FILED 10/18/2017 DOCUMENT NO. 08903-2017 000001 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK 1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 In the Matter of: 3 DOCKET NO. 20170002-EG 4 ENERGY CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY CLAUSE. 5 6 7 8 9 PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE 10 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ PARTICIPATING: 11 PREHEARING OFFICER 12 DATE: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 13 Commenced at 1:31 p.m. TIME: Concluded at 1:47 p.m. 14 PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center 15 Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way 16 Tallahassee, Florida 17 REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter 18 (850) 413-6734 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

APPEARANCES:

J.R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL; CHARLES REHWINKEL,

DEPUTY PUBLIC COUNSEL; PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN, ERIK

SAYLER, and STEPHANIE A. MORSE, ESQUIRES, Office of

Public Counsel, c/o the Florida Legislature, 111 W.

Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida

32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the

State of Florida.

JAMES D. BEASLEY, J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, ESQUIRES, Ausley & McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

JEFFREY A. STONE, RUSSELL A. BADDERS, and STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, ESQUIRES, Beggs & Lane, P.O. Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

JON C. MOYLE, JR., and KAREN PUTNAL, ESQUIRES, Moyle Law Firm, P.A., 118 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

JAMES W. BREW, ESQUIRE, Stone Mattheis

Xenopoulos & Brew PC, 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW,

Eight Floor, West Tower, Washington, DC 20007, appearing
on behalf of White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.

d/b/a PCS Phosphate - White Springs.

APPEARANCES:

DIANNE M. TRIPLETT, ESQUIRE, 299 First Avenue
North, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701; and MATTHEW R.
BERNIER, ESQUIRE, 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800,
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7740, appearing on behalf of
Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

JOHN BUTLER, WADE LITCHFIELD, and KENNETH M. RUBIN, ESQUIRES, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420, on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Gunster Law Firm, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1839, appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

MARGO DUVAL, ESQUIRES, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

KEITH HETRICK, GENERAL COUNSEL; MARY ANNE HELTON,
DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, as Advisors to the Florida
Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
Tallahassee, Florida.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. We're going 2 3 to go ahead and call this Prehearing Conference to order. Today is October 11th, 2017, it is 1:31, I 4 believe, and this is 2017.

> And so we have five dockets that we have to cover today, and so with that, we're going to ask Ms. Margo Duval to read the notice.

> > MS. DUVAL: Thank you, Commissioner.

By notice issued September 27th, 2017, this time and place was set for a prehearing in the following dockets: 20170001-EI, 20170002-EG, 20170003-GU, 20170004-GU, and 20170007-EI. The purpose of the prehearing is set out in the notice.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. And so at this time, we'll take appearances, and staff has suggested, and I think it's a great suggestion, that we will take appearances for all of the dockets at one time. And so at this point, we'll take appearances.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Commissioner. John Butler appearing for Florida Power & Light Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. I'd also like to enter appearances for Wade Litchfield in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets, Ken Rubin in the 02 docket, Jessica Cano in the 07 docket, and Maria Moncada in the 01 docket.

you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.

MR. BERNIER: Good afternoon, Commissioner.

Matt Bernier for Duke Energy. I'll be entering an appearance in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. And I'd also like to enter an appearance for Dianne Triplett in those same dockets.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GRIFFIN: Good afternoon, Commissioner.

Steven Griffin with the law firm of Beggs & Lane. I'd like to enter an appearance for myself in the 01, 02, and 07 docket. Also appearing in those dockets are Jeffrey A. Stone, General Counsel for Gulf Power Company, and my partner, Russell A. Badders.

MR. BEASLEY: Good afternoon, Commissioner. Jim Beasley for Tampa Electric Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. I'd also like to enter an appearance for my partner Jeff Wahlen.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MS. KEATING: Good afternoon, Commissioner.

Beth Keating with the Gunster Law Firm. I'm here today appearing on behalf of FPUC in the 01, 02, and 03 dockets, and on behalf of FPUC and Chesapeake, the consolidated companies, in the 04 docket.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MUNSON: Good afternoon, Commissioner. 1 Greg Munson also with the Gunster Law Firm here on 2 behalf of Florida City Gas in the 03 and 04 dockets. 3 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 4 MR. BREW: Good afternoon, Commissioner. I'm 5 James Brew. I'm here for White Springs Agricultural 6 7 Chemicals, PCS Phosphate in the 01, 2, and 7 dockets. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you. 8 9 MR. REHWINKEL: Good afternoon, Commissioner. Charles Rehwinkel with the Office of Public Counsel. 10 would like to enter an appearance in all dockets for 11 12 myself; Patricia Christensen; Stephanie Morse; J.R. 13 Kelly, the Public Counsel; and Erik Sayler. 14 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. MR. CAVROS: Good afternoon, Commissioner. 15 George Cavros appearing on behalf of Southern Alliance 16 17 for Clean Energy in the 07 docket. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 18 19 MR. WRIGHT: Good afternoon. Robert Scheffel Wright with the Gardner Law Firm appearing on behalf of 2.0 the Florida Retail Federation in the 001 docket, the 21 22 fuel docket. I'd also like to enter an appearance for 23 my law partner, John T. Lavia, III, in the 001 docket. 24 Thank you. 25 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.

