FILED 10/18/2017 DOCUMENT NO. 08902-2017 000001 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK 1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 In the Matter of: 3 DOCKET NO. 20170007-EI 4 5 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE. 6 7 8 9 10 11 PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE 12 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ 13 PARTICIPATING: PREHEARING OFFICER 14 DATE: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 15 TIME: Commenced at 2:46 p.m. Concluded at 3:19 p.m. 16 17 Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE: Room 148 18 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 19 REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR 20 Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734 21 22 23 24 25

APPEARANCES:

J.R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL; CHARLES

REHWINKEL, DEPUTY PUBLIC COUNSEL; PATRICIA A.

CHRISTENSEN; ERIK SAYLER; and STEPHANIE A. MORSE,

ESQUIRES, Office of Public Counsel, c/o the Florida

Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812,

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of

the Citizens of the State of Florida.

JAMES D. BEASLEY and J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, ESQUIRES, Ausley & McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

STEVEN R. GRIFFIN and RUSSELL A. BADDERS and ESQUIRES, Beggs & Lane, P.O. Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950; JEFFREY A. STONE, ESQUIRE, One Energy Place, Pensacola, Florida, 32520, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

JON C. MOYLE, JR., and KAREN PUTNAL, ESQUIRES, Moyle Law Firm, P.A., 118 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

GEORGE CAVROS, ESQUIRE, 120 East Oakland Park Boulevard, Suite 105, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33334, on behalf of Southern Alliance for Clean Energy.

APPEARANCES:

JAMES W. BREW, ESQUIRE, Stone Mattheis

Xenopoulos & Brew PC, 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW,

Eight Floor, West Tower, Washington, DC 20007, appearing

on behalf of White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.

d/b/a PCS Phosphate - White Springs.

DIANNE M. TRIPLETT, ESQUIRE, 299 First Avenue
North, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701; and MATTHEW R.
BERNIER, ESQUIRE, 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800,
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7740, appearing on behalf of
Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

JOHN BUTLER, WADE LITCHFIELD, and JESSICA CANO, ESQUIRES, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420, on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

CHARLIE MURPHY, STEPHANIE A. CUELLO, and MARGO

A. DUVAL, ESQUIRES, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540

Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service

Commission Staff.

KEITH HETRICK, GENERAL COUNSEL; MARY ANNE HELTON, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, as Advisors to the Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. We're going 2 3 to go ahead and call this Prehearing Conference to order. Today is October 11th, 2017, it is 1:31, I 4 believe, and this is 2017.

> And so we have five dockets that we have to cover today, and so with that, we're going to ask Ms. Margo Duval to read the notice.

> > MS. DUVAL: Thank you, Commissioner.

By notice issued September 27th, 2017, this time and place was set for a prehearing in the following dockets: 20170001-EI, 20170002-EG, 20170003-GU, 20170004-GU, and 20170007-EI. The purpose of the prehearing is set out in the notice.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. And so at this time, we'll take appearances, and staff has suggested, and I think it's a great suggestion, that we will take appearances for all of the dockets at one time. And so at this point, we'll take appearances.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Commissioner. John Butler appearing for Florida Power & Light Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. I'd also like to enter appearances for Wade Litchfield in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets, Ken Rubin in the 02 docket, Jessica Cano in the 07 docket, and Maria Moncada in the 01 docket.

you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.

MR. BERNIER: Good afternoon, Commissioner.

Matt Bernier for Duke Energy. I'll be entering an appearance in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. And I'd also like to enter an appearance for Dianne Triplett in those same dockets.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GRIFFIN: Good afternoon, Commissioner.

Steven Griffin with the law firm of Beggs & Lane. I'd like to enter an appearance for myself in the 01, 02, and 07 docket. Also appearing in those dockets are Jeffrey A. Stone, General Counsel for Gulf Power Company, and my partner, Russell A. Badders.

