AUSLEY MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302) TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

July 25, 2018

VIA: ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Carlotta S. Stauffer Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Environmental Cost Recovery Clause FPSC Docket No. 20180007-EI

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Attached for filing in the above docket, on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, is the following:

- 1. Petition of Tampa Electric Company.
- 2. Prepared Direct Testimony and Exhibits (PAR-2) and (PAR-3) of Penelope A. Rusk regarding Environmental Cost Recovery Actual/Estimated True-up for the period January 2018 through December 2018.
- 3. Prepared Direct Testimony of Paul L. Carpinone regarding Environmental Cost Recovery Actual/Estimated True-up for the period January 2018 through December 2018.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

James D. Beasley

JDB/pp Attachment

cc: All Parties of Record (w/attachment)

FILED 7/25/2018 DOCUMENT NO. 04871-2018 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petition and Testimonies, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by electronic mail on this 25th day of July 2018 to the following:

Mr. Charles W. Murphy Office of the General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 <u>cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us</u>

Mr. Matthew R. Bernier Duke Energy Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Ms. Dianne M. Triplett Duke Energy Florida, Inc. 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701 dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Mr. John T. Butler Assistant General Counsel - Regulatory Ms. Maria J. Moncada Senior Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard (LAW/JB) Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 john.butler@fpl.com maria.moncada@fpl.com

Mr. Kenneth Hoffman Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1858 ken.hoffman@fpl.com Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone VP, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary Gulf Power Company One Energy Place. Bin 1000 Pensacola, FL 32520-0100 jastone@southernco.com

Mr. Russell A. Badders Mr. Steven R. Griffin Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591 <u>rab@beggslane.com</u> <u>srg@beggslane.com</u>

Ms. Rhonda J. Alexander Regulatory, Forecasting & Pricing Manager Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780 rjalexad@southernco.com

Mr. J. R. Kelly Ms. Patricia Christensen Mr. Charles Rehwinkel Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street – Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

Mr. Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Moyle Law Firm 118 N. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Mr. James W. Brew Ms. Laura A. Wynn Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007-5201 jbrew@smxblaw.com laura.wynn@smxblaw.com

Mr. George Cavros Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 120 E. Oakland Park Blvd., Suite 105 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334 george@carvos-law.com

Ms. Dori Jaffe 50 F. Street, NW, Eighth Floor Washington, DC 20001 dori.jaffe@sierraclub.org

Ms. Diana Csank 50 F. Street, NW, Eighth Floor Washington, DC 20001 diana.csank@sierraclub.org

OBer (

ATTORNEY

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental Cost Recovery Clause.)

)

)

DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI

FILED: July 25, 2018

PETITION OF TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "company"), hereby petitions the Commission for approval of the company's actual/estimated environmental cost recovery true-up amount for the period January 2018 through December 2018, and in support thereof, says:

Environmental Cost Recovery

1. Tampa Electric projects an actual/estimated true-up amount for the January 2018 through December 2018 period, which is based on actual data for the period January 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018 and revised estimates for the period July 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018, to be an over-recovery of \$13,471,786. (See Exhibit No. PAR-2, Document No. 1, Schedule 42-1E)

2. For reasons more fully detailed in the Prepared Direct Testimony of witness Penelope A. Rusk and Paul L. Carpinone, the environmental compliance costs sought to be approved for cost recovery proposed in this petition are consistent with the provisions of Section 366.8255, Florida Statutes, and with prior rulings by the Commission with respect to environmental compliance cost recovery for Tampa Electric and other investor-owned utilities.

3. Tampa Electric is not aware of any disputed issues of material fact regarding any of the matters stated or relief requested in this petition.

WHEREFORE, Tampa Electric Company requests this Commission's approval of the company's actual/estimated environmental cost recovery true-up calculations for the period January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018.

DATED this 25th day of July 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

Am Volen Ly

JAMES D. BEASLEY J. JEFFRY WAHLEN Ausley McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 (850) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petition, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by electronic mail on this 25th day of July 2018 to the following:

Mr. Charles W. Murphy Office of the General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us

Mr. Matthew R. Bernier Duke Energy Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Ms. Dianne M. Triplett Duke Energy Florida, Inc. 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701 dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Mr. John T. Butler Assistant General Counsel - Regulatory Ms. Maria Moncada Senior Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard (LAW/JB) Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 john.butler@fpl.com maria.moncada@fpl.com

Mr. Kenneth Hoffman Vice President, Regulatory Relations Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1858 ken.hoffman@fpl.com Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone VP, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary Gulf Power Company One Energy Place. Bin 1000 Pensacola, FL 32520-0100 jastone@southernco.com

Mr. Russell A. Badders Mr. Steven R. Griffin Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591 <u>rab@beggslane.com</u> <u>srg@beggslane.com</u>

Ms. Rhonda J. Alexander Regulatory, Forecasting & Pricing Manager Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780 rjalexad@southernco.com

Mr. J. R. Kelly Ms. Patricia Christensen Mr. Charles Rehwinkel Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street – Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

Mr. Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Moyle Law Firm 118 N. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Mr. James W. Brew Ms. Laura A. Wynn Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007-5201 jbrew@smxblaw.com laura.wynn@smxblaw.com

Mr. George Cavros Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 120 E. Oakland Park Blvd., Suite 105 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334 george@carvos-law.com

Ms. Dori Jaffe 50 F. Street, NW, Eighth Floor Washington, DC 20001 dori.jaffe@sierraclub.org

Ms. Diana Csank 50 F. Street, NW, Eighth Floor Washington, DC 20001 diana.csank@sierraclub.org

ATTORNEY ATTORNEY



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI IN RE: TAMPA ELECTRIC'S ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY

ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP JANUARY 2018 THROUGH DECEMBER 2018

TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

OF

PENELOPE A. RUSK

FILED: JULY 25, 2018

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI FILED: 07/25/2018

	l	
1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		PENELOPE A. RUSK
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, address, occupation and employer.
7		
8	A.	My name is Penelope A. Rusk. My business address is 702
9		North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am employed
10		by Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "company")
11		in the position of Manager, Rates in the Regulatory
12		Affairs department.
13		
14	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony in Docket No.
15		20180007-EI?
16		
17	A.	Yes, I submitted direct testimony on April 2, 2018.
18		
19	Q.	Has your job description, education, or professional
20		experience changed since then?
21		
22	A.	No.
23		
24	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
25		
	l	

The purpose of my testimony is to present, for Commission 1 Α. review and approval, the calculation of the January 2018 2 3 through December 2018 actual/estimated true-up amount to be refunded or recovered through the Environmental Cost 4 Recovery Clause ("ECRC") during the period January 2019 5 2019. My testimony addresses through December 6 the recovery of capital and operations and maintenance 7 ("O&M") costs associated with environmental compliance 8 activities for 2018, based on six months of actual data 9 and six months of estimated data. This information will 10 be used in the determination of the environmental cost 11 recovery factors for January 2019 through December 2019. 12 13 14 Q. Have you prepared exhibits that show the recoverable environmental costs for the actual/estimated period of 15 January 2018 through December 2018? 16 17 Exhibit 18 Α. Yes, Ι prepared two exhibits. No. PAR-2, containing nine documents, prepared under 19 was my 20 direction and supervision. Ιt includes Forms 42-1E 42-9E, which 21 through show the current period actual/estimated true-up amount to be used in calculating 22 23 the cost recovery factors for January 2019 through December 2019. Exhibit No. PAR-3, which contains seven 24 documents, includes selected schedules without the costs 25

of Tampa Electric's two new proposed ECRC projects for 1 compliance with the Effluent Limitations Guidelines 2 ("ELG") Rule and Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act. 3 4 5 Q. What has Tampa Electric calculated as the actual/estimated true-up for the current period to be 6 applied. 7 8 The actual/estimated true-up applicable for the current Α. 9 period, January 2018 through December 2018, is an over-10 \$13,471,786. 11 recovery of А detailed calculation supporting the true-up amount is shown on Forms 42-1E 12 through 42-9E of my exhibit. 13 14 Is Tampa Electric including costs in the actual/estimated 15 Ο. true-up filing for any new environmental projects that 16 were not anticipated and included in its 2018 ECRC 17 factors? 18 19 20 Α. Yes, Tampa Electric included costs associated with the company's compliance with Section 316(b) of the Clean 21 Water Act. The company's petition for approval to recover 22 23 such costs through the ECRC was filed on April 26, 2018. In addition, new costs for compliance with the ELG Rule 24 are included. The company's petition for approval to 25

recover such costs through the ECRC was filed on May 9, 2018. The respective petitions explain the need for the projects and the regulations requiring those activities. The testimony of Tampa Electric witness Paul L. Carpinone submitted concurrently in this docket also supports these projects.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q. What depreciation rates were utilized for the capital projects contained in the 2018 actual/estimated true-up?

11 Α. Tampa Electric utilized the depreciation rates approved in Order No. PSC-2012-0175-PAA-EI, issued on April 3, 12 2012, in Docket No. 20110131-EI, with two exceptions. For 13 14 the Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade and Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade projects, the company has 15 utilized depreciation rates calculated to recover the 16 remaining net investment balances of these now-retired 17 assets from July 2018 through December 2021, 18 which represents a five-year period from the date of their 19 20 retirement on December 31, 2016. Tampa Electric requests approval for this treatment as it is consistent with 21 Commission-approved treatment for other assets retired 22 23 before the end of their projected depreciable life over a five-year period from the date of retirement. For 24 example, the accelerated recovery of the remaining net 25

investment balance of the Gannon Ignition Oil Tank project over a five-year period was authorized by Commission Order No. PSC-2000-2391-FOF-EI, issued December 13, 2000 in Docket No. 2000007-EI.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Q. Why were the assets of the Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade and Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade projects retired earlier than expected?

retired December 31, 2016 after Α. The assets were 10 an 11 analysis of the expenses to maintain them and consideration of the low utilization of oil at the station 12 after the Big Bend igniters on Units 1 through 4 were 13 14 converted to natural gas operation. In 2016, the maintenance cost to bring the 4.5 million-gallon tank 15 system to current standards was estimated at \$1.5 million. 16 Annual monitoring and reporting costs were approximately 17 \$50,000 to \$75,000. In light of these substantial costs 18 and the fact that oil use at the station was greatly 19 20 reduced after the igniters conversion in 2015, so that a large amount of oil storage was no longer needed, Tampa 21 Electric retired the assets. With the retirement, Tampa 22 23 Electric was no longer required to fill the tank with now-unneeded amounts of No. 2 fuel oil at the start of 24 each hurricane season to prevent the tank from floating 25

in the event of storm related flooding. Finally, retiring 1 the tank avoided the continued environmental costs and 2 3 risks of managing a tank of this size in proximity to the waters of the State. 4 5 What capital structure, components and cost rates did 6 Q. Electric rely on to calculate the 7 Tampa revenue requirement rate of return for January 2018 through 8 December 2018? 9 10 11 Α. Tampa Electric's revenue requirement rate of return for January 2018 through December 2018 is calculated based on 12 the capital structure, components and current period cost 13 14 rates as approved in Order No. PSC-2012-0425-PAA-EU, issued on August 16, 2012 in Docket No. 20120007-EI. The 15 calculation of the revenue requirement rate of return is 16 shown on Form 42-9E. 17 18 Has Tampa Electric adjusted the revenue requirements of Q. 19 20 its ECRC capital projects to reflect the lower tax rate of 21 percent in the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 ("TCJA")? 21 22 23 Α. Yes, the company updated the tax multiplier utilized in 24 the determination of the equity component of the revenue requirement rate of return, shown on Form 42-9E, Document 25

	1	
1		No. 9 of my Exhibit No. PAR-2.
2		
3	Q.	Did the company apply the lower tax rate in the
4		calculation of revenue requirements for its ECRC capital
5		projects for the period January 2018 through December
6		2018?
7		
8	A.	Yes. Tampa Electric calculated the new tax multiplier and
9		revised rate of return in early 2018 and began applying
10		the rate to the monthly ECRC net investment balances in
11		May 2018. The company calculated an adjustment to reflect
12		revenue requirements with the lower tax rate for the
13		months of January 2018 through April 2018 and booked the
14		adjustment, including interest, in May 2018. This tax
15		adjustment effectively identified and recorded the
16		difference in the amount of allowed cost recovery for
17		environmental projects due to the lower tax rate as an
18		over-recovery for the first four months of 2018 that will
19		be considered as part of the company's projected overall
20		over- or under-recovery for the year.
21		
22		Form 42-8E, which is included as Document No. 8 of Exhibit
23		No. PAR-2, shows the calculation of the adjusted monthly
24		revenue requirements for capital projects using the lower
25		tax rate and revised rate of return for the January
	I	7

1		through December 2018 period.
2		
3	Q.	Will the company account for the flowback of excess
4		accumulated deferred income taxes associated with
5		environmental projects in this docket or as part of Docket
6		No. 20180045-EI, which addresses the overall impact of
7		the TCJA on the company?
8		
9	A.	The flowback of excess accumulated deferred income taxes
10		associated with environmental projects recovered through
11		the environmental cost recovery clause is being addressed
12		in Docket No. 20180045-EI and does not need to be
13		considered in this docket.
14		
15	Q.	How did the actual/estimated project expenditures for the
16		January 2018 through December 2018 period compare with
17		the company's original projections?
18		
19	A.	As shown on Form 42-4E, total O&M costs are expected to
20		be \$9,400,732 less than the amount that was originally
21		projected. The total capital expenditures itemized on
22		Form 42-6E, are expected to be \$4,523,197 less than
23		originally projected. Significant variances for O&M costs
24		and capital project amounts are explained below.
25		
l	I	8

•

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

O&M Project Variances

O&M expense projections related to planned maintenance 2 3 work are typically spread across the period in question. However, the company always inspects the units to ensure 4 5 that the maintenance is needed, before beginning work. need varies according to the actual usage and 6 The associated "wear and tear" on the units. If inspection 7 indicates that the maintenance is not yet needed or if 8 additional work is needed, then the company will have a 9 variance compared to the projection. When inspections 10 11 indicate that work is not needed now, that maintenance expense will be incurred in a future period when warranted 12 by the condition of the unit. 13

- Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization ("FGD") Integration: The Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration Project variance is estimated to be \$2,529,108 or 57.2 percent less than projected due to greater operation on natural gas, compared to the original projection. This reduces the expected need for consumables and maintenance.
- Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD: The Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD
 project variance is estimated to be \$1,629,196 or 74.1
 percent less than projected. The variance is due to
 lower costs for consumables and maintenance than

expected as the units burned natural gas. 1 2 3 • Big Bend PM Minimization & Monitoring: The Big Bend PM Minimization & Monitoring Project variance is estimated 4 5 to be \$204,721 or 33.5 percent lower than projected. This variance is due to less maintenance being required 6 than expected, after inspection. 7 8 Big Bend NO_x Emissions Reduction: The Big Bend NO_x 9 Emissions Reduction project variance is \$60,263 or 43.4 10 11 percent less than projected. This variance is due to the operation of Big Bend Units 1 & 2 on natural gas. 12 13 Reduction 14 Bayside Selective Catalytic ("SCR") Consumables: The Bayside SCR Consumables 15 project variance is estimated to be \$92,779 or 45.5 percent 16 less than projected. This variance is due to less total 17 run time estimated for Bayside Station units, compared 18 to the original projection, resulting in less ammonia 19 20 consumption. 21 Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study Program: 22 23 The Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study Program project variance is \$246,842 or 76.9 percent 24 less than projected. The National Pollutant Discharge 25

Elimination System ("NPDES") permit renewal for Big Bend Station has not yet been finalized. The variance is related to uncertainty regarding the timing of the final requirements and reporting that must be submitted once the permit is finalized.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- **Big Bend Unit 1 SCR**: The Big Bend Unit 1 SCR project variance is \$1,147,483 or 76.6 percent less than originally projected. This variance is due to operation of the unit on natural gas, which reduced the unit's need for consumables and maintenance work, compared to the original projection.
- Big Bend Unit 2 SCR: The Big Bend Unit 2 SCR project variance is \$1,268,864 or 77.8 percent less than originally projected. This variance is due to operation of the unit on natural gas, which reduced the use of consumables and need for maintenance work, compared to the original projection.
- Big Bend Unit 3 SCR: The Big Bend Unit 3 SCR project
 variance is \$141,390 or 8.3 percent less than
 projected. This variance is due to greater operation
 on natural gas, compared to the original projection.

• **Big Bend Unit 4 SCR**: The Big Bend Unit 4 SCR project variance is \$410,017 or 38.6 percent less than projected. This variance is due to less total run time estimated when compared to the original projection.

1

2

3

4

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Mercury Air Toxics Standards: The Mercury Air Toxics
 Standards project variance is \$206,622 or 89.4 percent
 less than projected. Both Polk and Big Bend Power
 Stations achieved Low Emitting Electric Generating Unit
 status in 2017. As a result, monitoring is not required
 at this time, only periodic testing, and the costs were
 lower than originally projected.

- **Big Bend ELG Rule Study**: The Big Bend ELG Study project variance is \$54,007 greater than projected. This variance is due to a delay in completing the study, compared to the original projection. The study has now been completed.
- CCR Rule Phase II: The Big Bend Coal Combustion
 Residual ("CCR") Rule Phase II project variance is
 \$1,367,762 or 22.3 percent less than projected. This
 variance is due to timing differences in the project
 schedule when compared to the original projection.
 Dewatering activities, which must occur before the CCR

disposal, have occurred more slowly than originally projected. The project expenditures are still needed and will be incurred in the future.

Capital Project Variances

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

There were significant capital variances for the projects listed below, each of which was due to the TCJA tax rate change from 35 percent to 21 percent.

- Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization ("FGD") Integration
- Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD
 - BIG Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization
 - Big Bend NOx Emissions Reduction
 - Big Bend Particulate Matter Minimization
- Big Bend Unit 1 SCR
- Big Bend Unit 2 SCR
- Big Bend Unit 3 SCR
 - Big Bend Unit 4 SCR
- Big Bend FGD System Reliability
 - Mercury Air Toxics Standards
 - Big Bend Gypsum Storage Facility
 - CCR Rule Phase I
- As I stated earlier, Tampa Electric updated the tax multiplier utilized in the determination of the equity

	1	
1		component of the revenue requirement rate of return and
2		applied the lower tax rate in the calculation of revenue
3		requirements for the ECRC capital projects for the period
4		January 2018 through December 2018.
5		
6	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?
7		
8	A.	Yes, it does.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	I	14

DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI ECRC 2018 ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP EXHIBIT NO. PAR-2

INDEX

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP AMOUNT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 2018 THROUGH DECEMBER 2018

FORMS 42-1E THROUGH 42-9E

DOCUMENT NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	FORM 42-1E	16
2	FORM 42-2E	17
3	FORM 42-3E	18
4	FORM 42-4E	19
5	FORM 42-5E	20
6	FORM 42-6E	21
7	FORM 42-7E	22
8	FORM 42-8E	23
9	FORM 42-9E	52

Form 42 - 1E Tampa Electric Company **Environmental Cost Recovery Clause** Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018 (in Dollars) Period Line Amount 1. Over/(Under) Recovery for the Current Period \$13,259,531 (Form 42-2E, Line 5) 2. Interest Provision (Form 42-2E, Line 6) 212,255 3. Sum of Current Period Adjustments (Form 42-2E, Line 10) 0 4. Current Period True-Up Amount to be Refunded/(Recovered) in the Projection Period January 2019 to December 2019 \$13,471,786 (Lines 1 + 2 + 3)

DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI ECRC 2018 ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP EXHIBIT NO. PAR-2, DOCUMENT NO. 1, PAGE 1 OF 1

Current Period True-Up Amount (in Dollars)

Line	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
 ECRC Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes) True-Up Provision ECRC Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2) 	\$5,299,826 508,445 5,808,271	\$4,794,184 508,445 5,302,629	\$4,754,839 508,445 5,263,284	\$4,804,461 508,445 5,312,906	\$5,074,853 508,445 5,583,298	\$5,873,006 508,445 6,381,451	\$6,540,375 508,445 7,048,820	\$6,493,000 508,445 7,001,445	\$6,689,809 508,445 7,198,254	\$5,928,024 508,445 6,436,469	\$4,939,446 508,445 5,447,891	\$4,863,661 508,449 5,372,110	\$66,055,485 6,101,344 72,156,829
 Jurisdictional ECRC Costs O & M Activities (Form 42-5E, Line 9) Capital Investment Projects (Form 42-7E, Line 9) Total Jurisdictional ECRC Costs 	1,874,870 3,891,399 5,766,269	2,166,060 3,881,399 6,047,459	1,373,137 3,871,500 5,244,637	959,540 3,861,963 4,821,503	1,185,543 3,853,761 5,039,304	743,043 3,845,686 4,588,729	405,177 3,837,676 4,242,853	403,175 3,832,830 4,236,005	395,441 3,832,706 4,228,147	910,226 3,832,257 4,742,483	1,021,725 3,827,035 4,848,760	1,269,328 3,821,820 5,091,148	12,707,265 46,190,032 58,897,297
5. Over/Under Recovery (Line 3 - Line 4c)	42,002.00	(744,830)	18,647	491,403	543,994.00	1,792,722.00	2,805,967.00	2,765,440.00	2,970,107.00	1,693,986.00	599,131.00	280,962	13,259,531
6. Interest Provision (Form 42-3E, Line 10)	9,356	8,341	8,197	8,382	8,410	9,750	14,605	20,780	25,636	30,994	33,941	33,863	212,255
 Beginning Balance True-Up & Interest Provision ¹ a. Deferred True-Up from January to December 2018 (Order No. PSC-2018-0014-FOF-EI) 	6,101,344 1,498,666	5,644,257 1,498,666	4,399,323 1,498,666	3,917,722 1,498,666	3,909,062 1,498,666	3,953,021 1,498,666	5,247,048 1,498,666	7,559,175 1,498,666	9,836,950 1,498,666	12,324,248 1,498,666	13,540,783 1,498,666	13,665,410 1,498,666	6,101,344 1,498,666
8. True-Up Collected/(Refunded) (see Line 2)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,449)	(6,101,344)
9. End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 5+6+7+7a+8)	7,142,923	5,897,989	5,416,388	5,407,728	5,451,687	6,745,714	9,057,841	11,335,616	13,822,914	15,039,449	15,164,076	14,970,452	14,970,452
10. Adjustment to Period True-Up Including Interest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11. End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 9 + 10) *	\$7,142,923	\$5,897,989	\$5,416,388	\$5,407,728	\$5,451,687	\$6,745,714	\$9,057,841	\$11,335,616	\$13,822,914	\$15,039,449	\$15,164,076	\$14,970,452	\$14,970,452

