FILED JUN 20, 2017 DOCUMENT NO. 05438-17 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

Docket No. 170009-EI: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause Duke Energy Florida, LLC Crystal River Unit 3 Power Uprate and Levy Units 1 & 2

Witness: Direct Testimony of Ronald A. Mavrides,

Appearing on behalf of the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission

Date Filed: June 20, 2017

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION								
2	COMMISSION STAFF								
3	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD A. MAVRIDES								
4	DOCKET NO. 170009-EI								
5	June 20, 2017								
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.								
7	A. My name is Ronald A. Mavrides. My business address is 1313 N. Tampa Street,								
8	Suite 220, Tampa, Florida 33602.								
9	Q. By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?								
10	A. I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission)								
11	as a Public Utility Analyst in the Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis.								
12	Q. Briefly review your educational and professional background.								
13	A. I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in accounting from the University of								
14	Central Florida in 1990. I am also a Certified Internal Auditor, Certified Government								
15	Auditing Professional and a Certified Management Accountant. I have been employed by								
16	the FPSC since October 2007.								
17	Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.								
18	A. My responsibilities consist of planning and conducting utility audits of manual								
19	and automated accounting systems for historical and forecasted data.								
20	Q. Have you previously presented testimony before this Commission?								
21	A. Yes. I filed testimony in the Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause								
22	Docket Nos. 090001-EI and 110001-EI and I filed testimony in the Nuclear Cost								
23	Recovery Clause Docket Nos. 140009-EI, 150009-EI, and 160009-EI.								
24	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony today?								
25	A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor staff audit reports of Duke Energy								

1	Florida, LLC (DEF or Utility) which address the Utility's filings in Docket 170009-EI,							
2	Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause (NCRC) for costs associated with its Nuclear units. The							
3	costs for Crystal River Unit 3 (CR3) as of December 31, 2016, are addressed in Exhibit							
4	RAM-1 of this testimony. The costs for Levy Nuclear Units 1 & 2 as of December 31,							
5	2016, are addressed in Exhibit RAM-2 of this testimony. Also attached are the audit							
6	reports for the Levy Nuclear Units 1 & 2 filed as Exhibits in Dockets 150009-EI and							
7	160009-EI. These are identified as Exhibit RAM-3 and RAM-4 respectively of this							
8	testimony.							
9	Q. Were these audits prepared by you or under your direction?							
10	A. Yes, these audits were prepared by me or under my direction.							
11	Q. Please describe the work in the first audit addressing the costs for Crystal							
12	River Unit 3.							
13	A. Our overall objective was to verify that the Utility's 2016 NCRC filings for							
14	Crystal River Unit 3 in Docket No. 170009-EI are consistent with and in compliance with							
15	Section 366.93, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code. Audit							
16	staff performed the following procedures to satisfy the overall objective.							
17	Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)							
18	We determined that there were no adjustments to unrecovered CWIP jurisdictional							
19	balances that are included for recovery. All NCRC activity that is now related to capital							
20	investment is allocated to the Regulatory Asset Account. We determined that there was							
21	not any capital activity associated with the CR3 project in 2016.							
22	Recovery							
23	We traced the amount collected on Exhibit TGF-2 to the 2016 NCRC jurisdictional							
24	amount approved in Order No. PSC-16-0547-FOF-EI and to the Capacity Cost Recovery							
25	Clause in Docket No. 170001-EI. We verified that the Utility used the Commission							

- 2 -

- 1 approved factor to bill the customers.
- 2 Expense

3 We judgmentally selected costs from the transaction details and reviewed them for the 4 proper period and amounts, and that they are allowable NCRC costs. For costs that are 5 for a service or product that is under contract, we: 1) traced the invoiced cost to the 6 construction contract or other type of original source document, 2) ensured that the 7 amounts billed are for actual services or materials received, and 3) investigated all prior 8 billing adjustments and job order changes to the contract(s). We sorted the transaction 9 detail listings by Operation and Maintenance expense category and reconciled them to the 10 filing. On a sample basis, we used employee time sheets to verify that labor hours 11 charged to employee labor expense are correct.

12 Project Close-Out Costs

We investigated the status of project management close-out costs incurred during 2016.
We determined that 2016 was the first year that there were no project management related
close-out costs incurred.

16 True-up

We recalculated the True-Up and Interest Provision amounts as of December 31, 2016,
using the Commission approved beginning balance as of December 31, 2015, the
approved 2016 jurisdictional separation factors, and the 2016 costs.

20 Q. Please describe the work in the second audit addressing the costs for Levy
21 Nuclear Units 1 & 2.

A. Our overall objective was to verify that the Utility's 2016 NCRC filings for Levy
Nuclear Units 1 & 2 in Docket No. 160009-EI are consistent with and in compliance with
Section 366.93, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code. We
performed the following procedures to satisfy the overall objective.

1 Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)

We determined that there were no adjustments to unrecovered CWIP jurisdictional balances that are included for recovery. As of December 31, 2015, Account 107.001-CWIP had a zero balance. All NCRC activity that is now related to capital investment is allocated to the Regulatory Asset Account. We judgmentally selected transactions from the provided transaction details and tested them for: 1) Compliance with contracts, 2) Correct paid amounts, and 3) Correct recording periods.

8 <u>Recovery</u>

9 We traced the beginning balances of the 2016 Detail Calculation of the Revenue
10 Requirements to the ending 2015 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements. Order
11 PSC-15-0521-FOF-EI, issued November 5, 2015, stated that costs, if any, will not be
12 collected/recovered in 2016 or 2017. Audit staff determined that customers were not
13 billed during the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016.

