FILED 9/11/2018 DOCUMENT NO. 05966-2018 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

Holland & Knight

315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 600 | Tallahassee, FL 32301 | T 850.224.7000 | F 850.224.8832 Holland & Knight LLP | www.hklaw.com

D. Bruce May, Jr. (850) 425-5607 bruce.may@hklaw.com

September 11, 2018

Via Hand-Delivery

Carlotta S. Stauffer, Director Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 RECEIVED-FPSC

Re: In re: Complaint against Gulf Power Company for expedited enforcement of territorial order, by Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. Docket No.: 20180125-EU

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

On behalf of Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("GCEC"), enclosed for filing are (i) GCEC's Brief in Opposition to Gulf Power Company's Motion for Summary Final Order and GCEC's Motion For Summary Final Order, and (ii) GCEC's Request for Oral Argument on its Motion for Summary Final Order.

Please acknowledge receipt of this filing by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the copy to me. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

D. Bruce May, Jr.

DBM:kjg Encls.

cc: John Bartley J. Patrick Floyd, Esq. Jeffrey A. Stone Sandy Sims

Anchorage | Atlanta | Austin | Boston | Charlotte | Chicago | Dallas | Denver | Fort Lauderdale | Houston | Jacksonville | Lakeland Los Angeles | Miami | New York | Orlando | Philadelphia | Portland | San Francisco | Stamford | Tallahassee | Tampa | Tysons Washington, D.C. | West Palm Beach Carlotta S. Stauffer September 11, 2018 Page 2

> Rhonda J. Alexander Russell A. Badders Steve Griffin Mary Anne Helton Jennifer Crawford Kurt Schrader

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint against Gulf Power Company for expedited enforcement of territorial order, by Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

-

Docket No: 20180125-EU

Filed: September 11, 2018

GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("GCEC"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.0022, Florida Administrative Code, respectfully requests oral argument to address its Motion for Final Summary Order, which is filed contemporaneously with this request. GCEC believes that oral argument will aid the Commission in better understanding and evaluating GCEC's Motion for Summary Final Order, which is asserts that undisputed facts conclusively show that GCEC did not in fact waive any right to serve a customer under the Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication of Facilities previously approved by Commission order.

WHEREFORE, GCEC respectfully requests that oral argument be heard on its Motion for Summary Final Order at the October 11, 2018 Agenda Conference.

Respectfully submitted on September 11, 2018.

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

D. Bruce May, Jr. Florida Bar No. 354473 bruce.may@hklaw.com Tiffany A. Roddenberry Florida Bar No. 092524 tiffany.roddenberry@hklaw.com Holland & Knight LLP 315 S. Calhoun St., Ste. 600 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 224-7000 (Telephone)

Counsel for Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by overnight delivery to: Jeffrey A. Stone, Gulf Power Company, General Counsel, Sandy Sims, Eastern District General Manager, Gulf Power Company, and Rhonda J. Alexander, One Energy Place, Pensacola, Florida 32520-0780, and Russell A. Badders and Steve Griffin, Beggs & Lane, 501 Commendencia Street, Pensacola, Florida 32502, and by hand-delivery to Mary Anne Helton, Deputy General Counsel, and Jennifer Crawford and Kurt Schrader, Staff Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399, all on this 11th day of September, 2018.

Attorney

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint by Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. against Gulf Power

Company for violation of a territorial

order.

Docket No: 20180125-EU

Filed: September 11, 2018

GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO GULF POWER COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY FINAL ORDER AND GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY FINAL ORDER

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("GCEC"), pursuant to the prehearing officer's directives in Order No. PSC-2018-0357-PCO, submits this brief in opposition to the Motion for Summary Final Order filed by Gulf Power Company ("Gulf Power"). In addition, in accordance with Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code, and Section 120.57(1)(h), Florida Statutes, GCEC files its own Motion for Summary Final Order.

Gulf Power's Motion for Summary Final Order ("Motion") must be denied because Gulf Power has failed to conclusively demonstrate that GCEC has waived its right to serve a lift station (the "Lift Station") under the parties' Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication of Facilities ("Procedures & Guidelines"), which the Commission approved by territorial order. In fact, evidence adduced thus far demonstrates conclusively that Gulf Power failed to provide GCEC with "all relevant information" about a potential customer's request to serve the Lift Station as expressly required by the Procedures & Guidelines, thus negating, as a matter of law, Gulf Power's claim that GCEC waived its right to serve the Lift Station. Therefore it is GCEC that is entitled to a summary final order on the threshold issue of waiver.

BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO GULF POWER'S MOTION

Preliminary Statement

On May 24, 2018, GCEC filed its Complaint for Expedited Enforcement of Territorial Order against Gulf Power (the "Complaint") alleging that Gulf Power willfully violated a territorial order by failing to give GCEC full and fair notice of a request for service by a customer that GCEC was entitled to serve under the territorial order, and then racing to serve that customer under the pretense that GCEC had waived its right to serve. After Gulf Power filed an answer to the Complaint on May 30, 2018, and after GCEC served discovery on Gulf Power, Gulf Power filed a Motion for Summary Final order on June 6, 2018.¹ The gravamen of Gulf Power's Motion is that GCEC waived its right to serve the Lift Station under the Procedures & Guidelines by not responding within five working days to an email sent by a Gulf Power employee to a GCEC employee regarding a purported request for service from a prospective customer.

On June 13, 2018, GCEC timely filed a Response in Opposition to Gulf Power's Motion and vigorously disputed that the email provided "all relevant information about the request" as required by the Procedures & Guidelines and the territorial order (the "Response in Opposition"). GCEC attached to its Response the affidavit of Mr. Peyton Gleaton, the GCEC employee who received the email from Gulf Power, who averred that: (i) he was never authorized or designated by GCEC to receive any type of notice on behalf of GCEC; (ii) he was completely unaware that any territorial agreement existed between GCEC and Gulf Power until January 2018; (iii) he had never communicated with the Gulf Power employee who sent the email prior to receiving the email in October 2017; and (iv) by not responding to the email he did not knowingly or

¹ As part of its Motion, Gulf Power also moved for a protective order objecting to the discovery that GCEC had already served on Gulf Power.

intentionally waive any right of GCEC to serve the Lift Station. Mr. Gleaton also averred that he received a request to serve the Lift Station in question from Bay County on December 14, 2017.

On July 11, 2018, GCEC filed the affidavit of John H. Haswell, GCEC's lead counsel in Docket No. 930885-EU, the case in which the Commission-approved Procedures & Guidelines originated, who averred that: (i) Gulf Power was the primary drafter of the Procedures & Guidelines; (ii) it was never anticipated, intended, or agreed by the parties that "notice" under Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines could be effected by email; (iii) at the time the Commission approved the Procedures & Guidelines in 2001, email was not a regular means of effecting notice in this industry; (iv) it was never anticipated, intended, or agreed that "notice" required to be provided under the Procedures & Guidelines could be effected by sending notice to anyone at GCEC, other than through notice to its General Manager and its two attorneys of record; and (v) Gulf Power was expressly instructed in Docket No. 930885-EU that all "[n]otices and communications with respect to this docket" were to be addressed to GCEC's counsel of record or its General Manager.

By Order No. PSC-2018-0357-PCO (the "Procedural Order"), the prehearing officer allowed the parties to file additional affidavits regarding the notice issue. On August 10, 2018, GCEC filed the affidavits of John Bartley and J. Patrick Floyd, Esq., who both averred to the insufficiency of the notice, and Gulf Power filed the affidavit of Joshua Rogers. The prehearing officer also denied Gulf Power's motion for protective order, and allowed the parties to conduct limited discovery on the issue of whether the email was sufficient notice under Section 2.3(a) of the Procedures & Guidelines to foreclose GCEC from serving the lift station. Furthermore, the prehearing officer has given the parties the option to brief the following issues:

(1) Whether Section 2.3 of the Territorial Agreement is the proper procedure, pursuant to the Territorial Order, to determine which utility should provide electric service to the lift facility.

(2) If Section 2.3 is the proper procedure, whether the October 20, 2017, email notice provided by Gulf Power to Gulf Coast under Section 2.3 of the Territorial Agreement concerning electric service to the lift facility was sufficient for Gulf Power to provide service.

(3) Should Gulf Power's Motion for Summary Final Order be granted?

With respect to the first issue, the limited discovery allowed readily shows that Gulf Power did not satisfy the conditions required to utilize the provisions of Section 2.3. While Gulf Power may have received a "verbal" inquiry about serving the Lift Station on October 11, 2017, there is no showing that Gulf Power received a "bona-fide request" to serve prior to GCEC receiving a request to serve the Lift Station in December 2017. Moreover, when compared to GCEC's estimated costs to serve the Lift Station, Gulf Power's estimated costs far exceed the thresholds for uneconomic duplication established by the Florida Supreme Court and the Procedures & Guidelines. As a matter of fact, Gulf Power's own estimates show that its cost to serve the Lift Station is more than 335% higher than GCEC's estimates, and dwarfs GCEC's cost to serve by more than \$58,000. To distract from this significant disparity in cost of service, Gulf Power attempts to use the "notice" provisions in Section 2.3 to argue that GCEC has waived its right to serve.

With respect to the sufficiency of notice issue, even assuming Section 2.3 is the proper procedure, undisputed facts show that Gulf Power employee Joshua Rogers' October 20, 2017 email to GCEC employee C. Peyton Gleaton, Jr. (the "October 2017 Email") did not comply with that procedure because it failed to provide GCEC with "all relevant information" about the service request. Mr. Rogers himself conceded that his email did not properly identify the location of the Lift Station, and failed to provide GCEC with the name of the customer, the size

of the load, or the location of Gulf Power's facilities nearest to the Lift Station. The October 2017 Email thus was insufficient to serve as the "notice" required in order to trigger any right by Gulf Power to serve the Lift Station.

With respect to the final issue, the Motion cannot be granted because Gulf Power has altogether failed to meet its burden of putting forth undisputed facts that conclusively show GCEC waived its right to serve the Lift Station. Florida courts have consistently held that a question of waiver inherently involves factual issues that are not appropriate for decision by summary final order. *See Hale v. Dep't of Revenue*, 973 So. 2d 518, 523 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007); *Schiebe v. Bank of Am., N.A.*, 822 So. 2d 575, 575 (Fla. 5th DCA 2002); *Dusich v. Horley*, 535 So. 2d 507, 509 (Fla. 2d DCA 1988); *Parker v. Dinsmore Co.*, 443 So. 2d 356, 358 (Fla. 1st DCA 1983). Furthermore, granting Gulf Power's Motion has serious policy implications as it would effectively allow Gulf Power to serve the Lift Station regardless of whether its cost of service significantly exceeds that of GCEC, and would prevent the Commission from fulfilling its statutory obligation in Section 366.04(5), Florida Statutes, to ensure against "uneconomic duplication."

Legal Standard

The Commission's standard for granting a motion for summary final order is very difficult to meet and is the same as the standard for granting summary judgment in a court of law. *In re: Petition for approval of Special Gas Transp. Serv. agreement with Fla. City Gas by Miami-Dade Cnty. through Miami-Dade Water & Sewer Dep't* (*"Special Gas Transportation Service"*), Docket No. 090539-GU, Order No. PSC-11-0244-FOF-GU, at 3-4 n.6 (Fla. PSC June 2, 2011).

The law in Florida law is settled that Gulf Power, as "the party moving for summary judgment[,] is required to conclusively demonstrate the nonexistence of an issue of material fact, and . . . every possible inference [must be drawn] in favor of the party against whom a summary judgment is sought." *Green v. CSX Transp., Inc.*, 626 So. 2d 974 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993) (citing *Wills v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.*, 351 So. 2d 29 (Fla. 1977)). The burden is on Gulf Power to demonstrate that the opposing party cannot prevail. *Christian v. Overstreet Paving Co.*, 679 So. 2d 839, 840 (Fla. 2d DCA 1996). "A summary judgment should not be granted unless the facts are so crystallized that nothing remains but questions of law." *Moore v. Morris*, 475 So. 2d 666, 668 (Fla. 1985); *see also City of Clermont, Fla. v. Lake City Util. Servs., Inc.*, 760 So. 2d 1123, 1124 (5th DCA 2000). If the record reflects the existence of any issue of material fact, possibility of an issue, or "raises even the slightest doubt" that an issue might exist, summary judgment—or a summary final order—is improper. *Albelo v. S. Bell*, 682 So. 2d 1126, 1129 (Fla. 4th DCA 1996). "[E]ven where the facts are uncontroverted, the remedy of summary judgment is not available if different inferences can be reasonably drawn from the uncontroverted facts." *Id.*

The Commission must be especially cautious in granting a summary final order since in most instances the order brings "a drastic and sudden conclusion to a lawsuit," thus foreclosing the litigant from the benefit of and right to a trial on the merits of his or her claim. *In re: Application for transfer of facilities and Certificates No. 353-W and 309-S in Lee Cnty.*, Docket No. 000277-WS, Order No. PSC-01-0360-PAA-WS, at 11 (Fla. PSC Feb. 9, 2001) (quoting *Coastal Caribbean Corp. v. Rawlings*, 361 So. 2d 719, 721 (Fla. 4th DCA 1978)). It is for this reason that the procedural strictures inherent in the principles governing summary judgment and summary final order must be observed. *Id.* (citing *Page v. Staley*, 226 So. 2d 129, 132 (Fla. 4th

DCA 1969); *McCraney v. Barberi*, 677 So. 2d 355 (Fla. 1st DCA 1996)). These "procedural strictures are designed to protect the constitutional right of the litigant to a trial on the merits of his or her claim. They are not merely procedural niceties nor technicalities." *Id.* at 11-12.

Further, the Commission has expressly warned that "policy considerations should be taken into account in ruling on a motion for summary final order." *In re: Petition for relief from carrier-of-last-resort (COLR) obligations pursuant to Section 364.025(6)(d), F.S.*, Docket No. 070126-TL, Order No. PSC-07-1008-PAA-TL, at 4 (Fla. PSC Dec. 19, 2007). Importantly, such policy considerations include the public interest, as, after all, "this Commission's duty [is] to regulate in the public interest," and thus "the rights of not only the parties must be considered, but also the rights of the Citizens of the State of Florida are necessarily implicated, and the decision cannot be made in a vacuum." *In re: Application for Certificates to Operate a Water and Wastewater Utility in Charlotte & Desoto Counties*, Docket Nos. 970657-WS & 980261-WS, Order No. PSC-98-1538-PCO-WS, at 8 (Fla. PSC Nov. 20, 1998). Here, the Procedures & Guidelines approved by territorial order serve to preserve the public interest—also ensconced in Section 366.04(5), Florida Statutes—"to assure an adequate and reliable source of energy for operational and emergency purposes in Florida and the avoidance of further uneconomic duplication of generation, transmission, and distribution facilities." § 366.04(5), Fla. Stat.

Factual Background

The Procedures & Guidelines. After years of litigation between the parties over uneconomic duplication of facilities and "race to serve" issues, *see, e.g., Gulf Coast Elec. Coop.* v. Clark, 674 So. 2d 120 (Fla. 1996); Gulf Power Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n, 480 So. 2d 97 (Fla. 1985), and after the Florida Supreme Court provided Gulf Power and GCEC with definitive guidance regarding whether their respective service to a specific location would constitute

uneconomic duplication of facilities, *Clark*, 674 So. 2d at 123, the Commission directed Gulf Power and GCEC to "establish detailed procedures and guidelines addressing subtransmission, distribution, and requests for new service which are enforceable with each respective utility." *See in re: Petition to resolve territorial dispute with Gulf Coast Elec. Coop., Inc. by Gulf Power Co.*, Docket No. 930885-EU, Order No. PSC-98-1074 (Fla. PSC Jan. 28, 1998). To comply with the Commission's directive, in early 2001 GCEC and Gulf Power agreed to the Procedures & Guidelines, which were primarily drafted by Gulf Power. Haswell Aff. ¶ 3. Thereafter, the Procedures & Guidelines were submitted to the Commission for approval in Docket No. 930885-EU. After hearing from the parties, the Commission voted to approve the Procedures & Guidelines on March 13, 2001, under its authority to approve "territorial agreements." That vote was memorialized in Order Nos. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU and PSC-01-0891A-PAA-EU (collectively, the "Territorial Order").

The Procedures & Guidelines are expressly designed to avoid "further uneconomic duplication of facilities" by prescribing detailed procedures for determining which of GCEC and Gulf Power should provide electric service in response to a new customer request. The Procedures & Guidelines call for a transparent "comparative analysis" of whether a utility's service to a customer would result in "uneconomic duplication of facilities" which necessarily requires a review of "customer load requirements, proximity to existing facilities of both utilities, capabilities of existing facilities, and the costs to provide the required service." Complaint Composite Ex. A, Territorial Order, pp. 2-3. That "comparative analysis" is based on two fundamental precepts. First, "[w]hether or not a Utility's provision of electric service to a Customer would result in further uneconomic duplication of the other Utility's facilities is primarily dependent upon whether or not there is a significant difference in the Cost of Service

for each of the utilities." Complaint Composite Ex. A, Procedures & Guidelines § 2.1. Second, "[the] likelihood of there being a significant difference in the Cost of Service is primarily a function of the size of the Load, and the difference in distance between the Point of Delivery and the Existing Facilities of each utility." *Id.* With these precepts in mind, upon receiving a "bonafide request for service from a Customer," GCEC or Gulf Power may agree to provide the requested services but only if the conditions of either Section 2.2 or Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines are met. *Id.*

Section 2.2, in relevant part, authorizes a utility to agree serve a prospective customer, without any further conditions, for any size load where the requested utility's existing facilities are within 1,000 feet of the point of delivery or are no more than 1,000 feet further from the point of delivery than the existing facilities of the other utility. *Id.* § 2.2(a). Section 2.2 also authorizes a utility to agree to serve a prospective customer without further conditions if the customer's load is greater than 100 kVa but no more than 500 kVa, the construction required is predominantly the addition of new pole line, and the requested utility's existing facilities are no more than 1,500 feet further from the point of delivery that the existing facilities of the other utility. *Id.* § 2.2(b).²

Section 2.3. If there is a "bona-fide request of service from a Customer," and service by the requested utility to a specific point of delivery would **not** meet the load and distance criteria in Section 2.2, **but** the requested utility still "believes" its cost to serve the Point of Delivery would not be "significantly more than that of the other utility," then the requested utility is required to comply with the procedures set forth in Section 2.3 "to determine if the requested

² Gulf Power concedes that "GCEC's Existing Facilities are approximately 3,000 feet closer to the Lift Station than those of Gulf Power." Gulf Power's Answer ¶ 19. Therefore, it is undisputed that GCEC meets the load and distance criteria to serve the Lift Station under Section 2.2. Gulf Power conceded that it does not meet the load and distance criteria to serve the Lift Station under Section 2.2. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 25:2-7.

Utility may agree to provide service." In the event there is a "bona-fide request for service from

a Customer," Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) The requested Utility is to notify the other Utility of the Customer's request, providing all relevant information about the request.

(b) If the other Utility believes that its facilities would be uneconomically duplicated if the request is honored, it has five (5) working days *from receipt of notice* to request a meeting or other method to be conducted within ten (10) working days for the purpose of comparing each Utility's Cost of Service. Absent such a request or upon notification from the other Utility of no objection to the requested Utility's providing the service, the requested Utility may agree to provide service.

Id. § 2.3 (emphasis added).

In order to properly invoke the provisions specified in Section 2.3: (1) the utility must receive a "bona-fide request for service from a Customer," id. § 2.1.; and (2) the utility receiving the customer request must have a reasonable basis to "believe that its Cost of Service would not be significantly more than that of the other Utility." Id. § 2.3. If those two conditions are met, the utility receiving the request for service is then required "to notify the other Utility of the Customer's request, providing all relevant information about the request." Id. § 2.3(a). Notably, this provision does not simply mandate notice-it mandates notice which provides "all relevant information about the request." That is because upon receipt of such notice, the "notified" utility only has five working days to "request a meeting or other method to be conducted" within 10 additional working days "for the purpose of comparing each Utility's Cost of Service." Id. § 2.3(b). Thus, in short order, the "notified" utility needs all relevant information in order to compute its own cost of service to determine whether it should push for a cost of service comparison. Since computation of cost of service "is primarily a function of the size of the Load, and the difference in distance between the Point of Delivery and the Existing Facilities of each utility," id. § 2.1, the "notified" utility, at a minimum, must be provided with information on the size of the load, the precise geographic location of the point of delivery, and the precise geographic location of the other utility's nearest existing facilities capable of serving the load. The "notified" utility also needs that basic information to determine if the Section 2.3 procedure is even properly invoked. If the notice does not "provid[e] all relevant information about the request," the requirement to respond within five working days of "receipt of notice" is not triggered. *See id.* §§ 2.3(a) & (b).

The Competing Service Requests. Gulf Power claims to have received a "verbal" request to serve the Lift Station from the St. Joe Company at a meeting on October 11, 2017. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 22:15-17. Gulf Power contends that it acted under Section 2.3 to notify GCEC of the service inquiry via a short email sent by Joshua Rogers of Gulf Power to C. Peyton Gleaton, Jr. of GCEC on October 20, 2017. The email bears the subject line "Electrical Service Request," and reads in its entirety, save the greetings line and signature block, as follows:

Pursuant to section 2.3(a) of the agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC, I am notifying GCEC of a customer's request for electrical service from Gulf Power for a new lift station on parcel 26597-000-000. Construction would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Mr. Rogers acknowledges that he had never read the Procedures & Guidelines until sometime "between October 11th and October 20th of 2017," Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 16:12-140-144-7, 11-14, and composed the email in just a few minutes prior to sending it, *id.* 59:4-7, 11-14. Mr. Rogers further claims that he composed the email by himself and never vetted the email with anyone else prior to sending it on October 20, 2017. *Id.* 58:18-25, 59:1-3. Gulf Power acknowledges that Mr. Rogers had never communicated with Mr. Gleaton prior to sending the short email on October 20, 2017. Gulf Power's Answer ¶ 28; Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 60:21-24. Gulf Power further acknowledges that the email makes no reference to a "territorial agreement," Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 65:15-20 or the "Procedures & Guidelines," *id.* 12:8-16.

Gulf Power also acknowledges that prior to sending the October 2017 Email, it had information in hand which would enable a party to determine the name of the customer submitting the inquiry, the location of the lift station, the location of GCEC's and Gulf Power's existing facilities, and the size of the load—all vitally important information that it did *not* provide to GCEC. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 27:3-22, 28:6-22, 41:6-10, 67:6-69:22. As explained in greater detail below, GCEC disputes that this email provides "notice" as required by the Territorial Order and the Procedures & Guidelines. While Gulf Power claims to have received a "verbal" request to serve the Lift Station on October 11, 2017, it is undisputed that on December 14, 2017, Bay County requested that GCEC serve the Lift Station, identified as being located at 1900 Highway 388 West in Bay County. It is further undisputed that the customer request GCEC received on December 14, 2017, was the first knowledge GCEC had regarding service being requested or needed at a lift station at 1900 Highway 388 West.

After receipt of the request for service to the Lift Station at 1900 Highway 388 West, GCEC promptly calculated the distance of the lift station from GCEC's facilities and from Gulf Power's facilities, and concluded that GCEC's facilities were over 3000 feet closer to the Lift Station than those of Gulf Power and that it was reasonable to expect that GCEC should serve that Lift Station. Gleaton Aff. ¶¶ 9 & 10; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition 38:3-20; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition Ex. 5, 20180125-GPC POD 8-002. GCEC then began working up all of the information and agreements required to serve the lift station. Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition 41:19-44:25; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition Exs. 5 & 6. On December 15, 2017, GCEC advised the customer that GCEC would serve this lift station as requested. Gleaton Aff. ¶ 10. On December 22, 2017 GCEC provided Bay County a detailed cost estimate to serve the Lift Station. Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition Ex. 5, 20180125-GPC POD 8-002 & 8-013.

At the same time that Bay County requested that GCEC serve the Lift Station at 1900 Highway 388 West, Bay County advised GCEC that there was *another* lift station located at 3815 Highway 388 West, just east of the Northwest Florida Beaches International Airport ("Airport"), which Bay County assumed that Gulf Power would serve since it was located immediately adjacent to Gulf Power's facilities. Gleaton Aff. ¶¶ 9-10; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition 38:3-20; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition Ex. 5, 20180125-GPC POD 8-002.

After learning on December 14, 2017, that the customer intended to request Gulf Power to serve another lift station located at 3815 Highway 388 West, as a courtesy, GCEC sent an email to Gulf Power on January 8, 2018, advising of the customer's request that GCEC serve the Lift Station at "1900 Highway 388 West," since GCEC's facilities were much closer to this point of delivery. Gleaton Aff. ¶ 12; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition 23:17-19; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition Ex. 3, 20180125-GPC POD 6-001.

Gulf Power responded to that courtesy correspondence four days later, on January 12, 2018, claiming that GCEC had "waived any right to serve the subject location" of 1900 Highway 388 West by virtue of not responding to Gulf Power's "notice" sent in October 2017. Gulf Power's Answer Ex. I. For the first time, Gulf Power asserted that the October 2017 Email was intended to reference the "Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W." *See id.*³

Not long thereafter, on January 17, 2018, the customer contacted GCEC and indicated that it would "not be moving forward" with GCEC on the Lift Station and that a formal letter stating the same would be forthcoming. Ex. 3, January Emails, 20180125-GPC POD 8-028. Responding to a chain of emails in which GCEC detailed the work it had already done in light of

³ In addition to disputing the adequacy of the purported "notice" given by the October 2017 Email, GCEC also ardently disputes that it ever "knowingly and willingly waived or relinquished its right to serve the Lift Station under the Territorial Order" by virtue of the inadequate notice. Compl. ¶ 23; Gleaton Aff. ¶¶ 6-7; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition 46:17-21.

the request for service, the customer representative acknowledged the "frustration this will create." *Id.*

The Differing Costs to Serve. The present record reveals the utilities' differing costs to serve the Lift Station in dispute. Gulf Power's cost to serve the Lift Station is estimated to be \$76,000. Complaint Ex. C; *see also* Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 42:9-13. In contrast, GCEC's cost to serve the Lift Station is estimated to be \$17,393.28. Complaint ¶ 18; Complaint Ex. B. The threshold for uneconomic duplication of services under the Territorial Order is whether one utility's cost of service would exceed the other utility's cost of service by either \$15,000 or by more than 25%. Procedures & Guidelines § 2.3(d). As it stands, Gulf Power will exceed both of those thresholds, as Gulf Power's proposed cost of service exceeds GCEC by more than \$58,000 and Gulf Power's proposed cost of service is 335% more than GCEC's cost of service. *Compare* Complaint ¶ 18; Complaint Ex. B, *with* Complaint Ex. C; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition Ex. 5, 20180125-GPC POD 8-002 and 8-013.

Argument and Authorities

I. Under the facts of this case, Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines is not the proper procedure to determine which utility should provide electric service to the Lift Station.

Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines should not be used by the Commission to determine which utility should serve the Lift Station in question. In order to properly invoke the provisions specified in Section 2.3, the utility receiving the customer request must satisfy two conditions. First, it must have received a "bona-fide request for service from a Customer." Procedures & Guidelines § 2.1. Second, it must "believe that its Cost of Service would not be significantly more than that of the other Utility." *Id.* § 2.3. Gulf Power has satisfied neither of these conditions.

No Bona-fide Request for Service. Gulf Power had received no "bona-fide request" to serve the List Station as of October 20, 2017. After St. Joe Company made an initial verbal inquiry regarding Gulf Power serving the Lift Station at 1900 Highway 388 West, Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 22:15-17, on October 26, 2017, it subsequently asked Gulf Power to "bypass" the inquiry regarding service to the lift station at 1900 Hwy 388 West and instead focus on serving the lift station at 3815 Highway 388 West, immediately adjacent to Gulf Power's substation. Ex. 4. Moreover, despite claiming to have received the initial customer service request in early October 2017, Gulf Power never provided any type of cost estimate to St. Joe Company for serving the Lift Station until December 11, 2017, when Mr. Rogers sent an email stating that the customer would owe Gulf Power approximately \$115,000 in contribution in aid of construction ("CIAC"), assuming the customer took responsibility for clearing the trees and limbs in the right of way. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 44:18-45:10; Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition Ex. 6. Mr. Rogers further advised that it would take Gulf Power "two months to complete the engineering on this project and provide the exact costs." Mr. Rogers then concluded his email by inquiring "whether or not you would like us to proceed with completing the engineering for this project?"

Three days after Gulf Power notified St. Joe Company of the estimated CIAC costs it would have to pay, on December 14, 2017, Bay County contacted GCEC and requested that GCEC serve the Lift Station. Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition 40:7-13, 41:2-14; *see also* Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition Ex. 5.⁴ GCEC spent the next several weeks on the necessary preparations to serve the Lift Station after receiving the request to serve from Bay County. *See* Ex. 3; *see also* Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition 42:15-46:16; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition Ex. 5. It was not until January

⁴ The ultimate customer of the Lift Station will be Bay County, as St. Joe Company, the current owner of the land on which the Lift Station is to be placed, will transfer ownership of the Lift Station to Bay County. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 43:9-13.

17, 2018, that GCEC learned Bay County and the St. Joe Company wanted to seek utility service from Gulf Power. Ex. 3, 2018125-GPC POD 8-028.

GCEC's receipt of a request to serve the Lift Station on December 14, 2017, confirms that there was no bona-fide request for service to the Lift Station prior to that date. Because the Lift Station was 3,000 feet closer to GCEC's facilities than to Gulf Power's facilities, GCEC had a right to serve the Lift Station under Section 2.2. Compl. ¶ 19; Gulf Power's Answer, Response to ¶ 19 ("Gulf Power acknowledges that GCEC's Existing Facilities are approximately 3,000 feet closer to the Lift Station than those of Gulf Power.").

No Reasonable Basis for Gulf Power to Believe Its Cost of Service Would Not Be Significantly More Than GCEC's. As noted above, Gulf Power's cost to serve the Lift Station is an estimated \$76,000, Complaint Ex. C; see also Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 42:9-13; in contrast, GCEC's cost to serve the Lift Station is an estimated \$17,393.28. Complaint ¶ 18; Complaint Ex. B; see also Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition Ex. 5, 20180125-GPC POD 8-002 and 8-013. The Procedures & Guidelines were expressly developed to avoid further uneconomic duplication of the facilities. They make it clear that uneconomic duplication of services occurs when one utility's cost of service would exceed the other utility's cost of service by either \$15,000 or by more than 25%. In this case, Gulf Power would exceed both of those thresholds, as Gulf Power's cost of service estimate exceeds GCEC's estimate by more than \$58,000 and Gulf Power's cost of service is 335% more than GCEC's. Compare Complaint ¶ 18; Complaint Ex. B, with Complaint Ex. C; Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 42:9-13. Mr. Rogers admitted that he has no documents showing how he compared Gulf Power's cost of serving the lift station to that of GCEC; instead he said he did the cost comparisons "in his head." Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 42:17-18. There is no plausible way that Gulf Power could reasonably believe that its cost of service would not be significantly more than GCEC's to serve the Lift Station, a necessary predicate for Gulf Power to invoke the notice procedure of Section 2.3.

To distract from this significant disparity in cost of service, Gulf Power clamors to use the "notice" provisions in Section 2.3 to argue that GCEC has waived its right to serve. As explained below, the October 2017 Email failed to provide any of the relevant information required under Section 2.3 and the notice itself by all accounts is insufficient.

II. The October 2017 Email failed to "provide all relevant information" about the request for service as required by Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines and otherwise was insufficient to provide notice.

Mr. Rogers' short, vague October 2017 Email to Mr. Gleaton was insufficient to meet the Procedures & Guidelines' requirement that Gulf Power, as the requested utility, "notify [GCEC] of the Customer's request, providing all relevant information about the request." Procedures & Guidelines § 2.3(a). Consequently, that GCEC did not respond to that defective notice within five working days of receipt does not mean that Gulf Power may proceed to serve the Lift Station, as the lack of a response to this deficient notice did not constitute any waiver by GCEC.

A. The October 2017 Email did not provide "all relevant information about the request."

The Procedures & Guidelines are clear: "The requested Utility is to notify the other Utility of the Customer's request, providing *all relevant information about the request.*" Procedures & Guidelines § 2.3 (emphasis added). The Procedures & Guidelines are designed to eliminate and avoid uneconomic duplication, the existence of which depends on whether there is a significant difference in the cost of service for each of the utilities, which "is primarily a function of the size of the Load, and the difference in distance between the Point of Delivery and the Existing Facilities of each Utility." *Id.* § 2.1. Further, the definitions of "Point of Delivery" and "Existing Facilities" under the Procedures & Guidelines illustrate that the comparative

distance calculations called for require that the location of each be precisely described. *Id.* § 1.3 ("Existing Facilities" mean "the Utility's nearest facilities that are of a sufficient size, character (number of phases, primary voltage level, etc.) and accessibility so as to be capable of serving the anticipated Load of a Customer without requiring any significant modification of such facilities."); *id.* § 1.5 ("Point of Delivery" means "that geographical location where the Utility's anticipated facilities that would be used to deliver electrical power to a Customer begin to constitute what is commonly referred to as the service drop or service lateral" and for "a facility with multiple meter points," the term means "that geographical location at which the primary circuit to serve the facility begins to branch out into sub-circuits to reach the various meter points").

When electing to proceed under Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines, the utility receiving the request for service from a customer is required "to notify the other Utility of the Customer's request, providing all relevant information about the request." *Id.* § 2.3(a). The provision does not simply say "notify the other Utility of the Customer's request"; instead, it specifies that notice must "provid[e] all relevant information about the request." This is because upon receipt of such notice, the "notified" utility only has five working days to "request a meeting or other method to be conducted . . . for the purpose of comparing each Utility's Cost of Service." *Id.* § 2.3(b). Thus, in short order, the "notified" utility needs certain relevant information in order to compute its own cost of service to determine whether it should push for a cost of service comparison. Consequently, to comply with and achieve the purpose of the Territorial Order, the utility receiving a request for service must, at a minimum, provide the other utility with notice of the size of the load to be served, the precise location of the point of delivery, and the precise location of the requested utility's existing facilities. All of that

information is vitally important for determining whether there is uneconomic duplication of facilities under the Territorial Order, and is "relevant information" referenced throughout the Procedures & Guidelines as integral to conducting a cost comparison and to avoiding the uneconomic duplication of facilities. Mr. Rogers admitted as much. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 21:8-16, 28:14-22, 32:23-33:12, 41:6-10. However, undisputed facts demonstrate that Mr. Rogers' October 2017 Email provided GCEC with none of that relevant information. Indeed, Mr. Rogers conceded that prior to sending the October 2017 Email, Gulf Power had already obtained and had on hand the name of the customer that had asked Gulf Power to consider serving the Lift Station, yet that information was not provided in the October 2017 Email. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 67:16-22. Mr. Rogers conceded that prior to sending the October 2017 Email, Gulf Power had already obtained and had on hand the precise location of the Lift Station, but failed to include that information in the October 2017 Email. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 33:7-12, 34:11-16, 37:4, 39:14, 67:10-22. Mr. Rogers conceded further that prior to sending the October 2017 Email, Gulf Power had already obtained and had on hand the size of the Lift Station's load, yet the October 2017 Email failed to provide that information. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 67:23-68:9.

For those reasons alone, the October 2017 Email should be deemed defective and incapable of serving as the notice required under Section 2.3.⁵

⁵ Information adduced through limited discovery suggests that Gulf Power may have actively concealed from the customer that GCEC's facilities were actually closer to the Lift Station than Gulf Power's. Mr. Rogers could not "recall" whether he ever told anyone at St. Joe Company that there was a territorial agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC that could impact Gulf Power's ability to serve the Lift Station. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 57:10-25, 58:1-7. Gulf Power claims to have received a verbal request to serve the Lift Station at a meeting on October 11, 2017. When Gulf Power was asked to provide notes of that meeting, Gulf Power produced heavily redacted notes from Mr. Rogers. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition Ex. 7. Mr. Rogers stated that no attorneys were present at the October 11, 2017 meeting and these redactions were not included or referenced on Gulf Power's privilege log. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 52:2-14. Mr. Rogers himself could not explain the redactions, and admitted that many of the redactions related to his critical meeting on October 11 with the claimed "customer" for the Lift Station, St. Joe Company. Ex.

The only conceivably identifying information for the property subject to the customer service request was a vague 11-digit parcel number, but such information is useless. A property parcel number divorced from identification of the county in which the parcel is located may not be used to locate the parcel at issue. In fact, an internet search of the parcel number-again, the only "relevant information" Gulf Power provided in its purported notice-turns up absolutely nothing. Mr. Rogers acknowledged that failing to include the name of the county along with the parcel number was his "mistake." Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 68:10-25; 69:1-5. Further, even if Gulf Power had disclosed the county along with the parcel number—which it did not—the parcel number only identifies a large block of land measuring approximately one mile long and one mile wide; Mr. Rogers admitted that the precise location of the Lift Station could not be identified using the parcel number alone. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 38:5-39:9. Despite Mr. Rogers' specific knowledge regarding the locations of the two lift stations at issue on Highway 388 in Bay County, see, e.g., Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition Ex. 4, in the October 2017 Email he made no attempt to distinguish which lift station he was referring to, or to point out that one was located immediately adjacent to Gulf Power's existing facilities near the airport, Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 27:3-17; see also Gulf Power's Answer Ex. F. The information given by Mr. Rogers in the October 2017 Email does not come close to identifying the precise location of the point of delivery-the Lift Station.

In stark contrast, Gulf Power has elsewhere gone to great lengths to precisely identify the Lift Station using the 911 verified address of "1900 W. Hwy 388," Gulf Power's Answer Exs. C and D (identifying the requested service location as "1900 Hwy 388 West"), or Parcel ID 26597-000-000 in unincorporated Bay County, *see* Ex. 4; *see also* Gulf Power's Answer ¶ 2 ("Gulf

^{1,} Rogers Deposition 52:11-53:23. To further mystify the matter, Mr. Rogers stated he was unaware that any request for confidential classification of the un-redacted notes had been made. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 54:12-15.

Power received an inquiry from the St. Joe Company ('St. Joe') concerning the provision of electric service to a 112 kVA sewage lift station located on parcel ID 26597-000-000 in unincorporated Bay County"). Indeed, when informing Mr. Gleaton in January 2018 that GCEC had "waived" any right to serve the Lift Station by not responding to the October 2017 Email, Mr. Rogers suddenly indicated that "1900 Hwy 388 W" was the actual "specified location" of the request for service he referenced in his October 2017 Email. *See* Gulf Power's Answer Ex. I.

In short, in order to invoke the procedure in Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines, the Procedures & Guidelines do not require the requested utility to simply provide "notice"—it requires the utility to give notice "providing all relevant information about the request." Gulf Power's email provided no relevant information and for that reason alone should be deemed deficient.

B. Email was not an acceptable method to deliver notice.

In addition to being defective in content, the mode of notice was also defective because the parties never agreed to the use of email as a method of providing notice. To the extent the term "notify" is ambiguous in Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines, such ambiguity should be construed against the preferred interpretation of the provision's drafter, Gulf Power. Further, standard custom and trade usage in the utility industry reveals that U.S. Mail and/or certified mail is the preferable manner of service of notices required under territorial agreements. Accordingly, Gulf Power could not accomplish notice as required by Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines—and GCEC certainly could not "waive" its right to serve by failing to respond to a defective notice—provided via email.

First, the parties never agreed to the use of email as a means of providing notice within the docket itself giving rise to the Territorial Order or in drafting the Procedures & Guidelines.

In the notice procedures applicable to the underlying docket in which the Territorial Order was entered (Docket No. 930885-EU), GCEC expressly instructed that "[n]otices and communications" should be "addressed to" the physical mailing addresses of GCEC's counsel of record and GCEC's General Manager. *See* GCEC's Answer to Gulf Power's Petition in Docket No. 930885-EU. GCEC never indicated or agreed that "[n]otices and communications" should be sent by email.

Further, John H. Haswell, lead counsel for GCEC in Docket No. 930885-EU who assisted with drafting the Procedures & Guidelines, has averred that "[i]t was never anticipated, intended, or agreed by the parties that 'notice' as required by any provision of the Territorial Orders, including under Section 2.3 . . . , could be effected by email." Haswell Aff. ¶ 4. Mr. Haswell's affidavit is unrebutted. Moreover, to the extent there is any ambiguity with regard to the meaning of "notify" under the Procedures & Guidelines, that ambiguity must be strictly construed against Gulf Power as the primary drafter of the Procedures & Guidelines. Haswell Aff. ¶ 3; *see also, e.g., City of Homestead v. Johnson*, 760 So. 2d 80, 84 (Fla. 2000); *Hurt v. Leatherby Ins. Co.*, 380 So. 2d 432, 434 (Fla. 1980); *Goodwin v. Blu Murray Ins. Agency, Inc.*, 939 So. 2d 1098 , 1102 (Fla. 5th DCA 2006).

Regardless, when a contract is silent as to a certain term—such as the method of providing notice—the contract should be interpreted in light of custom and trade usage. *Carr v. Stockton*, 92 So. 814, 815 (1922); *NCP Lake Power, Inc. v. Fla. Power Corp.*, 781 So. 2d 531, 537-40 (Fla. 5th DCA 2001) (where disputed contract was silent as to the hours of operation of unit, court admitted extrinsic evidence of custom and trade usage in order to determine the hours of operation); *Vanater v. Tom Lilly Constr.*, 483 So. 2d 506, 508 (Fla. 4th DCA 1986); *Fred S. Conrad Constr. Co. v. Exch. Bank of St. Augustine*, 178 So. 2d 217, 221 (Fla. 1st DCA 1965);

see also In re Standard Jury Instructions—Contract & Business Cases, 116 So. 3d 284, 316 (Fla. 2013) (Instruction 416.16 Interpretation—Meaning of Disputed Technical or Special Words) ("Contracts may be written in light of established custom or trade usage in an industry, and contracts involving such transactions should be interpreted in light of such custom or trade usage."); *Emerald Pointe Property Owners' Ass'n v. Commercial Constr. Indus., Inc.*, 978 So. 2d 873, 878 (Fla. 4th DCA 2008) (authorizing admission of parol evidence to explain contract term where terms "leak" and "repaired" were ambiguous).

Based on custom and usage, and consistent with Mr. Haswell's affidavit, the prevailing method of providing notice under similar utility territorial orders is through U.S. mail and/or certified mail.⁶ These territorial orders approved by the Commission both before and after the approval of the Territorial Order establish a customary method for effectuating notice between utility companies. Therefore, while a method of notice was not specified in the Procedures & Guidelines, the established custom or trade usage indicates that the notice requirement in Section 2.3 should be interpreted to mean U.S. Mail or certified mail are permissible modes of sending notice, not email.

⁶ None of the territorial orders surveyed list email as a permissible means of sending notices and communications. See, e.g., In re: Joint Petition for approval to amend territorial agreement in Lake & Orange Counties by Progress Energy Fla., Inc. & City of Mount Dora, Docket No. 110263-EU, Order No. PSC-11-0591-PAA-EG (Dec. 22, 2011); In re: Joint petition for approval of territorial agreement between Sumter Elec. Coop., Inc. & Cent. Fla. Elec. Coop., Inc., Docket No. 960468-EC, Order No. PSC-96-0900-FOF-EC (July 15, 1996); In re: Joint petition for approval of territorial agreement between City Gas Co. of Fla. & S. Fla. Natural Gas Co., Docket No. 960510-GU, Order No. PSC-96-752-FOF-GU (June 10, 1996); In re: Joint petition for approval of territorial agreement in Dixie Cnty. between Cent. Fla. Elec. Coop., Inc. & Tri-Cnty. Elec. Coop. Inc., Docket No. 960343-EC, Order No. PSC-96-0753-FOF-EC (June 10, 1996); In re: Joint petition for approval of territorial agreement between Tampa Elec. Co. & Sumter Elec. Coop., Inc., Docket No. 940947-EU, Order No. PSC-94-1396-FOF-EU (Nov. 16, 1994); In re: Petition for approval of a territorial agreement between Clay Elec. Coop. Inc. & Jacksonville Elec. Auth., Docket No. 920202-EU, Order No. PSC-92-1208-FOF-EU (Oct. 26, 1992); In re: Joint Petition for approval of territorial agreement between Clay Elec. Coop., Inc. & City of Green Cove Springs, Docket No. 911106-EU, Order No. 25707 (Feb. 11, 1992); In re: Petition of Suwannee Valley Elec. Coop., Inc. to resolve territorial dispute with Fla. Power Corp. in Hamilton Cnty., Docket No. 890780-EU, Order No. 23178 (July 12, 1990); In re: Joint Petition to Approve Territorial Agreement between Fla. Power Corp. & Withlacoochee River Coop., Inc., Docket No. 881164-EU, Order No. 20368 (Nov. 30, 1988); In re: Joint Petition for Approval of Territorial Agreement between Fla. Power Corp. & Talquin Elec. Coop., Inc., Docket No. 880619-EU, Order No. 19806 (Aug. 15, 1988).

C. The recipient of the notice was not authorized to receive notice under the Procedures & Guidelines.

Gulf Power also delivered its faulty notice to the wrong person—a person not entitled to receive notice under the Territorial Order. While the Procedures & Guidelines do not specify to whom notices should be sent, the docket in which the Procedures & Guidelines were considered and approved by the Commission does. At the outset of the proceeding in Docket No. 930885-EU, GCEC gave Gulf Power written instructions that all "[n]otices and communications *with respect to this docket* should be addressed to" GCEC's counsel of record and GCEC's General Manager. *See* GCEC's Answer to Gulf Power's Petition in Docket No. 930885-EU (emphasis added); *see also* Haswell Aff. ¶ 6.

Quite obviously, Mr. Gleaton is not named as a person authorized to receive notice, nor is his title, Vice President of Engineering for GCEC, one of a person authorized to receive notice. Mr. Gleaton also specifically avers that he was *never* authorized or designated by GCEC to receive any type of notice on behalf of GCEC. *See* Bartley Aff. ¶¶ 4-5. Further, prior to January 2018, he was completely unaware that any territorial agreement existed between GCEC and Gulf Power. Gleaton Aff. ¶¶ 3, 5, 8.

This is further confirmed by the sworn statement of Mr. Haswell. Mr. Haswell avers that it was never "anticipated, intended, or agreed that 'notice' required to be provided under the Territorial Order[] could be effected by service of notice to anyone at GCEC, other than through notice to its General Manager and its two attorneys of record, [Mr. Haswell] and J. Patrick Floyd." Haswell Aff. ¶ 5; *see also* Floyd Aff. ¶ 4.

III. Gulf Power's Motion for Summary Final Order should not be granted.

As noted above, "[i]f the record reflects the existence of any issue of material fact, possibility of an issue, or raises even the slightest doubt that an issue might exist, summary judgment"—and thus, a summary final order—"is improper." *Special Gas Transportation Service*, Order No. PSC-11-0244-FOF-GU, at 4.

Here, there is no basis to grant Gulf Power's Motion as the record demonstrates that GCEC has not waived its right to serve the Lift Station under the Procedures & Guidelines. Waiver is the "intentional relinquishment or abandonment of a known right or privilege, or conduct that warrants an inference of the intentional relinquishment of a known right." Hale, 973 So. 2d at 522 (internal quotation marks omitted); see also Winans v. Weber, 979 So. 2d 269, 274 (Fla. 2d DCA 2007) ("The elements that must be established to prove waiver are the existence at the time of the waiver of a right, privilege, or advantage; the actual or constructive knowledge thereof; and an intention to relinquish that right, privilege, or advantage."). A question of waiver inherently involves factual issues that are not appropriate for decision by summary final order. See Hale, 973 So. 2d at 523; Schiebe, 822 So. 2d at 575; Dusich, 535 So. 2d at 509; Parker, 443 So. 2d at 358. And it is incumbent upon Gulf Power, as the party "rel[ying] upon the other party's conduct to imply a waiver," to show that the conduct relied upon to do so makes out "a clear case of waiver." Hale, 973 So. 2d at 522 (internal quotation marks omitted) (emphasis added). The Motion cannot be granted because Gulf Power has altogether failed to meet its burden of putting forth undisputed facts that conclusively show GCEC waived its right to serve the Lift Station.

The facts adduced through limited discovery illustrate that Gulf Power cannot make out a "clear case of waiver." Mr. Rogers' short October 2017 Email failed to provide GCEC with vital information that would allow GCEC to make any "knowing" decision regarding the purported notice. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 27:3-22, 28:6-22, 41:6-10, 67:6-69:22. Further, Mr. Gleaton disputes that he knowingly waived any right by GCEC to serve the Lift Station, Compl. ¶ 23;

Gleaton Aff. ¶¶ 6-7; Ex. 2, Gleaton Deposition 46:17-21, in addition to the evidence that Mr. Gleaton simply did not have the job title that allowed him to "waive" any contractual right on behalf of GCEC. Thus, based on the Procedures & Guidelines, which require any notice offered under Section 2.3(a) to not simply give notice but notice that "provide[s] all relevant information about the request," and on the facts on the record at present, Gulf Power cannot conclusively show that GCEC has waived its right to serve the Lift Station. Furthermore, granting Gulf Power's Motion has serious policy implications as it would effectively allow Gulf Power to serve the Lift Station despite the clear uneconomic duplication of facilities and would foreclose the Commission from fulfilling its statutory obligation to the public set forth in Section 366.04(5), Florida Statutes, to ensure against "uneconomic duplication." *See, e.g., In re: Application for Certificates to Operate a Water and Wastewater Utility in Charlotte & Desoto Counties*, Order No. PSC-98-1538-PCO-WS, at 8.

Conclusion

For these reasons, and for the reasons set forth in GCEC's earlier filed Response in Opposition, GCEC respectfully asks the Commission to deny Gulf Power's Motion for Final Summary Order and grant such other relief as the Commission deems proper.

GCEC's MOTION FOR SUMMARY FINAL ORDER

As noted above, a party is entitled to a summary final order when there is no genuine issue of material fact and the party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. § 120.57(1)(h), Fla. Stat. The undisputed evidence adduced through discovery in this case conclusively shows that GCEC did not in fact waive any right to serve the Lift Station under the Procedures & Guidelines and is entitled to a summary final order on this threshold issue.

Statement of Uncontested Facts

1. Both GCEC and Gulf Power are subject to the Procedures & Guidelines, which set forth a set of requirements and parameters governing Gulf Power's and GCEC's handling of new requests for service. Gulf Power's Answer, p. 3.

2. The Procedures & Guidelines are "enforceable" by the Commission with respect to Gulf Power's and GCEC's handling of new requests for service. Territorial Order, p. 4.

3. The Procedures & Guidelines are expressly designed to avoid "further uneconomic duplication of facilities" by prescribing detailed procedures for determining which of GCEC and Gulf Power should provide electric service in response to a new customer request.

