

ADD-CHANGE FORM

New Project or Budget Change? New Project Assigned Project # 201701X

Requested by: Bryan K. Gongre Date: 2/14/2017

BU Type:

Region:

State:

Budget Owner / RP:

SP

John Hoy

Florida

FL

03

04

Project Manager / Area Manager

Project Name: Longwood I&I Def Corrections

Company: 246 Utilities Inc of Longwood

Business Unit: 246100 Utilities Inc of Longwood

Project Owner: Patrick C. Flynn

Project Manager: Bryan K. Gongre

Start Date: 2/27/2017 Q1 2017

Estimated End Date: 5/30/2017 Q2 2017

Project Type: Maintenance

Will project replace/retire any assets: Yes

Previously Requested:

This Request:	\$323,717
Still to be Requested:	\$0
Total Project Budget:	\$323,717

Description:

1. Televise and clean 25,548 LF of gravity sewer main.

- 2. Install CIPP liner within 2,696 LF of gravity sanitary sewer main.
- 3. Install one 4' sectional liner, reinstate and grout 15 sanitary laterals.
- 4. Remove roots and apply root killer to 469 LF of gravity sewer main.
- 5. Rehabilitate four sanitary manholes.
- 6. Excavate and repair gravity sewer main at three locations to repair significant pipe sagging and offset joints.

Timeline Considerations:

This project is a proforma included in the open UIF consolidated rate case and requires completion by end of 2017.

Inter-dependant Project	Project Number:	NA	Project Name	Longwood I&I Study	(If applicable)
Have engineering evaluations be	een performed?	No	Engineering pr	roject number	(If applicable)



JUSTIFICATION / ALTERNATIVES

Justification and Benefits:

The deficiency corrections will address excessive Inflow &Infiltration that currently increases the flows to the Shadow Hills WWTF during wet weather events. By addressing deficiencies in the collection system, groundwater intrusion will be reduced improving the plant's performance. Furthermore, the Utility plans to decommission the Shadow Hills WWTF and divert the WW flow to the Wekiva WWTF under a separate capital project. By reducing excess I&I, the average daily flow at Wekiva will increase by approximately 0.376 MGD, the dry weather flow treated currently at the Shadow Hills WWTP.

Reducing I&I will generate a more consistent organic load at the treatment facility resulting in a more reliable production of public access reuse water in conformance with state water policy.

Risk Evaluation

This project will repair damaged gravity sewer mains that if not addressed, would conitnue to be sources of significant I&I that elevate treatment costs risking the recovery of these expenses when the FPSC compares water consumption to the treated volumes through the WWTF. As well, the vast majority of the collection system is located under paved areas where a catastrophic failure of a gravity main could lead to the collapse of a paved roadway potentially leading to significant damage associated with such an event.

Alternatives Considered:

The manhole segments were evaluated and deficencies ranked by degree of severity using a scale of high to low priority. A high priority was given to those segments with visual signs of infiltration, multiple fractures, voids, etc. A lesser ranking of low was given to segments with multiple hair line cracks and no obvious signs of infiltration or infiltration staining. To take advantage of economies of scale all segments that either ranked high, medium or low were included under this project. Also, although not yet evaluated, is the possibility of a cost reduction by lining an entire segment as oppposed to the cost to install a short liner. Short liner unit costs are significantly higher as compared to the unit cost to line an entire segment from manhole to manhole. The determination of which technology will be utilized will be fleshed out prior to going to contract with the selected vendor.

Technical Review Summary:

Project was presented to the CPRT on 2/16/2017 and received no comments that require correction or revision.



Financial and Regulatory Implications

Capital Plan

Proposed Project Spend Project Spend in Current Plan

Variance

CIAC Collected Net Rate Base

Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5
\$323,717				
\$323,717				
-	•	•	•	1
323,717	323,717	323,717	323,717	323,717

(if applicable)

O&M Cost Impact B/(W)

Financial Justification

Project will reduce excess I&I and increase plant performance. Costs will be recovered in current rate case beginning in September 2017.