Form 42 - 2E

Interest Provision (in Dollars)

Line	-	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2E, Line 7 + 7a + 10)	\$7,600,010	\$7,142,923	\$5,897,989	\$5,416,388	\$5,407,728	\$5,451,687	\$6,745,714	\$9,057,841	\$11,335,616	\$13,822,914	\$15,039,449	\$15,164,076	
2.	Ending True-Up Amount Before Interest	7,133,567	5,889,648	5,408,191	5,399,346	5,443,277	6,735,964	9,043,236	11,314,836	13,797,278	15,008,455	15,130,135	14,936,589	
3.	Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2)	14,733,577	13,032,571	11,306,180	10,815,734	10,851,005	12,187,651	15,788,950	20,372,677	25,132,894	28,831,369	30,169,584	30,100,665	
4.	Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2)	7,366,789	6,516,286	5,653,090	5,407,867	5,425,503	6,093,826	7,894,475	10,186,339	12,566,447	14,415,685	15,084,792	15,050,333	
5.	Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Business Month)	1.58%	1.46%	1.62%	1.86%	1.85%	1.86%	1.98%	2.45%	2.45%	2.45%	2.70%	2.70%	
6.	Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Business Month)	1.46%	1.62%	1.86%	1.85%	1.86%	1.98%	2.45%	2.45%	2.45%	2.70%	2.70%	2.70%	
7.	Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6)	3.04%	3.08%	3.48%	3.71%	3.71%	3.84%	4.43%	4.90%	4.90%	5.15%	5.40%	5.40%	
8.	Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2)	1.520%	1.540%	1.740%	1.855%	1.855%	1.920%	2.215%	2.450%	2.450%	2.575%	2.700%	2.700%	
9.	Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12)	0.127%	0.128%	0.145%	0.155%	0.155%	0.160%	0.185%	0.204%	0.204%	0.215%	0.225%	0.225%	
10.	Interest Provision for the Month (Line 4 x Line 9)	\$9,356	\$8,341	\$8,197	\$8,382	\$8,410	\$9,750	\$14,605	\$20,780	\$25,636	\$30,994	\$33,941	\$33,863	\$212,255

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018

Variance Report of O & M Activities

(In Dollars)

		(1)	(2) Original	(3) Variance	(4)
Line	_	Actual / Estimated	Projection	Amount	Percent
	Design the COMMAN Street				
1.	Description of O&M Activities a. Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration	\$1,894,681	\$4,423,789	(\$2,529,108)	-57.2%
	b. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	\$1,094,001 0	\$4,423,789 0	(\$2,529,100)	0.0%
	c. SO_2 Emissions Allowances	(98)	9,151	(9,249)	-101.1%
	d. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD	570,804	2,200,000	(1,629,196)	-74.1%
	e. Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring	406,562	611,283	(204,721)	-33.5%
	f. Big Bend NO _x Emissions Reduction	78,693	138,956	(60,263)	-43.4%
	g. NPDES Annual Surveillance Fees	35,883	34,500	1,383	4.0%
	h. Gannon Thermal Discharge Study	0	0	0	0.0%
	i. Polk NO _x Emissions Reduction	5,317	19,988	(14,671)	-73.4%
	j. Bayside SCR Consumables	111,102	203,882	(92,779)	-45.5%
	k. Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA	0	37,200	(37,200)	-100.0%
	I. Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR	39	37,200	(37,161)	-99.9%
	m. Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR	1,450	37,200	(35,750)	-96.1%
	n. Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR	3,808	37,200	(33,392)	-89.8%
	 Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study 	74,158	321,000	(246,842)	-76.9%
	p. Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program	0	0	0	0.0%
	q. Big Bend 1 SCR	351,102	1,498,585	(1,147,483)	-76.6%
	r. Big Bend 2 SCR	361,113	1,629,977	(1,268,864)	-77.8%
	s. Big Bend 3 SCR	1,553,384	1,694,774	(141,390)	-8.3%
	t. Big Bend 4 SCR	651,145	1,061,162	(410,017)	-38.6%
	u. Mercury Air Toxics Standards	24,378	231,000	(206,622)	-89.4%
	v. Greenhouse Gas Reduction Program	95,974	93,149	2,825	3.0%
	w. Big Bend Gypsum Storage Facility	1,638,273	1,663,000	(24,727)	-1.5%
	x. CCR Rule - Phase I	38,250	0	38,250	N/A
	y. Big Bend ELG Rule Study	54,007	0	54,007	N/A
	z. CCR Rule - Phase II	4,757,238	6,125,000	(1,367,762)	-22.3%
	aa. Big Bend Unit 1 Section 316(b) Impingement Mortality	0	0	0	0.0%
	ab. Big Bend ELG Rule Compliance Program	0	0	0	0.0%
2.	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$12,707,265	\$22,107,996	(\$9,400,732)	-42.5%
3.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$12,597,223	\$21,752,496	(\$9,155,273)	-42.1%
4.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$110,042	\$355,500	(\$245,459)	-69.0%

Notes:

Column (1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-5E.

Column (2) is the approved projected amount in accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-2018-0014-FOF-EI. Column (3) = Column (1) - Column (2) Column (4) = Column (3) / Column (2)

O&M Activities (in Dollars)

		Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	End of Period	Mathedal	Classification
Line		January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	Demand	Energy
1.	Description of O&M Activities															
	a. Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration	452,214	273,733	291,066	358,824	331,130	187,714	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,894,681		\$1,894,681
	b. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	c. SO ₂ Emissions Allowances	(34)	5	8	(16)	22	(83)	0	0	0	0	0	0	(98)		(98)
	d. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD	17,413	66,376	55,024	54,100	100,066	19,825	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000	570,804		570,804
	e. Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring	52,762	44,712	67,899	54,273	45,912	27,938	15,000	15,000	8,065	25,000	25,000	25,000	406,562		406,562
	f. Big Bend NO_x Emissions Reduction	37	34,122	266	2,757	78	29,434	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	78,693		78,693
	g. NPDES Annual Surveillance Fees	34,500	0	0	0	0	1,383	0	0	0	0	0	0	35,883	\$35,883	
	h. Gannon Thermal Discharge Study	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	i. Polk NO _x Emissions Reduction	688	853	440	0	0	35	950	950	400	0	250	750	5,317		5,317
	j. Bayside SCR and Ammonia	16,454	3,210	8,560	12,325	3,210	11,843	12,500	10,000	9,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	111,102		111,102
	k. Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	I. Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39		39
	m. Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR	635	0	0	815	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,450		1,450
	n. Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR	0	0	0 174	0	3,714	94 9	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,808	74.450	3,808
	 Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program 	4,499 0	14,303 0	174	21,348 0	75 0	9	0	1,250	1,250 0	1,250 0	12,500 0	17,500 0	74,158 0	74,158	
		6.777	18,340	3.087	32.717	33,063	14,694	40,801	41,277	39.690	50,168	24.607	45.881	351.102	0	351.102
	q. Big Bend 1 SCR r. Big Bend 2 SCR	4.267	6.863	6,549	54,763	9.514	7.682	40,801	45,722	47.627	60,328	24,607	47,786	361,102		361.113
	s. Big Bend 3 SCR	125,936	154,048	270,635	166,420	280,869	192,408	60,405	60,722	62.627	33,098	83,425	62,791	1,553,384		1,553,384
	t. Big Bend 4 SCR	58,197	89,093	46,317	54,593	33,834	55,218	51,866	50,754	48,532	54,882	65,836	42,023	651,145		651,145
	u. Mercury Air Toxics Standards	00,107	00,000	7.823	55	00,004	00,210	3,250	2.500	3.250	2,500	2,500	2,500	24.378		24.378
	v. Greenhouse Gas Reduction Program	2.825	0	0	0	93,149	ů 0	0,200	2,000	0,200	2,000	2,000	2,000	95,974		95,974
	w. Big Bend Gypsum Storage Facility (East 40)	163,867	110.837	59,289	124,795	239.532	159.952	130.000	130.000	130.000	130,000	130,000	130.000	1,638,273		1.638.273
	x. CCR Rule - Phase I	(3,500)	14,103	14,033	1,844	9,875	1,895	0	0	0	0	0	0	38,250		38,250
	y. Big Bend ELG Rule Study	0	11,472	0	9,832	0	32,703	0	0	0	0	0	0	54,007		54,007
	z. CCR Rule - Phase II	937,333	1,323,990	541,927	10,095	1,500	297	0	0	0	500,000	600,000	842,097	4,757,238		4,757,238
	aa. BB Unit 1 Section 316(b) Impingement Mortality	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	ab. Big Bend ELG Rule Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
2.	Total of O&M Activities	1,874,870	2,166,060	1,373,137	959,540	1,185,543	743,043	405,177	403,175	395,441	910,226	1,021,725	1,269,328	12,707,265	\$110,042	\$12,597,223
3.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	1,835,871	2,151,757	1,372,963	938,192	1,185,468	741,650	405,177	401,925	394,191	908,976	1,009,225	1,251,828	12,597,223		
4.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	38,999	14,303	174	21,348	75	1,393	0	1,250	1,250	1,250	12,500	17,500	110,042		
5.	Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000			
6.	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000			
7.	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	1,835,871	2,151,757	1,372,963	938,192	1,185,468	741,650	405,177	401,925	394,191	908,976	1,009,225	1,251,828	12,597,223		Х С О
8.	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	38,999	14,303	174	21,348	75	1,393	0	1,250	1,250	1,250	12,500	17,500	110,042		тло
9.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M															
	Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	\$1,874,870	\$2,166,060	\$1,373,137	\$959,540	\$1,185,543	\$743,043	\$405,177	\$403,175	395,441	910,226	\$1,021,725	\$1,269,328	\$12,707,265		IT N ET

DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI ECRC 2018 ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP EXHIBIT NO. PAR-2, DOCUMENT NO. 5, PAGE 1 OF 1

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018

Variance Report of Capital Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs

(In Dollars)

		(1)	(2) Original	(3) Varianc	(4) e
Line		Actual / Estimated	Projection	Amount	Percent
	-				
1.	Description of Investment Projects	*** *			
	a. Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration	\$960,478	\$1,063,216	(\$102,738)	-9.7%
	b. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	249,611	280,951	(31,340)	-11.2%
	c. Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	51,106	55,016	(3,910)	-7.1%
	d. Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade	55,003	35,856	19,147	53.4%
	e. Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade	90,462	58,969	31,493	53.4%
	f. Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement	80,406	85,047	(4,641)	-5.5%
	g. Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement	58,125	61,751	(3,626)	-5.9%
	h. Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform	8,561	9,406	(845)	-9.0%
	i. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD	6,053,972	6,674,906	(620,934)	-9.3%
	j. Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization	1,554,594	1,712,875	(158,281)	-9.2%
	k. Big Bend NO _x Emissions Reduction	499,295	562,354	(63,059)	-11.2%
	I. Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring	1,809,236	1,989,614	(180,378)	-9.1%
	m. Polk NO _x Emissions Reduction	113,291	123,356	(10,065)	-8.2%
	n. Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA	198,216	218,523	(20,307)	-9.3%
	o. Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR	137,627	149,608	(11,981)	-8.0%
	p. Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR	130,774	142,854	(12,080)	-8.5%
	q. Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR	233,148	256,173	(23,025)	-9.0%
	r. Big Bend Unit 1 SCR	7,960,486	8,698,396	(737,910)	-8.5%
	s. Big Bend Unit 2 SCR	8,407,134	9,195,158	(788,024)	-8.6%
	t. Big Bend Unit 3 SCR	6,968,976	7,628,421	(659,445)	-8.6%
	u. Big Bend Unit 4 SCR	5,420,471	5,919,666	(499,195)	-8.4%
	v. Big Bend FGD System Reliability	2,080,439	2,325,371	(244,932)	-10.5%
	w. Mercury Air Toxics Standards	824,512	928,320	(103,808)	-11.2%
	x. SO ₂ Emissions Allowances	(2,601)	(3,015)	414	-13.7%
	y. Big Bend Gypsum Storage Facility	2,073,568	2,316,204	(242,636)	-10.5%
	z. CCR Rule - Phase I	130,505	224,233	(93,728)	-41.8%
	aa. CCR Rule - Phase II	2,298	0	2,298	N/A
	ab. Big Bend ELG Rule Compliance	1,410	0	1,410	N/A
	ac. Big Bend Unit 1 Section 316(b) Impingement Mortality	38,929	0	38.929	N/A
	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			/	
2.	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$46,190,032	\$50,713,229	(\$4,523,197)	-8.9%
3.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$45,871,425	\$50,394,171	(\$4,522,746)	-9.0%
4.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$318,607	\$319,058	(\$451)	-0.1%

Notes:

Column (1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-7E.

Column (2) is the approved projected amount in accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-2018-0014-FOF-EI.

Column (3) = Column (1) - Column (2)

Column (4) = Column (3) / Column (2)

Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

															End of		
			Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Period	Method of Cla	ssification
<u>_</u>	Line	Description (A)	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	Demand	Energy
	1. a.	Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration	\$81,171	\$80,989	\$80,808	\$80,626	\$80,445	\$80,262	\$79,814	\$79,634	\$79,453	\$79,273	\$79,092	\$78,911	\$960,478		\$960,478
	b.	Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	21,372	21,270	21,168	21,066	20,965	20,863	20,737	20,636	20,535	20,434	20,333	20,232	249,611		249,611
	С.	Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	4,344	4,330	4,314	4,300	4,285	4,271	4,246	4,232	4,218	4,203	4,189	4,174	51,106		51,106
	d.	Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade	2,815	2,806	2,796	2,787	2,778	2,770	6,456	6,423	6,391	6,359	6,327	6,295	55,003	\$55,003	
	e.	Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade	4,629	4,614	4,600	4,584	4,570	4,555	10,617	10,564	10,511	10,459	10,406	10,353	90,462	90,462	
	f.	Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement	6,859	6,830	6,803	6,775	6,748	6,720	6,680	6,653	6,626	6,599	6,570	6,543	80,406		80,406
	g.	Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement	4,954	4,934	4,915	4,896	4,877	4,858	4,830	4,810	4,791	4,773	4,753	4,734	58,125		58,125
	h.	Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform	725	722	721	719	717	716	712	709	708	706	704	702	8,561		8,561
	i.	Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD	514,191	512,541	510,891	509,241	507,592	505,942	503,032	501,391	499,750	498,108	496,467	494,826	6,053,972		6,053,972
	j.	Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization	126,787	126,722	126,673	127,106	128,669	130,581	130,379	130,544	130,973	131,514	132,054	132,592	1,554,594		1,554,594
	k.	Big Bend NO _x Emissions Reduction	42,042	41,978	41,914	41,850	41,785	41,721	41,494	41,430	41,366	41,302	41,239	41,174	499,295		499,295
	I.	Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring	153,110	152,726	152,343	151,960	151,576	151,193	150,342	149,960	149,579	149,197	148,816	148,434	1,809,236		1,809,236
	m.	Polk NO, Emissions Reduction	9,607	9,579	9.551	9.524	9,496	9,467	9.414	9.386	9.358	9,331	9.303	9.275	113,291		113,291
	n.	Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA	16,766	16,725	16.685	16.645	16.604	16,565	16.471	16.431	16.391	16.351	16.311	16.271	198.216		198,216
	0.	Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR	11,675	11.640	11.605	11.571	11.536	11.502	11.436	11,401	11.366	11.333	11.298	11.264	137.627		137.627
	n.	Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR	11,082	11.051	11,021	10,990	10.959	10,929	10.867	10.836	10.806	10.775	10,744	10,714	130,774		130,774
	р. а.	Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR	19,734	19.684	19.634	19,583	19,533	19,484	19,374	19.324	19,275	19.224	19,175	19,124	233,148		233,148
		Big Bend Unit 1 SCR	674,992	673,045	671.098	669,150	667,203	665,256	661,467	659,530	657,592	655,655	653,717	651,781	7.960.486		7.960.486
	s	Big Bend Unit 2 SCR	712,268	710,328	708.390	706.451	704.511	702.572	698,591	696.663	694,733	692,805	690.875	688.947	8,407,134		8,407,134
	+	Big Bend Unit 3 SCR	590.325	588,737	587,150	585.562	583.973	582,386	579.090	577.510	575.930	574.351	572,771	571,191	6.968.976		6,968,976
	u.	Big Bend Unit 4 SCR	456,706	455.523	454.342	453,169	452.014	450,873	449,762	449,995	450,229	450,462	449,286	448,110	5,420,471		5.420.471
	v.	Big Bend FGD System Reliability	175,463	175,139	174.817	174.494	174,170	173.847	172,889	172,567	172,245	171.924	171.603	171.281	2,080,439		2.080.439
	w.	Mercury Air Toxics Standards	68,615	68,478	68.407	68.337	68,454	68,315	67,999	67,924	68,881	69,839	69.701	69.562	824,512		824,512
	¥	SO ₂ Emissions Allowances (B)	(218)	(218)	(218)	(217)	(217)	(217)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(2,601)		(2,601)
	×.	Big Bend Gypsum Storage Facility	174.907	174.580	174.253	173.927	173.600	173,274	172,317	171.992	171.667	171.342	171.017	170.692	2.073.568		2.073.568
	y. Z.	CCR Rule - Phase I	6.478	6.646	6.816	6.860	6,907	6,960	8,555	10,575	14.687	17.887	18.671	19,463	130,505	130,505	2,075,500
		CCR Rule - Phase II	0,110	0,010	3	7	11	21	86	202	318	434	550	666	2,298	2,298	
	ab.	Big Bend ELG Rule Compliance	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.0	157	470	783	1,410	1,410	
	ac.	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	235	1.724	4.543	7.676	10.809	13.942	38,929	38,929	
	ac.	big bend only i dection or o(b) impingement workality	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	1,724	4,545	1,010	10,003	10,042	30,323	30,323	
	2.	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	3,891,399	3,881,399	3,871,500	3,861,963	3,853,761	3,845,686	3,837,676	3,832,830	3,832,706	3,832,257	3,827,035	3,821,820	46,190,032	\$318.607	\$45,871,425
	3.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	3,877,477	3,867,333	3,857,285	3,847,725	3,839,495	3,831,380	3,811,727	3,803,342	3,796,256	3,789,285	3,779,802	3,770,318	45,871,425		
	4.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	13,922	14,066	14,215	14,238	14,266	14,306	25,949	29,488	36,450	42,972	47,233	51,502	318,607		
	5.	Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000			
	6.	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000			
	7.	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (C)	3,877,477	3,867,333	3,857,285	3,847,725	3,839,495	3,831,380	3,811,727	3,803,342	3,796,256	3,789,285	3,779,802	3,770,318	45,871,425		
	8.	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (D)	13,922	14,066	14,215	14,238	14,266	14,306	25,949	29,488	36,450	42,972	47,233	51,502	318,607		
															· · · ·		
	9.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for															
		Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)	\$3,891,399	\$3,881,399	\$3,871,500	\$3,861,963	\$3,853,761	\$3,845,686	\$3,837,676	\$3,832,830	\$3,832,706	\$3,832,257	\$3,827,035	\$3,821,820	\$46,190,032		
		· · ·															XÖŏ
	A1 - 4																

22

 Notes:

 (A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-8E, Line 9

 (B) Project's Total Return Component on Form 42-8E, Line 6

 (C) Line 3 x Line 5

 (D) Line 4 x Line 6

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration (in Dollars)

		Beginning of	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	End of Period
Line	Description	Period Amount	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other - AFUDC (excl from CWIP)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	\$13,763,081	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(5,440,288)	(5,469,125)	(5,497,962)	(5,526,799)	(5,555,636)	(5,584,473)	(5,613,310)	(5,642,147)	(5,670,984)	(5,699,821)	(5,728,658)	(5,757,495)	(5,786,332)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$8,322,793	8,293,956	8,265,119	8,236,282	8,207,445	8,178,608	8,149,771	8,120,934	8,092,097	8,063,260	8,034,423	8,005,586	7,976,749	
6.	Average Net Investment		8,308,375	8,279,538	8,250,701	8,221,864	8,193,027	8,164,190	8,135,353	8,106,516	8,077,679	8,048,842	8,020,005	7,991,168	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$39,900	\$39,761	\$39,623	\$39,484	\$39,346	\$39,207	\$39,362	\$39,223	\$39,083	\$38,944	\$38,804	\$38,665	\$471,402
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		12,434	12,391	12,348	12,305	12,262	12,218	11,615	11,574	11,533	11,492	11,451	11,409	143,032
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$28,837	\$28,837	\$28,837	\$28,837	\$28,837	\$28,837	\$28,837	\$28,837	\$28,837	\$28,837	\$28,837	\$28,837	\$346,044
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		81,171	80,989	80,808	80,626	80,445	80,262	79,814	79,634	79,453	79,273	79,092	78,911	960,478
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 		81,171	80,989	80,808	80,626	80,445	80,262	79,814	79,634	79,453	79,273	79,092	78,911	960,478
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		81.171	80,989	80,808	80,626	80,445	80,262	79,814	79,634	79,453	79,273	79,092	78,911	960,478
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	00,020	0	00,202	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$81,171	\$80,989	\$80,808	\$80,626	\$80,445	\$80,262	\$79,814	\$79,634	\$79,453	\$79,273	\$79,092	\$78,911	\$960,478