MR. BEASLEY: Good afternoon, Commissioner. Jim Beasley for Tampa Electric Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. I'd also like to enter an appearance for my partner Jeff Wahlen.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MS. KEATING: Good afternoon, Commissioner.

Beth Keating with the Gunster Law Firm. I'm here today appearing on behalf of FPUC in the 01, 02, and 03 dockets, and on behalf of FPUC and Chesapeake, the consolidated companies, in the 04 docket.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MUNSON: Good afternoon, Commissioner. 1 Greg Munson also with the Gunster Law Firm here on 2 behalf of Florida City Gas in the 03 and 04 dockets. 3 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 4 MR. BREW: Good afternoon, Commissioner. I'm 5 James Brew. I'm here for White Springs Agricultural 6 7 Chemicals, PCS Phosphate in the 01, 2, and 7 dockets. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you. 8 9 MR. REHWINKEL: Good afternoon, Commissioner. Charles Rehwinkel with the Office of Public Counsel. 10 would like to enter an appearance in all dockets for 11 12 myself; Patricia Christensen; Stephanie Morse; J.R. 13 Kelly, the Public Counsel; and Erik Sayler. 14 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. MR. CAVROS: Good afternoon, Commissioner. 15 George Cavros appearing on behalf of Southern Alliance 16 17 for Clean Energy in the 07 docket. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 18 19 MR. WRIGHT: Good afternoon. Robert Scheffel Wright with the Gardner Law Firm appearing on behalf of 2.0 the Florida Retail Federation in the 001 docket, the 21 22 fuel docket. I'd also like to enter an appearance for 23 my law partner, John T. Lavia, III, in the 001 docket. 24 Thank you. 25 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.

MR. MOYLE: Jon Moyle on behalf of the Florida 1 Industrial Power Users Group, FIPUG. I'd like to enter 2 3 an appearance in the 01, 02, and 07 docket for myself and also for Karen Putnal with our firm. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. Staff. 5 MS. DUVAL: Margo Duval on behalf of 6 7 Commission staff in the 02 and 07 dockets. And I'd like to enter appearances for Wesley Taylor in the 03 8 9 docket, Stephanie Cuello in the 04 and 07 dockets, Suzanne Brownless and Danijela Janjic in the 01 docket, 10 and Charles Murphy in the 07 docket. 11 12 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. So with that 13 MS. HELTON: Did you want me to make an 14 appearance? 15 **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Oh, absolutely. 16 17 you. 18 MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton. I'm here as 19 your advisor for all of the dockets. And I'd also like 20 to make an appearance for our General Counsel, Keith 21 Hetrick. Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you very much. 23 Thank you for catching me there. 24 So the order of the dockets -- oh, I'm sorry. 25 MS. SPARKMAN: Sorry. If I may, I didn't have

a seat left, this is Paula Sparkman on behalf of Sebring 1 Gas on the 04 docket. Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. All 3 right. Is that everyone? All right. It seems like it 4 is. 5 6 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: And we're going to 7 proceed to 07. 8 9 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, before we proceed to 07 --10 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. 11 MR. REHWINKEL: -- might I ask your indulgence 12 for a few minutes for the Public Counsel to talk to FPL 13 about some matters that may avoid some issues that we 14 encountered here in the 01 docket? 15 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. So what do you 16 17 need, five or ten? 18 MR. REHWINKEL: About ten maybe. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So we'll take a 19 20 ten-minute recess. 21 MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you. 22 (Recess taken.) 23 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Hopefully 24 that time was helpful, and so we'll move into the 07 25 docket, which is our last docket of the day. Give

everyone a half a minute to settle in. Mr. Wright has 1 2 been excused. Ms. Keating, bye-bye. Okay. Moving into the 07 docket. Mr. Murphy, 3 are there any preliminary matters that we need to 4 address before we get to the Draft Prehearing Order? 5 MR. MURPHY: Just that since we last, you and 6 7 I last spoke, there has been some progress on stipulations that we'll address as we go through the 8 9 prehearing order. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you. 10 11 Does any party have a preliminary matter? 12 Okay. Seeing none, let's proceed through the 13 Draft Prehearing Order. We'll go through this pretty 14 quickly. I'll identify the sections. I want the parties to let me know if there are any corrections or 15 changes that need to be made. You know the drill. 16 17 Speak up if there are any changes or corrections that need to be made. 18 Section I, case background. 19 Section II, conduct of proceedings. 20 21 Section III, jurisdiction. 22 Section IV, procedure for handling 23 confidential information. 24 Section V, prefiled testimony and exhibits and