Estimated Revenue Impact per Customer:

Number of Customers Impacted:

Served	Rate Payers
9.80	9.09
1.573	1.696

Utility Financial Impact

O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W)
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W)
Under-recovery on capital B/(W)
Net EBIT Impact B/(W)

Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5
	-	-	1	-
(1,798)				
(13,617)				
(15,415)				

Timing and Supporting Information on Rate Recovery

This project is expected to be completed by 5/31/17. Rate recovery will begin in September 2017.

Regulatory Plan Implications

This is a proforma project included in the 2016 UIF consolidated rate case filed in 3Q of 2016. New rates go into effect in September of 2017.

Assumptions

ROE: 10.25%, Cost of Debt: 6%, Equity Percentage: 50%, Tax Rate: 37.63%, Depreciation Rate: 2.22%

After Tax Return on Rate Base = 10.25% x 50% +6% x 50% x (1 – 37.63%) = 6.9961%

Pretax Return on Rate Base = 6.9961% / (1 – 37.63%) = 11.217%

Total Revenue Required = Pretax Return on Rate Base + Depreciation Rate = 11.217% + 2.22% = 13.439%

Please note the under-recovery on capital includes equity, taxes, and debt portions



Bid Company 1 Institutorm - Lining/Root Removal/SMH Repairs \$92,833 Yes 2 Traverse - Excavation & Repair \$180,914 Yes 3 Component: Amount Value Bid Elements	Have three bids been received?	No	l!	f not, why? List ar	nd provide amount	s below
Component: Amount Value Bid Elements Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Materials Landscaping / Site Restoration Other Components (specify): Cap Time 1 & I Study Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: Select from dropdown list selec	Bid	Company			Amount	Selected
Component: Value Bid Elements Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Materials Engineering Engineering Direct Restoration Differ Components (specify): Engineering Engi				epairs		
Component: Amount 273,747.00 should match selected bid(s) above employed price the property of the propect of		Traverse - Excavat	tion & Repair		\$180,914	Yes
Value Bid Elements Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Materials Engineering Direct Components (specify): Eng Time & I Study 49,970.00 **Total Project Budget** **Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: **Direct Project Budget** **Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: **Direct Project Budget** **Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: **Direct Project Budget** **Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: **Select from dropdown list select from dropdown list sel	3					
Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Materials Landscaping / Site Restoration Direct Components (specify): Dap Time & I Study 49,970.00 Study 49,970.00 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhole select from dropdown list select from dr	Component:		Amount			
**Seneral Comments: Study	Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Mate Landscaping / Site Restoration Other Components (specify):		273,747.00	hould match selecte	ed bid(s) above	
Total Project Budget 323,717.00 should match Total Budget on General Information Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhold select from dropdown list select			49,970.00			
Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhole select from dropdown list Go to Reference List Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhole select from dropdown list Seneral Comments: Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhole select from dropdown list Go to Reference List Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhole select from dropdown list Seneral Comments: Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhole select from dropdown list Seneral Comments: Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhole select from dropdown list Go to Reference List Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhole select from dropdown list Seneral Comments: Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhole select from dropdown list Go to Reference List Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhold select from dropdown list Seneral Comments: Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1350 Sewer Gravity Main/Manhold select from dropdown list Seneral Comments: Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
select from dropdown list Seneral Comments: Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a	otal Project Budget		323,717.00 s	hould match Total E	Budget on General In	formation
select from dropdown list Seneral Comments: Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a	Object Account(s) to which	h project will be c	Joseph	1250	Sower Gravity	Main/Manhalas
select from dropdown list Go to Reference List Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a	Object Account(s) to which	n project will be c	ioseu:	1350	•	
select from dropdown list select from dropdown list select from dropdown list Go to Reference List Go to Reference List Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						•
select from dropdown list Go to Reference List General Comments: Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						
Beneral Comments: Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a						-
Both Insituform and Traverse bid this project in line with similar projects and costs previously bid by multiple vendors providing assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a					Go to Refe	rence List
assruance that each of the propsals are at least best cost. Both vendors have a solid track record of reliability and providing a	Seneral Comments:					
· · ·						
quality illinoited work product.		sals are at least best	cost. Both vendors ha	ve a solid track re	cord of reliability at	nd providing a
	quality innolled well product.					