2000

Notes: (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.45 (\$13,435,775) and 315.45 (\$327,307) (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rates are 2.5% and 3.1%
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

(F) Line 9b x Line 11

DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI ECRC 2018 ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP EXHIBIT NO. PAR-2, DOCUMENT NO. 8, PAGE 1 OF 29

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(4,179,278)	(4,195,419)	(4,211,560)	(4,227,701)	(4,243,842)	(4,259,983)	(4,276,124)	(4,292,265)	(4,308,406)	(4,324,547)	(4,340,688)	(4,356,829)	(4,372,970)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$838,456	822,315	806,174	790,033	773,892	757,751	741,610	725,469	709,328	693,187	677,046	660,905	644,764	
6.	Average Net Investment		830,386	814,245	798,104	781,963	765,822	749,681	733,540	717,399	701,258	685,117	668,976	652,835	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$3,988	\$3,910	\$3,833	\$3,755	\$3,678	\$3,600	\$3,549	\$3,471	\$3,393	\$3,315	\$3,237	\$3,159	\$42,888
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		1,243	1,219	1,194	1,170	1,146	1,122	1,047	1,024	1,001	978	955	932	13,031
8	Investment Expenses														
0.	a. Depreciation (D)		\$16,141	\$16,141	\$16,141	\$16,141	\$16,141	\$16,141	\$16,141	\$16,141	\$16,141	\$16,141	\$16,141	\$16,141	\$193,692
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		21,372	21,270	21,168	21,066	20,965	20,863	20,737	20,636	20,535	20,434	20,333	20,232	249,611
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		21,372	21,270	21,168	21,066	20,965	20,863	20,737	20,636	20,535	20,434	20,333	20,232	249,611
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
10.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		21,372	21,270	21,168	21,066	20,965	20,863	20,737	20,636	20,535	20,434	20,333	20,232	249,611
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13	3)	\$21,372	\$21,270	\$21,168	\$21,066	\$20,965	\$20,863	\$20,737	\$20,636	\$20,535	\$20,434	\$20,333	\$20,232	\$249,611

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.41 (\$2,676,217) and 312.42 (\$2,341,517)

 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rates are 4.0% and 3.7%
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors (in Dollars)

Investments S0	Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
a. Expenditures/Additions S0	1.	Investments														
b. Clearings to Plant 0				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other 0<				0							0					
Letter Letter Column of the column of				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation $(42, 475)$ $(542, 475)$ $(542, 475)$ $(562, 425)$		d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4. CWIP-Non-Interest Bearing Col Col <th< td=""><td>2.</td><td>Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td>\$866,211</td><td></td></th<>	2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$332,046 321,736 319,426 317,116 314,806 312,496 310,186 307,876 305,566 303,256 300,946 296,536 296,326 6. Average Net Investment 322,891 320,581 318,271 315,961 313,651 311,341 309,031 306,721 304,411 302,101 299,791 297,481 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debte Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Amorization 0 \$1,551 \$1,540 \$1,528 \$1,517 \$1,506 \$1,495 \$1,484 \$1,473 \$1,462 \$1,451 \$1,439 \$1,7941 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amorization 0<	3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(542,165)	(544,475)	(546,785)	(549,095)	(551,405)	(553,715)	(556,025)	(558,335)	(560,645)	(562,955)	(565,265)	(567,575)	(569,885)	
6. Average Net Investment 322,891 320,581 318,271 315,961 313,651 311,341 309,031 306,721 304,411 302,101 299,791 297,481 7. Return on Average Net Investment Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) \$1,551 \$1,540 \$1,528 \$1,517 \$1,506 \$1,495 \$1,495 \$1,484 \$1,473 \$1,462 \$1,451 \$1,439 \$17,941 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 483 480 476 473 469 466 441 438 \$1,473 \$1,462 \$1,451 \$1,499 \$17,941 b. Investment Expenses appreciation (D) \$2,310	4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0		0				0					
7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) \$1,551 \$1,528 \$1,517 \$1,506 \$1,495 \$1,495 \$1,484 \$1,473 \$1,462 \$1,451 \$1,439 \$17,941 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 483 480 476 477 469 466 441 438 \$1,451 \$1,451 \$1,439 \$17,941 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 483 480 \$2,310 <td>5.</td> <td>Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)</td> <td>\$324,046</td> <td>321,736</td> <td>319,426</td> <td>317,116</td> <td>314,806</td> <td>312,496</td> <td>310,186</td> <td>307,876</td> <td>305,566</td> <td>303,256</td> <td>300,946</td> <td>298,636</td> <td>296,326</td> <td></td>	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$324,046	321,736	319,426	317,116	314,806	312,496	310,186	307,876	305,566	303,256	300,946	298,636	296,326	
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$1,551 \$1,560 \$1,528 \$1,517 \$1,506 \$1,495 \$1,495 \$1,495 \$1,495 \$1,473 \$1,462 \$1,451 \$1,439 \$17,941 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) \$433 \$480 \$1,528 \$1,517 \$1,506 \$1,495 \$1,495 \$1,495 \$1,495 \$1,473 \$1,452 \$1,451	6.	Average Net Investment		322,891	320,581	318,271	315,961	313,651	311,341	309,031	306,721	304,411	302,101	299,791	297,481	
b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 483 480 476 473 469 466 441 438 435 431 428 425 5,445 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$2,310	7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amorization \$2,310		a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$1,551	\$1,540	\$1,528	\$1,517	\$1,506	\$1,495	\$1,495	\$1,484	\$1,473	\$1,462	\$1,451	\$1,439	\$17,941
a. Depreciation (D) \$2,310		b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		483	480	476	473	469	466	441	438	435	431	428	425	5,445
b. Amortization 0	8.	Investment Expenses														
c. Dismantlement 0		a. Depreciation (D)		\$2,310	\$2,310	\$2,310	\$2,310	\$2,310	\$2,310	\$2,310	\$2,310	\$2,310	\$2,310	\$2,310	\$2,310	\$27,720
d. Property Taxes 0		b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solution Solutity is antingeoid of thead and and and and and and and and and a				0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 4,344 4,330 4,314 4,300 4,285 4,271 4,246 4,232 4,218 4,203 4,189 4,174 51,106 b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0		e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 <td>9.</td> <td></td>	9.															
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 1.0000000 1.																
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 1.0000000 1.		b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 4,344 4,330 4,314 4,300 4,285 4,271 4,246 4,232 4,218 4,203 4,189 4,174 51,106 13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 0 <t< td=""><td>10.</td><td>Energy Jurisdictional Factor</td><td></td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td>1.0000000</td><td></td></t<>	10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
	12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		4,344	4,330	4,314	4,300	4,285	4,271	4,246	4,232	4,218	4,203	4,189	4,174	51,106
14. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$4,344 \$4,330 \$4,314 \$4,300 \$4,285 \$4,271 \$4,246 \$4,232 \$4,218 \$4,203 \$4,189 \$4,174 \$51,106	13.		_	0							0					
	14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13	3)	\$4,344	\$4,330	\$4,314	\$4,300	\$4,285	\$4,271	\$4,246	\$4,232	\$4,218	\$4,203	\$4,189	\$4,174	\$51,106

200

 Notes:

 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 315.44
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.2%
(E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	\$497,578	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(273,952)	(275,362)	(276,772)	(278,182)	(279,592)	(281,002)	(282,412)	(287,535)	(292,658)	(297,781)	(302,904)	(308,027)	(313,150)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$223,626	222,216	220,806	219,396	217,986	216,576	215,166	210,043	204,920	199,797	194,674	189,551	184,428	
6.	Average Net Investment		222,921	221,511	220,101	218,691	217,281	215,871	212,605	207,482	202,359	197,236	192,113	186,990	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$1,071	\$1,064	\$1,057	\$1,050	\$1,043	\$1,037	\$1,029	\$1,004	\$979	\$954	\$930	\$905	\$12,123
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		334	332	329	327	325	323	304	296	289	282	274	267	3,682
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$1,410	\$1,410	\$1,410	\$1,410	\$1,410	\$1,410	\$5,123	\$5,123	\$5,123	\$5,123	\$5,123	\$5,123	\$39,198
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		2,815	2,806	2,796	2,787	2,778	2,770	6,456	6,423	6,391	6,359	6,327	6,295	55,003
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		2,815	2,806	2,796	2,787	2,778	2,770	6,456	6,423	6,391	6,359	6,327	6,295	55,003
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.00000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.00000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		2.815	2.806	2,796	2,787	2,778	2,770	6,456	6,423	6,391	6.359	6,327	6,295	55,003
13.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13		\$2,815	\$2,806	\$2,796	\$2,787	\$2,778	\$2,770	\$6,456	\$6,423	\$6,391	\$6,359	\$6,327	\$6,295	\$55,003
14.		-	ψ2,010	Ψ2,000	Ψ2,700	Ψ2,101	Ψ2,110	Ψ2,110	Ψ0,400	φ0, 4 20	40,00 i	<i>40,000</i>	\$0,021	<i>40,200</i>	400,000

26

Notes: (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.40 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate through June 2018 was 3.4%; depreciation was accelerated to 12.36% as of July 2018.
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(450,592)	(452,911)	(455,230)	(457,549)	(459,868)	(462,187)	(464,506)	(472,932)	(481,358)	(489,784)	(498,210)	(506,636)	(515,062)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$367,809	365,490	363,171	360,852	358,533	356,214	353,895	345,469	337,043	328,617	320,191	311,765	303,339	
6.	Average Net Investment		366,650	364,331	362,012	359,693	357,374	355,055	349,682	341,256	332,830	324,404	315,978	307,552	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$1,761	\$1,750	\$1,739	\$1,727	\$1,716	\$1,705	\$1,692	\$1,651	\$1,610	\$1,570	\$1,529	\$1,488	\$19,938
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		549	545	542	538	535	531	499	487	475	463	451	439	6,054
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$2,319	\$2,319	\$2,319	\$2,319	\$2,319	\$2,319	\$8,426	\$8,426	\$8,426	\$8,426	\$8,426	\$8,426	\$64,470
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		4,629	4,614	4,600	4,584	4,570	4,555	10,617	10,564	10,511	10,459	10,406	10,353	90,462
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		4,629	4,614	4,600	4,584	4,570	4,555	10,617	10,564	10,511	10,459	10,406	10,353	90,462
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		4.629	4.614	4.600	4,584	4,570	4,555	10,617	10,564	10,511	10.459	10,406	10,353	90,462
14.		3) –	\$4,629	\$4,614	\$4,600	\$4,584	\$4,570	\$4,555	\$10,617	\$10,564	\$10,511	\$10,459	\$10,406	\$10,353	\$90,462
					* /····			1 1. 74				,	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

N -1

Notes: (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.40 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate through June 2018 was 3.4%; depreciation was accelerated to 12.35% as of July 2018.
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

January 2018 to December 2018

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement (in Dollars)

1. Investments 50 \$0\$	End of Period Total	Estimate December	Estimate November	Estimate October	Estimate September	Estimate August	Estimate July	Actual June	Actual May	Actual April	Actual March	Actual February	Actual January	Beginning of Period Amount	Description	Line
b. Clearings to Plant 0	\$0	02	02	02	02	02	\$0	0 2	02	02	\$0	\$0	¢0			1.
c. Retirements 0	ΨŪ										40 0	40 0				
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A) \$1,316,257 \$1,316,2		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		c. Retirements	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (921,848) (922,828) (933,012) (0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		d. Other	
4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 0 <th< td=""><td></td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>\$1,316,257</td><td>Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)</td><td>2.</td></th<>		\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	2.
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$394,409 390,021 385,633 381,245 376,857 372,469 368,081 363,693 359,305 354,917 350,529 346,141 341,753 6. Average Net Investment 392,215 387,827 383,439 379,051 374,663 370,275 365,887 361,499 357,111 352,723 348,335 343,947 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$1,884 \$1,862 \$1,841 \$1,820 \$1,779 \$1,778 \$1,770 \$1,749 \$1,728 \$1,707 \$1,685 \$1,664 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) \$1,884 \$1,862 \$1,841 \$1,820 \$1,779 \$1,778 \$1,770 \$1,749 \$1,728 \$1,707 \$1,685 \$1,664 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$4,388		(974,504)	(970,116)	(965,728)	(961,340)	(956,952)	(952,564)	(948,176)	(943,788)	(939,400)	(935,012)	(930,624)	(926,236)	(921,848)		3.
6. Average Net Investment 392,215 387,827 383,439 379,051 374,663 370,275 365,887 361,499 357,111 352,723 348,335 343,947 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) bebt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) \$1,884 \$1,862 \$1,841 \$1,820 \$1,779 \$1,778 \$1,770 \$1,749 \$1,728 \$1,707 \$1,685 \$1,664 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) \$87 \$50 \$74 \$567 \$561 \$554 \$22 \$16 \$10 \$0 497 \$491 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$4,388 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>Ŭ</td><td>Ŭ</td><td>•</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>v</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>4.</td></t<>			-	-			Ŭ	Ŭ	•	0	0	0	v	0		4.
7. Return on Average Net Investment st. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$1,884 \$1,862 \$1,841 \$1,820 \$1,799 \$1,778 \$1,770 \$1,749 \$1,728 \$1,707 \$1,685 \$1,664 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) \$587 \$580 \$574 \$567 \$561 \$554 \$522 \$516 \$50 \$504 \$497 \$491 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$4,388		341,753	346,141	350,529	354,917	359,305	363,693	368,081	372,469	376,857	381,245	385,633	390,021	\$394,409	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	5.
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$1,884 \$1,862 \$1,841 \$1,820 \$1,799 \$1,778 \$1,770 \$1,749 \$1,728 \$1,707 \$1,685 \$1,664 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) \$587 \$580 \$574 \$567 \$561 \$554 \$522 \$16 \$510 \$504 \$497 \$491 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$4,388		343,947	348,335	352,723	357,111	361,499	365,887	370,275	374,663	379,051	383,439	387,827	392,215		Average Net Investment	6.
b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 587 580 574 567 561 554 522 516 510 504 497 491 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$4,388															Return on Average Net Investment	7.
8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$4,388	\$21,287	\$1,664			\$1,728				\$1,799						a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)	
a. Depreciation (D) \$4,388	6,463	491	497	504	510	516	522	554	561	567	574	580	587		b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)	
b. Amortization 0															Investment Expenses	8.
c. Dismantlement 0	\$52,656	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$4,388	\$4,388		a. Depreciation (D)	
d. Property Taxes 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
e. Other 0<	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) 6,859 6,830 6,803 6,775 6,748 6,720 6,680 6,653 6,626 6,599 6,570 6,543 a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 6,859 6,830 6,803 6,775 6,748 6,720 6,680 6,653 6,626 6,599 6,570 6,543 b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 6,859 6,830 6,803 6,775 6,748 6,720 6,680 6,653 6,626 6,599 6,570 6,543 b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 <	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	e. Other	
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	80,406	6,543	6,570		6,626	6,653	6,680	6,720	6,748			6,830	6,859		Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	9.
	80,406		6,570	6,599			6,680	6,720			6,803					
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000		Energy Jurisdictional Factor	10.
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000		1.0000000										1.0000000				11.
12. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 6,859 6,830 6,803 6,775 6,748 6,720 6,680 6,653 6,626 6,599 6,570 6,543	80,406	6,543	6,570	6,599	6,626	6,653	6,680	6,720	6,748	6,775	6,803	6,830	6,859		Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)	12.
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 0	0													_		
14. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$6,859 \$6,830 \$6,803 \$6,775 \$6,748 \$6,720 \$6,680 \$6,653 \$6,626 \$6,599 \$6,570 \$6,543	\$80,406	\$6,543	\$6,570	\$6,599	\$6,626	\$6,653	\$6,680	\$6,720	\$6,748	\$6,775	\$6,803	\$6,830	\$6,859	3)	. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13	14.

28

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.41
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 4.0%
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

January 2018 to December 2018

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement (in Dollars)

Line Description Period Amount January February March April May June July August September October November Description 1. Investments a. Expenditures/Additions 50 \$50			Beginning of	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	End of Period
a. Expenditures/Additions S0	Line	Description	Period Amount	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
a. Expenditures/Additions S0	1.	Investments														
b. Clearing sto Plant 0				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other 0<		b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A) S984,794		c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (678,970) (681,906) (687,978) (697,078) (697,078) (700,122) (702,128) (706,134) (706,20) (712,26) (715,302) 4. CWP-Non-Interest Bearing \$305,924 302,888 299,852 296,816 293,780 290,744 287,708 284,672 281,636 277,680 275,564 272,528 269,492 6. Average Net Investment 304,406 301,370 298,334 295,298 292,262 289,218 281,636 277,082 274,046 271,010 7. Return on Average Net Investment 304,406 301,370 298,334 295,298 292,262 289,218 281,315 281,315 281,314 213,255 213,311 51,664 8. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$1,462 \$1,474 \$1,333 \$1,418 \$1,404 \$1,339 \$1,325 \$1,341 \$1,326 \$1,311 \$16,641 9. Depreciation (D) \$3,036 \$3,036 \$3,036 \$3,036 \$3,036 \$3,036 \$3,036 \$3,036 \$3,036 \$3,036 \$3,036 <td></td> <td>d. Other</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td>		d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4. CWIP- Non-Interest Bearing 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$305,924 302,888 299,852 296,816 293,780 290,744 287,708 284,672 281,636 277,600 275,564 272,528 269,492 6. Average Net Investment 304,406 301,370 298,334 295,298 292,262 289,226 286,190 283,154 280,118 277,082 274,046 271,010 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 456 451 446 442 433 51,481 51,402 51,303 53,036 53,036	3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(678,870)	(681,906)	(684,942)	(687,978)	(691,014)	(694,050)	(697,086)	(700,122)	(703,158)	(706,194)	(709,230)	(712,266)	(715,302)	
6. Average Net Investment 304,406 301,370 298,334 295,298 292,262 289,226 280,118 277,082 274,046 271,010 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$1,462 \$1,447 \$1,433 \$1,418 \$1,404 \$1,389 \$1,385 \$1,370 \$1,355 \$1,341 \$1,326 \$1,311 \$16,641 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 456 451 446 442 437 433 409 404 400 396 391 387 5,052 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) 0	4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Arrow on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$1,462 \$1,447 \$1,433 \$1,418 \$1,404 \$1,389 \$1,385 \$1,370 \$1,355 \$1,341 \$1,326 \$1,311 \$16,641 b. Detric Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 456 451 446 442 437 \$433 409 404 \$1,355 \$1,341 \$1,326 \$1,311 \$16,641 a. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization 0 <td< td=""><td>5.</td><td>Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)</td><td>\$305,924</td><td>302,888</td><td>299,852</td><td>296,816</td><td>293,780</td><td>290,744</td><td>287,708</td><td>284,672</td><td>281,636</td><td>278,600</td><td>275,564</td><td>272,528</td><td>269,492</td><td></td></td<>	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$305,924	302,888	299,852	296,816	293,780	290,744	287,708	284,672	281,636	278,600	275,564	272,528	269,492	
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$1,462 \$1,462 \$1,433 \$1,418 \$1,404 \$1,385 \$1,370 \$1,355 \$1,341 \$1,326 \$1,311 \$16,641 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) \$3,036<	6.	Average Net Investment		304,406	301,370	298,334	295,298	292,262	289,226	286,190	283,154	280,118	277,082	274,046	271,010	
b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 456 451 446 442 437 433 409 404 400 396 391 387 5,052 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$3,036	7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
8. Investment Expenses 3. Depreciation (D) S3,036		a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$1,462	\$1,447	\$1,433		\$1,404			\$1,370	\$1,355		\$1,326	\$1,311	\$16,641
a. Depreciation (D) \$3,036		b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		456	451	446	442	437	433	409	404	400	396	391	387	5,052
b. Amortization 0	8.	Investment Expenses														
c. Dismantlement 0				\$3,036	\$3,036	\$3,036	\$3,036	\$3,036	\$3,036	\$3,036	\$3,036	\$3,036	\$3,036	\$3,036	\$3,036	\$36,432
d. Property Taxes 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other 0<				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 4,954 4,934 4,915 4,896 4,877 4,858 4,830 4,810 4,791 4,773 4,753 4,734 58,125 b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 4,954 4,934 4,915 4,896 4,877 4,858 4,830 4,810 4,791 4,773 4,753 4,734 58,125 b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0		e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 <td>9.</td> <td></td>	9.															
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 1.0000000 1.													4,773			58,125
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 1.0000000 <td></td> <td>b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand</td> <td></td> <td>0</td>		b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 4,954 4,915 4,896 4,877 4,858 4,830 4,711 4,773 4,753 4,734 58,125 13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 0	10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor														
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
	12.			4,954	4,934	4,915	4,896	4,877	4,858	4,830	4,810	4,791	4,773	4,753	4,734	58,125
15 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$4,954 \$4,934 \$4,915 \$4,896 \$4,877 \$4,858 \$4,830 \$4,810 \$4,791 \$4,773 \$4,753 \$4,734 \$58,125			_													
	15	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13	3)	\$4,954	\$4,934	\$4,915	\$4,896	\$4,877	\$4,858	\$4,830	\$4,810	\$4,791	\$4,773	\$4,753	\$4,734	\$58,125