14 Expense

15 We reconciled the trial balance accounts to the filing. We judgmentally selected costs 16 from the transaction details and reviewed them for the proper period and amounts, and 17 that they are allowable NCRC costs. For costs that are for a service or product that is 18 under contract we: 1) Traced the invoiced cost to the construction contract or other type 19 of original source document, 2) Ensured that the amounts billed are for actual services or 20 materials received, and 3) Investigated all prior billing adjustments and job order changes 21 to the contracts. We sampled costs charged in 2016, including labor, and obtained the 22 supporting backup.

23 Long-Lead Time Items

We verified that the all of the long-lead-time items remaining were disposed of as at yearend 2016.

1 Litigation Expenses

We verified that there was no litigation expenses relating to Duke/Westinghouse
Engineering, Procurement, and Construction contract litigation included for cost recovery
in 2016.

5 <u>True-up</u>

- We traced the December 31, 2015, True-Up Provision to the Commission Order. We
 recalculated the True-Up and Interest Provision amounts as of December 31, 2016, using
 the Commission approved beginning balance as of December 31, 2015, the approved
 2016 jurisdictional separation factors, and the 2016 costs.
- 10 **Q.** Please review the audit findings in the audit report, Exhibit RAM-1.
- 11 A. There were no findings in this audit.
- 12 **Q.** Please review the audit findings in the audit report, Exhibit RAM-2.
- 13 A. There were no findings in this audit.
- 14 **Q.** Does this conclude your testimony?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16
- 18 19

17

- 20 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25

- 5 -



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis Bureau of Auditing Tampa District Office

Auditor's Report

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2016

Docket No. 170009-EI Audit Control No. 17-006-2-2 May 7, 2016 Ronald A. Mavrides

Audit Manager

Linda Hill

Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpose	1
Objectives and Procedures	2
Audit Findings None	4
Exhibit 1: True-Up	
1. 110°°Cp	

.

.

•

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Office of Industry Development and Market Analysis in its audit service request dated January 5, 2017. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedule prepared by Duke Energy Florida, LLC in support of its 2016 Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause filing for the Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate Project in Docket No. 170009-EI.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

Utility refers to Duke Energy Florida, LLC.NCRC refers to the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

CCRC refers to the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause.

Construction costs are costs that are expended to construct the nuclear power plant, but not limited to, the costs of constructing power plant buildings and all associated permanent structures, equipment and systems.

Utility Information

On February 5, 2013, the Utility announced its intent to retire the CR3 plant. Recovery of costs will continue until 2019.

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the Utility's 2016 NCRC filing in Docket No. 170009-EI is consistent and in compliance with Section 366.93, Florida Statutes and Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We performed the following objectives and procedures to satisfy the overall objective identified above.

Construction Work In Progress

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the 2016 adjustments and additions to the unrecovered Construction Work In Progress (CWIP) jurisdictional balances that are included for recovery and disclose and report the jurisdictional amount of any 2016 adjustments and additions to the unrecovered CWIP balance that are included for recovery.

Procedures: We determined that there were no adjustments to unrecovered CWIP jurisdictional balances that are included for recovery. All NCRC activity that is now related to capital investment is allocated to the Regulatory Asset Account. We determined that there was not any capital activity associated with the CR3 project in 2016. No exceptions were noted.

Recovery

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the Utility used the Commission approved CCRC factors to bill customers for the period January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, and whether Exhibit TGF-2 reflects amounts in Order No. PSC-16-0547-FOF-EI.

Procedures: We agreed the amount collected in Exhibit TGF-2 to the 2016 NCRC jurisdictional amount approved in Order No. PSC-16-0547-FOF-EI and to the CCRC in Docket No. 170001-EI. We determined that the Utility used the approved CCRC factors. No exceptions were noted.

Expense

Operation and Maintenance Expense

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Expense on Exhibit TGF-2 are: 1) Supported by adequate source documentation, 2) Appropriately recoverable through the NCRC, and 3) Total jurisdictional O&M Expense is accurately calculated.

Procedures: We judgmentally selected ten transactions from the transaction details and reviewed them for the proper period, amounts, and that they are legitimate NCRC costs. For costs that are for a service or product that is under contract, we: 1) Traced the invoiced cost to the contract terms and pricing, 2)Ensured that the amounts billed are for actual services or materials received, and 3) Investigated all prior billing adjustments and job order changes to the contract(s).

Included in the samples were 2016 labor costs for four employees, of which we obtained the supporting backup. We recalculated labor costs using employee records for employees who provided labor charged to the NCRC in the sample. We verified the hours worked and recalculated the labor charges recorded by the Utility charged to the NCRC. We verified other costs for proper account, period, and amount. No exceptions were noted.

Project Close-Out Costs

Objective: The objective was to determine whether 2016 project close-out costs were properly included for recovery.

Procedures: We investigated the status of project management close-out costs incurred during 2016. We determined 2016 was the first year there were no project management related close-out costs incurred. No exceptions were noted.

True-Up

Objective: The objective was to determine whether the True-Up and Interest Provision as filed on Schedule TGF-2 was properly calculated.

Procedures: We traced the December 31, 2015, True-Up Provision to the Commission Order. We recalculated the True-Up and Interest Provision amounts as of December 31, 2016, using the Commission approved beginning balance as of December 31, 2015, the approved 2016 jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor, and the 2016 costs. No exceptions were noted.

