement, we will remove that hurdle and we will then be able to focus our attention on actually putting the -- putting plans in place.

We have customer demand, and that's the other issue for us is we don't like being in a position of having to tell our customers no. And so it gives us the opportunity to now be able to say yes in a controlled fashion so that no one sees any -- they get to see how it works and we get to get some real-time information, some life -- real-life information.

And if there needs to be adjustments, we'll be in communication both with our counter-parties to the settlement and, more importantly perhaps, the Commission itself so that you're aware of how the program is going. You'll have the data that you need to be able to evaluate, and hopefully we'll be able to move it into a permanent status. Certainly we'll have a decision to make, whether permanent or not, at the end of five years, but perhaps earlier than that.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, again, I commend you because this is the first that I've seen in a settlement of recent years, and I think it's a great effort. And I'm looking forward to getting that data and seeing where the market is in Florida.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

24

25

1

2

001492

Mr. Rehwinkel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, Madam Chairman. Just very quickly, we too were very pleased that Gulf brought this forward. Our, our interest in this issue was that the Commission maintain maximum flexibility to adapt its regulatory regime to what you found out from Gulf, and I commend Gulf for bringing this forward.

We did not want there to be a situation where the way Gulf rolled it out became the paradigm that everybody was bound to. So I think this is a win for everybody, and I think it's a win for the state of Florida because the Commission maintains maximum flexibility to adjust to the way this market develops. This is an important and historic step, and we commend Gulf for it, and we appreciate your consideration of it.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Great comments. With that, Commissioners, are there any other questions? I'll turn to staff now.

MS. CORBARI: Staff just has a few clarifying points. I guess I'll begin with the EV, since we were just talking about it.

There -- just to clarify, there's no presumption of correctness on the pilot program should the Commission revisit it in another rate proceeding or at the end of five years; correct?

MR. STONE: That is correct.

MS. CORBARI: And the EV program, the reporting and operation is going to roughly occur as outlined in Gulf's responses, which is now Exhibit 250?

MR. STONE: Yes. It was the intention of the parties, when we entered into the stipulation, to give flexibility so that we would meet the needs of staff and the parties in terms of the kind of reporting that came out in the program. And largely that reporting mechanism that's in the company's responses is one that I interpret as being a request by staff, and we're certainly happy to honor that request.

MS. CORBARI: Thank you. With regard to paragraph 10 of the settlement agreement, the depreciation and dismantlement studies, it's Gulf's intent to get back on the four-year cycle, and Gulf is intending to file its next depreciation dismantlement study no sooner than January 1st, 2022, but no later than June 30th, 2022.

22

23

24

25

MR. STONE: That is correct.

MS. CORBARI: And with the federal income tax changes, staff is understanding that any federal income tax changes, whether 1 percent, 20 percent, would trigger the 60-day filing.

MR. STONE: Staff is correct. To be clear, I

think staff's -- your concern -- or staff's concern was the statement in there about materiality.

MS. CORBARI: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STONE: And the decision about materiality does not rest with Gulf but rests with the Commission. We will -- we have a reporting obligation. We may have a recommendation when we make our report about whether it's deemed material or not, but ultimately the decision about whether it's material or not is up to the Commission.

MS. CORBARI: Thank you. And if any tax changes, federal tax changes occur that may affect all the utilities, if the Commission were to address all the IOUs in a consolidated limited proceeding, the settlement doesn't prevent Gulf from being a part of any consolidated proceeding, provided the mechanism provided for in the settlement agreement applies to Gulf.

MR. STONE: Yes. I'd like to state it this way: The settlement agreement specifies a mechanism that is intended to provide a limited scope type of proceeding that's limited to the tax effects. It's intended, by the fact that it is a limited scope proceeding, to hopefully expedite, and that's probably not the right flavor of word, but to streamline the process of resolving that issue. And we, both Public

Counsel and Gulf, would like the opportunity to roll back base rates for any actual tax savings that come from tax reform as quickly as possible because we want, we want to flow those benefits through to our customers to the extent that we can. The mechanism is what's specified in here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as the timing, the fact that we have identified a means for the Commission to assert jurisdiction over some revenues in a timely fashion at the beginning of the process once tax reform takes effect, then that gives the Commission time to adjust to its schedule to be able to address the issue. And that's why the word "expedite" was probably not the right word. "Streamline" is a better choice of words.

There's nothing in the settlement that would prevent the Commission from using the Gulf procedure for Gulf as part of a generic proceeding. In other words, I think it would -- the model would be -- you would have company-specific issues in that generic proceeding if it was deemed that that was the most efficient way procedurally to handle the mechanism.