20

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.42
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.7%
(E) Line 9a x Line 10

January 2018 to December 2018

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(51,907)	(52,199)	(52,491)	(52,783)	(53,075)	(53,367)	(53,659)	(53,951)	(54,243)	(54,535)	(54,827)	(55,119)	(55,411)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$68,830	68,538	68,246	67,954	67,662	67,370	67,078	66,786	66,494	66,202	65,910	65,618	65,326	
6.	Average Net Investment		68,684	68,392	68,100	67,808	67,516	67,224	66,932	66,640	66,348	66,056	65,764	65,472	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$330	\$328	\$327	\$326	\$324	\$323	\$324	\$322	\$321	\$320	\$318	\$317	\$3,880
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		103	102	102	101	101	101	96	95	95	94	94	93	1,177
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$292	\$292	\$292	\$292	\$292	\$292	\$292	\$292	\$292	\$292	\$292	\$292	\$3,504
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		725	722	721	719	717	716	712	709	708	706	704	702	8,561
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		725	722	721	719	717	716	712	709	708	706	704	702	8,561
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.00000000	1.00000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		725	722	721	719	717	716	712	709	708	706	704	702	8,561
12.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		725	0	0	0	0	716	/12	709	708	706	704	02	0,501
13.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13		\$725	\$722	\$721	\$719	\$717	\$716	\$712	\$709	\$708	\$706	\$704	\$702	\$8,561
14.		-	ψ120	Ψ12Z	Ψ/21	ψ11 3	ΨΠ	ψ/10	Ψ11Z	9703	ψ/00	ψ/00	\$70 4	\$10Z	ψ0,001

30

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 311.40
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.9%
(E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Units 1 and 2 FGD (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other - AFUDC (excl from CWIP)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	\$95,255,242	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(55,074,209)	(55,336,128)	(55,598,047)	(55,859,966)	(56,121,885)	(56,383,804)	(56,645,723)	(56,907,642)	(57,169,561)	(57,431,480)	(57,693,399)	(57,955,318)	(58,217,237)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$40,181,033	39,919,114	39,657,195	39,395,276	39,133,357	38,871,438	38,609,519	38,347,600	38,085,681	37,823,762	37,561,843	37,299,924	37,038,005	
6.	Average Net Investment		40,050,073	39,788,154	39,526,235	39,264,316	39,002,397	38,740,478	38,478,559	38,216,640	37,954,721	37,692,802	37,430,883	37,168,964	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$192,334	\$191,076	\$189,818	\$188,560	\$187,303	\$186,045	\$186,175	\$184,908	\$183,641	\$182,373	\$181,106	\$179,839	\$2,233,178
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		59,938	59,546	59,154	58,762	58,370	57,978	54,938	54,564	54,190	53,816	53,442	53,068	677,766
8.	Investment Expenses														A A 4 4 A A A A A
	a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization		\$261,919	\$261,919	\$261,919	\$261,919 0	\$261,919	\$261,919	\$261,919	\$261,919	\$261,919 0	\$261,919	\$261,919	\$261,919 0	\$3,143,028
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		-	0	0	0	Ŭ	Ŭ	0	Ŭ	0	Ŭ	0	0	0	<u> </u>
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		514,191	512,541	510,891	509,241	507,592	505,942	503,032	501,391	499,750	498,108	496,467	494,826	6,053,972
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		514,191	512,541	510,891	509,241	507,592	505,942	503,032	501,391	499,750	498,108	496,467	494,826	6,053,972
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Energy Jurisdictional Easter		1 0000000	1 0000000	1 0000000	1 0000000	1 0000000	1 0000000	1 0000000	1 0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1 0000000	1.0000000	
10. 11.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.			1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		514,191	512,541	510,891	509,241	507,592	505,942	503,032	501,391	499,750	498,108	496,467	494,826	6,053,972
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13))	\$514,191	\$512,541	\$510,891	\$509,241	\$507,592	\$505,942	\$503,032	\$501,391	\$499,750	\$498,108	\$496,467	\$494,826	\$6,053,972
		-													

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.46 (\$94,929,061), 312.45 (\$105,398) & 315.46 (\$220,782)

 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rates are 3.3%, 2.5% and 3.5%
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual Februarv	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
Line	Description	Fellou Allioulit	January	February	Warch	Арпі	iviay	Julie	July	Augusi	September	Octobel	November	December	TOLAI
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$29,435	\$7,632	\$61,810	\$126,316	\$377,714	\$71,808	\$45,911	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$1,220,627
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		29,435	7,632	61,810	126,316	377,714	71,808	0	45,911	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	1,120,627
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$21.739.737	\$21,769,172	\$21,776,804	\$21.838.615	\$21.964.930	\$22.342.644	\$22,414,453	\$22.414.453	\$22,460,364	\$22,560,364	\$22.660.364	\$22,760,364	\$22.860.364	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(8,790,925)	(8,836,199)	(8,881,576)	(8,926,971)	(8,972,493)	(9,018,278)	(9,064,850)	(9,111,581)	(9,158,312)	(9,205,139)	(9,252,174)	(9,299,418)	(9,346,870)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	(0,100,020)	(0,000,000)	(0,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,	(0,020,011)	(0,012,100)	(0,0.0,1.0)	(0,000,000)	45.911	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000	100,000	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$12,948,812	12,932,973	12,895,228	12,911,644	12,992,437	13,324,366	13,349,603	13,348,783	13,402,052	13,455,225	13,508,190	13,560,946	13,613,494	
6.	Average Net Investment		12,940,893	12,914,101	12,903,436	12,952,040	13,158,402	13,336,985	13,349,193	13,375,417	13,428,638	13,481,707	13,534,568	13,587,220	
0.	Average Net Investment		12,940,693	12,914,101	12,903,430	12,952,040	13,156,402	13,330,905	13,349,193	13,375,417	13,420,030	13,461,707	13,534,500	13,567,220	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$62,146	\$62,018	\$61,967	\$62,200	\$63,191	\$64,049	\$64,589	\$64,716	\$64,973	\$65,230	\$65,486	\$65,741	\$766,306
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		19,367	19,327	19,311	19,384	19,693	19,960	19,059	19,097	19,173	19,249	19,324	19,399	232,343
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$45,274	\$45,377	\$45,395	\$45,522	\$45,785	\$46,572	\$46,731	\$46,731	\$46,827	\$47,035	\$47,244	\$47,452	\$555,945
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		126.787	126,722	126,673	127.106	128,669	130,581	130,379	130,544	130,973	131,514	132,054	132,592	1.554.594
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		126,787	126,722	126,673	127,106	128,669	130,581	130,379	130,544	130,973	131,514	132,054	132,592	1,554,594
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
10.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
			1.0000000		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		126,787	126,722	126,673	127,106	128,669	130,581	130,379	130,544	130,973	131,514	132,054	132,592	1,554,594
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13))	\$126,787	\$126,722	\$126,673	\$127,106	\$128,669	\$130,581	\$130,379	\$130,544	\$130,973	\$131,514	\$132,054	\$132,592	\$1,554,594

32

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.45 (\$22,784,292),311.45 (\$39,818) and 316.40 (\$36,254)
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rates are 2.5%, 2.0% and 4.2%
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend NO_x Emissions Reduction (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Actual November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	\$3,190,852	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	1,871,979	1,861,795	1,851,611	1,841,427	1,831,243	1,821,059	1,810,875	1,800,691	1,790,507	1,780,323	1,770,139	1,759,955	1,749,771	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$5,062,831	5,052,647	5,042,463	5,032,279	5,022,095	5,011,911	5,001,727	4,991,543	4,981,359	4,971,175	4,960,991	4,950,807	4,940,623	
6.	Average Net Investment		5,057,739	5,047,555	5,037,371	5,027,187	5,017,003	5,006,819	4,996,635	4,986,451	4,976,267	4,966,083	4,955,899	4,945,715	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$24,289	\$24,240	\$24,191	\$24,142	\$24,093	\$24,044	\$24,176	\$24,127	\$24,077	\$24,028	\$23,979	\$23,929	\$289,315
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		7,569	7,554	7,539	7,524	7,508	7,493	7,134	7,119	7,105	7,090	7,076	7,061	87,772
8.	Investment Expenses														
0.	a. Depreciation (D)		\$10,184	\$10,184	\$10,184	\$10,184	\$10,184	\$10,184	\$10,184	\$10,184	\$10,184	\$10,184	\$10,184	\$10,184	\$122,208
	b. Amortization		φ10,104 0	φ10,104 0	φ10,104 0	φ10,104 0	φ10,104 0	φ10,104 0	¢10,104 0	φ10,104 0	0	¢10,104 0	φ10,104 0	φ10,104 0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	Ō	Ō
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		42,042	41.978	41,914	41,850	41,785	41,721	41,494	41,430	41,366	41,302	41.239	41,174	499.295
9.	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		42,042	41,978	41,914	41,850	41,785	41,721	41,494	41,430	41,366	41,302	41,239	41,174	499,295
	 b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 		42,042	41,570	41,514	41,000	41,700	41,721	41,404	0	41,000	41,002	41,200	41,174	400,200
			0	0	0	Ū	Ŭ	0	0	Ū	0	0	0	0	Ŭ
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		42,042	41.978	41,914	41,850	41,785	41,721	41,494	41,430	41,366	41,302	41,239	41,174	499,295
12.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		42,042	41,978	41,914	41,850	41,785	41,721	41,494	41,430	41,300	41,302	41,239	41,174	+33,233
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (1)	3)	\$42.042	\$41.978	\$41,914	\$41.850	\$41,785	\$41.721	\$41,494	\$41,430	\$41,366	\$41,302	\$41,239	\$41,174	\$499,295
		· -	; =;; :=]#.#	, ., .	, .,		; ;;;=:		,,	,,		,	; ,,	,,====

3

 Notes:

 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.41 (\$1,675,171), 312.42 (\$1,075,718), and 312.43 (\$439,963).

 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

 (C) Line 6 x 1.7599% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec).

 (D) Applicable depreciation rates are 4.0%, 3.7% and 3.5%

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: PM Minimization and Monitoring (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		(\$24)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$24)
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		(24)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(24)
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$19,757,774	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	\$19,757,750	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(5,083,858)	(5,144,730)	(5,205,602)	(5,266,474)	(5,327,346)	(5,388,218)	(5,449,090)	(5,509,962)	(5,570,834)	(5,631,706)	(5,692,578)	(5,753,450)	(5,814,322)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$14,673,916	14,613,020	14,552,148	14,491,276	14,430,404	14,369,532	14,308,660	14,247,788	14,186,916	14,126,044	14,065,172	14,004,300	13,943,428	
6.	Average Net Investment		14,643,468	14,582,584	14,521,712	14,460,840	14,399,968	14,339,096	14,278,224	14,217,352	14,156,480	14,095,608	14,034,736	13,973,864	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$70,323	\$70,030	\$69,738	\$69,446	\$69,153	\$68,861	\$69,084	\$68,789	\$68,495	\$68,200	\$67,906	\$67,611	\$827,636
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		21,915	21,824	21,733	21,642	21,551	21,460	20,386	20,299	20,212	20,125	20,038	19,951	251,136
8.	Investment Expenses														
0.	a. Depreciation (D)		\$60,872	\$60,872	\$60,872	\$60,872	\$60,872	\$60,872	\$60,872	\$60,872	\$60,872	\$60,872	\$60,872	\$60,872	\$730,464
	b. Amortization		000,012	000,012	000,012	000,012	000,012	000,012	000,012	000,012	000,012	000,012	000,012	000,012	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		153,110	152,726	152,343	151,960	151,576	151,193	150,342	149,960	149,579	149,197	148,816	148,434	1,809,236
0.	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		153,110	152,726	152,343	151,960	151,576	151,193	150,342	149,960	149,579	149,197	148,816	148,434	1,809,236
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		153,110	152,726	152,343	151,960	151,576	151,193	150,342	149,960	149,579	149,197	148,816	148,434	1,809,236
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$153,110	\$152,726	\$152,343	\$151,960	\$151,576	\$151,193	\$150,342	\$149,960	\$149,579	\$149,197	\$148,816	\$148,434	\$1,809,236

Notes: (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.41 (\$5,831,465), 312.42 (\$5,153,072), 312.43 (\$7,875,560), 315.41 (\$17,504), 315.44 (\$351,594), and 315.43 (\$528,554) (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rates are 4.0%, 3.7%, 3.5%, 3.5%, 3.2%, and 3.6%
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Polk NO_x Emissions Reduction (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(736,410)	(740,834)	(745,258)	(749,682)	(754,106)	(758,530)	(762,954)	(767,378)	(771,802)	(776,226)	(780,650)	(785,074)	(789,498)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$825,063	820,639	816,215	811,791	807,367	802,943	798,519	794,095	789,671	785,247	780,823	776,399	771,975	
6.	Average Net Investment		822,851	818,427	814,003	809,579	805,155	800,731	796,307	791,883	787,459	783,035	778,611	774,187	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$3,952	\$3,930	\$3,909	\$3,888	\$3,867	\$3,845	\$3,853	\$3,831	\$3,810	\$3,789	\$3,767	\$3,746	\$46,187
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		1,231	1,225	1,218	1,212	1,205	1,198	1,137	1,131	1,124	1,118	1,112	1,105	14,016
8.	Investment Expenses														
0.	a. Depreciation (D)		\$4,424	\$4,424	\$4,424	\$4,424	\$4,424	\$4,424	\$4,424	\$4,424	\$4,424	\$4,424	\$4,424	\$4,424	\$53,088
	b. Amortization		0	¢ 1, 1 <u>2</u> 1	¢ ., . <u>_</u> 1	¢ ., . <u>_</u> .	0	¢ 1, 1 <u>2</u> 1	¢1,1 <u>2</u> 1	¢.,. <u>-</u> 1	0	0	¢ 1, 1 <u>2</u> 1	¢.,. <u>-</u> .	000,000
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		9.607	9.579	9.551	9.524	9.496	9.467	9.414	9.386	9.358	9.331	9.303	9.275	113.291
0.	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		9,607	9,579	9,551	9,524	9,496	9,467	9,414	9,386	9,358	9,331	9,303	9,275	113,291
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0,001	0,070	0,001	0,021	0,100	0	0,111	0	0,000	0,001	0,000	0,210	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		9,607	9,579	9,551	9,524	9,496	9,467	9,414	9,386	9,358	9,331	9,303	9,275	113,291
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$9,607	\$9,579	\$9,551	\$9,524	\$9,496	\$9,467	\$9,414	\$9,386	\$9,358	\$9,331	\$9,303	\$9,275	\$113,291

Ci CI

 Notes:

 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Polk; account 342.81

 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

 (C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.4%

(E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA

(in	Dollars)	
-----	----------	--

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(909,434)	(915,831)	(922,228)	(928,625)	(935,022)	(941,419)	(947,816)	(954,213)	(960,610)	(967,007)	(973,404)	(979,801)	(986,198)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$1,649,296	1,642,899	1,636,502	1,630,105	1,623,708	1,617,311	1,610,914	1,604,517	1,598,120	1,591,723	1,585,326	1,578,929	1,572,532	
6.	Average Net Investment		1,646,098	1,639,701	1,633,304	1,626,907	1,620,510	1,614,113	1,607,716	1,601,319	1,594,922	1,588,525	1,582,128	1,575,731	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$7,905	\$7,874	\$7,844	\$7,813	\$7,782	\$7,752	\$7,779	\$7,748	\$7,717	\$7,686	\$7,655	\$7,624	\$93,179
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		2,464	2,454	2,444	2,435	2,425	2,416	2,295	2,286	2,277	2,268	2,259	2,250	28,273
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$6,397	\$6,397	\$6,397	\$6,397	\$6,397	\$6,397	\$6,397	\$6,397	\$6,397	\$6,397	\$6,397	\$6,397	\$76,764
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		16,766	16,725	16,685	16,645	16,604	16,565	16,471	16,431	16,391	16,351	16,311	16,271	198,216
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		16,766	16,725	16,685	16,645	16,604	16,565	16,471	16,431	16,391	16,351	16,311	16,271	198,216
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.00000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		16.766	16,725	16,685	16.645	16,604	16,565	16.471	16,431	16.391	16,351	16,311	16,271	198,216
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) –	\$16,766	\$16,725	\$16,685	\$16,645	\$16,604	\$16,565	\$16,471	\$16,431	\$16,391	\$16,351	\$16,311	\$16,271	\$198,216
		· –													

36

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.44
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.0%
(E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR (in Dollars)

3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (665,629) (671,126) (676,623) (682,120) (687,617) (693,114) (698,611) (704,108) (709,605) 4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 0 <	\$0 \$(0 () 0 () 0 () \$1,649,121 \$1,649,121 (715,102) (720,59) 0 () 934,019 928,522 936,768 931,271	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 \$1,649,121 \$1,649,12 9) (726,096) (731,59 0 0 2 923,025 917,52)
b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other 2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation 4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 5. Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 5. Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) c. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) c. Return on Depreciation c. Return on Depreciation c. Return on Average Net Investment c. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Return on Depreciation (D) c. Return on Depreciation (D) c. Amortization c. Return on Depreciation (D) c. Return on Depreciat	0 (0 0 (0 0 (1 \$1,649,121 \$1,649,121 (715,102) (720,595 0 (2 934,019 928,522	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 \$1,649,121 \$1,649,12 9) (726,096) (731,59 0 0 2 923,025 917,52)
c. Retirements 0	0 (0 0 (1 \$1,649,121 \$1,649,121 (715,102) (720,598 0 (1 934,019 928,522	0 0 0 0 1 \$1,649,121 \$1,649,12 9) (726,096) (731,59 0 0 2 923,025 917,52)
d. Other00000000002.Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A) 3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation 4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) $$1,649,121$ (665,629) $$1,649,121$ (667,1726) $$1,649,121$ (676,623) $$1,649,121$ (676,623) $$1,649,121$ (687,617) $$1,649,121$ (693,114) $$1,649,121$ (704,108) $$1,649,121$ (704,108) $$1,649,121$ (704,108) $$1,649,121$ (704,108) $$1,649,121$ (704,108) $$1,649,121$ (704,108) $$1,649,121$ (704,108) $$1,649,121$ (704,108) $$1,649,121$ (93,114) $$1,649,121$ (93,114) $$1,649,121$ (93,114) $$1,649,121$ (93,114) $$1,649,121$ (93,114) $$1,649,121$ (93,114) $$1,649,121$ (93,114) $$1,649,121$ (93,114) $$1,649,121$ (93,114) $$1,649,121$ (945,013) $$1,649,121$ (945,013	0 (1 \$1,649,121 \$1,649,12' (715,102) (720,599 0 (934,019 928,522	0 0 1 \$1,649,121 \$1,649,12 9) (726,096) (731,59 0 0 2 923,025 917,52)
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A) $\$1,649,121$ $\$1,649,12$	\$1,649,121 \$1,649,121 (715,102) (720,599 0 (934,019 928,522	1 \$1,649,121 \$1,649,12 9) (726,096) (731,59 0 0 2 923,025 917,52)
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (665,629) (671,126) (676,623) (682,120) (687,617) (693,114) (698,611) (704,108) (709,605) 4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 0 <	(715,102) (720,599 0 (0 934,019 928,522	9) (726,096) (731,59 0 0 2 923,025 917,52	i)
4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 0 <th< td=""><td>0 (0 934,019 928,522</td><td>0 0 2 923,025 917,52</td><td></td></th<>	0 (0 934,019 928,522	0 0 2 923,025 917,52	
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$983,492 977,995 972,498 967,001 961,504 956,007 950,510 945,013 939,516 6. Average Net Investment 980,744 975,247 969,750 964,253 958,756 953,259 947,762 942,265 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$4,710 \$4,683 \$4,657 \$4,631 \$4,604 \$4,578 \$4,586 \$4,559 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 1,468 1,460 1,451 1,443 1,427 1,353 1,345 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$5,497	934,019 928,522	2 923,025 917,52	
6. Average Net Investment 980,744 975,247 969,750 964,253 958,756 953,259 947,762 942,265 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 1,468 1,460 1,451 1,443 1,427 1,353 1,345 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$5,497 \$5,497			_
7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$4,710 \$4,683 \$4,657 \$4,631 \$4,604 \$4,578 \$4,586 \$4,559 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 1,468 1,460 1,451 1,443 1,435 1,427 1,353 1,345 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$5,497 \$5,49	936,768 931,271	1 925,774 920,27	
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$4,710 \$4,683 \$4,657 \$4,631 \$4,604 \$4,578 \$4,586 \$4,559 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 1,468 1,460 1,451 1,443 1,435 1,427 1,353 1,345 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$5,497 <			
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$4,710 \$4,683 \$4,657 \$4,631 \$4,604 \$4,578 \$4,586 \$4,559 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 1,468 1,460 1,451 1,443 1,435 1,427 1,353 1,345 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$5,497 <			
b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 1,468 1,460 1,451 1,443 1,435 1,427 1,353 1,345 8. Investment Expenses . Depreciation (D) \$5,497	\$4,532 \$4,506	6 \$4,479 \$4,45	\$54,978
a. Depreciation (D) \$5,497 \$5,497 \$5,497 \$5,497 \$5,497 \$5,497 \$5,497 b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,337 1,330	0 1,322 1,31	16,685
a. Depreciation (D) \$5,497 \$5,497 \$5,497 \$5,497 \$5,497 \$5,497 \$5,497 b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$5.407 \$5.40	7 * 5 407 * 5 40	605 004
	\$5,497 \$5,497 0 0		\$65,964
c. Dismantlement 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0
d. Property Taxes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0		0
	0 0	0	0
	Ū Ū		<u> </u>
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) 11,675 11,640 11,605 11,571 11,536 11,502 11,436 11,401	11,366 11,333	3 11,298 11,26	137,627
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 11,675 11,640 11,605 11,571 11,536 11,502 11,436 11,401	11,366 11,333	3 11,298 11,26	137,627
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0	0 0	0 0	0
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000	1 0000000 1 000000	0 1.0000000 1.000000	
	1.0000000 1.000000 1.0000000 1.0000000		
	1.000000 1.000000	1.000000 1.000000	
12. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 11,675 11,640 11,605 11,571 11,536 11,502 11,436 11,401	11,366 11,333	3 11,298 11,26	137,627
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0
14. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$11,675 \$11,640 \$11,605 \$11,571 \$11,536 \$11,502 \$11,436 \$11,401		3 \$11,298 \$11,26	\$137,627