Audit Findings

None م محمد المحمد المحم an di di perintengan di sebelah di a da ser en la companya de la construcción de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la c the state of the s pressing the state of the state 行政 的复数邮款 海拔运行 如何不能 计相关语言 승규는 것은 것을 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 수 있다. 귀에서 가지 않는 것을 수 있다. 귀에서 가지 않는 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것 않는 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 수 있다. 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 수 있다. 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 이 같은 것 같이 말했다. 이 같은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것이 같이 많이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 같이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 없는 것이 없 sali e li handa fuancea cali la Robert de Storet. a state gebolante d and place we statisticate 化合物 化过程 经投资公司 化二乙基苯甲基乙基苯甲基乙基

The Part of the second s

i

<u>Exhibit</u>

Exhibit 1: True-Up

					JOIG Detai	l - Calculation of	Jours (HCRC) - D) the Arvenue Ra wigh December 2	esi saents								atmos, 1 Opriethe Dutetres Linge
	Openyear		beganning at Period Array of	410ad 1844-14 2018	Annal Fabruary 2036	Actual March 2016	Act.al Act.d (0.16	Artuni Ary 2016	Artal Long 2016	Artual Mar 2016	417.00 419.00	Actual September 2016	Actual Curtanus 2016	Actual Incompany 1018	Actual December 2036	Anno Intel
1	Unsafected mestalest												- TO IT I THE		1	
	a 1 Pul Construction & Wind Down Costs		111,163 1475	٥		a	c	٥	•	٥	٥	0	•	•	•	
	b beto ar falvago et Ajseta e C-secertem		(3.029.364)	ů	0	٥	٥	4	4	٥	0	ć	0	٥	٥	
	a Tenni		9	3	÷		c	\$	•	0		۵		0	0	
	6 100g1		374,334,617	ډ	ş	e	•	٥	٥	۰	0	0	0	٥	•	
2	Adjust ments															
•	a Nice Cash Accounts															
	a latera Concer Condit				0	0	0	ه	0	¢	0	•	•	0	0	
	(Offer (a)		(26,942,435) (26,106,647)	:	٥	•	e	•	•	¢	•	5	3	ه	0	
	1 Adjust tent Sentem German an Lorentrus Lon Law Law Law Law	-	116 243 di4	····· ĉ			<u>q</u>	• <u>•</u>	9	<u> </u>				0		
	Anter sure delter al factor Current tear Actually	¥2 465%	The tes me	ç	0	0	¢	•	e	a	v	0	Ŷ	c	0	
	Retain furedestare fettar they are the suit any	1. 4075														
	a Lut / Stind down Costs			-		٥	د		-		-					
	the priving Balance are auto investment		274 121 087					å	۵ ۵	0	2	0 6	9 6	0	u U	
	g begring Balance - pust 2428 timestmere		12 170,084					,	5		۵ ۵	5			۵ د	17
	In Callectore 2014 & 2015 Parton of Regulatory Asses		187 865 8541					ž					0	3	3	LL LL
	· Falst northeligned Unrelevened Investigent	-	134 197 242		~					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				<u>`</u>	÷-	101
				•	•	•	•	•		•	•	v			v	10
۱.	Carrying Cost an Unrerovered Sweet ment Balance															
	a Uncollected investment. Costs for the Period they Barance	ودل جمدن	264,197,297	U	د		3	0	2	0	٥	3		2		204
	b Mont in Service		29.945.UNC					č		ő	5	ě				1
	e Penad Recovered Wind down / Last Casts			Ū.				<u>د</u>	ā	ŏ	2	5	4	د		
	d Americation of Unacourted Investment (s)			0 640,044	(1,645-064)	(1.640,084)	(3,643/364)	p. 640 (644	(1 443 644)	13,640,264	(3,642,084)	(1.643.044)	13.643.0643	(1 44 5 044)	(1.642 664)	(A)
	e Frice Partod Carrying Charge Unvacuation Batance (a)		(1.672.179)	0.451,164	(1.264,041)	(3.314,933)	11.045.8208	11,176,336	(1.601.591)	12,418 4764	(2,269,161)	12.102.347	(1 831. 132)	(1. 162 017)	(1.59, 933)	
	t Price Parlies Converg Charge Receivered (s)		(2,079.)244	(109.115)	(160,115)	(144,135)	(140,115)	(169,115)	(169.515)	(18-9.135)	(149,1(5)	1160.1355	(140.115)	068.033	(344.115)	
	g Pros Pariad Under/20ver) Receivers (Prior Manes)	_			H1 624)	144.24+1	(44,902)	14517	143,144	[47,285]	(47,431)	(42,043)	(+6 643)	(44.276)	(41, 692)	
	P fort wars smart	_	\$170 579.913	1147, 1-11, 1-61	\$141.544.345	STOG WA 118	1156.548.244	\$1\$1,074,714	\$145,509,600	3105.000.839	\$147 477 469		\$115475 8.4	\$131 419 556		120
	And tage fiel investment			\$168 \$44,427	5143.823.834	5181,823,599	\$154,183,729	\$154,764,223	\$151,245,034	5147,726,324	\$144,207,831	5144,649,923	\$17177.289	\$131,455,040		
	A Plurin On Associa ga Turi Inses unent														•	
	a Church Companyan	0 00193		661,870	644,059	614.270	432.471		\$52.681							
	a Ecurty Companiers Brussee Up ton Texas	L SZACKA		1,577,575	1,051.057					\$79,667	142.76	\$51.500	\$17,715	\$23,525	\$10.143	1
	t Gelt Carearent	6 0 11 5 5		360,346	168.445	249.967	1.010,127	VE7,670	235,674	\$42,755 224,237	930, 501	697,849	\$75.401	45 2 354	\$39512	
	A Total Benan		-	10,10	1210,402	1,212,540	1254,477	134.70	1396.645			217,306	111.913	206 491	201043	
						1.484.880	1.120,077	1.446,781	1,196,963	1 170,997	1 141,107	1,115,215	1007,117	1,059,453	1,012575	и,
	havenus Requirements for the Parcial Lines 24 + \$42			1,111,110	1,310,443	1,242,540	1,754,677	1,226.741	1,196,645	1.170593	1,141,164	1 115.755	100,000	1,059,451	1,011 575	14,
	Projected Reserve Requirements for the Spirits (Disor No PSC 13-052) FOR \$1			t 166 J14	1 199,745	1 111.400	1.303,214	1.174,947	1 146.620	1 314 418	1.190,145	1, 163 679	110.60	1,195,345	1 477 077	н
	Quertance Recovery for the Perced		-	144.6;41	149.264	(43 921;	(41,517)	(44,164)	(47,794)	147,421)	(42,041)	(46 66 3)	H6 2 PH)	(+5. +4.7)	HS 5071	
			-						12.00	P'200				- HXMA		
	Other Call / Wind Dese															
	s Accounty			2,344	2 144	3,436	2442	1.5.28	2.534	2,462	2,447	2627	1.924	2453	2,103	
	Constant Particip			1312	2,412	1.011	: 43	646	433	(a)	201	154	250	0	438	
	s ungel			4	J	3	ډ ا	•	٥	ن ن	u u	٥	э	c	٥	
	e izant Ganner Credi i e Tatal Other Lati / Wing-Josen Casa		_	(114)	19751	1657	(43)	(00)	1241	(259)	1714	12241	11749	12461	0771	
1	F TOUR COMMILLAR / WIND COMMICALLY			4,131	4,250	\$ 1.37	4,424	2,931	2,744	2.840	2,435	2 \$\$2	1.304	2767	2314	
	sometrional Factor (Alla)			0 4122	0 9372	4.111	0 9 13 3	61123								
	In seisen Amore			4 (1) 1	4.42.8	4,766	4 193	2,710	2562	0.9322	0 \$122	0 9922	3 14 22	0 9622	0.0033	
						0, nili	4,111	4.749	1344	1 044	1270	2.174	1,668	2541	2.157	
	An a Aprilad Lowercoursed Balanca (a)		(111,550)	(127, 764)	022,856	11 20 1000	1116 156	(117,104)	(104 455)	(104.635)	(10),75%	(96.90%)	133,054)		(65 154)	
	Pres Part ad Cas to Recovered (s)		(46 222)	13,850	(17),654	p.stop	(1,45-3)	(144,014) (144,5)	(0.455)	(104,905)	101,759	(8,453)	(2,354)	دانند.(66) :تحافرة:	(85, 154) (3, 662)	
						10.000	67,00-Q	(0,000)	11 = 309	وتلوهو	12,250	(1,893)	(1.350)	(1,150)	(3,634)	
	Price Month Pantal (Overs/Uncar Recovery			د د	12,141	(1, 14.2)	(1,411)	(1,847)	(3.45-3	(3 6:35)	(3 496)	(3,919)	(1.314)	(4123)	0.455	
	Unerrichized Balance		(11,954	(117,704)	(126,004)	(12),914	1121.497	(119 641)	0112011	1118 9473	010.02	(116,702)	(112 642)	(133,146)	(11.11)	
										(,	1210,004				
	Correrg Casta for the Poned															
	Balanca Chydde fyr Inlancs			4127 6433	035,714	(12).4(1)	411,1254	(124.192)	(117 244)	(1111146)	(119,473)	(110,435)	(119,641)	\$110,7013	(111,774)	
	Manual Company in Paper Bala			600%	0.64%	0.04%	0.00%	0035	*03%	JUNK	D045	0.04%	4.04%	0.04%	0.065	
	(Interes) Provision			(43)	(44)	(45)	110	(14)		(43)	(47)	(48)	1414	(44)	an	
	Flats Cost and Interest Line 11 + Line 142			1,957	4,144	4,711	4 159	[69)	2.542	2.411	1.226	2,112	1.429	2,513	2.445	
	Necessaries - (Clinter the PSC 15 clif2) +Cri-(rg			6145												
					6.146	• 146	6 346	6,347	6,147	£ \$#7	6,147	6.[49	6 KI	6:4	6,369	
	Unarfunder Recovery for the Panual			(114)	0.701	(1,411)	(L.W.7)	().454	(1,6-3)	() 4341	0.919	(J. 816)	(4,724)	[1,675]	<u>{4</u> (06])	
	Bever or Regumentants for the Period		_	1,142,197	1.314.866	L107,215	LIMAN	LEDAN	L'14F41)	1111.64	2,146,138	L117,547	1.041.153	8,061,963	L433,468	14,1
	Auroveral (Didar to PLC 194821-40F da)			1,174,165	1165,894	1.387,610	1300,160	1,231,091	1.331.67	1.224.540	1.194.297	1.164,026	1,110,754	1.111-01	1.007.224	14.0
	Ocor/Under Responses for the Period			61,771	(\$1.438)	(16,337)	(54.524)	(3 L 6 14)	(\$1,399)	(\$4,917)	(14,967)	(54,471)	(10,607)	144.5271	149,364)	