25

witnesses.

MR. MURPHY: Commissioner, staff will provide 1 a Comprehensive Exhibit List which will include all 2 3 prefiled exhibits and also include those exhibits staff wishes to include in the record. I believe that we've 4 already addressed the expert witness issue. I recommend 5 the same approach in this docket. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes, and we'll adopt the same approach. 8 9 MR. MURPHY: Okay. Should we move to Issue VI? 10 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes, order of witnesses. 11 MS. CANO: Commissioner Brisé, FPL would like 12 to address the order of its direct witnesses. They are 13 14 the first three listed there under witnesses. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. 15 MS. CANO: Such that the order would be: 16 17 First, Michael Sole; second, Keith Ferguson; and third, 18 Renae Deaton. 19 **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Okay. Any objections? 20 MR. REHWINKEL: No objection. 21 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay, seeing no 22 objection, then we'll, we'll accept that. Thank you. MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, on the issue of 23 24 the order of witnesses, I just thought that I would 25 state at the outset that the Public Counsel prefers, and

2.0

I think this may be FPL's preference too, is that we maintain the direct and rebuttal separation here. I think that the, the case and the way we're prepared to handle it is, is geared that way, and we would prefer to keep it that way. And I don't think there's been any contention that they should be combined.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. The only thing that could change that up, I suppose, if there's some natural event that could cause a difference and, you know, we need to sort of truncate the time, because we are still in hurricane season. So barring anything like that, I'm --

MR. REHWINKEL: Hopefully not, yes.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Hopefully not.

MR. REHWINKEL: And, of course, as events unfold, we have always worked with the parties and the Commission in that regard.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. Sure. Sure.

Okay. Anything else with regard to order of witnesses?

MR. MURPHY: Commissioner, if an agreement can be reached to stipulate any witnesses and excuse any witnesses, staff will confirm with each Commissioner that the witness can be excused. If no Commissioner has a question of the witnesses, witnesses may be excused

from the hearing and his or her testimony and exhibits 1 entered into the record as though read. We will 2 circulate a new Draft Prehearing Order as some of this 3 unfolds. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you. 5 Basic positions. 6 7 Issues and positions. MR. MURPHY: Commissioner, I believe that we 8 9 may have either a Type 1 or Type 2 stipulation of all 10 issues except for FPL's Issues 10A and 10E addressing Turkey Point. This would include a stipulation of the 11 12 record and excusal of witnesses. It might be helpful 13 for the parties if they could identify any issues that 14 they are not agreeable to taking a Type 1 or Type 2 stipulation on, and that way we would flesh out where 15 16 we are. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. I think that's 17 18 probably a good way to proceed. 19 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, just for clarity 20 of the record, I think Mr. Murphy said 10A and 10E, and 21 it's 10A through 10E. 22 MR. MURPHY: 10A through, yes. If I said 23 "and," I misspoke. 24 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Mr. Brew. 25 MR. BREW: Yes, Commissioner. PCS has no

posit
the D
stipu
Duke'

position on the FPL issues and is a Type 2 position on the Duke-related issues. So we would expect to stipulate to those issues. And unless those -- unless Duke's issues come up for some other reason, we'd asked to be excused.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you.

