

**Brandy Butler**

---

**From:** Brandy Butler on behalf of Records Clerk  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 08, 2017 8:03 AM  
**To:** 'albert@icassemblies.com'  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: Docket No. 20170009 - Oppose FPL's Unfair Request

Good morning Mr. Gomez,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20170009 and forwarding your comments to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Sincerely,

Brandy Butler  
Commission Deputy Clerk I  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
Phone: (850) 413-7123

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [albert@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:albert@everyactioncustom.com) [<mailto:albert@everyactioncustom.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 07, 2017 5:46 PM  
**To:** Records Clerk  
**Subject:** Docket No. 20170009 - Oppose FPL's Unfair Request

Dear FL PSC,

Dear Commissioners,

Please reject FPL's request in Docket No. 20170009. It is not fair for the company to continue to heap the financial risk onto customers like me to pursue new reactors at Turkey Point, a project for which there is no builder. I understand the builder, Westinghouse, filed for bankruptcy and is out of the nuclear construction business. And apparently FPL will not commit to actually build the reactors, nor will it commit to a price tag. Further, the company can't even show that the reactors are in the best economic interest of their customers.

If the reactor project is so speculative that FPL cannot provide you with evidence that the project remains a good deal for customers, how can FPL then ask your permission to incur even more costs pursuing the licenses for the project, which ultimately allows it to recover its costs plus interest from customers?

I have also included a limited appearance statement that was entered into docket on November 22, 2010 (9:00a.m.) in the Homestead, FL during the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's first hearing on FPL's COLA expansion request. In it, I highlight FPL's egregious construction and maintenance record on nuclear power plants showcasing its cost over runs and third party assessments of mismanagement. This record must be taken into account by the Public Service Commission. If not, the public will consider this body a rubber stamp.

I have already paid too much for too long toward this dangerous project , as have all the other FPL customers. Enough is enough. Thank you in advance for considering customers' economic interest in this proceeding. Please reject FPL's heavy-handed and unprecedented request.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Albert Gomez

3566 Vista Ct Miami, FL 33133-4027

[albert@icassemblies.com](mailto:albert@icassemblies.com)