Docket No. 170009-EI Auditor's Report - Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2 Exhibit RAM-2, Page 1 of 8



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis Bureau of Auditing Tampa District Office

Auditor's Report

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Levy Nuclear Units 1 & 2

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2016

Docket No. 170009-EI Audit Control No. 17-006-2-1 May 7, 2017 Ronald A. Mavrides Audit Manager Linda Hill Reviewer

.

Table of Contents

Purpose	1
Objectives and Procedures	2
Audit Findings None	5
Exhibit 1: True-Up	6

.

•

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

.

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Office of Industry Development and Market Analysis in its audit service request dated January 5, 2017. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedule prepared by Duke Energy Florida, LLC in support of its 2016 Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause for its construction cost expenditures for the Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2 filing in Docket No. 170009-EI.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

Utility refers to Duke Energy Florida, LLC.LNP refers to the Levy Nuclear Plant.

NCRC refers to the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

CCRC refers to the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause.

Preconstruction costs are costs that are expended after a site has been selected in preparation for the construction of a nuclear power plant, incurred up to and including the date the Utility completes site clearing work.

Construction costs are costs that are expended to construct the nuclear power plant, but not limited to, the costs of constructing power plant buildings and all associated permanent structures, equipment and systems.

Utility Information

On August 1, 2013, the Utility announced its intent to cease the work of pursuing construction of the Levy 1 and 2 reactors. Recovery of costs will continue until 2019.