4. The Procedures & Guidelines call for a transparent "comparative analysis" of whether a utility's service to a customer would result in "uneconomic duplication of facilities" which requires a review of "customer load requirements, proximity to existing facilities of both utilities, capabilities of existing facilities, and the costs to provide the required service." Territorial Order, pp. 2-3.

5. The "comparative analysis" embodied in the Procedures & Guidelines is based on two fundamental precepts. First, "[w]hether or not a Utility's provision of electric service to a Customer would result in further uneconomic duplication of the other Utility's facilities is primarily dependent upon whether or not there is a significant difference in the Cost of Service for each of the utilities." Procedures & Guidelines § 2.1. Second, "[the] likelihood of there being a significant difference in the Cost of Service is primarily a function of *the size of the Load, and the difference in distance between the Point of Delivery and the Existing Facilities of each utility.*" *Id.* (emphasis added). 6. The location of "the Point of Delivery," "size of the Load," and the "difference in distance between the Point of Deliver and the Existing Facilities of each utility" are important pieces of information for the parties to properly use in complying with the Procedures & Guidelines and the Territorial Order.

7. Gulf Power concedes that "GCEC's Existing Facilities are approximately 3,000 feet closer to the Lift Station than those of Gulf Power." Gulf Power's Answer ¶ 19.

8. Gulf Power conceded that it does not meet the load and distance criteria to serve the Lift Station under any part of Section 2.2. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 25:2-7.

9. Because GCEC's Existing Facilities are approximately 3,000 feet closer to the Lift Station than those of Gulf Power, GCEC meets the load and distance criteria to serve the Lift Station under subsections (a) and (b)(ii) of Section 2.2.

10. Since Gulf Power is precluded from serving the Lift Station under Section 2.2, its only basis for attempting to serve is to utilize the procedures set forth in Section 2.3. Under Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines (emphasis added),

(a) The requested Utility is to notify the other Utility of the Customer's request, providing all relevant information about the request.

(b) If the other Utility believes that its facilities would be uneconomically duplicated if the request is honored, it has five (5) working days *from receipt of notice* to request a meeting or other method to be conducted within ten (10) working days for the purpose of comparing each Utility's Cost of Service. Absent such a request or upon notification from the other Utility of no objection to the requested Utility's providing the service, the requested Utility may agree to provide service.

11. Relevant information concerning a customer's request for service would include the name of the customer, the precise location of the point of delivery, the size of the load, and the precise location of the requested utility's existing facilities. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 21:8-16, 28:14-22, 32:23-33:12, 41:6-10; *see also* Procedures & Guidelines §§ 1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.3.

12. Prior to sending the October 2017 Email, Gulf Power had already obtained and had ready access to the precise name of the customer that had asked Gulf Power to consider serving the Lift Station. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 67:16-19.

13. Gulf Power failed to disclose the name of the customer to GCEC when it sent the October 2017 Email to Mr. Gleaton. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 67:20-22; Gulf Power's Answer Ex. F.

14. Prior to sending the October 2017 Email, Gulf Power had already obtained and had ready access to the precise location of the Lift Station. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 33:7-12, 34:11-16, 37:4, 39:14, 68:10-12.

15. Gulf Power failed to disclose the location of the Lift Station to GCEC when it sent the October 2017 Email to Mr. Gleaton. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 37:4-49:9, 68:13-69:5; Gulf Power's Answer Ex. F.

16. Prior to sending the October 20, 2017 Email, Gulf Power had already obtained and had ready access to the size of the Lift Station's load. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 67:23-66:1.

17. Gulf Power failed to disclose the size of the Lift Station's load to GCEC when it sent the October 2017 Email to Mr. Gleaton. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 68:6-9; Gulf Power's Answer Ex. F.

18. Although heavily redacted, Mr. Rogers' own notes from his meeting with the customer on October 11, 2017 indicate that he had requested and was "getting 911 address" information from the customer for two lift stations on Highway 388 West in Bay County, Florida. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition Ex. 7, 20180125-GPC POD 5-1 & 5-2; Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 29:5-25.

19. Mr. Rogers received the verified 911 addresses from the customer by email from Ms. Bridget Precise on October 11, 2017, which described the Lift Stations as follows: "Lift Station 1 is on parcel 26508-000-000 with a street address 3815 W. Hwy 388" and "Lift Station 2 is on parcel 26597-000-000 with a street address of 1900 W. Hwy 388." Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 33:7-12; Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition Ex. 4, pp. 001, 015,016,019.

20. Prior to and after sending the October 2017 Email to Mr. Gleaton, Gulf Power routinely referred to the Lift Station by using a physical address and/or a parcel number and county. Gulf Power's Answer Exs. C & D (identifying the requested service location as "1900 Hwy 388 West"); Gulf Power's Answer ¶ 2 ("Gulf Power received an inquiry from the St. Joe Company ('St. Joe') concerning the provision of electric service to a 112 kVA sewage lift station located on parcel ID 26597-000-000 in unincorporated Bay County"); Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition Ex. 6 (identifying lift station at "1900"). The customer's first email to Gulf Power on October 11, 2017, identified the Lift Station specifically as "Lift Station 2 . . . on parcel 26597-000-000 with a street address of 1900 W. Hwy 388." Ex. 4.

21. In documents produced by Georgia Power during discovery, no documents referred to the Lift Station by parcel number alone except Mr. Rogers' October 2017 Email to Mr. Gleaton.

22. Mr. Rogers has admitted that his failure to include the County along with the parcel number in the October 2107 Email was a "mistake." Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 68:10-25; 69:1-5.

23. Information adduced through limited discovery suggests Gulf Power in discussions with the prospective customer may have withheld the fact that GCEC's facilities were actually closer to the Lift Station than Gulf Power's.

24. Mr. Rogers could not "recall" whether he ever told anyone at St. Joe Company that there was a territorial agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC that could impact Gulf Power's ability to service the Lift Station. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 57:10-25, 58:1-7.

25. When Gulf Power was asked to provide notes relevant to the proceeding and the limited scope of discovery, Gulf Power produced heavily redacted notes from Mr. Rogers. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition Ex. 7.

26. Mr. Rogers stated that no attorneys were present at the October 11, 2017 meeting and these redactions were not included or referenced on Gulf Power's privilege log. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 52:2-14.

27. Mr. Rogers himself could not explain the redactions, and admitted that many of the redactions related to his critical meeting on October 11 with the claimed "customer" for the Lift Station, St. Joe Company. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 52:11-53:23. To further mystify the matter, Mr. Rogers stated he was unaware that any request for confidential classification of the unredacted notes had been made.

28. Gulf Power admitted that it did not disclose the existence of the Procedures & Guidelines to the customer inquiring about service at its initial meeting with the customer. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 56:23-4. Gulf Power's representative, Mr. Rogers, could not recall if he ever disclosed the existence of the Procedures & Guidelines to the customer. Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 57:10-58:8.

Argument and Authorities

The Procedures & Guidelines and the Territorial Order are designed to eliminate and avoid uneconomic duplication, the existence of which depends on whether there is a significant difference in the cost of service for each of the utilities, which "is primarily a function of the size

of the Load, and the difference in distance between the Point of Delivery and the Existing Facilities of each Utility." Procedures & Guidelines § 2.1. The Procedures & Guidelines call for a "comparative analysis" of whether a utility's service to a customer would result in "uneconomic duplication of facilities" which in turn requires a transparent review of "customer load requirements, proximity to existing facilities of both utilities, capabilities of existing facilities, and the costs to provide the required service." Territorial Order, pp. 2-3.

To ensure transparency in the utilities' comparative analysis, a utility receiving a customer service request that elects to proceed under Section 2.3 of the Procedures & Guidelines is required "to notify the other Utility of the Customer's request, providing all relevant information about the request." Id. § 2.3(a). The provision does not simply say "notify the other Utility of the Customer's request"; instead, it specifies that notice must "provid[e] all relevant information about the request." This is because upon receipt of such notice, the "notified" utility only has five working days to "request a meeting or other method to be conducted . . . for the purpose of comparing each Utility's Cost of Service." Id. § 2.3(b). Thus, in short order, the "notified" utility needs certain relevant information in order to compute its own cost of service to determine whether it should push for a cost of service comparison. To comply with and achieve the purpose of the Territorial Order, the utility receiving a request for service must, at a minimum, provide the other utility with notice of the size of the load to be served, the precise location of the point of delivery, and the precise location of the requested utility's existing All of that information is vitally important for determining whether there is facilities. uneconomic duplication of facilities under the Territorial Order.

The October 2017 Email that Mr. Rogers sent to Mr. Gleaton is devoid of that vitally relevant information, notwithstanding that Gulf Power had already obtained and had ready
access to that information prior to Mr. Rogers sending his email. It is elemental that "there can be no waiver if the party against whom the waiver is invoked did not know all of the material facts." *Winans*, 979 So. 2d at 274. Although issues of waiver are typically not resolved on a motion for summary final order, GCEC submits that the record facts at present demonstrate the existence of no disputed issue of material fact regarding whether Gulf Power's claimed "notice" was deficient under the Procedures & Guidelines. *See id.* at 274-76 (reversing order that doctrine of waiver applied to cut off the Winans' rights under contract and remanding for entry of a judgment in favor of the Winans). Therefore, GCEC is entitled to a summary final order that it did not waive its ability to serve the Lift Station by virtue of not responding to the October 2017 Email within five days.

Finally, in addressing prior territorial disputes between GCEC and Gulf Power, the Florida Supreme Court has critically evaluated whether a utility has actively concealed its intent to serve a customer in proximity to the other utility. *See Clark*, 674 So. 2d at 123 (in upholding GCEC's right to serve, the Court noted that "GCEC never intended to hide its actions" in attempting to serve the customer in question). Because of the limited discovery in this proceeding thus far, and given that Gulf Power has heavily redacted meeting notes regarding its efforts to serve the Lift Station, *see, e.g.*, Ex. 1, Rogers Deposition 51:9-25, 52:1-25, 53:1-25, 54:1-25, 55:1-25, 56:1-25, it is not possible to determine whether there was intentional concealment of information. However, the undisputed facts in the record do conclusively show that Gulf Power had on hand vitally relevant information regarding the request to serve the Lift Station and failed to provide that relevant information to GCEC as required by Section 2.3 of the Procedures and Guidelines. That alone should entitle to GCEC a summary order finding that it did not waive its ability to serve the Lift Station.

Certificate of Conferral

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.204(3), Florida Administrative Code, GCEC counsel has conferred with Gulf Power and Gulf Power objects to GCEC's Motion for Summary Final Order.

WHEREFORE, GCEC respectfully moves for the prehearing officer to enter an order denying Gulf Power's Motion for Summary Final Order and granting GCEC's Motion for Summary Final Order.

Respectfully submitted on September 11, 2018.

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

much D. Bruce May, Jr.

Florida Bar No. 354473 <u>bruce.may@hklaw.com</u> **Tiffany A. Roddenberry** Florida Bar No. 092524 <u>tiffany.roddenberry@hklaw.com</u> Holland & Knight LLP 315 S. Calhoun St., Ste. 600 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 224-7000 (Telephone)

Counsel for Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by overnight delivery to: Jeffrey A. Stone, Gulf Power Company, General Counsel, Sandy Sims, Eastern District General Manager, Gulf Power Company, and Rhonda J. Alexander, One Energy Place, Pensacola, Florida 32520-0780, and Russell A. Badders and Steve Griffin, Beggs & Lane, 501 Commendencia Street, Pensacola, Florida 32502, and by hand-delivery to Mary Anne Helton, Deputy General Counsel, and Jennifer Crawford and Kurt Schrader, Staff Counsel, Florida 92599, all on this 11th day of September, 2018.

Brok

Docket No. 202180125-EU

EXHIBIT 1

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Complaint by Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc., against Gulf Power Company for violation of a territorial order.

Docket No.: 20180125-EU

Filed : July 27, 2018

DEPOSITION OF JOSHUA R. ROGERS

Taken on Behalf of Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

DATE TAKEN:	Friday, August 17th, 2018
TIME:	1:00 p.m 3:40 p.m.
PLACE:	Anchor Court Reporting
	229 South Baylen Street
	Pensacola, Florida 32502

Examination of the Witness reported by:

Pamela Dee Elliott, Florida Professional Reporter Notary Public, State of Florida

ANCHOR COURT REPORTING

229 South Baylen Street Pensacola, Florida 32502

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.:
4	D. BRUCE MAY, JR., ESQUIRE Holland & Knight, LLP
5	315 South Calhoun Street Suite 600
6	Tallahassee, Florida 32301 and
7	PATRICK J. FLOYD, ESQUIRE Patrick J. Floyd Law Offices
8	408 Long Avenue Port St. Joe, Florida 32456
9	
10	FOR GULF POWER COMPANY:
11	STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, ESQUIRE Beggs & Lane
12	501 Commendencia Street Pensacola, Florida 32501
13	
14	ALSO PRESENT VIA TELEPHONE:
15	KURT SCHRADER, ESQUIRE JENNIFER CRAWFORD
16	HENRY MERRYDAY ROBERT GRAVES
17	ELIZABETH DRAPER
18	COURT REPORTER: PAMELA DEE ELLIOTT, FPR
19	ANCHOR COURT REPORTING 229 South Baylen Street
20	Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850)432-2511
21	1-800-563-6409 FAX: (850)432-2302
22	www.anchorreporters.com
23	
24	
25	

1		INDEX OF TRANSCRIPT		
2	WITNESS:			
3		JOSHUA R. ROGERS		
4		Direct Examination by Mr. May	05	
5		Cross-Examination by Mr. Griffin	72	
6	Cert	ificate of Oath	75	
7	Certificate of Reporter			
8	Witness Review Letter			
9	Errata Sheet (to be forwarded upon execution)78			
10				
11	GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE'S EXHIBITS INDEX			
12	<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION PAGE N	10.	
13	1	10/20/17 Rogers Email (Exhibit F)	11	
14	2	Amendatory Order	11	
15	3	Order Approving Procedures and Guidelines	19	
16	4	10/11&12/17 Emails and Drawings (19 pages)	25	
17	5	Affidavit of Rogers	32	
18	6	12/11/17 Rogers Email	44	
19	7	Redacted Journal Notes	51	
20	8	Petition of Gulf Power Company	61	
21	9	GCEC's Answer to Motions to Dismiss and Strike	63	
22	10	GPC's Document Production in Response to No. 4	64	
23				
24	NO	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS INDEX	~	
25	<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION PAGE N	<u>u</u> .	
l				

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	STIPULATION
8	
9	It is stipulated and agreed by Counsel for
10	the parties that the deposition is taken for
11	the purpose of discovery and/or evidence;
12	that all objections save as to the form of
13	the question are reserved to the time of
14	trial; and that the reading and signing of
15	the deposition are not waived, together with
16	notice of the original hereof.
17	* * * * * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	5
1	WHEREUPON, the Witness,
2	JOSHUA R. ROGERS,
3	having been duly sworn by the Court Reporter testified
4	on his oath as follows:
5	THE WITNESS: I do.
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. MAY:
8	Q. Please state your name.
9	A. Joshua Rogers.
10	Q. Mr. Rogers, I'm Bruce May with the law firm
11	of Holland and Knight representing Gulf Coast Electric
12	Cooperative in this matter. For the record, this
13	deposition is being taken pursuant to notice dated
14	July 27th, 2018, in Public Service Commission Docket
15	Number 20180125.
16	I just want to go over a couple of
17	housekeeping items at the beginning to make sure that
18	the deposition goes as smoothly as possible. Have you
19	ever been deposed before?
20	A. No, sir.
21	Q. This is your first time?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. So the court reporter is going to be taking
24	an accurate try to get a word-for-word transcript of
25	everything you say, so we went you to please speak

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

6

1	loudly and as clearly as you can. Sometimes I have a
2	tendency to nod my head and that's not good because
3	that's not going to be taken up on the transcript, so
4	answer verbally if you can. If you would, I'd ask that
5	you please wait until I finish asking the question
6	before you begin to answer so that we're not talking
7	MR. MAY: Hey, Bruce, we have a really bad
8	feedback on your end. Are you guys hearing it as
9	well?
10	(Off-the-record comments were made.)
11	MR. MAY: Back on the record.
12	Q. (By Mr. May) Mr. Rogers, I was saying so
13	that we move forward with the deposition as efficiently
14	as possible, I'd ask that you wait until I finish
15	answering (sic) a question before you begin to answer so
16	that we don't talk over one another. And for each
17	question I ask, I'm going to assume you understand the
18	question unless you let me know otherwise. If I ask you
19	a question you don't understand, you'll agree to let me
20	know; right?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. Okay. What did you do to prepare for this
23	deposition?
24	A. In preparation for this deposition, I
25	reviewed some of my files, met with attorneys and came
	www.anchorreporters.com
	www.anchorreporters.com

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

7

I

1	here today.
2	Q. You say you reviewed some of your files.
3	Any specific documents that you reviewed in preparation?
4	A. Reviewed the specifically reviewed some
5	of the stuff that has been asked in discovery through
6	the proceedings in the docket.
7	Q. So you had some meetings with your
8	attorneys before coming over?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Any other preparation?
11	A. No, sir.
12	Q. Could you please describe briefly your
13	educational background?
14	A. Yes, sir. I went to Pensacola Junior
15	College for associate's degree; University of Florida,
16	bachelor's degree; University of West Florida for my
17	master's.
18	Q. Are you a licensed professional engineer?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Okay. And you're currently employed by
21	Gulf Power; correct?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. And what's your current position?
24	A. I'm currently the district engineering
25	supervisor.

I

1	Q. And how long have you been in that
2	position?
3	A. I've been in that position about eight
4	months.
5	Q. And prior to serving as district
6	engineering supervisor, what was your job position with
7	Gulf Power?
8	A. Prior to the district engineering
9	supervisor, I was the engineering supervisor two for
10	Panama City Beach.
11	Q. During which period of time were you the
12	supervisor in Panama City Beach?
13	A. From October 2015 through December 2017.
14	Q. Okay. And can you repeat what your job
15	title was prior to December 2017?
16	A. Engineering supervisor two.
17	Q. Iwo?
18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q. Okay. And as an engineering supervisor
20	two, what were your job duties and responsibilities?
21	A. As an engineering supervisor two, I was in
22	charge of the Panama City Beach engineering group,
23	responsible for design and engineering distribution
24	facilities out of the Panama City Beach office.
25	Q. Who did you report to while you were the
- 1	

1	engineering supervisor two in Panama City Beach?
2	A. I reported to the district operations
3	manager.
4	Q. And who was that?
5	A. The district operations manager is Shelly
6	Scarborough.
7	Q. Now, in your current position as district
8	engineering supervisor, what district are you
9	responsible for?
10	A. I'm responsible for the Eastern district.
11	Q. And what does that cover?
12	A. The Eastern district covers the Panama City
13	Beach, the Panama City and the Chipley headquarters.
14	Q. Okay. And as district engineering
15	supervisor in your current job, who do you report to?
16	A. I report to the district operations
17	manager.
18	Q. And who is that?
19	A. Shelly Scarborough.
20	Q. Prior to serving as, I guess, engineering
21	supervisor two for Panama City Beach, did you have any
22	other jobs with Gulf Power?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. And what were those?
25	A. Prior to the engineering supervisor two

Г

1	role, I've held positions as a distribution engineer,
2	protection and controls engineer, reliability and power
3	quality engineer. I've served as the forecasting not
4	forecasting, I'm sorry the costing and load research
5	engineer. I believe that's it.
6	Q. And how long have you been working for Gulf
7	Power?
8	A. I've been with Gulf Power for about
9	12-and-a-half years.
10	Q. And prior to that, where were you employed?
11	A. Prior to Gulf Power Company?
12	Q. Yes.
13	A. I worked for J. Chandler Custom Homes.
14	Q. Okay. In what counties does Gulf Power
15	currently provide electric power, electric service?
16	A. Gulf Power serves customers as far west as
17	Escambia County and as far east as Bay County. I do not
18	know the county in which Sneads is located. I know
19	that's a different county there. We serve Walton
20	County, Bay County, part of Jackson and Washington.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. As well as others in there
23	Q. Sure.
24	A included.
25	Q. Mr. Rogers, I'm going to show you a series
	www.anchorreporters.com

Γ

	11
1	of documents. The first one I'm going to mark as
2	Deposition Exhibit 1.
3	(Whereupon, GCEC's Exhibit No. 1 was
4	marked for identification.)
5	Q. (By Mr. May) And this is the email
6	attached as Exhibit F to Gulf Power's Answer to Gulf
7	Coast Electric Cooperative's Complaint in this docket.
8	Have you had a chance to review the document?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Is this the email that you sent to Peyton
11	Gleaton on October 20, 2017?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. And your October 20 email refers to an
14	agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC. Do you see that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. This will be Deposition Exhibit
17	Number 2.
18	(Whereupon, GCEC's Exhibit No. 2 was
19	marked for identification.)
20	Q. (By Mr. May) Mr. Rogers, this document
21	which I've marked as Deposition Exhibit 2, on the second
22	page, take a look at that if you would. It's titled
23	Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further
24	Uneconomic Duplication. Is this a document you refer to
25	in your October email as the agreement?

www.anchorreporters.com (850) 432-2511

		12
1	A. Yes.	
2	Q. Have you read this document?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. Can you point to me where the term	
5	agreement is used in this document?	
6	A. I do not see the word agreement in the	
7	document.	
8	Q. Can you explain to me then why did you	
9	refer to the Procedures and Guidelines document as an	
10	agreement in your October 20 email?	
11	A. When I received training on what we call	
12	territorial issues, there are agreements or documents,	
13	processes that we follow as part of when we have	
14	territorial issues. And so what I refer to there as ar	1
15	agreement is referring to the territorial document	
16	between Gulf Power and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative.	
17	Q. So you received training on territorial	
18	issues from Gulf Power?	
19	A. Yes, sir, we have training for territorial	L
20	issues.	
21	Q. And as part of that training, who provides	5
22	that training to you?	
23	A. I don't recall who was the teacher of that	C
24	training.	
25	Q. Are you aware that the Procedures and	

- 1	
1	Guidelines document was approved by the Florida Public
2	Service Commission?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the PSC orders
5	approving that Procedures and Guidelines document?
6	A. I know this is the result of that docket,
7	but I'm not familiar with that docket.
8	Q. Okay. But are you familiar with the PSC
9	orders that approved this Procedures and Guidelines
10	document?
11	A. Could you ask that question again?
12	Q. Sure. Do you know if the Florida Public
13	Service Commission approved the Procedures and
14	Guidelines document which we've marked as Deposition
15	Exhibit Number 2?
16	A. I know that this was a result of the
17	docket.
18	Q. Okay. But you don't know whether the
19	Florida Public Service Commission approved the
20	Procedures and Guidelines document marked as Deposition
21	Exhibit 2?
22	A. I don't understand. I'm not an attorney,
23	I'm an engineer. So as part of the training that I have
24	had is to be aware that there are places in Gulf Power,
25	specifically in the Eastern district that I work in

14

1	today, where we have other utilities that we may have to
2	work with. So I don't know, as far as this docket, how
3	the end result of the docket I'm not familiar with
4	that docket. It was long before I joined Gulf Power. I
5	just know that a result of that docket is this
6	territorial document.
7	Q. Okay. And this document was entered in
8	Document Number 930885?
9	A. That's the docket on here.
10	Q. Okay. Do you know if Gulf Power has any
11	territorial agreements with any utilities other than
12	Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And what are those utilities?
15	A. I know we have an agreement with CHELCO.
16	Q. Any others?
17	A. I don't know of any others.
18	Q. Okay. Are you responsible for any aspects
19	of this Procedures and Guidelines document?
20	A. I'm responsible for being aware of it and
21	making sure that we follow the procedures inside of the
22	document.
23	Q. Are you responsible for any aspects of the
24	territorial agreement that Gulf Power has with CHELCO?
25	A. Will you ask that question again?
ļ	

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

	1
1	Q. Sure. Are you responsible for any aspects
2	of the territorial agreement that Gulf Power has with
3	CHELCO?
4	A. I'm responsible for knowing where the lines
5	on the ground are for the CHELCO agreement and making
6	sure that we abide by that agreement.
7	Q. When did you first become aware,
8	Mr. Rogers, of the Procedures and Guidelines document
9	which we marked as Deposition Exhibit 2?
10	A. February of 2016.
11	Q. And how did you become aware of the
12	Procedures and Guidelines document?
13	A. Through the territorial training.
14	Q. And you previously stated that you don't
15	remember who provided you with that training?
16	A. No, sir, I don't remember who was the
17	instructor in there.
18	Q. How long did the training last?
19	A. I don't recall how long the training lasts.
20	Q. As part of the training, did you review the
21	Procedures and Guidelines document?
22	A. We did not sit down and read the document.
23	The training was to make sure that the employees know
24	that the document exists and that we're aware that the
25	document is there and that when we have incidents that

I

1	fall within these criteria, that we are aware that the
2	document is there and that we need to go to the document
3	and review it so we can follow those.
4	Q. So you did not read the Procedures and
5	Guidelines document during your training session, but
6	after your training session, have you had an opportunity
7	to read the Procedures and Guidelines document?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. When was that?
10	A. I read the document prior to the
11	October 20th email.
12	Q. Can you be more specific on the time?
13	A. Between October 11th and October 20th of
14	2017.
15	Q. Okay. Between that nine-day period?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. That was the first time you've read the
18	document?
19	A. In its entirety.
20	Q. Okay. Who asked you to read that document?
21	A. Nobody asked me to read the document.
22	Q. Why did you read the document on
23	October 11th?
24	A. Because I knew that the load that I had
25	been asked to serve was within the scope of what the

Г

	17
1	document covered.
2	Q. When you read the document strike that.
3	When you first read the document sometime between
4	October 11 and October 20, did you have any questions
5	regarding the procedures and guidelines set forth in the
6	document?
7	A. No, sir.
8	Q. Did you consult with anybody else within
9	Gulf Power about the Procedures and Guidelines document
10	during the period October 11 through October 20?
11	A. Could you ask that question again?
12	Q. Sure.
13	MR. MAY: Can you read that back to him?
14	(Whereupon, the court reporter read back
15	and the deposition was continued as follows:)
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. (By Mr. May) And who were those people?
18	A. Steve Bottoms.
19	Q. Anybody else besides Mr. Bottoms?
20	A. No, sir.
21	Q. What's Mr. Bottoms' job title?
22	A. Engineering field rep senior.
23	Q. Does he report to you?
24	A. Today, he does.
25	Q. During the period October 11 through

www.anchorreporters.com (850) 432-2511

	18
1	October 20, did Mr. Bottoms report to you?
2	A. No, sir.
3	Q. What was his job title during that period
4	of time?
5	A. Engineering field rep senior.
6	Q. Do you know who he reports to?
7	A. He reported to the engineering supervisor
8	one in that time frame.
9	Q. And who's that?
10	A. At that time, it was Bill Aycock.
11	Q. After reading the Procedures and Guidelines
12	document between the period October 11 through
13	October 20 of 2017, would you say it's fair that the
14	Procedures and Guidelines document establish procedures
15	and guidelines for how Gulf Power and GCEC are to
16	respond to requests for new service?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And those procedures and guidelines for
19	responding to requests for new service is found in
20	Section II of the document; is that correct?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. Mr. Rogers, I'm going to ask you some
23	questions regarding Section II. But before I do, I want
24	to show you a document which I want to mark as
25	Deposition Exhibit Number 3.

	19
1	(Whereupon, GCEC's Exhibit No. 3 was
2	marked for identification.)
3	Q. (By Mr. May) And this is a copy of Public
4	Service Commission Order Number PSC-01-0891-PAA, which
5	was issued on April 9, 2001. And it's styled Notice of
6	Proposed Agency Action Order Approving Procedures and
7	Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication
8	of Facilities. Do you see that?
9	A. I see that.
10	Q. On page two, at the bottom of page two and
11	the top of page three, can you read that for the record?
12	A. In this highlighted section you have?
13	Q. Yes.
14	A. Section II of the proposed agreement
15	outlines a utilities response to a request for service.
16	Upon a request for service, a utility will review
17	customer load requirements, proximity to existing
18	facilities of both utilities, capabilities of the
19	existing facilities and the cost to provide the required
20	service. We find that a comparative analysis such as
21	the one required by the proposed agreement will avoid
22	future uneconomic duplication of facilities.
23	Q. Would you consider what you just read a
24	fair overview of Section II of the Procedures and
25	Guidelines?

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

	20
1	A. Yeah, that's a fair overview.
2	Q. And would you agree that one of the
3	purposes of Section II is to avoid uneconomic future
4	excuse me, avoid future uneconomic duplication of
5	facilities?
6	A. Will you ask that again?
7	Q. Sure. After reviewing the Public Service
8	Commission's Order approving the Procedures and
9	Guidelines document, would you agree that one of the
10	purposes of Section II is to avoid future uneconomic
11	duplication of facilities?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Now, let's turn back to what we've marked
14	as Deposition Exhibit Number 2. And I want you to look
15	at some of the actual language in Section II of the
16	Procedures and Guidelines document. I'm going to start
17	at Section 2.1. If you need some time why don't you
18	take some time and just review that and let me know when
19	you're ready. I want to ask you a couple of questions
20	about this.
21	MR. GRIFFIN: Section 2.1?
22	MR. MAY: Yes.
23	A. I've reviewed that section.
24	Q. (By Mr. May) Okay. You previously agreed
25	that one of the purposes of Section II is to avoid

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

1 future uneconomic duplication of facilities. So looking 2 at the first sentence in Section 2.1, you would agree, 3 would you not, that whether there is uneconomic duplication of facilities is primarily dependent on 4 5 whether or not there's a significant difference in the 6 cost to service for Gulf Power and GCEC; correct? 7 Α. Yes. 8 And then the Procedures and Guidelines go 0. 9 on to state that if there's a significant difference in 10 the cost to service -- whether there's a significant 11 difference in the cost to service is primarily a function of the size of the load of the customer 12 13 requesting service and the difference in distance 14 between the point of delivery and the existing 15 facilities of each utility. Do you agree with that? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. So the size of the load is an 18 important piece of information to have in implementing 19 this Procedures and Guidelines document? 20 The size of the load is referenced in the Α. 21 document. 22 0. Okay. In your words, what does the quote 23 difference in distance the point of delivery and the 24 existing facilities of each utility mean? 25 Α. There's going to be two separate distances,

1	the load to the utility to one utility will say Gulf
2	Coast and then the distance from the load to Gulf
3	Power's existing facilities.
4	Q. Now, let's look at the third sentence in
5	Section 2.1, which states, quote, "Consequently, upon
6	receiving a bona fide request for service from a
7	Customer, a Utility may agree to provide the requested
8	service if the conditions under either 2.2 or Section
9	2.3 are met." Do you see that?
10	A. Yes, sir, I see that.
11	Q. Okay. When did Gulf Power receive a bona
12	fide request for service to the lift station you refer
13	to in your October 20 email?
14	A. We had a meeting on October the 11th.
15	Q. Was the request for service made in
16	writing?
17	A. The initial request was a verbal request.
18	Q. In reviewing Gulf Power's documents in
19	response to GCEC's First Request for Production of
20	Documents, I didn't see any request in writing from the
21	customer memorializing the request you refer to in your
22	October 20 email. Did I miss something?
23	A. You have a copy of an email from the St.
24	Joe Company requesting Gulf Power to serve two lift
25	stations.

1	Q. What email is that?
2	A. There's an email from St. Joe Company
3	identifying two lift stations that they requested
4	service to.
5	Q. And what was the date of that email?
6	A. I'd have to get that email from him. It
7	was either October the 11th or October the 12th.
8	MR. GRIFFIN: Bruce, I can help you, if
9	you'd like. It's dated October 12th, 2017 from
10	Bridget Precise to Josh Rogers identifying the
11	two lift stations.
12	Q. (By Mr. May) Let me show you a document
13	that is dated October 11, 2017 from Bridget Precise to
14	Joshua Rogers at about 11:53 a.m. Is that the email
15	you're referring to?
16	A. That was the email I was referring to.
17	Q. Can you point out in that email where St.
18	Joe or Ms. Precise said we're requesting service?
19	A. She's providing the information to me of
20	the locations where she wants service to the lift
21	stations.
22	Q. Again, I'm going to ask you, Mr. Rogers,
23	can you point out in this email where St. Joe Company
24	states in writing that it is requesting service?
25	A. They're asking me for they're providing

1 me information based on the two lift stations that they
2 want service to.

3

4

5

6

Q. There's nothing in this email that says in writing that St. Joe requests service, is there?

A. I believe they're asking me to serve these two lift stations that they've identified in this email.

Q. We'll come back to this one. Your
October 20 email which we've marked as Depo Exhibit
Number 1 makes reference to Section 2.3 of the
Procedures and Guidelines, so let's turn to that section
now. And what I'm referring to is Deposition Exhibit
Number 2, Section II. Mr. Rogers, please read for the
record Section 2.3 stopping at the colon.

A. In any instance where the load and distance criteria of Section 2.2 are not met but the requested utility believes that its cost of service would not be significantly more than that of the other utility, the following procedures shall be used to determine if the requested utility may agree to provide service.

20 Q. So as I read it, Section 2.3 could only 21 apply where two things occurred; one, where Gulf Power 22 did not meet the load and distance criteria under 23 Section 2.2 and two, where Gulf Power believes that its 24 cost to service would not be significantly more than 25 that of GCEC. Would you agree with that?

25

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Now, based on your Affidavit that
3	you submitted in this docket, I'm assuming that Gulf
4	Power did not meet the load and distance criteria in
5	Section 2.2. Am I correct?
6	A. Correct, we did not meet the requirements
7	of Section 2.2.
8	Q. And as I read Section 2.2, there are
9	different distance criteria depending on the size of the
10	load. Would you agree?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. In addressing whether Gulf Power met the
13	load and distance criteria in Section 2.2, how did you
14	determine the size of the load for the customer you
15	reference in your October 20, 2017 email?
16	A. From the size of the motors that would be
17	installed at the lift station.
18	Q. Mr. Rogers, I'm going to show you a
19	document now which is the documents produced in response
20	to Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative's First Request for
21	Production of Documents Number 9. And let's mark this
22	as Deposition Exhibit 4.
23	(Whereupon, GCEC's Exhibit No. 4 was
24	marked for identification.)
25	Q. (By Mr. May) In the bottom right-hand of

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

1	the page, there are page numbers to this document. Can
2	you turn to page 015?
3	MR. MAY: Hey, Kurt, I guess someone
4	probably needs to put their phone on mute because
5	we're having some interference on this side.
6	(Off-the-record comments were made.)
7	Q. (By Mr. May) Mr. Rogers, you previously
8	stated that you determined the size of the load to serve
9	the lift station referenced in your October 20 email by
10	looking at the size of the motor?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. You got that mechanical information from
13	St. Joe; did you not?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. You actually made the request to St.
16	Joe for that mechanical and electrical information on
17	October 12 around 10:53 a.m.; did you not?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Your October 12 email to Bridget Precise at
20	10:53 a.m., that's reflected on page 15 of what we've
21	marked as Deposition Exhibit 4; correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. Now, what lift stations are you
24	referring to in this email?
25	A. That's a reply to an email she sent me.

1	I'm referring to the two lift stations that she notes in
2	her original email.
3	Q. Okay. In her original email to you on
4	October 11, which is at the bottom of page 015, she
5	describes lift station number one on parcel
6	26508-000-000 with a street address of 3815 West Highway
7	388. Is that the lift station you refer to in your
8	October 20 email?
9	A. No, that is not the lift station I'm
10	referring to in the October 20th email.
11	Q. The October 20th email is referring to the
12	lift station with a street address of 1900 West Highway
13	388; correct?
14	A. Yes, that's the street address listed for
15	that
16	Q. Okay.
17	A lift station.
18	Q. When Ms. Precise provided with you a
19	location of the lift station at 1900 West Highway 388,
20	you knew at that time, did you not, that that lift
21	station was located in Bay County?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. If I could have you turn to page 002 on
24	Deposition Exhibit Number 4.
25	A. 002?

	28
1	Q. Yes. Do you see at the top of the page
2	Ms. Precise sent you an email at around 5:43 on
3	October 12 stating, Hi, Josh, attached are the
4	electrical plans for the 388 lift stations?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. So you received the electrical and
7	mechanical plans that you needed to determine the size
8	of the load on October 12?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. And those plans you received are
11	found on pages 004 through 009; correct or excuse me,
12	through 008, I'm sorry?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And to get that mechanical and electrical
15	information to determine the load, you needed to get
16	that information from the customer; correct?
17	A. Yes, the customer or their engineering
18	would have to provide.
19	Q. And in order to get the information from
20	the customer, you need the name of the customer; did you
21	not?
22	A. Yes, you would have to know the customer.
23	Q. Okay. That's what I would think. Based on
24	the information you received from the customer on
25	October 12, what did you conclude was the size of the

29

1	load for the lift station you referenced in your
2	October 20 email?
3	A. Each lift station would be 150 kVA,
4	approximately.
5	Q. Let's turn back to page 015. At the bottom
6	of the page, we previously had a conversation,
7	Mr. Rogers, regarding the fact that there were you
8	were evaluating two lift stations on or around
9	October 11th; is that correct?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. And the lift station at street address 3815
12	West Highway 388, that is not the lift station you
13	reference in your October 20 email; correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. The lift station at 3815 West Highway 388
16	is located just east of the airport; is that right?
17	A. It's located east of the airport.
18	Q. And how far is that lift station from your
19	nearest existing facilities?
20	A. The parcel that that lift station is on has
21	our facilities right next to it in the right-of-way.
22	Q. So in a matter of feet, what would be the
23	distance?
24	A. We're on the back of the right-of-way, so
25	we're within a couple of feet of touching that property.

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

1	Q. Now, based upon your load size calculations
2	of 150 kVA, what distance criteria applied to Gulf Power
3	service to the lift station you referenced in your
4	October 20 email?
5	A. Can you ask me that question again?
6	Q. Sure. I'm referring you back to the I
7	think you previously agreed that there were different
8	distance criteria under Section 2.2 depending on the
9	size of the load. So my question to you is based upon
10	your load calculations of 150 kVA, what distance
11	criteria would apply to Gulf Power's service to the lift
12	station referenced in your October 20 email?
13	A. I'm sorry, I'm not following that train of
14	thought.
15	Q. You said earlier that Gulf Power did not
16	meet the distance criteria in Section 2.2 to serve the
17	lift station you referenced in your October 20 email.
18	Do you remember that?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. What distance criteria were you using to
21	make that conclusion?
22	A. For the October 20th email?
23	Q. Yes.
24	A. So you're not referring to the lift station
25	one as in the parcel here?

Г

	31
1	Q. I'm referring back to the lift station at
2	1900 West Highway 388.
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. And you previously stated that you
5	estimated the size of the load for that lift station was
6	around 150 kVA?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. So what I'm asking you is which distance
9	criteria would apply to that load?
10	A. For a load greater than 100 kVA, if you
11	were looking at Section 2.2.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. So Section (b).
14	Q. Okay. Under Section (b), would (i) or (ii)
15	apply?
16	A. And you're referring to?
17	Q. The 1900 West Highway 388 lift station.
18	A. Which is greater than 3,000 feet. They
19	don't apply.
20	Q. You're stating that you're stating that
21	for Gulf Power to serve the lift station you refer to in
22	your October 20 email, that construction required is
23	predominantly the upgrade of existing pole line or is it
24	predominantly the addition of new pole line and
25	requested utility existing facilities?

[32
1	A. This is a new pole line that would have to
2	be constructed to reach the lift station that we're
3	referring to as 1900.
4	Q. So the distance criteria in Section
5	2.2(b)(i) would apply; correct?
6	A. Right.
7	Q. Okay.
8	A. No. No more than 1,500 feet further. No.
9	I'm more than 1,500 feet, so it does not apply.
10	Q. Right. But the distance criteria in order
11	to serve under 2.2, it would have to be within
12	1,500 feet; right?
13	A. For 2.2 to apply, I would have to have less
14	than 1,500 feet for that to apply.
15	Q. And Gulf Power's existing facilities were
16	more than 1,500 feet from the lift station; correct?
17	A. That's correct, yes.
18	Q. Okay. Mr. Rogers, I'm going to mark now as
19	Deposition Exhibit 5 your Affidavit dated August 10,
20	2018, which has been filed in this docket.
21	(Whereupon, GCEC's Exhibit No. 5 was
22	marked for identification.)
23	Q. (By Mr. May) Now, in paragraph four of
24	your Affidavit you state that you evaluated the lift
25	station's load and distance criteria relative to Gulf
	3
----	--
1	Power's and GCEC's existing facilities; is that right?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. And in order to perform that evaluation,
4	you needed to get the location of the lift stations from
5	the customer; is that correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. And you got that location
8	information from the customer by email that we
9	previously discussed on October 11, 2017 at around 11:53
10	a.m.; correct?
11	A. That identified the parcels and the 911
12	addresses for the locations.
13	Q. Okay. And that email is on page 001 of
14	Deposition Exhibit 4, is that right?
15	A. Is this 4?
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. Okay. Would you ask that one more time for
18	me?
19	Q. Sure. You got the location information
20	from the customer by email on October 11, 2017 around
21	11:53 a.m.; correct?
22	A. Yes. That
23	Q. That email is I'm sorry, go ahead.
24	A. Yes, I received the parcel IDs and the 911
25	addresses in that email.
	1

1	Q. Okay. And the parcel IDs included a
2	physical street address; correct?
3	A. They identified the street address, yes.
4	Q. Okay. And you previously stated that you
5	knew that both of these lift stations were located in
6	Bay County; correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And Gulf Power serves more counties than
9	just Bay County; correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. So on October 11, you had from the
12	customer locational information that identified the lift
13	station referenced in your October 20 email as on parcel
14	25697-000-000 with a street address of 1900 West Highway
15	388; correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay.
18	A. October 11th, we had a meeting that morning
19	with St. Joe on a number of projects. And one of the
20	projects they brought up was the force main that they
21	were installing along Highway 388 and the need to have
22	electrical service to those lift stations. Because I
23	drive from home to the beach office in October as the
24	supervisor, I drove Highway 388 every day. And so as
25	part of that drive, I drive I would drive past
- 1	

1 there's a Southport office for Gulf Coast Electric 2 Cooperative there at 388 and Highway 77. And so along 3 Highway 388, they had been doing pipe work starting 4 about Highway 77, like throw a rock out the front and 5 you could hit where the guys had started building that 6 force main all of the way down 388. And there were cuts 7 in the tree lines where -- on those parcels where the 8 lift stations would sit, so driving past that 9 construction zone every day and every morning and 10 afternoon commuting to and from work. And so while I have those parcels identified here, the conversation 11 where I understood the location would have been from 12 13 when the customer was describing to me, hey, we've got 14 the force main going in on 388 and it's going to need 15 two lift stations, and that's identified to me because you can -- driving down 388, you could see where they 16 17 had cut the trees there and you could see the sewer pipe 18 laid out and Roll (sic) American digging, putting the 19 pipe in the ground.

20 Q. So when you met on October 11, you got more 21 granular information from the customer as to the 22 location of the lift station?

A. Prior to October 11th, I couldn't have told you who was putting the force main down Highway 388. I could just have told you that there was a force main

1	being installed along Highway 388 because there was I
2	mean, there was visual construction. Anybody that drove
3	down Highway 388 would have seen them digging. I think
4	it's an 8-inch force main that's like from the airport
5	all of the way back to 77. It's seven, eight,
6	nine miles of construction there. And they were on the
7	south side putting that pipe in. So on the 11th is when
8	I find out that it is St. Joe Company that is installing
9	that force main.
10	Q. And who was at your meeting on October 11?
11	A. Myself, Bridget Precise with St. Joe
12	Company, April Wilks with The St. Joe Company, Gabe Post
13	with Gulf Power, Nathan Sherman with Gulf Power, Michael
14	Richardson with Gulf Power.
15	Q. Now, you spoke a little faster than I could
16	write, so could you help me? The people from Gulf Power
17	at that meeting were Gabe Post?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. And what's his job title?
20	A. He was a special projects engineer.
21	Q. And Nathan?
22	A. Sherman.
23	Q. His title?
24	A. At that point, he would have been an
25	engineer.

1 And the third person? 0. 2 Α. Michael Richardson would have been 3 marketing. 4 Now, after you got the location information Q. 5 regarding the lift station with the street address of 6 1900 West Highway 388 on October 11 from Bridget 7 Precise, later that day, you got on the Bay County 8 website and located the parcel 26597-000-000 in Bay 9 County; didn't you? 10 Α. Yeah, I got on the Bay County property 11 appraiser's website. 12 Okay. And your efforts to locate the 0. parcel 26597-000-000 is reflected in Deposition Exhibit 13 14 Number 4 on pages 012 and 013; is that correct? 15 Α. Could you ask me that again? 16 Sure. When you got on the website, Bay 0. 17 County website to locate parcel 26597-000-000, you found 18 the parcel and then sent yourself an email with a 19 screenshot of the parcel; did you not? 20 Α. I looked up both parcels. 21 0. Right. 22 Α. And sent the screenshot. 23 And the parcel that you reference in your Q. 24 email of October 20, 2017, is that on page 013? 25 Yes. Α.

1	Q. Okay. And there's a map of that parcel on
2	page 013; is there not?
3	A. It's a screenshot from the property
4	appraiser's website from their GIS.
5	Q. And that information shows that parcel
6	number 25697-000-000 is being almost a section of land
7	one mile square?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. And over on the right, it says that
10	that parcel is comprised of 627 acres?
11	A. Yes, it says there's
12	Q. Okay. Does this map depict the precise
13	location of the lift station?
14	A. Yes. If you drive out there on 388, you'd
15	see right where it is, where the road comes right
16	through it.
17	Q. The question is, does this map depict the
18	precise location of the lift station?
19	A. Yes, I can find the lift station based on
20	this map.
21	Q. I'm not asking whether you can find the
22	lift station, I'm assuming you can find the lift
23	station. You've been to the site; right?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. But looking at this map here on page 013,

1	could someone that doesn't have the background and had
2	not communicated with St. Joe look at this map and find
3	the precise location of the lift station?
4	A. Do you mean identify it on this map
5	Q. Right.
6	A exactly where the lift station is
7	located? The lift station is not located.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. Or is not specifically defined on this map.
10	Q. Okay. But you had this map in your custody
11	prior to sending the email to Peyton Gleaton on October
12	20; correct?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. Okay. Let's turn back to your Affidavit,
15	Mr. Rogers. It's marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 5.
16	And I want to talk to you about paragraph four. You
17	state that the lift station located at Highway at
18	1900 West Highway 388 was located approximately
19	11,000 feet from Gulf Power's nearest existing
20	facilities to the west on Highway 388 and approximately
21	8,000 feet from GCEC's nearest existing facilities to
22	the east on Highway 388; correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Could you explain to me how you made those
25	distance calculations?

1	A. I drove past it every day, so I knew where
2	the cut in was at on 388. And then knowing where our
3	where Gulf Coast's facilities ended on Highway 388 and
4	where Gulf Power's ended on 388, I got onto Google Maps
5	and did used the measure tool on Google Maps to
6	measure the distance from where the end of Gulf Power's
7	facilities to the location and then from the end of Gulf
8	Coast's facilities to the location.
9	Q. Does Gulf Power's GIS system have the
10	capability of providing a latitude and longitude
11	reference to this specific lift station?
12	A. Not to my knowledge.
13	Q. So you used just Google Maps to approximate
14	the distance?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. Have you done anything more granular in
17	terms of trying to measure the exact distance from the
18	lift station to the nearest existing facilities of Gulf
19	Power?
20	A. No, sir. The Google images are accurate
21	within the specifications which they're accurate
22	would not have a bearing or it's not going to be that
23	much difference than what you would wheel off.
24	Q. But prior to October 20th, you had Google
25	images of the lift station location and the nearest

1 existing facilities of Gulf Power; correct? 2 I used the Google software, their mapping Α. 3 software --4 0. Okay. 5 Α. -- to measure those. 6 0. But in order to calculate the distance of 7 approximately 11,000 feet, you needed to have the 8 location of the lift station and the location of Gulf 9 Power's nearest existing facilities; correct? 10 Α. Correct. 11 0. Okay. Now, earlier in our conversation, 12 Mr. Rogers, you agreed that Section 2.3 could only apply 13 where two things occurred; first, where Gulf Power did 14 not meet the load and distance criteria under Section 15 2.2 and second, where Gulf Power believes that its cost to service would not be significantly more than that of 16 17 GCEC. Do you recall that? 18 Α. Yes. 19 0. Okay. Now, I'm assuming that when you sent 20 your October 20, 2017 email, you believed that Gulf 21 Power's cost to service to serve the lift station at 22 1900 West Highway 388 would not be significantly more 23 than Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative's cost to serve 24 that same lift station. Am I correct in that 25 assumption?

Γ

	42
1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. In paragraphs five and six of your
3	Affidavit, which is marked as Deposition Exhibit 5, you
4	state that at the time you sent the October 20 email you
5	had concluded that Gulf Power's cost to serve the
6	customer likely would not exceed GCEC's cost by
7	25 percent; is that correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. When you sent your October 20 email, what
10	did you believe was Gulf Power's cost to serve the
11	customer?
12	A. I expected that cost to come in at about
13	\$150,000.
14	Q. And in calculating the cost to serve the
15	customer to be \$150,000, do you have any documents to
16	reflect those calculations?
17	A. No, sir, there's no documents.
18	Q. So you just did it in your head?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Prior to sending your October 20 email to
21	St. Joe, did you advise St. Joe that it would cost St.
22	Joe approximately \$150,000 for Gulf Power to serve the
23	lift station?
24	A. No, I did not tell St. Joe that it would
25	cost them \$150,000 or that it would cost Gulf Power

	43
1	150,000.
2	Q. Did you provide St. Joe strike that.
3	Prior to your October 20, 2017 email, did you advise St.
4	Joe what the cost to St. Joe would be if Gulf Power were
5	to serve the lift station?
6	A. Not prior to the 20th.
7	Q. Okay. I didn't see any documents.
8	A. Yeah.
9	Q. Do you know that St. Joe is going to
10	transfer or has transferred ownership of the lift
11	station to Bay County?
12	A. I know that now, but I didn't know that at
13	the time.
14	Q. When did you learn that?
15	A. That they would be turning that over to Bay
16	County?
17	Q. Uh-huh.
18	A. I don't recall exactly when that detail
19	came up.
20	Q. Let's go back to the October 11, 2017
21	meeting that you and several of your co-workers at Gulf
22	Power had with St. Joe Company representatives. Aside
23	from representatives from St. Joe and Gulf Power, were
24	there any other folks at that meeting?
25	A. No, sir.