3

Notes: (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.41 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 4.0%
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(594,320)	(599,197)	(604,074)	(608,951)	(613,828)	(618,705)	(623,582)	(628,459)	(633,336)	(638,213)	(643,090)	(647,967)	(652,844)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$987,567	982,690	977,813	972,936	968,059	963,182	958,305	953,428	948,551	943,674	938,797	933,920	929,043	
6.	Average Net Investment		985,129	980,252	975,375	970,498	965,621	960,744	955,867	950,990	946,113	941,236	936,359	931,482	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$4,731	\$4,707	\$4,684	\$4,661	\$4,637	\$4,614	\$4,625	\$4,601	\$4,578	\$4,554	\$4,530	\$4,507	\$55,429
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		1,474	1,467	1,460	1,452	1,445	1,438	1,365	1,358	1,351	1,344	1,337	1,330	16,821
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$4,877	\$4,877	\$4,877	\$4,877	\$4,877	\$4,877	\$4,877	\$4,877	\$4,877	\$4,877	\$4,877	\$4,877	\$58,524
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		11,082	11,051	11,021	10,990	10,959	10,929	10,867	10,836	10,806	10,775	10,744	10,714	130,774
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		11,082	11,051	11,021	10,990	10,959	10,929	10,867	10,836	10,806	10,775	10,744	10,714	130,774
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		11,082	11,051	11,021	10,990	10,959	10,929	10,867	10,836	10,806	10,775	10,744	10,714	130,774
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13	3)	\$11,082	\$11,051	\$11,021	\$10,990	\$10,959	\$10,929	\$10,867	\$10,836	\$10,806	\$10,775	\$10,744	\$10,714	\$130,774

3000

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.42
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.7%
(E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	\$2,706,507	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(832,202)	(840,155)	(848,108)	(856,061)	(864,014)	(871,967)	(879,920)	(887,873)	(895,826)	(903,779)	(911,732)	(919,685)	(927,638)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$1,874,305	1,866,352	1,858,399	1,850,446	1,842,493	1,834,540	1,826,587	1,818,634	1,810,681	1,802,728	1,794,775	1,786,822	1,778,869	
6.	Average Net Investment		1,870,329	1,862,376	1,854,423	1,846,470	1,838,517	1,830,564	1,822,611	1,814,658	1,806,705	1,798,752	1,790,799	1,782,846	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$8,982	\$8,944	\$8,906	\$8,867	\$8,829	\$8,791	\$8,819	\$8,780	\$8,742	\$8,703	\$8,665	\$8,626	\$105,654
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		2,799	2,787	2,775	2,763	2,751	2,740	2,602	2,591	2,580	2,568	2,557	2,545	32,058
8.	Investment Expenses														
0.	a. Depreciation (D)		\$7,953	\$7,953	\$7,953	\$7,953	\$7,953	\$7,953	\$7,953	\$7,953	\$7,953	\$7,953	\$7,953	\$7,953	\$95,436
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		19.734	19.684	19.634	19.583	19.533	19.484	19.374	19,324	19.275	19.224	19.175	19.124	233.148
0.	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		19,734	19,684	19,634	19,583	19,533	19,484	19,374	19,324	19,275	19,224	19,175	19,124	233,148
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
10.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		19,734	19,684	19,634	19,583	19,533	19,484	19,374	19,324	19,275	19,224	19,175	19,124	233,148
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	. –	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) _	\$19,734	\$19,684	\$19,634	\$19,583	\$19,533	\$19,484	\$19,374	\$19,324	\$19,275	\$19,224	\$19,175	\$19,124	\$233,148

Notes:

(A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.43 (\$1,995,677) and 315.43 (\$710,830)
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)
 (C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)
 (D) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.5% and 3.6%

(E) Line 9a x Line 10 (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 1 SCR (in Dollars)

		Beginning of	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	End of Period
Line	Description	Period Amount	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	\$85,719,102	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(28,849,638)	(29,158,804)	(29,467,970)	(29,777,136)	(30,086,302)	(30,395,468)	(30,704,634)	(31,013,800)	(31,322,966)	(31,632,132)	(31,941,298)	(32,250,464)	(32,559,630)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	1,362,824	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$58,232,288	57,923,122	57,613,956	57,304,790	56,995,624	56,686,458	56,377,292	56,068,126	55,758,960	55,449,794	55,140,628	54,831,462	54,522,296	
6.	Average Net Investment		58,077,705	57,768,539	57,459,373	57,150,207	56,841,041	56,531,875	56,222,709	55,913,543	55,604,377	55,295,211	54,986,045	54,676,879	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$278,908	\$277,424	\$275,939	\$274,454	\$272,970	\$271,485	\$272,029	\$270,533	\$269,037	\$267,541	\$266,045	\$264,550	\$3,260,915
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		86,918	86,455	85,993	85,530	85,067	84,605	80,272	79,831	79,389	78,948	78,506	78,065	989,579
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$309,166	\$309,166	\$309,166	\$309,166	\$309,166	\$309,166	\$309,166	\$309,166	\$309,166	\$309,166	\$309,166	\$309,166	\$3,709,992
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		674,992	673,045	671,098	669,150	667,203	665,256	661,467	659,530	657,592	655,655	653,717	651,781	7,960,486
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 		674,992	673,045	671,098	669,150	667,203	665,256	661,467	659,530	657,592	655,655	653,717	651,781	7,960,486
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		674,992	673,045	671,098	669,150	667,203	665,256	661,467	659,530	657,592	655,655	653,717	651,781	7,960,486
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$674,992	\$673,045	\$671,098	\$669,150	\$667,203	\$665,256	\$661,467	\$659,530	\$657,592	\$655,655	\$653,717	\$651,781	\$7,960,486

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 311.51 (\$22,278,982), 312.51 (\$48,529,672), 315.51 (\$14,063,245), and 316.51 (\$847,203).

 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 4.1%, 4.3%, 4.8% and 4.1%
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 2 SCR (in Dollars)

Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimate
January	February	March	April	May	June	July
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Estimate

August

Estimate

September

Estimate

October

Estimate

November

Estimate

December

	a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other		\$0 0 0 0	\$0											
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	\$95,175,309	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(30,814,532)	(31,122,366)	(31,430,200)	(31,738,034)	(32,045,868)	(32,353,702)	(32,661,536)	(32,969,370)	(33,277,204)	(33,585,038)	(33,892,872)	(34,200,706)	(34,508,540)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$64,360,777	64,052,943	63,745,109	63,437,275	63,129,441	62,821,607	62,513,773	62,205,939	61,898,105	61,590,271	61,282,437	60,974,603	60,666,769	
6.	Average Net Investment		64,206,860	63,899,026	63,591,192	63,283,358	62,975,524	62,667,690	62,359,856	62,052,022	61,744,188	61,436,354	61,128,520	60,820,686	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	 Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 		\$308,343	\$306,864	\$305,386	\$303,908	\$302,429	\$300,951	\$301,723	\$300,234	\$298,744	\$297,255	\$295,765	\$294,276	\$3,615,878
	 Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 		96,091	95,630	95,170	94,709	94,248	93,787	89,034	88,595	88,155	87,716	87,276	86,837	1,097,248
8	Investment Expenses														
0.	a. Depreciation (D)		\$307.834	\$307.834	\$307.834	\$307.834	\$307,834	\$307,834	\$307,834	\$307,834	\$307,834	\$307,834	\$307,834	\$307.834	\$3.694.008
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		712,268	710,328	708,390	706,451	704,511	702,572	698,591	696,663	694,733	692,805	690,875	688,947	8,407,134
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		712,268	710,328	708,390	706,451	704,511	702,572	698,591	696,663	694,733	692,805	690,875	688,947	8,407,134
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
			1.000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.000000	1.0000000	1.000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		712,268	710,328	708,390	706,451	704,511	702,572	698,591	696,663	694,733	692,805	690,875	688,947	8,407,134
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		\$712,268	\$710,328	\$708,390	\$706,451	\$704,511	\$702,572	\$698,591	\$696,663	\$694,733	\$692,805	\$690,875	\$688,947	\$8,407,134

Notes:

Line Description

1. Investments

Beginning of

Period Amount

(A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 311.52 (\$25,208,869), 312.52 (\$53,093,397), 315.52 (\$15,914,427), and 316.52 (\$958,616).
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rates are 3.5%, 4.0%, 4.1% and 3.7%.
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

(F) Line 9b x Line 11

End of

Period

Total

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 3 SCR (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	• •
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	\$81,764,602	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(27,938,697)	(28,190,771)	(28,442,845)	(28,694,919)	(28,946,993)	(29,199,067)	(29,451,141)	(29,703,215)	(29,955,289)	(30,207,363)	(30,459,437)	(30,711,511)	(30,963,585)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$53,825,905	53,573,831	53,321,757	53,069,683	52,817,609	52,565,535	52,313,461	52,061,387	51,809,313	51,557,239	51,305,165	51,053,091	50,801,017	
6.	Average Net Investment		53,699,868	53,447,794	53,195,720	52,943,646	52,691,572	52,439,498	52,187,424	51,935,350	51,683,276	51,431,202	51,179,128	50,927,054	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$257,885	\$256,674	\$255,464	\$254,253	\$253,042	\$251,832	\$252,505	\$251,285	\$250,065	\$248,846	\$247,626	\$246,406	\$3,025,883
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		80,366	79,989	79,612	79,235	78,857	78,480	74,511	74,151	73,791	73,431	73,071	72,711	918,205
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$252,074	\$252,074	\$252,074	\$252,074	\$252,074	\$252,074	\$252,074	\$252,074	\$252,074	\$252,074	\$252,074	\$252,074	\$3,024,888
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		590,325	588,737	587,150	585,562	583,973	582,386	579,090	577,510	575.930	574,351	572.771	571.191	6,968,976
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		590,325	588,737	587,150	585,562	583,973	582,386	579,090	577,510	575,930	574,351	572,771	571,191	6,968,976
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
10.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
	Demand ounsaidtionain actor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		590,325	588,737	587,150	585,562	583,973	582,386	579,090	577,510	575,930	574,351	572,771	571,191	6,968,976
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13	s)	\$590,325	\$588,737	\$587,150	\$585,562	\$583,973	\$582,386	\$579,090	\$577,510	\$575,930	\$574,351	\$572,771	\$571,191	\$6,968,976

2

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 311.53 (\$21,689,422), 312.53 (\$45,559,543), 315.53 (\$13,690,954), and 316.53 (\$824,684).

 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rates are 3.1%, 3.9%, 4.0%, and 3.4%
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 4 SCR (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
LINE	Description	T ellou Alliouni	January	rebluary	Warch	Арпі	iviay	Julie	July	August	Geptember	Octobel	November	December	Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	(\$34)	\$431	\$2,699	\$5,941	\$7,263	\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$916,300
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	(34)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(34)
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$65,312,615	\$65,312,615	\$65,312,581	\$65,312,581	\$65,312,581	\$65,312,581	\$65,312,581	\$65,312,581	\$65,312,581	\$65,312,581	\$65,312,581	\$65,312,581	\$65,312,581	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(22,513,773)	(22,701,483)	(22,889,193)	(23,076,903)	(23,264,613)	(23,452,323)	(23,640,033)	(23,827,743)	(24,015,453)	(24,203,163)	(24,390,873)	(24,578,583)	(24,766,293)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	431	3,131	9,071	16,335	466,335	466,335	916,335	916,335	916,335	916,335	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$42,798,842	42,611,132	42,423,388	42,236,109	42,051,098	41,869,329	41,688,882	41,951,172	41,763,462	42,025,752	41,838,042	41,650,332	41,462,622	
6.	Average Net Investment		42,704,987	42,517,260	42,329,748	42,143,603	41,960,213	41,779,105	41,820,027	41,857,317	41,894,607	41,931,897	41,744,187	41,556,477	
	-														
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	 Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 		\$205,084	\$204,182	\$203,282	\$202,388	\$201,507	\$200,637	\$202,343	\$202,523	\$202,704	\$202,884	\$201,976	\$201,068	\$2,430,578
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		63,912	63,631	63,350	63,071	62,797	62,526	59,709	59,762	59,815	59,868	59,600	59,332	737,373
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$187,710	\$187,710	\$187,710	\$187,710	\$187,710	\$187,710	\$187,710	\$187,710	\$187,710	\$187,710	\$187,710	\$187,710	\$2,252,520
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		456,706	455,523	454,342	453,169	452,014	450,873	449,762	449,995	450.229	450,462	449.286	448.110	5,420,471
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		456,706	455,523	454,342	453,169	452,014	450,873	449,762	449,995	450,229	450,462	449,286	448,110	5,420,471
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		456,706	455.523	454.342	453.169	452,014	450.873	449.762	449.995	450.229	450.462	449.286	448.110	5,420,471
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	00,020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,120,111
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13))	\$456,706	\$455,523	\$454,342	\$453,169	\$452,014	\$450,873	\$449,762	\$449,995	\$450,229	\$450,462	\$449,286	\$448,110	\$5,420,471

C

Notes: (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 311.54 (\$16,857,250), 312.54 (\$36,567,266), 315.54 (\$10,642,027), 316.54 (\$687,934), and 315.40 (\$558,103) (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rates are 2.4%, 3.8%, 3.9%, 3.3%, and 3.7%
 (E) Line 9a x Line 10

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend FGD System Reliability (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	\$24,336,707	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(4,600,662)	(4,651,971)	(4,703,280)	(4,754,589)	(4,805,898)	(4,857,207)	(4,908,516)	(4,959,825)	(5,011,134)	(5,062,443)	(5,113,752)	(5,165,061)	(5,216,370)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$19,736,045	19,684,736	19,633,427	19,582,118	19,530,809	19,479,500	19,428,191	19,376,882	19,325,573	19,274,264	19,222,955	19,171,646	19,120,337	
6.	Average Net Investment		19,710,391	19,659,082	19,607,773	19,556,464	19,505,155	19,453,846	19,402,537	19,351,228	19,299,919	19,248,610	19,197,301	19,145,992	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$94,656	\$94,409	\$94,163	\$93,917	\$93,670	\$93,424	\$93,878	\$93,629	\$93,381	\$93,133	\$92,885	\$92,636	\$1,123,781
	 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 		29,498	29,421	29,345	29,268	29,191	29,114	27,702	27,629	27,555	27,482	27,409	27,336	340,950
8.	Investment Expenses														
0.	a. Depreciation (D)		\$51,309	\$51,309	\$51,309	\$51.309	\$51,309	\$51,309	\$51,309	\$51,309	\$51.309	\$51,309	\$51,309	\$51.309	\$615,708
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		175,463	175,139	174,817	174,494	174,170	173,847	172,889	172,567	172,245	171,924	171,603	171,281	2,080,439
0.	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		175,463	175,139	174,817	174,494	174,170	173,847	172,889	172,567	172,245	171,924	171,603	171,281	2,080,439
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		175,463	175,139	174,817	174,494	174,170	173,847	172,889	172,567	172,245	171,924	171,603	171,281	2,080,439
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$175,463	\$175,139	\$174,817	\$174,494	\$174,170	\$173,847	\$172,889	\$172,567	\$172,245	\$171,924	\$171,603	\$171,281	\$2,080,439

Notes:

(A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.45 (\$22,880,499) and 312.44 (\$1,456,209).
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)
 (C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)
 (D) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.5% and 3.0%.

(E) Line 9a x Line 10 (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Mercury Air Toxics Standards (MATS) (in Dollars)

															End of
		Beginning of	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Period
Line	Description	Period Amount	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
1	Investments														
1.	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$21,483	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20,095	\$0	\$350.000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$391,578
	b. Clearings to Plant		φ0 0	0	φ <u>2</u> 1,400 0	21.483	0	φ0 0	φ20,000	φ0 0	φ000,000 0	φ0 0	0	20,095	41.578
	c. Retirements		ő	Ő	Ő	21,100	Ő	ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	ő	ő	20,000	11,010
	d. Other - AFUDC (excl from CWIP)		0	õ	0	õ	0	0	Ō	0	Ō	0	0 0	õ	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$8,586,395	\$8,586,395	\$8,586,395	\$8,586,395	\$8,607,879	\$8,607,879	\$8,607,879	\$8,607,879	\$8,607,879	\$8,607,879	\$8,607,879	\$8,607,879	\$8,627,974	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(1,155,720)	(1,177,599)	(1,199,478)	(1,221,357)	(1,243,236)	(1,265,371)	(1,287,506)	(1,309,641)	(1,331,776)	(1,353,911)	(1,376,046)	(1,398,181)	(1,420,316)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	21,483	0	0	0	20,095	20,095	370,095	370,095	370,095	350,000	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$7,430,675	7,408,796	7,386,917	7,386,522	7,364,643	7,342,508	7,320,373	7,318,333	7,296,198	7,624,063	7,601,928	7,579,793	7,557,658	
6.	Average Net Investment		7,419,736	7,397,857	7,386,720	7,375,582	7,353,575	7,331,440	7,319,353	7,307,265	7,460,130	7,612,995	7,590,860	7,568,725	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$35,632	\$35,527	\$35,473	\$35,420	\$35,314	\$35,208	\$35,414	\$35,356	\$36,095	\$36,835	\$36,728	\$36,621	\$429,623
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		11,104	11,072	11,055	11,038	11,005	10,972	10,450	10,433	10,651	10,869	10,838	10,806	130,293
			,	,=	,	,	,							,	,
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$21,879	\$21,879	\$21,879	\$21,879	\$22,135	\$22,135	\$22,135	\$22,135	\$22,135	\$22,135	\$22,135	\$22,135	\$264,596
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		68,615	68,478	68,407	68,337	68.454	68,315	67.999	67.924	68.881	69,839	69,701	69,562	824,512
9.	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		68,615	68,478	68,407	68,337	68,454 68,454	68,315	67,999	67,924	68,881	69,839 69,839	69,701	69,562	824,512 824,512
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		00,015	00,478	00,407	00,337	00,454	00,315	07,999	07,924	00,001	09,039	09,701	09,502	024,512
	b. Recoverable costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		68,615	68,478	68,407	68,337	68,454	68,315	67,999	67,924	68,881	69,839	69,701	69,562	824,512
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13	3)	\$68,615	\$68,478	\$68,407	\$68,337	\$68,454	\$68,315	\$67,999	\$67,924	\$68,881	\$69,839	\$69,701	\$69,562	\$824,512

Notes:

(A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend and Polk; accounts 312.44 (\$3,427,481), 341.80(\$26,150), 315.40 (\$1,226,949), 315.41 (\$138,853), 315.42 (\$138,853), 312.45 (\$2,053,017), 312.46 (\$1,242,315), 315.44 (\$16,035), 315.45 (\$40,217) and 315.46 (\$50,784), 311.40 (\$13,216), 345.81 (\$22,327), 312.54 (\$210,295) and 395.00 (\$21,483)

(B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(c) Line 6 v3.72207 x 172 (Jan-Jul) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 172 (Jul-Dec).
 (D) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.0%, 2.2%, 3.7%, 3.5%, 3.3%, 2.5%, 3.3%, 3.2%, 3.1%, 3.5%, 2.9%, 3.3%, 3.8% and 14.3%

(E) Line 9a x Line 10

Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018

For Project: SO₂ Emissions Allowances (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Purchases/Transfers		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Sales/Transfers		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Auction Proceeds/Other		0	0	0	0	0	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	97
2.	Working Capital Balance														
	a. FERC 158.1 Allowance Inventory	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	b. FERC 158.2 Allowances Withheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. FERC 182.3 Other Regl. Assets - Losses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-	d. FERC 254.01 Regulatory Liabilities - Gains	(34,513)	(34,472)	(34,472)	(34,472)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	
3.	Total Working Capital Balance	(\$34,513)	(34,472)	(34,472)	(34,472)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	
4.	Average Net Working Capital Balance		(34,493)	(34,472)	(34,472)	(34,456)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	(34,440)	
5.	Return on Average Net Working Capital Balance														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		(\$166)	(\$166)	(\$166)	(\$165)	(\$165)	(\$165)	(\$167)	(\$167)	(\$167)	(\$167)	(\$167)	(\$167)	(\$1,995)
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		(52)	(52)	(52)	(52)	(52)	(52)	(49)	(49)	(49)	(49)	(49)	(49)	(606)
6.	Total Return Component	_	(218)	(218)	(218)	(217)	(217)	(217)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(2,601)
7.	Expenses:														
	a. Gains		0	0	0	0	0	(97)	0	0	0	0	0	0	(97)
	b. Losses		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. SO ₂ Allowance Expense		(34)	5	8	(16)	22	14	(50)	12	12	(33)	12	12	(36)
8.	Net Expenses (D)	_	(34)	5	8	(16)	22	(83)	(50)	12	12	(33)	12	12	(133)
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 6 + 8)		(252)	(213)	(210)	(233)	(195)	(300)	(266)	(204)	(204)	(249)	(204)	(204)	(2,734)
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		(252)	(213)	(210)	(233)	(195)	(300)	(266)	(204)	(204)	(249)	(204)	(204)	(2,734)
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		(252)	(213)	(210)	(233)	(195)	(300)	(266)	(204)	(204)	(249)	(204)	(204)	(2,734)
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	-	(\$252)	(\$213)	(\$210)	(\$233)	(\$195)	(\$300)	(\$266)	(\$204)	(\$204)	(\$249)	(\$204)	(\$204)	(\$2,734)

16

Notes: (A) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295) (B) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec) (C) Line 6 is reported on Schedule 7E.