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the Utility's 2016 NCRC filing in Docket No. 170009-EI is consistent and in compliance with Section 366.93, Florida Statutes and Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We performed the following objectives and procedures to satisfy the overall objective identified above.

Construction Work In Progress

Objectives: The objectives were to determine the 2016 adjustments and additions to the unrecovered Construction Work In Progress (CWIP) jurisdictional balances that are included for recovery and disclose and report the jurisdictional amount of any 2016 adjustments and additions to the unrecovered CWIP balance that are included for recovery.

Procedures: We determined that there were no adjustments to unrecovered CWIP jurisdictional balances that are included for recovery. As of December 31, 2015, Account 107.001-CWIP had a zero balance. All NCRC activity that is now related to capital investment is allocated to the Regulatory Asset Account. We judgmentally sampled eight capital addition transactions allocated to the Regulatory Asset Accounts from the transaction details and tested for: 1) Compliance with contracts, 2) Correct paid amounts, and 3) Correct recording periods. No exceptions were noted.

Recovery

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the Utility used the Commission approved CCRC factors to bill customers for the period January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, and whether the 2016 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements reflects amounts in Order No. PSC-15-0521-FOF-EI.

Procedures: We agreed the beginning balances of the 2016 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements to the ending 2015 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements. Order PSC-15-0521-FOF-EI, issued November 5, 2015, Attachment 1, says that costs, if any, will not be collected/recovered in the 2016 or 2017 NCRC factor. Audit staff determined that customers were not billed during the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016. No exceptions were noted.

Expense

Operation and Maintenance Expense

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Expense on Exhibit TGF-2 are: 1) Supported by adequate source documentation, 2) Appropriately recoverable through the NCRC, and 3) Total Jurisdictional O&M Expense is accurately calculated.

Procedures: We reconciled the trial balance accounts to the filing. We judgmentally selected eleven transactions from the transaction details and reviewed them for the proper period and amounts, and that they are allowable NCRC costs. For costs that are for a service or product that are under contract we: 1) Traced the invoiced cost to the construction contract or other type of original source document, 2) Reconciled the invoice to the contract terms and pricing, 3) Ensured that the amounts billed are for actual services or materials received, and 4) Investigated all prior billing adjustments and job order changes to the contracts.

Included in the samples were 2016 labor costs, of which we obtained the supporting backup. We recalculated labor costs using employee time sheets and labor rates for employees who provided labor charged to the NCRC during the sample months. We verified the hours worked and recalculated the labor charges recorded by the Utility charged to the NCRC. We verified other costs for proper account, period, and amount. No exceptions were noted.

Long-Lead-Time Items

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether 2016 disposition, storage, and other such expenses for remaining long-lead-time items were included for cost recovery and to disclose and report the jurisdictional amount of any 2016 disposition, storage, and other such expenses included in jurisdictional expenses.

Procedure: We verified that all of the long-lead time items were disposed of as of year-end 2016. No exceptions were noted.

Litigation Expenses

Objectives: Our objectives were to determine whether Duke/Westinghouse Engineering, Procurement, and Construction contract litigation expenses were included for cost recovery, and 2016 Duke/Westinghouse Engineering, Procurement, and Construction contract litigation expenses included in jurisdictional expenses were disclosed and reported.

Procedure: We verified that there was no litigation expenses included for cost recovery in 2016. No exceptions were noted.

True-Up

Objective: The objective was to determine whether the True-Up and Interest Provision as filed on Schedule TGF-2 was properly calculated.

Procedures: We traced the December 31, 2015, True-Up Provision to the Commission Order. We recalculated the True-Up and Interest Provision amounts as of December 31, 2016, using the Commission approved beginning balance as of December 31, 2015, the approved 2016 jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor, and the 2016 costs. No exceptions were noted.

Audit Findings

None

an an an an an ann an gun an tha an an tha an An an tha an tha an ann an an tha an tha an tha An an tha an tha an tha an tha an tha an tha

5

12

Docket No. 170009-EI Auditor's Report - Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2 Exhibit RAM-2, Page 8 of 8

<u>Exhibit</u>

Exhibit 1: True-Up

Lev Jan	16 Summary ry Nuclear Units 1 & 2 wary 2016 - December 2016 ke Energy Florida			Witness: Thomas G. Foster Docket No. 170009-El Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF- 1)
1.	Final Costs for the Period a. Carrying Cost on Unrecovered Investment b. Period Exit / Wind-down Costs (including dispostion of LLE) c. Period Other Exit / Wind-down Cost and Interest d. Prior Period Adjustment e. Total Period Revenue Requirement	12- 5 5	3,111,848	(2016 Detail Line 8d. & 2016 LLE Detail Line 3d.) (2016 Detail Line 5a.) (2016 Detail Line 19d.) -
2.	Projected Amount for the Period (January - December) (Order No. PSC-15-0521-FOF-EI) (\$0.00 / 1000 Kwh)	\$	-	(2016 Detail Lines: 10 and 20)
3.	Final True-Up Amount for the Period (over)/under (Line 1e Line 2.)	s	8,554,134	
4 .	2016 Revenue Requirement Collected (January - December) (Order No. PSC-15-0521-FOF-EI) (\$0.00 / 1000 Kwh)	s		
	The summary below shows the uncollected balance as of December 31, 2016			
5 .	Uncollected Regulatory Asset (Non-\$54M Deferred Amount)	\$	3,734,207	(2016 Detail Lines: 6j + 15 + 21)
6.	Carrying Cost on \$54M Deferral (May 2015 - December 2016) (Retail)		8,463,571	(2016 LLE Detail Lines: 1b + 3d.)
7.	Uncollected Balance \$54M Deferral (Retail)		50,275,957	(2016 LLE Detail Line 1a.)
8.	Total Uncollected Balance at Year End 2016 (Lines: S. + 6. + 7.)	\$	62,473,735	



Public Serbice Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis Bureau of Auditing Tampa District Office

Auditor's Report

Duke Energy Florida, Inc. Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2014

Docket No. 150009-EI Audit Control No. 15-005-2-2 May 12, 2015

Ronald Mavrides Audit Manager Manager Manager

Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpose	1
Objectives and Procedures	2
Audit Findings 1: Rate of Return on Equity	5
Exhibit 1: True-Up	6

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the agreed-upon objectives set forth by the Office of Industry Development and Market Analysis in its audit service request dated January 2, 2015. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedule prepared by Duke Energy Florida, Inc. in support of its 2014 Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause for its construction cost expenditures for the Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2 for project activity in Docket No. 150009-EI.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. Our report is based on agreed-upon procedures. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

Utility refers to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

LNP refers to the Levy Nuclear Plant.