	44
1	Q. And where was that meeting?
2	A. At St. Joe's headquarters.
3	Q. And where was that?
4	A. South WaterSound Parkway, Inlet Beach and
5	it's in South Walton County.
6	Q. I think you just said, Mr. Rogers, that
7	prior to sending your email, that you didn't know that
8	St. Joe would be transferring the lift stations over to
9	Bay County; is that correct?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Okay. And then you've learned that after
12	October 20, 2017?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Approximately, when did you learn that St.
15	Joe would be transferring ownership of the lift stations
16	to Bay County?
17	A. It would have been early to mid-November.
18	Q. Mr. Rogers, I'm providing you with a
19	document consisting of three pages which are copies of
20	email communications between you and Bridget Precise.
21	I'd like to mark this as Deposition Exhibit Number 6.
22	(Whereupon, GCEC's Exhibit No. 6 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	Q. (By Mr. May) Now, do you recognize these
25	emails?

	45
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Now, you previously said that prior to
3	October 20, 2017, you had not provided St. Joe with a
4	cost estimate for service to the lift station at 1900
5	West Highway 388; correct?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. Okay. Now, in this email, you appear to be
8	providing her for the first time a cost estimate; is
9	that correct?
10	A. A CIAC estimate.
11	Q. Okay. Now, you're aware, Mr. Rogers, that
12	after you provided this cost estimate to Ms. Polite
13	(sic), St. Joe Company and Bay County contacted GCEC
14	about serving the lift station at 1900; is that correct?
15	MR. GRIFFIN: Mr. May, I'm going to
16	interpose an objection to the scope of this
17	inquiry at this point in time. As you know,
18	there has been a procedural order entered in this
19	proceeding that limits the scope of discovery
20	solely to the issue of whether Gulf Power
21	provided sufficient notice to Gulf Coast under
22	Section 2.3(1) of the territorial agreement. I
23	fail to see where your line of questioning is
24	relevant to that limited issue.
25	MR. MAY: I think your objection is noted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

I think this goes to cost to service. Mr. Rogers previously stated that in order to trigger Section 2.3, he had to believe that the cost to service was not significantly more. This certainly leads to what was the cost to service that Mr. Rogers thought and projected and I think it's entirely relevant.

MR. GRIFFIN: Well, the email is dated December 11, 2017. That is subsequent to the provision of the notice on October 20, 2017. The sufficiency of the notice is what is at issue here in this deposition today. This is subsequent to that point in time. It is outside of the scope of discovery permissible in this action. As a consequence, I'm going to direct the witness not to answer it pursuant to Section 1.310(c) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure as being outside the scope of the procedural order.

20 MR. MAY: So for the record, you're 21 instructing the witness not to answer a question 22 pertaining to the cost to service?

MR. GRIFFIN: I am instructing the witness not to answer the question that you just posed. MR. MAY: Okay, noted.

	47
1	Q. (By Mr. May) You did not strike that.
2	Mr. Rogers, you previously testified that prior to
3	sending the email on October 20th, 2017, you had not
4	provided St. Joe Company with a cost estimate for
5	serving the lift station referenced in your October 20,
6	2017 email; correct?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Okay. And, Mr. Rogers, the email the
9	document that I have marked as Deposition Exhibit Number
10	6, that was not produced by Gulf Power in response to
11	the Request for Production submitted by Gulf Coast
12	Electric Cooperative; was it?
13	A. I don't recall every document that was put
14	in as part of the request, so I don't know for sure if
15	this is one that was responded (sic) or not.
16	Q. Okay. In Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative's
17	First Request for Production of Documents, it asked Gulf
18	(sic) to produce all communications or documents dated
19	on or before October 20, 2017 relating to Gulf Power's
20	belief that its cost to service to serve the lift
21	station would not be significantly more than Gulf Coast
22	Electric Cooperative's cost to service. And in
23	response, Gulf (sic) stated that it didn't have any of
24	those documents. Is that still your response today?
25	A. Yes, sir.

48

1	Q. Okay. But you had calculated in your head
2	that it would be roughly \$150,000?
3	A. Yes. As part of my job at Gulf Power, I
4	engineer and review all of the distribution work orders
5	for the Eastern district. And so in my professional
6	experience with Gulf Power, I have engineered or
7	reviewed and approved thousands of jobs. And so that's
8	what I do on a daily basis, so I knew pretty much how
9	much it was going to cost to build that line to serve
10	the lift station, whether that was from Gulf Power's
11	distance or for Gulf Coast Electric's distance.
12	Q. Now, on paragraph five of your Affidavit,
13	you state that you concluded that Gulf Power's cost to

14 serve the customer would likely -- likely would not 15 exceed GCEC's cost by the 25 percent threshold contained 16 in Section 2.3(d)(ii) of the territorial agreement; 17 correct?

18

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. So you've already testified today that you calculated -- before you sent the October 20 email, you had calculated or estimated Gulf Power's cost to serve to be \$150,000. What did you calculate GCEC's cost to serve to be?

A. I figured theirs was going to be in the ballpark of 125, 130,000.

	49
1	Q. Okay. Now, in paragraph four, you say that
2	the lift station at 1900 West Highway 388 was located
3	approximately 11,000 feet from Gulf Power's nearest
4	existing facilities and approximately 8,000 feet from
5	GCEC's nearest facilities; correct?
6	A. Yes, sir, that are visible on Highway 388.
7	Q. Well, my math shows that Gulf Power's
8	existing facilities are about 38 percent further from
9	the lift station than GCEC's existing facilities. Is
10	that about right? Do you want a calculator?
11	A. I would disagree with that.
12	Q. What percentage would you say?
13	A. In there, it says 27 percent.
14	Q. And how did you calculate that?
15	A. 3,000 divided by 11,000.
16	Q. Wouldn't you divide 11,000 by 8,000?
17	A. No, that's not how I would do that.
18	Q. How much further away from the lift station
19	would Gulf is Gulf Power's existing facilities?
20	A. 3,000 feet.
21	Q. Okay. So you're 3,000 feet away from the
22	lift station?
23	A. Uh-huh.
24	Q. Okay. I think you previously said that
25	prior to your October 20 email, that you had a meeting
L	

1	on October 11 with St. Joe. Who invited you to that
2	meeting?
3	A. St. Joe asked for that meeting.
4	Q. How did you organize your team to attend
5	excuse me, let me ask that again. Did they ask you to
6	come to the meeting?
7	A. Yes, they wanted to. How familiar are you
8	with Saint Joe?
9	Q. Somewhat.
10	A. Okay. They have a lot of projects going on
11	continually across Northwest Florida, and they asked us
12	to come review some of the projects with them. They've
13	got the WaterSound Origins project there that we serve
14	that has it's hundreds and hundreds of lots and
15	they're continually doing phases there. They've got
16	Breakfast Point Subdivision where they're developing
17	homes. They do a number of projects across Northwest
18	Florida.
19	And so they invited us to their
20	headquarters to discuss some projects that they had
21	coming up and to discuss a project that us going down
22	a private road. So the meeting was called by St. Joe to
23	come over and they wanted to talk about new projects and
24	some existing projects, which leads us to who should
25	attend that meeting. I'm responsible for that district

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

1	from an engineering standpoint. And so I brought in an
2	engineer responsible for the area, as well as the
3	special projects engineer that was working on a project
4	that was on their one of their other parcels, as well
5	as we include marketing in our discussions with about
6	new subdivisions, new commercial developments. So
7	that's how we got to who would be coming to the meeting
8	is a mix of the engineering and marketing staff.
9	Q. Okay. How long did the meeting last?
10	A. Probably a little over an hour.
11	Q. And were there any notes of the meeting?
12	A. I took notes in my journal from the
13	meeting.
14	Q. I'm going to mark as Deposition Exhibit 7,
15	and this consists of four pages Bates labeled
16	20180125-GCEC-POD-5-1 through POD-5-4.
17	(Whereupon, GCEC's Exhibit No. 7 was
18	marked for identification.)
19	Q. (By Mr. May) Mr. Rogers, just to let you
20	know, this document was produced in response to GCEC's
21	First Request for Production of Documents Number 5,
22	which asks for all communications or documents relating
23	to Gulf's decision to notify GCEC's vice president of
24	engineering of request for service to the lift station.
25	Do you recognize this document?

	52
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Can you please describe what it is?
3	A. These are copies of my daily journal that I
4	keep.
5	Q. This draft strike that. This document
6	which we've marked as Deposition Exhibit 7 has been
7	heavily redacted; has it not?
8	A. There are redactions on it, yes, sir.
9	Q. There's redactions on every page; correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Now, in the Privilege Log that Gulf Power
12	provided in response to the Request for Production of
13	Documents, I don't see where this particular document is
14	listed in that Privilege Log. So I'm assuming that none
15	of the information here is attorney/client privileged
16	that you've redacted; correct?
17	A. I'm not able to answer the question as far
18	as the Privilege Log or why stuff is redacted here.
19	Q. You didn't redact this?
20	A. I don't know if I'm the one that redacted
21	it or not.
22	Q. But this is this document reflects your
23	meeting notes from October 11?
24	A. Yes. The portion that's there are
25	meeting notes from October 11th and some of the notes

1	are	redacted	and	some	of	them	are	not.	
---	-----	----------	-----	------	----	------	-----	------	--

2 Q. So the meeting notes in this document 3 appear to cover the period before October 11. It looks 4 like October 11 is left unredacted, October 19 is left 5 unredacted and October 20 is left unredacted. And then 6 it looks like there's an October 23rd that's been 7 redacted; is that correct?

8 Α. Yes, there's portions of it redacted. 9 Without going back, I don't know what is there redacted, 10 going back and looking at my log at what is redacted. 11 So I couldn't tell you -- where I'm struggling today to 12 answer who redacted is, it must not be pertinent to the 13 data of this request and so in my mind, I'm thinking are 14 there projects in there that I was working on that were 15 confidential projects that we've signed nondisclosure 16 agreements on, is there personnel information in here 17 where I'm taking notes on employee performance that are irrelevant to this, as well as I wouldn't want that 18 19 information out, you know.

20 Q. Were there any attorneys at the meeting on 21 October 11 that you had with St. Joe Corporation (sic)?

A. None of the individuals I've listed areattorneys.

Q. So there was no attorney/client privileged communications at that meeting?

Г

	54
1	MR. GRIFFIN: Object to form.
2	A. I don't know if some of the privilege
3	stuff or if some of the confidential projects that we
4	worked on fall under that scope. I don't know the
5	answer.
6	Q. (By Mr. May) Do you know
7	A. I don't
8	Q. Sorry.
9	A. I'm an engineer, I'm not a lawyer. And so
10	some of this you're asking, I don't know. I'm not a
11	lawyer and I don't know why.
12	Q. Do you know if Gulf Power filed a Request
13	for Confidential Classification of this document before
14	it produced it?
15	A. I don't know the answer to that.
16	Q. Okay. So on the first page Bates labeled
17	POD-5-1, at the bottom of the page, there's a reference
18	to 10/11. There looks like one, two, three, four, five
19	lines all of the bottom of the page was redacted. Do
20	you recall what information was redacted?
21	A. No, sir. It would have been prior to
22	whatever happened on my day before the meeting with St.
23	Joe on 10/11.
24	Q. And then on the top of page 5-2, the
25	unredacted part says, meeting with St. Joe, Bridget and

Γ

	55
1	April. What are the abbreviations after that?
2	A. The people that I named, that's their
3	initials and then the last one that's underlined is the
4	word me.
5	Q. Okay. And why did you redact your notes
6	from that meeting?
7	A. I don't know if it was me that redacted it
8	or if it was it's not relevant to whatever that
9	was talked about was a separate project that's not
10	relevant to the lift stations.
11	Q. How did you determine it wasn't relevant to
12	this proceeding? You don't remember what was redacted;
13	do you?
14	A. I don't know what all is redacted and I
15	don't know who redacted it. All I'm telling you is from
16	my notes here looking at them that there's a portion
17	redacted and then there's where I made notes about the
18	force main lift stations.
19	Q. At the bottom
20	A. Then there's
21	Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.
22	A. Go ahead.
23	Q. At the bottom of page 5-2, there's an
24	unredacted provision, two lines. Can you read that?
25	A. Get new 388 to airport entrance road from
- 1	

	5
1	DOT, letting May 18.
2	Q. What's that in relation to?
3	A. In relation to Highway 388 lift stations,
4	in relation to the Florida Department of Transportation
5	is rebuilding Highway 388 from State Road 77 to State
6	Road 79. And the original schedule from my utility
7	coordination meeting said that they were going to let it
8	in May of 2018.
9	Q. And when was that actually let?
10	A. I don't know when it was actually let.
11	Q. On the page POD-5-3, there's a reference to
12	October 19. Do you know why the rest of that entry is
13	redacted?
14	A. No.
15	Q. And on the day you sent the email in
16	question on October 20, 2017, you have an entry on
17	POD-5-3 that says, email; correct?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. And then you've redacted everything else
20	after that entry on that page; correct?
21	A. On that page, the rest of that page is
22	redacted.
23	Q. Now, when you had your meeting on
24	October 11 with the St. Joe folks, did you let them know
25	at that time that there was a territorial agreement
- 1	

	57
1	between Gulf Power and Gulf Coast Electric that could
2	have an impact on your ability to serve that particular
3	lift station?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Why not?
6	A. I didn't feel like at that point in the
7	conversation that I needed to bring up the agreement
8	because I needed more information from them about the
9	size of the load.
10	Q. Before you sent your email on October 20,
11	2017 to Peyton Gleaton, did you advise anyone at St. Joe
12	Corporation (sic) that there was a territorial agreement
13	between Gulf Power and GCEC that could impact Gulf
14	Power's ability to serve the lift station?
15	A. I don't recall.
16	Q. Did you ever strike that. Prior to
17	October 20, 2017, did you ever instruct or advise or
18	inform anyone at St. Joe Corporation (sic) that they
19	should talk to Gulf Coast Electrical Cooperative about
20	potentially providing service to this location?
21	A. No, I did not.
22	Q. Okay. Have you ever advised anyone at St.
23	Joe Corporation (sic) that there was a territorial
24	agreement in place that could impact Gulf Power's
25	ability to serve the lift station at 1900 West Highway
ļ	

Г

	58
1	388?
2	A. Can you ask me that question again?
3	Q. Sure. Have you ever advised anyone at St.
4	Joe Corporation (sic) that there was a territorial
5	agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC that could impact
6	Gulf Power's ability to serve the lift station located
7	at 1900 West Highway 388?
8	A. I don't recall.
9	Q. Let's go back to Deposition Exhibit 1.
10	MR. MAY: Madam court reporter, do y'all
11	want to take a break, get a glass of water?
12	THE WITNESS: Please.
13	MR. MAY: Let's take five.
14	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken at
15	2:53 p.m., after which the deposition continued
16	at 2:58 p.m.)
17	MR. MAY: We're back on the record.
18	Q. (By Mr. May) Before the break, Mr. Rogers,
19	I was just suggesting that we turn back to Deposition
20	Exhibit Number 1, that's your email of October 20, 2017
21	to Peyton Gleaton. Did you draft this email all by
22	yourself?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Did you consult with anyone in drafting the
25	email?

9

		5
1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	Did anyone direct you to send this email?
3	Α.	No, sir.
4	Q.	Over what period of time did you develop
5	this email?	
6	Α.	In the few minutes prior to sending the
7	email.	
8	Q.	So the email looks as if it were sent on
9	Friday aftern	noon around 1:22 p.m.?
10	Α.	Yes, sir.
11	Q.	Do you recall when you started drafting the
12	email?	
13	Α.	It would have been after lunch on Friday,
14	within a few	minutes of sending it.
15	Q.	Were any other individuals in Gulf Power
16	blind copied	on this email?
17	Α.	No, sir.
18	Q.	Okay. Did you forward the email to anyone
19	after you ser	nt it?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	And when did you forward it?
22	Α.	When I was requested to forward it.
23	Q.	The question I asked was when did you
24	forward it?	
25	Α.	I would have to go back to my notes to find

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

Г

1	out the date. I'd have to go back in my notes to find
2	out when.
3	Q. Who requested that you forward it?
4	A. I'm not sure of her specific title, but the
5	district general manager.
6	Q. What's her name?
7	A. Sandy Sims.
8	Q. Did Ms. Sims know that you were going to
9	send the email to Peyton Gleaton before you sent the
10	email?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Do you recall when you forwarded the email
13	to Sandy Sims?
14	A. I don't recall when that was.
15	Q. Was it on the same day you sent the email?
16	A. No, sir.
17	Q. Was it around the same time you sent the
18	email?
19	A. No, sir. I'm thinking it was in the
20	November time frame.
21	Q. Okay. Prior to sending the email out on
22	October 20, 2017, have you ever communicated with Peyton
23	Gleaton before?
24	A. No, sir.
25	Q. Okay. Earlier in the deposition,
- 1	

1	Mr. Rogers, you said you were aware that the Procedures
2	and Guidelines document had been approved by the Florida
3	Public Service Commission in Docket 19930885. I want to
4	mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 8 this document.
5	(Whereupon, GCEC's Exhibit No. 8 was
6	marked for identification.)
7	Q. (By Mr. May) Now, Mr. Rogers, I'm going to
8	represent to you that this is a petition filed by Gulf
9	Power that initiated Docket 930885-EU, the docket in
10	which the territorial agreement was approved by the
11	Public Service Commission. Do you see where Gulf Power
12	gave any instructions that notices and communications
13	with respect to this docket be sent by email?
14	A. I don't see anywhere where it says docket
15	correspondence should be an email.
16	Q. On paragraph two, Gulf Power gives specific
17	instructions regarding notices and communications with
18	respect to this docket; does it not?
19	A. It lists, yes, sir, locations where to
20	address documents in this docket.
21	Q. And the recipients of notices and
22	communications with respect to this docket were to be
23	sent to Gulf Power's lawyers and its manager of rates
24	and regulatory matters; correct?
25	A. For that docket, that's where they appear

1 they should have been sent to.

Q. Did you consider this document when you decided to send the notice under Section 2.3(a) by email?

A. No, sir. That docket has been closed since before I've been with the company. I was following the product of that docket, the territorial agreement, that requires notification to Gulf Coast Electric when there's a request to serve.

Q. Are you aware of any territorial agreement that Gulf Power has with any other utility that allows notice of a customer request for service to be sent by email?

A. I don't know of any other territorial agreement that requires any contact to be made with either party between Gulf (sic) and another utility.

17 Q. I thought you said you were familiar with18 the Gulf Power territorial agreement with CHELCO?

A. I am. I don't have to notify CHELCO.

19

Q. You don't? Is it your testimony today that there is no requirement that you notify CHELCO of a customer request by certified mail?

A. CHELCO -- I have lines on the ground in
 South Walton County along section lines that there's a
 map that shows the sections of where Gulf Power serves

1	and where CHELCO serves. There's no notification of
2	CHELCO. They serve on one side of the line and we serve
3	on the other side of the line.
4	Q. That's your understanding of the
5	territorial agreement, that there's no notice
6	requirements?
7	A. In South Walton on CHELCO, yes.
8	Q. Okay. Mr. Rogers, I'm going to show you a
9	document that I'll have marked as Deposition Exhibit
10	Number 9.
11	(Whereupon, GCEC's Exhibit No. 9 was
12	marked for identification.)
13	MR. GRIFFIN: I'm sorry, I missed it. Was
14	the Gulf Power petition listed as an exhibit?
15	MR. MAY: Yes, that was 8.
16	MR. GRIFFIN: Okay, I'm sorry.
17	THE WITNESS: So this is 9?
18	MR. MAY: This is 9, right.
19	Q. (By Mr. May) Mr. Rogers, I'm going to
20	represent to you this is Gulf Coast Electric
21	Cooperative's Answers to Gulf Power's Petition to
22	Resolve the Territorial Dispute that was marked as
23	Exhibit 8. Do you see where Gulf Coast Electric
24	Cooperative gave any instructions that notices and
25	communications with respect to this docket be sent by

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

1 email?

A. There's no emails listed for communications
with respect to the docket.

Q. Do you see where Gulf Power gave any instructions that notices and communications with respect to this docket be sent to anyone other than Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative's attorneys of record and its general manager?

A. It says, all notices and communications
with respect to this docket should be addressed to, and
it lists two attorneys and the Gulf Coast Electric
general manager.

Q. Did you consider this document when you decided to send the notice under Section 2.3(a) by email?

A. No, sir. I did not give that to the closed docket.

Q. Mr. Rogers, I'm going to mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 10 the Gulf Power's documents that were produced in response to GCEC's First Request for Production of Documents Number 4.

(Whereupon, GCEC's Exhibit No. 10 wasmarked for identification.)

Q. (By Mr. May) Can you turn to page 039?
The Bates label is POD-4-39. In your email of

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

1	January 12, 2018, you advise Mr. Gleaton that GCEC did
2	not respond to Gulf's notice within the contractual time
3	frame as required in Section 2.3(b) of the parties'
4	agreement, therefore, waived any right to serve the
5	subject location; is that correct?
6	A. That's what it that's what's written.
7	Q. What do you mean by contractual time frame
8	as required by Section 2.3?
9	A. In the territorial agreement in Section
10	2.3(b), it states that upon receipt of notice, they have
11	five working days to respond.
12	Q. In your email of October 20th, did you
13	advise Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative that failure to
14	respond within five days would result in GCEC waiving
15	any right to serve the lift station under the agreement?
16	A. By referencing the agreement, yes.
17	Q. In your email
18	A. I notified him based on Section 2.3(a) of
19	the agreement. And so following the agreement, he would
20	know that he has five working days to respond.
21	Q. He being who?
22	A. Peyton Gleaton, who the email was sent to.
23	Q. You previously said you had never had any
24	communications or interaction with Mr. Peyton Gleaton
25	before you sent the email?

	66
1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. So you're assuming that he would know what
3	this reference to this, quote, agreement, end quote,
4	would be?
5	A. He's the vice president of engineering for
6	Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative who we have the
7	agreement with.
8	Q. I think you previously stated at the very
9	beginning of your deposition that there's nothing in the
10	Procedures and Guidelines that references the word
11	agreement; correct?
12	A. The word agreement, I did not see it in the
13	document. But just like you have in the letter here,
14	referring to it as a territorial agreement.
15	Q. But your October 20 email doesn't reference
16	a territorial agreement; does it?
17	A. It says between the agreement between
18	Gulf Power and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative.
19	Q. I don't see the word territorial in your
20	email.
21	A. The word territorial is not in the email.
22	Q. Okay. And why didn't you put it in the
23	email?
24	A. The only agreement I know of between Gulf
25	Power Company and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative is the

1	territorial agreement. So pursuant to the territorial
2	agreement it just says agreement here. There's a
3	number of ways to draft it. This I thought was clear
4	between the agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC that I
5	was notifying them of the customer's request.
6	Q. Let's turn now to Section 2.3(a) of the
7	Procedures and Guidelines that you reference in your
8	email.
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. Please read that section for the record.
11	A. Just 2.3(a), is that what you're asking?
12	Q. Yes, sir.
13	A. Okay. The requested utility is to notify
14	other utility of the customer's request providing all
15	relevant information about the request.
16	Q. Okay. Prior to October 20, 2017, you knew
17	the name of the customer requesting service to the lift
18	station located at 1900 West Highway 388; correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Your October 20 email did not provide
21	Mr. Gleaton with that customer name; did it?
22	A. No, sir.
23	Q. You said earlier in the deposition that you
24	had determined the size of the load for the lift station
25	prior to October 20, 2017; correct?

	68
1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. And you were able to determine the size of
3	the load by obtaining certain information from the
4	customer; correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Your October 20 email did not provide
7	Mr. Gleaton with the size of the load for the lift
8	station; did it?
9	A. It does not list the size of the load.
10	Q. Prior to October 20, 2017, you knew the
11	county in which the lift station was located; correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. But your October 20 email did not identify
14	the county in which the lift station was located;
15	correct?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. And you testified previously that Gulf
18	Power serves in a number of different counties in
19	Northwest Florida; correct?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. And you also understand that Gulf Coast
22	Electric Cooperative provides electric service in a
23	number of different counties in Florida; correct?
24	A. I understand they provide more than just
25	one county, yes, sir.
	69
----	--
1	Q. Why didn't you include the county with the
2	parcel number?
3	A. I didn't think about including the county.
4	Q. Just an honest mistake?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. I was trying to provide him the best
8	information I had on where it was at.
9	Q. People make mistakes. Prior to October 20,
10	2017, you had identified the location of Gulf Power's
11	existing facilities nearest to the lift station at 1900
12	West Highway 388; correct?
13	A. Ask that again.
14	Q. Prior to sending the email on October 20,
15	2017, you had identified the location of Gulf Power's
16	existing facilities closest to the lift station; is that
17	correct?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. But your October 20 email did not provide
20	Mr. Gleaton with the information regarding the location
21	of Gulf Power's nearest facilities; did it?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. When you sent the email on October 20, 2017
24	to Mr. Gleaton, you knew that Gulf Coast Electric
25	Cooperative would have five days to respond, otherwise
	www.anchorreporters.com

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

- 1	
1	they would run the risk of waiving their right to serve;
2	correct?
3	A. Yes, I knew in the territorial agreement of
4	the five days.
5	Q. Who do you consider your customer to be for
6	the lift station located at 1900 West Highway 388?
7	A. St. Joe Company would have been the
8	customer first, because they would have had to set up
9	the service for the lift station prior to turning it
10	over to Bay County.
11	Q. You've been advised by Mr. Gleaton, have
12	you not, that in December of 2017 Bay County approached
13	Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative about serving the lift
14	station at 1900 West Highway 388; correct?
15	A. I received an email from Peyton in
16	January on January 8th from Peyton as notice that he
17	has had a consumer request that Gulf Coast provide power
18	to a lift station at 1900 (sic) 388.
19	Q. Can you turn back to Deposition Exhibit 10
20	on page 038?
21	A. 038 was the page?
22	Q. Yes, sir. POD Number POD-four-38.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. And this was a document that you all
25	produced in response to Request for Production Number 4.

	71
1	A. Uh-huh.
2	Q. In that first paragraph, Mr. Gleaton has
3	again advised you that
4	A. There is a December date in there.
5	Q. Yes.
6	A. I see that.
7	Q. So
8	A. I didn't know in December at that point
9	Q. But you were aware in January
10	A that Peyton.
11	Q that Bay County had approached Gulf
12	Coast Electric Cooperative about serving the lift
13	station at 1900 West Highway 388; correct?
14	A. Ask that question again, please.
15	Q. I think the email speaks for itself, but
16	you were aware on January 16th as a result of this email
17	that Bay County had approached Gulf Coast Electric
18	Cooperative on December 14, 2017 about serving the lift
19	station located at 1900 West Highway 388?
20	A. That's what Peyton wrote to me, yes.
21	MR. MAY: Can we go off the record for just
22	a second?
23	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken at
24	3:24 p.m., after which the deposition continued
25	at 3:34 p.m.)

I

	72
1	MR. MAY: Mr. Rogers, that's all the
2	questions that I have. I appreciate your time.
3	MR. SCHRADER: I think we are good, thank
4	you.
5	MR. GRIFFIN: Okay. I've got a few just to
6	touch on some of the issues that Mr. May raised
7	during his examination.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
10	Q. Mr. Rogers, Mr. May went through a line of
11	questioning with you concerning information that was not
12	included in your October 20th, 2017 notice to
13	Mr. Gleaton. Do you recall that line of questioning?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. Had Mr. Gleaton or for that matter any
16	other representative of Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative,
17	replied to your email seeking additional information or
18	contacted you in some other way, would you have been
19	willing to provide them with additional information?
20	A. Yes, absolutely.
21	Q. Was it ever your intention in sending the
22	October 20th, 2017 notice to Mr. Gleaton to confuse or
23	deceive Mr. Gleaton or anyone else at Gulf Coast
24	Electric Cooperative?
25	A. No, sir.

	1	
	1	

Q. What was your intention?

My intention was to follow the agreement 2 Α. that said I needed to notify GCEC that we had a customer 3 request and to start the conversation along serving that 4 customer and that following the agreement that I notify 5 him. And so the agreement doesn't say notify him. Ι 6 notified the vice president of engineering at Gulf Coast 7 Electric of the request, the customer's request of Gulf 8 Power to serve the lift station. 9

Q. And do you believe that the content of your notice was sufficient to alert Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative to the existence of a customer request and the fact --

MR. MAY: Objection, leading question. 14 (By Mr. Griffin) Do you believe -- I'm not 15 0. suggesting the answer -- that your October 20th notice 16 was sufficient to alert Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative 17 to the fact that an electric service request has been 18 made to Gulf Power Company and that Gulf Power Company 19 was providing notice pursuant to 2.3(a) of the 20 territorial agreement? 21 Yes, I believe. 22 Α. That's your answer? 23 Q. Yes, I believe that's sufficient. Α. 24 I think you mentioned earlier in response 25 0.

74

1	to a question from Mr. May that Gulf Coast Electric
2	maintains a Southport office; is that right?
З	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. Where, if you know, is that Southport
5	office located?
6	A. The Southport office is located at Highway
7	388 and Highway 77 on the southwest corner of the
8	intersection, which is approximately three-and-a-half
9	miles east of the lift station.
10	Q. Okay. And if you know, how far is that
11	Southport office located from the lift station at issue
12	in this dispute?
13	A. About three-and-a-half miles.
14	Q. Do you happen to know where Mr. Gleaton is
15	officed?
16	A. According to his correspondence to me, he
17	is officed at that Southport office.
18	MR. GRIFFIN: That's all I have. Thank
19	you.
20	MR. MAY: No redirect.
21	(The deposition was concluded at 3:40
22	p.m.)
23	
24	
25	
L	

		75
1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH	
2		
3	(STATE OF FLORIDA)	
4	(COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)	
5		
6	I, Pamela Dee Elliott, Florida Professional	
7	Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify	
8	that JOSHUA R. ROGERS personally appeared before me on	
9	the 17th day of August, 2018 and was duly sworn.	
10		
11	WITNESS my hand and official seal this 17th	
12	day of August, 2018.	
13		
14		
15	PAMELA DEE ELLIOTT	
16	FLORIDA PROFESSIONAL REPORTER NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF FLORIDA	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	www.anchorreporters.com	

	DEPOSITION OF JOSHUA R. ROGERS 08/17/18	
		76
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
2		
3	I, PAMELA DEE ELLIOTT, Court Reporter, do	
4	hereby certify that I was authorized to and did	
5	stenographically report the foregoing deposition of	
6	JOSHUA R. ROGERS; that a review of the transcript was	
7	requested; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1	
8	through 78, is a true and complete record of my	
9	stenographic notes.	
10		
11	I further certify that I am not a relative,	
12	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,	
13	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'	
14	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am	
15	I financially interested in the action.	
16		
17	Dated this 17th day of August, 2018.	
18		
19		
20	PAMELA DEE ELLIOTT	
21	FLORIDA PROFESSIONAL REPORTER	
22		
23		
24		
25		

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

77

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Complaint by Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc., against Gulf Power Company for violation of a territorial order.

Docket No.: 20180125-EU

Filed : July 27, 2018

RE: DEPOSITION OF JOSHUA R. ROGERS TAKEN 08/17/18

DATE SENT: _____ OF DATE WITNESS CONTACTED: _____

TO: STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, ESQUIRE

The referenced transcript has been completed and awaits reading and signing within 30 days of the date you were contacted, which is _____

The transcript is 78 pages long. Please have your client read his deposition and make any corrections on the enclosed Errata Sheet only. Do not write on the transcript. Please forward the original signed Errata Sheet to Anchor Court Reporting, 229 South Baylen Street, Pensacola, Florida 32502.

The original of this deposition has been forwarded to the ordering party, and your Errata Sheet, once received, will be forwarded to all ordering parties as listed below.

Thank you.

PAMELA DEE ELLIOTT, FPR

78

ERRATA SHEET

WITNESS: JOSHUA R. ROGERS

IN RE: In Re: Complaint by GCEC against GPC for violation of a territorial order CASE NO.: 20180125-EU

Page Line Correction/Change Reason

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing document, pages 01 through 78, and that the facts stated in it are true.

DATE

JOSHUA R. ROGERS

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

ERRATA SHEET

WITNESS: JOSHUA R. ROGERS

IN RE: In Re: Complaint by GCEC against GPC for violation of a territorial order CASE NO.: 20180125-EU

Page	Line	Correction/Change	Reason
9	5	change "Shelly" to "Shelley"	correction
9	19	change "Shelly" to "Shelley"	correction
15	19	change "lasts" to "lasted"	correction
35	18	change "Roll" to "Royal"	correction
36	12	change "Wilks" to "Wilkes"	correction
45	22	change "2.3(1)" to "2.3(a)"	correction
47	15	change "responded" to	correction
052		"produced"	
64	16	change "I did not give that	correction
		to the closed docket." to "I	
		did not give consideration	
		to that closed docket."	

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing document, pages 01 through 78, and that the facts stated in it are true.

2018 0 DATE

enos ap ma JOSHUA R. ROGERS

www.anchorreporters.com (850)432-2511

78

EXHIBIT F



From: Rogers, Joshua R. Sent: Friday, October 20, 2017 1:22 PM To: pgleaton@gcec.com Subject: Electrical Service Request

Mr. Gleaton,

i

Pursuant to section 2.3(a) of the agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC, I am notifying GCEC of a customer's request for electrical service from Gulf Power for a new lift station on parcel 26597-000-000. Construction would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition to resolve territorial dispute with GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. by GULF POWER COMPANY. DOCKET NO. 930885-EU ORDER NO. PSC-01-0891A-PAA-EU ISSUED: March 26, 2002

AMENDATORY ORDER

On April 9, 2001, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU, in Docket No. 930885-EU. After issuance, it was noted that due to a scrivener's error, Attachment A, which was incorporated into the Order by reference, was not attached. To correct this error, the Order shall be amended to include Attachment A, which is incorporated by reference. Order No. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU is affirmed in all other respects.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Order No. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU is hereby amended as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that Order No. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU is affirmed in all other respects.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>26th</u> day of <u>March</u>, <u>2002</u>.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services

EXHIBIT

(SEAL)

KNE

03440 MAR 268

PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES FOR AVOIDING FURTHER UNECONOMIC DUPLICATION OF FACILITIES

It is expected that the utilization of these procedures and guidelines will help Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("GCEC") and Gulf Power Company ("Gulf Power") avoid further uneconomic duplication of the facilities of each other, in accordance with the policy and rules of the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission"). Accordingly, these procedures and guidelines are intended for use by the parties to assist in determining whether or not they should agree to honor the request for electric service by a Customer or should otherwise proceed with the construction of additional facilities. If, by constructing the facilities to provide service to a Customer requesting such service, there is a reasonable expectation that uneconomic duplication of facilities would occur, a Utility may deny service to the Customer and direct the Customer to request service from the Utility whose provision of such service would not be expected to result in uneconomic duplication.

SECTION I: DEFINITIONS

- 1.1 <u>Cost of Service</u>. As used herein, the term "Cost of Service" shall mean the initial cost of the construction (including fully-Loaded labor, materials, engineering and supervision overheads, etc.) of the modification or addition of facilities required to provide requested service to the Customer less any initial payments by the Customer as a contribution in aid to construction.
- 1.2 <u>Customer</u>. As used herein, the term "Customer" shall mean any person or entity requesting electrical service and who is intending to be responsible for or who is acting on behalf of the intended responsible party for a building or other facility (e.g. electromechanical equipment, contiguous group of premises, etc.) requiring such electrical service.
- 1.3 <u>Existing Facilities</u>. As used herein, the term "Existing Facilities" shall mean the Utility's nearest facilities that are of a sufficient size, character (number of phases, primary voltage level, etc.) and accessibility so as to be capable of serving the anticipated Load of a Customer without requiring any significant modification of such facilities.
- 1.4 Load. As used herein, the term "Load" shall mean the connected Load stated is terms of kilovolt-amperes (kVA) of the building or facility for which electrical service is being requested.
- 1.5 Point of Delivery. As used herein, the term "Point of Delivery" shall mean that geographical location where the Utility's anticipated facilities that would be used to deliver electrical power to a Customer begin to constitute what is commonly referred to as the service drop or service lateral, i.e. it is the point at which the Utility's primary or secondary facilities would terminate and the service drop or service lateral would commence. For a facility with multiple meter points, "Point of Delivery" shall mean that

> geographical location at which the primary circuit to serve the facility begins to branch out into sub-circuits to reach the various meter points.

1.6 <u>Utility</u>. As used herein, the term "Utility" shall mean either GCEC or Gulf Power, each of which is an electric Utility under the provisions of Chapter 366 of the Florida Statutes having electrical facilities within the region of a Customer's location so as to be considered by that Customer as a prospective provider of electric energy delivery services.

SECTION II: AGREEING TO PROVIDE REQUESTED SERVICE

- 2.1 Whether or not a Utility's provision of electric service to a Customer would result in further uneconomic duplication of the other Utility's facilities is primarily dependent upon whether or not there is a significant difference in the Cost of Service for each of the utilities. The likelihood of there being a significant difference in the Cost of Service is primarily a function of the size of the Load and the difference in distances between the Point of Delivery and the Existing Facilities of each Utility. Consequently, upon receiving a bona-fide request for service from a Customer, a Utility may agree to provide the requested service if the conditions of either Section 2.2 or Section 2.3 below are met. Otherwise, the Utility should direct the Customer to request service from the other Utility.
- 2.2 Various Load and distance criteria under which a Utility may agree to provide service are as follows:
 - (a) For any size Load where the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are within 1,000 feet of the Point of Delivery or are no more than 1,000 feet further from the Point of Delivery than the Existing Facilities of the other Utility.
 - (b) For a Load greater than 100 kVA where:
 - the construction required is predominantly the addition of new pole line and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are no more than 1,500 feet further from the Point of Delivery than the Existing Facilities of the other Utility, or
 - (ii) the construction required is predominantly the upgrade of existing pole line (e.g. phase additions, reconductoring, etc.) and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are within 3,000 feet of the Point of Delivery.
 - (c) For a Load greater than 500 kVA where:
 - the construction required is predominantly the addition of new pole line and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are no more than 2,000 feet further from the Point of Delivery than the Existing Facilities of the other Utility, or

- (ii) the construction required is predominantly the upgrade of existing pole line (e.g. phase additions, reconductoring, etc.) and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are within 4,000 feet of the Point of Delivery.
- (d) For a Load greater than 1000 kVA where:
 - the construction required is predominantly the addition of new pole line and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are no more than 2,500 feet further from the Point of Delivery than the Existing Facilities of the other Utility, or
 - the construction required is predominantly the upgrade of existing pole line (e.g. phase additions, reconductoring, etc.) and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are within 5,000 feet of the Point of Delivery.
- 2.3 In any instance where the-Load and distance criteria of Section 2.2 are not met but the requested Utility believes that its Cost of Service would not be significantly more than that of the other Utility, the following procedure shall be used to determine if the requested Utility may agree to provide service:
 - (a) The requested Utility is to notify the other Utility of the Customer's request, providing all relevant information about the request.
 - (b) If the other Utility believes that its facilities would be uneconomically duplicated if the request is honored, it has five (5) working days from receipt of notice to request a meeting or other method to be conducted within ten (10) working days for the purpose of comparing each Utility's Cost of Service. Absent such a request or upon notification from the other Utility of no objection to the requested Utility's providing the service, the requested Utility may agree to provide service.
 - (c) At the meeting scheduled pursuant to 2.3(b) or in some other mutually acceptable method, each Utility is to present to the other Utility its estimated Cost of Service, including all supporting details (type and amount of equipment, labor rates, overheads, etc.). For Loads greater than 1,000 kVA, information as to the percentage of substation and feeder capacity that will be utilized and the amount and nature of the cost allocations of such utilization included in the Cost of Service are to be provided.
 - (d) Upon agreement as to each Utility's Cost of Service, the requested Utility may agree to provide service to the Customer if either of the following conditions are met:
 - The requested Utility's Cost of Service does not exceed the other Utility's Cost of Service by more than \$15,000.
 - (ii) The requested Utility's Cost of Service does not exceed the other Utility's Cost of Service by more than twenty-five percent (25%).

> (e) Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Section 2.3, no Utility shall agree to provide service to a Customer under the provisions of this Section 2.3 if the Load is less than or equal to 1000 kVA, the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are further than 10,000 feet from the Point of Delivery, and the other Utility's Existing Facilities are located in a roadway or other right-of-way abutting the Customer's

- premises.

2.4 The requested Utility bears the primary responsibility in determining whether or not the provisions of Section 2.2 or Section 2.3 above have been met or if it otherwise believes that service can be provided to a Customer without uneconomic duplication of the other Utility's facilities. Should the other Utility dispute such determinations and believe that uneconomic duplication of its facilities will occur or has occurred, every effort should be made by the two utilities to resolve the dispute, up to and including mediation before the Commission Staff and, if necessary, expedited hearing before the Commission. During a period of unresolved dispute, the requested Utility may provide temporary service to the Customer or may elect to request the other Utility to provide temporary service to the Utility's position in the dispute as to which Utility will provide permanent service.

SECTION III: CUSTOMER RELIABILITY AND POWER QUALITY

While one Utility may have existing distribution facilities nearer to a Customer's Point of Delivery than the other Utility, reliability of service and power quality to the individual Customers are important. In the application of the provisions of Section II above, engineering criteria must be considered in the decision as to whether the requested Utility should agree to serve the Customer. Substation distance from the Point of Delivery and Load capacity of impacted substations in each case should be considered. Wire size and its capacity and capabilities should also be considered. All other system engineering design and criteria should be reviewed in each Utility's facilities.

SECTION IV: CUSTOMERS PRESENTLY SERVED BY ANOTHER UTILITY:

A Utility shall not construct nor maintain electric distribution lines for the provision of electric service to any Customer then currently being provided electric service by the other Utility. If, however, a Customer that has historically required single-phase service disconnects and the new Customer locating there requires three-phase service, Section II above may apply.

SECTION V: DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM EXTENSIONS & UPGRADES

A Utility will, from time to time, have distribution system extensions or upgrades necessary and prudent from an engineering standpoint for reliability and Customer service. While recognizing this, these extensions or upgrades should be performed only when necessary

4

for these reasons and not be put in place to position the Utility for future anticipated development. These system upgrades are defined to be capital projects justified and approved for construction following a Utility's normal administrative budgetary channels and procedures, and documentation for such will be provided to the other Utility upon written request. Connecting points on a Utility's distribution system must be for reliability and coordination purposes only. The connecting distribution line may not serve Customers within 1,000 feet of the Existing Facilities of the other Utility that were in place at the time of that system upgrade.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition to resolve territorial dispute with GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. by GULF POWER COMPANY. DOCKET NO. 930885-EU ORDER NO. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU ISSUED: April 9, 2001

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

J. TERRY DEASON BRAULIO L. BAEZ MICHAEL A. PALECKI



NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER APPROVING PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES FOR AVOIDING FURTHER UNECONOMIC DUPLICATION OF FACILITIES

BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

Pursuant to Section 366.04(2)(d), Florida Statues, we have jurisdiction "to approve territorial agreements between and among rural electric cooperatives, municipal electric utilities, and other electric utilities under its jurisdiction." In Order No. PSC-98-0174-FOF-EU issued January 28, 1998, we directed Gulf Power Company and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc., to establish detailed procedures and guidelines addressing subtransmission, distribution, and requests for new service which are enforceable with each respective utility. A joint submission of Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication of Facilities was filed on July 24, 2000. On September 15, 2000, we received a letter requesting a 90-day extension for purposes of amending the July 24, 2000 filing. On January 26, 2001, pursuant to Section 366.04(2)(d), Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-6.0440, Florida Administrative Code, Gulf Power Company and Gulf Coast

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 04323 APR-95 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Electric Cooperative Inc., filed an Amended Joint Submission of Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication of Facilities. A copy of the Procedures and Guidelines is included as Attachment A to this Order and is incorporated by reference herein.

In interpreting our authority to review territorial agreements, the Florida Supreme Court has held that the appropriate standard is the "no-detriment test." <u>Utilities Comm'n of City of New Smyrna v. FPSC</u>, 469 So. 2d 731 (Fla. 1985). The Court stated that PSC approval should be based on the effect the territorial agreement will have on all customers in the territory, not just whether transferred customers will benefit. <u>See id.</u> at 732. "For PSC approval, any customer transfer in a proposed territorial agreement must not harm the public." <u>Id.</u> at 733.

Rule 25-6.0440(2), Florida Administrative Code, describes the standards of approval of territorial agreements as follows:

(2) Standards for Approval. In approving territorial agreements, the Commission may consider, but not be limited to consideration of:

- (a) the reasonableness of the purchase price of any facilities being transferred;
- (b) the reasonable likelihood that the agreement, in and of itself, will not cause a decrease in the reliability of electrical service to the existing or future ratepayers of any utility party to the agreement; and
- (c) the reasonable likelihood that the agreement will eliminate existing or potential uneconomic duplication of facilities.

The above standards were adopted to ensure that the general body of ratepayers is not harmed by the approval of territorial agreements.

In this case, the proposed Amended Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication of Facilities is the first territorial agreement between the parties. Section II of the proposed agreement outlines a utility's response to a request for service. Upon a request for service, a utility will review

customer load requirements, proximity to existing facilities of both utilities, capabilities of the existing facilities, and the costs to provide the required service. We find that a comparative analysis such as the one required by the proposed agreement will avoid future uneconomic duplication of facilities. Section III of the proposed agreement ensures that customer reliability and power quality will be considered in each request for new service. Section IV ensures utilities will not seek to serve customers currently being provided service by the other utility. Section V of the proposed agreement ensures that distribution system upgrades and extensions will not be put in place for speculative future loads.

The proposed territorial agreement does not establish a traditional "lines-on-the-ground" territorial boundary. However, the proposal addresses all the necessary standards required for approval. When necessary to compare cost of service, the agreement provides a test of two alternatives. First, if the difference between the costs of service of the two companies is less than \$15,000, that amount is to be considered *de minimis*, and the customer's choice of provider may prevail. This *de minimis* standard was derived from the Florida Supreme Court's decision in this docket in <u>Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. v. Susan F.</u> <u>Clark</u>, et al., 674 So.2d 120 (Fla. 1996). However, the Supreme Court's opinion does not require that the *de minimis* standard be the only criterion for evaluating uneconomic duplication.

If the foregoing de minimis test is exceeded, the agreement provides an alternative comparison of the companies' respective costs of service. If the differential is not more than 25%, the utility with the higher cost of service may provide service according to the agreement, if chosen by the customer. This provision provides a reasonable means for establishing the limit of economic duplication. In the context of a project where there is a significant load associated with the new service, the level of investment necessary by either party would be substantial, as would be the revenues provided by that customer. In such a case, a differential of \$15,000 would likely not be a meaningful measure. Instead, the 25% threshold provides a reasonable measure of the outer limit of economic duplication and therefore the trigger for uneconomic duplication. It takes into account load and other factors that are a part of the determination of uneconomic

duplication, while preserving the customer's ability to initially choose his or her provider. We find the agreement to be in the best interests of the companies and their ratepayers, and we expect the agreement to prevent uneconomic duplication of services, as intended.

Because of the unique characteristics of the proposed territorial agreement, we believe the parties should file a report addressing the effectiveness of the agreement in avoiding future uneconomic duplication and ensuring reliable service. The report should be filed on a 12-month basis for at least the next two years. These reports will provide the appropriate basis to determine whether the proposed territorial agreement is effective.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the Amended Joint Submission of Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication of Facilities, attached and incorporated by reference herein, between Gulf Power Company and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative Inc., is approved. It is further

ORDERED that Gulf Power Company and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative Inc. shall file a report on a 12 month basis for at least the next two years, addressing the effectiveness of the agreement in avoiding uneconomic duplication and ensuring reliable service. It is further

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings" attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that in the event this Order becomes final, this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>9th</u> day of <u>April</u>, <u>2001</u>.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Dire

Division of Records and Reporting

(SEAL)

DDH

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on <u>April 30, 2001</u>.

...

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

From:	Precise, Bridget
To:	Rogers, Joshua R.
Subject:	388 Force Main Lift Stations
Date:	Wednesday, October 11, 2017 11:53:15 AM
Attachments:	image003.png

Hi Josh,

The lift station information is as follows:

Lift Station 1 is on parcel 26508-000-000 with a street address of 3815 W. Hwy 388 Lift Station 2 is on parcel 26597-000-000 with a street address of 1900 W. Hwy 388

Let me know if you need something else right now.

Thanks, Bridget

123

Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

The St. Joe Company 133 S. WaterSound Parkway, WaterSound, FL 32461 *o* 850.231.6480 *f* 850.231.6595 *e* bridget.precise@joe.com *w* joe.com

600	EXHIBIT	
D 800-631-6969	11	
ŝ	4	
9 -	and the second	1000

From:	Precise, Bridget
To:	Rogers, Joshua R.
Cc:	Wilkes, April
Subject:	Fwd: 388 FM Electrical
Date:	Thursday, October 12, 2017 5:43:29 PM
Attachments:	E-drawings, 360592.pdf ATT00001.htm

Hi Josh,

14

Attached are the electrical plans for the 388 lift stations.

Thanks, Bridget

Bridget Precise The St. Joe Company 133 S WaterSound Parkway WaterSound, FL 32413 Office: 850-231-6480 Fax: 850-231-6489

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Pitts, Tommy" <<u>Gary.Pitts@mottmac.com</u>> Date: October 12, 2017 at 5:00:39 PM EDT To: "Precise, Bridget" <<u>Bridget.Precise@ioe.com</u>> Cc: "Zafar, Amir" <<u>Amir.Zafar@mottmac.com</u>>, "Elkins, Claude R" <<u>Claude.Elkins@mottmac.com</u>> Subject: RE: 388 FM Electrical

Hi, Bridget. The electrical plans are attached. Let me know if you need anything further.

Tommy Pitts

Project Manager Mott MacDonald Office 850-763-9393 Cell 850-899-5240

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 11:01 AM To: Pitts, Tommy <<u>Gary.Pitts@mottmac.com</u>> Subject: 388 FM Electrical

Hi Tommy,

Can you send me over the electrical sheets from the force main plans? I need to get those to Gulf Power so they can figure out the service needs.

Thanks in advance.

The St. Joe Company 133 S WaterSound Parkway WaterSound, FL 32413 Office: 850-231-6480 Fax: 850-231-6489 ٠







N



.

.