Approvals

This project aligns with the utilit	y pland and meets UIF technica	al requirements.		
Technical Peer Review Review Sponsored by Approval to proceed Comments (note if feedback red	Patrick C. Flynn Yes ☑ No ☐ ceived in review incorporated)	Date Held	2/16/2017	
FP&A Review Review Completed by Does Project comply with curre Comments This project meets UIF financia		Date: lan? Ye	2/16/2017 s☑ No □	
Annrovals				Applicable?
Approvais				
Regional Manager:	Bryan K. Gongre	Date:	2/16/2017	V
Regional Manager:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn	Date:	2/16/2017	✓
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:				

TRAVERSE GROUP, INC.

PO BOX 121754 CLERMONT, FL 34712

Estimate

Date	Estimate #
2/13/2017	2017 UI 21

Name / Address

UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA 200 WEATHERSFIELD AVENUE ALTAMONTE SPRINGS, FL 32714

Project
Longwood I & I Deficiency Cor

LONGWOOD L&I DEFICIENCY CORRECTIONS 132 MARGO LANE MH#3 - MH#4 Mobilization	Description	Qty	Units	Rate	Total
Mobilization 1	LONGWOOD I&I DEFICIENCY CORRECTIONS				
Mobilization 1					
MOT					
Dewatering			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Excavate and Removals			l .		
Sanitary Bypass 1		1	l .		, ,
Pipe Repair 20		1			, ,
Pre and Post Video 1		-	l .		
Road Base Repair			l .		
Asphalt Patch 1		1			
Preconstruction Video 1		1	l .		
Density Testing		1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Permit 1		1	l .	I I	
132 Margo Lane Total = \$55,900 1366 DUNHILL DRIVE MH#8 - MH#16 1		1			, ,
1366 DUNHILL DRIVE MH#8 - MH#16 1		1	LS	500.00	500.00
Mobilization	132 Margo Lane Total = \$55,900				
Mobilization	1366 DUNHILL DRIVE MH#8 - MH#16				
Mobilization 1		1	LS	6 500 00	6 500 00
Dewatering 1		1			, ,
Excavate and Removals 1		1 1			, ,
Sanitary Bypass 1		1 1			
Pipe Repair 10 LF 950.00 9,500.00 Pre and Post Video 1 LS 2,500.00 2,500.00 Road Base Repair 1 LS 6,500.00 6,500.00 Asphalt Patch 1 LS 5,500.00 5,500.00 Pre Construction Video 1 LS 800.00 800.00 Density Testing 1 LS 1,750.00 1,750.00 Permitting 1 LS 500.00 500.00 1366 Dunhill Drive Total = 56550.13 1 LS 8,500.00 8,500.00 Mobilization 1 LS 8,500.00 2,500.00 MOT 1 LS 2,500.00 2,500.00		1 1			
Pre and Post Video 1 LS 2,500.00 2,500.00 Road Base Repair 1 LS 6,500.00 6,500.00 Asphalt Patch 1 LS 5,500.00 5,500.00 Pre Construction Video 1 LS 800.00 800.00 Density Testing 1 LS 1,750.00 1,750.00 Permitting 1 LS 500.00 500.00 1366 Dunhill Drive Total = 56550.13 1 LS 8,500.00 8,500.00 Mobilization 1 LS 8,500.00 2,500.00 MOT 1 LS 2,500.00 2,500.00		10	l .		
Road Base Repair 1 LS 6,500.00 6,500.00 Asphalt Patch 1 LS 5,500.00 5,500.00 Pre Construction Video 1 LS 800.00 800.00 Density Testing 1 LS 1,750.00 1,750.00 Permitting 1 LS 500.00 500.00 1366 Dunhill Drive Total = 56550.13 1 LS 8,500.00 8,500.00 Mobilization 1 LS 8,500.00 8,500.00 MOT 1 LS 2,500.00 2,500.00					
Asphalt Patch 1		i	l .		
Pre Construciton Video 1 LS 800.00 800.00 Density Testing 1 LS 1,750.00 1,750.00 Permitting 1 LS 500.00 500.00 1366 Dunhill Drive Total = 56550.13 1 LS 8,500.00 8,500.00 Mobilization 1 LS 8,500.00 8,500.00 MOT 1 LS 2,500.00 2,500.00		1			
Density Testing		1			· / /
Permitting 1		1			1.750.00
1366 Dunhill Drive Total = 56550.13 131 LEMON LANE MH#17 - MH#15 Mobilization		1			, ,
Mobilization 1					
Mobilization 1	131 I EMON I ANE MH#17 MH#15				
MOT 1 LS 2,500.00 2,500.00		1	1 15	8 500 00	8 500 00
		1 1			
L5 0,303.00 6,303.00		1 1			
	Dewatering	1	LS	0,303.00	0,505.00