NCRC refers to the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

CCRC refers to the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause.

Preconstruction costs are costs that are expended after a site has been selected in preparation for the construction of a nuclear power plant, incurred up to and including the date the Utility completes site clearing work.

Construction costs are costs that are expended to construct the nuclear power plant, but not limited to, the costs of constructing power plant buildings and all associated permanent structures, equipment and systems.

Utility Information

On August 1, 2013, the Utility announced its intent to cease the work of pursuing construction of the Levy 1 and 2 reactors. Recovery of costs will continue until 2019

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the utility's 2014 NCRC filing in Docket No. 150009-EI are consistent and in compliance with Section 366.93, Florida Statutes and Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We performed the following objectives and procedures to satisfy the overall objective identified above.

Construction Work In Progress

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Construction Costs for the LNP, are properly accounted for and stated as required by Section 366.93, Florida Statutes and Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C.

Procedures: We took the beginning balances of the costs and reconciled them to the ending balances for the prior year's filing. We judgmentally selected transactions from the transaction details and tested them for: 1) Compliance with contracts, 2) Correct paid amounts, and 3) Correct recording periods. We reconciled the transaction detail amounts to the filing and the general ledger. No exceptions were noted.

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Preconstruction Costs for the LNP are properly accounted for and stated as required by Section 366.93, Florida Statutes and Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C.

Procedures: We took the beginning balances of the Preconstruction Costs and reconciled them to the ending balances for the prior year's filing. We selected a sample of preconstruction transactions from the provided transaction details and tested them for: 1) Compliance with contracts, 2) Correct paid amounts, and 3) Correct recording periods. We reconciled the transaction detail amounts to the filing and to the general ledger. No exceptions were noted.

Recovery

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the Utility used the Commission approved CCRC factors to bill customers for the period January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014, and whether the 2014 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements reflects amounts in Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI.

Procedures: We agreed the beginning balances of the 2014 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements to the ending 2013 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements. We agreed the amount collected on the 2014 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements to the 2014 NCRC jurisdictional factors approved in Order No. PSC-14-0701-FOF-EI and to the CCRC in Docket No. 150001-EI. Our recommended adjustment is discussed in Finding 1.

Expense

Operation and Maintenance Expense

Objective: The objectives were to determine whether Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Expense on Exhibit TGF-2 are: 1) Supported by adequate source documentation, 2) Appropriately recoverable through the NCRC and that 3) Total Jurisdictional O&M Expense is accurately calculated.

Procedures: We reconciled the trial balance accounts to the filing. We judgmentally selected costs from the transaction details and reviewed them for the proper period and amounts, and that they are allowable NCRC costs. For costs that are for a service or product that is under contract we: 1) Traced the invoiced cost to the construction contract or other type of original source document, 2) Reconciled the invoice to the contract terms and pricing, 3) Ensured that the amounts billed are for actual services or materials received, and 4) Investigated all prior billing adjustments and job order changes to the contracts.

We sampled costs charged in 2014, including labor, and obtained the supporting backup. We recalculated labor costs using employee time sheets and labor rates for employees who provided labor charged to the NCRC during the sample months. We verified the hours worked and recalculated the labor charges recorded by the Utility charged to the NCRC. We verified other costs for proper account, period, and amount. No exceptions were noted.

True-Up

Objective: The objective was to determine whether the True-Up and Interest Provision as filed on Schedule TGF-2 was properly calculated.

Procedures: We traced the December 31, 2013 True-Up Provision to the Commission Order. We recalculated the True-Up and Interest Provision amounts as of December 31, 2014, using the Commission approved beginning balance as of December 31, 2013, the approved AFUDC rate, and the 2014 costs. No exceptions were noted.

Audit Findings

Finding 1: Rate of Return on Equity

Audit Analysis: Rule 25-6.0423(7)(b), - Nuclear or Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle Power Plant Cost Recovery, F. A.C. states:

The amount recovered under this subsection will be the remaining unrecovered Construction Work in Progress balance at the time of abandonment and future payment of all outstanding costs and any other prudent and reasonable exit costs. The unrecovered balance during the recovery period will accrue interest at the Utility's overall pretax weighted average midpoint cost of capital on a Commission adjusted basis as reported by the Utility in its Earnings Surveillance Report filed in December of the prior year, utilizing the midpoint of return on equity (ROE) range or ROE approved for other regulatory purposes, as applicable.

The Utility applied the rate reported in its Earnings Surveillance Report filed for December 2012, which was 7.23%. Audit staff believes that the Rule requires that the Utility should have applied the rate reported in its Earnings Surveillance Report filed for December 2013, which was 7.10%. We requested the Utility to calculate the Total Period Revenue Requirement for 2014 using the rate of 7.10%. This calculation reduces the Total Period Revenue Requirement of \$23,508,493 as filed to \$23,421,244.