From:	Sims, Sandy (Gulf)	
To:	pgleaton@acec.com	i.
Cc:	Precise, Bridget (Bridget.Precise@joe.com)	
Subject:	Lift Station Service	
Date:	Friday, January 26, 2018 3:10:44 PM	
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png image003.png image004.png image005.png GCEC.notice 102017.docx	

Dear Mr. Gleaton:

I am writing in response to your letter to Ms. Bridget Precise dated January 19, 2018, a copy of which was sent to the attention of Josh Rogers. Gulf Power Company takes issue with several of the assertions contained in that correspondence. As has been noted in previous correspondence, Gulf Power received a request to serve the lift station in October, 2017. Because the load and distance parameters set forth in section 2.2 of the Procedures and Guidelines agreement between Gulf Power and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative ("GCEC") did not apply, Gulf Power provided notice to GCEC pursuant to Section 2.3(a) of the Procedures and Guidelines. This notice, a copy of which is attached, was sent on October 20, 2017. Under Section 2.3(b) of the Procedures and Guidelines, GCEC had five working days to request a meeting with Gulf Power's October 20th notice. In the absence of a timely response (or no response in this case), the Procedures and Guidelines very clearly provide that "[t]he requested Utility may agree to provide service." As it was clearly permitted to do under the agreement, Gulf Power subsequently agreed to provide service and still intends to honor our customer's request. Bay County and St. Joe Company have confirmed that their request for service from Gulf Power stands.

Putting aside the fact that GCEC has waived any right to object to Gulf Power's provision of service to the subject lift station, we also take issue with your blanket assertion that GCEC has the right to serve the load merely because its facilities are "closer" to the point of delivery. The Procedures and Guidelines specifically allow the requested utility to honor a request for service even if its existing facilities are farther away, if the requested utility's cost of service does not exceed the other utility's cost of service by twenty-five percent (25%). Had GCEC provided a timely response to Gulf Power's October 20, 2017 notice, the parties would have met to compare costs and make such a determination. While GCEC's existing facilities are "closer" to the point of delivery, there are a variety of factors that are involved in determining cost of service and it may very well be the case that Gulf Power's cost would not exceed the 25% threshold. While such a cost comparison is not required under the present circumstances given GCEC's waiver under Section 2.3(b) of the Procedures and Guidelines, Gulf Power is certainly willing to meet to discuss the same and other matters pertaining to this project. Any such meeting should not be construed as a waiver of Gulf Power's standing objection to GCEC's serving the subject load.

Sincerely, Sandy

Sandy Sims

Gulf Power Company • District General Manager Office: 850.872.3297 • Cell: 850.376.8440 MyGulfPower.com

Stay connected with Gulf Power



From: Rogers, Joshua R. <JROGERS@southernco.com>

Sent: Friday, October 20, 2017 1:22 PM

To: 'pgleaton@gcec.com'

Subject: Electrical Service Request

Attachments: imageOOI.png; imageOOZ.png; image003.png; image004.png; imageOOS.png

Mr. Gleaton,

Pursuant to section 2.3(a) of the agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC, I am notifying GCEC of a customer's request

for electrical service from Gulf Power for a new lift station on parcel 26597-000-000. Construction would not result in

any duplication of facilities.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE

Gulf Power Company * Engineering Supervisor II

Office: 850.872.3309 * Cell: 850.554.6583

MyGulfPower.com

Stay connected
ers, Joshua R. nesday, October 11, 2017 4:07 PM , Joshua R. <JROGERS@southernco.com> E: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

warded this message on 10/12/2017 11:44 AM.

.

i http://qpublic6.qpublic.net/qpmap4/map.php?county=fl_bay&parcel=26508-000-000&extent= 🔎 * 🖒 🐨 Bay County Parcel Maps

	Copyright © 2011, gPublic net			30"21"00.1"N, 86"48'54.7"W (30.35, -85.8152)	Reports	
Layers					Parcel	
5	and the second second second				View as: <u>Goodle Ea</u> Street View	arth Bird's Eve Google Maps &
Numbers			×+:	-	oureet view	
stings	82	ž.			PARCEL INFORMAT	
Rivers			6-3-		Selected Parcel	26508-000-000
			- Ca /			(Click for Complete Card) TIMBERLAND 2, 80-90 (55)
ís		¥	CON		Acres	357.63
			4		Property Use	TIMBERLAND
Sales			1.1		Land Use	005601
n-Rng	and the second state of th					
ions		and and a second		27 E	OWNERSHIP INFO	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				Name	ST JOSEPH LAND & DEV CO
IONS		C			Mailing Address	C/O TAX DEPARTMENT 133 SOUTH WATERSOUND PARKWAY; WATERSOUND, FL 32413
ones		W Highway 388		(300)	Situs/Physical Address	4430 HWY 388 W
hotos (2015)	1.					
hotos (2013)	A second s				VALUES	
hotos (2010)		目		12	Land Value	8,480
		'et	2		Ag Land Value	27,830
	388	1			Building Value	
					Misc Value Just Value	276,425
					Assessed Value	31,244
			~ !		Exempt Value	51/2
	A R				Taxable Value	31,244
Bay		$(1 - 1)^{-1}$				
Bay					A LOT S CHIPP	
Bay	鸡				Darcol Lict	
Bay	13		1		Parcel List	
Bay	1000 m				Parcel List Legend	

nakes every effort to produce the most accurate information possible. No warranties, expressed or implied, are provided for the data herein, its use or interpretation. The assessment information is from the last certified taxroll. All data is ange before the next certified taxroll.



ð X

3:03 PM

10/11/2017

-

∧ 🖬 🕼 📮

🖻 http://qpublic6.qpublic.net/qpmap4/map.php?county=fl_bay&parcel=26597-000-000&extent= 🔎 👻 📴 Bay County Parcel Maps

<<	Copyright © 2011, qPublic net					Reports	
Layers						Parcel	
ls					52		
I Numbers				10	-	View as: <u>Gooole Ea</u> Street View	arth Bird's Eve Google Maps &
istings				4		-	
& Rivers	Fort all					PARCEL INFORMAT	
	100					Selected Parcel	26597-000-000 (Click for Complete Card)
×	CAR					DOR Property Usac	ge TIMBERLAND 2, 80-90 (55)
ds	507					Acres	627
Sales	5					Property Use	TIMBERLAND
vn-Rng						Land Use	005910
isions	A	1				OWNERSHIP INFOR	PMATION
					×:	Name	ST JOSEPH LAND & DEV CO
stons	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-W-HIGHW				Mailing Address	C/O TAX DEPARTMENT 133 SOUTH WATERSOUND PARKWAY; WATERSOUND, FL 32413
Zones	2 <u>¥</u>		8438P			Situs/Physical	HWY 388
Photos (2015)				r		Address	1W1 300
Photos (2013)						VALUES	
Photos (2010)	19-24F2		And and a state of the second state of the sec	(388)		Land Value	49,:
14 A/	Ex					Ag Land Value	43,
						Building Value	1
	et					Misc Value	
0						Just Value Assessed Value	372,
Bay	1 <u>5</u>					Exempt Value	63,
Day	No. A. A.		1			Taxable Value	63,
2		¥.					
	×.		1	5		Parcel List	
and the state	1000 m						
	1000 m					Legend	

makes every effort to produce the most accurate information possible. No warranties, expressed or implied, are provided for the data herein, its use or interpretation. The assessment information is from the last certified taxroll. All dat hange before the next certified taxroll.



ð

om: Precise, Bridget [<u>mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>]
ent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 10:52 AM
): Rogers, Joshua R.
Ibject: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Josh,

ne lift station information is as follows:

ft Station 1 is on parcel 26508-000-000 with a street address of 3815 W. Hwy 388 ft Station 2 is on parcel 26597-000-000 with a street address of 1900 W. Hwy 388

t me know if you need something else right now.

1anks, ⁻idget



ridget Precise ice President evelopment and Regulatory Affairs

ne St. Joe Company 33 S. WaterSound Parkway, WaterSound, FL 32461 850.231.6480 **f** 850.231.6595 bridget.precise@joe.com **w** joe.com
 From:
 Precise, Bridget

 To:
 Rogers, Joshua R,

 Subject:
 Re: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

 Date:
 Thursday, October 12, 2017 11:59:26 AM

 Attachments:
 image001.pmg image001.pmg image005.pmg image005.pmg image005.pmg image005.pmg

Thanks Josh.

I'll send over the plans.

Bridget

Bridget Precise The St. Joe Company 133 S WaterSound Parkway WaterSound, FL 32413 Office: 850-231-6480 Fax: 850-231-6489

On Oct 12, 2017, at 10:53 AM, Rogers, Joshua R. < JROGERS@southernco.com> wrote:

Bridget,

The location at 3815 has existing power lines close to this address. As long as we can get some detailed information about the lift station electrical requirements, we should be able to meet the end of November completion time frame discussed.

For the location you requested that Gulf serve at 1900 W. Hwy 388, a new line extension will be required to serve this site. To start the analysis, I need the mechanical and electrical plans for the site.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 <u>MyGulfPower.com</u> <u>Stay connected with Gulf Power</u> <u><image001.png></u> <u><image002.png></u> <u><image004.png></u> <u><image005.png></u> <u><image006.png></u>

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 10:52 AM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <JROGERS@southernco.com> Subject: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Hi Josh,

The lift station information is as follows:

Lift Station 1 is on parcel 26508-000-000 with a street address of 3815 W. Hwy 388 Lift Station 2 is on parcel 26597-000-000 with a street address of 1900 W. Hwy 388

Let me know if you need something else right now.

Thanks, Bridget Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs The St. Joe Company 133 S. WaterSound Parkway, WaterSound, FL 32461 o 850.231.6480 f 850.231.6595 e bridget.precise@joe.com w joe.com

Ν.

ers, Joshua R. Inesday, October 11, 2017 4:07 PM ;, Joshua R. <JROGERS@southernco.com> E: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

rwarded this message on 10/12/2017 11:44 AM.

 \mathbf{F}_{i}

🖞 http://gpublic6.gpublic.net/gpmap4/map.php?county=fl_bay&parcel=26508-000-000&extent= 🔎 👻 🕑 🖳 Bay County Parcel Maps

Street View Listings Selected Parcel Selected Parcel	Earth Bird's Eve Google Maps &	Parcel	1100.111(, 86'48'54.7'W (30.35, -85.8152)	30"				sold all a root of drame no	12
S Numbers istings & Rivers ads Salos wn-Rng isions stons Zones Photos (2015) Photos (2013) Photos (2019) Image: Add and a second a second and a second a	Earth Bird's Eve Gooole Maps &								11
Numbers istings & Rivers ads Sales wn-Rng risions sions Zones Photos (2015) Photos (2015) Photos (2013) Photos (2010) Bay Bay	Earth Bird's Eve Google Maps &	View as: Google Farth	F						Layers
Numbers stings & Rivers ds Sales m.Rag isions stons cones Photos (2015) Photos (2013) Photos (2010)			Vi						5
ARCEL INFOR A Rivers ds Sales n-Rrg sions tons tons tons tons bons tons bons tons tons tons tons tons bons tons tons bons tons		Street View	SI		1.		2		Numbers
Rivers s s salos n-Rng sions tons ones holos (2015) holos (2010) Bay Bay botos (2010) term ter	ATION TABLE	DADCEL INFORMATION	10						
S alos h-Rng ions ons ons ons botos (2015) hotos (2010) Ferrar Ferrar Value Situs/Physical Address Address VALUES Land Value Buy Ferrar Value Land Value Buy Ferrar Value Land Value Buy Ferrar Value Land Value Situs/Physical Address VALUES Land Value Buy Land Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical	26508-000-000	F	r	and the second sec		4			Lange and the second
Acres Acres Property Use Land Use Land Use OWNERSHIP IN Name Mailing Address Mailing Address Mailing Address Mailing Address VALUES Land Value Address VALUES Land Value Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Situs/Physical Address Value Situs/Physical Situs	(Click for Complete Card)		S	1 m					Rivers
ales -Rng ions Dins mes notos (2015) notos (2013) notos (2010) Bay Bay Bay	sage TIMBERLAND 2, 80-90 (55)	DOR Property Usage	D	1					
Ins Address Ad	357.63								5
Rng ons DWNERSHIP IN Name Mailing Address Mailing Address Situs/Physical Address Situs/Physical Address Situs/Physical Address VALUES Land Value Building Value Building Value Misc Value Dust Value Taxable Value	TIMBERLAND				14				ales
ons ons ons nes totos (2015) totos (2013) totos (2010) Bay Bay Bay Bay Bay Bay Bay Des Des Des Des Des Des Des Des	005601	Land Use	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L						
Name Mailing Address Mailing Address	CODMATION	OWNEDCHTD INFOOMA					1 1 1	the second s	
ns hes olos (2015) olos (2013) olos (2010) Bay Bay Bay	ST JOSEPH LAND & DEV CO		Dec.			-	1.	1 2 2 3	ons
Ines aloos (2015) aloos (2013) aloos (2010) Bay Bay	C/O TAX DEPARTMENT		F				1.	1 1 2 2	
Ins solutions (2015) solutions (2013) solutions (2010) See Situs/Physical Address Situs/Phy	133 SOUTH WATERSOUND	Mailing Address 1	and the second se				19. I.	1 F	
Address Address VALUES Land Value Ag Land Value Building Value Inst Value Inst Value Taxable Value Taxable Value	PARKWAY;	and the second sec	ſ				1	1	กร
Address VALUES Value Address VALUES Land Value Address VALUES Land Value Assessed Value Exempt Value Taxable Value	WATERSOUND, FL 32413	Situs/Physical		Contraction of the second s		and the second			290
otos (2013) otos (2010) (SEE)	4430 HWY 388 W			(590	Manauth			
Bay Bay Control Contro					V		10		and Alberta States and Alberta
Ag Land Value Building Value Just Value Bay Bay					N. M. L			1891 B 1	otos (2013)
Bay Bay Ag Land Value Building Value Misc Value Just Value Assessed Value Exempt Value Taxable Value	8,4	Contraction of the local division of the loc		7 1 1	目				otos (2010)
Bay Assessed Value Taxable Value	27,8							· (
Bay Just Value Assessed Value Taxable Value					P == Str			(368)	1
Bay Assessed Value Taxable Value	276,4	Conversion of the second se	land the second s			1			
Bay Taxable Value	31,2							the second second	No II I
Taxable Value		AND			the second se				
	31,2	Taxable Value	T.					N T.	Bay
		and solution of a series of the series of th			1 1 1 1				S. C
Parcel Ust				1. e.g. 1. e		1.1.1.2		23	1010
Parcel Ust		Parcel USL	E CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR	N,				A	
1000 m Legend		Legend	L	10				1000 m	

32

nakes every effort to produce the most accurate information possible. No warranties, expressed or implied, are provided for the data herein, its use or interpretation. The assessment information is from the last certified taxroll. All data is ange before the next certified taxroll.



D X 🗄 http://gpublic6.gpublic.net/gpmap4/map.php?county=fl_bay&parcel=26597-000-000&extent= 🔎 * 🖒 🖭 Bay County Parcel Maps



nakes every effort to produce the most accurate information possible. No warranties, expressed or implied, are provided for the data herein, its use or interpretation. The assessment information is from the last certified taxroll. All data ange before the next certified taxroll.



đ

'om: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com]
ent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 10:52 AM
): Rogers, Joshua R.
Jbject: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

i Josh,

ne lift station information is as follows:

ft Station 1 is on parcel 26508-000-000 with a street address of 3815 W. Hwy 388 ft Station 2 is on parcel 26597-000-000 with a street address of 1900 W. Hwy 388

8

t me know if you need something else right now.

וanks, ∙idget



ridget Precise ice President evelopment and Regulatory Affairs

he St. Joe Company 33 S. WaterSound Parkway, WaterSound, FL 32461 850.231.6480 **f** 850.231.6595 <u>bridget.precise@joe.com</u> **w** joe.com

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Complaint against Gulf Power Company for expedited enforcement of territorial order by Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

 Docket No.
 20180125-EU

 Date:
 August 10, 2018

AFFIDAVIT OF JOSHUA R. ROGERS

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Joshua R. Rogers, who after being sworn, deposes and says the following:

- 1. My name is Joshua R. Rogers. I am over 18 years of age and in all other respects competent to testify. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein.
- I have been employed by Gulf Power Company ("Gulf Power") in various capacities since January 2006. Since December 2017, I have been employed as the District Engineering Supervisor at Gulf Power's Panama City office located at 1230 15th St, Panama City, FL 32405. Prior to this, I served as the Engineering Supervisor II at the Panama City Beach office located at 12425 Hutchison Blvd, Panama City Beach, FL 32407. During my tenure with Gulf Power, I have been involved in the costing, design, engineering and construction of thousands of electric distribution construction projects.
- 3. Part of my job responsibilities as the Engineering Supervisor II and the District Engineering Supervisor involve working with customers in the Panama City service area concerning requests for new electric service from Gulf Power. On or about October 11, 2017, I met with several representatives from the St. Joe Company ("St. Joe") to discuss, among other projects, the provision of electrical service to two new sewage lift stations which were being constructed by St. Joe on Highway 388 in unincorporated Bay County. These discussions resulted in a request from St. Joe that Gulf Power provide electric

6969	EXHIBIT	
00-631	5	
HORD BAD		
图/)4	gers 917/19	ATTEND OF

service to these lift stations. The first lift station was identified by St. Joe as being located on Parcel ID # 26508-000-000 having a physical address of 3815 W. Hwy 388 ("First Lift Station"). The second lift station was identified by St. Joe as being located on Parcel ID # 26597-000-000 having a physical address of 1900 W. Hwy 388 ("Second Lift Station").

- 4. Upon receipt of the lift station locations, I evaluated their load and distance criteria relative to Gulf Power's and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative's existing electrical facilities as required by the territorial agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC ("Territorial Agreement"). This evaluation revealed that the First Lift station was in an area currently being served by Gulf Power's existing facilities and bordered on Highway 388 with an existing distribution line sufficient to provide service. Therefore, Gulf Power could honor the customer's request for electric service to the First Lift Station without providing notice to GCEC under section 2.3(a) of the Territorial Agreement. This evaluation also revealed that the Second Lift Station was located in an area which was not in close proximity to either utility's existing facilities. Specifically, the Second Lift Station was located approximately 11,000 feet from Gulf Power's nearest existing facilities to the west on Highway 388.
- 5. Based on the respective distances between Gulf Power's and GCEC's existing facilities, and based on my experience in estimating, designing and overseeing the construction of electric distribution facilities, I concluded that Gulf Power's cost to serve the customer likely would not exceed GCEC's cost by the 25% threshold contained in section 2.3(d)(ii) of the Territorial Agreement.

- 6. Therefore, on October 20, 2017, I prepared written notice to GCEC of the customer's request for service for the Second Lift Station and sent the notice via electronic mail to Mr. Peyton Gleaton, GCEC's Vice President of Engineering, at the email address listed on GCEC's corporate website.
- 7. While I had not personally communicated with Mr. Gleaton prior to October 20, 2017, I did reach out to another Gulf Power employee, Steve Bottoms, who communicates more regularly with GCEC regarding engineering matters. Mr. Bottoms recommended that I contact Mr. Gleaton. I also visited GCEC's website (www.gcec.com) to confirm Mr. Bottoms' recommendation. When I visited GCEC's website, the website featured a "Contact Us" page. A copy of the relevant portion of the "Contact Us" page is attached hereto as <u>Schedule "1</u>." The "Contact Us" page contained a section titled "E-mail Directory." The directory indicated that Mr. Gleaton was GCEC's Vice President of Engineering, and contained a hyperlink to Mr. Gleaton's e-mail address. The fact that Mr. Gleaton was identified on the "Contact Us" link of GCEC's corporate website as one of five contact persons for the company and the fact that he was identified as GCEC's Vice President of Engineering -- which, in my experience, is a position involving oversight of the location and design of distribution construction activities -- reinforced and confirmed Mr. Bottoms' recommendation.
- 8. The October 20, 2017, notice identified the fact that Gulf Power had received a request for electrical service to a lift station located on Parcel # 26597-000-000 and the fact that the notice was being issued pursuant to section 2.3(a) of the Territorial Agreement. I did not include reference to the physical address of 1900 W. Hwy 388 because an internet

search of the physical address depicted the location of the subject property as being four driving miles and more than three aerial miles away from its actual location.

9. The Territorial Agreement is silent with respect to the manner of providing notice and the person to receive notice on behalf of the parties. Therefore, I exercised what I consider to be reasonable judgment in providing notice to Mr. Gleaton using the method identified on GCEC's corporate website.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

By:

Joshua R. Rogers

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BAY

Sworn and subscribed before me, at the time of notarization, by Joshua R. Rogers, who is ______ personally known to me or ______ produced a valid form of identification, this 10^{11} day of August, 2018. \land

NOTARY PUBL

[Print Name]

My Commission Expires:

FRANNELL HUFF COMMISSION # CG 003792 EXPIRES: July 16, 2020 Bonded Thru Notary Public Underwriters

SCHEDULE 1

Gulf Coast Electric Coope	crative Search EntactUs	Q Mar
About Us. "Our Services	Noowledge is Power / Community Commitment / Enlergency Response / News & Events	
Annual Members Neoting Earcors	Contact Us	
Confect Us Generation & Transmission	E-mail Directory	and a state of the
Our Blait Membershipa Tares	Live II Leveline (1922) Opperal Manager	
Youchsiane Emergy Trustees	Cherl Constant Officer	
August 2018 (#Barns) tel and file and file and the set	Vice Histandich Tagreering	Statistics.
6 2 3 4 3 8 7 3 8 13 11	We President of Nan aug & Communications	
11 11 11 11 13 10 20 21 22 21 11 23 11 20 21 21 21 23 21 21 23	Vee Present of Menter Service	
	Brigardani Alanzigere	San State
	Southport Dievrict Diffice: (1860) 765 3031 - onter (1850) 755 3034 - cas (1850) 568 3667 - allet nora redagostal free (1850) 568 3667 - allet nora redagostal free	SALE AND
	Wewahitaha Keadqualer Office 1850/03/2216 - cirduo 1850/03/2011 - Cird 1650/03/24 0322 - after Anang orfagistell Ace	

From: Rogers, Joshua R. [mailto:JROGERS@southernco.com] Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 8:36 AM

To: Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Bridget,

I wanted to give you an update on the Highway 388 lift stations. The location at 3815 was construction completed last week and is ready to provide service when the electrician completes their work. The location at 1900 will require a new line extension. I noticed that there was a land clearing company on site and thought you may want to have them complete the necessary tree work. If you have the trees and limbs in the right of way on the south side of the roadway cleared, the cost for Gulf to provide service to the new lift station will be approximately \$115,000. It will take us up to two months to complete the engineering on this project and provide the exact cost. Please let me know if you have any questions and whether or not you would like for us to proceed with completing the engineering for this project.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power

EXHIBIT

From: Rogers, Joshua R. [mailto:JROGERS@southernco.com] Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 10:09 AM To: Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Bridget,

That's correct, it is the portion that would have to be paid before construction could start.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power





Meeting w/ St. Soe, Bridgette & April, MR.G.P. 51 Force main lift station - getting 900 address - both east of Burnt Mill Creek - one @ dint cut. in 1 get new 388 to Augor + entrance roach from DOT, letting May 18



-S. Bottoms GCEC - left message T 山 H V.C. gayton gladon jr @GLEC 850-913-3734 Section 2.9 of agreement 日 10/23 1 H 1 指 抗 1

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Petition of Gulf Power Company to resolve a territorial dispute with Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Docket No. <u>93085-EU</u> Date Filed: 09/08/93

Petition of Gulf Power Company

Gulf Power Company ["Gulf Power", "Gulf", or "the Company"], by and through its undersigned attorneys, pursuant to the authority of Section 366.04(2)(e), Florida Statutes, hereby petitions the Florida Public Service Commission [the "Commission"] to resolve a territorial dispute involving Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. [the "Co-Op"]. In support of this Petition, Gulf Power states:

 The exact name of the Company and the address of its principal business office is:

> Gulf Power Company 500 Bayfront Parkway P. O. Box 1151 Pensacola, Florida 32520



001

2. Notices and communications with respect to this petition and docket should be addressed to:

G. Edison Holland, Jr.	Jack L. Haskins
Jeffrey A. Stone	Manager
Teresa E. Liles	Rates & Regulatory Matters
Beggs & Lane	Gulf Power Company
P. O. Box 12950	P. O. Box 13470
Pensacola, FL 32576-2950	Pensacola, FL 32591-3470

3. Gulf Power Company is an investor-owned electric utility that owns, maintains, and operates an electric generation, transmission, and distribution system within the State of Florida through which the Company provides retail electric service to

09673 SEP-88

customers within northwest Florida and therefore is regulated by the Florida Public Service Commission as a public utility pursuant to Chapter 366 of the Florida Statutes.

4. Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. is a rural electric cooperative organized and existing under Chapter 425, Florida Statutes, and is subject to the jurisdiction of the Florida Public Service Commission for purposes of resolving territorial disputes under Section 366.04(2)(e), Florida Statutes.

5. Gulf Power began serving Washington County in January of 1926 when Houston Power Company assigned its franchise rights for Chipley, Florida, the county seat of Washington County, to Gulf. Gulf Power also began providing electrical service to the unincorporated areas of Washington County, Florida, in 1926 and has consistently provided electrical service to the unincorporated areas for over sixty-seven years.

6. The Florida Department of Corrections [the "Department"] is in the process of constructing a new correctional facility and work camp located at the northwest corner of Highway 77 and Highway 279 in the Greenhead area of Washington County, Florida. The land on which the correctional facility will be located was donated by Washington County after it purchased the property based in part on a forty-five thousand (\$45,000) dollar pledge obtained from the Co-Op.

7. On April 9, 1993, Gulf Power made a proposal to the Department for the provision of electric service to the new correctional facility and work camp.

8. On May 26, 1993, the Department informed the County Administrator for Washington County that both Gulf Power and the Co-Op are capable of providing electrical service to the correctional institute and work camp. The Department requested the Washington County Administrator to determine which utility would provide electric service to the correctional institute.

9. The Co-Op and the Washington County Board of County Commissioners have been involved in negotiations concerning the Co-Op assisting the County Commissioners in securing the land for the correctional facility.

10. The Washington County Board of County Commissioners informed the Department that the Co-Op would be providing service to the correctional institute and work camp. Subsequently, the Department informed Gulf that in cases where counties are donating land to the Department, the Department prefers that the county determine the provider of electric service. In this instance since the Department was the benefactor of land donated by Washington County, the Department acquiesced to the County's choice of the Co-Op instead of Gulf Power.

11. The correctional institute will be strategically constructed adjacent to the intersection of two Gulf distribution lines. Each line is fed from separate substation facilities -- the Sunny Hills and Vernon substations. Since the correctional site will be located between these substations, Gulf has facilities already in place to provide independent alternate electric service.

(See map attached as Exhibit A for location of pertinent facilities.)

12. The Co-Op would be required to remove and relocate lines that are presently on the correctional site and reconstruct additional lines up to and along Highway 279 at an estimated cost of forty-two thousand dollars (\$42,000). In addition, the Co-Op would be required to cross Gulf's lines in order to service the correctional site.

13. Based upon connected demand and monthly electrical consumption information provided to Gulf by the Department, the monthly electric service provided by Gulf is estimated to be twenty-one percent (21%) lower than the Co-Op's, resulting in annual savings to the Department of approximately \$23,027.

14. Gulf Power is better able to provide adequate facilities and reliable electrical service to the correctional institute and work camp than is the Co-Op. Gulf's generation reserves are sufficient to serve the facility without the need for construction of additional capacity within the foreseeable future. Furthermore, as illustrated by the foregoing, the Co-Op cannot provide service to the correctional institute and work camp without uneconomic duplication of Gulf's existing generation, transmission, and distribution services.

15. Gulf Power is better able to expand services in the area to reliably and economically meet the area's future needs for electric service than is the Co-Op.

WHEREFORE, Gulf Power Company hereby files this petition with this Commission for an order declaring the Department of Corrections correctional institute and work camp site located in Washington County to be territory that should properly be served by Gulf Power Company, not Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc., and enjoining Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc., from serving said correctional institute and work camp site.

DATED this 7th day of September, 1993.

G. EDISON HOLLAND, JR. Fla. Bar No. 261599 JEFFREY A. STONE Fla. Bar No. 325953 TERESA E. LILES Fla. Bar No. 510998 Beggs & Lane P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32576 (904) 432-2451 Attorneys for Gulf Power Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy hereof has been furnished to W. M. Johnson, County Road 21, Kinard, Florida, as the registered agent for Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, by registered U.S. Mail, this 7th day of September, 1993.

TERESA E.

Exhibit A to the petition is an oversized aerial view of the territory in question. Due to its size, it was not included in these pages.

8

.) ·

STATE OF FLORIDA



OFFICE OF COMMISSION CLERK ANN COLE COMMISSION CLERK

Jublic Serbice Commission

Docket No. : 930885-EU

Docket Title: Petition to resolve territorial dispute with Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. by Gulf Power Company

DN 09673-93: EXHIBIT A TO PETITION TO RESOLVE TERRITORIAL DISPUTE- OVERSIZED AERIAL VIEW OF THE TERRITORY IN QUESTION.

[CLK NOTE: MAP PORTION OF TESTIMONY EXHIBIT CAN BE FOUND IN MAPS MICROFILM.]

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850 An Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

PSC Website: http://www.floridapsc.com

Internet E-mail: contact@psc.state.fl.us

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition of Gulf Power Company to Resolve a Territorial Dispute with Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Docket No. 930885-EU U.IG.NAL

ANSWER OF GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. TO PETITION OF GULF POWER COMPANY MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION TO STRIKE

GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. ("GCEC" or "Gulf Coast", or "The Cooperative"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, responds to the petition of GULF POWER COMPANY as follows:

A. The name of the respondent and the respondent's address and its principal place of business is:

> Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. Post Office Box 220 Highway 22 Wewahitchka, FL 32465 (904) 639-2216

B. Notices and communications with respect to this docket should be addressed to:

John H. Haswell, Esquire CHANDLER, LANG & HASWELL, P.A. 211 N.E. 1st Street P. O. Box 23879 Gainesville, FL 32602 (904) 376-5226 Florida Bar No. 162536

J. Patrick Floyd, Esquire 408 Long Avenue Port St. Joe, FL 32456 (904) 227-7413



Hubbard W. Norris, General Manager Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. Post Office Box 220 Highway 22 Wewahitchka, FL 32465 (904) 639-2216

10525 CCT-48

1 1,1 1

C. For answer to the Petition of GULF POWER COMPANY, GULF COAST says as follows with respect to each numbered allegation:

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Admitted.
- 3. Admitted.

4. Admitted that GCEC is a rural electric cooperative subject to the Commission's jurisdiction pursuant to 366.04(2)(e), Florida Statutes, and admitted further that the Public Service Commission has jurisdiction over GCEC for other limited purposes under Chapter 366.

5. Denied. Until 1950 there was no electric service provided to the general area of the dispute in south Washington County. Since Gulf Power Company refused to serve the area, GCEC began providing service in 1950 and constructed facilities to provide electric service on SR77 and CR279 (Vernon Road) adjacent to the disputed area in 1950, and GCEC has been providing such electric service from that time until the present.

6. Admitted that the Department of Corrections has developed plans to construct phase 1 of a correctional institute in the disputed area generally adjacent to SR77 and CR279 in south Washington County. Admitted further that in accordance with DOC requirements, the land on which the correctional institution is to be built was contributed by the County Commission of Washington County. Denied, however, that Gulf Coast "pledged" \$45,000 to the

. <u>. .</u>....

county, but admitted that Gulf Coast has already granted and paid \$45,000 to Washington County to allow the county to complete the purchase of the land on which the Department of Corrections will construct the facilities. The grant by Gulf Coast is to advance the rural development of Washington County, to assist the local economy by providing funds to local government to bring new economic enterprises to a depressed area of the state, in the public interest. (See Exhibit "A", attached hereto.)

7. Without knowledge as to the date that Gulf Power made a proposal to the Department of Corrections, but admitted that on or about May 13, 1993, Gulf Coast discovered that Gulf Power had made such a proposal without informing the Cooperative.

8. Without knowledge as to the specifics of the allegations stated in Gulf Power's petition, but admitted that the Department of Corrections delegated its authority to state a preference for the electric utility provider to the County Commission of Washington County, thereby appointing the County Commission as the DOC's agent to select the utility which would provide electric service to the correctional institute.

9. Denied that GCEC and the County Commissioner's of Washington County have been involved in "negotiations," but admitted that GCEC offered a grant of \$45,000 to the County Commission of Washington County to assist the County Commission in purchasing the land for the correctional facility, in the same

•• *

fashion that GCEC made such a grant to the County Commission of Gulf County in 1990, for rural development.

10. GCEC denies Gulf Power's characterization of the Department of Corrections "acquiescence" to the County Commission's choice of electric provider. Admitted, however, that the Department of Corrections authorized the County Commissioner's of Washington County to select the electric service provider, and that the Washington County Commission selected Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

11. The Cooperative denies Gulf Power's allegation that the correctional institute will be "strategically" located anywhere, but admitted that the correctional institute is planned to be constructed adjacent to the intersection of SR77 and CR279, and further admitted that Gulf Power had distribution facilities on both SR77 and CR279, which facilities were constructed 20 years after the Cooperative's facilities on said road were constructed. The Cooperative is without knowledge as to whether or not Gulf Power had facilities in place adequate to provide independent alternate electric service from its Sunny Hills substation or Further admitted that the Cooperative has Vernon substation. electric facilities on the site itself, not merely adjacent thereto, but physically crossing over the proposed correctional institute site. On Gulf Power's Exhibit A, Gulf Power failed to

· · · ·

identify the "existing 10 line" as an existing facility of Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

12. Denied. The Cooperative cannot be required to remove and relocate any existing lines unless the Cooperative were to agree to do so voluntarily, or unless its facilities and easements were condemned in a properly filed eminent domain action. Consequently, regardless of which utility were to provide service to the site, in order to accommodate the Department of Corrections, the Cooperative would have to be compensated for the removal and relocation of its existing facilities, unless the Cooperative voluntarily waived that reimbursement. In addition, Gulf Power's allegation that the Cooperative would be required to cross Gulf's lines to provide service to the correction site is misleading. Gulf first Power was the utility that crossed over the Cooperative's lines in 1971. The Cooperative's facilities currently in place pre-existed any facilities of Gulf Power. The Cooperative is merely changing the access location to the property from its existing access off of CR279 to the point of service preferred by the Department of Corrections.

- 13. Denied.
- 14. Denied.
- 15. Denied.

., · · . · ·

MOTION TO DISMISS

GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., by and through its undersigned attorneys, respectfully requests that the Commission dismiss the petition of GULF POWER COMPANY for failure to state a cause of action and for lack of jurisdiction, and in support thereof says:

1. Gulf Power's petition admits that the Department of Corrections, acting through its agent either directly or by delegation, has selected, that is, indicated a customer preference for, Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

2. Gulf Power's petition fails to allege that its cost to provide the facilities necessary to serve the disputed area would be greater or lesser than the Cooperative's.

3. Gulf Power's petition fails to allege any ultimate facts to support its legal conclusion that Gulf Power is better able to expand services in the area to reliably and economically meet the area's future needs.

4. Gulf Power has failed to allege any ultimate facts to establish a claim that service to the disputed area by the Cooperative would in any fashion whatsoever result in the uneconomic duplication of distribution, transmission or generation facilities.

5. The Florida Public Service Commission has no jurisdiction over the rates of the rural electric cooperatives or municipal electric utilities. In addition, the Florida Public Service Commission has, as a matter of policy, refused to consider the rates charged by competing utilities in resolving a territorial dispute, and even if the Commission did have such a policy or legal authority, it would require a finding by the Commission that the rates of a particular utility were unreasonable, unjust, or unduly discriminatory.

WHEREFORE, Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. respectfully requests that the Commission enter an order dismissing the petition of Gulf Power Company.

MOTION TO STRIKE

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc., by and through its undersigned attorneys, respectfully requests that the Commission strike paragraph 13 of the petition of Gulf Power Company for the following reasons:

1. In paragraph 13 of Gulf's petition, Gulf alleges that if Gulf were to provide service to the Department of Corrections that the DOC would save approximately \$23,027. Not only is that claimed savings incorrect, but also it is totally irrelevant to the resolution of a territorial dispute.

2. The Commission has no jurisdiction over the rates of rural electric cooperatives or of municipal electric utilities. In addition, the Florida Public Service Commission has, as a matter of policy, refused to consider the rates charged by competing utilities in resolving a territorial dispute, and even if the Commission did have such a policy or legal authority, it would require a finding by the Commission that the rates of a particular utility were unreasonable, unjust, or unduly discriminatory.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to Rule 1.140(f) Florida Rules of Civil Procedures, Gulf Coast respectfully requests that paragraph 13 of the Gulf petition be stricken on the grounds that it is immaterial, impertinent, and irrelevant.

Respectfully submitted,

John H. Haswell, Esquire CHANDLER, LANG & HASWELL, P.A. 211 N.E. 1st Street P. O. Box 23879 Gainesville, FL 32602 (904) 376-5226 Florida Bar No. 162536

Cull w/ Hun Him

J. Patrick Floyd, Esquire 408 Long Avenue Port St. Joe, FL 32456 (904) 227-7413 Florida Bar No.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to G. EDISON HOLLAND, JR., ESQUIRE, P. O. Box 12950, Pensacola, FL 32576 and Legal Division, FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, 101 E. Gaines Street, Tallahassee, FL 32301, by U.S. Mail this <u>First</u> day of <u>October</u>, 1993.

VI. HELGING Of Counsel

PAGE.02

WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

POST OFFICE BOX 647 • CHIPLEY, FLORIDA 32428-0647 TELEPHONE: (904) 638-6200

EARNESTINE MILLER Clock and Accountant (904) \$38-\$333

. . .

September 28, 1993

H.W. Norris, General Manager Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. Post Office Box 220 Wewahitchka, Florida 32465

Re: Washington County Prison Facility

Dear Mr. Norris:

This letter is to advise that the Board of County Commissioners of Washington County appreciate your cooperative's grant in the amount of \$45,000.00 to Washington County to make possible our purchase of the future site of Washington Correctional Institute. Your generous contribution to our County has allowed us to qualify for placement of a prison facility in Washington County. The Board of County Commissioners and citizens of our County appreciate your interest in our growth and development and assisting us in providing additional employment opportunities for our citizens.

As you know we have chosen Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative to provide electrical service to the facility because of your interest in our County's future growth and prosperity. On behalf of the Board of County Commissioners and the citizens of Washington County we wish to extend our heart felt thanks and appreciation.

1

Very truly yours, Lengy Carl

Lenzy Corbin, Chairman Board of County Commissioners

LC/nwh

FPSC Docket No. 930885 -EU

- I II II A II / Amaron

EXHIBIT A 1 PAGE 1 1016

JIM MORRIS District One

DUYLE TAYLOR District Two

CHARLES BROCK

LENZY CORBIN District Four

HULAN CARTER District Five

WILLIAM S. HOWELL, JR. Anormy

Administrator
Holland & Knight

315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 600 | Tallahassee, FL 32301 | T 850.224.7000 | F 850.224.8832 Holland & Knight LLP | www.hklaw.com

D. Bruce May, Jr. (850) 425-5607 bruce.may@hklaw.com

April 18, 2018

Via E-Mail (srg@beggslane.com) and U.S. Mail

Steven R. Griffin, Esq. Beggs & Lane 501 Commendencia Street Pensacola, Florida 32502

Re: Service to Lift Station Steve Dear Mr. Oriffin:

Our law firm represents Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("GCEC"). GCEC, along with your client Gulf Power, are parties to a territorial agreement (the "Territorial Agreement"), which was approved by and merged into the following orders of the Public Service Commission: PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU and PSC-01-0891A-PAA-EU (collectively the "Territorial Orders"). Copies of the Territorial Agreement and the Territorial Orders are attached as Composite Exhibit "A". The reason for this letter is to confirm a meeting with you and your client to resolve an apparent violation of the Territorial Orders before the matter advances into a full-fledged territorial dispute. Such meeting is envisioned by the terms of the Territorial Agreement which requires GCEC and Gulf Power to make "every effort" to resolve a service territory dispute before moving forward at the Public Service Commission. We would propose that the meeting take place a week from today on April 25th, at GCEC's offices in Wewahitchka, Florida. In advance of the meeting we believe it would be helpful to summarize GCEC's concerns.

As you know, the Territorial Agreement sets forth enforceable "Procedures and Guidelines", which prescribe in detail how a utility is to respond to a request for service in order to avoid uneconomic duplication of facilities. Whether the provision of service to a particular point of delivery by one utility would result in uneconomic duplication of the other utility's facilities depends on whether "there is a significant difference in the Cost of Service for each of the utilities. The likelihood of there being a significant difference in the Cost of Service is primarily a function of the size of the Load and the difference in distances between the Point of Delivery and the Existing Facilities of each Utility." § 2.1, Territorial Agreement. Specifically, the

Anchorage | Atlanta | Austin | Boston | Charlotte | Chicago | Dallas | Denver | Fort Lauderdale | Houston | Jacksonville | Lakeland Los Angeles | Miami | New York | Orlando | Portland | San Francisco | Stamford | Tallahassee | Tampa | Tysons Washington, D.C. | West Palm Beach



Steve Griffin April 18, 2018 Page 2

to meet a series of explicit conditions before providing the requested service. If those conditions are not met, the utility is to direct the prospective customer to the other utility.

Here, the apparent violation of the Territorial Orders arises out of a request for electric service to a lift station which GCEC received in mid-December 2017. After receiving the request, and as required by the Territorial Orders, GCEC reviewed the "customer load requirements, proximity to existing facilities of both utilities, capabilities of the existing facilities, and the cost to provide the requested service." Order No.: PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU, p. 2. GCEC's review shows that the lift station had a load requirement of 112.5 kVa, and the construction needed to serve the lift station would predominantly require GCEC to add new pole line. GCEC's review also shows that: (i) its cost of service for the lift station is \$17,393.28 (see Exhibit "B"); (ii) its existing facilities are 7,920 feet from the lift station; and (iii) Gulf Power's existing facilities are 11,088 feet from the lift station. Because GCEC's existing facilities are 3,168 feet closer to the point of delivery than Gulf Power's facilities, GCEC has the unrestricted right to serve the lift station under Section 2.2(b) of the Territorial Agreement. That section confirms that GCEC can proceed to serve the lift station without any notice or cost of service comparison since "the construction required is predominantly the addition of new pole line and [GCEC's] existing facilities are no more than 1,500 feet further from the point of delivery than the existing facilities of [Gulf Power]." Again, GCEC easily met this condition because its existing facilities are not "further" from the point of delivery than Gulf Power's facilities; in fact GCEC's facilities are over 3,000 feet closer than those of Gulf Power.

Even if the cost of service comparison provisions in Section 2.3 of the Territorial Agreement were to somehow apply (which they do not), such comparison shows that Gulf Power's cost of service for the lift station would be significantly more than GCEC's cost of service. Thus, service by Gulf Power would "trigger uneconomic duplication" that the Territorial Orders were designed to avoid. Order No. PSC-01-00891-PAA-EU, p. 3. Gulf Power's own calculations show its cost of service for the lift station is \$76,000. See Exhibit "C". By comparison, GCEC's cost of service is \$17,393.28. See Exhibit "B". Under the test set forth in Section 2.3(d)(i), Gulf Power's cost of service exceeds GCEC's cost of service by \$58,606.72, which under the Territorial Agreement means that the cost differential is not *de minimis*. Moreover, under the test set forth in Section 2.3(d)(ii), Gulf Power's cost of service by \$337%, which far outstrips the "25% threshold" in the Territorial Orders and presents a prima facie case of uneconomic duplication.

But it now appears that Gulf Power is attempting to serve this lift station despite the requirements of the Territorial Orders. GCEC has the right under those Territorial Orders to serve the lift station and is astounded that Gulf Power would suggest that GCEC has waived that right.¹ GCEC is also deeply concerned that Gulf Power appears to be taking steps to extend its

¹ <u>After</u> GCEC received the request to serve the lift station in December 2017, GCEC learned that Gulf Power had apparently received a competing request to serve the same location either in late October or November of 2017. However, Gulf Power never provided GCEC "all relevant information about the request" as required in Section 2.3(a). Instead, a Gulf Power employee sent a nebulous, two-sentence email to a GCEC employee which mentioned in passing that Gulf Power had received a request to serve a "new lift station" without providing any indication of the county in which the lift station was to be located. That email provided none of the relevant information that GCEC would need to determine whether its facilities would be uneconomically duplicated if the service request were honored. It cannot be the basis for claiming that GCEC waived its right to serve.

Steve Griffin April 18, 2018 Page 3

facilities and incur significant costs while the parties have been engaged in serious discussions over this issue. See photos which appear to show Gulf Power crews installing new poles and lines along SR 388 and Gulf Power's electronic locate requests, which are attached as Composite Exhibit "D". Our client hopes that is not happening as it would directly contravene the Commission's directives in the Territorial Orders, and conflict with prior written assurances that Gulf Power has given. It also harkens back to "race to serve" practices that have long been proscribed by the Commission and the Florida Supreme Court. See, e.g., Gulf Coast Elec. Coop., Inc. v. Clark, 674 So. 2d 120 (Fla. 1996); and, Gulf Power Co. v. Fla. Pub. Serv. Comm'n, 480 So. 2d 97 (Fla. 1985).

As I mentioned, GCEC is available to meet with you and your client next Wednesday in a good faith effort to try to resolve this matter. I look forward to hearing back from you on whether that meeting date is acceptable. Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

un

D. Bruce May, Jr.

DBM:kjg Enclosures

cc: Braulio Baez Keith Hetrick, Esq. Mary Anne Helton, Esq. Thomas Ballinger John Bartley Patrick Floyd, Esq.

COMPOSITE EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition to resolve territorial dispute with GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. by GULF POWER COMPANY. DOCKET NO. 930885-EU ORDER NO. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU ISSUED: April 9, 2001

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

J. TERRY DEASON BRAULIO L. BAEZ MICHAEL A. PALECKI

NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER APPROVING PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES FOR AVOIDING FURTHER UNECONOMIC DUPLICATION OF FACILITIES

BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

Pursuant to Section 366.04(2)(d), Florida Statues, we have jurisdiction "to approve territorial agreements between and among rural electric cooperatives, municipal electric utilities, and other electric utilities under its jurisdiction." In Order No. PSC-98-0174-FOF-EU issued January 28, 1998, we directed Gulf Power Company and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc., to establish detailed procedures and guidelines addressing subtransmission, distribution, and requests for new service which are enforceable with each respective utility. A joint submission of Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication of Facilities was filed on July 24, 2000. On September 15, 2000, we received a letter requesting a 90-day extension for purposes of amending the July 24, 2000 filing. On January 26, 2001, pursuant to Section 366.04(2)(d), Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-6.0440, Florida Administrative Code, Gulf Power Company and Gulf Coast

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04323 APR-95

20180125-GCEC-POD-4-5

FPSC-RECERDS/REPORTING

Electric Cooperative Inc., filed an Amended Joint Submission of Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication of Facilities. A copy of the Procedures and Guidelines is included as Attachment A to this Order and is incorporated by reference herein.

In interpreting our authority to review territorial agreements, the Florida Supreme Court has held that the appropriate standard is the "no-detriment test." <u>Utilities Comm'n of City of New Smyrna v. FPSC</u>, 469 So. 2d 731 (Fla. 1985). The Court stated that PSC approval should be based on the effect the territorial agreement will have on all customers in the territory, not just whether transferred customers will benefit. <u>See id.</u> at 732. "For PSC approval, any customer transfer in a proposed territorial agreement must not harm the public." <u>Id.</u> at 733.

Rule 25-6.0440(2), Florida Administrative Code, describes the standards of approval of territorial agreements as follows:

(2) Standards for Approval. In approving territorial agreements, the Commission may consider, but not be limited to consideration of:

- (a) the reasonableness of the purchase price of any facilities being transferred;
- (b) the reasonable likelihood that the agreement, in and of itself, will not cause a decrease in the reliability of electrical service to the existing or future ratepayers of any utility party to the agreement; and
- (c) the reasonable likelihood that the agreement will eliminate existing or potential uneconomic duplication of facilities.

The above standards were adopted to ensure that the general body of ratepayers is not harmed by the approval of territorial agreements.

In this case, the proposed Amended Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication of Facilities is the first territorial agreement between the parties. Section II of the proposed agreement outlines a utility's response to a request for service. Upon a request for service, a utility will review

customer load requirements, proximity to existing facilities of both utilities, capabilities of the existing facilities, and the costs to provide the required service. We find that a comparative analysis such as the one required by the proposed agreement will avoid future uneconomic duplication of facilities. Section III of the proposed agreement ensures that customer reliability and power quality will be considered in each request for new service. Section IV ensures utilities will not seek to serve customers currently being provided service by the other utility. Section V of the proposed agreement ensures that distribution system upgrades and extensions will not be put in place for speculative future loads.

The proposed territorial agreement does not establish a traditional "lines-on-the-ground" territorial boundary. However, the proposal addresses all the necessary standards required for approval. When necessary to compare cost of service, the agreement provides a test of two alternatives. First, if the difference between the costs of service of the two companies is less than \$15,000, that amount is to be considered *de minimis*, and the customer's choice of provider may prevail. This *de minimis* standard was derived from the Florida Supreme Court's decision in this docket in <u>Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. v. Susan F.</u> <u>Clark</u>, et al., 674 So.2d 120 (Fla. 1996). However, the Supreme Court's opinion does not require that the *de minimis* standard be the only criterion for evaluating uneconomic duplication.

If the foregoing de minimis test is exceeded, the agreement provides an alternative comparison of the companies' respective costs of service. If the differential is not more than 25%, the utility with the higher cost of service may provide service according to the agreement, if chosen by the customer. This provision provides a reasonable means for establishing the limit of economic duplication. In the context of a project where there is a significant load associated with the new service, the level of investment necessary by either party would be substantial, as would be the revenues provided by that customer. In such a case, a differential of \$15,000 would likely not be a meaningful measure. Instead, the 25% threshold provides a reasonable measure of the outer limit of economic duplication and therefore the trigger for uneconomic duplication. It takes into account load and other factors that are a part of the determination of uneconomic

duplication, while preserving the customer's ability to initially choose his or her provider. We find the agreement to be in the best interests of the companies and their ratepayers, and we expect the agreement to prevent uneconomic duplication of services, as intended.