MR. MOYLE: Jon Moyle on behalf of the Florida 1 Industrial Power Users Group, FIPUG. I'd like to enter 2 3 an appearance in the 01, 02, and 07 docket for myself and also for Karen Putnal with our firm. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. Staff. 5 MS. DUVAL: Margo Duval on behalf of 6 7 Commission staff in the 02 and 07 dockets. And I'd like to enter appearances for Wesley Taylor in the 03 8 9 docket, Stephanie Cuello in the 04 and 07 dockets, Suzanne Brownless and Danijela Janjic in the 01 docket, 10 and Charles Murphy in the 07 docket. 11 12 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. So with that 13 MS. HELTON: Did you want me to make an 14 appearance? 15 **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Oh, absolutely. 16 17 you. 18 MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton. I'm here as 19 your advisor for all of the dockets. And I'd also like 20 to make an appearance for our General Counsel, Keith 21 Hetrick. Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you very much. 23 Thank you for catching me there. 24 So the order of the dockets -- oh, I'm sorry. 25 MS. SPARKMAN: Sorry. If I may, I didn't have

a seat left, this is Paula Sparkman on behalf of Sebring

Gas on the 04 docket. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. All right. Is that everyone? All right. It seems like it is.

So the order of dockets today is going to be the following: 02, 03, 04, 01, and 07. Okay. So starting with 02, are there any preliminary matters that we must address regarding the draft prehearing order?

MS. DUVAL: Yes, Commissioner. If stipulations can be reached in the next few days, the Prehearing Order will need to be modified to reflect such agreements. If such agreements are reached, staff proposes to circulate an amended draft before an order is issued. Other than that, there are no other preliminary matters that staff is aware of.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Does any party have any preliminary matter that we need to address?

Okay. Going once, twice, three times. Seems that there's none. Thank you.

So we're now going to proceed through the Draft Prehearing Order. I'll identify the sections, and I want you, the parties, to let me know if there are any corrections, changes to be made. We may go through this a bit quickly, so please speak up so that we can make

corrections or changes as necessary.

Okay. Section I, case background.

Okay. Section II, conduct of proceedings.

Section III, jurisdiction.

Section IV, procedure for handling

confidential information.

Section V, prefiled testimony and exhibits.

MS. DUVAL: Yes, Commissioner. In its

prehearing statement, FIPUG objects to a witness being

considered an expert witness unless the witness

affirmatively states the subject matter areas in which

he or she is claiming expertise and voir dire, if

requested, is permitted.

However, Section 6A8 of the Order Establishing Procedure requires that a party shall identify each witness the party wishes to voir dire as well as state with specificity the portions of that witness's prefiled testimony by page and line number and/or exhibits by page and line number to which the party objects.

If the party fails to identify the portions of the prefiled testimony or exhibits to which it objects in their prehearing statement, the party is not allowed to conduct voir dire at the hearing absent a showing of good cause. And the good cause exemption, as written in the OEP, does not apply to the identification of a

witness. If a party does not identify the witness it wishes to voir dire, it waives its right to voir dire.

So in its prehearing statement, FIPUG has not identified the witnesses that it wishes to voir dire; therefore, it waives the right to do so since it has not complied with the OEP. And since FIPUG has not complied with the OEP, it should not be allowed to challenge the expertise of any witness. So at this time staff would request that a ruling to that effect be made.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. I'm trying to see if FIPUG has anything that it needs to say at this time. Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: We didn't have extensive plans to participate in this docket in any event, but we'll just stand by our position. I think, I think as a matter of due process, somebody should indicate in advance if they're filing testimony, "I'm testifying as an expert in this subject or that subject," so that all people and parties and the general public is on notice in advance. So, you know, we'll just maintain the positions that we've taken in our, in our pleadings.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. MOYLE: And I can say the same, you know, if this, if this is brought up in all the other dockets, I can say, "See above."

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Perfect. So, so with that -- how do I phrase this properly? So we expect that you won't be challenging or be doing voir dire during the process, and if you do so, you will not be complying with the OEP. Okay? Is that clear enough?