The Utility responded by stating:

The language in the Rule and Statute can reasonably be interpreted in two ways. Duke Energy had interpreted it to mean the WACC will be set based upon the year prior to the year the project is cancelled, and that same WACC would then be used for each year of the recovery period. The rule and statutory language, however, could also be interpreted to mean that every year the WACC is reset at the prior years reported WACC. Given that there are two reasonable interpretations, Duke Energy is willing to adopt the second interpretation. Duke Energy will make an entry to adjust 2014 carrying costs to reflect the change in interpretation and include it in our May 1 filings in the 2015 time period consistent with how actuals will be recorded. Duke will then continue updating the WACC consistent with the second interpretation described above for future periods.

Effect on the General Ledger: Utility should determine the appropriate entry.

Effect on the Filing: Duke has adjusted its May 1, 2015 filing. Duke will continue updating the WACC for future periods.

Docket No. 170009-EI Auditor's Report - Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2 Exhibit RAM-3, Page 8 of 8

<u>Exhibit</u>

Exhibit 1: True-Up

2014 Summary Witr Levy Nuclear Units 1 & 2 January 2014 - December 2014 Duke Energy Florida	ness: Thomas G. Foster Docket No. 150009-El Exhibit: (TGF- 1)			
	12-N	Month Total		
1. Final Costs for the Period				
a. Carrying Cost on Unrecovered Investment	\$	13,310,606		
b. Period Exit Costs		9,816,636		
c. Period Other Exit / Wind-down Costs and Interest		381,251		
d. Total Period Revenue Requirement	\$	23,508,493		
2. Projected Amount for the Period (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI)	\$	30,342,148		
S. Final True-Up Amount for the Period (over)/under (Line 1d Line 2.)	\$	(6,833,655)		
4. Amortizaton of Unrecovered Investment and Prior Period Over/Under Balances (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI)	\$	75,293,261		
5. Total Revenue Requirements for 2014 (Line 1d. + Line 4.)	\$	98,801,754		

Docket No. 170009-EI Auditor's Report - Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2 Exhibit RAM-4, Page 1 of 8



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis Bureau of Auditing Tampa District Office

Auditor's Report

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Levy Nuclear Units 1 & 2

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2015

Docket No. 160009-EI Audit Control No. 16-005-2-1 May 7, 2016 Ronald A. Mavrides Audit Manager h Linda Hill Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpose	1
Objectives and Procedures	2
Audit Findings None	5
Exhibit 1: True-Up	6

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Office of Industry Development and Market Analysis in its audit service request dated January 5, 2016. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedule prepared by Duke Energy Florida, LLC in support of its 2015 Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause for its construction cost expenditures for the Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2 filing in Docket No. 160009-EI.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

Utility refers to Duke Energy Florida, LLC

LNP refers to the Levy Nuclear Plant.

NCRC refers to the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

CCRC refers to the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause.

Preconstruction costs are costs that are expended after a site has been selected in preparation for the construction of a nuclear power plant, incurred up to and including the date the Utility completes site clearing work.

Construction costs are costs that are expended to construct the nuclear power plant, but not limited to, the costs of constructing power plant buildings and all associated permanent structures, equipment and systems.

Utility Information

On August 1, 2013, the Utility announced its intent to cease the work of pursuing construction of the Levy 1 and 2 reactors. Recovery of costs will continue until 2019

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the Utility's 2015 NCRC filing in Docket No. 160009-EI is consistent and in compliance with Section 366.93, Florida Statutes and Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We performed the following objectives and procedures to satisfy the overall objective identified above.

Construction Work In Progress

Objectives: The objectives were to determine the 2015 adjustments and additions to the unrecovered Construction Work In Progress (CWIP) jurisdictional balances that are included for recovery and disclose and report the jurisdictional amount of any 2015 adjustments and additions to the unrecovered CWIP balance that are included for recovery.

Procedures: We took the beginning balances of all CWIP costs and reconciled them to the ending balances for the prior year's filing. We judgmentally selected from a summary of CWIP 2015 additions, all November 2015 labor costs from the transaction details and tested them for: 1) Compliance with contracts, 2) Correct paid amounts, and 3) Correct recording periods. We determined that there were no adjustments to unrecovered CWIP jurisdictional balances that are included for recovery. As of December 31, 2015, Account 107.001-CWIP had a zero balance. We reconciled the transaction detail amounts to the filing and the general ledger. No exceptions were noted.

Recovery

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the Utility used the Commission approved CCRC factors to bill customers for the period January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015, and whether the 2015 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements reflects amounts in Order No. PSC-14-0701-FOF-EI.

Procedures: We agreed the beginning balances of the 2015 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements to the ending 2014 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements. We agreed the amount collected on the 2015 Detail Calculation of the Revenue Requirements to the 2015 NCRC jurisdictional factors approved in Order No. PSC-14-0701-FOF-EI and to the CCRC in Docket No. 140001-EI. No exceptions were noted.

Expense

Operation and Maintenance Expense

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Expense on Exhibit TGF-2 are: 1) Supported by adequate source documentation, 2) Appropriately recoverable through the NCRC, and that 3) Total Jurisdictional O&M Expense is accurately calculated.

Procedures: We reconciled the trial balance accounts to the filing. We judgmentally selected eight transactions from the transaction details and reviewed them for the proper period and amounts, and that they are allowable NCRC costs. For costs that are for a service or product that are under contract we: 1) Traced the invoiced cost to the construction contract or other type of original source document, 2) Reconciled the invoice to the contract terms and pricing, 3) Ensured that the amounts billed are for actual services or materials received, and 4) Investigated all prior billing adjustments and job order changes to the contracts.

Included in the samples were 2015 labor costs, of which we obtained the supporting backup. We recalculated labor costs using employee time sheets and labor rates for employees who provided labor charged to the NCRC during the sample months. We verified the hours worked and recalculated the labor charges recorded by the Utility charged to the NCRC. We verified other costs for proper account, period, and amount. No exceptions were noted.