Because of the unique characteristics of the proposed territorial agreement, we believe the parties should file a report addressing the effectiveness of the agreement in avoiding future uneconomic duplication and ensuring reliable service. The report should be filed on a 12-month basis for at least the next two years. These reports will provide the appropriate basis to determine whether the proposed territorial agreement is effective.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the Amended Joint Submission of Procedures and Guidelines for Avoiding Further Uneconomic Duplication of Facilities, attached and incorporated by reference herein, between Gulf Power Company and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative Inc., is approved. It is further

ORDERED that Gulf Power Company and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative Inc. shall file a report on a 12 month basis for at least the next two years, addressing the effectiveness of the agreement in avoiding uneconomic duplication and ensuring reliable service. It is further

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings" attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that in the event this Order becomes final, this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>9th</u> day of <u>April</u>, <u>2001</u>.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Dire

Division of Records and Reporting

(SEAL)

DDH

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on <u>April 30, 2001</u>.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition to resolve territorial dispute with GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. by GULF POWER COMPANY. DOCKET NO. 930885-EU ORDER NO. PSC-01-0891A-PAA-EU ISSUED: March 26, 2002

AMENDATORY ORDER

On April 9, 2001, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU, in Docket No. 930885-EU. After issuance, it was noted that due to a scrivener's error, Attachment A, which was incorporated into the Order by reference, was not attached. To correct this error, the Order shall be amended to include Attachment A, which is incorporated by reference. Order No. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU is affirmed in all other respects.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Order No. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU is hereby amended as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that Order No. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU is affirmed in all other respects.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>26th</u> day of <u>March</u>, <u>2002</u>.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services

(SEAL)

KNE

DOCUMENT & MOST -PATE

03440 HAR 268 Page: 011

20180125-GCEC-POD-4-11

1

PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES FOR AVOIDING FURTHER UNECONOMIC DUPLICATION OF FACILITIES

It is expected that the utilization of these procedures and guidelines will help Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("GCEC") and Gulf Power Company ("Gulf Power") avoid further uneconomic duplication of the facilities of each other, in accordance with the policy and rules of the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission"). Accordingly, these procedures and guidelines are intended for use by the parties to assist in determining whether or not they should agree to honor the request for electric service by a Customer or should otherwise proceed with the construction of additional facilities. If, by constructing the facilities to provide service to a Customer requesting such service, there is a reasonable expectation that uneconomic duplication of facilities would occur, a Utility may deny service to the Customer and direct the Customer to request service from the Utility whose provision of such service would not be expected to result in uneconomic duplication.

SECTION I: DEFINITIONS

- 1.1 <u>Cost of Service</u>. As used herein, the term "Cost of Service" shall mean the initial cost of the construction (including fully-Loaded labor, materials, engineering and supervision overheads, etc.) of the modification or addition of facilities required to provide requested service to the Customer less any initial payments by the Customer as a contribution in aid to construction.
- 1.2 <u>Customer</u>. As used herein, the term "Customer" shall mean any person or entity requesting electrical service and who is intending to be responsible for or who is acting on behalf of the intended responsible party for a building or other facility (e.g. electromechanical equipment, contiguous group of premises, etc.) requiring such electrical service.
- 1.3 <u>Existing Facilities</u>. As used herein, the term "Existing Facilities" shall mean the Utility's nearest facilities that are of a sufficient size, character (number of phases, primary voltage level, etc.) and accessibility so as to be capable of serving the anticipated Load of a Customer without requiring any significant modification of such facilities.
- 1.4 Load. As used herein, the term "Load" shall mean the connected Load stated is terms of kilovolt-amperes (kVA) of the building or facility for which electrical service is being requested.
- 1.5 Point of Delivery. As used herein, the term "Point of Delivery" shall mean that geographical location where the Utility's anticipated facilities that would be used to deliver electrical power to a Customer begin to constitute what is commonly referred to as the service drop or service lateral, i.e. it is the point at which the Utility's primary or secondary facilities would terminate and the service drop or service lateral would commence. For a facility with multiple meter points, "Point of Delivery" shall mean that

.

geographical location at which the primary circuit to serve the facility begins to branch out into sub-circuits to reach the various meter points.

1.6 <u>Utility</u>. As used herein, the term "Utility" shall mean either GCEC or Gulf Power, each of which is an electric Utility under the provisions of Chapter 366 of the Florida Statutes having electrical facilities within the region of a Customer's location so as to be considered by that Customer as a prospective provider of electric energy delivery services.

SECTION II: AGREEING TO PROVIDE REQUESTED SERVICE

- 2.1 Whether or not a Utility's provision of electric service to a Customer would result in further uneconomic duplication of the other Utility's facilities is primarily dependent upon whether or not there is a significant difference in the Cost of Service for each of the utilities. The likelihood of there being a significant difference in the Cost of Service is primarily a function of the size of the Load and the difference in distances between the Point of Delivery and the Existing Facilities of each Utility. Consequently, upon receiving a bona-fide request for service from a Customer, a Utility may agree to provide the requested service if the conditions of either Section 2.2 or Section 2.3 below are met. Otherwise, the Utility should direct the Customer to request service from the other Utility.
- 2.2 Various Load and distance criteria under which a Utility may agree to provide service are as follows:
 - (a) For any size Load where the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are within 1,000 feet of the Point of Delivery or are no more than 1,000 feet further from the Point of Delivery than the Existing Facilities of the other Utility.
 - (b) For a Load greater than 100 kVA where:
 - the construction required is predominantly the addition of new pole line and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are no more than 1,500 feet further from the Point of Delivery than the Existing Facilities of the other Utility, or
 - (ii) the construction required is predominantly the upgrade of existing pole line (e.g. phase additions, reconductoring, etc.) and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are within 3,000 feet of the Point of Delivery.
 - (c) For a Load greater than 500 kVA where:
 - the construction required is predominantly the addition of new pole line and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are no more than 2,000 feet further from the Point of Delivery than the Existing Facilities of the other Utility, or

- (ii) the construction required is predominantly the upgrade of existing pole line (e.g. phase additions, reconductoring, etc.) and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are within 4,000 feet of the Point of Delivery.
- (d) For a Load greater than 1000 kVA where:
 - the construction required is predominantly the addition of new pole line and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are no more than 2,500 feet further from the Point of Delivery than the Existing Facilities of the other Utility, or
 - (ii) the construction required is predominantly the upgrade of existing pole line (e.g. phase additions, reconductoring, etc.) and the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are within 5,000 feet of the Point of Delivery.
- 2.3 In any instance where the-Load and distance criteria of Section 2.2 are not met but the requested Utility believes that its Cost of Service would not be significantly more than that of the other Utility, the following procedure shall be used to determine if the requested Utility may agree to provide service:
 - (a) The requested Utility is to notify the other Utility of the Customer's request, providing all relevant information about the request.
 - (b) If the other Utility believes that its facilities would be uneconomically duplicated if the request is honored, it has five (5) working days from receipt of notice to request a meeting or other method to be conducted within ten (10) working days for the purpose of comparing each Utility's Cost of Service. Absent such a request or upon notification from the other Utility of no objection to the requested Utility's providing the service, the requested Utility may agree to provide service.
 - (c) At the meeting scheduled pursuant to 2.3(b) or in some other mutually acceptable method, each Utility is to present to the other Utility its estimated Cost of Service, including all supporting details (type and amount of equipment, labor rates, overheads, etc.). For Loads greater than 1,000 kVA, information as to the percentage of substation and feeder capacity that will be utilized and the amount and nature of the cost allocations of such utilization included in the Cost of Service are to be provided.
 - (d) Upon agreement as to each Utility's Cost of Service, the requested Utility may agree to provide service to the Customer if either of the following conditions are met:
 - The requested Utility's Cost of Service does not exceed the other Utility's Cost of Service by more than \$15,000.
 - (ii) The requested Utility's Cost of Service does not exceed the other Utility's Cost of Service by more than twenty-five percent (25%).

(e) Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Section 2.3, no Utility shall agree to provide service to a Customer under the provisions of this Section 2.3 if the Load is less than or equal to 1000 kVA, the requested Utility's Existing Facilities are further than 10,000 feet from the Point of Delivery, and the other Utility's Existing Facilities are located in a roadway or other right-of-way abutting the Customer's premises.

2.4 The requested Utility bears the primary responsibility in determining whether or not the provisions of Section 2.2 or Section 2.3 above have been met or if it otherwise believes that service can be provided to a Customer without uneconomic duplication of the other Utility's facilities. Should the other Utility dispute such determinations and believe that uneconomic duplication of its facilities will occur or has occurred, every effort should be made by the two utilities to resolve the dispute, up to and including mediation before the Commission Staff and, if necessary, expedited hearing before the Commission. During a period of unresolved dispute, the requested Utility may provide temporary service to the Customer or may elect to request the other Utility to provide temporary service to the Utility's position in the dispute as to which Utility will provide permanent service.

SECTION III: CUSTOMER RELIABILITY AND POWER QUALITY

While one Utility may have existing distribution facilities nearer to a Customer's Point of Delivery than the other Utility, reliability of service and power quality to the individual Customers are important. In the application of the provisions of Section II above, engineering criteria must be considered in the decision as to whether the requested Utility should agree to serve the Customer. Substation distance from the Point of Delivery and Load capacity of impacted substations in each case should be considered. Wire size and its capacity and capabilities should also be considered. All other system engineering design and criteria should be reviewed in each Utility's facilities.

SECTION IV: CUSTOMERS PRESENTLY SERVED BY ANOTHER UTILITY:

A Utility shall not construct nor maintain electric distribution lines for the provision of electric service to any Customer then currently being provided electric service by the other Utility. If, however, a Customer that has historically required single-phase service disconnects and the new Customer locating there requires three-phase service, Section II above may apply.

SECTION V: DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM EXTENSIONS & UPGRADES

A Utility will, from time to time, have distribution system extensions or upgrades necessary and prudent from an engineering standpoint for reliability and Customer service. While recognizing this, these extensions or upgrades should be performed only when necessary

.

for these reasons and not be put in place to position the Utility for future anticipated development. These system upgrades are defined to be capital projects justified and approved for construction following a Utility's normal administrative budgetary channels and procedures, and documentation for such will be provided to the other Utility upon written request. Connecting points on a Utility's distribution system must be for reliability and coordination purposes only. The connecting distribution line may not serve Customers within 1,000 feet of the Existing Facilities of the other Utility that were in place at the time of that system upgrade.

EXHIBIT B

	Gulf Power	Gulf Coast	Gulf Coast
Materials:	\$ 44,000.00	\$ 24,356.70	\$ 30,326.06
Labor:	\$ 61,000.00	\$ 10,049.98	\$ 12,517.83
Overheads:	\$ 51,000.00	\$ 32,805.92	\$ 33,601.99
Subtotal:	\$156,000.00	\$ 67,212.60	\$ 76,445.88
Cost per mile:	\$ 74,285.71	\$ 44,808.40	\$ 50,963.92
Difference from Gulf Power: % Difference from Gulf Power:	\$ - 0%	\$ (88,787.40) -111%	\$ (79,554.12) -99%
Less CIAC:	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 58,052.60	\$ 59,052.60
Cost of Service Per TA:	\$ 76,000.00	\$ 9,160.00	\$ 17,393.28
Difference from Gulf Power: % Difference from Gulf Power: % Exceeds GCEC:	\$- 0% 337%	\$ (66,840.00) -88% -47%	\$ (58,606.72) -77% 0%

EXHIBIT C



Memo

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative
Gulf Power
Beggs and Lane
March 12, 2018
Highway 388 Lift Station

This memo is in response to Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative's request for a cost comparison to provide service to 1900 West Highway 388 located on parcel 26597-000-000. Gulf Power's estimated costs are listed below. These costs are subject to change based upon a variety of factors including actual labor and material costs.

\$44,000
\$61,000
\$51,000
\$156,000
\$80,000
\$76,000

20180125-GCEC-POD-4-20

COMPOSITE EXHIBIT D







-----Original Message-----From: Robert Logan Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2018 7:49 AM To: John Bartley <jbartley@gcec.com> Cc: Peyton Gleaton <pgleaton@gcec.com> Subject: Gulf Power Poles Hwy 388

Locate request was submitted 04/04/18 by Gulf Power.

-----Original Message-----From: Robert Logan Sent: Monday, April 09, 2018 7:14 AM To: Peyton Gleaton Subject: FW: Seq# 15: 094807853 for GCE560 - Gulf Power Poles Hwy 388

-----Original Message-----From: irthnet@callsunshine.com [mailto:irthnet@callsunshine.com] Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 11:12 AM To: Robert Logan Subject: Seq# 15: 094807853 for GCE560

From: IRTHNet At: 04/04/18 12:11 PM Seq No: 15

GCE560 13425 CALL SUNSHINE 04/04/18 12:11:43ET 094807853-000 GRID Ticket : 094807853 Rev:000 Taken: 04/04/18 12:03ET Old Tkt: 058808859 Taken: 02/27/18 13:36ET Oper: LIS

State: FL Cnty: BAY GeoPlace: PANAMA CITY BEACH CallerPlace: PANAMA CITY BEACH Subdivision:

Address : Street : W SR 388 Cross 1 : BURNT MILL CREEK RD Within 1/4 mile: Y

Locat: BEGIN AT GULF POWER'S SUBSTATION, ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE ROAD AT POLE #244302, LOCATE GOING EAST FOR 2.5 MILES IN THE RIGHT OF WAY FOR NEW POLE LINE TO BE INSTALLED.

1

Remarks : REF #191218 THIS TICKET REPLACES TICKET #058808859 LISABOTTOMS WEB 2018/04/04 12:11:09

*** LOOKUP BY MANUAL ***

Grids: 3019A8542A3019A8542B3019A8543A3019A8543B3019A8543CGrids: 3019A8543D3019A8544A3019A8544B3019A8544C3019A8544DGrids: 3019A8545D3019B8542A3019B8542B3019B8543A3019B8543BGrids: 3019B8543C3019B8543D3019B8544A3019B8544B3019B8543BGrids: 3019B8543C3019B8543D3019B8544A3019B8544B3019B8544CGrids: 3019B8544D3019B8545D3019C8542A3019C8542B3019C8543AGrids: 3019C8543B3019C8543C3019C8543D3019C8544A3019C8544BGrids: 3019C8544C3019C8544D3019C8543D3019C8544A3019C8544B

Work date: 04/06/18 Time: 23:59ET Hrs notc: 059 Category: 3 Duration: 02 MONS Due Date : 04/06/18 Time: 23:59ET Exp Date : 05/04/18 Time: 23:59ET Work type: INSTALLING 54 NEW POLES Boring: Y White-lined: N Ug/Oh/Both: U Machinery: Y Depth: 7 FT Permits: N N/A Done for : GULF POWER COMPANY

Company : GULF POWER COMPANY Type: CONT Co addr : 1230 15TH ST E City : PANAMA CITY State: FL ZIp: 32405 Caller : LISA BOTTOMS Phone: 850-872-3203 Contact : MEGAN WARE Phone: 850-872-3315 BestTime: 7-4 Fax : 850-872-3359 Email : LBOTTOMS@SOUTHERNCO.COM

Submitted: 04/04/18 12:03ET Oper: LIS Chan: WEB Mbrs: BAY835 GCE560 GP289 LS1104 MDC933 SB2186 SBF30 SL1086 WFG362

 From:
 Steven R. Griffin

 To:
 Stone, Jeff A.; Smith, Wendell E.; Eletcher, Jim R.; Collins, Adrianne

 Cc:
 Sims, Sandy (Gulf); Henderson, Holly; Alexander, Rhonda J.

 Subject:
 FW: Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

 Date:
 Thursday, April 19, 2018 4:47:27 PM

 Attachments:
 Image001.png Gulf Power Response to GCEC April 18 Correspondence.pdf

From: Steven R. Griffin
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 4:41 PM
To: 'bruce.may@hklaw.com' <bruce.may@hklaw.com>
Cc: bbaez@psc.state.fl.us; khetrick@psc.state.fl.us; mhelton@psc.state.fl.us;
tballing@psc.state.fl.us; jbartley@gcec.com; jpatrickfloyd@jpatrickfloyd.com
Subject: Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Mr. May:

On behalf of Gulf Power Company, I have attached a response to your correspondence dated April 18, 2018.

Sincerely,

Steve Griffin

E

STEVEN R. GRIFFIN ATTORNEY AT LAW

BEGGS & LANE, RLLP

501 Commendencia Street | Pensacola, Florida 32502 Phone: (850) 432-2451 | Fax: (850) 469-3331 srg@beggslane.com beggslane.com[beggslane.com]

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is being sent by or on behalf of a lawyer. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message.

Unless expressly provided that the advice ("the advice") contained in the above message ("this message") is intended to constitute written tax advice within the meaning of Section 10.37 of IRS Circular 230, the sender intends by this message to communicate general information for discussion purposes only, and you should not, therefore, interpret the advice to be written tax advice. The sender will conclude that you have understood and acknowledged this important cautionary notice unless you communicate to the sender any questions you may have in a direct electronic reply to this message.

20180125-GCEC-POD-4-27

ATTORNEY-CLIENT CONFIDENTIAL PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

From:	Smith, Wendell E.	
To:	Collins, Adrianne	
Subject:	FW: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W	
Date:	Monday, January 8, 2018 5:06:19 PM	

FYI.....

Wendell E. Smith Gulf Power – Customer Service and Sales 850-444-6382 – Office 678-316-9275 - Cell

From: Sims, Sandy (Gulf)
Sent: Monday, January 8, 2018 2:53 PM
To: Griffin, Steven R. (Beggs & Lane) <srg@beggslane.com>
Cc: Smith, Wendell E. <WEESMITH@southernco.com>
Subject: FW: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

From: Rogers, Joshua R.
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2018 2:32 PM
To: Sims, Sandy (Gulf) <<u>SESims@southernco.com</u>>
Cc: Scarborough, Shelley Rockco <<u>SRSCARBO@southernco.com</u>>
Subject: FW: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Sandy,

Just received this email and its in reference to the lift station that St. Joe is installing. Since we are intending on serving this load and notified GCEC of the customer request (without a response from GCEC), what do I need to do to respnd, if any, to Peyton's email?

Thanks,

Josh

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com]
Sent: Monday, January 8, 2018 2:09 PM
To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>>
Cc: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>>
Subject: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Joshua,

Please accept this email as notice that a consumer has requested Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative provide power to their proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W in Bay County, pursuant to section 2.3(a) of our agreement. Extension of our lines to serve this customer would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax From: Sims, Sandy (Gulf) To: Steven R. Griffin Cc: Rogers, Joshua R.; Scarborough, Shelley Rockco Subject: FW: Lift Station Service Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 3:44:45 PM Attachments: Image001.png image002.png image003.png image004,png image005.png

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 2:40 PM
To: Sims, Sandy (Gulf) <SFSims@southernco.com>
Cc: Precise, Bridget (Bridget.Precise@joe.com) <Bridget.Precise@joe.com>
Subject: RE: Lift Station Service

Dear Ms. Sims:

As a result of a request from Bay County on December 14, 2017, GCEC agreed to serve the subject second lift station and provided initial information regarding service. If your reference to the e-mail from Joshua Rogers to me on October 20, 2017 is to serve as a formal request that complies with and provides Notice under the PROCEDCURES AND GUIDELINES FOR AVOIDING FURTHER UNECONOMIC DUPLICATION OF FACILITIES adopted by the Florida Public Service Commission on April 9, 2001 (Order #PSC – 01-0891-PAA-EU; Docket #930885-EU), in all fairness, you must be mistaken. That e-mail does not reference the PSC Order, does not identify the specific customer request or lift station identification and among its obvious failures is the omission of "all relevant information about the request" required to be provided as a integral part of a "Notice" under the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES (PSC Order # 01-0891-PAA-EU).

If you did have a request from St. Joe or Bay County before our (GCEC) request in December, it is apparent that it would have to be considered superseded by the request to GCEC as to the second lift station that we honestly determined we were going to serve in December and early January. In any event, as I previously stated – GCEC did not waive and does not waive its right to serve this second lift station or any of its rights under the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES of the PSC Order #01-0891A-PAA-EU.

Furthermore, I would point out to you the failure of your letter to address the provisions of the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES that prohibits Gulf Power from "agreeing to provide service to this second lift station" as it is a load less than 1000 KVA and Gulf Power's existing facilities are presently farther than 10,000 feet from the point of delivery (actually over 11,000 feet) on Highway 388 (see Section 2.3 (e)).

Please provide us with all of your communication to, from, between or among Bay County, the St. Joe Company and Gulf Power employees or representatives regarding service to this second lift station and provide all of the rest of the relevant information about the request and service to be provided if service were to be provided by Gulf Power. (See Section 2.3(a)). We look forward to the meeting referenced and anticipated by the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES (Order # 01-0891A-PAA-EU) to compare costs and other factors important to this determination of service.

Although no cost comparison is required since Gulf Power's closest existing facilities are farther than 10,000 feet from the point of delivery as compared with Gulf Coast Electric facility located on the Highway 388 right of way (Section 2.3(e)), in all fairness and to facilitate fairness in this and other processes under the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES (Order # 01-0891A-PAA-EU), Gulf Coast Electric is willing to meet to compare and contrast the required information about this service. Likewise, any meeting is not to be construed as a waiver of Gulf Coast Electric's objection to Gulf Power serving this second lift station also.

Regards,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Sims, Sandy (Gulf) [mailto:SFSims@southernco.com]
Sent: Friday, January 26, 2018 2:11 PM
To: Peyton Gleaton cpgleaton@gcec.com>
Cc: Precise, Bridget (Bridget.Precise@joe.com) <Bridget.Precise@joe.com>
Subject: Lift Station Service

Dear Mr. Gleaton:

I am writing in response to your letter to Ms. Bridget Precise dated January 19, 2018, a copy of which was sent to the attention of Josh Rogers. Gulf Power Company takes issue with several of the assertions contained in that correspondence. As has been noted in previous correspondence, Gulf Power received a request to serve the lift station in October, 2017. Because the load and distance parameters set forth in section 2.2 of the Procedures and Guidelines agreement between Gulf Power and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative ("GCEC") did not apply, Gulf Power provided notice to GCEC pursuant to Section 2.3(a) of the Procedures and Guidelines. This notice, a copy of which is attached, was sent on October 20, 2017. Under Section 2.3(b) of the Procedures and Guidelines, GCEC had five working days to request a meeting with Gulf Power and/or object to Gulf Power's providing the requested service. GCEC did not respond to Gulf Power's October 20th notice. In the absence of a timely response (or no response in this case), the Procedures and Guidelines very

clearly provide that "[t]he requested Utility may agree to provide service." As it was clearly permitted to do under the agreement, Gulf Power subsequently agreed to provide service and still intends to honor our customer's request. Bay County and St. Joe Company have confirmed that their request for service from Gulf Power stands.

Putting aside the fact that GCEC has waived any right to object to Gulf Power's provision of service to the subject lift station, we also take issue with your blanket assertion that GCEC has the right to serve the load merely because its facilities are "closer" to the point of delivery. The Procedures and Guidelines specifically allow the requested utility to honor a request for service even if its existing facilities are farther away, if the requested utility's cost of service does not exceed the other utility's cost of service by twenty-five percent (25%). Had GCEC provided a timely response to Gulf Power's October 20, 2017 notice, the parties would have met to compare costs and make such a determination. While GCEC's existing facilities are "closer" to the point of delivery, there are a variety of factors that are involved in determining cost of service and it may very well be the case that Gulf Power's cost would not exceed the 25% threshold. While such a cost comparison is not required under the present circumstances given GCEC's waiver under Section 2.3(b) of the Procedures and Guidelines, Gulf Power is certainly willing to meet to discuss the same and other matters pertaining to this project. Any such meeting should not be construed as a waiver of Gulf Power's standing objection to GCEC's serving the subject load.

We would be happy to travel to GCEC's offices or host a meeting at one of our facilities. Please forward me a listing of the desired meeting location and several potential dates/times and we will work with our team to coordinate a discussion.

Sincerely, Sandy

Sandy Sims

Gulf Power Company • District General Manager Office: 850.872.3297 • Cell: 850.376.8440 MyGulfPower.com

Stay connected with Gulf Power

83 83 83 83

 From:
 Steven R. Griffin

 To:
 Tracey Nicolai

 Subject:
 Fwd: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

 Date:
 Tuesday, January 16, 2018 5:56:43 PM

 Attachments:
 image001.png image002.png image003.png image005.png

Steven R. Griffin Beggs & Lane RLLP P.O. 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950 (850) 432-2451

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Rogers, Joshua R." <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Date: January 16, 2018 at 5:33:39 PM CST To: "Steven R. Griffin" <<u>srg@beggslane.com</u>> Cc: "Sims, Sandy (Gulf)" <<u>SFSims@southernco.com</u>>, "Scarborough, Shelley Rockco" <<u>SRSCARBO@southernco.com</u>> Subject: Fwd: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Begin forwarded message:

From: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Date: January 16, 2018 at 4:13:05 PM CST To: "Rogers, Joshua R." <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Cc: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Joshua,

I believe you are mistaken in suggesting that GCEC has waived any rights with respect to the lift station at 1900 Highway 388W which we were recently requested to serve by Bay County (December 14, 2017) and

20180125-GCEC-POD-4-34

which GCEC has agreed to serve and advised that it will serve as requested by Bay County. The request to us by Bay County was a bona fide request as of that date for service to that lift station.

Discussion with the County representative revealed that there were two lift station service requests and that Gulf Power had been requested to serve the first which was explained by the County to be close to GP existing line facility but that the second lift station being constructed now (1900 Highway 388) was closer to GCEC facilities and they wanted to know if GCEC would serve this. Again, the response was that GCEC could and would serve this lift station.

Review of the "Procedures and Guidelines" relative to GCEC and GP filed with the Public Service Commission Docket #930885-EU, Amended Order March 26, 2002 confirms that GCEC is entitled to serve this second lift station as requested.

Under Section II, the decision of whether or not a utility can provide electric service as requested is dependent on the size of the load and the difference in the distances between the Point of Deliveries and existing Facilities of the Utilities. Section 2.2 both (a) and (b) (i) are met by GCEC regarding this second lift station (1900) requested for our service. Since Section 2.2 is met GCEC is entitled to serve as requested without application of Section 2.3. This was made known to Bay County on December 15, 2017 that we have three phase facilities 1.5 miles east of the Point of Delivery.

The Point of Delivery for this second lift station is over 3,160 feet closer to GCEC existing facilities than Gulf Power's existing facilities. Therefore, GCEC can agree as it did to provide service as requested and is entitled to this service. In the abundance of caution, however, I went ahead and sent you the email of January 12, 2018. Suffice it to say, if you object to GCEC serving this second lift station as requested just as GP served the first lift station as requested, because of its proximity, then we will need to meet to compare distance and load and cost of service per the Procedure and Guidelines. This is all of the relevant information we believe we have about this request, but if some other becomes available we will provide it to you as it does.

Thank you and let me know when you want to meet to compare,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Rogers, Joshua R. [mailto:JROGERS@southernco.com] Sent: Friday, January 12, 2018 3:25 PM To: Peyton Gleaton pgleaton@gcec.com
Subject: RE: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Mr. Gleaton:

Gulf Power previously received a request for electrical service for the specified location and provided written notice of the same to Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative on October 20, 2017 as required by section 2.3(a) of the parties' territorial agreement. A copy of Gulf Power's 10/20/17 notice is attached for reference. GCEC did not respond to Gulf's notice within the contractual timeframe as required by section 2.3(b) of the parties' agreement and has therefore waived any right to serve the subject location. Moreover, Gulf Power has begun preparations to serve the location and confirmed with the customer that their request for Gulf Power to serve remains in effect. We therefore object to GCEC serving the specified location.

Thanks,

Josh Rogers Gulf Power Company • District Engineering Supervisor Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 <u>MyGulfPower.com</u> Stay connected with Gulf Power

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Monday, January 8, 2018 2:09 PM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Cc: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Joshua,

Please accept this email as notice that a consumer has requested Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative provide power to their proposed lift station at
1900 Hwy 388W in Bay County, pursuant to section 2.3(a) of our agreement. Extension of our lines to serve this customer would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax



From: Rogers, Joshua R. To: Griffin, Steven R. (Beggs & Lane) Cc: Sins, Sandy (Gulf); Scarborough, Shelley Rockco Subject: Fwd: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W Date: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 5:33:40 PM Attachments: image001.png image002.png image003.png image004.png Image005.png

Begin forwarded message:

From: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Date: January 16, 2018 at 4:13:05 PM CST To: "Rogers, Joshua R." <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Cc: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Joshua,

I believe you are mistaken in suggesting that GCEC has waived any rights with respect to the lift station at 1900 Highway 388W which we were recently requested to serve by Bay County (December 14, 2017) and which GCEC has agreed to serve and advised that it will serve as requested by Bay County. The request to us by Bay County was a bona fide request as of that date for service to that lift station.

Discussion with the County representative revealed that there were two lift station service requests and that Gulf Power had been requested to serve the first which was explained by the County to be close to GP existing line facility but that the second lift station being constructed now (1900 Highway 388) was closer to GCEC facilities and they wanted to know if GCEC would serve this. Again, the response was that GCEC could and would serve this lift station.

Review of the "Procedures and Guidelines" relative to GCEC and GP filed with the Public Service Commission Docket #930885-EU, Amended Order March 26, 2002 confirms that GCEC is entitled to serve this second lift station as requested.

Under Section II, the decision of whether or not a utility can provide electric service as requested is dependent on the size of the load and the difference in the distances between the Point of Deliveries and existing Facilities of the Utilities. Section 2.2 both (a) and (b) (i) are met by GCEC regarding this second lift station (1900) requested for

our service. Since Section 2.2 is met GCEC is entitled to serve as requested without application of Section 2.3. This was made known to Bay County on December 15, 2017 that we have three phase facilities 1.5 miles east of the Point of Delivery.

The Point of Delivery for this second lift station is over 3,160 feet closer to GCEC existing facilities than Gulf Power's existing facilities. Therefore, GCEC can agree as it did to provide service as requested and is entitled to this service. In the abundance of caution, however, I went ahead and sent you the email of January 12, 2018. Suffice it to say, if you object to GCEC serving this second lift station as requested just as GP served the first lift station as requested, because of its proximity, then we will need to meet to compare distance and load and cost of service per the Procedure and Guidelines. This is all of the relevant information we believe we have about this request, but if some other becomes available we will provide it to you as it does.

Thank you and let me know when you want to meet to compare,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Rogers, Joshua R. [mailto:JROGERS@southernco.com]
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2018 3:25 PM
To: Peyton Gleaton cpgleaton@gcec.com
Subject: RE: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Mr. Gleaton:

Gulf Power previously received a request for electrical service for the specified location and provided written notice of the same to Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative on October 20, 2017 as required by section 2.3(a) of the parties' territorial agreement. A copy of Gulf Power's 10/20/17 notice is attached for reference. GCEC did not respond to Gulf's notice within the contractual timeframe as required by section 2.3(b) of the parties' agreement and has therefore waived any right to serve the subject location. Moreover, Gulf Power has begun preparations to serve the location and confirmed with the customer that their request for Gulf Power to serve remains in effect. We therefore object to GCEC serving the specified location.

Thanks,

Josh Rogers Gulf Power Company • District Engineering Supervisor Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Monday, January 8, 2018 2:09 PM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Cc: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Joshua,

Please accept this email as notice that a consumer has requested Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative provide power to their proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W in Bay County, pursuant to section 2.3(a) of our agreement. Extension of our lines to serve this customer would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax STEVEN R. GRIFFIN

DIRECT DIAL (850) 202-2354

EMAIL ADDRESS SRG@BEGGSLANE.COM BEGGS & LANE RLLP ATTORNEYS and COUNSELLORS at LAW

Post Office Box 12950 PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32591-2950 TELEPHONE (850) 432-2451 FAX (850) 469-3331

> E. DIXIE BEGGS 1908 - 2001

BERT H. LANE 1917-1981

April 19, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL (bruce.may@hklaw.com) D. Bruce May, Jr., Esq. Holland & Knight

315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 600 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Re: Request for Gulf Power Company to Provide Electric Service to Lift Station in Bay County, Florida

Dear Mr. May:

This correspondence responds to your letter dated April 18, 2018, outlining your client, Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative's ("GCEC"), views concerning GCEC's and Gulf Power Company's ("Gulf Power") respective rights and obligations under a Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") approved Territorial Agreement between the parties. Let me begin by expressing Gulf Power's disappointment with the manner in which you have positioned this matter. To be clear, our clients have been in discussions surrounding this subject since January 2018. We last met in person with GCEC's General Counsel and executive leadership on March 8, 2018. That meeting was promptly followed by a March 12, 2018, request by Gulf Power to convene another meeting in the near future for additional discussion. It was not until the evening of Sunday, April 15, 2018, that GCEC responded affirmatively to Gulf Power's March 12 meeting request. Gulf Power promptly replied on April 17, 2018, indicating that it would be happy to schedule another meeting and requesting proposed meeting dates and locations from GCEC. That correspondence was followed by your correspondence of April 18th which not only mischaracterized key facts and overlooked others, but it also improperly broadened to an external audience what Gulf Power considered to be confidential and good faith settlement discussions.

In light of the foregoing, Gulf Power feels it is necessary to set forth those overlooked facts and provide additional, pertinent details for the key facts that have been mischaracterized, for purposes of clarity and accuracy. It is significant in our view that GCEC has relegated to a mere footnote the most fundamental and dispositive aspect of the entire series of events. The Territorial Agreement between the parties provides a set of requirements and parameters governing Gulf Power's and GCEC's handling of new requests for electric service. Chief among these requirements is a requirement that, under certain circumstances, the utility receiving a request for electric service provide notice to the other utility, which then has a limited opportunity to respond to such notice. In the absence of a timely response, the requested utility has the right to honor the electric service request.

Specifically, section 2.3 of the Territorial Agreement provides in relevant part as follows:

In any instance where the Load and distance criteria of Section 2.2 are not met but the requested Utility believes that its Cost of Service would not be significantly more than that of the other Utility, the following procedure shall be used to determine if the requested Utility may agree to provide service:

(a) The requested Utility is to notify the other Utility of the Customer's request, providing all relevant information about the request.

(b) If the other Utility believes that its facilities would be uneconomically duplicated if the request is honored, it has five (5) working days from receipt of notice to request a meeting or other method to be conducted within ten (10) working days for the purpose of comparing each Utility's Cost of Service. Absent such a request or upon notification from the other Utility of no objection to the requested Utility's providing the service, the requested Utility may agree to provide service.

(emphasis added).

As illustrated in detail below, Gulf Power complied with its obligations under section 2.3 of the Territorial Agreement and, pursuant to the plain terms of the agreement, is entitled to honor its customer's request for service.

FACTUAL HISTORY

Early in the fourth quarter of 2017, Gulf Power received an inquiry from the St. Joe Company ("St. Joe") concerning the provision of electric service to a 112 kVa sewerage lift station located on parcel ID 26597-000-000 in unincorporated Bay County that St. Joe is planning to construct and subsequently convey to Bay County, Florida. In October 2017, these discussions resulted in a verbal request from St. Joe that Gulf Power provide electric service to the lift station. This verbal request was followed by a November 13, 2017, telephone contact from a St. Joe representative to Gulf Power's Customer Care Center wherein St. Joe reiterated its request for electric service, and a connect order was issued. A screenshot depicting the November 13th customer contact is attached for reference as Exhibit "A." This service request was further confirmed in writing by St. Joe, dated January 17, 2018. This confirmation is attached for reference as Exhibit "B," and a related item of correspondence from St. Joe of equal date to GCEC is attached for reference as Exhibit "C," both items evidencing St. Joe's selection of Gulf Power as its service provider. St. Joe has never withdrawn its request to Gulf Power for electric service.

Section 2.2 of the Territorial Agreement allows the utility receiving a request for service to agree to the request without further consultation if certain load and distance criteria are met. In the instant case, these criteria were not met. As shown in the aerial depiction attached for reference as <u>Exhibit "D</u>," Gulf Power's nearest existing distribution facilities are approximately 11,000 feet from the point of delivery, whereas GCEC's nearest existing distribution facilities are approximately 8,000 feet from the

501 Commendencia Street Pensacola, Florida 32502 Gulf Coast Electric Correspondence April 19, 2018

point of delivery. Neither utility has existing facilities in close proximity to the point of delivery. Given that section 2.2 did not apply, Gulf Power proceeded under section 2.3 of the Territorial Agreement.

In compliance with section 2.3(a) of the Territorial Agreement, on October 20, 2017, Gulf Power provided written notice of the request for service to GCEC's Vice President of Engineering. A copy of this notice is attached for reference as <u>Exhibit "E</u>." Gulf Power's October 20th notification clearly referenced section 2.3(a) of the parties' agreement, the existence of a request for electrical service, the type of load to be served and the location of the point of delivery.¹ Not only did GCEC fail to respond to this notice within the requisite five (5) working day timeline; GCEC did not respond at all.² As a consequence, and as it was clearly permitted to do under the plain terms of the Territorial Agreement, Gulf Power agreed to provide service and began preparations to do so.

Gulf Power did not receive any communications from GCEC with respect to the subject lift station until January 8, 2018, when GCEC's Vice President of Engineering emailed Gulf Power pursuant to section 2.3(a) of the Territorial Agreement regarding a purported request for service which it had received concerning the same premises. A copy of this correspondence is attached for reference as <u>Exhibit "F</u>." In light of the distinct similarities between GCEC's notice and Gulf Power's October 20th notice, Gulf Power finds it curious that GCEC is now contending that Gulf Power's notice was inadequate. Moreover, if Gulf Power's notice did lack "relevant" information as GCEC now contends, it is equally curious that GCEC did not make any effort to reply to Gulf Power and request additional information.

On January 12, 2018, Gulf Power replied to GCEC's January 8th correspondence, noting that the Company had previously received a request for electrical service for the specified location and provided written notice of the same to GCEC on October 20, 2017, as required by section 2.3(a) of the parties' Territorial Agreement. Because GCEC did not respond to Gulf Power's notice within the contractually mandated timeframe required by section 2.3(b) of the parties' agreement, Gulf Power informed GCEC that GCEC had waived any objection to Gulf Power's right to serve the subject location. Gulf Power further stated that it had begun preparations to serve the location and confirmed with the customer that their request for Gulf Power to serve remained in effect. A copy of Gulf's January 12 correspondence is attached for reference as <u>Exhibit "G</u>."

Subsequent discussions with Bay County, St. Joe and GCEC have revealed that Bay County and St. Joe did, in fact, <u>inquire</u> of GCEC in mid-December 2017 concerning the provision of electric service to the subject lift station. However, Gulf Power has been provided with no evidence that a request for service from GCEC was ever placed or made by either of those parties. Gulf Power is authorized to represent that, as of the date of this correspondence, St. Joe has reaffirmed its selection of Gulf Power as its electric service provider. Gulf Power has communicated with Bay County, as the ultimate recipient of the lift station, and is also authorized to represent that Bay County desires to receive electric service from Gulf Power.

501 Commendencia street Pensacola, Florida 32502

¹ Gulf Power utilized a Parcel ID rather than a physical address because an internet search of the physical address erroneously depicted the proposed point of delivery. A summary internet search for the Parcel ID depicts the precise location of the point of delivery –including the county of location.

² GCEC has not denied receiving Gulf Power's notice. Nor has it provided any rationale or explanation for failing to respond to the notice.

Gulf Coast Electric Correspondence April 19, 2018

Regardless of what inquiries were made in December 2017, the record is clear that: (i) Gulf Power received a bona fide request for service in October 2017; (ii) such request for service was never retracted or cancelled; and (iii) both St. Joe and Bay County continue to desire to receive electric service from Gulf Power.

The language of the Territorial Agreement is equally clear. Gulf Power provided the requisite notice of a customer request for service to GCEC on October 20, 2017. Despite receipt of the same, GCEC failed to respond to Gulf Power's notice. Pursuant to section 2.3(b), in the absence of a timely reply, Gulf Power "may agree to provide service" and has, in fact, agreed to provide service. "It is axiomatic that when construing a document, courts should give effect to the plain meaning of its terms." Volusia County v. Aberdeen at Ormond Beach, L.P., 760 So.2d 126, 132 (Fla. 2000). See also, Columbia Bank v. Columbia Developers, LLC et al., 127 So.3d 670, 673 (Fla. 1st DCA 2013) ("The cardinal rule of contractual construction is that when the language of the contract is clear and unambiguous, the contract must be interpreted and enforced in accordance with its plain meaning."): Cleveland v. Crown Financial, LLC, 183 So.3d 1206, 1209 (Fla. 1st DCA 2016) ("The cardinal rule of contractual interpretation is that when the language of a contract is clear and unambiguous, the contract must be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the plain meaning."); Maher v. Schumacher, 605 So.2d 481, 482 (Fla. 3d DCA 1992) ("When a contract is clear and unambiguous, 'the actual language used in the contract is the best evidence of the intent of the parties, and the plain meaning of that language controls.""); Burns v. Barfield, 732 So.2d 1202, 1205 (Fla. 4th DCA 1999) (It is fundamental that where a contract is clear and unambiguous in its terms, the court may not give those terms any meaning beyond the plain meaning of the words contained therein).

As a public utility, Gulf Power has a statutory obligation to "furnish to each person applying therefor reasonably sufficient, adequate and efficient service upon terms required by the commission." <u>See,</u> § 366.03, <u>Fla. Stat.</u> In the absence of a clear right to refuse service under the terms and conditions of the parties' Territorial Agreement, Gulf Power must honor this statutory obligation or otherwise run the risk of running afoul of federal anti-trust regulations prohibiting horizontal division of markets. <u>See,</u> In re: Complaint of Robert D. Reynolds, Order No. PSC-13-0207-PAA-EM, dated May 21, 2013 at page 12 (horizontal division of electric service territory in absence of a Commission-approved territorial agreement constitutes a per se violation of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1).

Lastly, Gulf Power rejects GCEC's contention that Gulf Power is engaged in an improper "race to serve" or is otherwise extending its facilities in violation of the Commission's "directives in Territorial Orders." Indeed, section 2.4 of the parties' Territorial Agreement, which is incorporated within and embodied by Order No. PSC-01-0891-PAA-EU,³ expressly provides as follows:

"During a period of unresolved dispute, the requested Utility <u>may provide</u> <u>temporary service to the Customer</u> or may elect to request the other Utility to provide temporary service to the Customer and either means of temporary service shall be without prejudice to either Utility's position in the dispute as to which Utility will provide permanent service."

³ When a territorial agreement is approved by the Commission it becomes embodied in the approving order. <u>Public Service Commission v. Fuller</u>, 551 So.2d 1210, 1212 (Fla. 1989). <u>See also</u>, Order No. 23995, dated January 3, 1991, Docket No. 19900744-EU.

Gulf Coast Electric Correspondence April 19, 2018

(emphasis added).

Gulf Power further rejects the assertion that its activities "conflict with prior written assurances that have been given." In a February 16, 2018, email from Gulf Power to GCEC, Gulf Power agreed "to hold off on any construction that would change the existing facilities/distance until after we meet on March 8." This limited assurance was the only assurance provided, and Gulf Power fully abided by it. In short, Gulf Power is acting in accordance with the plain terms of the parties' agreement and the Commission order embodying that agreement.

While I have an unavoidable conflict that will prevent us from being able to meet on April 25, 2018, we are in the process of coordinating calendars internally, and I will be in touch with you very shortly to discuss mutually acceptable dates for a meeting between the parties. We look forward to additional and productive discussions with you and the rest of GCEC's negotiating team. In the meantime, please do not hesitate to reach out with any questions or concerns you may have.

Sincerely,

Steven R. Griffin Beggs & Lane, RLLP

Enclosures

cc: Braulio Baez Keith Hetrick, Esq. Mary Anne Helton, Esq. Thomas Ballinger John Bartley Patrick Floyd, Esq.

> 501 Commendencia street pensacola, FLorida 32502

EXHIBIT A

	Contact Leve			111 0.000 (0.000 - 0.000 (0.00
ntacts	Accou	int Cu	stomer	
Date	Туре		Address	Contact Name
E 11/13/17 A D	eposit Maintained - Connect Issued onnect Issued			RT FL 3 ST JOE CORPORATION RT FL 3 ST JOE CORPORATION
The second the same second second second				
for a construction of the second second second	ST JOE CORPORATION			
lame:	ST JOE CORPORATION	Extension:	9999	Contact Type:
lame: Phone: - Critical Contact	(850) 231-6465	Extension:	9999	
lame: Phone:	printer as a second state of the second state		9959	Contact Type: User Name:
lame: Phone: - Critical Contact F Yes	(850) 231-6465	Extension:	9999	
lame: Phone: - Critical Contact IT Yes comments: lachel Childs w/ tay	(850) 231-6465 Expiration Date: < id called 11/13 to conn service. adv wi	Extension:	9999	
lame: Phone: - Critical Contact IT Yes comments: lachel Childs w/ tay	(850) 231-6465 Expiration Date:	Extension:	9999	
Comments: Rachel Childs w/ tax	(850) 231-6465 Expiration Date: < id called 11/13 to conn service. adv wi	Extension:	9939	

20180125-GCEC-POD-4-47

Page: 047

EXHIBIT B



January 17, 2018

Joshua Rogers, PE Engineering Supervisor II Gulf Power Company 780 East Highway 98 Panama City, Florida 32401

Re: 388 Lift Station Electrical Service 1900 Hwy 388 West

Dear Josh,

Please consider this letter as formal notification and confirmation of our intent to have Gulf Power provide electrical service to the above-referenced location.

Sincerely, & Me cice

Bridget Precise Vice President Development & Regulatory Affairs

cc: Don Hamm, Bay County Utilities

The St. Joe Company 133 S Watersound Pkwy, Watersound, FL 32461 850-231-6400 850-231-6595 Fax JOE.com

EXHIBIT C



January 17, 2018

Mr. C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409

Re: 388 Lift Station Electrical Service 1900 Hwy 388 West

Dear Peyton,

Please consider this letter as formal notification and confirmation of our intention to secure electrical service for the above-referenced location with another electrical service provider.

Sincerely,

set le cese

Bridget Precise Vice President Development & Regulatory Affairs

cc: Don Hamm, Bay County Utilities

The St. Joe Company 133 S Watersound Pkwy, Watersound, FL 32461 850-231-6400 850-231-6595 Fax JOE.com

EXHIBIT D



20180125-GCEC-POD-4-53

Page: 053

EXHIBIT E

20180125-GCEC-POD-4-54

Steven R. Griffin

From:	Rogers, Joshua R. <jrogers@southernco.com></jrogers@southernco.com>
Sent:	Friday, October 20, 2017 1:22 PM
To:	'pgleaton@gcec.com'
Subject:	Electrical Service Request
Attachments:	image001.png; image002.png; image003.png; image004.png; image005.png

Mr. Gleaton,

Pursuant to section 2.3(a) of the agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC, I am notifying GCEC of a customer's request for electrical service from Gulf Power for a new lift station on parcel 26597-000-000. Construction would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE

Gulf Power Company * Engineering Supervisor II

Office: 850.872.3309 * Cell: 850.554.6583

MyGulfPower.com

Stay connected with Gulf Power

<<u>http://www.gulfpower.com/?ref=esig</u>> <<u>https://www.facebook.com/GulfPowerCompany/</u>><<u>https://twitter.com/GulfPower</u>> <<u>https://twitter.com/GulfPowerCompany</u>><<u>https://www.linkedin.com/company/gulf-power-company</u>>

EXHIBIT F

14

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Monday, January 8, 2018 2:09 PM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Cc: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Joshua,

Please accept this email as notice that a consumer has requested Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative provide power to their proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W in Bay County, pursuant to section 2.3(a) of our agreement. Extension of our lines to serve this customer would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

EXHIBIT G

From: Rogers, Joshua R.
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2018 3:25 PM
To: 'pgleaton@gcec.com' <pgleaton@gcec.com>
Subject: RE: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Mr. Gleaton:

Gulf Power previously received a request for electrical service for the specified location and provided written notice of the same to Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative on October 20, 2017 as required by section 2.3(a) of the parties' territorial agreement. A copy of Gulf Power's 10/20/17 notice is attached for reference. GCEC did not respond to Gulf's notice within the contractual timeframe as required by section 2.3(b) of the parties' agreement and has therefore waived any right to serve the subject location. Moreover, Gulf Power has begun preparations to serve the location and confirmed with the customer that their request for Gulf Power to serve remains in effect. We therefore object to GCEC serving the specified location.

Thanks,

Josh Rogers Gulf Power Company • District Engineering Supervisor Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power

From: Sims, Sandy (Gulf) To: paleaton@acec.com Precise, Bridget (Bridget.Precise@joe.com) Cc: Subject: Lift Station Service Date: Friday, January 26, 2018 3:10:44 PM Attachments: image001.png image002.png image003.png image004.png image005.png GCEC notice 102017.docx

Dear Mr. Gleaton:

I am writing in response to your letter to Ms. Bridget Precise dated January 19, 2018, a copy of which was sent to the attention of Josh Rogers. Gulf Power Company takes issue with several of the assertions contained in that correspondence. As has been noted in previous correspondence, Gulf Power received a request to serve the lift station in October, 2017. Because the load and distance parameters set forth in section 2.2 of the Procedures and Guidelines agreement between Gulf Power and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative ("GCEC") did not apply, Gulf Power provided notice to GCEC pursuant to Section 2.3(a) of the Procedures and Guidelines. This notice, a copy of which is attached, was sent on October 20, 2017. Under Section 2.3(b) of the Procedures and Guidelines, GCEC had five working days to request a meeting with Gulf Power and/or object to Gulf Power's providing the requested service. GCEC did not respond to Gulf Power's October 20th notice. In the absence of a timely response (or no response in this case), the Procedures and Guidelines very clearly provide that "[t]he requested Utility may agree to provide service." As it was clearly permitted to do under the agreement, Gulf Power subsequently agreed to provide service and still intends to honor our customer's request. Bay County and St. Joe Company have confirmed that their request for service from Gulf Power stands.

Putting aside the fact that GCEC has waived any right to object to Gulf Power's provision of service to the subject lift station, we also take issue with your blanket assertion that GCEC has the right to serve the load merely because its facilities are "closer" to the point of delivery. The Procedures and Guidelines specifically allow the requested utility to honor a request for service even if its existing facilities are farther away, if the requested utility's cost of service does not exceed the other utility's cost of service by twenty-five percent (25%). Had GCEC provided a timely response to Gulf Power's October 20, 2017 notice, the parties would have met to compare costs and make such a determination. While GCEC's existing facilities are "closer" to the point of delivery, there are a variety of factors that are involved in determining cost of service and it may very well be the case that Gulf Power's cost would not exceed the 25% threshold. While such a cost comparison is not required under the present circumstances given GCEC's waiver under Section 2.3(b) of the Procedures and Guidelines, Gulf Power is certainly willing to meet to discuss the same and other matters pertaining to this project. Any such meeting should not be construed as a waiver of Gulf Power's standing objection to GCEC's serving the subject load.

We would be happy to travel to GCEC's offices or host a meeting at one of our facilities. Please forward me a listing of the desired meeting location and several potential dates/times and we will work with our team to coordinate a discussion. Sincerely, Sandy

Sandy Sims

Gulf Power Company • District General Manager Office: 850.872.3297 • Cell: 850.376.8440 MyGulfPower.com

Stay connected with Gulf Power



From: Rogers, Joshua R. <JROGERS@southernco.com>

Sent: Friday, October 20, 2017 1:22 PM

To: 'pgleaton@gcec.com'

Subject: Electrical Service Request

Attachments: imageOOI.png; imageOOZ.png; image003.png; image004.png; imageOOS.png

Mr. Gleaton,

Pursuant to section 2.3(a) of the agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC, I am notifying GCEC of a customer's request

for electrical service from Gulf Power for a new lift station on parcel 26597-000-000. Construction would not result in

any duplication of facilities.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE

Gulf Power Company * Engineering Supervisor II

Office: 850.872.3309 * Cell: 850.554.6583

MyGulfPower.com

Stay connected

 From:
 J. Floyd

 To:
 Steven R. Griffin

 Subject:
 Gulf Coast Electric Service to Second Lift Station

 Date:
 Thursday, April 05, 2018 2:23:59 PM

 Attachments:
 GCEC - Letter to Steve Griffin.pdf

Please see attached.