(D) Line 8 is reported on Schedule 5E.

(E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Big Bend Gypsum Storage Facility (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1	Investments														
1.	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other - AFUDC (excl from CWIP)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	\$21,467,359	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(1,909,779)	(1,961,658)	(2,013,537)	(2,065,416)	(2,117,295)	(2,169,174)	(2,221,053)	(2,272,932)	(2,324,811)	(2,376,690)	(2,428,569)	(2,480,448)	(2,532,327)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$19,557,580	19,505,701	19,453,822	19,401,943	19,350,064	19,298,185	19,246,306	19,194,427	19,142,548	19,090,669	19,038,790	18,986,911	18,935,032	
6.	Average Net Investment		19,531,641	19,479,762	19,427,883	19,376,004	19,324,125	19,272,246	19,220,367	19,168,488	19,116,609	19,064,730	19,012,851	18,960,972	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$93,797	\$93,548	\$93,299	\$93,050	\$92,801	\$92,552	\$92,996	\$92,745	\$92,494	\$92,243	\$91,992	\$91,741	\$1,113,258
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		29,231	29,153	29,075	28,998	28,920	28,843	27,442	27,368	27,294	27,220	27,146	27,072	337,762
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$51,879	\$51,879	\$51,879	\$51,879	\$51,879	\$51,879	\$51,879	\$51,879	\$51,879	\$51,879	\$51,879	\$51,879	\$622,548
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		174,907	174,580	174,253	173,927	173,600	173,274	172,317	171,992	171,667	171,342	171.017	170,692	2,073,568
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		174,907	174,580	174,253	173,927	173,600	173,274	172,317	171,992	171,667	171,342	171,017	170,692	2,073,568
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		174,907	174,580	174,253	173,927	173,600	173,274	172,317	171,992	171,667	171,342	171,017	170,692	2,073,568
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$174,907	\$174,580	\$174,253	\$173,927	\$173,600	\$173,274	\$172,317	\$171,992	\$171,667	\$171,342	\$171,017	\$170,692	\$2,073,568

Notes: (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 311.40 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.9%
(E) Line 9a x Line 10

Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Coal Combustion Residual (CCR Rule) - Phase I (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant		\$5,637 0	\$51,314 0	\$6,003 0	\$11,226 0	\$6,964 0	\$13,389 0	\$507,799 0	\$140,200 842,772	\$505,323 505,323	\$121,700 111,700	\$49,700 29,700	\$190,184 220,184	\$1,609,440 1,709,679
	c. Retirements d. Other - AFUDC (excl from CWIP)		0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	
2. 3. 4.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A) Less: Accumulated Depreciation CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	\$668,735 (8,097) 100,239	\$668,735 (9,769) 105,876	\$668,735 (11,441) 157,191	\$668,735 (13,113) 163,194	\$668,735 (14,785) 174,420	\$668,735 (16,457) 181,384	\$668,735 (18,129) 194,773	\$668,735 (19,801) 702,572	\$1,511,507 (21,473)	\$2,016,830 (25,252)	\$2,128,530 (30,294) 10,000	\$2,158,230 (35,615) 30,000	\$2,378,414 (41,011) 0	
4. 5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$760,877	764,842	814,485	818,816	828,370	833,662	845,379	1,351,506	1,490,034	1,991,578	2,108,236	2,152,615	2,337,403	
6.	Average Net Investment		762,860	789,663	816,650	823,593	831,016	839,520	1,098,442	1,420,770	1,740,806	2,049,907	2,130,425	2,245,009	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$3,664	\$3,792	\$3,922	\$3,955	\$3,991	\$4,032	\$5,315	\$6,874	\$8,423	\$9,918	\$10,308	\$10,862	\$75,056
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		1,142	1,182	1,222	1,233	1,244	1,256	1,568	2,029	2,485	2,927	3,042	3,205	22,535
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization		\$1,672 0	\$1,672 0	\$1,672 0	\$1,672 0	\$1,672 0	\$1,672 0	\$1,672 0	\$1,672 0	\$3,779 0	\$5,042 0	\$5,321 0	\$5,396 0	\$32,914 0
	c. Dismantlement d. Property Taxes e. Other		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	-	6,478	6,646	6,816	6,860	6,907	6,960	8,555	10,575	14,687	17,887	18,671	19,463	130,505
9.	 a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 		6,478 0 6,478	6,646 0 6,646	6,816 0	6,860 0 6,860	6,907 0 6,907	6,960 0 6,960	8,555 0 8,555	10,575 0 10,575	14,687 0 14,687	17,887 0 17,887	18,671 0 18,671	19,463 0 19,463	130,505 0 130,505
10. 11.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	1.0000000 1.0000000	
12. 13.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0 6.478	0 6.646	0 6.816	0 6.860	0 6.907	0 6.960	0 8.555	0 10.575	0 14.687	0 17.887	0 18.671	0 19.463	0 130,505
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)) -	\$6,478	\$6,646	\$6,816	\$6,860	\$6,907	\$6,960	\$8,555	\$10,575	\$14,687	\$17,887	\$18,671	\$19,463	\$130,505

48

Notes: (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.44 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.0%
(E) Line 9a x Line 10

Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR Rule - Phase II) (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$64	\$788	\$436	\$934	\$2,259	\$18,519	\$18,519	\$18,519	\$18,519	\$18,519	\$18,519	\$115,595
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other - AFUDC (excl from CWIP)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	64	851	1,287	2,221	4,481	23,000	41,519	60,038	78,557	97,076	115,595	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$0	0	64	851	1,287	2,221	4,481	23,000	41,519	60,038	78,557	97,076	115,595	
6.	Average Net Investment		0	32	457	1,069	1,754	3,351	13,740	32,259	50,778	69,297	87,816	106,335	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$0	\$0	\$2	\$5	\$8	\$16	\$66	\$156	\$246	\$335	\$425	\$514	\$1,773
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		0	0	1	2	3	5	20	46	72	99	125	152	525
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		0	0	3	7	11	21	86	202	318	434	550	666	2,298
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	3	7	11	21	86	202	318	434	550	666	2,298
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	_	0	0	3	7	11	21	86	202	318	434	550	666	2,298
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13	3)	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$7	\$11	\$21	\$86	\$202	\$318	\$434	\$550	\$666	\$2,298

(C

 Notes:
 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.44
 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.0%
(E) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend ELG Rule Compliance (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amoun	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$150,000
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other - AFUDC (excl from CWIP)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,000	100,000	150,000	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,000	100,000	150,000	
6.	Average Net Investment		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25,000	75,000	125,000	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$121	\$363	\$605	\$1,089
	b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	107	178	321
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (D)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	157	470	783	1,410
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	157	470	783	1,410
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
11.			1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	157	470	783	1,410
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 1	3)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$157	\$470	\$783	\$1,410

Notes: (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.45 (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.5%
(E) Line 9a x Line 10

(F) Line 9b x Line 11

CT

Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to December 2018

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 1 Section 316(b) Impingement Mortality (in Dollars)

b. Clearings to Plant 0	Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amoun	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
b. Clearings to Plant 0	1.	Investments														
c. Retirements 0		a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$75,000	\$400,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$2,475,000
d. Other - AFUDC (axcl from CWIP) 0		b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Plantin - Service/Depreciation Base (A) S0 S0 <ths0< th=""> S0 S0 S0<!--</td--><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td></ths0<>				0								0	0	0	0	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation 0		d. Other - AFUDC (excl from CWIP)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 0 0 0 0 0 0 75,000 475,000 975,000 1,475,000 1,975,000 2,475,000 5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75,000 475,000 975,000 1,475,000 1,975,000 2,475,000 6. Average Net Investment 0 0 0 0 0 0 37,500 275,000 725,000 1,275,000 2,225,000 7. Return on Average Net Investment .	2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75,000 475,000 975,000 1,475,000 1,975,000 2,475,000 6. Average Net Investment 0 0 0 0 0 0 37,500 275,000 725,000 1,225,000 1,725,000 2,225,000 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,331 \$3,508 \$5,927 \$8,346 \$10,765 \$1 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 0 0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,331 \$3,508 \$5,927 \$8,346 \$10,765 \$2 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$0	3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6. Average Net Investment 0 0 0 0 0 37,500 275,000 725,000 1,225,000 2,225,000 7. Return on Average Net Investment	4.		0	0	-											
7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization 0 0<!--</td--><td>5.</td><td>Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)</td><td>\$0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>75,000</td><td>475,000</td><td>975,000</td><td>1,475,000</td><td>1,975,000</td><td>2,475,000</td><td></td>	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75,000	475,000	975,000	1,475,000	1,975,000	2,475,000	
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,31 \$1,311 \$1,331 \$1,331 </td <td>6.</td> <td>Average Net Investment</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>37,500</td> <td>275,000</td> <td>725,000</td> <td>1,225,000</td> <td>1,725,000</td> <td>2,225,000</td> <td></td>	6.	Average Net Investment		0	0	0	0	0	0	37,500	275,000	725,000	1,225,000	1,725,000	2,225,000	
b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 0 0 0 0 54 393 1,035 1,749 2,463 3,177 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) \$0 \$	7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization \$0				\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$181						\$30,058
a. Depreciation (D) \$0		b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	54	393	1,035	1,749	2,463	3,177	8,871
b. Amortization 0	8.	Investment Expenses														
c. Dismantlement 0		a. Depreciation (D)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Taxes 0		b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other 0<				0								0	0	0	0	0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) 0				0	-					-	-	-	0	0	0	0
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 0 <td></td> <td>e. Other</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td>		e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 235 1,724 4,543 7,676 10,809 13,942 10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 1.0000000 1.000000 1.000000<	9.			0	0		0	0	0	235	1,724	4,543	7,676	10,809	13,942	38,929
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 1.00000000 1.0000000 1				0	0		0							0	0	0
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 1.0000000		b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	235	1,724	4,543	7,676	10,809	13,942	38,929
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 1.0000000	10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	
	12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0												38,929
14. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$235 \$1,724 \$4,543 \$7,676 \$10,809 \$13,942 \$235	14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 1	3)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$235	\$1,724	\$4,543	\$7,676	\$10,809	\$13,942	\$38,929

Notes: (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 316.46 (\$0) and 346.30 (\$0) (B) Line 6 x 5.7628% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 5.8061% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec). Based on ROE of 10.25% and weighted income tax rate of 25.345% (expansion factor of 1.34295)

(C) Line 6 x 1.7959% x 1/12 (Jan-Jun) and Line 6 x 1.7133% x 1/12 (Jul-Dec)

(D) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.9 and 3.2%
(E) Line 9a x Line 10

DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI ECRC 2018 ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP EXHIBIT NO. PAR-2, DOCUMENT NO. 9, PAGE 1 OF 2

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount January 2018 to June 2018 Form 42 - 9E Page 1 of 2

Calculation of Revenue Requirement Rate of Return

(In Dollars)

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
		urisdictional			Weighted	
	5	Rate Base		Cost	Cost	
	Act	tual May 2017	Ratio	Rate	Rate	
		(\$000)	%	%	%	
Long Term Debt	\$	1,611,554	33.14%	5.12%	1.6968%	
Short Term Debt		118,708	2.44%	1.55%	0.0378%	
Preferred Stock		0	0.00%	0.00%	0.0000%	
Customer Deposits		101,181	2.08%	2.55%	0.0531%	
Common Equity		2,031,177	41.77%	10.25%	4.2815%	
Accum. Deferred Inc. Taxes & Zero Cost ITC's		988,845	20.34%	0.00%	0.0000%	
Deferred ITC - Weighted Cost		<u>11,216</u>	<u>0.23%</u>	7.78%	<u>0.0179%</u>	
Total	\$	4,862,681	<u>100.00%</u>		<u>6.09%</u>	
ITC split between Debt and Equity:						
Long Term Debt	\$	1,611,554	L	ong Term De	bt	42.84%
Short Term Debt	*	118,708		Short Term De		3.16%
Equity - Preferred		0	E	Equity - Prefer	red	0.00%
Equity - Common		2,031,177	E	Equity - Comn	non	<u>54.00%</u>
Total	\$	3,761,439		Total		<u>100.00%</u>
Deferred ITC - Weighted Cost:						
Debt = 0.0179% * 46.00%		0.0082%				
Equity = 0.0179% * 54.00%		0.0097%				
Weighted Cost		0.0179%				
-						
Total Equity Cost Rate:						
Preferred Stock		0.0000%				
Common Equity		4.2815% 0.0097%				
Deferred ITC - Weighted Cost		<u>0.0097%</u> 4.2912%				
Times Tax Multiplier		1.34295				
Total Equity Component		5.7628%				
		<u>0.102070</u>				
Total Debt Cost Rate:						
Long Term Debt		1.6968%				
Short Term Debt		0.0378%				
Customer Deposits		0.0531%				
Deferred ITC - Weighted Cost		<u>0.0082%</u>				
Total Debt Component		<u>1.7959%</u>				
		7.5587%				
	_					

Notes:

Column (1) - Per WACC Stipulation & Settlement Agreement Dated July 17, 2012 and 2017 Settlement Agreement Dated September 27, 2017. Column (2) - Column (1) / Total Column (1)

Column (3) - Per WACC Stipulation & Settlement Agreement Dated July 17, 2012 and 2017 Settlement Agreement Dated September 27, 2017. Column (4) - Column (2) x Column (3)

DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI ECRC 2018 ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP EXHIBIT NO. PAR-2, DOCUMENT NO. 9, PAGE 2 OF 2

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount July 2018 to December 2018 Form 42 - 9E Page 2 of 2

Calculation of Revenue Requirement Rate of Return

(In Dollars)

		<i></i>			(1)	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
		Jurisdictional		o <i>i</i>	Weighted	
	٨	Rate Base ctual May 2018	Ratio	Cost Rate	Cost Rate	
	A	(\$000)	Kalio %	Kale %	%	
Long Term Debt	\$	1,719,219	30.51%	5.13%	1.5652%	
Short Term Debt	+	244,333	4.34%	2.18%	0.0945%	
Preferred Stock		0	0.00%	0.00%	0.0000%	
Customer Deposits		96,005	1.70%	2.43%	0.0414%	
Common Equity		2,367,502	42.02%	10.25%	4.3067%	
Accum. Deferred Inc. Taxes & Zero Cost ITC's Deferred ITC - Weighted Cost		1,187,473	21.07% 0.36%	0.00% 8.10%	0.0000%	
Deletted TTC - Weighted Cost		<u>20,116</u>	0.30%	0.10%	<u>0.0289%</u>	
Total	\$	5,634,648	<u>100.00%</u>		<u>6.04%</u>	
ITC and that was n Date and Family						
ITC split between Debt and Equity: Long Term Debt	\$	1,719,219		ong Term De	bt	42.07%
Equity - Preferred	Ψ	1,713,219		quity - Prefei		0.00%
Equity - Common		2,367,502		quity - Comn		<u>57.93%</u>
				. ,		
Total	<u>\$</u>	4,086,721		Total		<u>100.00%</u>
Deferred ITC - Weighted Cost:						
Debt = 0.0289% * 42.07%		0.0122%				
Equity = 0.0289% * 57.93%		<u>0.0167%</u>				
Weighted Cost		<u>0.0289%</u>				
Total Equity Cost Rate:						
Preferred Stock		0.0000%				
Common Equity		4.3067%				
Deferred ITC - Weighted Cost		<u>0.0167%</u>				
Times Tax Multiplier		4.3234% 1.34295				
Total Equity Component		<u>5.8061%</u>				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u></u>				
Total Debt Cost Rate:						
Long Term Debt		1.5652%				
Short Term Debt		0.0945%				
Customer Deposits		0.0414%				
Deferred ITC - Weighted Cost		<u>0.0122%</u>				
Total Debt Component		<u>1.7133%</u>				
		7 540 40/				
		7.5194%				

Notes:

Column (1) - Per WACC Stipulation & Settlement Agreement Dated July 17, 2012 and 2017 Settlement Agreement Dated September 27, 2017. Column (2) - Column (1) / Total Column (1)

Column (3) - Per WACC Stipulation & Settlement Agreement Dated July 17, 2012 and 2017 Settlement Agreement Dated September 27, 2017. Column (4) - Column (2) x Column (3)

DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI ECRC 2018 ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP EXHIBIT NO. PAR-3

INDEX

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP AMOUNT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 2018 THROUGH DECEMBER 2018

NOT INCLUDING THE COMPANY'S TWO

NEW PROPOSED ECRC PROJECTS

FORMS 42-1E THROUGH 42-7E

DOCUMENT NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	FORM 42-1E	55
2	FORM 42-2E	56
3	FORM 42-3E	57
4	FORM 42-4E	58
5	FORM 42-5E	59
6	FORM 42-6E	60
7	FORM 42-7E	61

<u>Tampa Electric Company</u> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount Not Including the Company's Two New Proposed ECRC Projects January 2018 to December 2018 (in Dollars)	Form 42 - 1E
Line	Period Amount
1. Over/(Under) Recovery for the Current Period (Form 42-2E, Line 5)	\$13,299,870
2. Interest Provision (Form 42-2E, Line 6)	212,407
 Sum of Current Period Adjustments (Form 42-2E, Line 10) 	0_
 Current Period True-Up Amount to be Refunded/(Recovered) in the Projection Period January 2019 to December 2019 (Lines 1 + 2 + 3) 	\$13,512,277

55

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount Not Including the Company's Two New Proposed ECRC Projects January 2018 to December 2018

Current Period True-Up Amount

(in Dollars)

Line	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
ECRC Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes) True-Up Provision ECRC Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)	\$5,299,826 508,445 5,808,271	\$4,794,184 508,445 5,302,629	\$4,754,839 508,445 5,263,284	\$4,804,461 508,445 5,312,906	\$5,074,853 508,445 5,583,298	\$5,873,006 508,445 6,381,451	\$6,540,375 508,445 7,048,820	\$6,493,000 508,445 7,001,445	\$6,689,809 508,445 7,198,254	\$5,928,024 508,445 6,436,469	\$4,939,446 508,445 5,447,891	\$4,863,661 508,449 5,372,110	\$66,055,485 6,101,344 72,156,829
 Jurisdictional ECRC Costs O & M Activities (Form 42-5E, Line 9) Capital Investment Projects (Form 42-7E, Line 9) Total Jurisdictional ECRC Costs 	1,874,870 3,891,399 5,766,269	2,166,060 3,881,399 6,047,459	1,373,137 3,871,500 5,244,637	959,540 3,861,963 4,821,503	1,185,543 3,853,761 5,039,304	743,043 3,845,686 4,588,729	405,177 3,837,441 4,242,618	403,175 3,831,106 4,234,281	395,441 3,828,163 4,223,604	910,226 3,824,424 4,734,650	1,021,725 3,815,756 4,837,481	1,269,328 3,807,095 5,076,423	12,707,265 46,149,693 58,856,958
5. Over/Under Recovery (Line 3 - Line 4c)	42,002.00	(744,830)	18,647	491,403	543,994.00	1,792,722.00	2,806,202.00	2,767,164.00	2,974,650.00	1,701,819.00	610,410.00	295,687	13,299,870
6. Interest Provision (Form 42-3E, Line 10) 7. Beginning Balance True-Up & Interest Provision ¹	9,356 6,101,344	8,341 5,644,257	8,197 4,399,323	8,382 3,917,722	8,410 3,909,062	9,750 3,953,021	14,605 5,247,048	20,782 7,559,410	25,644 9,838,911	31,016 12,330,760	33,986 13,555,150	33,938 13,691,101	212,407 6,101,344
 Deferred True-Up from January to December 2018 (Order No. PSC-2018-0014-FOF-EI) 	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666	1,498,666
8. True-Up Collected/(Refunded) (see Line 2)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,445)	(508,449)	(6,101,344)
9. End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 5+6+7+7a+8)	7,142,923	5,897,989	5,416,388	5,407,728	5,451,687	6,745,714	9,058,076	11,337,577	13,829,426	15,053,816	15,189,767	15,010,943	15,010,943
10. Adjustment to Period True-Up Including Interest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11. End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 9 + 10)	\$7,142,923	\$5,897,989	\$5,416,388	\$5,407,728	\$5,451,687	\$6,745,714	\$9,058,076	\$11,337,577	\$13,829,426	\$15,053,816	\$15,189,767	\$15,010,943	\$15,010,943

Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount Not Including the Company's Two New Proposed ECRC Projects January 2018 to December 2018

Interest Provision

(in Dollars)