MR. REHWINKEL: I didn't have anything to add, but, I mean, we -- I think we are in a position of "No position" on all the issues except for the CCS issues, 10A through 10E. There may be fallout issues. We will evaluate. If there's anything that would be a fallout impact on another issue that we didn't anticipate, we will advise the staff by the close of business tomorrow. And we have given, provided a position to the staff on Issue 10G that says that we do not object to the proposal, the process that FPL has proposed. So we have placed the Commission and the company in a position of a Type 2 stipulation there, to the extent any of the other parties are in the same position we are. But we are not objecting to that process.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Murphy.

MR. MURPHY: Yes. Mr. Rehwinkel, I believe that in the proposed stipulations there were -- I can't

say the plural of this word -- asterisks next to the 1 2 issues that were fallouts from the Turkey Point -- you know, going through the generic issues. And would you 3 want to modify your position there or just -- because I 4 think you could -- you might be Type 1 on that if 5 you're -- because those include the fallout. 6 7 MR. REHWINKEL: We will take a look at it and let you know in short order. 8 9 MR. MURPHY: Thank you, sir. 10 MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FIPUG. 11 12 MR. MOYLE: And I assume, per the other 13 dockets, you know, 5:00 tomorrow, close of business tomorrow with respect to the issues. I don't think we 14 15 really have anything other than the Turkey Point cooling 16 canal issue. But if that courtesy would be extended, it 17 would be appreciated. 18 MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir, it's agreeable to 19 staff. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Perfect. 20 21 SACE, Mr. Cavros. 22 MR. CAVROS: Thank you, Commissioner. SACE is 23 prepared to take no position on all the issues with the 24 exception of Issues 10A through 10E. And in reference

to the proposed stipulation, there is an asterisk there

25

and there are specific numbers in those proposed stipulations. And I just want to confirm with staff that that doesn't indicate any kind of presumption that, that those numbers are the correct numbers as it, as it relates to Florida Power & Light.

MR. MURPHY: I believe that we're saying that they are the correct numbers subject to being trued up with any fallout from Turkey Point. And the, the stipulation is that those are the numbers, absent some change resulting from a ruling that's different than what FPL has proposed for Turkey Point.

MR. CAVROS: But there's no legal presumption embedded in that asterisk or in that stipulation in terms of the, the issues related to the cooling canal system.

MR. MURPHY: I'm not exactly sure what you're getting at. But it -- those numbers are subject to change. There's no presumption that those will be the ultimate numbers. Those numbers will -- may and may not move in accordance with what the Commission votes on 10A through 10E.

MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, if I could speak on this. I understand what Mr. Cavros is asking, and I think we would agree with where he's coming from is that to the extent these numbers include the dollars that are

being challenged related to the cooling canal system 1 issues on 10A through 10E, that does not create a 2 presumption that those are -- those impacts are correct 3 and that a party has to overcome a presumption to remove 4 5 them. MR. MURPHY: Mr. Rehwinkel, we're not 6 7 attempting to change the burden of proof in this case. And so if that's what you're going at, no, I don't 8 9 believe that that is what we're talking about. 10 MR. CAVROS: Okay. That answers my question. 11 Thank you. 12 Thank you. Now I understand it. MR. MURPHY: COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. Any 13 other parties? No? Perfect. 14 15 Mr. Murphy. MR. MURPHY: Are we ready to go through the 16 exhibit list? 17 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: If there is nothing else 18 with respect to issues and positions, yes, we're ready 19 to move forward with the exhibit list. 20 21 MR. MURPHY: Okay. Staff will prepare a 22 Comprehensive Exhibit List for the purpose of numbering 23 and identifying exhibits at hearing. Staff will provide

an updated exhibit list to the parties as soon as it's

24

25

possible.