Total

TRAVERSE GROUP, INC.

PO BOX 121754 CLERMONT, FL 34712

Estimate

Date	Estimate #
2/13/2017	2017 UI 21

Name / Address

UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA 200 WEATHERSFIELD AVENUE ALTAMONTE SPRINGS, FL 32714

Project	
Longwood I & I Deficiency Cor	

Description	Qty	Units	Rate	Total
Excave and Removals Sanitary Bypass Pipe Repair Pre and Post Construction Video Road Base Repair Asphalt Patch Pre Construction Video Density Testing Permitting 131 Lemon Lane Total = \$68463	Qty 1 1 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	LS L	7,500.00 3,500.00 595.00 2,500.00 7,985.00 6,575.00 800.00 1,750.00 500.00	7,500.00 3,500.00 17,850.00 2,500.00 7,985.00 6,575.00 800.00 1,750.00 500.00
		Tat		
		Tot	al	\$180,913.13



200 Weathersfield Ave

3016 U.S. HWY. 301 N, STE. 900 TAMPA, FL 33619 813-627-0007 FID: 13-3032158

Submitted by: B

Brandon Gerber Project Manager

2/14/2017

BID PROPOSAL Longwood I & I Deficiency Corrections

Altamonte Springs, FL 32714
Attn: Mr. Bryan K. Gongre

			Е	BID PROPO	SAL					COMPL	ETED TO
ITEM			UNIT	AM	OUNT	PREVI	IOUS	THIS IN	IVOICE		DATE
NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	PRICE	QTY	AMOUNT	QUANTITY	AMOUNT	QUANTITY	AMOUNT	QUANTITY	AMOUNT
1A	CIPP 8" - clean & TV	LF	2.40	3,112.0	\$7,468.80		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
1B	CIPP 8" - install liner	LF	21.00	2,643.0	\$55,503.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
2A	CIPP 10" - clean and tv	LF	3.60	393.0	\$1,414.80		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
2B	CIPP 10" - install liner	LF	23.00	393.0	\$9,039.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
3	Reinstate and Grout Laterals	EA	512.30	15	\$7,684.50		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
4	Manhole Rehab (Assume 4'dia x 5' deep avg)	VF	222.10	33	\$7,329.30		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
5	Manhole Invert Repairs	EA	370.20	4	\$1,480.80		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
6	LS Repairs (Assume 6' dia)	VF	481.30	0	\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
7	Short Liners	EA	1,692.50	1	\$1,692.50		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
8	Root Removal	LF	2.60	469	\$1,219.40		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
	Grand Total				92,832.10		0.00		0.00		0.00

TOTAL CONTRACT	EARNED TO DATE	THIS ESTIMATE	
\$92,832.10	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Less Previously Paid \$0.00 Less Retainage \$ -