Long-Lead-Time Items

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether 2015 disposition, storage, and other such expenses for remaining long-lead-time items were included for cost recovery and to disclose and report the jurisdictional amount of any 2015 disposition, storage, and other such expenses included in jurisdictional expenses.

Procedures: We verified that the only long-lead-time items remaining to be disposed of were the Variable Frequency Drives. The Drives were sold internally for use at the Duke Energy Florida, LLC, Crystal River Energy Complex. Attempts to sell the drives to an external party were unsuccessful. No exceptions were noted.

Litigation Expenses

Objectives: Our objectives were to determine whether Duke/Westinghouse Engineering, Procurement, and Construction contract litigation expenses were included for cost recovery, and 2015 Duke/Westinghouse Engineering, Procurement, and Construction contract litigation expenses included in jurisdictional expenses were disclosed and reported.

Procedure: We verified that there was no litigation expenses included for cost recovery in 2015. No exceptions were noted.

True-Up

Objective: The objective was to determine whether the True-Up and Interest Provision as filed on Schedule TGF-2 was properly calculated.

Procedures: We traced the December 31, 2014, True-Up Provision to the Commission Order. We recalculated the True-Up and Interest Provision amounts as of December 31, 2015, using the Commission approved beginning balance as of December 31, 2014, the approved 2015 jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor, and the 2015 costs. No exceptions were noted.

Docket No. 170009-EI Auditor's Report - Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2 Exhibit RAM-4 , Page 7 of 8

Audit Findings

None

Docket No. 170009-EI Auditor's Report - Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2 Exhibit RAM-4 , Page 8 of 8

<u>Exhibit</u>

Exhibit 1: True-Up

Lev Jani	5 Summary y Nuclear Units 1 & 2 uary 2015 - December 2015 se Energy Florida			Witness: Thomas G. Foster Docket No. 160009-El Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF- 1)
_		12-N	ionth Total	
1 .	Final Costs for the Period			
	a. Carrying Cost on Unrecovered Investment	\$	• •	(2015 Detail Line 8d. & 2015 LLE Detail Line 3d.)
	b. Period Exit / Wind-down Costs (including sale of LLE)			(2015 Detail Line 5a.)
	c. Period Other Exit / Wind-down Cost and Interest			(2015 Detail Line 19d.)
	d. Other - Adjustment e. Total Period Revenue Requirement	*		(2015 Detail Line 5e.)
	e. Total Period Revenue Requirement	\$	1,769,833	•
2.	Projected Amount for the Period (January - April)	\$	2 503 530	(2015 Detail Lines: 10 and 20)
	(Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI) (Jan-April) (I.e. \$3.45 / 1000 Kwh Residential)	J	2,303,330	(2015 Detail Lines: 10 and 20)
	(Order No. PSC-15-0176-TRF-EI) (May-Dec) (\$0.00 / 1000 Kwh)			
3.	Final True-Up Amount for the Period (over)/under (Line 1e Line 2.)	\$	(733,697)	
,				
4.	2015 Revenue Requirement Collected (January - April)	\$	36,438,940	(2015 Detail Lines: 6g + 10 + 16 + 20 - 6e)
	(Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI) (Jan-April) (I.e. \$3.45 / 1000 Kwh Residential)			
	(Order No. PSC-15-0176-TRF-EI) (May-Dec) (\$0.00 / 1000 Kwh)			
	The summary below shows the uncollected balance as of December 31, 2015			
	······································			
5.	Uncollected Regulatory Asset (Non-\$54M Deferred Amount)	\$	489,907	(2015 Detail Lines: 6i + 15 + 21)
	• • •			
6.	Carrying Cost on \$54M Deferral (May 2015 - December 2015) (Retail)		3,153,738	(2015 LLE Detail Line 3d.)
5.	Uncollected Balance \$54M Deferral (Retail)		50 375 057	(2015 LLE Detail Line 1a.)
7.			20,212,957	(2013 LLE DECAN UNE 18.)
8.	Total Uncollected Balance at Year End 2015 (Lines: 5. + 6. + 7.)	\$	53,919,601	
0.		¥	33,313,001	

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Nuclear cost recovery clause.

DOCKET NO. 170009-EI

DATED: June 20, 2017

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the testimony of DONALD A. MAVRIDES on behalf of the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission was electronically filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, Florida Public Service Commission, and copies were furnished by electronic mail to the following on this <u>20th</u> day of <u>June</u> 2017.

Matthew R. Bernier Duke Energy Florida, LLC 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Matthew.Bernier@duke-energy.com

J.R. Kelly/Charles J. Rehwinkel/ Erik L. Sayler/Patricia A. Christensen Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399 Kelly.JR@leg.state.fl.us Rehwinkel.Charles@leg.state.fl.us Sayler.Erik@leg.state.fl.us Christensen.Patty@leg.state.fl.us

Kenneth Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1858 Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com Dianne M. Triplett Duke Energy Florida, LLC 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 Dianne.Triplett@duke-energy.com

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Florida Industrial Power Users Group Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Jessica Cano/Kevin I.C. Donaldson Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420 Jessica.Cano@fpl.com Kevin.Donaldson@fpl.com CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 170009-EI PAGE 2

Victoria Mendez/Christopher A. Green Xavier Albán/ Kerri L. McNulty The City of Miami 444 SW 2nd Avenue Miami, Florida 33130 <u>vmendez@miamigov.com</u> <u>cagreen@miami.gov</u> <u>xealban@miamigov.com</u> klmcnulty@miamigov.com

George Cavros Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 120 E. Oakland Park Boulevard, Suite 105 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33334 <u>george@cavros-law.com</u> James W. Brew/Laura A. Wynn White Springs Agricultural Chemicals Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 jbrew@smxblaw.com law@smxblaw.com

/s/_Kyesha Mapp_

KYESHA MAPP SENIOR ATTORNEY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Telephone: (850) 413-6199 kmapp@psc.state.fl.us