There was some late discovery that staff may wish to add, and we will work this out as best we can. And to the extent that we need to bring it in at the hearing and not try to stipulate in advance, we'll do that.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. REHWINKEL: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner. Along that line, the Public Counsel and FPL had a conversation on the break that you afforded us that was helpful, and we, we have some discovery-related issues that we are awaiting the transcript of a deposition that was taken Monday, a lengthy one, and we expect to get that probably late Friday. We will engage in conversation with FPL and work on whether there are any additional documents that we would like to add to this. But we wouldn't be in a position to stipulate to this because we have an ongoing process that we're diligently pursuing, so -- just like what the staff is, is talking about.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MURPHY: And help me, Charles. On the not able to stipulate -- can you stipulate to where we are so far with the understanding that more may come, that this is not an exclusive list? Do you think we can get to that point?

MR. REHWINKEL: Well, it may not be before the 1 end of the week before we're able to do that. 2 3 MR. MURPHY: I got you. I got you. MR. REHWINKEL: That's what I'm saying is that 4 we're not -- we haven't identified anything 5 objectionable yet. But because there are potential 6 7 issues that may cascade through the list with respect to the cooling canal stuff, we just would -- you know, I 8 9 understand that there was a concern in the 01 docket that we should move speedily to do this and we're 10 trying. But there's, there's a few outstanding strings 11 12 that we've got to tie up. 13 MR. MURPHY: We're not in a hurry on it for 14 the whole thing. But to the extent that -- on other 15 issues, if witnesses are excused, we can stipulate to their exhibits and testimony and excuse the witnesses 16 for non-Turkey Point. You're not -- you don't have a 17 18 problem with that. MR. REHWINKEL: We're absolutely fine with 19 2.0 that. So we can stipulate to that portion of the 21 exhibit list today. 22 MR. MURPHY: Perfect. Perfect. 23 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. So are we 24 good in terms of the exhibit list? 25 Okay. Proposed stipulations.

MR. MURPHY: Commissioner, I believe that most 1 2 issues will be stipulated in this docket except for the 3 Turkey Point issues, and we'll circulate a new draft Prehearing Order reflecting the stipulations and 4 excusals for parties to review before -- and have any 5 concerns about before we bring it to you for final --6 7 yeah. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. Thank you. 8 9 Pending motions. MR. MURPHY: No pending motions. 10 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Pending 11 confidentiality motions. 12 13 MR. MURPHY: There are none. 14 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Post-hearing 15 procedures. MR. MURPHY: Most decisions may be possible 16 17 for -- appropriate for a bench decision. Briefs related 18 to any remaining issues will be due November 8th. Any issues that can be -- need to be briefed, staff 19 2.0 recommends no longer than 40 pages of briefs. 21 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 22 MS. CANO: Commissioner Brisé. 23 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes, ma'am. 24 MS. CANO: No issues with respect to the total 25 page limits. But for the very limited number of issues

that remain, FPL would ask that the word limit that's 1 set off by asterisks be increased to 100 words. 2 3 MR. MURPHY: To how many? MS. CANO: 100. 4 MR. MURPHY: Staff doesn't object. 5 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Objections from 6 7 the parties? MR. REHWINKEL: We would support that 8 9 ourselves. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. So for 10 11 this case we'll move it up to 100 -- for this docket 12 rather. 13 Okay. Rulings. All right. So opening 14 statements will be limited to five minutes. Briefs will 15 be 40 pages. MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, if we could ask 16 17 on opening statements, I think the Commission probably understands that this is a novel issue before the 18 19 Commission. It is one that's not an everyday type of issue before the Commission. It involves some level of 2.0 21 hydrogeological science, and there are two esteemed 22 experts, one on each side, that will be testifying. 23 There is some technical complexity to it. 24 FPL and the parties discussed on our break a