MS. HELTON: (Nods affirmatively.)

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Section VI, order of witnesses.

MS. DUVAL: Yes, Commissioner. Staff is hopeful that all issues with the parties can be stipulated and witnesses excused in this docket. Staff will continue to work toward this end.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Section VII, basic positions. All right. So then I need to go through the numbers here; right?

MS. DUVAL: Commissioner, for Section VIII for issues and positions in the 02 docket, I think that we probably don't need to go through the individual issues. I'm prepared to give you a broad statement on behalf of staff regarding the positions taken.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. DUVAL: The Order Establishing Procedure requires that a party take a position at the Prehearing Conference unless good cause is shown as to why that party cannot take a position at this time. Accordingly,

if a party's position in the Draft Prehearing Order is currently no position at this time, which we do have some of those, that party can change its position or show good cause why it cannot take a position.

Otherwise, the Prehearing Order will reflect, will reflect no position for that party for that issue.

Staff would also suggest that the parties who have not yet taken a position or wish to change their position be allowed to submit that position in writing no later than close of business tomorrow, Thursday, October 12th.

In addition, FIPUG has stated the following for all issues: "The petitioner has the burden of proof and must carry its burden." However, this is not a statement of position; it's a statement of the evidentiary burden of proof. So in order to be consistent with the OEP, FIPUG must take a position by close of business tomorrow, October 12th, on these issues or show good cause why it cannot do so or have its position changed to no position.

A no position on these issues will prohibit FIPUG from cross-examining witnesses with regard to those issues, and that's pursuant to the OEP in Section 6C on page 7.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So now we're going

to go through the issues, and so we trust that all the parties will take a position, adopt their current position, modify their position. And if no positions are provided by the 12th, I think, then it is essentially no position and, therefore, cross-examination won't be allowed on those issues.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, I can announce today that OPC is planning on taking no position on all issues.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. All right. Perfect. So if, if the other parties want to announce which positions on which issues they are taking no positions and to make it more efficient, please do so.

MR. BREW: Commissioner, PCS had taken several "agrees with OPC" positions on the issues, and I will talk to staff before the end of tomorrow. My one concern, and this applies to several of the dockets, is that there are issues posed in the proposed Duke settlement that affect a number of the clause issues. And so I'll try to work through whether or not we can actually stipulate those issues when, in fact, the settlement hasn't been addressed yet.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. Okay.

Anyone else? All right. Thank you. So we're clear,

any "no position" will prohibit cross-examination on 1 that issue. 2 3 Okay. Section IX, exhibit list. MS. DUVAL: Staff notes that it has prepared a 4 Comprehensive Exhibit List which includes all prefiled 5 exhibits and also includes those exhibits staff wishes 6 7 to include in the record. And we will check with the parties prior to the hearing to determine if there are 8 9 any objections to the Comprehensive Exhibit List or any of staff's exhibits being entered into the record. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. 12 Proposed stipulations. MS. DUVAL: There are no proposed stipulations 13 14 at this time. But staff is hopeful that stipulations can be reached, and we'll continue working toward that 15 16 end. 17 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Pending motions. 18 MS. DUVAL: There are no pending motions. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Pending 19 confidentiality motions. 2.0 21 MS. DUVAL: There are no pending 22 confidentiality motions. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Post-hearing brief 23 24 procedures. 25 MS. DUVAL: Although staff believes that the

wanted to just note a few post-hearing procedures. If issues are stipulated and parties agree to waive briefs, the Commission may make a bench decision for this portion of the docket. And if there are any issues to be briefed, the staff recommends post-hearing briefs be no longer than 40 pages.

issues in this docket may be able to be stipulated, we

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Do we think we need 40 pages?

MR. BUTLER: I'm hopeful we don't need any pages, but it kind of depends on what the scope of the issues is. I'd like to leave it at the 40 pages just in case.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Any other parties? Everyone is fine with 40 pages? Okay. So are all parties in agreement with respect to the post-hearing procedures? Yes. Okay. Thank you.

MS. DUVAL: And staff would also note that if there are going to be briefs in this docket, that it would be due on November 6th, 2017.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So opening statements for -- rulings, I guess. We'll do opening -- addressing opening statements. If there are any, they shall be limited to about three minutes per party, unless parties choose to waive opening statements. And

briefs shall be limited to 40 pages. Okay? Other matters. MS. DUVAL: That are no other matters that staff is aware of, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Since there are no other matters, we thank you, and so we adjourn this docket. (Proceeding adjourned at 1:47 p.m.)

STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON)
I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
DATED THIS 18th day of October, 2017.
LINDA BOLES COR DOD
LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR FPSC Official Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734