FILED 12/4/2017 DOCUMENT NO. 10307-2017 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS: JULIE I. BROWN, CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM RONALD A. BRISÉ DONALD J. POLMANN GARY F. CLARK



DIVISION OF ECONOMICS GREG SHAFER DIRECTOR (850) 413-6410

Public Service Commission

December 4, 2017

Joel Baker, Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408 joel.baker@fpl.com STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST

via E-mail

Re: Docket No. 20170216-EI: Petition for approval of curtailable service tariff modifications, by Florida Power & Light Company.

Dear Mr. Baker,

By this letter and pursuant to Section 366.04(2), Florida Statutes, Commission staff respectfully requests the following information from Florida Power & Light Company (Company).

- 1. In the petition, the Company stated that the ECCR impact of all 16 eligible customers transferring to the CDR would be 0.0008 cents per kWh. Please provide the Company's calculations, assumptions, and results for this figure.
- 2. Does the CDR remain cost-effective with the addition of these 16 potential customers? Please provide the Company's calculations, assumptions, and results for each of the three cost-effectiveness models.
- 3. Will the CS remain cost-effective if these customers transfer? Please provide the Company's assumptions, calculations and results for all three cost-effectiveness models.
- 4. Based on the petition and the Company's answers to staff's first data request, it can be inferred that there is a subgroup of customers who qualify for CS but not the CDR.
 - a. What non-firm rate options are available to new customers who do not qualify for the CDR once the CS is closed (assuming Commission approval)?
 - b. To what does the Company attribute the lack of interest in the CS tariff with customers who do not qualify for the CDR since 2010?
- 5. Please state the number of load control events under the CS in the last five years.

PSC Website: http://www.floridapsc.com

Internet E-mail: contact@psc.state.fl.us

- 6. Please provide a description of what triggers the Company to request or implement a load control event under both the CS and CDR.
- 7. In response to staff's first data request (No. 3), the Company stated that there have been no load control events under the CDR during the past five years. What factors have influenced the Company's limited use of this option?
- 8. In regard to the seven customers interested in transferring to the CDR, were both the CS and CDR available at the time of their enrollment? What differences may have led to a customer who originally chose CS to desire a transfer to the CDR at this time?

Please file all responses electronically no later than December 11, 2017, via the Commission's website at www.floridapsc.com by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web form. Please feel free to call me at 850-413-6701 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/Charles Morgan II

Charles Morgan II Public Utility Analyst I cmorgan@psc.state.fl.us

cc: Office of Commission Clerk