potential way to slice up the time, and we would ask --

25

I think FPL would be fine with ten minutes. And there 1 are three active parties: OPC, FIPUG, and SACE. 2 would be willing to divide up 15 minutes to -- among 3 ourselves based on a discussion that we would have, and 4 we would advise you at the time, if that would be 5 acceptable to you. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. If the parties are in agreement with that, that's acceptable to me. 8 9 Okay? So 10 and 15. Right? Perfect. And then briefs will be limited to 40 pages. 10 Okay. Are there any other matters that we 11 need to address in this Prehearing Conference? 12 MS. CANO: FPL has one other matter on the 07 13 14 docket. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. 15 MS. CANO: The Order Establishing Procedure 16 17 asks that if a party intends to use a demonstrative exhibit at the hearing, that they indicate their intent 18 19 to do so at the Prehearing Conference. FPL may be using prefiled Exhibit PF-A3 as a 20 21 demonstrative. 22 **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Okay. 23 MR. MOYLE: Say it again. MS. CANO: I'm sorry. PF-A3. 24 It was a 25 prefiled exhibit attached to Peter Andersen's testimony.

2.0

MR. REHWINKEL: And we have no objection to that. Likewise, Commissioner, we may be using the -it's a demonstrative, but it is also included in
Dr. Panday's testimony at page 4. It is a -- I think
it's a -- what they call a CESM view of the plume, and we may use that as an exhibit as well.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. REHWINKEL: A demonstrative exhibit.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. Sure. Any other parties that have demonstratives that they may use and wish to identify them?

Okay. Seeing none, thank you.

Are there any other matters that we need to address?

MR. CAVROS: Commissioner, just a clarifying process matter. I was looking at the case schedule and did not see a Special Agenda Conference scheduled for the Commission where they will consider the case, and I wanted to ask staff if, if there had been a date scheduled or one contemplated.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: That's a good question.

MS. HELTON: I'm not sure that a Special

Agenda has been contemplated for this. It will -- staff
will file a recommendation for a regularly scheduled
agenda, but I'm not sure at this point which one that

is.

MR. MURPHY: Nor am I.

Commissioner, I've just been handed the Commission calendar, and it looks like it would be the December 5th agenda. That would be when it would be considered.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. MURPHY: Time out.

(Pause.)

Tentatively we're planning to have it taken up at the December agenda, but we're still looking.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, for the record, the demonstrative 14B is the one I was referring to.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: 14B.

MR. REHWINKEL: It's similar to the page 4 illustration.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. Okay.

So I think staff -- I mean, I think everyone heard

tentatively the December agenda, which I believe is

probably on the 5th or something to that effect. And if

anything changes, I suppose once the, they sit with the

Chair and go through the calendar, then they'll make a

decision, a final decision with respect to that. Okay?

Yes, sir.

MR. REHWINKEL: And I'm not sure this is something that, that is ripe for discussion here today, but I just -- I thought I would raise the issue.

We're trying to make final travel plans for our expert witness to come down from Washington, and I know we have the Duke agreement up in the morning on the 25th, and then we would have all of these -- I guess there would be some administrative work to deal with the dockets that may not be contested. There's going to be a contested 01 proceeding on the SoBRAs. Is -- does the Commission tentatively have any idea about when the 07, the CCS issues would be taken up?

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: To be honest with you, I'm not certain.

MR. REHWINKEL: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: I'd have to communicate with the Chair's office to determine.

MR. REHWINKEL: I understand. I would just express a preference, and I understand that it's nothing more than that, that if we're going to spend most of the day dealing with Duke and then the non-CCS issues, it would be our strong preference to start the, the substance of the CCS issues on the succeeding day. We would have no problem making opening arguments if there was some time near the end of the day and maybe starting

afresh with all the witnesses and cross-examination. That is just a suggestion, and I know that it's completely at the discretion of the Commission, and more to the point, to the Chair. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. So I will convey that to the Chair. MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. Any other matters? No? Well, seeing no additional matters, this concludes the prehearing of the 07 docket and the prehearing conference is adjourned. Thank you very much. (Prehearing Conference adjourned at 3:19 p.m.)

STATE OF FLORIDA)	
	:	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
COUNTY OF LEON)	

I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.

IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED THIS 18th day of October, 2017.

LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR FPSC Official Hearings Reporter

(850) 413-6734

nda Boles