Law Offices J. Patrick Floyd, Chtd. 408 Long Avenue Port St. Joe, FL 32456 Phone: 850/227-7413 Fax: 850/229-8196

LAW OFFICES J. PATRICK FLOYD CHARTERED

REPLY TO 408 LONG AVENUE POST OFFICE DRAWER 950 Port St. Jol, Florida 32456-0950 (850) 227-7413

April 5, 2018

20 AVENUE D, SUITE 208 POST OFFICE BUILDING Apalachicola, Florida 32320 (850) 853-2709

Steve R. Griffin, Esquire Beggs & Lane, RLLP 501 Commendencia Street Pensacola, FL 32502

Re: Gulf Coast Electric Service to Second Lift Station

Dear Mr. Griffin:

Obviously, you continue to primarily and most heavily depend on the utterly vague and uninformative two line e-mail from one of the employees as the basis for your claim of right to serve. The same e-mail that does not identify the customer, does not identify the "agreement referenced as the PSC "PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES" of April 9, 2001" or the case name or docket number; does not identify even the County of the location; gives no information about the location or type of existing facilities; and, provides no information about the size or type of load of the expected service. Amid this fog of vagueness – your effort to extract a right to serve speaks volumes of your intent and method, especially when compared to the 'PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES" requirement of "providing all relevant information about the (customer's) request" as an integral part of the "Notice" you are compelled to give by reason, good faith and the terms of the "PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES".

Notably the dates you give in January 2018 are after Gulf Coast had received and acted affirmatively to accept the bona fide request that it serve this now specifically identified second lift station. Gulf Coast Electric received its bona fide request for service in the middle of December 2017 and immediately verified its closer proximity and ability to serve. By the third week in December, Gulf Coast Electric had notified that it accepted the request and was preparing the work plan and service order. This information was delivered on December 22, 2017 pursuant to the request for service and you have a copy of the construction costs breakdown delivered on December 22, 2017. The Demand Agreement requested on behalf of the customer was delivered on January 3, 2018 as well as the rate schedule. By the end of January, Gulf Coast Electric was already explaining in detail the basis for its right pursuant to the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES (PSC Order #01-0891-PAA EU, Docket #930885-EU) of the Public Service Commission. Therefore, clearly this was and has been continuously communicated in a straight forward manner long before the meeting of March 8, 2018 as you reference.

April 5, 2018 Page 2

The problem that Gulf Power has with this service request is that it is not on "equal footing" with Gulf Coast Electric under any practical reasoning or analysis under the formula's required by the "PROCEDURES" approved by the Public Service Commission on April 9, 2001 (Order #PSC -0-0 891-PAA-EU; Docket #90885-EU). Gulf Coast Facilities are simply and admittedly over 3,168 feet closer to the point of service of this second lift station. Under every possible evaluation of the facts as well as the cost of service that GCEC provided on December 22, 2017 in response to the bona fide request for service and your figures provided finally in the middle of March this year, the result is clearly the same without any further comparison - - Gulf Coast Electric has the right to serve and accept, as it did in December, this bona fide request for service of the second lift station. The multiple letters and fact sheet delivered beginning on January 17 from and on behalf of Gulf Coast Electric have repeatedly made this clear. (See attached Fact Sheet and Analysis Summary).

If it is your position, as is now apparently appears, that (influenced) customer choice (particularly after all of the details of Gulf Coast's cost to serve the lift station have been delivered (and made public) in December of 2017,) trumps the specific terms of the Territorial Agreement ordered by the Public Service Commission in 2001 – then there is obviously no need for the Agreement that you have already admitted was authored by Gulf Power (Ted Spangenberg) and Jeff Stone of your firm.

Application of the formulas in the "PROCEDURES" to the details of the service, when made known, as well as the shear proximity to the point of service by Gulf Coast facilities and equitable principles of fair play all coalesce into one conclusion - - that Gulf Power is now prepared to ignore the Agreement and plunge both of these utilities back into territorial disputes. It is obvious that now that there is more development and promise of the same in the future where Gulf Power chose not to serve or provide power to those in that unpopulated area fifty and sixty years ago – Gulf Power wishes to take the benefit of what it did not earn or even wanted while GCEC provided the service.

If we cannot resolve this ourselves as we continue to believe that we should be able, the "PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES" provide for an expedited hearing before the Public Service Commission. Perhaps that is the next step you are leaving us with no choice but to pursue.

Sincerely,

Law Offices J. Patrick Floyd, Chtd. Hotut atrick Floyd

JPF/pb Attachment: as stated

GULF COAST ELECTRIC RIGHT TO SERVE SECOND LIFT STATION

The entire procedure for determining customer service in PSC Order No. 01-0891A-PAA-EU is based on the "difference in distances between the Point of Delivery and the Existing Facilities of each utility", Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. (GCEC) and Gulf Power Company (Gulf Power) and the "size of the load".

In good faith application of fairness and equity between these utilities as well as the Procedure and Guidelines, GCEC has not objected and will not object to the first lift station as Gulf Power's existing facilities are closer to the Point of Delivery than those of Gulf Coast Electric. Likewise, since Gulf Coast Electric is much closer to the Point of Delivery of where we now know the second lift station will be located, GCEC should be, in all fairness, the service provider without objection of Gulf Power. It is repugnant to good faith principles and the objectives and standards of the Procedures for Gulf Power to suggest and to attempt to serve both of these lift stations and will only contribute to undermine the relationship between these utilities that is sought to be improved by the Public Service Commission.

Even a quick analysis of the Procedures evidences the conclusion that Gulf Coast Electric has secured and is entitled to serve this second lift station. The vast differences in the comparative distances of existing facilities from Gulf Coast and Gulf Power to the Point of Delivery makes it absolutely clear that Gulf Coast Electric has the right to provide the service as it has already notified all parties concerned.

Under Section II, paragraph 2.2(a), Gulf Coast Electric – as the requested utility from the customer definitely as of December 2017 and early January 2018, is <u>not</u> 1000 feet further out than the existing facilities of Gulf Power from the Point of Delivery. Therefore, GCEC can agree – as we did under paragraph 2.2(a) – to provide services to this lift station and locked in the right to serve at that time irrespective of the later vacillation of the customer as to who they would finally choose.

GCEC – 7,920 feet from Point of Delivery of 2^{nd} lift station Gulf Power – 11,088 feet from Point of Delivery of 2^{nd} lift station

Difference – GCEC is 3,168 feet CLOSER to the Point of Delivery of the 2nd lift station than the closest existing facilities of Gulf Power

Furthermore, the predominance of distance as the factor for determining which utility may fairly provide service as requested at a point in time by the customer, continues in the application of paragraph 2.2(b)(i) of Section II of the Procedures. Since the load is greater than 100 kVA and the "construction required is predominately the addition of new pole line" and Gulf Coast Electric, the requested utility (as of December 2017 and at least early January 2018) has existing facilities that are not more than 1500 feet further (away) from the Point of Delivery than the existing facilities of Gulf Power – Gulf Coast is entitled to provide as it had and has agreed, service to the second lift station that it is over 3,000 feet closer to than Gulf Power's existing facilities.

These applications clearly should close the discussion on the entitlement of Gulf Coast to serve this lift station as it was requested and as it notified the parties. However, even if it is argued, albeit incorrectly, by Gulf Power that it is entitled to be considered for service under paragraph 2.3 of the Procedures by saying that it has now subsequently been chosen by the customer to also provide service to this second lift station also – this argument is rejected by application of the Procedures under Section II, paragraph 2.3(e). Section II, paragraph 2.3(e) applies to prevent Gulf Power even under this argument from agreeing to serve this second lift station because the load is less than 1000 kVA, Gulf Power's existing facilities are farther (away) than 10,000 feet from the Point of Delivery (11,088 feet) and Gulf Coast's existing facilities are located in the right of way of Highway 388 which abuts the property where the second lift station is to be located.

The good faith intentions of the parties and the Procedures demand that Gulf Coast be allowed, without objection or interference by Gulf Power, to serve this second lift station per the request made by the customer and agreed to by Gulf Coast with notice to the parties. Likewise, fairness in the circumstances and the application of the Procedures dictate that Gulf Power not serve this second lift station even if now "requested" by the customer. Got it. Thanks

Sandy Sims Gulf Power

On Nov 16, 2017, at 8:13 AM, Rogers, Joshua R. < JROGERS@southernco.com> wrote:

Sandy,

This is the location that I emailed about St. Joe installing a lift station. We have already been requested and the co-op notified and did not respond.

Thanks,

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 <u>MyGulfPower com</u> <u>Stay connected with Gulf Power</u> <u><image001.png></u> <u><image002.png></u> <u><image003.png></u> <u><image004.png></u> <u><image005.png></u>

From: Aycock, William W. Sent: Wednesday, November 15, 2017 9:14 AM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Subject: FW: Development

Josh, Does this sound like the lift station you mentioned?

Bill

From: Sims, Sandy (Gulf) Sent: Wednesday, November 15, 2017 8:46 AM To: Aycock, William W. <<u>wwaycock@southernco.com</u>>; Richardson, Michael B. <<u>MBRICHAR@southernco.com</u>> Subject: Development

On the way to my meeting at the airport this morning I noticed what appears to be a new road being cut on the north side of 388 about a mile west of 77. Since there aren't any distribution lines there I'm thinking it would be a jump ball if it's a development. Could you guys check it out and let me know? It's before our substation.

Thanks!

Sandy Sims Gulf Power From:Sims, Sandy (Gulf)To:Rogers, Joshua R.Cc:Scarborough, Shelley RockcoSubject:RE: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 WDate:Monday, January 08, 2018 3:53:44 PM

Thanks, Josh. I've received some initial info from Steve about this, and I'll forward this to him for legal advice on next steps.

S

From: Rogers, Joshua R.
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2018 2:32 PM
To: Sims, Sandy (Gulf) <SFSims@southernco.com>
Cc: Scarborough, Shelley Rockco <SRSCARBO@southernco.com>
Subject: FW: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Sandy,

Just received this email and its in reference to the lift station that St. Joe is installing. Since we are intending on serving this load and notified GCEC of the customer request (without a response from GCEC), what do I need to do to respnd, if any, to Peyton's email?

Thanks,

Josh

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Monday, January 8, 2018 2:09 PM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Cc: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Joshua,

Please accept this email as notice that a consumer has requested Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative provide power to their proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W in Bay County, pursuant to section 2.3(a) of our agreement. Extension of our lines to serve this customer would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax From: Peyton Gleaton To: Rogers, Joshua R. Cc: Peyton Gleaton RE: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W Subject: Date: Tuesday, January 16, 2018 5:13:32 PM Attachments: image001.png image002.png image003,png image004.png image005.png

Joshua,

I believe you are mistaken in suggesting that GCEC has waived any rights with respect to the lift station at 1900 Highway 388W which we were recently requested to serve by Bay County (December 14, 2017) and which GCEC has agreed to serve and advised that it will serve as requested by Bay County. The request to us by Bay County was a bona fide request as of that date for service to that lift station.

Discussion with the County representative revealed that there were two lift station service requests and that Gulf Power had been requested to serve the first which was explained by the County to be close to GP existing line facility but that the second lift station being constructed now (1900 Highway 388) was closer to GCEC facilities and they wanted to know if GCEC would serve this. Again, the response was that GCEC could and would serve this lift station.

Review of the "Procedures and Guidelines" relative to GCEC and GP filed with the Public Service Commission Docket #930885-EU, Amended Order March 26, 2002 confirms that GCEC is entitled to serve this second lift station as requested.

Under Section II, the decision of whether or not a utility can provide electric service as requested is dependent on the size of the load and the difference in the distances between the Point of Deliveries and existing Facilities of the Utilities. Section 2.2 both (a) and (b) (i) are met by GCEC regarding this second lift station (1900) requested for our service. Since Section 2.2 is met GCEC is entitled to serve as requested without application of Section 2.3. This was made known to Bay County on December 15, 2017 that we have three phase facilities 1.5 miles east of the Point of Delivery.

The Point of Delivery for this second lift station is over 3,160 feet closer to GCEC existing facilities than Gulf Power's existing facilities. Therefore, GCEC can agree as it did to provide service as requested and is entitled to this service. In the abundance of caution, however, I went ahead and sent you the email of January 12, 2018. Suffice it to say, if you object to GCEC serving this second lift station as requested just as GP served the first lift station as requested, because of its proximity, then we will need to meet to compare distance and load and cost of service per the Procedure and Guidelines. This is all of the relevant information we believe we have about this request, but if some other becomes available we will provide it to you as it does.

Thank you and let me know when you want to meet to compare,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Rogers, Joshua R. [mailto:JROGERS@southernco.com]
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2018 3:25 PM
To: Peyton Gleaton <pgleaton@gcec.com>
Subject: RE: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Mr. Gleaton:

Gulf Power previously received a request for electrical service for the specified location and provided written notice of the same to Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative on October 20, 2017 as required by section 2.3(a) of the parties' territorial agreement. A copy of Gulf Power's 10/20/17 notice is attached for reference. GCEC did not respond to Gulf's notice within the contractual timeframe as required by section 2.3(b) of the parties' agreement and has therefore waived any right to serve the subject location. Moreover, Gulf Power has begun preparations to serve the location and confirmed with the customer that their request for Gulf Power to serve remains in effect. We therefore object to GCEC serving the specified location.

Thanks,

Josh Rogers Gulf Power Company • District Engineering Supervisor Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com]
Sent: Monday, January 8, 2018 2:09 PM
To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>>
Cc: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>>
Subject: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Joshua,

Please accept this email as notice that a consumer has requested Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative
provide power to their proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W in Bay County, pursuant to section 2.3(a) of our agreement. Extension of our lines to serve this customer would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Peyton Gleaton To: Sims, Sandy (Gulf) Cc: Precise, Bridget (Bridget.Precise@joe.com) Subject: **RE: Lift Station Service** Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 3:40:49 PM Attachments: image001.png image002.png image003,png image004.png image005.png

Dear Ms. Sims:

As a result of a request from Bay County on December 14, 2017, GCEC agreed to serve the subject second lift station and provided initial information regarding service. If your reference to the e-mail from Joshua Rogers to me on October 20, 2017 is to serve as a formal request that complies with and provides Notice under the PROCEDCURES AND GUIDELINES FOR AVOIDING FURTHER UNECONOMIC DUPLICATION OF FACILITIES adopted by the Florida Public Service Commission on April 9, 2001 (Order #PSC – 01-0891-PAA-EU; Docket #930885-EU), in all fairness, you must be mistaken. That e-mail does not reference the PSC Order, does not identify the specific customer request or lift station identification and among its obvious failures is the omission of "all relevant information about the request" required to be provided as a integral part of a "Notice" under the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES (PSC Order # 01-0891-PAA-EU).

If you did have a request from St. Joe or Bay County before our (GCEC) request in December, it is apparent that it would have to be considered superseded by the request to GCEC as to the second lift station that we honestly determined we were going to serve in December and early January. In any event, as I previously stated – GCEC did not waive and does not waive its right to serve this second lift station or any of its rights under the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES of the PSC Order #01-0891A-PAA-EU.

Furthermore, I would point out to you the failure of your letter to address the provisions of the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES that prohibits Gulf Power from "agreeing to provide service to this second lift station" as it is a load less than 1000 KVA and Gulf Power's existing facilities are presently farther than 10,000 feet from the point of delivery (actually over 11,000 feet) on Highway 388 (see Section 2.3 (e)).

Please provide us with all of your communication to, from, between or among Bay County, the St. Joe Company and Gulf Power employees or representatives regarding service to this second lift station and provide all of the rest of the relevant information about the request and service to be provided if service were to be provided by Gulf Power. (See Section 2.3(a)). We look forward to the meeting referenced and anticipated by the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES (Order # 01-0891A-PAA-EU) to compare costs and other factors important to this determination of service.

Although no cost comparison is required since Gulf Power's closest existing facilities are farther than 10,000 feet from the point of delivery as compared with Gulf Coast Electric facility located on the Highway 388 right of way (Section 2.3(e)), in all fairness and to facilitate fairness in this and other processes under the PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES (Order # 01-0891A-PAA-EU), Gulf

Coast Electric is willing to meet to compare and contrast the required information about this service. Likewise, any meeting is not to be construed as a waiver of Gulf Coast Electric's objection to Gulf Power serving this second lift station also.

Regards,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Sims, Sandy (Gulf) [mailto:SFSims@southernco.com]
Sent: Friday, January 26, 2018 2:11 PM
To: Peyton Gleaton <pgleaton@gcec.com>
Cc: Precise, Bridget (Bridget.Precise@joe.com) <Bridget.Precise@joe.com>
Subject: Lift Station Service

Dear Mr. Gleaton:

I am writing in response to your letter to Ms. Bridget Precise dated January 19, 2018, a copy of which was sent to the attention of Josh Rogers. Gulf Power Company takes issue with several of the assertions contained in that correspondence. As has been noted in previous correspondence, Gulf Power received a request to serve the lift station in October, 2017. Because the load and distance parameters set forth in section 2.2 of the Procedures and Guidelines agreement between Gulf Power and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative ("GCEC") did not apply, Gulf Power provided notice to GCEC pursuant to Section 2.3(a) of the Procedures and Guidelines. This notice, a copy of which is attached, was sent on October 20, 2017. Under Section 2.3(b) of the Procedures and Guidelines, GCEC had five working days to request a meeting with Gulf Power and/or object to Gulf Power's providing the requested service. GCEC did not respond to Gulf Power's October 20th notice. In the absence of a timely response (or no response in this case), the Procedures and Guidelines very clearly provide that "[t]he requested Utility may agree to provide service." As it was clearly permitted to do under the agreement, Gulf Power subsequently agreed to provide service and still intends to honor our customer's request. Bay County and St. Joe Company have confirmed that their request for service from Gulf Power stands.

Putting aside the fact that GCEC has waived any right to object to Gulf Power's provision of service to the subject lift station, we also take issue with your blanket assertion that GCEC has the right to serve the load merely because its facilities are "closer" to the point of delivery. The Procedures and Guidelines specifically allow the requested utility to honor a request for service even if its existing facilities are farther away, if the requested utility's cost of service does not exceed the other utility's cost of service by twenty-five percent (25%). Had GCEC provided a timely response to

Gulf Power's October 20, 2017 notice, the parties would have met to compare costs and make such a determination. While GCEC's existing facilities are "closer" to the point of delivery, there are a variety of factors that are involved in determining cost of service and it may very well be the case that Gulf Power's cost would not exceed the 25% threshold. While such a cost comparison is not required under the present circumstances given GCEC's waiver under Section 2.3(b) of the Procedures and Guidelines, Gulf Power is certainly willing to meet to discuss the same and other matters pertaining to this project. Any such meeting should not be construed as a waiver of Gulf Power's standing objection to GCEC's serving the subject load.

We would be happy to travel to GCEC's offices or host a meeting at one of our facilities. Please forward me a listing of the desired meeting location and several potential dates/times and we will work with our team to coordinate a discussion.

Sincerely, Sandy

Sandy Sims

Gulf Power Company • District General Manager Office: 850.872.3297 • Cell: 850.376.8440 MyGulfPower.com

Stay connected with Gulf Power



EXHIBIT 2



2101 Northside Drive, Suite 203 Panama City, Florida 32405 (850)769-7178 | (800)722-7178 | Fax. (850)763-5010 info@stewartshoman.com | www.panamacitycourtreporters.com

1 2 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 3 DOCKET NO. 20180125-EU 4 FILED: August 24, 2018 5 6 In Re: 7 Complaint against Gulf Power Company for expedited enforcement of territorial order, by Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, 8 Inc. 9 10 11 12 DEPOSITION OF: C. PEYTON GLEATON, JR. 13 ON BEHALF OF: Gulf Power Company 14 DATE: September 4, 2018 15 TIME: 1:00 to 2:02 p.m. 16 PLACE: Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative 722 West Highway 22 17 Wewahitchka, Florida 32404 18 REPORTED BY: Lisa Patrick Notary Public 19 State of Florida at large 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 Steven R. Griffin, Esq. BEGGS & LANE, LLP 3 P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950 4 (850)432-2451 srg@beggslane.com 5 ATTORNEY FOR GULF POWER COMPANY 6 7 D. Bruce May, Jr., Esq. 8 HOLLAND & KNIGHT, LLP 315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 600 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 9 (850) 425-5607 10 bruce.may@hklaw.com 11 ATTORNEY FOR GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. 12 13 J. Patrick Floyd, Esq. P.O. Box 950 14 Port St. Joe, Florida 32457-0950 (850)227-7413 15 j.patrickfloyd@jpatrickfloyd.com 16 17 ATTORNEY FOR GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. 18 ALSO PRESENT: 19 20 John Bartley, Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 21 (Telephonically) 22 Robert Graves, Public Service Commission Kurt Schrader, Public Service Commission 23 24 Elizabeth Draper, Public Service Commission 25

1	INDEX TO WITNESSES AND EXHIBITS	
2		PAGE
3	C. PEYTON GLEATON, JR.	
4	Direct Examination By Mr. Griffin	5
5	Cross Examination By Mr. May	35
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8	Deposition Exhibit 1 marked	11
9	Deposition Exhibit 2 marked Jr.)	15
11	Deposition Exhibit 3 marked	22
12	Deposition Exhibit 4 marked	31
14	Deposition Exhibit 5 marked	42
15 16	Deposition Exhibit 6 marked	43
17		
18	Read & Sign Letter	48
19	Errata Sheet	49
20	Certificate of Oath	50
21	Reporter's Certificate	51
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 MR. GRIFFIN: Let's take a role call on the 2 telephone first. 3 Kurt, this is Steve Griffin. I heard you 4 and Robert Graves were on the line. Maybe we can just go around the phone and let the court 5 6 reporter know who is in attendance, and who you 7 are. 8 MR. SCHRADER: This is Kurt Schrader, Public 9 Service Commission. 10 MS. DRAPER: This is Elizabeth Draper with 11 the Public Service Commission. MR. GRAVES: Robert Graves with the Public 12 Service Commission. 13 MR. GRIFFIN: Kurt, are you expecting 14 15 anybody else? MR. SCHRADER: No, we're not. 16 17 MR. GRIFFIN: Okay. We can get started. 18 COURT REPORTER: Sir, can I swear you in, 19 please? You do solemnly swear or affirm the 20 testimony you're about to give in this 21 proceeding will be the truth, the whole truth, 22 and nothing but the truth? 23 THE WITNESS: I do. 24 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 25 C. PEYTON GLEATON, JR.,

the witness herein, being first duly sworn, was 1 examined and testified as follows: 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 4 BY MR. GRIFFIN: Good afternoon, Mr. Gleaton. Would you 5 0 please state your full name and your business 6 7 address, please? 8 Charles Peyton Gleaton, Junior. I have to A 9 look at the business card to see the business 10 address. It's in Southport, Florida. 11 0 Would you mind looking at it? 12 A Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, 9434 13 Highway 77, Southport, Florida. Thank you, sir. My name is Steven Griffin. 14 0 15 I'm a lawyer with the law firm of Beggs and Lane in Pensacola, Florida. We've met before. I represent 16 17 Gulf Power Company in this proceeding. 18 We are obviously here today for your deposition, 19 which was noticed previously in Docket 20180125-EU, 20 in the complaint that is pending before the Public 21 Service Commission at this point in time. 22 Have you been deposed before? 23 Yes, once. A 24 Okay, and what was the nature of that 0 proceeding? 25

1	A It was a lawsuit that Gulf Power excuse
2	me, Gulf Coast was in with an employee.
3	Q So an employment dispute?
4	A Uh-huh.
5	Q How long ago approximately were you deposed?
6	A Probably four years.
7	Q Okay. Just some preliminary items. You may
8	recall this from your previous deposition, but we'll
9	go ahead and put them out there now.
10	If you could verbalize your responses to my
11	questions as opposed to nodding your head, that
12	would make things easier for the court reporter to
13	transcribe them.
14	Sometimes we have a tendency to nod rather than
15	answering yes or no, and so if I ask you to do that,
16	I'm not picking on you, I'm simply trying to keep
17	the record clear.
18	In that same vein, I will ask a question of you,
19	stop, and hopefully you will have an answer for my
20	question, and we will try to avoid speaking over one
21	another.
22	Again, that makes it difficult for the court
23	reporter if we're both talking at the same time. So
24	I will respect that, and hope that you will, too.
25	A Understood.

If you need to take a break for any Okay. 1 0 reason, please feel free to do that. I do not think 2 that this is going to take a significant period of 3 time. 4 A lot of the questions that we had were answered 5 during the course of written discovery in this case. 6 And so there are a few items that I would like to 7 explore, but again, I think we would likely be out 8 of here in one to two hours. 9 In terms of ground rules, the only other rule 10 that I would ask that you abide by is that if you 11 don't understand a question that I have asked you, 12 13 ask that I clarify it or rephrase it, and I'll do my best to do that. Otherwise I'm going to assume that 14 15 you understand the question that I've asked, is that fair? 16 17 A Very good. What did you do to prepare for your 18 Ο 19 deposition in this case? 20 I read through my emails. Α 21 0 Okay. Did you review any of the documents that have been submitted to the Florida Public 22 Service Commission in this proceeding up to this 23 date? 24 Some, but I couldn't tell you which ones. 25 A

1	Q Okay. Have you reviewed the complaint that
2	1990 — El transformation de la constant de la constant de la serie de la constant de la constant de la constant La constant de la const
	was filed by Gulf Coast Electric?
3	A I believe so, yes.
4	Q Have you reviewed the answer that was filed
5	by Gulf Power Company?
6	A Briefly, yes.
7	Q Have you reviewed the Motion for a Final
8	Summary Order, which is pending before the
9	commission right now?
10	A I really can't remember, but probably. yes.
11	Q Okay. Did you have occasion to review the
12	transcript of the deposition of Gulf Power witness,
13	Josh Rogers?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And when did you review that deposition
16	transcript?
17	A Last week.
18	Q Okay. Background information, I assume that
19	you went to high school, so I'm not going to ask
20	about that, but if you would, please just briefly
21	provide a description of your post secondary
22	education after high school.
23	A I received my bachelor's and later my
24	master's from Georgia Tech.
25	Q Georgia Tech?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay, and what did you receive your
3	bachelo:	r's degree in?
4	A	Electrical engineering.
5	Q	And how about your master's?
6	A	Electrical engineering.
7	Q	Okay, and do you also have your professional
8	enginee	ring license?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	When did you obtain that?
11	A	The latest one in Florida, when I moved to
12	Florida	in 2012.
13	Q	In 2012?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And prior to your moving to Florida, where
16	were you	u licensed as an engineer?
17	A	I was licensed in Georgia.
18	Q	For how long?
19	A	I can't remember exactly when I got it,
20	probabl	y 2000 and maybe 2000.
21	Q	Approximately 2000?
22	A	Approximately.
23	Q	Okay. You are currently the Vice-President
24	of Engi	neering for Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative,
25	is that	right?

-	
1	A Correct.
2	Q And you've been in that role for how long?
3	A A little over six year.
4	Q So you started in 2012, upon moving to the
5	state of Florida?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And who do you report to?
8	A I report to Francis Hinson.
9	Q Okay, and who is Francis Hinson?
10	A He's the Chief Operating Officer.
11	Q Okay. The C.O.O.?
12	A The C.O.O.
13	Q Do you report to the C.E.O. as well?
14	A Not directly.
15	Q Okay. How many direct reports do you have?
16	A Ten.
17	Q And how many individuals are within your
18	business unit?
19	For example, I'm not sure how you refer to it,
20	but the engineering department, for lack of a better
21	term, how many people are employed within the
22	engineering
23	A Including myself, 11.
24	Q And so your employment with Gulf Coast
25	Electric started in 2012?

1	A Correct.
2	Q Have you held any other positions with Gulf
3	Coast, other than the position of Vice-President of
4	Engineering?
5	A No.
6	Q And what are your job duties and
7	responsibilities as the V.P. of Engineering?
8	A Essentially I am over the design of the
9	electrical system.
10	Q Are there other components to your job?
11	A In what regards?
12	Q Well, let me just hand you a document that
13	we'll mark as Deposition Exhibit 1.
14	(Deposition Exhibit Number 1 marked for
15	identification)
16	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
17	Q All right. Mr. Gleaton, I've handed you a
18	document that appears to be the job description for
19	your position at Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative.
20	I'll represent to you that this document was
21	produced to Gulf Power Company, during the course of
22	discovery.
23	This document was produced in response to item
24	number nine of Gulf Power's First Request for
25	Production of Documents. Do you recognize this

Stewart & Shoman Reporting (850)769-7178 | www.panamacitycourtreporters.com

document? 1 2 А Yes. Okay, and there on the table at the top of 3 0 the page, it indicates that the job title is the 4 Vice-President of Engineering, correct? 5 6 А Correct. 7 And it indicates that your supervisor is the 0 8 C.E.O., is that right? 9 That's what it says, but that's not correct. A 10 Okay. That needs to be changed? 0 11 А Correct. Okay. On that same table, it indicates that 12 Q 13 the draft date for this document is January, 2013, is that right? 14 15 A That's what it says, yes. 16 And that there was a review date for this 0 document of January, 2015, is that right? 17 18 A That's what it says, yes. 19 Okay. Do you happen to know whether it's 0 20 been amended, or modified since January, 2015? 21 A I do not know, no. 22 Okay. For purposes of our discussion here 0 23 today, can we assume that this document accurately 24 describes your current job duties in your role as V.P.? 25

1	A I'd say more or less, yes.
2	Q Okay, and for those on the phone, it really
3	has just started pouring rain outside here. I may
4	just move up, and a little bit closer to the phone.
5	MR. MAY: Do you want to go off the record
6	for a second, Steve?
7	MR. GRIFFIN: Yes.
8	(Recess Taken)
9	MR. GRIFFIN: All right. Let's go back on
10	the record.
11	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
12	Q So picking up where we left off,
13	Mr. Gleaton, we were reviewing your job description,
14	and there under the first heading, titled Job
15	Summary, in the second sentence it indicates, quote,
16	that you are "Responsible for leading the technical
17	aspects of planning, design and development of
18	GCEC's electric distribution system", is that right?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And there shortly after that, it indicates
21	that one of your duties is to ensure that GCEC's
22	distribution systems are in compliance with
23	cooperative, governmental and legal guidelines and
24	standards to ensure both safety and the delivery of
25	the best possible level of service to cooperative

1	members, is that right?
2	A Yes. But I assume that means national
3	electric safety code and national electric code.
4	Q That's what you're assuming that means?
5	A Correct.
6	Q That's what you've interpreted that to mean?
7	A That's how I interpret that, yes.
8	Q All right. Let's turn to the second page of
9	that document, under the heading Reporting
10	Relationships, and then there's a subheading titled
11	External, do you see that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay, and there in the first sentence under
14	that subheading, it indicates that the Manager of
15	engineering must communicate and coordinate work
16	with managers and employees of other agencies, such
17	as PowerSouth, Tyndall Air Force Base, HiLine
18	Engineering, H-i-L-i-n-e Engineering, and the
19	Florida Public Service Commission to ensure that
20	GCEC's system meets all professional and legal
21	standards, is that correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And there under core job functions, in the
24	first bullet, it indicates that one of your core job
25	function, functions rather is to manage the day to

day operations for the Engineering Department, the 1 2 GIS-IT Department and the warehouse, is that right? 3 A Correct. And let me back up to the previous sentence 4 0 under the subheading External. That references the 5 Manager of Engineering, is that synonymous with the 6 7 Vice-President of Engineering? Is that you? I would say so, because there's no Manager 8 A 9 of Engineering. 10 Okay. So as far as you're concerned, that 0 11 is you? 12 A Correct. 13 0 All right. I am now going to hand you a 14 second document, which we will identify as 15 Deposition Exhibit Number 2. 16 (Deposition Exhibit Number 2 marked for identification) 17 BY MR. GRIFFIN: 18 And this document is a copy of the Affidavit 19 0 that you submitted in this proceeding on June 13th, 20 2018, is that right? 21 22 Correct. A Do you recognize that document? 23 Q 24 Yes. A 25 All right. I'd ask that you take a look at 0

1	paragraph three of that document, and read that for
2	the record, please?
3	A "During the entire time that I have been
4	employed by GCEC, I have never been designated,
5	authorized, or appointed by GCEC to receive notice
6	for any territorial agreement or any other legal or
7	contractural matters on behalf of GCEC."
8	Q Okay, and prior to October 20th, 2017, have
9	you ever personally informed anyone at Gulf Power
10	that you were not authorized or appointed by GCEC to
11	receive notice under the territorial agreement?
12	A No.
13	Q Are you aware of anyone from Gulf Coast
14	Electric informing anyone at Gulf Power Company on
15	or prior to October 20th, 2017, that you were not
16	authorized to receive notice under the territorial
17	agreement?
18	A Can you repeat that question?
19	Q Sure.
20	MR. GRIFFIN: Would you read that back,
21	please?
22	(The record was read as requested.)
23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
25	Q Okay. Are you aware of any publically

1	available documents or a platform website for
2	example, that would put members of the public on
3	notice, prior to October 20th, 2017, that you were
4	not authorized to receive notices under the
5	territorial agreement on behalf of Gulf Coast
6	Electric Cooperative?
7	A Again, repeat that question.
8	MR. GRIFFIN: Would you read it back,
9	please?
10	(The record was read as requested.)
11	MR. MAY: I'm going to object to that
12	question because it is a compound question that
13	includes terms that are not defined, and are
14	confusing.
15	MR. GRIFFIN: Okay. Well, we can try to
16	break it down to help alleviate that confusion.
17	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
18	Q Are you aware of any publically available
19	document that indicates that you were not authorized
20	to receive notice under the territorial agreement on
21	behalf of Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative?
22	A Am I aware of any publically public
23	documentation that I am not authorized to receive
24	notice?
25	Q Yes, sir.

i i		
	1	A No, I'm not aware.
	2	Q All right. Let's look at paragraph eight of
	3	the Affidavit, and there you say that prior to
3	4	January, 2018, and I'm quoting, "I was unaware of
	5	any territorial agreement between GCEC and Gulf
	6	Power", is that right?
	7	A Correct.
	8	Q And you stated earlier that you had been
	9	employed by Gulf Coast since 2012, is that right?
	10	A Correct.
	11	Q So I presume at some point in January, 2018,
	12	you did become aware of the existence of the
	13	territorial agreement between the parties, is that
	14	right?
	15	A Aware of a territorial agreement?
	16	Q Yes, sir.
	17	A Ask me that one more time.
	18	Q Sure. So when did you first become aware of
	19	the territorial agreement between the parties?
	20	MR. MAY: Objection, that assumes that there
	21	is a territorial agreement between the parties
	22	that he's aware of.
	23	MR. GRIFFIN: Well, he says prior to
	24	January, 2018, he was not aware of any
	25	territorial agreement.

1	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
2	Q Are you aware of a territorial agreement
3	between the parties?
4	A I don't know if that's the correct wording
5	of it.
6	Q I'm just using your wording here from your
7	Affidavit.
8	A I understand.
9	Q What did you mean when you referenced
10	territorial agreement there?
11	A The guidelines and procedures.
12	MR. GRIFFIN: Okay. Bruce, just for
13	purposes of clarity of the record, can we agree
14	that when I refer to territorial agreement, that
15	I'm referring to the procedures and guidelines
16	agreement that was approved by the commission?
17	MR. MAY: That's fair.
18	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
19	Q Okay. Are you okay with that?
20	A That's good.
21	Q All right. So are you aware of the
22	existence of a territorial agreement between Gulf
23	Coast Electric and Gulf Power Company?
24	A Yes.
25	Q When did you first become aware of that

territorial agreement? 1 2 Α In January of 2018. Okay, and how did you become aware of the 3 0 territorial agreement? 4 How did I become aware? The second email 5 A 6 from Josh Rogers, that I forwarded to -- I'm trying 7 to remember if I actually forwarded that to the 8 C.E.O. or not, as well as the C.O.O. 9 Are you referring to a January 12th, 2018 0 email from Josh Rogers to you? 10 11 Α Correct. 12 And that was the first instance that you had 0 13 become aware of the territorial agreement between the parties, correct? 14 15 A That was not the first agreement, but that's the timeline that I became aware. 16 17 From that email, I got counsel advice as far as to what this is about. 18 19 0 Right, and I will not ask you about any advice from your counsel, that's privileged 20 information. But I'm just trying to put some 21 22 parameters and timelines around when people realized 23 things in this case. 24 So January 12th is the date that you became 25 aware of the existence of a territorial agreement

1	between Gulf Power and Gulf Coast?
2	A Roughly.
3	Q Now, at that point in time, when you
4	received that email from Mr. Rogers, without going
5	into any conversations you may have had with your
6	legal counsel, what did you do?
7	A At that time?
8	Q Yes, sir.
9	A I did forward that to, if I'm correct, the
10	C.O.O., my immediate supervisor, and the C.E.O., to
11	discuss with the attorney.
12	Q Okay. In your role as Vice-President of
13	Engineering, do you have occasion to assist
14	customers in responding to requests for electrical
15	service?
16	A My role is more to assist my employees to
17	assist concerns.
18	Q You're in more of a supervisory capacity, is
19	that right?
20	A Correct.
21	Q That being said, have there been instances,
22	on or prior to January, 2018, when you've actually
23	engaged one on one with customers who are requesting
24	electric service from Gulf Coast?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Okay, and that's not uncommon, is it?
2	A No.
3	Q I am now going to hand you a third document,
4	which we will mark as Deposition Exhibit 3.
5	(Deposition Exhibit Number 3 marked for
6	identification)
7	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
8	Q And this is a copy of what appears to be an
9	email from yourself to Joshua Rogers at Gulf Power,
10	dated January 8th, 2018. Do you recognize that
11	document?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And I'll represent to you that that was
14	produced by Gulf Coast Electric in response to item
15	number six of our first request for production of
16	documents.
17	Could you please read the body of that email
18	into the record?
19	A "Joshua, please accept this email as notice
20	that a consumer has requested Gulf Coast Electric
21	Cooperative provide power to their proposed lift
22	station at 1900 Highway 388 West in Bay County,
23	pursuant to section 2.3(a) of our agreement.
24	Extension of our lines to serve this customer would
25	not result in any duplication of facilities."

1	O Co baged on your providua testimony that you
1	Q So based on your previous testimony that you
2	had not become aware of the existence of a
3	territorial agreement between Gulf Power and Gulf
4	Coast Electric Cooperative until January 12th, 2018,
5	which agreement are you referring to in this email?
6	MR. MAY: Objection, you mischaracterized
7	the testimony of the witness. The witness said
8	roughly around January 12th.
9	MR. GRIFFIN: I don't know that I
10	mischaracterized his testimony, but I'll
11	recenter the question in a way hopefully that is
12	clearer.
13	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
14	Q You reference section 2.3(a) of our
15	agreement in this January 8th, 2018 email, do you
16	not?
17	A This is a courtesy advisement to Joshua
18	Rogers. And actually I copied his email to me on
19	October the 20th in the wording.
20	Q So when you're referring to section 2.3(a)
21	of our agreement, which agreement are you referring
22	to there?
23	A Whichever one Josh was referring to.
24	Q So at the time you drafted this email, you
25	had not reviewed any territorial agreement

1	A I still had not seen any territorial
2	agreement requesting
3	Q Let's stop, because we're talking over one
4	another. So let me ask it again.
5	At the time that you sent this email to
6	Mr. Rogers on January 8th, 2018, you had not
7	reviewed the territorial agreement between Gulf
8	Coast Electric and Gulf Power Company, correct?
9	A Correct.
10	Q Did anyone at Gulf Coast Electric ask you to
11	send this notice on January 8th?
12	A No.
13	Q Did anyone at Gulf Coast Electric assist you
14	in preparing the notice?
15	A No.
16	Q And at the time that you sent this notice to
17	Mr. Rogers, you were not aware that Gulf Power
18	Company had received a request for electrical
19	service at the lift station identified at the
20	address that you've included here, correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. Your notice doesn't identify the name
23	of the customer requesting service, does it?
24	A No, it does not.
25	Q Your notice does not identify the size of

1		
	1	the load to be served, does it?
	2	A No, it does not.
	3	Q Your notice does not reference the nature of
	4	the agreement referenced in the email, does it?
	5	A No, it does not.
	6	Q Your notice does not provide Mr. Rogers with
	7	the location of Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative's
	8	nearest existing electrical facilities, does it?
	9	A No, it does not.
	10	Q Okay. Your notice was sent via email,
	11	correct?
	12	A Correct.
	13	Q Was it sent via any other meanings? For
	14	example, certified mail?
	15	A No.
	16	Q Did you send a copy of the notice to the
	17	Beggs and Lane Law Firm, or to Gulf Power Company's
	18	Manager of Rates and Regulatory Matters?
	19	A No.
	20	Q So I believe you testified earlier that your
	21	work address was 9434 Highway 77 in Southport,
	22	Florida, is that right?
	23	A Correct.
	24	Q Is that Gulf Coast's Southport office?
	25	A Yes.

1	Q And how long have you been officed at that
2	address?
3	A Six years.
4	Q Since you began in 2012?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And the Southport office, I'll just refer to
7	it as the Southport office if that's okay, is that
8	located directly at the intersection of Highway 77
9	and Highway 388, or Edwards Road?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay, and if you know, approximately how
12	many miles away is the Southport office from the
13	location of the lift station identified at 119
14	1900 Highway 388 West?
15	A Approximately how far?
16	Q Yes, sir.
17	A I'm guessing three miles.
18	Q Mr. Rogers, I'll tell you that he testified
19	in his deposition that it was approximately three
20	point five. So I've not plotted it out, but that's
21	close.
22	Have you physically visited the lift station
23	site that is the subject of this dispute?
24	A I've driven by it.
25	Q And when did you first drive by it?

Stewart & Shoman Reporting (850)769-7178 | www.panamacitycourtreporters.com

÷.		
	1	MR. MAY: Objection, that question assumes
	2	he was aware of the existence of the lift
	3	station on October 20, 2017.
	4	MR. GRIFFIN: Well, that wasn't my question.
	5	I asked him if he had driven by the site. He
	6	said that he had.
	7	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
	8	Q And I'm simply asking when that first
	9	occurred, if you know?
	10	A I'd say sometime in January of 2012.
	11	Q Okay.
	12	A As that's my normal route to work, when I
	13	work at Southport.
	14	Q Okay. Do you reside in Bay County?
	15	A No.
	16	Q What county do you reside in?
	17	A Walton.
	18	Q Okay, and so do you traverse Highway 388 to
	19	get to and from work every day?
	20	A When I work in Southport.
	21	Q When you work in Southport?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q Which is your principal office, correct?
	24	A Correct.
	25	Q And where you have worked since 2012,

correct? 1 2 That's been my principal office, yes. Α And how long have you resided at your 3 0 current address in Walton County? 4 5 A Since 2016. Well, you've indicated that you reviewed the 6 0 7 deposition transcript of Mr. Rogers, correct? A Correct. 8 Do you recall Mr. Rogers' testimony that he 9 0 10 also traversed Highway 388 to and from work during 11 that period of time? 12 I'm sorry, let me clarify. At or around October 13 of 2017, Mr. Rogers traversed that roadway also, do 14 you recall that testimony? 15 A Actually, no, I do not. But continue. 16 0 Okay. I've got a copy of his deposition 17 transcript right here. I'll let you read it. So it begins at page 34 of his deposition where 18 I've started this highlighting, and runs all the way 19 20 to page 36 here. 21 MR. GRIFFIN: And, Bruce, I'm sorry, I 22 didn't really anticipate using this, so I don't 23 have a copy for you. But I'll bring it here, 24 and just put it in between you. This is my 25 copy.

1	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
2	Q So just begin here, and go all the way up
3	through page 36, where I indicated.
4	A (Reads transcript).
5	Q So having read the transcript of Mr. Rogers'
6	deposition, the relevant portions that I identified,
7	would you agree with me that Mr. Rogers indicated
8	that at or around a period in early October, as he
9	was traversing Highway 388, he noticed visible
10	construction activity on that roadway?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And he noticed that there was a pipeline
13	contractor, which he identified as Royal American,
14	that was running a force main along Highway 388,
15	correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And he indicated that that force main
18	construction began at the intersection of Highway
19	77, where the Southport office is, and traversed for
20	a number of miles along Highway 388, correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q He also indicated that at that period in
23	time, i.e., October 11, 2017, there were areas for
24	the footprints of the lift stations, both of them,
25	on Highway 388 that had been cleared of trees,

Stewart & Shoman Reporting (850)769-7178 | www.panamacitycourtreporters.com

1	correct?
2	A There had been an area cleared of trees, but
3	how can you tell if their lift station was going
4	there?
5	Q I'm not asking that question, I just asked
6	if there were areas that were cleared of trees that
7	were visible from the roadway?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. In your role as V.P. of Engineering
10	for Gulf Coast Electric, if you're out in the field,
11	and you notice development activity in an area that
12	could potentially require electrical service, is
13	that significant to you in any way?
14	A I'm sorry, will you rephrase that?
15	Q Sure. It was not a clear question.
16	In your role as V.P. of Engineering at Gulf
17	Coast Electric, if you come across development
18	activity in an area, and you're not certain of what
19	it is, do you ever inquire?
20	A On occasions.
21	Q So for example, now if you're out in the
22	field, just happening upon your day, and you see
23	what appears to be a new residential development
24	going in, that you were not aware of previously,
25	would that be something that you might inquire about
because they might need electrical service? 1 2 A Yes. Okay. I'm going to hand you a fourth 3 0 document, which we will mark as Deposition Exhibit 4 5 4. (Deposition Exhibit Number 4 marked for 6 identification) 7 BY MR. GRIFFIN: 8 All right. This is an email from you to 9 0 10 Francis Hinson dated October 20th, 2017, right? 11 А Correct. 12 0 And in this email, you were forwarding a 13 copy of Mr. Rogers' October 20th, 2017, email to 14 yourself, right? 15 A Ask me that one more time. 16 Q Put simply, you received Mr. Rogers' email 17 on October 20th at 1:21 p.m. central time. Then at 2:18 p.m. central time, you forwarded that to a 18 Mr. Francis Hinson, correct? 19 20 A Correct. And you testified earlier that Mr. Hinson is 21 0 the C.O.O. for Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, is 22 that right? 23 24 Correct. A 25 Q And I assume -- am I correct to assume that

Stewart & Shoman Reporting (850)769-7178 | www.panamacitycourtreporters.com

1	as of October 20th, 2017, you reported directly to
2	Mr. Hinson?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Okay, and there you say, quote, "FYI: This
5	is on CR388 just east of the airport", close quote,
6	correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Did Mr. Hinson respond to your email?
9	A No.
10	Q Did he have a conversation with you about
11	it?
12	A He and I had a conversation about it, yes.
13	Q Okay, and what was the nature of that
14	conversation?
15	A I was not aware of any agreement that we had
16	with Gulf Power, and I was inquiring to Francis if
17	he knew of any agreement with Gulf Power.
18	I assumed that the lift station was the one just
19	immediately after the airport, abutting Gulf Power's
20	line. I was kind of wondering why Joshua had
21	emailed me that they planned to serve.
22	Q What did Mr. Hinson say to you, if anything,
23	in regard to the existence of a territorial
24	agreement?
25	A He was not aware of any, and asked me to

1	forward him the email.
2	Q Okay. So as of October 20th, 2017, your
3	C.O.O. was not aware of a territorial agreement
4	between the parties, is that right?
5	A That's what he indicated to me.
6	Q So the airport that you were referring to
7	there in your email is the Panama City Airport?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And it's in Bay County, correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Okay, and you received the email at 1:21
12	p.m., is that right?
13	A That's what it says, yes.
14	Q And at 2:18, you had reached the conclusion
15	that Mr. Rogers was referring to a lift station that
16	was on County Road 388, just east of the Panama City
17	Airport in Bay County, Florida, is that correct?
18	A The only lift station that I was aware of
19	was the one on 388, just immediately east of the
20	airport, and abutting Gulf Power's line, yes.
21	Q And the lift station that you're referring
22	to, had that been constructed at that point in time,
23	in October of 2017?
24	A Yes.
25	Q So there were bricks and mortar on the

1 ground, so to speak? 2 A So to speak. 3 Well, I don't want to put words in your 0 mouth. I mean if you drove by there on 4 5 October 20th, 2017, what would you have seen? 6 If I recall correctly, you would've seen the A lift station itself without electric service yet. 7 8 Okay. Did you do any further research, 0 9 between the time that you had received Mr. Rogers 10 email, and the time that you sent your email to 11 Mr. Hinson, to determine what exactly Mr. Rogers was 12 referring to in his email to you? 13 I briefly glanced at the Bay County Property A 14 Appraiser's map for that parcel number, and saw that 15 388 ran to that parcel. And so I assumed that my 16 assumption was correct, and that's the lift station 17 that he's referring to. So you entered the parcel I.D. that 18 0 19 Mr. Rogers provided in his October 20th email into 20 the Bay County Property Appraiser's website prior to 21 sending this email to Mr. Hinson? Either before or right after. 22 A 23 0 And you didn't respond to Mr. Rogers' email, 24 did you? 25 A No.

1	Q You didn't indicate that you were confused
2	in any way by it, did you?
3	A No.
4	MR. GRIFFIN: That's all I have at this
5	point.
6	MR. MAY: Kurt, this is Bruce May, do you
7	all have any questions?
8	MR. SCHRADER: I do not, unless anyone else
9	does. I think we are set.
10	MR. MAY: I just had a couple of follow-up
11	questions.
12	CROSS EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. MAY:
14	Q I just want to get some clarification, Mr.
15	Gleaton, because you and Mr. Griffin had some
16	interchange about an agreement, a territorial
17	agreement, and a procedures and guidelines document.
18	I just want for the record to get very clearly a
19	clear understanding myself about what your knowledge
20	was on October 20th, 2017.
21	When you received the email from Mr. Rogers on
22	October 20, 2017, were you aware of a territorial
23	agreement
24	A No.
25	Q Between GCEC and Gulf Power?