AMOUNT DUE	
THIS ESTIMATE	\$0.00

SECTION 00520

AGREEMENT FORM

PART 1	GEI	VER	AI
--------	-----	-----	----

1.01	betwe	AGREEMENT, made this 20th day of February , 2017 , by and een Utilities Inc. of Florida , hereinafter called the Owner, and Insituform Technologies, LLC, doing business as a limited liability corporation hereinafter called the Contractor.
1.02	The (Owner and Contractor agree as follows:
	A.	Contract Documents
		The Contract Documents include the Agreement, Addenda (which pertain to the Contract Documents), Contractor's Bid, Notice to Proceed, the General Conditions, the Supplementary Conditions, the Specifications listed in the Index to the Project Manual, any technical specifications as incorporated by the Project Manual; the Drawings as listed in the Project Manual, all Written Amendments, Change Orders, Work Change Directives, and Field Orders issued on or after the Effective Date of this Agreement. These form the Contract and all are as fully a part of the Contract as if attached to this Agreement or repeated herein.
	В.	Scope of Work
		The Contractor shall perform all work required by the Contract Documents for the construction of the Longwood I&I Deficiency Corrections
	C.	Contract Time
		The Contractor shall begin work within 10 days after the issuance of a written Notice to Proceed and shall substantially complete the work within 120 calendar days from the date of the Notice to Proceed. The work shall be finally

complete, ready for Final Payment in accordance with the General Conditions, within 30 calendar days from the actual date of substantial completion.

D. Liquidated Damages

OWNER and CONTRACTOR recognize that time is of the essence of this Agreement and that OWNER will suffer financial loss if the Work is not substantially complete within the time specified in Paragraph C above, plus any extensions thereof allowed in accordance with the General Conditions. They also recognize the delays, expense and difficulties involved in proving in a legal arbitration proceeding the actual loss suffered by OWNER if the Work is not substantially complete on time. Accordingly, instead of requiring any such proof, OWNER and CONTRACTOR agree that as liquidated damages for delay (but not as a penalty) CONTRACTOR shall pay OWNER \$500.00 for each calendar day that expires after the time specified in Paragraph C for substantial completion until the work is substantially complete. It is agreed that if this Work is not Finally completed in accordance with the Contract Documents, the CONTRACTOR shall pay the OWNER as liquidated damages for delay, and not as penalty, one-fourth (1/4) of the rate set forth above.

E. Contract Price

Unit Price Contract

The Owner will pay the Contractor in current funds for the performance of the work, subject to additions and deductions by Change Order and subject to the Measurement and Payment Provisions, and subject to actual constructed quantities; the Total Contract Price of Ninety two thousand eight hundred thirty two dollars & 10/100's Dollars (\$ 92,832.10). Payments will be made to the Contractor on the basis of the Schedule of Unit Prices included as a part of his Bid, which shall be as fully a part of the Contract as if attached or repeated herein.

F. Payments

The Owner will make payments as provided in the General Conditions and Supplementary Conditions.

G. Retainage

The value of each application for payment shall be equal to the total value of the Work performed to date, less an amount retained, and less payments previously made and amounts withheld in accordance with the General Conditions and Supplementary Conditions. Retainage for this project is 10%, to be held by Owner as collateral security to ensure completion of Work. The Owner is not obligated to reduce retainage at any time during the Contract, but may choose to do so at its discretion once the Work is at least 75% complete.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement first above written.	the day and year
CONTRACTOR:	
Insituform Technologies, LLC Name of Firm	
By (Signature) SPANDON GENERA PROTECT MANAGEN Andrew Costa, Business Development Manager Printed Name and Title	(SEAL)
ATTEST: SANDRA J. BOWEN MY COMMISSION # GG 026 EXPIRES: August 31, 202 By (Signature) Sandra J. Bowen MY COMMISSION # GG 026 EXPIRES: August 31, 202 Bonded Thru Notary Public Underw	0
Printed Name and Title	
OWNER:	
Utilities Inc. of Florida Name of Owner	
By (Signature)	(SEAL)
Bryan K. Gongre, Regional Manager Printed Name and Title	
ATTEST: By (Signature)	
LISA AUGUST Admin ASST Printed Name and Title	

END OF SECTION