Line	-	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total
1.	Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2E, Line 7 + 7a + 10)	\$7,600,010	\$7,142,923	\$5,897,989	\$5,416,388	\$5,407,728	\$5,451,687	\$6,745,714	\$9,058,076	\$11,337,577	\$13,829,426	\$15,053,816	\$15,189,767	
2.	Ending True-Up Amount Before Interest	7,133,567	5,889,648	5,408,191	5,399,346	5,443,277	6,735,964	9,043,471	11,316,795	13,803,782	15,022,800	15,155,781	14,977,005	
3.	Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2)	14,733,577	13,032,571	11,306,180	10,815,734	10,851,005	12,187,651	15,789,185	20,374,871	25,141,359	28,852,226	30,209,597	30,166,772	
4.	Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2)	7,366,789	6,516,286	5,653,090	5,407,867	5,425,503	6,093,826	7,894,593	10,187,436	12,570,680	14,426,113	15,104,799	15,083,386	
5.	Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Business Month)	1.58%	1.46%	1.62%	1.86%	1.85%	1.86%	1.98%	2.45%	2.45%	2.45%	2.70%	2.70%	
6.	Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Business Month)	1.46%	1.62%	1.86%	1.85%	1.86%	1.98%	2.45%	2.45%	2.45%	2.70%	2.70%	2.70%	
7.	Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6)	3.04%	3.08%	3.48%	3.71%	3.71%	3.84%	4.43%	4.90%	4.90%	5.15%	5.40%	5.40%	
8.	Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2)	1.520%	1.540%	1.740%	1.855%	1.855%	1.920%	2.215%	2.450%	2.450%	2.575%	2.700%	2.700%	
9.	Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12)	0.127%	0.128%	0.145%	0.155%	0.155%	0.160%	0.185%	0.204%	0.204%	0.215%	0.225%	0.225%	\$212,4

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount Not Including the Company's Two New Proposed ECRC Projects January 2018 to December 2018

Variance Report of O & M Activities

(In Dollars)

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Original	Variance	•
Line	_	Actual / Estimated	Projection	Amount	Percent
1.	Description of O&M Activities				
	a. Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration	\$1,894,681	\$4,423,789	(\$2,529,108)	-57.2%
	 b. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning c. SO₂ Emissions Allowances 	0 (98)	0 9,151	0 (9,249)	0.0% 101.1%-
	d. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD	570,804	2,200,000	(1,629,196)	-74.1%
	e. Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring	406,562	611,283	(204,721)	-33.5%
	f. Big Bend NO _x Emissions Reduction	78,693	138,956	(60,263)	-43.4%
	g. NPDES Annual Surveillance Fees	35,883	34,500	1,383	4.0%
	h. Gannon Thermal Discharge Study	0	0	0	0.0%
	i. Polk NO _x Emissions Reduction	5,317	19,988	(14,671)	-73.4%
	j. Bayside SCR Consumables	111,102	203,882	(92,779)	-45.5%
	k. Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA	0	37,200	(37,200)	-100.0%
	I. Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR	39	37,200	(37,161)	-99.9%
	m. Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR	1,450	37,200	(35,750)	-96.1%
	n. Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR	3,808	37,200	(33,392)	-89.8%
	 Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study 	74,158	321,000	(246,842)	-76.9%
	p. Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program	0	0	0	0.0%
	q. Big Bend 1 SCR	351,102	1,498,585	(1,147,483)	-76.6%
	r. Big Bend 2 SCR	361,113	1,629,977	(1,268,864)	-77.8%
	s. Big Bend 3 SCR	1,553,384	1,694,774	(141,390)	-8.3%
	t. Big Bend 4 SCR	651,145	1,061,162	(410,017)	-38.6%
	u. Mercury Air Toxics Standards	24,378	231,000	(206,622)	-89.4%
	v. Greenhouse Gas Reduction Program	95,974	93,149	2,825	3.0%
	 Big Bend Gypsum Storage Facility 	1,638,273	1,663,000	(24,727)	-1.5%
	x. CCR Rule - Phase I	38,250	0	38,250	N/A
	y. Big Bend ELG Rule Study	54,007	0	54,007	N/A
	z. CCR Rule - Phase II	4,757,238	6,125,000	(1,367,762)	-22.3%
2.	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$12,707,265	\$22,107,996	(\$9,400,732)	-42.5%
3.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$12,597,223	\$21,752,496	(\$9,155,273)	-42.1%
4.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$110,042	\$355,500	(\$245,459)	-69.0%

Notes:

Column (1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-5E.

Column (2) is the approved projected amount in accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-2018-0014-FOF-EI. Column (3) = Column (1) - Column (2) Column (4) = Column (3) / Column (2)

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount Not Including the Company's Two New Proposed ECRC Projects January 2018 to December 2018

O&M Activities (in Dollars)

		Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	End of Period	Method of	Classification
Line	_	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	Demand	Energy
1.	Description of O&M Activities															
	a. Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration	452,214	273,733	291,066	358,824	331,130	187,714	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,894,681		\$1,894,681
	b. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	c. SO ₂ Emissions Allowances	(34)	5	8	(16)	22	(83)	0	0	0	0	0	0	(98)		(98)
	d. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD	17,413	66,376	55,024	54,100	100,066	19,825	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000	570,804		570,804
	e. Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring	52,762	44,712	67,899	54,273	45,912	27,938	15,000	15,000	8,065	25,000	25,000	25,000	406,562		406,562
	f. Big Bend NO_x Emissions Reduction	37	34,122	266	2,757	78	29,434	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	78,693		78,693
	g. NPDES Annual Surveillance Fees	34,500	0	0	0	0	1,383	0	0	0	0	0	0	35,883	\$35,883	
	h. Gannon Thermal Discharge Study	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	i. Polk NO _x Emissions Reduction	688	853	440	0	0	35	950	950	400	0	250	750	5,317		5,317
	j. Bayside SCR and Ammonia	16,454	3,210	8,560	12,325	3,210	11,843	12,500	10,000	9,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	111,102		111,102
	k. Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	 Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR 	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39		39
	m. Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR	635	0	0	815	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,450		1,450
	n. Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR	0	0	0	0	3,714	94	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,808		3,808
	 Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study 	4,499	14,303	174	21,348	75	9	0	1,250	1,250	1,250	12,500	17,500	74,158	74,158	
	 Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program 	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	q. Big Bend 1 SCR	6,777	18,340	3,087	32,717	33,063	14,694	40,801	41,277	39,690	50,168	24,607	45,881	351,102		351,102
	r. Big Bend 2 SCR	4,267	6,863	6,549	54,763	9,514	7,682	45,405	45,722	47,627	60,328	24,607	47,786	361,113		361,113
	s. Big Bend 3 SCR	125,936	154,048	270,635	166,420	280,869	192,408	60,405	60,722	62,627	33,098	83,425	62,791	1,553,384		1,553,384
	t. Big Bend 4 SCR	58,197	89,093	46,317	54,593	33,834	55,218	51,866	50,754	48,532	54,882	65,836	42,023	651,145		651,145
	u. Mercury Air Toxics Standards	0	0	7,823	55	0	0	3,250	2,500	3,250	2,500	2,500	2,500	24,378		24,378
	v. Greenhouse Gas Reduction Program	2,825	0	0	0	93,149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95,974		95,974
	w. Big Bend Gypsum Storage Facility (East 40)	163,867	110,837	59,289	124,795	239,532	159,952	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	1,638,273		1,638,273
	x. CCR Rule - Phase I	(3,500)	14,103 11,472	14,033 0	1,844 9,832	9,875 0	1,895	0	0	0	0	0	0	38,250 54,007		38,250
	y. BB ELG Rule Study z. CCR Rule - Phase II	937.333	1.323.990	541.927	9,832 10.095	1.500	32,703 297	0	0	0	500.000	600.000	842.097	4,757,238		54,007 4,757,238
	2. CCR Rule - Phase II	937,333	1,323,990	541,927	10,095	1,500	297	0	0	0	500,000	600,000	642,097	4,757,238		4,757,236
2.	Total of O&M Activities	1,874,870	2,166,060	1,373,137	959,540	1,185,543	743,043	405,177	403,175	395,441	910,226	1,021,725	1,269,328	12,707,265	\$110,042	\$12,597,223
3.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	1,835,871	2,151,757	1,372,963	938,192	1,185,468	741,650	405,177	401,925	394,191	908,976	1,009,225	1,251,828	12,597,223		
4.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	38,999	14,303	174	21,348	75	1,393	0	1,250	1,250	1,250	12,500	17,500	110,042		
5.	Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000			
6.	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000			
7.	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	1,835,871	2,151,757	1,372,963	938,192	1,185,468	741,650	405,177	401,925	394,191	908,976	1,009,225	1,251,828	12,597,223		
8.	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	38,999	14,303	174	21,348	75	1,393	0	1,250	1,250	1,250	12,500	17,500	110,042		Χüδ
																ΞXO
9.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M															市の光
	Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	\$1,874,870	\$2,166,060	\$1,373,137	\$959,540	\$1,185,543	\$743,043	\$405,177	\$403,175	395,441	910,226	\$1,021,725	\$1,269,328	\$12,707,265		Я́Т 22 П

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount Not including the Company's Two New Proposed ECRC Projects January 2018 to December 2018

Variance Report of Capital Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs (In Dollars)

	(4)	
	(1)	(2)
		Original
	Actual / Estimated	Projection
	\$960,478	\$1,063,216
ning	249,611	280,951
Monitors	51,106	55,016
	55,003	35,856
	90,462	58,969
	80,406	85,047
	58 125	61 751

١.	Description of investment Projects				
	a. Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration	\$960,478	\$1,063,216	(\$102,738)	-9.7%
	 Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning 	249,611	280,951	(31,340)	-11.2%
	 Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors 	51,106	55,016	(3,910)	-7.1%
	 Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade 	55,003	35,856	19,147	53.4%
	e. Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade	90,462	58,969	31,493	53.4%
	f. Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement	80,406	85,047	(4,641)	-5.5%
	 Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement 	58,125	61,751	(3,626)	-5.9%
	 Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform 	8,561	9,406	(845)	-9.0%
	 Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD 	6,053,972	6,674,906	(620,934)	-9.3%
	j. Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization	1,554,594	1,712,875	(158,281)	-9.2%
	k. Big Bend NO _x Emissions Reduction	499,295	562,354	(63,059)	-11.2%
	I. Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring	1,809,236	1,989,614	(180,378)	-9.1%
	m. Polk NO _x Emissions Reduction	113,291	123,356	(10,065)	-8.2%
	n. Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA	198,216	218,523	(20,307)	-9.3%
	o. Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR	137,627	149,608	(11,981)	-8.0%
	p. Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR	130,774	142,854	(12,080)	-8.5%
	q. Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR	233,148	256,173	(23,025)	-9.0%
	r. Big Bend Unit 1 SCR	7,960,486	8,698,396	(737,910)	-8.5%
	s. Big Bend Unit 2 SCR	8,407,134	9,195,158	(788,024)	-8.6%
	t. Big Bend Unit 3 SCR	6,968,976	7,628,421	(659,445)	-8.6%
	u. Big Bend Unit 4 SCR	5,420,471	5,919,666	(499,195)	-8.4%
	v. Big Bend FGD System Reliability	2,080,439	2,325,371	(244,932)	-10.5%
	w. Mercury Air Toxics Standards	824,512	928,320	(103,808)	-11.2%
	x. SO ₂ Emissions Allowances	(2,601)	(3,015)	414	-13.7%
	y. Big Bend Gypsum Storage Facility	2,073,568	2,316,204	(242,636)	-10.5%
	z. CCR Rule - Phase I	130,505	224,233	(93,728)	-41.8%
	aa. CCR Rule - Phase II	2,298	0	2,298	N/A
2.	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$46,149,693	\$50,713,229	(\$4,563,536)	-9.0%
3.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$45,871,425	\$50,394,171	(\$4,522,746)	-9.0%

\$278,268

\$319,058

(\$40,790)

-12.8%

4. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand

Notes:

Line

1.

Description of Investment Projects

Column (1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-7E. Column (2) is the approved projected amount in accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-2018-0014-FOF-EI. Column (3) = Column (1) - Column (2)Column (4) = Column (3) / Column (2)

(3)

Amount

(4)

Percent

Variance

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current Period Actual / Estimated Amount Not Including the Company's Two New Proposed ECRC Projects January 2018 to December 2018

Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

Line	Description (A)	_	Actual January	Actual February	Actual March	Actual April	Actual May	Actual June	Estimate July	Estimate August	Estimate September	Estimate October	Estimate November	Estimate December	End of Period Total	Method of Cla Demand	ssification Energy
1. a.	Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration	1	\$81,171	\$80.989	\$80,808	\$80,626	\$80,445	\$80,262	\$79.814	\$79.634	\$79,453	\$79,273	\$79,092	\$78.911	\$960.478		\$960.478
b.	Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	2	21,372	21,270	21,168	21,066	20,965	20,863	20,737	20,636	20,535	20,434	20,333	20,232	249,611		249,611
с.	Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	3	4,344	4,330	4,314	4,300	4,285	4,271	4,246	4,232	4,218	4,203	4,189	4,174	51,106		51,106
d.	Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade	4	2,815	2,806	2,796	2,787	2,778	2,770	6,456	6,423	6,391	6,359	6,327	6,295	55,003	\$55,003	
e.	Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade	5	4,629	4,614	4,600	4,584	4,570	4,555	10,617	10,564	10,511	10,459	10,406	10,353	90,462	90,462	
f.	Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement	6	6,859	6,830	6,803	6,775	6,748	6,720	6,680	6,653	6,626	6,599	6,570	6,543	80,406		80,406
g.	Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement	7	4,954	4,934	4,915	4,896	4,877	4,858	4,830	4,810	4,791	4,773	4,753	4,734	58,125		58,125
h.	Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform	8	725	722	721	719	717	716	712	709	708	706	704	702	8,561		8,561
I. :	Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD	9 10	514,191 126,787	512,541 126,722	510,891 126,673	509,241 127,106	507,592 128,669	505,942 130,581	503,032 130,379	501,391 130,544	499,750 130.973	498,108 131,514	496,467 132.054	494,826 132,592	6,053,972 1,554,594		6,053,972 1,554,594
j.	Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization Big Bend NO, Emissions Reduction	10	42.042	126,722 41,978	41,914	41.850	41,785	41.721	130,379	130,544 41,430	41,366	41,302	132,054	132,592	1,554,594		1,554,594 499,295
к.			42,042	152,726	1 -	151,960	151,576	151,193	, .	149,960			,	,	,		,
I.	Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring Polk NO, Emissions Reduction	12 13	9.607	9,579	152,343 9.551	9.524	9,496	9,467	150,342 9,414	149,960	149,579 9.358	149,197 9.331	148,816 9,303	148,434 9.275	1,809,236 113,291		1,809,236 113,291
m.	Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA	13	9,607	9,579	9,551	9,524 16.645	9,496 16.604	9,467	9,414 16.471	9,386	9,356	16.351	9,303	9,275	198.216		198.216
n.	Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR	14	16,766	16,725	16,685	16,645	11,536	11,502	16,471	16,431	16,391	16,351	16,311	16,271	198,216		198,216
U. D	Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR	16	11,075	11,040	11,005	10,990	10.959	10.929	10.867	10.836	10.806	10.775	10,744	10,714	130,774		130,774
р. л	Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR	17	19,734	19.684	19.634	19,583	19,533	19,484	19,374	19,324	19,275	19,224	19,175	19,124	233.148		233.148
4. r	Big Bend Unit 1 SCR	18	674.992	673.045	671.098	669.150	667.203	665.256	661,467	659,530	657.592	655.655	653,717	651.781	7,960,486		7.960.486
S.	Big Bend Unit 2 SCR	19	712.268	710.328	708,390	706.451	704.511	702,572	698.591	696,663	694,733	692,805	690.875	688,947	8,407,134		8,407,134
t	Big Bend Unit 3 SCR	20	590.325	588,737	587,150	585,562	583,973	582,386	579.090	577.510	575,930	574.351	572.771	571.191	6.968.976		6.968.976
u.	Big Bend Unit 4 SCR	21	456,706	455,523	454,342	453,169	452,014	450,873	449,762	449,995	450,229	450,462	449,286	448,110	5,420,471		5,420,471
v.	Big Bend FGD System Reliability	22	175,463	175,139	174,817	174,494	174,170	173,847	172,889	172,567	172,245	171,924	171,603	171,281	2,080,439		2,080,439
w.	Mercury Air Toxics Standards	23	68,615	68,478	68,407	68,337	68,454	68,315	67,999	67,924	68,881	69,839	69,701	69,562	824,512		824,512
х.	SO ₂ Emissions Allowances (B)	24	(218)	(218)	(218)	(217)	(217)	(217)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(216)	(2,601)		(2,601)
у.	Big Bend Gypsum Storage Facility	25	174,907	174,580	174,253	173,927	173,600	173,274	172,317	171,992	171,667	171,342	171,017	170,692	2,073,568		2,073,568
Z.	CCR Rule - Phase I	26	6,478	6,646	6,816	6,860	6,907	6,960	8,555	10,575	14,687	17,887	18,671	19,463	130,505	130,505	
aa	. CCR Rule - Phase II	27	0	0	3	7	11	21	86	202	318	434	550	666	2,298	2,298	
2.	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs		3,891,399	3,881,399	3,871,500	3,861,963	3,853,761	3,845,686	3,837,441	3,831,106	3,828,163	3,824,424	3,815,756	3,807,095	46,149,693	\$278,268	\$45,871,425
3.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		3.877.477	3,867,333	3,857,285	3,847,725	3,839,495	3,831,380	3,811,727	3,803,342	3,796,256	3,789,285	3,779,802	3,770,318	45,871,425		
4.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		13,922	14,066	14,215	14,238	14,266	14,306	25,714	27,764	31,907	35,139	35,954	36,777	278,268		
5.	Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000			
5.	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000			
0.	Retail Demand Junsuictional Pactor		1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000	1.0000000			
7.	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (C)		3,877,477	3,867,333	3,857,285	3,847,725	3,839,495	3,831,380	3,811,727	3,803,342	3,796,256	3,789,285	3,779,802	3,770,318	45,871,425		
8.	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (D)	_	13,922	14,066	14,215	14,238	14,266	14,306	25,714	27,764	31,907	35,139	35,954	36,777	278,268		
٩	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for																
9.	Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)		\$3,891,399	\$3,881,399	\$3.871.500	\$3.861.963	\$3.853.761	\$3,845,686	\$3,837,441	\$3.831.106	\$3.828.163	\$3,824,424	\$3,815,756	\$3,807,095	\$46,149,693		
	investment i rojecta (Entes 7 + 6)	-	ψ0,031,335	φ0,001,009	<i>43,07</i> 1,300	40,001,900	ψ0,000,701	<i>43,043,080</i>	ψ0,007, 44 1	ψ5,531,100	φ0,020,103	ψ0,024,424	<i>40,010,700</i>	<i>40,007,090</i>	970, 173,033		

5

Notes: (A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-8E, Line 9 (B) Project's Total Return Component on Form 42-8E, Line 6 (C) Line 3 x Line 5 (D) Line 4 x Line 6



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI IN RE: TAMPA ELECTRIC'S ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY

ACTUAL/ESTIMATED TRUE-UP JANUARY 2018 THROUGH DECEMBER 2018

TESTIMONY

OF

PAUL L. CARPINONE

FILED: JULY 25, 2018

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		PAUL L. CARPINONE
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, address, occupation and employer.
7		
8	A.	My name is Paul L. Carpinone. My business address is 702
9		North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am employed
10		by Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "company")
11		as Director, Environmental Services in the Environmental
12		Services Department.
13		
14	Q.	Please provide a brief outline of your educational
15		background and business experience.
16		
17	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Water Resources
18		Engineering Technology from the Pennsylvania State
19		University in 1978. I have been a Registered Professional
20		Engineer in the states of Florida and Pennsylvania since
21		1984. Prior to joining Tampa Electric, I worked for
22		Seminole Electric Cooperative as a Civil Engineer in
23		various positions and in environmental consulting. In
24		February 1988, I joined Tampa Electric as a Principal
25		Engineer, and I have primarily worked in the area of

2006, Environmental. In Ι became Director of 1 2 Environmental Services. My responsibilities include the 3 development and administration of the company's environmental policies and goals. I am also responsible 4 5 for ensuring resources, procedures and programs meet or applicable environmental compliance with 6 surpass requirements, and that rules and polices are in place and 7 functioning appropriately and consistently throughout the 8 company. 9 10 What is the purpose of your testimony? 11 Q. 12 The purpose of my testimony is to provide record support 13 Α. 14 for the Commission's approval of two environmental programs for cost recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery 15 16 Clause ("ECRC"). Those projects include the company's Big Bend Unit 1 Section 316(b) Impingement Mortality Project 17 ("Impingement Mortality Project") and the company's Big 18 Bend Station Effluent Limitations Guidelines Rule 19 20 Compliance Program ("Big Bend ELG Rule Compliance Program"). 21 22 23 Impingement Mortality Project requirements describe 24 Ο. Please the environmental necessitating the Impingement Mortality Project? 25

2

In August 2014 the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") Α. 1 published their final rule regarding Section 316(b) of the 2 Clean Water Act. The rule became effective in October 2014. 3 The rule establishes requirements for cooling water intake 4 5 structures ("CWIS") at existing facilities. Section 316(b) requires that the location, design, construction and 6 capacity of CWIS reflect the best technology available 7 ("BTA") for minimizing adverse environmental impacts. 8