1	A No, I was not.
2	Q Please let me finish. As Mr. Griffin, I'd
3	ask for the same grounds.
4	Were you aware of a procedures and guidelines
5	document that purported to govern the relationship
6	between Gulf Power and GCEC?
7	A No.
8	Q Can you take a look at Deposition Exhibit
9	Number 4? It's one page with two emails.
10	One is the email from Joshua Rogers, dated
11	October 20, and then there's an email where you
12	forwarded Mr. Rogers' email to Mr. Hinson, do you
13	see that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Looking at the email dated October 20, 2017,
16	from Mr. Joshua Rogers to you, is there any
17	reference to a territorial agreement in that email?
18	A There's reference to an agreement, but I
19	don't know what type of agreement.
20	Q The question is, is there any reference to a
21	territorial agreement in that email?
22	A I can't tell, no.
23	Q Is there any reference to a procedures and
24	guidelines document?
25	A No.

1	Q Mr. Gleaton, when you read the October 20,
2	2017, email from Mr. Rogers, what was your immediate
3	reaction?
4	A I appreciated him sending the notification
5	about the lift station. I assumed it was the lift
6	station on 388, just up under our facility. I was
7	kind of curious as to why he would notify us was
8	a little bit confused about the agreement. That's
9	why I talked to my C.E.O., the C.O.O.
10	Q After you discussed the email from
11	Mr. Rogers on October 20th with Mr. Hinson, did you
12	give the October 20th email any more thought?
13	A Not a second thought.
14	Q On October 20th, 2017, were you aware that
15	there was more than one lift station being
16	constructed, or planned to be constructed along
17	Highway 388 West?
18	A Not at that time, no.
19	Q Were you aware of a lift station that was
20	under construction along Highway 388 West on
21	October 20th, 2017?
22	A Was I aware of a lift station?
23	Q Yes.
24	A I was aware of one lift station, yes.
25	Q And where was that?

Stewart & Shoman Reporting (850)769-7178 | www.panamacitycourtreporters.com

in was studied.	
1	A That was on 388, just east of the airport,
2	directly abutting Gulf Power's line.
3	Q When did you first learn that there were two
4	lift stations being planned for construction along
5	Highway 388 West?
6	A When I received a phone call from Don Hamm
7	with Bay County, inquiring if we could serve the
8	lift station at 1900 West Highway 388.
9	Q Did Mr. Hamm provide the physical address
10	for the lift station that he requested service to?
11	A Yes, he did.
12	Q And what was that physical address?
13	A There was actually two of them that he
14	inquired about. And he assumed that Gulf Power was
15	going to serve one of them, and asked if we could
16	serve the one at 1900. I can't remember the address
17	of that.
18	Q Was the other one, to your knowledge, was it
19	3815 West Highway 388?
20	A That sounds correct, yes.
21	Q And is the 3815 Highway 388 West lift
22	station, is that the lift station that you assumed
23	that Mr. Rogers' email was referring to?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Did Mr. Rogers' October 20 email let you

-	
1	know that there was a second lift station?
2	A It did not.
3	Q Did Mr. Rogers' October 20 email
4	differentiate between the lift station at 3815
5	Highway 388 West, and the lift station at 1900
6	Highway 388 West?
7	A It did not.
8	Q Can you take a look at Deposition Exhibit
9	Number 4 one more time?
10	A Okay.
11	Q Mr. Rogers makes reference to a parcel
12	number in his email, is that correct?
13	A Correct.
14	Q As an engineer, is a parcel number without
15	an associated county a valid location?
16	A No.
17	Q Did anything in your review of the Bay
18	County website lead you to believe that the lift
19	station referenced in the October 20 email was not
20	the lift station just east of the airport,
21	immediately abutting Gulf Power's facilities?
22	A No.
23	Q Did anything in the October 20 email from
24	Mr. Rogers alert you that you needed to respond
25	within five days, or run the risk of waiving GCEC's
1	

1 right to serve? 2 A No. 3 Did the October 20, 2017, email mention 0 anything about a deadline by which you needed to 4 5 respond? 6 A No. 7 0 Mr. Gleaton, you said that you first learned that there were two lift stations along Highway 388 8 West when Mr. Hamm, with Bay County, called you on 9 10 December 14, is that correct? 11 A That's correct. 12 Did Mr. Hamm request that GCEC serve the 0 13 lift station at 1900 Highway 388 West? 14 MR. GRIFFIN: Bruce, I'm going to interpose 15 an objection at this point. I've remained 16 silent, and provided what I thought was a fair 17 amount of latitude for clarity of the record. 18 But now we're straying off to issues that are 19 far beyond the sufficiency of the notice, as 20 limited by the procedural order in this case. 21 So I'm objecting. 22 MR. MAY: Deposition noted. We're definitely focusing on the sufficiency of 23 24 notice, because the notice goes to the physical 25 addresses of the lift station in question.

1 BY MR. MAY: When Mr. Hamm made the request for service, 2 0 did he provide a physical address for the lift 3 station? 4 In his emails, yes. 5 A 6 0 And what was that physical address? 7 The 3815, is that correct, Highway 388 West, A and 1900 Highway 388. 8 Was he requesting that GCEC serve the 3815 9 0 10 lift station, or the 1900 lift station? 11 No, he assumed that Gulf Power would serve A the 3815. 12 He was requesting that Gulf Coast Electrical 13 14 Cooperative serve the 1900 388. 15 Now, let's turn back to Deposition Exhibit 0 16 Number 4. Did Mr. Rogers email to you of 17 October 20, 2017, provide a physical address? 18 A No. 19 0 In terms of locating the lift station in 20 question, when Mr. Hamm requested service, did 21 Mr. Hamm or Bay County provide GCEC with any written 22 information? 23 A Yes. And was that written information in the form 24 Q of emails? 25

1	A Correct.
2	Q And documents attached to emails?
3	A Correct.
4	Q I'm going to identify Deposition Exhibit
5	Number 5.
6	(Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked for
7	identification)
8	BY MR. MAY:
9	Q Now, you indicated that when Mr. Hamm with
10	Bay County requested service from GCEC to serve the
11	lift station at 1900 Highway 300 West 388 West,
12	Bay County provided you with information, is that
13	correct?
14	A Correct.
15	Q And did GCEC provide any information to Bay
16	County regarding Bay County's request for service?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Looking at what's been marked as Deposition
19	Exhibit Number 5, can you turn to page 8-012?
20	And this is documents that GCEC has provided to
21	Gulf Power in response to Gulf Power's Request for
22	Production of Documents, number eight.
23	A Okay.
24	Q At the top of the page 8-012, there's an
25	email from Mr. Hamm with Bay County to you, do you

see that? 1 2 A Yes. 3 Can you read that email for the record? 0 "Peyton, attached is the info for the two 4 A lift stations on SR388. Please let me know if you 5 6 need any more info. Thanks, Don." 7 I'm going to identify a document and mark it 0 8 as Deposition Exhibit Number 6. 9 (Deposition Exhibit Number 6 marked for identification) 10 11 BY MR. MAY: Mr. Gleaton, have you had a chance to review 12 0 13 this document? 14 A Yes. 15 0 Can you describe what this document is? It's a 911 address for the two lift 16 A 17 stations, plus an electrical and mechanical diagram of the lift stations. 18 19 0 Is this the information that Don Hamm 20 attached his email to you, dated December 14? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Which is marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 23 5? 24 A Yes. 25 MR. GRIFFIN: Bruce, was this document

produced to Gulf Power Company in response to 1 2 our discovery request? 3 MR. MAY: I think it was. 4 MR. GRIFFIN: I don't think I've seen it. 5 MR. MAY: If not, we're clarifying that we wanted to provide the attachments. 6 7 MR. GRIFFIN: Okay. BY MR. MAY: 8 9 On the second page of what's been marked as 0 Deposition Exhibit Number 6, page two, what is that 10 11 reference to 1900 Highway 388 West? 12 Α Repeat the question. 13 0 Yes. What is the purpose of this letter 14 from Bay County Builders Services to St. Joseph Land 15 and Development Company? 16 This is a physical address for the location. A 17 Q The location of what? 18 The lift station. A 19 Was that the lift station that you were 0 20 requested to serve? 21 A Correct. 22 Again, did Mr. Rogers' email of October 20, 0 23 2017, provide that physical address verification? 24 A No, it did not. 25 0 On page three of what's been marked as

Deposition Exhibit 6 --1 2 A Yes. 3 Can you describe what that is? 0 4 A It's an electrical riser diagram. 5 And what did you use this electrical diagram 0 information for? 6 7 A Sizing load. 8 0 Sizing the load for what? 9 A Sizing the load for the lift station. 10 What lift station? Q 11 A This actually is diagramming the work for 12 either lift station, but I used it for the 1900 13 Highway 388 West lift station. 14 0 Did Mr. Rogers' email of October 20, 2017, 15 provide this kind of electrical information to you? 16 A No, it did not. 17 0 Mr. Gleaton, I want to refer you back to 18 your email of January 8, 2018, to Mr. Joshua Rogers, 19 marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 3. 20 In response to a question from Mr. Griffin, you stated that you simply copied Mr. Rogers' 21 October 20, 2017, email, do you recall that? 22 23 A Yes. 24 0 Can you explain what motivated you to copy 25 Mr. Rogers' email of October 20?

	1	A I assumed that Mr. Rogers had just given me
	2	courtesy notice, courtesy advisement of the lift
	3	station he intended to serve. And likewise, I
	4	provided similar notification to him of the lift
	5	station we intended to serve.
	6	Q Now, when you say copied, I don't see where
	7	Mr. Rogers' October 20, 2017, email identified the
	8	physical location of the lift station?
	9	A It did not.
	10	Q But your email to Mr. Rogers on January 8th
	11	did?
	12	A Correct.
	13	Q In response to Mr. Griffin's question,
	14	Mr. Gleaton, you stated that you never responded to
	15	Mr. Rogers' October 20 email, is that right?
	16	A Correct.
	17	Q By not responding to Mr. Rogers' October 20
	18	email, did you intend for GCEC to waive any rights
	19	it had to serve the lift station, referenced in
	20	Rogers' email?
	21	A No.
	22	MR. MAY: That's all the questions I have.
	23	MR. GRIFFIN: I don't have any more
	24	questions. I think that would conclude the
	25	deposition. We'd like to order a copy of it.
1		

Stewart & Shoman Reporting (850)769-7178 | www.panamacitycourtreporters.com

- 14		
	1	Kurt, everybody on the phone, I think we're
	2	ready to conclude, if you are.
	3	MR. SCHRADER: Yeah, we're all set.
	4	MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you, very much.
	5	MR. MAY: Thank you.
	6	COURT REPORTER: Mr. May, does your client
	7	with to read or waive?
	8	MR. MAY: We'd like to read.
	9	COURT REPORTER: Would you like a copy of
	10	the deposition as well? He has ordered it.
	11	MR. MAY: We need it as well. We've got a
	12	brief due, I think a week from today actually.
	13	COURT REPORTER: So I'll forward you a copy.
	14	MR. MAY: That'd be nice.
	15	MR. GRIFFIN: What is the turnaround you can
	16	give me on this? I've got a brief due on the
	17	11th, so I need it as soon as I can.
	18	COURT REPORTER: By Friday, the 7th, or do
	19	you need it sooner?
	20	MR. GRIFFIN: Sooner.
	21	COURT REPORTER: I can get it by tomorrow?
	22	MR. GRIFFIN: Yeah. I mean the expedited
	23	fee is fine.
	24	COURT REPORTER: Okay. Thank you.
	25	(Deposition concluded.)

```
Stewart & Shoman Reporting
1
                2101 Northside Drive, Unit 203
2
                  Panama City, Florida 32405
3
    September 5, 2018
4
5
    D. Bruce May, Jr.
    Holland & Knight
     315 South Calhoun Street
6
     Suite 600
    Tallahassee, Florida 32301
7
8
          Complaint against Gulf Power Company for
    RE:
     expedited enforcement of territorial order, by Gulf
9
     Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.
10
    Dear Mr. May:
11
    Attached please find your copy of the deposition of
12
    C. PEYTON GLEATON, JR., which was taken in the
     above-styled cause on September 4, 2018.
13
    After the witness has completed the Errata Sheet,
    please return it for inclusion in the original
14
     transcript. It is suggested that the review of this
    transcript be completed within 30 days of your
15
     receipt of this letter, as considered reasonable
16
    under Federal Rules; however, there is no Florida
     Statute to this regard.
17
    The original of this transcript has been forwarded
    to the ordering party and your errata, once
18
     received, will be forwarded to all ordering parties
    for inclusion in the transcript.
19
20
    Sincerely,
    Lisa Patrick
21
22
    cc: Steven R. Griffin, Esq.
                            , hereby waive the
23
    Waiver:
              I,
     reading & signing of my deposition transcript.
24
    Deponent Signature
                                   Date
25
```

1	Please attach to the September 4, 2018, deposition of C. PEYTON GLEATON, JR., in the Complaint against
2	Gulf Power Company for expedited enforcement of territorial order.
3	INSTRUCTIONS: Please read the transcript of your
4	deposition and make note on this page of any changes. Do not mark on the transcript itself.
5	Please sign and date this sheet.
6	ERRATA SHEET
7	PAGE LINE ERROR OR AMENDMENT REASON
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have
22	read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered
23	here.
24	DATE C. PEYTON GLEATON, JR.
25	DATE C. FEITON GLEATON, DR.

1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF BAY)
4	
5	I, the undersigned authority, certify that C. PEYTON
6	GLEATON, JR., personally appeared before me on the
7	4th day of September, 2018, and was duly sworn.
8	
9	WITNESS my hand and official seal this 5th day of
10	September, 2018.
11	
12	Aroa Datut
13	
14	LISA PATRICK, COURT REPORTER
15	Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No. GG2815
16	Expires: July 1, 2020
17	***************************************
18	LISA PATRICK
19	MY COMMISSION # GG2815 EXPIRES: July 01, 2020
20	S TO THE SAME AND
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF BAY)
4	
5	I, Lisa Patrick, Court Reporter, do hereby certify
6	that I was authorized to and did stenographically
7	report the deposition of C. PEYTON GLEATON, JR.;
8	that a review of the transcript was requested; and
9	that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 51,
10	is a true and complete record of my stenographic
11	notes.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
13	employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the
14	parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of
15	the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the
16	action, nor am I financially interested in the
17	action.
18	DATED this 5th day of September, 2018, at Panama
19	City, Bay County, Florida.
20	$\rightarrow (()) \neq ()$
21	Aisa Facuet
22	Lisa Patrick
23	
24	
25	

29:19 ahead 6:9 2016 28:5 Exhibits 7th 47:18 Air 14:17 2017 16:8,15 17:3 27:3 28:13 29:23 31:10.13 airport 32:5,19 33:6,7, **DEPOSITION EXHIBI** 32:1 33:2.23 34:5 8 17.20 38:1 39:20 T NUMBER 1 35:20.22 36:15 37:2.14. alert 39:24 21 40:3 41:17 44:23 DEPOSITION EXHIBI 8 45:18 45:14,22 46:7 **T NUMBER 2** alleviate 17:16 8-012 42:19,24 2018 15:21 18:4,11,24 DEPOSITION EXHIBI amended 12:20 20:2.9 21:22 22:10 8th 22:10 23:15 24:6,11 T NUMBER 3 23:4,15 24:6 45:18 American 29:13 46:10 DEPOSITION EXHIBI 20180125-EU 5:19 amount 40:17 T NUMBER 4 9 20th 16:8,15 17:3 23:19 answering 6:15 **DEPOSITION EXHIBI** 31:10.13.17 32:1 33:2 anticipate 28:22 **T NUMBER 5** 34:5,19 35:20 37:11,12, 911 43:16 14,21 appears 11:18 22:8 DEPOSITION EXHIBI 9434 5:12 25:21 30:23 T NUMBER 6 2:18 31:18 33:14 appointed 16:5,10 Α 1 3 Appraiser's 34:14,20 abide 7:11 appreciated 37:4 1 11:13,14 3 22:4.5 45:19 abutting 32:19 33:20 approved 19:16 11 10:23 29:23 300 42:11 38:2 39:21 approximately 6:5 119 26:13 34 28:18 accept 22:19 9:21,22 26:11,15,19 11th 47:17 36 28:20 29:3 accurately 12:23 area 30:2,11,18 12th 20:9,24 23:4,8 3815 38:19,21 39:4 activity 29:10 30:11,18 areas 29:23 30:6 41:7,9,12 13th 15:20 address 5:7.10 24:20 aspects 13:17 388 22:22 26:9,14 27:18 25:21 26:2 28:4 38:9. 14 40:10 43:20 assist 21:13,16,17 28:10 29:9,14,20,25 12,16 41:3,6,17 43:16 1900 22:22 26:14 38:8, 24:13 33:16,19 34:15 37:6,17, 44:16.23 16 39:5 40:13 41:8,10, 20 38:1,5,8,19,21 39:5, assume 7:14 8:18 addresses 40:25 14 42:11 44:11 45:12 6 40:8,13 41:7,8,14 12:23 14:2 31:25 42:11 44:11 45:13 advice 20:17,20 1:21 31:17 33:11 assumed 32:18 34:15 advisement 23:17 37:5 38:14.22 41:11 4 46:2 2 46.1 Affidavit 15:19 18:3 assumes 18:20 27:1 4 31:5,6 36:9 39:9 41:16 2 15:15,16 19:7 assuming 14:4 2.3(a) 22:23 23:14,20 affirm 4:19 5 assumption 34:16 afternoon 5:5 20 27:3 35:22 36:11,15 attached 42:2 43:4.20 37:1 38:25 39:3, 19, 23 5 42:5,6,19 43:23 agencies 14:16 40:3 41:17 44:22 45:14, attachments 44:6 agree 19:13 29:7 22,25 46:7,15,17 6 attendance 4:6 2000 9:20,21 agreement 16:6,11,17 attorney 21:11 17:5,20 18:5,13,15,19, 2012 9:12,13 10:4,25 6 43:8,9 44:10 45:1 21,25 19:2,10,14,16,22 authorized 16:5,10,16 18:9 26:4 27:10,25 20:1,4,13,15,25 22:23 17:4,19,23 2013 12:13 7 23:3,5,15,21,25 24:2,7 25:4 32:15,17,24 33:3 avoid 6:20 2015 12:17.20 35:16,17,23 36:17,18, 77 5:13 25:21 26:8 aware 16:13,25 17:18, 19,21 37:8

Index: 1..aware

Stewart & Shoman Reporting (850)769-7178 | www.panamacitycourtreporters.com

Index: bachelor's..deposition 22 18:1,12,15,18,22,24 called 40:9 compound 17:12 correctly 34:6 19:2,21,25 20:3,5,13, capacity 21:18 concerned 15:10 16,25 23:2 24:17 27:2 counsel 20:17,20 21:6 30:24 32:15,25 33:3.18 card 5:9 concerns 21:17 county 22:22 27:14,16 35:22 36:4 37:14,19,22, case 7:6,19 20:23 40:20 28:4 33:9,16,17 34:13, conclude 46:24 47:2 24 20 38:7 39:15,18 40:9 central 31:17,18 concluded 47:25 41:21 42:10,12,16,25 в 44:14 certified 25:14 conclusion 33:14 County's 42:16 chance 43:12 confused 35:1 37:8 bachelor's 8:23 9:3 couple 35:10 changed 12:10 confusing 17:14 back 13:9 15:4 16:20 17:8 41:15 45:17 Charles 5:8 court 4:5,18,24 6:12,22 confusion 17:16 47:6,9,13,18,21,24 Background 8:18 Chief 10:10 constructed 33:22 courtesy 23:17 46:2 37:16 Base 14:17 City 33:7,16 CR388 32:5 construction 29:10,18 based 23:1 clarification 35:14 37:20 38:4 **CROSS** 35:12 Bay 22:22 27:14 33:9, clarify 7:13 28:12 consumer 22:20 curious 37:7 17 34:13,20 38:7 39:17 clarifying 44:5 40:9 41:21 42:10,12,15, continue 28:15 current 12:24 28:4 16,25 44:14 clarity 19:13 40:17 contractor 29:13 customer 22:24 24:23 began 26:4 29:18 clear 6:17 30:15 35:19 contractural 16:7 customers 21:14.23 Beggs 5:15 25:17 cleared 29:25 30:2.6 conversation 32:10. begin 29:2 clearer 23:12 12.14 D begins 28:18 client 47:6 conversations 21:5 date 7:24 12:13,16 behalf 16:7 17:5,21 close 26:21 32:5 cooperative 5:12 9:24 20:24 11:19 13:23,25 17:6,21 bit 13:4 37:8 closer 13:4 dated 22:10 31:10 22:21 23:4 31:22 41:14 body 22:17 Coast 5:12 6:2 8:2 9:24 36:10,15 43:20 Cooperative's 25:7 10:24 11:3,19 16:13 break 7:1 17:16 day 14:25 15:1 27:19 17:5,21 18:9 19:23 coordinate 14:15 30:22 bricks 33:25 21:1,24 22:14,20 23:4 copied 23:18 45:21 24:8,10,13 25:7 30:10, days 39:25 briefly 8:6,20 34:13 46:6 17 31:22 41:13 deadline 40:4 bring 28:23 copy 15:19 22:8 25:16 Coast's 25:24 December 40:10 43:20 28:16,23,25 31:13 Bruce 19:12 28:21 35:6 code 14:3 45:24 46:25 47:9,13 40:14 43:25 defined 17:13 commission 4:9,11,13 core 14:23.24 Builders 44:14 degree 9:3 5:21 7:23 8:9 14:19 correct 9:9 10:1 11:1 **bullet** 14:24 19:16 delivery 13:24 12:5,6,9,11 13:19 14:5. business 5:6.9 10:18 communicate 14:15 department 10:20 21,22 15:3,12,22 18:7, 15:1,2 10 19:4 20:11,14 21:9, Company 5:17 8:5 20 24:8,9,20,21 25:11, С deposed 5:22 6:5 11:21 16:14 19:23 24:8, 12,23 26:5 27:23,24 18 44:1.15 deposition 5:18 6:8 28:1,7,8 29:15,20 30:1 C.E.O. 10:13 12:8 20:8 Company's 25:17 7:19 8:12,15 11:13,14 31:11,19,20,24,25 32:3. 21:10 37:9 15:15,16 22:4,5 26:19 6,7 33:8,9,10,17 34:16 complaint 5:20 8:1 C.O.O. 10:11,12 20:8 28:7,16,18 29:6 31:4,6 38:20 39:12,13 40:10. compliance 13:22 21:10 31:22 33:3 37:9 36:8 39:8 40:22 41:15 11 41:7 42:1,3,13,14 44:21 46:12,16 42:4,6,18 43:8,9,22 components 11:10 call 4:1 38:6

44:10 45:1,19 46:25

47:10.25

describe 43:15 45:3 describes 12:24 description 8:21 11:18 13:13 design 11:8 13:17 designated 16:4 determine 34:11 development 13:17 30:11,17,23 44:15 diagram 43:17 45:4.5 diagramming 45:11 differentiate 39:4 difficult 6:22 direct 5:3 10:15 directly 10:14 26:8 32:1 38:2 discovery 7:6 11:22 44:2 discuss 21:11 discussed 37:10 discussion 12:22 dispute 6:3 26:23 distribution 13:18,22 Docket 5:19 document 11:12,18, 20,23 12:1,13,17,23 14:9 15:14,19,23 16:1 17:19 22:3,11 31:4 35:17 36:5,24 43:7,13, 15.25 documentation 17:23 documents 7:21 11:25 17:1 22:16 42:2,20,22 Don 38:6 43:6,19 draft 12:13 drafted 23:24 Draper 4:10 drive 26:25 driven 26:24 27:5 drove 34:4

due 47:12.16 duly 5:1 duplication 22:25 duties 11:6 12:24 13:21 Е earlier 18:8 25:20 31:21 early 29:8 easier 6:12 east 32:5 33:16.19 38:1 39:20 education 8:22 Edwards 26:9 electric 5:12 8:2 9:24 10:25 11:19 13:18 14:3 16:14 17:6,21 19:23 21:24 22:14,20 23:4 24:8,10,13 25:7 30:10, 17 31:22 34:7 electrical 9:4,6 11:9 21:14 24:18 25:8 30:12 31:1 41:13 43:17 45:4, 5.15 Elizabeth 4:10 email 20:5.10.17 21:4 22:9,17,19 23:5,15,18, 24 24:5 25:4,10 31:9, 12,13,16 32:8 33:1,7,11 34:10,12,19,21,23 35:21 36:10,11,12,15, 17,21 37:2,10,12 38:23. 25 39:3.12.19.23 40:3 41:16 42:25 43:3.20 44:22 45:14,18,22,25 46:7,10,15,18,20 emailed 32:21 emails 7:20 36:9 41:5, 25 42:2 employed 10:21 16:4 18:9 employee 6:2 Final 8:7 employees 14:16 fine 47:23 21:16 finish 36:2 employment 6:3 10:24

Index: describe..governmental engaged 21:23 engineer 9:16 39:14 engineering 9:4.6.8.24 10:20,22 11:4,7 12:5 14:15,18 15:1,6,7,9 21:13 30:9,16 ensure 13:21,24 14:19 entered 34:18 entire 16:3 **Essentially** 11:8 **EXAMINATION** 5:3 35:12 examined 5:2 excuse 6:1 Exhibit 11:13,14 15:15, 16 22:4,5 31:4,6 36:8 39:8 41:15 42:4,6,19 43:8,9,22 44:10 45:1,19 existence 18:12 19:22 20:25 23:2 27:2 32:23 existing 25:8 expecting 4:14 expedited 47:22 explain 45:24 explore 7:8 Extension 22:24 External 14:11 15:5 F facilities 22:25 25:8 39:21 facility 37:6 fair 7:16 19:17 40:16 fee 47:23 feel 7:2 field 30:10,22 filed 8:2,4

Florida 5:10.13.16 7:22 9:11.12.15 10:5 14:19 25:22 33:17 focusing 40:23 follow-up 35:10 footprints 29:24 force 14:17 29:14.17 form 41:24 forward 21:9 33:1 47:13 forwarded 20:6.7 31:18 36:12 forwarding 31:12 fourth 31:3 Francis 10:8,9 31:10, 19 32:16 free 7:2 Friday 47:18 full 5:6 function 14:25 functions 14:23.25

firm 5:15 25:17

FYI 32:4

G

GCEC 16:4,5,7,10 18:5 35:25 36:6 40:12 41:9, 21 42:10,15,20 46:18

GCEC's 13:18,21 14:20 39:25

Georgia 8:24,25 9:17

GIS-IT 15:2

give 4:20 37:12 47:16

glanced 34:13

Gleaton 4:25 5:5.8 11:17 13:13 35:15 37:1 40:7 43:12 45:17 46:14

good 5:5 7:17 19:20

govern 36:5

governmental 13:23

Stewart & Shoman Reporting (850)769-7178 | www.panamacitycourtreporters.com

Graves	4:4,12
--------	--------

Griffin 4:1,3,14,17 5:4, 14 11:16 13:7,9,11 15:18 16:20,24 17:8,15, 17 18:23 19:1,12,18 22:7 23:9,13 27:4.7 28:21 29:1 31:8 35:4,15 36:2 40:14 43:25 44:4.7 45:20 46:23 47:4,15,20, 22

Griffin's 46:13

ground 7:10 34:1

grounds 36:3

guessing 26:17

guidelines 13:23 19:11,15 35:17 36:4,24

Gulf 5:12,17 6:1,2 8:2. 5,12 9:24 10:24 11:2. 19,21,24 16:9,13,14 17:5,21 18:5,9 19:22,23 21:1,24 22:9,14,20 23:3 24:7,8,10,13,17 25:7, 17.24 30:10.16 31:22 32:16,17,19 33:20 35:25 36:6 38:2,14 39:21 41:11,13 42:21 44:1

н

H-I-L-I-N-E 14:18

Hamm 38:6.9 40:9.12 41:2,20,21 42:9,25 43:19

hand 11:12 15:13 22:3 31:3

handed 11:17

happen 12:19

happening 30:22

head 6:11

heading 13:14 14:9

heard 4:3

held 11:2

high 8:19,22

highlighting 28:19

Highway 5:13 22:22 25:21 26:8,9,14 27:18 28:10 29:9,14,18,20,25 37:17,20 38:5,8,19,21 39:5,6 40:8,13 41:7,8 42:11 44:11 45:13 Hiline 14:17

Hinson 10:8,9 31:10. 19,21 32:2,8,22 34:11, 21 36:12 37:11

hope 6:24

hours 7:9

L

I.D. 34:18 i.e. 29:23 identification 11:15

15:17 22:6 31:7 42:7 43:10

identified 24:19 26:13 29:6,13 46:7

identify 15:14 24:22,25 42:4 43:7

immediately 32:19 33:19 39:21

included 24:20

includes 17:13

Including 10:23

individuals 10:17

info 43:4,6

information 8:18 20:21 41:22,24 42:12. 15 43:19 45:6,15

informed 16:9

informing 16:14

inquire 30:19,25 inquired 38:14

inquiring 32:16 38:7

instance 20:12

instances 21:21 intend 46:18

intended 46:3,5

interpose 40:14 interpret 14:7 interpreted 14:6 intersection 26:8 29:18 **issues** 40:18 item 11:23 22:14

interchange 35:16

items 6:7 7:7

J

January 12:13,17,20 18:4,11,24 20:2,9,24 21:22 22:10 23:4,8,15 24:6,11 27:10 45:18 46:10 job 11:6,10,18 12:4,24 13:13,14 14:23,24 Joseph 44:14 Josh 8:13 20:6,10 23:23 Joshua 22:9,19 23:17 32:20 36:10,16 45:18 **JR** 4:25 June 15:20 Junior 5:8 K

kind 32:20 37:7 45:15 knew 32:17 knowledge 35:19

38:18 Kurt 4:3,8,14 35:6 47:1

L

lack 10:20 Land 44:14 Lane 5:15 25:17

latest 9:11

latitude 40:17

28:3 lot 7:5

learn 38:3 learned 40:7 left 13:12 legal 13:23 14:20 16:6 21:6 letter 44:13 level 13:25 license 9:8 licensed 9:16,17 lift 22:21 24:19 26:13,22

27:2 29:24 30:3 32:18 33:15,18,21 34:7,16 37:5, 15, 19, 22, 24 38:4, 8,10,21,22 39:1,4,5,18, 20 40:8,13,25 41:3,10, 19 42:11 43:5,16,18 44:18,19 45:9,10,12,13 46:2,4,8,19

likewise 46:3

limited 40:20

lines 22:24

load 25:1 45:7.8.9

located 26:8

locating 41:19

location 25:7 26:13 39:15 44:16,17 46:8

long 6:5 9:18 10:2 26:1

M

made 41:2 mail 25:14 main 29:14,17

Index: Graves..make

law 5:15 25:17

lawsuit 6:1

lawyer 5:15

leading 13:16

lead 39:18

makes 6:22 39:11
manage 14:25
Manager 14:14 15:6,8 25:18
managers 14:16
map 34:14
mark 11:13 22:4 31:4 43:7
marked 11:14 15:16 22:5 31:6 42:6,18 43:9, 22 44:9,25 45:19
master's 8:24 9:5
matters 16:7 25:18
meanings 25:13
means 14:2,4
mechanical 43:17
meets 14:20
members 14:1 17:2
mention 40:3
met 5:16
miles 26:12,17 29:20
mind 5:11
mischaracterized 23:6,10
modified 12:20
mortar 33:25
Motion 8:7
motivated 45:24
mouth 34:4
move 13:4
moved 9:11
moving 9:15 10:4
N
national 14:2,3
nature 5:24 25:3 32:13
nearest 25:8
needed 39:24 40:4

nice 47:14
nod 6:14
nodding 6:11
normal 27:12
noted 40:22
notice 16:5,11,16 17:3, 20,24 22:19 24:11,14, 16,22,25 25:3,6,10,16 30:11 40:19,24 46:2
noticed 5:19 29:9,12
notices 17:4
notification 37:4 46:4
notify 37:7
number 11:14,24 15:15,16 22:5,15 29:20 31:6 34:14 36:9 39:9, 12,14 41:16 42:5,6,19, 22 43:8,9,22 44:10 45:19
0
object 17:11
objecting 40:21
objection 18:20 23:6 27:1 40:15
obtain 9:10
occasion 8:11 21:13
occasions 30:20
occurred 27:9
October 16:8,15 17:3 23:19 27:3 28:12 29:8, 23 31:10,13,17 32:1 33:2,23 34:5,19 35:20,
22 36:11,15 37:1,11,12, 14,21 38:25 39:3,19,23 40:3 41:17 44:22 45:14, 22,25 46:7,15,17
22 36:11,15 37:1,11,12, 14,21 38:25 39:3,19,23 40:3 41:17 44:22 45:14,
22 36:11,15 37:1,11,12, 14,21 38:25 39:3,19,23 40:3 41:17 44:22 45:14, 22,25 46:7,15,17 office 25:24 26:6,7,12
22 36:11,15 37:1,11,12, 14,21 38:25 39:3,19,23 40:3 41:17 44:22 45:14, 22,25 46:7,15,17 office 25:24 26:6,7,12 27:23 28:2 29:19
22 36:11,15 37:1,11,12, 14,21 38:25 39:3,19,23 40:3 41:17 44:22 45:14, 22,25 46:7,15,17 office 25:24 26:6,7,12 27:23 28:2 29:19 officed 26:1

37:4 46:4	39:11,14
14,24	parties 18:13,19, 19:3 20:14 33:4
:5,15 29:20	pending 5:20 8:8
6:9 39:9,	Pensacola 5:16
42:5,6,19, 44:10	people 10:21 20:
	period 7:3 28:11 22
	personally 16:9
	Peyton 4:25 5:8 4
0:21	phone 4:5 13:2,4 47:1
3:20 23:6	physical 38:9,12 41:3,6,17 44:16,23
11 21:13	physically 26:22 picking 6:16 13:1
80:20	pipeline 29:12
:9	
3,15 17:3	planned 32:21 37 38:4
3:12 29:8, 17 32:1	planning 13:17
19 35:20,	platform 17:1
37:1,11,12, 39:3,19,23	plotted 26:20
1:22 45:14, 5,17	point 5:21 18:11 2 26:20 33:22 35:5 4
26:6,7,12	portions 29:6
):19	position 11:3,19
	positions 11:2
	post 8:21
):10	potentially 30:12
5:1	

opposed 6:11 order 8:8 40:20 46:25 ordered 47:10 Ρ **p.m.** 31:17,18 33:12 Panama 33:7,16 paragraph 16:1 18:2 parameters 20:22 parcel 34:14,15,18 39:11.14 8:13.19.21 4 33:4 5:20 8:8 a 5:16):21 20:22 28:11 29:8, / 16:9 25 5:8 43:4 13:2.4 38:6 8:9,12 40:24 44:16,23 46:8 26:22 16 13:12 9:12 2:21 37:16 3:17 7:1 20 18:11 21:3 2 35:5 40:15 9:6 1:3,19 11:2

Index: makes..purported pouring 13:3

power 5:17 6:1 8:5,12
11:21 16:9,14 18:6
19:23 21:1 22:9,21 23:3
24:8,17 25:17 32:16,17
35:25 36:6 38:14 41:11
42:21 44:1

Power's 11:24 32:19 33:20 38:2 39:21 42:21

Powersouth 14:17

preliminary 6:7

prepare 7:18

preparing 24:14

presume 18:11

previous 6:8 15:4 23:1

previously 5:19 30:24

principal 27:23 28:2

prior 9:15 16:8,15 17:3 18:3,23 21:22 34:20

privileged 20:20

procedural 40:20

procedures 19:11,15 35:17 36:4,23

proceeding 4:21 5:17, 25 7:23 15:20

produced 11:21,23 22:14 44:1

production 11:25 22:15 42:22

professional 9:7 14:20

Property 34:13,20

proposed 22:21

provide 8:21 22:21 25:6 38:9 41:3,17,21 42:15 44:6,23 45:15

provided 34:19 40:16 42:12,20 46:4

public 4:8,11,12 5:20 7:22 14:19 17:2,22

publically 16:25 17:18, 22

purported 36:5

operations 1

purpose 44:13 purposes 12:22 19:13 pursuant 22:23

put 6:9 17:2 20:21 28:24 31:16 34:3

Q

question 6:18,20 7:12, 15 16:18 17:7,12 23:11 27:1,4 30:5,15 36:20 40:25 41:20 44:12 45:20 46:13

questions 6:11 7:5 35:7,11 46:22,24

quote 13:15 32:4,5

quoting 18:4

R

rain 13:3

ran 34:15

Rates 25:18

reached 33:14

reaction 37:3

read 7:20 16:1,20,22 17:8,10 22:17 28:17 29:5 37:1 43:3 47:7,8

reads 29:4

ready 47:2

realized 20:22

reason 7:2

recall 6:8 28:9,14 34:6 45:22

receive 9:2 16:5,11,16 17:4,20,23

received 8:23 21:4 24:18 31:16 33:11 34:9 35:21 38:6

recenter 23:11

recess 13:8

recognize 11:25 15:23 22:10 record 6:17 13:5,10 16:2,22 17:10 19:13 22:18 35:18 40:17 43:3

refer 10:19 19:14 26:6 45:17

reference 23:14 25:3 36:17,18,20,23 39:11 44:11

referenced 19:9 25:4 39:19 46:19

references 15:5

referring 19:15 20:9 23:5,20,21,23 33:6,15, 21 34:12,17 38:23

regard 32:23

Regulatory 25:18

relationship 36:5

Relationships 14:10

relevant 29:6

remained 40:15

remember 8:10 9:19 20:7 38:16

repeat 16:18 17:7 44:12

rephrase 7:13 30:14

report 10:7,8,13

reported 32:1

reporter 4:6,18,24 6:12,23 47:6,9,13,18, 21,24

Reporting 14:9

reports 10:15

represent 5:16 11:20 22:13

request 11:24 22:15 24:18 40:12 41:2 42:16, 21 44:2

requested 16:22 17:10 22:20 38:10 41:20 42:10 44:20

requesting 21:23 24:2, 23 41:9,13

requests 21:14

require 30:12 research 34:8 reside 27:14.16 resided 28:3 residential 30:23 respect 6:24 respond 32:8 34:23 39:24 40:5 responded 46:14 responding 21:14 46:17 response 11:23 22:14 42:21 44:1 45:20 46:13 responses 6:10 responsibilities 11:7 Responsible 13:16 result 22:25 review 7:21 8:11.15 12:16 39:17 43:12 reviewed 8:1,4,7 23:25 24:7 28:6 reviewing 13:13 rights 46:18 riser 45:4 risk 39:25 Road 26:9 33:16 roadway 28:13 29:10 30:7 Robert 4:4,12 Rogers 8:13 20:6.10

21:4 22:9 23:18 24:6,17 25:6 26:18 28:7,13 29:7 33:15 34:9,11,19 35:21 36:10,16 37:2,11 39:11, 24 41:16 45:18 46:1,10

Rogers' 28:9 29:5 31:13,16 34:23 36:12 38:23,25 39:3 44:22 45:14,21,25 46:7,15,17, 20

role 4:1 10:2 12:24 21:12,16 30:9,16 Index: purpose..sir

roughly 21:2 23:8 route 27:12 Royal 29:13 rule 7:10 rules 7:10 run 39:25 running 29:14 runs 28:19

S

safety 13:24 14:3 school 8:19,22 Schrader 4:8,16 35:8 47:3

secondary 8:21

section 22:23 23:14,20

send 24:11 25:16

sending 34:21 37:4

sentence 13:15 14:13 15:4

serve 22:24 32:21 38:7, 15,16 40:1,12 41:9,11, 14 42:10 44:20 46:3,5, 19

served 25:1

service 4:9,11,13 5:21 7:23 13:25 14:19 21:15, 24 24:19,23 30:12 31:1 34:7 38:10 41:2,20 42:10,16

Services 44:14

set 35:9 47:3

shortly 13:20

significant 7:3 30:13

silent 40:16

similar 46:4

simply 6:16 27:8 31:16 45:21

sir 4:18 5:14 17:25 18:16 21:8 26:16

Index: site..years site 26:23 27:5 sworn 5:1 top 12:3 42:24 waiving 39:25 size 24:25 synonymous 15:6 transcribe 6:13 Walton 27:17 28:4 Sizing 45:7,8,9 system 11:9 13:18 transcript 8:12.16 wanted 44.6 14:20 solemnly 4:19 28:7.17 29:4.5 warehouse 15:2 systems 13:22 traverse 27:18 sooner 47:19.20 website 17:1 34:20 traversed 28:10.13 sounds 38:20 39:18 Т 29:19 Southport 5:10,13 week 8:17 47:12 traversing 29:9 25:21,24 26:6,7,12 table 12:3.12 West 22:22 26:14 27:13,20,21 29:19 trees 29:25 30:2.6 37:17,20 38:5,8,19,21 talked 37:9 speak 34:1.2 39:5,6 40:9,13 41:7 truth 4:21.22 talking 6:23 24:3 42:11 44:11 45:13 speaking 6:20 turn 14:8 41:15 42:19 Tech 8:24,25 Whichever 23:23 SR388 43:5 turnaround 47:15 technical 13:16 wondering 32:20 St 44:14 Tyndall 14:17 telephone 4:2 wording 19:4,6 23:19 standards 13:24 14:21 type 36:19 Ten 10:16 words 34:3 started 4:17 10:4,25 tendency 6:14 13:3 28:19 work 14:15 25:21 U 27:12,13,19,20,21 term 10:21 state 5:6 10:5 28:10 45:11 Uh-huh 6.4 stated 18:8 45:21 46:14 terms 7:10 17:13 41:19 worked 27:25 unaware 18:4 territorial 16:6,11,16 station 22:22 24:19 would've 34:6 17:5,20 18:5,13,15,19, 26:13,22 27:3 30:3 uncommon 22:1 32:18 33:15,18,21 34:7, 21,25 19:2,10,14,22 written 7:6 41:21,24 understand 7:12,15 20:1,4,13,25 23:3,25 16 37:5,6,15,19,22,24 19:8 24:1,7 32:23 33:3 38:8,10,22 39:1,4,5,19, Y 20 40:13,25 41:4,10,19 35:16,22 36:17,21 understanding 35:19 42:11 44:18,19 45:9,10, testified 5:2 25:20 Understood 6:25 year 10:3 12,13 46:3,5,8,19 26:18 31:21 unit 10:18 years 6:6 26:3 stations 29:24 38:4 testimony 4:20 23:1,7, 40:8 43:5,17,18 10 28:9,14 v Steve 4:3 13:6 That'd 47:14 Steven 5:14 V.P. 11:7 12:25 30:9,16 things 6:12 20:23 stop 6:19 24:3 thought 37:12,13 40:16 valid 39:15 straying 40:18 time 5:21 6:23 7:4 16:3 vein 6:18 18:17 21:3,7 23:24 subheading 14:10.14 verbalize 6:10 15:5 24:5,16 28:11 29:23 verification 44:23 31:15,17,18 33:22 34:9, subject 26:23 10 37:18 39:9 Vice-president 9:23 submitted 7:22 15:20 timeline 20:16 11:3 12:5 15:7 21:12 sufficiency 40:19,23 visible 29:9 30:7 timelines 20:22 Summary 8:8 13:15 title 12:4 visited 26:22 supervisor 12:7 21:10 titled 13:14 14:10 W supervisory 21:18 today 5:18 12:23 47:12 swear 4:18,19 tomorrow 47:21 waive 46:18 47:7



JOB DESCRIPTION

JOB TITLE	Vice President of Engineering	# EMPLOYEES SUPERVISED	One or more direct report; others with matrixed tasks
DEPT	Engineering	LOCATION	All locations
STATUS	Full Time, Exempt	SUPERVISOR	CEO
DRAFT DATE	January 2013	REVIEW DATE:	January 2015

JOB SUMMARY

Follows and promotes workplace safety in the organization. Assists with the utility privatization contract at Tyndall Air Force Base when needed. Responsible for leading the technical aspects of planning, design and development of GCEC's electric distribution system. Responsible for leading a team of employees to maintain the technical support systems for GCEC's efficient operation. Responsible for the inventory of the warehouse. Ensures GCEC's distribution systems are in compliance with cooperative, governmental and legal guidelines and standards to ensure both safety and the delivery of the best possible level of service to cooperative members. Employee can be called in to work at any time. Performs other duties as assigned.

EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE REQUIREMENTS

Education	Bachelor's degree in Electrical Engineering or related discipline; PE Certification required.
Related Experience	Five to ten years of experience supervising and performing operations in electric distribution systems. Experience with water distribution systems is not required, but is preferred.
Other	Must have the ability to pass Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative's employment entrance examination and drug screen. Must have the flexibility to work varied hours, including after normal hours.

REQUIRED LICENSES/CERTIFICATIONS

- Must have the ability to obtain and maintain a valid Florida Driver's License.
- P.E. Certification.
- Able to obtain TAFB Security Clearance.



VICE PRESIDENT OF ENGINEERING

20180125-GPC POD 9-005

REPORTING RELATIONSHIPS

Internal:

Two-way communication with CEO/GM on work related plans and approvals to receive direction and guidance as needed for performance improvement. This person will also have to coordinate work with and provide general direction to subordinate supervisors, staking engineers or electrical engineers. This person must also be able to coordinate work within the Engineering Department, as well as across other departments.

External:

Manager of Engineering must communicate and coordinate work with managers and employees of other agencies, such as PowerSouth, Tyndall Air Force Base, HiLine Engineering and the Florida Public Service Commission to ensure that GCEC's system meets all professional and legal standards. This person must demonstrate the awareness that the job exists to effectively serve each and every member and take every opportunity to increase member and public understanding for support of the Cooperative.

CORE JOB FUNCTIONS

- Manages the day-to-day operations for the Engineering Department, GIS-IT department and the warehouse.
- Writes, plans and conducts studies consistent with and for the execution of GCEC's fouryear construction work plan. This includes providing oversight on the completion of construction and maintenance plans for the distribution systems, which includes problemsolving, balancing efficiency and cost effectiveness and ensuring the highest possible service to members. This also includes approving cost justifications, variance requests and recommending Capital Projects to be completed, deferred and/or canceled.
- Manages the TWACs system, including planning TWACs installations, ensuring plans can be supported by the infrastructure and monitoring the efficiency of the system.
- Supervises the management of the distribution system's substations, along with planning and justifying new substations.
- Directs the completion of feasibility studies, regulatory reports or other documentation related to the distribution system for both internal use and external reporting.
- Ensures the local inspection program is properly administered.
- Ensures that the cooperative's computer systems (network, GIS mapping, data relay systems) are functioning properly to ensure adequate support of the cooperative service delivery and daily operations.
- Ensure the security of GCEC's data.
- Ensures that the warehouses are properly supplied for current and upcoming projects, forecasting ideal times to purchase supplies based on need and the market.
- Ensures proper inventorying and accountability for materials in the warehouse, including fuel.
- Supervises the purchase and distribution of all materials.
- Maintains Continuing Property records.

- Generates RFPs/SOWs to solicit bids from potential contractors to provide support services to the department/cooperative; reviews bids to determine the best quality of service at the most competitive price.
- Manages the execution of contracts and joint-use agreements between the cooperative and contractors to ensure proper delivery of service, adherence to the statement of work, the quality of deliverables and proper billing.
- Participates with CEO/GM in the development of broad objectives, policies and plans for the development and operations of the cooperative.
- Receives, investigates and responds to member complaints or inquiries regarding the quality of service/products, service disruptions or GCEC's employee or subcontractor, job performance.
- Accurately presents the systems' financial data to the Accounting Department and reviews actual accounting data compared to budgeted data on a monthly basis.
- Assists the CEO/GM in the preparation of the annual budget.
- Attends conferences, seminars and meetings that will provide for acquiring knowledge of new or improved design, construction, operating, IT and safety methods and equipment for the cooperative.
- Supervises assigned employees by setting performance expectations, providing constructive feedback and managing performance.
- Fosters employee development and ensure adequate training is provided to achieve appropriate skill levels and proficiency for conducting all operational tasks.
- Leads and participates in staff meetings.
- Reviews and approves invoices, timesheets and purchase orders.
- Follows and enforces all of GCEC's safety policies and procedures.
- Performs other duties as assigned.

REQUIRED KNOWLEDGE

- Engineering Expert knowledge of principles related to the planning and maintenance of electric distribution systems. Familiarity with or the ability to learn, the principles related to the planning and maintenance of water distribution systems.
- English Language Knowledge of the structure and content of the English language, including the meaning and spelling of words, rules of composition and grammar.
- Law and Government Knowledge of state/federal laws and codes related to electric and/or water distribution systems, especially those related to the environment; knowledge of relevant sections of Florida Administrative Code; knowledge of relevant DOT guidelines; familiarity with and ability to reference NESC; knowledge of related OSHA standards.
- Agency Specific (not required at time of hire) Knowledge of GCEC's policies and procedures, including GCEC's safety manual. In-depth knowledge of GCEC's distribution system. Understanding of the operations and maintenance of the water and electrical distribution system at Tyndall AFB.
- Administration and Management Knowledge of business and management principles involved in strategic planning, resource allocation, human resources modeling, leadership technique, production methods and coordination of people and resources.

REQUIRED SKILLS

- Reading Comprehension Understanding written sentences and paragraphs in workrelated documents.
- Speaking Talking to others to convey information effectively.
- Writing Communicating effectively in writing as appropriate for the needs of the audience.

- Active Listening Giving full attention to what other people are saying, taking time to
 understand the points being made, asking questions as appropriate and not interrupting at
 inappropriate times.
- Critical Thinking Using logic and reasoning to identify the strengths and weaknesses of alternative solutions, conclusions or approaches to problems.
- Judgment and Decision Making Considering the relative costs and benefits of potential actions to choose the most appropriate one.
- Coordination Adjusting actions in relation to others' actions.
- Monitoring Monitoring/Assessing performance of yourself, other individuals or organizations to make improvements or take corrective action.
- Time Management Managing one's own time and the time of others.
- Systems Analysis Determining how a system should work and how changes in conditions, operations and the environment will affect outcomes.
- Complex Problem Solving Identifying complex problems and reviewing related information to develop and evaluate options and implement solutions.
- Instructing Teaching others how to do something.
- Management of Personnel Resources Motivating, developing and directing people as they work, identifying the best people for the job.
- Negotiation Bringing others together and trying to reconcile differences.
- Active Learning Understanding the implications of new information for both current and future problem-solving and decision-making.
- Operations Analysis Analyzing needs and product requirements to create a design.
- Persuasion Persuading others to change their minds or behavior.
- Social Perceptiveness Being aware of others' reactions and understanding why they
 react as they do.
- Strategic Thinking Proactively identifying problems, solutions and courses of action with an awareness of future needs and challenges, while maintaining a vision of the Cooperative's goals and vision.
- Systems Evaluation Identifying measures or indicators of system performance and the actions needed to improve or correct performance, relative to the goals of the system.
- Service Orientation Actively looking for ways to help people.
- Management of Financial Resources Determining how money will be spent to get the work done and accounting for these expenditures.
- Management of Material Resources Obtaining and seeing to the appropriate use of equipment, facilities and materials needed to do certain work.
- Quality Control Analysis Conducting tests and inspections of products, services or processes to evaluate quality or performance.
- Troubleshooting Determining causes of operating errors and deciding what to do about it.