MS. CORBARI: Thank you. And should the Commission approve the settlement, it looks like Gulf would be filing their tariffs in 20 days. So April 24th, is that --

MR. STONE: The settlement gives us 20 days from today's date, assuming approval. It is the company's intention to file those schedules as soon as we can possibly get them done. We do not -- right now we do not believe it will take us the full 20 days to complete the rate design, assemble the package of rate schedules, and present them to staff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The settlement gives us that amount of time. We certainly -- we don't want to use the maximum amount of time. We want to use the appropriate amount of time and get them to staff as early as possible so that we can expedite consideration of those rate schedules and get final approval so we can move on with implementation and get beyond this case.

MS. CORBARI: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

MR. REHWINKEL: Madam Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Sure.

MR. REHWINKEL: If I might, just on the tax revision, I think Mr. Stone answered the question correctly and I think I understand the import of the question. But it is our expectation that the provision be implemented as written. If it is -- can be done in conjunction with another proceeding, all well and good, but another proceeding, in our view, cannot come and

001497 override this provision but they can work in tandem and 1 2 work together. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. 3 MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Stone? 5 MR. STONE: He said that much better than I 6 7 did. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you. 8 9 No further questions from staff? 10 All right. Commissioners, it looks like there seems to be a lot of support here on the settlement 11 12 agreement and consensus, so at this time I will 13 entertain a motion. 14 Commissioner Brisé. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you, Madam Chair. 15 And considering all the comments that have been made and 16 17 all the information that is in the record at this point, 18 and considering that we started out with a rate case 19 that was looking, in terms of a base rate of, base rate 20 increase request of \$1.6.8 (verbatim) million, give or 21 take, \$2.7 million, and we're ending up with a base rate 22 increase now of about \$62 million, we're addressing a 23 variety of issues here that probably would not have 24 resolved this way through the litigated case. So I 25 support the settlement and I move that we approve the

settlement.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: There's a second. Any further comment? I think some of those numbers were a little mixed up there, the original request.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right. So we started out with that in terms of the litigated case, and we ended up in the settlement with \$62 million.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: That's right. That's right. My understanding was the original request was for \$106.8 million.

Right.

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

-

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: So let me clarify that for you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: So we started out with 106.8. When you remove the credits, we moved it down to 1.4 -- 104.1, so give or take \$2.7 million in terms of the difference. Then we're down to, in comparison to \$62 million where we end today. Now if we want to include those credits, we're down to \$54 million, but I didn't --

23 CHAIRMAN BROWN: No, you got that right. That 24 sounded good. That's -- I saw some faces in the 25 audience.

001499 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Understood. They may not 1 2 have the papers in front of them. 3 (Laughter.) CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Thank you. 4 5 Commissioners, any comments before we take a vote? 6 7 Commissioner Graham. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: This is why I just say, 8 9 "I move the settlement." 10 (Laughter.) 11 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. All those in favor, 12 say aye. 13 (Vote taken.) 14 Opposed? (No response.) 15 The settlement passes unanimously. 16 17 Again, thank you to all the parties for their 18 efforts here today. 19 Mr. Stone, would you like to say a comment? MR. STONE: I would. Commissioner, I just 20 21 want to compliment your staff. They've worked 22 tirelessly throughout this process and helped us have a 23 very efficient, although arduous, discovery period. The 24 prehearing process was better than any case that I've 25 ever been associated with. And it was largely as a

result of that prehearing process that was shepherded by your staff that enabled the parties to get close enough that they could actually see a light at the end of the tunnel that enabled us to get to the settlement. And so your staff is the unsung hero in this whole process, and that is it's helped us to reach a settlement that is in the public interest.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

001500

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you so much. Again, thank you to all the parties and Commissioner Patronis for his fine shepherding of this proceeding as well.

And with that, there are just a few final matters to address. Staff, I believe you would like to close the record at this time. Is that correct?

MS. CORBARI: Yes, ma'am. Staff would ask that the record be closed, and any post-hearing informational filings, for example, rate case expenses, be filed in the docket file.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. We will go ahead, seeing no objections, and go ahead and do that at this time. The record is closed.

Due to our decision today, post-hearing briefs are obviously no longer necessary.

Does any party have any additional matters that need to be addressed or brought up or comments? Nothing? Seeing none, this hearing is adjourned. Thank

						001501
1	you.					
2 3		(Proceedin	g adjourned	at 9:43	a.m.)	
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
		FLORIDA PU	BLIC SERVIC	E COMMIS:	SION	

	001502			
1	STATE OF FLORIDA)			
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER			
3	COUNTY OF LEON)			
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission			
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.			
6				
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;			
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.			
9				
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'			
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.			
12	DATED THIS 5th day of April, 2017.			
13				
14				
15				
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR			
17	Official FPSC Hearings Reporter Office of Commission Clerk			
18	(850) 413-6734			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			