The rule addresses impacts to aquatic life resulting from 10 11 operation of cooling water systems in the U.S. from either impingement or entrainment. Impingement mortality occurs 12 when fish and shellfish are pinned against the intake system 13 14 screens and unable to get free. Entrainment mortality occurs when small fish, eggs, and larvae pass through the 15 protective screens and into the cooling system. The rule 16 allows for seven different approaches to impingement 17 mortality reduction at affected facilities, each of which, 18 if it meets the goals defined for the approach by the rule, 19 20 would be considered fully compliant. These approaches are closed-cycle cooling tower; 21 a. 0.5 feet per second ("fps") through-screen design b. 22

velocity;

9

23

- c. 0.5 fps through-screen actual velocity;
- 25 d. existing offshore velocity cap;

1	e. modified traveling screens;
2	f. system of technologies as the BTA for impingement
3	mortality; and,
4	g. meet impingement mortality performance standard.
5	
6	For entrainment compliance, the rule requires the
7	evaluation of closed-cycle cooling, alternative water
8	supplies, and fine mesh screens in terms of feasibility,
9	cost, and effectiveness for a site-specific determination
10	by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection
11	("FDEP") Director. With respect to Big Bend Station, the
12	FDEP Director will make this determination by reviewing the
13	following study elements which are required to be submitted
14	with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
15	("NPDES") permit renewal application. These elements are:
16	a. 40 CFR 122.21(r)(2), Source Water Physical Data;
17	b. 40 CFR 122.21(r)(3), Cooling Water Intake
18	Structure Data;
19	c. 40 CFR 122.21(r)(4), Baseline Biological
20	Characterization;
21	d. 40 CFR 122.21(r)(5), Cooling Water System Data;
22	e. 40 CFR 122.21(r)(6), Chosen Method of Compliance
23	with Impingement Mortality Standard;
24	f. 40 CFR 122.21(r)(7) Entrainment Performance
25	Studies; and,

40 CFR 122.21(r)(8) Operational Status. 1 q. 40 CFR 122.21(r)(9), Entrainment Characteriza-2 h. 3 tion Study; i. 40 CFR 122.21(r)(10), Feasibility and Cost Study; 4 5 j. 40 CFR 122.21(r)(11), Benefits Valuation Study; 40 CFR 122.21(r)(12) Environmental and Other k. 6 Impacts; and, 7 1. 40 CFR 122.21(r)(13) Peer Review of (r)(10), 8 (r)(11), and (r)(12). 9 10 Tampa Electric continues to perform the required studies 11 under its previously approved Clean Water Act Section 12 316(b) Phase II Study ECRC project. 13 14 As stated above, compliance with Section 316(b) is tied to 15 the renewal of the NPDES permit for the facility; however, 16 the rule included a provision to allow a request for an 17 alternative schedule for those facilities that had permit 18 renewal dates within 45 months of the finalization of the 19 20 rule. Big Bend Station requested such an alternative schedule to allow time to complete the study elements. 21 Within six months of the finalization of the company's Big 22 23 Bend Station NPDES permit, which is currently undergoing renewal by the FDEP, Tampa Electric will submit a plan of 24 study which will be used by FDEP to establish the compliance 25

schedule. However, the modernization of Big Bend Unit 1 to 1 a highly efficient, natural gas-fired unit (the "Big Bend 2 3 Unit 1 Modernization") requires NPDES permit modifications, and FDEP has agreed that it is appropriate to address 4 5 impingement mortality in conjunction with the Big Bend Unit Modernization. In addition, complying with the rule 6 1 requirements now will benefit customers because integrating 7 the impingement mortality equipment into the Big Bend Unit 8 1 Modernization project planning, design, and construction 9 work will be more efficient than retrofitting the unit with 10 11 the impingement mortality compliance equipment at a later date due to the additional outage time that would be needed 12 to perform the modifications later. 13 14 What is the specific scope of the company's petition for 15 Ο. approval of the Impingement Mortality Project? 16 17 The petition applies to impingement mortality requirements 18 Α. of Section 316(b) for the CWIS currently shared by Big Bend 19 20 Units 1 and 2. If the company's Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study results indicate that additional 21 needed entrainment mortality 22 changes to meet are 23 requirements, this new system will accommodate installation of fine mesh screens, and cost recovery for such work would 24 addressed in addition, be а separate request. In 25

б

impingement and entrainment mortality compliance for Big 1 Bend Units 3 and 4 will need to be addressed at a later 2 3 date based on the results of the studies the company is performing under its Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase 4 5 II Study ECRC project and the NPDES permit renewal. 6 What actions must the company take in order to comply with 7 Q Rule 316(b) and the company NPDES permit? 8 9 In order to comply with Rule 316(b) and its NPDES permit, Α. 10 11 Tampa Electric must make modifications to its existing CWIS shared by Big Bend Units 1 and 2 for purposes of withdrawing 12 once-through cooling water from Tampa Bay. Each unit is 13 14 currently equipped with two 50 percent cooling water pumps which have dedicated traveling screens to protect the pumps 15 against entrainment of debris. This intake structure will 16 be modified to operate with the modernized Big Bend Unit 1, 17 and new dual flow modified traveling screens as well as a 18 fish collection and return system will be installed to 19 20 comply with the impingement mortality requirements of Section 316(b). The new system will allow aquatic life 21 impinged on the screens to be safely returned to a suitable 22 location. 23

24

25

The company hired an engineering firm to conduct studies to

evaluate Section 316(b) impingement mortality compliance 1 and has identified the modified traveling screens with fish 2 return as the most cost-effective solution to continue 3 operating Big Bend Unit 1 in compliance with Section 316(b). 4 5 The selected solution complies with option (e) in the list of compliance options stated above. 6 7 Engineering work for the Big Bend Unit 1 Section 316(b) 8 Impingement Mortality project began mid-year in 2018 to 9 support equipment procurement and a construction start date 10 11 in 2021 when Big Bend Units 1 and 2 will be shut down for the modernization project work. The Impingement Mortality 12 Project will be completed prior to commercial operation of 13 14 the Big Bend Unit 1 Modernization in January 2023. 15 16 Q. Please describe the costs of the Impingement Mortality Project. 17 18 The total estimated cost of the project is \$15.6 million. 19 20 The following table reflects a breakdown of the project components and their projected costs. 21 22 23 24 25

Big Bend Unit 1 Section 316(b) Impingement Mortality Project

		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Total
		(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)
Capit								
	eering	1,650	-	-	-	-	-	1,650
Equip		325	3,000	500	-	-	-	3,825
	ruction	-	-	-	500	7,750	250	8,500
	ers Costs Dition / Retirement	500		500	500	- 170	-	<u>1,500</u> 170
Total		2,475	3,000	1,000	1,000	7,920	250	15,645
	rvice Annual O&M ¹	2,175	5,000	1,000	1,000	7,920	200	10,010
	ble O&M	_	-	_	-	_	67	
	ating Labor	-	-	-	-	-	25	
-	tenance Material	-	-	-	-	-	99	
Maint	tenance Labor	-	-	-	-	-	65	
Total		-	-	-	-	-	256	
Q.	What steps will of the project a				co ensi	ure tha	at the	cost:
	of the project a	ire rea	sonabl	e?				
	-	ire rea	sonabl	e?				
	of the project a	are rea vill fo	sonabl llow i	e? ts usu	al pru	dent a	nd pra	ctical
	of the project a Tampa Electric w	vill fo	sonabl llow i inclu	e? ts usu ding o	al pru compet:	dent a itive	nd pra biddin	ctica. Ig fo:
	of the project a Tampa Electric w procurement pol	vill fo icies, nts, to	sonabl llow i inclu o ensu	e? ts usu uding o re it	al pru compet: purcha	dent a itive ases ec	nd pra biddin quipmer	ctica: ng fo: nt and
	of the project a Tampa Electric w procurement pol project componer	vill fo icies, nts, to e best	sonabl llow i inclu pensu price	e? ts usu ding o re it es ava	al pru compet: purcha ilable	dent a itive ases ec . Thes	nd pra biddin quipmer se est	ctica: og fo: nt and imateo
	of the project a Tampa Electric w procurement pol project componer services at the	vill fo icies, nts, to e best y vary	sonabl llow i inclu pensu price due t	e? ts usu ding o re it es ava o timi	al pru compet: purcha ilable ng of	dent a itive uses ec . Thes the wo	nd pra biddin quipmer se est ork and	ctica: ng fo: nt and imateo d wil:
	of the project a Tampa Electric w procurement pol project componer services at the annual costs may	vill fo icies, nts, to e best y vary refin	sonabl llow i inclu o ensu price due t ed as	e? ts usu ding o re it es ava o timi desig	al pru compet: purcha ilable ng of n and	dent a itive ases ec . Thes the wo engin	nd pra biddin quipmer se est ork and neering	ctica og fo: nt and imateo d will wor
Q. A.	of the project a Tampa Electric w procurement pol project componer services at the annual costs may continue to be	vill fo icies, nts, to e best y vary refin npa El	sonabl llow i inclu price due t ed as ectric	e? ts usu ding o re it es ava o timi desig will	al pru compet: purcha ilable ng of n and prov	dent a itive ases ec . Thes the wo engin	nd pra biddin quipmer se est ork and neering	ctica og fo: nt and imateo d will wor
	of the project a Tampa Electric w procurement pol project componer services at the annual costs may continue to be progresses. Tam	vill fo icies, nts, to e best y vary refin npa El	sonabl llow i inclu price due t ed as ectric	e? ts usu ding o re it es ava o timi desig will	al pru compet: purcha ilable ng of n and prov	dent a itive ases ec . Thes the wo engin	nd pra biddin quipmer se est ork and neering	ctical og for nt and imated d will wor}

	Ì	
1		comply with applicable environmental mandates?
2		
3	A.	Yes. Tampa Electric cannot continue operating Big Bend Unit
4		1 in compliance with Section 316(b) without making the CWIS
5		modifications I have described. Section 316(b) compliance
6		requires these modifications regardless of whether Big Bend
7		Unit 1 is modernized to a natural gas-fired unit or
8		continues to operate as coal-fired.
9		
10	Q.	What is the Commission's policy governing ECRC cost
11		recovery?
12		
13	A.	The Commission's policy for initial cost recovery approval
14		of an ECRC eligible project is set forth in Order No. PSC-
15		94-0044-FOF-EI issued January 12, 1994 in Docket No.
16		930613-EI, <u>In re: Gulf Power Company</u> , ("the Gulf Order") as
17		follows:
18		Upon petition, we shall allow the recovery
19		of costs associated with an environmental
20		compliance activity through the
21		environmental cost recovery factor if:
22		1. such costs were prudently incurred after
23		April 13, 1993:
24		2. the activity is legally required to
25		comply with a governmentally imposed

	1	
1		environmental regulation enacted,
2		became effective, or whose effect was
3		triggered after the company's last test
4		year upon which rates are based; and,
5		3. such costs are not recovered through
6		some other cost recovery mechanism or
7		through base rates.
8		
9	Q.	Does the Impingement Mortality Project qualify for ECRC
10		cost recovery under these principles?
11		
12	A.	Yes. The proposed CWIS modifications merit ECRC cost
13		recovery under the criteria set forth by the Commission in
14		the Gulf Order. All costs associated with the project will
15		be prudently incurred after April 13, 1993. The CWIS
16		modifications to Big Bend Unit 1 are required in order for
17		Tampa Electric to continue complying with the requirements
18		of Section 316(b) and its NPDES permit. The need to
19		construct CWIS modifications has been triggered after the
20		company's last test year upon which rates are currently
21		based. Finally, the costs of the proposed CWIS
22		modifications are not recovered through some other cost
23		recovery mechanism or through base rates. Like the Gulf
24		Power ECRC project approved in Docket No. 980007-EI, the
25		proposed CWIS modifications are needed in order to enable

	1	
1		Tampa Electric to continue complying with applicable
2		environmental mandates.
3		
4	Q.	What is the schedule for the project?
5		
6	A.	Tampa Electric expects to begin incurring 316(b)
7		impingement mortality compliance costs associated with the
8		proposed CWIS modifications for Big Bend Unit 1 in 2018.
9		Project costs will be subject to audit by the Commission.
10		
11	Q.	How should the projects costs be allocated?
12		
13	A.	The project capital expenditures should be allocated to
14		rate classes on a demand basis, and operation and
15		maintenance expenses should be allocated to rate classes on
16		an energy basis.
17		
18	Big 1	Bend ELG Rule Compliance Program
19	Q.	Please describe the Big Bend ELG Rule Compliance Program?
20		
21	A.	The Big Bend ELG Rule Compliance Program is designed to
22		enable Tampa Electric to comply with the Environmental
23		Protection Agency's legally required ELG rule.
24		
25		On November 3, 2015 the Environmental Protection Agency

("EPA") published the final Steam Electric Power Generating 1 Effluent Limitations Guidelines ("ELG") in the Federal 2 3 Register. The effective date of the rule is January 4, 2016. The ELG establish limits for wastewater discharges from 4 5 flue gas desulfurization ("FGD") processes, fly ash and bottom ash transport water, leachate from ponds 6 and landfills containing coal combustion residuals ("CCR"), 7 gasification processes, and flue gas mercury controls. The 8 final rule requires compliance as soon as possible after 9 November 1, 2018, and no later than December 31, 2023. Since 10 11 these limitations will be incorporated in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permits, 12 compliance date will be determined through 13 the exact 14 discussions with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("FDEP"), whom EPA has delegated to administer 15 these permits. EPA extended the near-term deadlines for FGD 16 waste water and bottom ash transport water to as soon as 17 possible after November 1, 2020, while those limits are 18 under consideration. 19 20 What Tampa Electric facilities are affected by the ELG Rule? 21 Ο. 22

Tampa Electric facilities located at the company's Big Bend Station are affected by the ELG Rule. Big Bend Station operates four coal-fired steam electric power generating

units equipped with electrostatic precipitators, Selective 1 ("SCR") Catalytic Reduction and wet Limestone Forced 2 3 Oxidized ("LSFO") Flue Gas Desulfurization ("FGD") systems. The FGD system is designed to operate at a chloride 4 5 concentration of no more than 30,000 ppm chlorides. Chloride control is obtained by blowing down the FGD system 6 at approximately 230 gpm. This blow-down stream is sent to 7 a physical chemical treatment system to remove solids, some 8 metals, ammonia and adjust pH prior to discharge to Tampa 9 Bay via the once-through condenser cooling system water. 10 11 This treatment system will need to be modified or replaced in order to achieve compliance with the new EPA regulations. 12 13 14 Other ELG waste stream categories present at Big Bend Station are bottom and fly ash transport water, which will 15 be used for FGD scrubber make-up water, as allowed by the 16 ELG Rule. There are no other facilities at Big Bend Station 17 affected by the ELG Rule. 18 19 20 Q. Please describe the Big Bend ELG Study Program. 21 On February 2, 2016 Tampa Electric Company submitted its 22 Α. 23 Petition for Approval of its Big Bend ELG Study Program for cost recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery 24 The Big Bend ELG Study Program was needed to Clause. 25

determine the most appropriate ELG compliance measure for that station. The Big Bend ELG Study Program was approved in Order No. PSC-16-0248-PAA-EI issued June 28, 2016 in Docket No. 20160027-EI, and confirmed in Consummating Order No. PSC-16-0290-CO-EI issued July 25, 2016 in Docket No. 20160027-EI.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

25

The Study identified the technically and commercially 8 available technologies which could be viable candidates to 9 treat the Tampa Electric Big Bend Station combined effluent 10 11 streams in order to bring the streams into compliance with the more stringent requirements under the ELG Rule. The 12 company has reviewed several options and selected the deep 13 14 well injection solution based on total project costs, including annual operating costs. This option allows the 15 one option to comply with ELG 16 company to use Rule parameters. Although capital costs for the options 17 considered varied, the deep well injection solution is one 18 of the least costly when capital costs and annual operating 19 20 costs are considered. Combined with the fact that the deep well injection solution does not degrade unit performance 21 as other options do, it is the best choice for Tampa 22 23 Electric's Big Bend Station ELG Rule compliance. 24

With the Study now completed, the company must obtain

environmental permitting and engage in the construction of 1 2 a test injection well to ensure that the selected deep well 3 injection method satisfies FDEP requirements. Once the test results are confirmed, the test injection well will be 4 5 converted to a permanent deep injection well system of two wells to comply with the ELG Rule. Obtaining Commission 6 approval for recovery of permitting, engineering, 7 and construction costs for both the test well and the permanent 8 deep injection well systems is the purpose of this section 9 of my testimony. 10 11

Q. What are the estimated costs of the Big Bend Station ELG
 Rule Compliance Program for which Tampa Electric is
 requesting ECRC recovery?

15

16 Α. Tampa Electric requests recovery of capital costs, estimated to be in a range of from \$18 million to \$26 17 million, preconstruction design, 18 for engineering, installation of permitting, and two injection wells, 19 20 together with one of three options the company is considering for pretreatment of the effluent discharge. The 21 pretreatment requirement will be determined after the FDEP 22 23 review of the test well results. The capital costs could range from an estimated \$18 million if no water softening 24 required and the company's permit allows blending 25 is

wastewater with county-treated effluent, to approximately \$21 million if 30 percent softening is required, and up to approximately \$26 million if full softening treatment is required. For purposes of illustration, the following table describes the component capital costs for the option of deep well injection with the pretreatment of 30 percent softening of the water prior to injection.

Capital Costs by Year

10

8

9

Deep Injection Wells with Pre-Treatment of 30% Water Softening

	2018 (\$000)	2019 (\$000)	2020 (\$000)	2021 (\$000)	Total (\$000)
Capital					
Permitting and Pre-Construction					
Engineering Design	150	250	700	-	1,100
Construction Engineering	-		1,800	400	2,200
Well Construction (2 wells)	-		5,000	3,000	8,000
Water Treatment (Softening)	-		7,100	2,600	9,700
Total	150	250	14,600	6,000	21,000

11

The permit application for deep well injection will be 12 submitted to the FDEP and will address testing, hydro-13 geological impacts, and construction specifications. The 14 cost estimates above estimate that permitting will be 15 completed in 2019, and well engineering and construction 16 costs will commence in 2019. Tampa Electric anticipates 17 well construction will take approximately one year to 18 19 complete.

After the test well is installed and reviewed, the company 1 2 will proceed to obtain permanent deep well injection 3 permits, convert the test well into a permanent deep injection well, and construct a second well. The deep well 4 5 injection solution includes two permanent wells because a well must be available at all times for the Big Bend Station 6 units' FGD systems to operate, and operation of the FGD 7 systems is an environmental requirement to run the 8 generating units. In addition, when maintenance is needed 9 on one of the deep injection wells, another well must be 10 11 available in order to run the units.

O&M expenses will be incurred after the wells are 13 in 14 operation, with annual costs for 30 percent softening expected to be \$1.9 million annually. The O&M expenses of 15 16 the other treatment options under consideration are shown in the following table. The treatment option selected will 17 depend on FDEP's test well review and requirements for 18 19 permanent well permits. These estimated annual costs may be revised due to timing of the work and will continue to be 20 refined as design and engineering work progresses. Tampa 21 Electric will provide updated cost estimates in its annual 22 23 ECRC filings.

24

12

1		Total Capital and Annu	al O&M Cost	s					
2	Deep Injection Wells with Various Pre-Treatment Options								
			Capital Cost	Annual Operating Cost					
			(\$000)	(\$000)					
		Deep well injection - with 30% softening	21,000	1,900					
		Deep well injection - with full softening Deep well injection – with effluent blending	26,000 18,000	4,500 700					
3		Deep wen injection with enricht erending	10,000	100					
4	Q.	Does this program qualify for	cost reco	very under the					
5		Commission's ECRC policies of	the Gulf (Order described					
6		earlier in your testimony?							
7									
8	A.	Yes. Tampa Electric's Big Ber	nd ELG Comp	oliance Program					
9		qualifies for ECRC cost recover	y under the	Gulf Order. The					
10		costs of the program will be pru	dently incur	rred after April					
11		13, 1993. The company's planned	d activities	s under the Big					
12		Bend ELG Compliance Program are	essential co	omponents of the					
13		company's ability to comply with	n the EPA's l	egally required					
14		ELG Rule which was adopted and	became effe	ctive after the					
15		company's last test year upon w	which rates	are based. None					
16		of the costs proposed under th	ne Big Bend	ELG Compliance					
17		Program are recovered through	some other	cost recovery					
18		mechanism or through base rates							
19									
20	Q.	How should program costs be all	ocated?						
21									

This program is a compliance activity associated with Α. 1 2 limitations on wastewater discharge. Capital costs to 3 implement the modified Big Bend ELG Compliance Program should be allocated to rate classes on a demand basis, and 4 operation and maintenance costs should be allocated to rate 5 classes on an energy basis. Estimated costs will be further 6 refined during engineering work, and the project cost 7 estimates will be updated in future filings with the 8 Commission. 9 10 11 Q. Please summarize your testimony. 12 My testimony supports Commission approval for ECRC cost 13 Α. 14 recovery purposes of Tampa Electric's Section 316(b) Impingement Mortality Project and its proposed Big Bend ELG 15 Rule Compliance Program. Both programs 16 meet the Commission's policy governing ECRC cost recovery as set 17 forth in the Gulf Order. The costs of each program will be 18 prudently incurred after April 13, 1993. The activities in 19 20 these programs are legally required to comply with a governmentally imposed environmental regulation enacted, 21 became effective, or whose effect was triggered after the 22 23 company's last test year upon which rates are based. Finally, such costs are not recovered through some other 24 cost recovery mechanism or through base rates. 25

	1						
1	Q.	Does	this	conclude	your	testimony?	
2							
3	A.	Yes,	it do	Des.			
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							