REQUIRED ABILITIES

- Written Comprehension Ability to read and understand information and ideas presented in writing.
- Oral Comprehension Ability to listen to and understand information and ideas presented through spoken words and sentences.
- Oral Expression Ability to communicate information and ideas in speaking so others will understand.
- Written Expression Ability to communicate information and ideas in writing so others will understand.

- Deductive Reasoning Ability to apply general rules to specific problems to produce answers that make sense.
- Problem Sensitivity Ability to tell when something is wrong or is likely to go wrong. It
 does not involve solving the problem, only recognizing there is a problem.
- Speech Clarity Ability to speak clearly so others can understand you.
- Inductive Reasoning Ability to combine pieces of information to form general rules or conclusions (includes finding a relationship among seemingly unrelated events).
- Information Ordering Ability to arrange things or actions in a certain order or pattern
 according to a specific rule or set of rules (e.g., patterns of numbers, letters, words,
 pictures, mathematical operations).
- Speech Recognition Ability to identify and understand the speech of another person.
- Near Vision Ability to see details at close range (within a few feet of the observer).
- Fluency of Ideas Ability to come up with a number of ideas about a topic (the number of ideas is important, not their quality, correctness or creativity).
- Category Flexibility Ability to generate or use different sets of rules for combining or grouping things in different ways.
- Originality Ability to come up with unusual or clever ideas about a given topic or situation or to develop creative ways to solve a problem.
- Selective Attention Ability to concentrate on a task over a period of time without being distracted.
- Far Vision Ability to see details at a distance.
- Flexibility of Closure Ability to identify or detect a known pattern (a figure, object, word or sound) that is hidden in other distracting material.
- Perceptual Speed Ability to quickly and accurately compare similarities and differences among sets of letters, numbers, objects, pictures or patterns. The things to be compared may be presented at the same time or one after the other. This ability also includes comparing a presented object with a remembered object.
- Time Sharing Ability to shift back and forth between two or more activities or sources of information (such as speech, sounds, touch or other sources).
- Mathematical Reasoning Ability to choose the right mathematical methods or formulas to solve a problem.
- Memorization Ability to remember information such as words, numbers, pictures and procedures.
- Number Facility Ability to add, subtract, multiply or divide quickly and correctly.
- Speed of Closure Ability to quickly make sense of, combine and organize information into meaningful patterns.
- Visualization Ability to imagine how something will look after it is moved around or when its parts are moved or rearranged.

KEY RESPONSIBILITIES

Incumbent MUST:

- Commit to working 40+ hours per week.
- Be able to perform the following physical requirements for this position including but not limited to: standing, walking, lifting, bending, pulling and/or pushing, grasping, reaching, stooping and crouching, sitting, typing, reading, writing, color determination, speaking and listening for extended periods of time and other defined light work.
- Work effectively with a work group or team.
- Be able to have constructive face-to-face discussions.

- Maintain frequent, professional contact with others.
- Have a high degree of accuracy and work with minimal error.
- Be able to compose effective letters and memos.
- Be able to manage time effectively to meet deadlines.
- Be able to make decisions that may impact the cooperative or others.
- Be able to make quick, accurate decisions.
- Be responsible for individual outcomes and results.
- Coordinate, monitor and lead others.
- Provide exceptional member service.
- Be able to effectively handle and solve conflict situations.
- Be responsible for the overall health and safety of others.

TOOLS/TECHNOLOGY USED

TOOLS

- Desktop computers
- Fax machines
- Laser printers
- Notebook computers Laptop computers
- Cell Phone
- Photocopiers
- Special purpose telephones Multi-line telephone systems

TECHNOLOGY

- ATS CIS, FIS
- Compliance Software Aris Global Register; MediRegs Regulation and Reimbursement Suite; SAP EHS Management; Thomson Reuters Liquent InSight Suite
- Computer Aided Design (CAD) Software Autodesk, AutoCAD, MilSoft, LightTable
- Electronic Mail Software Microsoft Outlook
- Internet Browser Software Web browser software
- Map Creation Software GIS software
- Operating System Software Microsoft Windows
- Spreadsheet Software Microsoft Excel
- Word Processing Software Microsoft Word

WORKING CONDITIONS

Work will take place mostly in an office environment. Incumbents will have some exposure to field elements that may or may not include: severe weather, contaminants and loud/distracting noises. Manager, Engineering will be required to work outside normal working hours in emergency situations and be available on a 24-hour basis in case situations arise that need his/her attention.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint by Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. against Gulf Power Company for violation of a territorial order.

Docket No: 20180125-EU

Filed: June 13, 2018

AFFIDAVIT OF C. PEYTON GLEATON, JR.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared C. Peyton Gleaton, Jr., who after being sworn, deposes and says as follows:

1. My name is C. Peyton Gleaton, Jr. I am over 18 years of age and in all other respects competent to testify. My statements are based on personal knowledge.

Since 2012, I have been employed by Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.
 ("GCEC") as Vice President of Engineering.

3. During the entire time that I have been employed by GCEC, I have never been designated, authorized, or appointed by GCEC to receive notice for any territorial agreement or any other legal or contractual matter on behalf of GCEC.

4. I have never had any communication with, to, or from Joshua Rogers of Gulf Power Company ("Gulf Power") until I discovered an email from him on October 20, 2017, that Gulf Power attaches to its answer.

5. At the time I discovered the email from Mr. Rogers, I was unaware that any territorial agreement or any other agreement existed between GCEC and Gulf Power.

6. Nothing in Mr. Rogers' email in any way informed me that I was expected to respond to Mr. Rogers or Gulf Power within 5 days.

6969	EXHIBIT	
PENGAD 800-631-6989	2	
99 D 80	n	
PENG		

7. By not responding to Mr. Rogers' email, it was never my intent to waive or relinquish GCEC's right to serve the lift station. I certainly have no authority to waive or relinquish any right belonging to GCEC.

 Prior to January 2018, I was unaware of any territorial agreement between GCEC and Gulf Power.

9. On December 14, 2017, I received a request from Don Hamm of Bay County, Florida (the customer), for GCEC to serve a lift station identified as being located at 1900 Highway 388 West in Bay County, Florida. During my conversations with Mr. Hamm, I also learned that Bay County intended to request that Gulf Power serve another lift station located at 3815 Highway 388 West, just east of the Northwest Florida Beaches International Airport, which was much closer to Gulf Power's facilities than to GCEC's facilities.

10. Upon receipt of the request from the customer for service to the lift station identified as being located at 1900 Highway 388 West, I promptly calculated the distance of the lift station to be located at 1900 Highway 388 West from GCEC's facilities and from Gulf Power's facilities, and concluded that GCEC's facilities were much closer to the lift station than those of Gulf Power and that it was therefore reasonable to expect that GCEC would serve this lift station. I also began working up all of the information and agreements required to serve the lift station. On December 15, 2017, I advised the customer that GCEC would serve this lift station as requested.

11. The customer request I received on December 14, 2017, for a lift station referenced at 1900 Highway 388 West was the first knowledge I had regarding service being requested or needed at that address.
12. After learning on December 14, 2017, that the customer intended to request Gulf Power to serve another lift station located at 3815 Highway 388 West, as a courtesy, I sent an email to Gulf Power on January 8, 2018, regarding the customer's request for GCEC to serve the lift station at 1900 Highway 388 West.

13. On January 12, 2018, I was advised by Gulf Power that Gulf Power had been requested to serve the lift station identified as being located at 1900 Highway 388 West. Prior to that time, I had no knowledge or information that a request had been made of Gulf Power to serve the lift station identified as being located at 1900 Highway 388 West.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

By:

C. PEYTON GLEATON

STATE OF F.1 COUNTY OF Bay

Sworn and subscribed before me, at the time of notarization, by C. Peyton Gleaton, Jr., who is _____ personally known to me or _____ produced a valid form of identification, this 13th day of June, 2018.

SHANNON L. NEWSOME Commission # GG 137026 Expires December 13, 2020 Bonded Thru Troy Fain Insurance 800-385-7019

NOTARY PUBLIC

[Print Name]

My Commission Expires: December 13 2020

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Monday, January 8, 2018 2:09 PM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Cc: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: Lift Station at 1900 Hwy 388 W

Joshua,

Please accept this email as notice that a consumer has requested Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative provide power to their proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W in Bay County, pursuant to section 2.3(a) of our agreement. Extension of our lines to serve this customer would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

989	EXHIBIT	
PENGAD 800-631-6989	2	
68	2	
Qg ·		
EN I		

20180125-GPC POD 6-001

Steven R. Griffin

From:	Peyton Gleaton <pgleaton@gcec.com></pgleaton@gcec.com>	
Sent:	Friday, October 20, 2017 2:18 PM	
To:	Francis Hinson	
Subject:	Fwd: Electrical Service Request	ŝ
Attachments:	image001.png; image002.png; image003.png; image004.png; image005.png	

FYI: This is on CR388 just east of the airport.

PG

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Rogers, Joshua R." <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Date: October 20, 2017 at 1:21:44 PM CDT To: "<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>" <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: Electrical Service Request

Mr. Gleaton,

Pursuant to section 2.3(a) of the agreement between Gulf Power and GCEC, I am notifying GCEC of a customer's request for electrical service from Gulf Power for a new lift station on parcel 26597-000-000. Construction would not result in any duplication of facilities.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 <u>MyGulfPower.com</u> Stay connected with Gulf Power

1-6989	EXHIBIT	
0-631-6	11	
ENGAD 800-63		
PENG	1	

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:28 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Thanks, Don!

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative has three-phase facilities on SR388 one and a half miles east of 1900 Hwy 388W and can serve the lift station there.

We will begin preparing an estimate for the work and inquiring in to utility right-of-way easements.

WIII Bay County Utilities Services (3410 Transmitter Rd) be responsible for the electric bill? If so, they will need to contact our office to create a connect service order and need to sign a demand agreement.

Thanks again,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 4:08 PM To: Peyton Gleaton subject: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Attached is the info for the two lift stations on SR 388. Please let me know if you need any more info

7

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hann



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter RJ. Panama City, FI. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

6869-	EXHIBIT	
PENGAD 800-631-6989	5	
AD 8(5	
ENG		

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

8

I.



transford when the call ing wessplit off and toremented

850231648_Nov2017_July2018

Date/Time Range

Nov 01, 2017 12:00:00 AM CDT - Jul 02, 2018 11:59:59 PM CDT

Cluster1

Cluster

Search Criteria Summary

III Search Sell i Search Criteria

Search Set Calling Party Number contains '2316480'

Call Details

DaterTyme Origination	Calling Park Numuer	Orginating Original Called CUCM Device Party Number Secondion	i Final Calle Number	Pary, Terminating Device Namo	Torminaling CUCM Device Description	Call Duration [[intximas]]	Terminadirg Gause Colle	2 Bai Cochec Teacon 11 2
Dec 19, 2017 11:11:26 AM CST	8502316480	7175	8183	SEP6024C43D9 8B1		00.00.39		ulationesses

20180125-GPC POD 8-004



Date/Time Oneplator	Caling Party I Ntmber 11 CUCM Davida Description 8502316480	Original Called Party Number 8142			IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Call Ditration (IIV.man.ss)	Termination Cause Code I - H	
11:12:19 AM CST	6502510400	ti 1*4∠	8142	817-092-04609 876 876	Stone (teacy	01,87932	Normal call Staar ng	Call Transfer
Dec 20, 2017 12:49:52 PM CST	8502316480	5010	5015	SEP0024C44544 B6	Sonya Maddox	00:00:24	Call split. A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the risk leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Unkaciwn
Dec 20, 2017 12:50:24 PM CST	8502316480	5016	5016	SEP0024C4BD6 2C9	Sendra Lee	00:09:21	Call split. A call is ferminated during a feature eporation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This courts on Panofers when the call leg was split of and ferminated.	Call Transfer
Dec 20, 2017 12:50:45 PM CST	8502316480	5027	5027	SEP0024C44548 20	Don Hanni	BU DA SA	$\begin{split} & [M_{1}(q_{1}(x_{1}),q_{1}(x_{1}),p_{1}(x_{1}),p_{1}(x_{1}),p_{1}(x_{1}),p_{1}(x_{1}),p_{1}(x_{1}),p_{1}(x_{1}),p_{2}$	



Date/Fime Origination	Caling Party, ; Originating Number Description			Teminaling Devite Name	Terminating ICUCM Device Description	Call Ouration (ribininess)	Terriraling Cause Cole	Last Redice2
Jan 06, 2018 11:17:24 AM CST	8502316480	5010	5020	SEP0024C44553 A2			The split A call is formanated during to learned change to be the control of the call learned the occurs on transfors, when the call learned split off and terminated.	17 i servino.
Jan 05, 2018 11:18:44 AM CST	8502316480	5027	5027	SEP0024C44548 2D	Don Hamm	00:05:41	feativel call clouter)	Call Transfer
Jan 22, 2018 12:44:34 PM CST	8502316480	5010	5020	SEP6024C44653 A2	Utility Billing	00.00:27	Call split. A coll is terminoted curing a feature operation indicating why the call feg whis terminated. This indicates when manifers when the coll leg was split off and remember 1.	Unknown
Jan 22, 2018 12:45:09 PM CST	8502310490	5016	5016	SEP0024C4BD6 2C9	Sandta Lee	00.00	Collimptif: A colli is interenated during a feature of endlog interacting why be calling setting terminated. Thus eccurs on transfers when the coll feature ball of and settimated.	Call Founder

Mar 22, 2018 9:39:51 AM CDT	Mar 22, 2018 9:38:28 /Am CD F	Mar 22, 2018 9:38:52 AM CDT	Jan 22, 2018 12:45:47 PM CST	Orginelloniu
8502316480	8502316460	8502316480	8502316480	
5027	50 T.	5010	5027	
5027	5016	5014	5027	u Number
SEP002AC44548 Don Hamm 2D	369(a)24048[36 209	SEP(024CABD5 BC7	SEP(024CA4543 20	ery : reminating
Don Harant	Storiga (189	rav Stracht	Den Hamm	1 GUOMDevice.
(9):07:25	00:00:23	04:00:55		(ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (iii) (iii)
" "Google" " Google"	Call split: A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why ine call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Call spin could as terrotroup changle isodutor reperation indication why the call feq was corrupt on transfees when the call feg was split of and ferminated.		Callson Core
Cali Turisler	that Hansfer			





DateArme Original System May 01, 2018 9:33:59 AM CDT	Chigmating Murribers Beschalton 8502316480	Originar Called Party Number 7175	Final Called Part Number 8183	Y Terrainalina III Device Namels SEPterson en e	Angela Williams	Gall Durator	Call solf Arcal barring a laster operation indext number operation indext number indext numb	uns Socres Resolution
May 01, 2018 9:34:44 AM CDT	8502316480						tem natec	Call Franklin
Jun 11, 2018 9:47:05 AM CDT	8502316480						Call split: A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated	Unknown
Jun 11, 2018 9:47:32 AM CDT	8502316480	8261	8261	SEP0024C4BD7 1B7	Martin Jacobson	00.01:18	No error	Gall Tousler
Jun 12, 2018 8:11:01 AM CDT	8502316480	5010	5013	SEP0024C4BD6 E33	Sharon Lentsch		Call split i A call is terminated during a feature operation rid cating why the dat for why terminated. The cell ars on transfer which the call for why cell off and ferminated.	Unknown

×



Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.cov

From: Peyton Gleaton (<u>mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com</u>) Sent: Friday, December 22, 2017 9:27 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francls Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Don,

As requested, attached is the required aid-to-construction to provide three-phase power to the proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W. Remittance can be sent to the attention of Ms. Shannon Hill at our Southport office (9424 Hwy 77, PO Box 8370, Southport, FL 32409). The estimate does not include right-of-way acquisition as it is assumed that St. Joe will grant GCEC an easement along the southern portion of SR388.

Should you or The St. Joe Company have any questions or wish to discuss the project, please let me know.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Peyton Gleaton Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:19 PM To: Donald Hamm <<u>chamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shili@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Great, Don, we should have the estimate ready before the end of the week.

Thanksl

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:11 PM To: Peyton Gleaton cpreaton@gcec.com

Cc: Francis Hinson <fhinson@gcec.com>; Shannon Hill <shill@gcec.com>; Tony Morrell <tmorrell@gcec.com> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

The SR 388 sewer forcemain and lift stations project is a St. Joe Company (SJC) project that once completed will be transferred to Bay County Utility Services (BCUS). The electric service billing info will need to come from SJC. When I get the estimate I will forward on to SJC and get them in contact with you for the connect service order and demand agreement.

Thanks, Don

Durcht E. Hann



Ulility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, FI. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202, www.baycountyfi.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:28 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Thanks, Donl

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative has three-phase facilities on SR388 one and a half miles east of 1900 Hwy 388W and can serve the lift station there.

We will begin preparing an estimate for the work and inquiring In to utility right-of-way easements.

Will Bay County Utilities Services (3410 Transmitter Rd) be responsible for the electric bill? If so, they will need to contact our office to create a connect service order and need to sign a demand agreement.

Thanks again,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm (<u>mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>) Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 4:08 PM To: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Attached is the info for the two lift stations on SR 388. Please let me know if you need any more info.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hann

Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mall to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.



Date:	December 22, 2017
Member Name:	ST. JOE COMPANY
Address:	SR 388
City:	SOUTHPORT
State:	FL
Zip:	32409
PID#	
Service Order #	
Staking Engineer	TONY MORRELL

A. Cost of Construction

\$63,422.60

B. Contribution In Aid to Construction

1.	Number of Proposed Meters	1
2.	Estimated Monthly Revenue Per Meter	\$ 400.00
3.	Number of Meters Multiplied by Revenue Per Meter	\$ 400.00
4.	Multiply by 12 Months	\$ 4,800.00
5.	Multiply by Revenue Multiplier (1.90)	\$ 9,120.00
6.	100% Revenue times revenue Multimplier 1.90	\$ 9,120.00
7.	Minus Cost of Construction	\$ 63,422.60
8.	Right of Way Clearing	\$ 3,750.00
9.	Cost in Aid to Construction (CIAC) Total Cost	\$ 58,052.60

Donald Hamm

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Peyton Gleaton <pgleaton@gcec.com> Wednesday, January 3, 2018 1:26 PM Donald Hamm RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations GS-D Demand Agreement.dot; GS_D.pdf

Don,

Attached is a blank copy of our standard Demand Agreement and rate schedule. The transformer bank size will be 150 kVA, so the minimum billing demand would be 60 kW.

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, FlorIda 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 1:18 PM To: Peyton Gleaton <pgleaton@gcec.com> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Would you happen to have a draft demand agreement for our review?

Donald E. Hamm

()

Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, FI. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfi.goy

From: Peyton Gleaton [<u>mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com</u>] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:52 AM To: Precise, Bridget <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>>; Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyf.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorreli@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Us too. What number should we call?

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Precise, Bridget [<u>mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:51 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Works for me.

Bridget

🖉 STJOE

Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

The St. Joe Company 133 S. WaterSound Parkway, WaterSound, FL 32461 o 850.231.6480 f 850.231.6595 e bridget precise@joe.com w joe.com

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2018 9:50 AM To: 'Peyton Gleaton' Cc: Francis Hinson; Shannon Hill; Tony Morrell; Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

How does 2pm today sound for everyone?

From: Peyton Gleaton [<u>mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com</u>] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:45 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dnamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; 'Precise, Bridget' <<u>Bridget Procise@joe.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations Don,

Any day/time is good with us except Thursday morning when we have a conflict.

Just let us know.

Thanksl

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:19 AM To: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; 'Precise, Bridget' <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Can we set up a conference call with GCEC, Bay Co., and St. Joe? We have some questions that I think we need to discuss before moving forward. Please let me know when is good for you.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm

Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 22, 2017 9:27 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Don,

As requested, attached is the required aid-to-construction to provide three-phase power to the proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W. Remittance can be sent to the attention of Ms. Shannon Hill at our Southport office (9424 Hwy 77, PO Box 8370, Southport, FL 32409). The estimate does not include right-of-way acquisition as it is assumed that St. Joe will grant GCEC an easement along the southern portion of SR388.

Should you or The St. Joe Company have any questions or wish to discuss the project, please let me know.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Peyton Gleaton Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:19 PM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Great, Don, we should have the estimate ready before the end of the week.

Thanksl

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:11 PM To: Peyton Gleaton cpleaton@gcec.com
Cc: Francis Hinson <fhinson@gcec.com</pre>; Shannon Hill <<pre>shill@gcec.com; Tony Morrell <tmorrell@gcec.com</pre>
Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

The SR 388 sewer forcemain and lift stations project is a St. Joe Company (SJC) project that once completed will be transferred to Bay County Utility Services (BCUS). The electric service billing info will need to come from SJC. When I get the estimate I will forward on to SJC and get them in contact with you for the connect service order and demand agreement.

Thanks, Don Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, FI. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:28 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Thanks, Donl

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative has three-phase facilities on SR388 one and a half miles east of 1900 Hwy 388W and can serve the lift station there. We will begin preparing an estimate for the work and inquiring in to utility right-of-way easements.

Will Bay County Utilities Services (3410 Transmitter Rd) be responsible for the electric bill? If so, they will need to contact our office to create a connect service order and need to sign a demand agreement.

Thanks again,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfi.gov] Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 4:08 PM To: Peyton Gleaton cpce.com
Subject: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Attached is the info for the two lift stations on SR 388. Please let me know if you need any more info.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Ceil: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.



Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative

Fifth Revised Sheet No. 9.0 Cancels Fourth Revised Sheet No. 9.0

Page	Effective Day
rage	Effective Date
1 of 4	June 30, 2012

Schedule GS-D General Service Demand

Availability

Available according to the phasing and capacity of the existing system of the Cooperative and in accordance with established rules and regulations for electric service.

Applicability

Applicable to members of the Cooperative for all uses in commercial sectors, industrial sectors, public buildings, schools, etc., for loads 50 kVa or greater but less than 1,000 kVA transformer capacity. Service shall be at one voltage and at a single delivery point. Service provided hereunder shall not be shared with or resold to others. Any member taking service under this schedule whose load exceeds 999 kVA shall automatically be transferred to Schedule LP.

Type of Service

Multiphase service, 60 hertz at Cooperative's available primary or secondary voltage.

Monthly Rate

Customer Charge:	\$40.00
Demand Charge:	\$13.26 per kW
Energy Charge:	\$.0731 per kWh

Minimum Bill

The minimum monthly charge under this rate shall be \$40.00 in consideration of the readiness of the Cooperative to furnish such service.

Terms of Payment

The above rates are net.

Effective Date: June 30, 2012

Signature of Issuer:

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 9.1 Cancels Sixth Revised Sheet No. 9.1

Page	Effective Date
2 of 4	June 30, 2012

Schedule GS-D General Service Demand

Determination of Billing Demand

The billing demand shall be the highest one of the following determined for the member in question:

- 1. The maximum kilowatt demand established by the member for the period of fifteen consecutive minutes during the month for which the bill is rendered, as indicated or recorded by a demand meter and adjusted for power factor as provided below.
- 2. The minimum monthy kilowatt demand specified in the contract for service.
- 3. A value equal to not less than 40% of the total kVA transformer bank capacity.

Power Factor Adjustment

The member agrees to maintain unity power factor as nearly as practicable. Demand charges will be adjusted for members with 50 kW or more of measured demand to correct for average power factors lower than 90% and may be so adjusted if and when the Cooperative deems necessary. Such adjustments will be made by increasing the measured demand 1% for each 1% by which the average power factor is less than 90% lagging.

Minimum Monthly Charge

The minimum monthly charge shall be the highest one of the following charges as determined for the member in question:

- 1. The minimium monthly charge specified in the contract for service.
- 2. A charge of \$0.70 per kVA of installed transformer capacity.
- 3. A charge of \$40.00 plus demand charge.

Effective Date: June 30, 2012

Signature of Issuer:

Sixth Revised Sheet No. 9.2 Cancels Fifth Revised Sheet No. 9.2

D	Dre u D
Page	Effective Date
3 0 6 4	June 30, 2012

Schedule GS-D General Service Demand

Service Provisions

Delivery Point: If service is furnished at secondary voltage, the delivery point shall be the metering point unless otherwise specified in the contract for service. All wiring, pole lines and other electric equipment on the load side of the delivery point shall be owned and maintained by the member. If service is furnished at Cooperative's primary line voltage, the delivery point shall be the point of attachment of the Cooperative's primary line to member's transformer structure unless otherwise specified in the contract for service. All wiring, pole lines and other electric equipment with the exception of metering equipment on the load side of the delivery point shall be owned and maintained by the member.

Primary Service: If service is furnished at primary distribution voltage, a discount of seven percent (7%) shall apply to the demand and energy charges, and if the minimum charge is based on transformer capacity, a discount of seven percent shall also apply to the minimum charge. However, the Cooperative shall have the option of metering at secondary voltage and adding the estimated transformer losses to the metered kilowatt hours and kilowatt demand.

Time of Day Metering

The Cooperative may at its sole option, and upon request of the member, institute time of day metering whereby the member agrees to restrict use of electricity during the daily periods of peak substation demand. The Cooperative may install a thermal demand meter with a time of day demand register that will register demand only during daily periods of peak substation demand. Where such metering is installed and utilized, the following conditions shall apply:

- 1. An additional service charge of \$50.00 per month per consumer so metered and billed shall be charged for use of special equipment and special account handling.
- 2. The billing demand utilized shall be the higher of the following:
 - A. The maximum fifteen (15) minute demand registered by the time of day meter during the daily period of peak substation demand.
 - B. A maximum demand corresponding to 75% load factor as computed for the usage from the corresponding billing period.
 - C. The minimum monthly kilowatt demand specified in the contract for service.
 - D. A value equal to not less than 40% of the total kVa transformer bank capacity.

Effective Date: June 30, 2012

Signature of Issuer:____

First Revised Sheet No. 9.3 Cancels Original Sheet No. 9.3

Page	Effective Date
4 of 4	June 30, 2012

Schedule GS-D General Service Demand

3. The daily period of peak substation demand shall be established by the Cooperative for the individual supply substation providing electric distribution service to the member and such daily period of peak substation demand may be varied seasonally as historical data may require. The Cooperative shall notify the member in writing of such applicable daily periods and all revisions thereof.

Cost of Power Sold Adjustment

The above retail rates may be increased or decreased by 0.1 mil per kWh for each 0.1 mil or major fraction thereof by which the Cooperative's purchased power cost per kWh sold exceeds or is less than 88.6 mils per kWh.

In order to prevent gross monthly or seasonal fluctuations in the cost of power sold adjustments, the Cooperative will use an average of the previous twelve (12) months' cost for the computation of the monthly charge. During any twelve (12) month period, as the accumulated charges exceed or are less than the accumulated actual cost to the Cooperative, the monthly charges for the remainder of the calendar year may be increased or decreased by an amount that will adjust as nearly as possible the accumulated charges to the actual accumulated cost of purchased power paid by the Cooperative in excess of 88.6 mils per kWh sold.

Deposit

A cash deposit may be required before service is connected at the premises designated in an amount in accordance with established rules and regulations for electric service.

Taxes

Applicable Florida State, County and Local Tax added to each bill.

Effective Date: June 30, 2012

Signature of Issuer:

GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. AGREEMENT FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE

Agreement made [DATE], between Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. (hereinafter called the "Seller"), and, [NAME], [ADDRESS], account number [ACCOUNT NUMBER] (hereinafter called the "Member").

The Seller shall sell and deliver to the Member, and the Member shall purchase all of the electric power and energy which the Member may need at the location described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and by this reference made part hereof, up to [TRANSFORMER KVA] kilowatts, upon the following terms:

- 1. Service Characteristics
 - A. Service hereunder shall be alternating current, [#] phase, [#] wire, 60 cycles, [SECONDARY VOLTAGE] volts.
 - B. The Member shall not use the electric power and energy furnished hereunder as an auxiliary or supplement to any other source of power and shall not sell electric power and energy purchased hereunder.
- 2. Payment
 - A. The Member shall pay the Seller for service hereunder at the rates and upon the terms and conditions set forth in Schedule GS-D General Service Demand attached to and made a part of this Agreement. Notwithstanding any provision of the Schedule and irrespective of Member's requirements for or use of electric power and energy, the demand for billing purposes hereunder shall not be less than <u>ITRANSFORMER CAPACITY x</u> <u>40%</u> kilowatts for any billing period. In any event the Member shall pay to the Seller for electric power and energy consumed per month for service or for having service available hereunder during the term hereof.
 - B. The initial billing period shall start when Member begins using electric power and energy, or 30 days after the Seller notifies the Member that service is available hereunder, whichever shall occur first.
 - C. Bills for service shall be processed at the office of the Seller. Such payments shall be due as stated on the monthly invoice for service furnished during the preceding monthly billing period. If the Member shall fail to make any such payment within ten (10) days after such payment is due, the Seller may discontinue service to the Member and such discontinuance of service shall not relieve the Member of any of its obligations under this Agreement.
 - D. The Member understands that the GS-D rate may be modified under this agreement at the discretion of the Seller, but it will be modified for the entire class of Schedule GS-D members.

- 3. Membership
 - A. The Member shall become a member of the Seller, shall pay the membership fee and be bound by such rules and regulations as may from time to time be adopted by the Seller.
- 4. Continuity of Service
 - A. The Seller shall use reasonable diligence to provide a constant and uninterrupted supply of electric power and energy hereunder. If the supply of electric power and energy shall fail or be interrupted, or become defective through the act of God, governmental authority, action of the elements, public enemy, accident, strikes, labor trouble, required maintenance work, inability to secure right-of-way, or any other cause beyond the reasonable control of Seller, the Seller shall not be liable therefore or for damages caused thereby.
- 5. Right of Access
 - A. Duly authorized representatives of the Seller shall be permitted to enter the Member's premises at all reasonable times in order to carry out the provisions hereof.
- 6. Term
 - A. This Agreement shall become effective on the date first above written and shall remain in effect until <u>5</u> years following the start of the initial billing period and thereafter until terminated by either party giving to the other three (3) months notice in writing.
- 7. Succession and Approval
 - A. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors, legal representatives and assigns of the respective parties hereto.
- 8. Deposit
 - A. The Member shall deposit with the Seller the sum of [MINIMUM DEPOSIT PER MEMBER SERVICES] deposit and pay all charges associated with the account.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement all as of the day and year first above written.

ATTEST:	Gulf Coast Electri	c Cooperative, Inc.
	Ву:	
Secretary		
	Title:	
Corporate Seal		
ATTEST:		
0	Ву:	
Secretary/Witness	*Title:	

*If other than president, vice president, partner or owner, a power of attorney must accompany contract.

DESCRIPTI	ON OF L	OCATION	OF SER	VICE	
Engineer: [STAKER]		Date	Date: [DATE]		
Customer Name: [NAME]		L	- <u>-</u>		
Physical Address: [ADDRESS]					
City: [CITY]		State: [STATE] ZIP Code: [ZIP]		Code: [ZIP]	
Mailing Address: [ADDRESS]			t		
City: [CITY]	State		ZIP Co	ode: [ZIP]	
Type of Operation: [DESCRIPTIC	[NC]		I		
Use Of Service: [DESCRIPTION]]				
Service Date Requested: [DATE]					
Size of Connected Load: [CONNI	ECTED KN	/A]			
Number of Acres: [TAX] Section	n: [TAX]	Township: [TAX]		Range: [TAX]	
Approximately Miles: [MILES]	Di	ection: [XY] From (To		wn): [CITY]	
Name of Road: [NEAREST ROA]	D]				
Map Location: [GCEC MAP#]		Branch: [G	Branch: [GCEC BRANCH]		
PID Number: [GCEC PID]		Account Number: [ACCOUNT#]			

Show the location of the point of service in the section tract below. Also show existing electric lines, roads, irrigation, ditches, etc. that may be related to this service.

N





6969	EXHIBIT
ENGAD 800-631-6989	1
08 -	6
D2	



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

www.baycountyfl.gov

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Builders' Services Division 840 W. 11th Street Panama City, Florida 32401 Telephone: (850) 248-8350 Fax: (850) 248-8384

October 10, 2017

Address Verification

ST JOSEPH LAND & DEV CO C/O TAX DEPARTMENT 133 SOUTH WATERSOUND PARKWAY WATERSOUND, FL 32413

Permit Number: A17-0501 Property ID Number: 26597-000-000

Dear Property Owner:

Your address has been verified as **1900 HWY 388 W**. Bay County Ordinance No. 20-55 requires the posting of the address numbers on the property in the following manner:

- a. If you have been given an address change on an existing building, call your phone service company to assure your new address is recorded for 911 service. Compliance must be made within 30 days of notice.
- b. The address number shall be affixed to the front of the building, or to a separate structure in front of the building (such as a mailbox, post, wall, fence, etc.), in such a manner so as to be clearly visible and legible from the public or private way on which the building fronts.
- c. Numerals shall be legible and easily seen from the roadway in which the building fronts.
- d. Numerals for commercial buildings shall be no less than six inches in height and placed on the building and business sign.
- e. The numerals shall be of a contrasting color with the immediate background of the building or structure on which such numerals are affixed.
- f. Contact the Postal Service for municipality and zip code information.

If future assistance is needed please feel free to call 850-248-8374.

Sincerely.

Ron Farris Address Numbering Bay County Builders Services rfarris@baycountyfl.gov

840 W. 11TH ST PANAMA CITY, FL 32401

COMMISSIONERS:

TOMMY HAMM DISTRICT I

ROBERT CARROLL DISTRICT II

WILLIAM DOZIER DISTRICT III

GUY M. TUNNELL DISTRICT IV

PHILIP "GRIFF" GRIFFITTS DISTRICT V

ROBERT J. MAJKA, JR COUNTY MANAGER



EXHIBIT 3

Donald Hamm

From: Sent: To: Subject: Precise, Bridget <Bridget,Precise@joe.com> Tuesday, April 10, 2018 1:51 PM Donald Hamm; Steve Summerbell 388 and Gulf Power

The additional clearing and tree trimming for the new power line is scheduled to start on Monday. As soon as the trees are cleared, Gulf Power is ready to start setting poles.

Don - the additional cost for this is \$12,200.

Bridget

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:28 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Thanks, Don!

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative has three-phase facilities on SR388 one and a half miles east of 1900 Hwy 388W and can serve the lift station there.

We will begin preparing an estimate for the work and inquiring in to utility right-of-way easements.

Will Bay County Utilities Services (3410 Transmitter Rd) be responsible for the electric bill? If so, they will need to contact our office to create a connect service order and need to sign a demand agreement.

Thanks again,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 4:08 PM To: Peyton Gleaton cpgleaton@gcec.com Subject: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Attached is the info for the two lift stations on SR 388. Please let me know if you need any more info.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hann



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.


850231648_Nov2017_July2018

Cluster		Cluster1						-	
Search Criter	ria Summary								
Search Set	Search Criteria Calling Party Nur	mber contains '23	316480'					建筑市口有品田的通知	
	d of S		100 - 100		and a standard set of the				
Call Dataila									
Date/Time	Calling Party	Originating	Original Called	Final Called Party	Terminating	Termineling	Call Duration	Terminaling	Last Redire
Call Details Date/Time Origination	Calling Party Number	Originating CUCM Device Description	Orginal Called Party Number	Final Called Party Number	Terminating Device Name	Terminaling CUCM Device Description	Call Duration (hhtmm:ss)	Terminaling Cause Code	Last Redire Reason

20180125-GPC POD 8-004



Date/Time Origination	Calling Party Number	Originating CUCM Device Description	Original Called Party Number	Final Called Party Number	Temunating Device Name	Terminating CUCM Device Description	Call Duration (hh:mm:ss)	Terminating Cause Code	Last Redirect Reason
Dec 19. 2017 11:12:19 AM CST	8502316480		8142	8142	SEP0024C4BD9 876	Sherri Hardy	00:02:12	Normal call clearing	Cail Transfer
Dec 20, 2017 12:49:52 PM CST	8502316480		5010	5015	SEP0024C44544 B6	Sonya Maddox	00:00:24	Call split. A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Unknowл
Dec 20, 2017 12:50:24 PM CST	8502316480		5016	5016	SEP0024C4BD6 2C9	Sandra Lee	00:00:21	Call split. A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Call Transfer
Dec 20, 2017 2:50:45 PM CST	8502316480		5027	5027	SEP0024C44548 2D	Don Hamm	00:04:37	Normal call clearing	Call Transfer



Date/Time Orgination	Calling Party Number	Originating Original Called CUCM Davice Party Number Description	Final Called Party Number	y Terminating Device Name	Terminating CUCM Device Description	Call Duration (nh:mm:ss)	Terminaling Cause Coda	Last Redirect Reason
Jan 05, 2018 11:17:24 AM CST	8502316480	5010	5020	SEP0024C44553 A2	Utility Billing	00:01:15	Call split. A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Unknown
Jan 05, 2018 11:18:44 AM CST	8502316480	5027	5027	SEP0024C44548 2D	Don Hamm	00:05:41	Normal call clearing	Call Transfer
Jan 22, 2018 12:44:34 PM CST	8502316480	5010	5020	SEP0024C44553 A2	Utility Billing	00:00:27	Call split. A cell is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Unknown
Jan 22, 2018 12:45:09 PM CST	8502316480	5016	5016	SEP0024C4BD6 2C9	Sandra Lee	00:00:38	Call split. A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Call Transfer



Date/Time Origination	Calling Party Number	Originating CUCM Device Description	Original Called Party Number	Final Called Party Number	Terminatiog Device Name	Terminating CUCM Device Description	Call Duration (hh:mn:ss)	Terminating Ceuse Code	Last Redirect Reason
Jan 22, 2018 12:45:47 PM CST	8502316480		5027	5027	SEP0024C44548 2D	Don Hamm	00:03:14	Normal call clearing	Call Transfer
Mar 22, 2018 9:38:52 AM CDT	8502316480		5010	5014	SEP0024C4BD5 8C7	Ray Spradlin	00:00:30	Call split. A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Unkriown
Mar 22, 2018 9:39:28 AM CDT	8502316480		5016	5016	SEP0024C48D6 2C9	Sandra Lea	00:00:23	Call split. A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Call Transfer
Mar 22, 2018 9:39:51 AM CDT	8502316480		5027	5027	SEP0024C44548 2D	Don Hamm	00:07:25	Normal call clearing	Call Transfer

20180125-GPC POD 8-007



Date/Time Origination	Calling Party Number	Originating CUCM Device Description	Original Called Party Number	Finai Called Part Number	y Tensinating Device Name	Terminating CUCM Device Description	Call Duration (htmm:ss)	Terminating Cause Code	Last Redirect Reason
May 01, 2018 9:33:59 AM CDT	8502316480		7175	8183	SEP0024C48D9 881	Angela Williamsen	00:00:25	Call split. A call Is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Unknown
May 01, 2018 9:34:44 AM CDT	8502316480		8179	8179	SEP0024C4BD7 5E1	Brian Leebrick	00:01:11	Normal call clearing	Call Transfer
Jun 11, 2018 9:47:05 AM CDT	8502316480		8250	8250	SEP0024C44558 4C	Pamela Stanley	00:00:22	Call split. A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Unknown
Jun 11, 2018 9:47:32 AM CDT	8502316480		8261	8261	SEP0024C4BD7 1B7	Martin Jacobson	00:01:18	No error	Call Transfer
Jun 12, 2018 8:11:01 AM CDT	8502316480		5010	5013	SEP0024C4BD6 E33	Sharon Lentsch	00:00:42	Call split. A call is terminated during a feature operation indicating why the call leg was terminated. This occurs on transfers when the call leg was split off and terminated.	Unknown



Date/Time Origination	Calling Party Number	Originating CUCM Device Description	Original Called Party Number	Final Called Party Number	Terminating Device Name	Terminating CUCM Device Description	Call Duration (hhtmrn:ss)	Terminating Cause Code	Last Redirect Reason
Jun 12, 2018 8:11:46 AM CDT	8502316480		5027	5027	SEP0024C44548 2D	Don Hamm	00:04:30	Normal call clearing	Call Transfer

調整に

Annual Contraction

偏终;

Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 22, 2017 9:27 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Don,

As requested, attached is the required aid-to-construction to provide three-phase power to the proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W. Remittance can be sent to the attention of Ms. Shannon Hill at our Southport office (9424 Hwy 77, PO Box 8370, Southport, FL 32409). The estimate does not include right-of-way acquisition as it is assumed that St. Joe will grant GCEC an easement along the southern portion of SR388.

Should you or The St. Joe Company have any questions or wish to discuss the project, please let me know.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Peyton Gleaton Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:19 PM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhlnson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Great, Don, we should have the estimate ready before the end of the week.

Thanksl

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:11 PM To: Peyton Gleaton cpgleaton@gcec.com

5

Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

The SR 388 sewer forcemain and lift stations project is a St. Joe Company (SJC) project that once completed will be transferred to Bay County Utility Services (BCUS). The electric service billing info will need to come from SJC. When I get the estimate I will forward on to SJC and get them in contact with you for the connect service order and demand agreement.

Thanks, Don

Docald E. Hann



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, FI. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202, www.baycountyfi.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:28 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Thanks, Donl

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative has three-phase facilities on SR388 one and a half miles east of 1900 Hwy 388W and can serve the lift station there.

We will begin preparing an estimate for the work and inquiring In to utility right-of-way easements.

Will Bay County Utilities Services (3410 Transmitter Rd) be responsible for the electric bill? If so, they will need to contact our office to create a connect service order and need to sign a demand agreement.

Thanks again,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 4:08 PM To: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Attached is the info for the two lift stations on SR 388. Please let me know if you need any more info.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hann



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.



Date:	December 22, 2017
Member Name:	ST. JOE COMPANY
Address:	SR 388
City:	SOUTHPORT
State:	FL
Zip:	32409
PID#	
Service Order #	
Staking Engineer	TONY MORRELL

A. Cost of Construction

\$63,422.60

B. Contribution In Aid to Construction

1.	Number of Proposed Meters		1
2.	Estimated Monthly Revenue Per Meter	\$	400.00
3.	Number of Meters Multiplied by Revenue Per Meter	\$	400.00
4.	Multiply by 12 Months	\$	4,800.00
5.	Multiply by Revenue Multiplier (1.90)	\$	9,120.00
6.	100% Revenue times revenue Multimplier 1.90	\$	9,120.00
7.	Minus Cost of Construction	\$	63,422.60
8.	Right of Way Clearing	\$	3,750.00
9.	Cost in Aid to Construction (CIAC) Total Cost	<u>\$</u>	58,052.60

Donald Hamm

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments:

Peyton Gleaton <pgleaton@gcec.com> Wednesday, January 3, 2018 1:26 PM Donald Hamm RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations GS-D Demand Agreement.dot; GS_D.pdf

Don,

Attached is a blank copy of our standard Demand Agreement and rate schedule. The transformer bank size will be 150 kVA, so the minimum billing demand would be 60 kW.

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 1:18 PM To: Peyton Gleaton <pgleaton@gcec.com> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Would you happen to have a draft demand agreement for our review?

Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:52 AM To: Precise, Bridget <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>>; Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Us too. What number should we call?

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:51 AM
To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>>
Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Works for me.

Bridget



Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

The St. Joe Company 133 S. WaterSound Parkway, WaterSound, FL 32461 o 850.231.6480 f 850.231.6595 e bridget.precise@joe.com w joe.com

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2018 9:50 AM To: 'Peyton Gleaton' Cc: Francis Hinson; Shannon Hill; Tony Morrell; Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

How does 2pm today sound for everyone?

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:45 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; 'Precise, Bridget' <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations Don,

Any day/time is good with us except Thursday morning when we have a conflict.

Just let us know.

Thanksl

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:19 AM To: Peyton Gleaton cpleaton@gcec.com Cc: Francis Hinson <fhinson@gcec.com</pre>; Shannon Hill <shill@gcec.com</pre>; Tony Morrell <tmorrell@gcec.com</pre>; 'Precise, Bridget' <<pre>Bridget.Precise@joe.com Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Can we set up a conference call with GCEC, Bay Co., and St. Joe? We have some questions that I think we need to discuss before moving forward. Please let me know when is good for you.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 22, 2017 9:27 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Don,

As requested, attached is the required aid-to-construction to provide three-phase power to the proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W. Remittance can be sent to the attention of Ms. Shannon Hill at our Southport office (9424 Hwy 77, PO Box 8370, Southport, FL 32409). The estimate does not include right-of-way acquisition as it is assumed that St. Joe will grant GCEC an easement along the southern portion of SR388.

Should you or The St. Joe Company have any questions or wish to discuss the project, please let me know.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Peyton Gleaton Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:19 PM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Great, Don, we should have the estimate ready before the end of the week.

Thanksl

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:11 PM To: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

The SR 388 sewer forcemain and lift stations project is a St. Joe Company (SJC) project that once completed will be transferred to Bay County Utility Services (BCUS). The electric service billing info will need to come from SJC. When I get the estimate I will forward on to SJC and get them in contact with you for the connect service order and demand agreement.

Thanks, Don Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:28 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Thanks, Donl

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative has three-phase facilities on SR388 one and a half miles east of 1900 Hwy 388W and can serve the lift station there.

We will begin preparing an estimate for the work and inquiring in to utility right-of-way easements.

Will Bay County Utilities Services (3410 Transmitter Rd) be responsible for the electric bill? If so, they will need to contact our office to create a connect service order and need to sign a demand agreement.

Thanks again,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 4:08 PM To: Peyton Gleaton cpcleaton@gcec.com Subject: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Attached is the info for the two lift stations on SR 388. Please let me know if you need any more info.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.



Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative Fifth Revised Sheet No. 9.0 Cancels Fourth Revised Sheet No. 9.0

Page	Effective Date
1 of 4	June 30, 2012

Schedule GS-D General Service Demand

Availability

Available according to the phasing and capacity of the existing system of the Cooperative and in accordance with established rules and regulations for electric service.

Applicability

Applicable to members of the Cooperative for all uses in commercial sectors, industrial sectors, public buildings, schools, etc., for loads 50 kVa or greater but less than 1,000 kVA transformer capacity. Service shall be at one voltage and at a single delivery point. Service provided hereunder shall not be shared with or resold to others. Any member taking service under this schedule whose load exceeds 999 kVA shall automatically be transferred to Schedule LP.

Type of Service

Multiphase service, 60 hertz at Cooperative's available primary or secondary voltage.

Monthly Rate

Customer Charge: Demand Charge: Energy Charge: \$40.00 \$13.26 per kW \$.0731 per kWh

Minimum Bill

The minimum monthly charge under this rate shall be \$40.00 in consideration of the readiness of the Cooperative to furnish such service.

Terms of Payment

The above rates are net.

Effective Date: June 30, 2012

Signature of Issuer:

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 9.1 Cancels Sixth Revised Sheet No. 9.1

Page	Effective Date
2 of 4	June 30, 2012

Schedule GS-D General Service Demand

Determination of Billing Demand

The billing demand shall be the highest one of the following determined for the member in question:

- 1. The maximum kilowatt demand established by the member for the period of fifteen consecutive minutes during the month for which the bill is rendered, as indicated or recorded by a demand meter and adjusted for power factor as provided below.
- 2. The minimum monthy kilowatt demand specified in the contract for service.
- 3. A value equal to not less than 40% of the total kVA transformer bank capacity.

Power Factor Adjustment

The member agrees to maintain unity power factor as nearly as practicable. Demand charges will be adjusted for members with 50 kW or more of measured demand to correct for average power factors lower than 90% and may be so adjusted if and when the Cooperative deems necessary. Such adjustments will be made by increasing the measured demand 1% for each 1% by which the average power factor is less than 90% lagging.

Minimum Monthly Charge

The minimum monthly charge shall be the highest one of the following charges as determined for the member in question:

- 1. The minimium monthly charge specified in the contract for service.
- 2. A charge of \$0.70 per kVA of installed transformer capacity.
- 3. A charge of \$40.00 plus demand charge.

Effective Date: June 30, 2012

Signature of Issuer:___

Sixth Revised Sheet No. 9.2 Cancels Fifth Revised Sheet No. 9.2

Page	Effective Date
3 01 4	June 30, 2012

Schedule GS-D General Service Demand

Service Provisions

Delivery Point: If service is furnished at secondary voltage, the delivery point shall be the metering point unless otherwise specified in the contract for service. All wiring, pole lines and other electric equipment on the load side of the delivery point shall be owned and maintained by the member. If service is furnished at Cooperative's primary line voltage, the delivery point shall be the point of attachment of the Cooperative's primary line to member's transformer structure unless otherwise specified in the contract for service. All wiring, pole lines and other electric equipment with the exception of metering equipment on the load side of the delivery point shall be owned and maintained by the member.

Primary Service: If service is furnished at primary distribution voltage, a discount of seven percent (7%) shall apply to the demand and energy charges, and if the minimum charge is based on transformer capacity, a discount of seven percent shall also apply to the minimum charge. However, the Cooperative shall have the option of metering at secondary voltage and adding the estimated transformer losses to the metered kilowatt hours and kilowatt demand.

Time of Day Metering

The Cooperative may at its sole option, and upon request of the member, institute time of day metering whereby the member agrees to restrict use of electricity during the daily periods of peak substation demand. The Cooperative may install a thermal demand meter with a time of day demand register that will register demand only during daily periods of peak substation demand. Where such metering is installed and utilized, the following conditions shall apply:

- 1. An additional service charge of \$50.00 per month per consumer so metered and billed shall be charged for use of special equipment and special account handling.
- 2. The billing demand utilized shall be the higher of the following:
 - A. The maximum fifteen (15) minute demand registered by the time of day meter during the daily period of peak substation demand.
 - B. A maximum demand corresponding to 75% load factor as computed for the usage from the corresponding billing period.
 - C. The minimum monthly kilowatt demand specified in the contract for service.
 - D. A value equal to not less than 40% of the total kVa transformer bank capacity.

Effective Date: June 30, 2012

Signature of Issuer:

First Revised Sheet No. 9.3 Cancels Original Sheet No. 9.3

Effective Date	
June 30, 2012	

Schedule GS-D General Service Demand

3. The daily period of peak substation demand shall be established by the Cooperative for the individual supply substation providing electric distribution service to the member and such daily period of peak substation demand may be varied seasonally as historical data may require. The Cooperative shall notify the member in writing of such applicable daily periods and all revisions thereof.

Cost of Power Sold Adjustment

The above retail rates may be increased or decreased by 0.1 mil per kWh for each 0.1 mil or major fraction thereof by which the Cooperative's purchased power cost per kWh sold exceeds or is less than 88.6 mils per kWh.

In order to prevent gross monthly or seasonal fluctuations in the cost of power sold adjustments, the Cooperative will use an average of the previous twelve (12) months' cost for the computation of the monthly charge. During any twelve (12) month period, as the accumulated charges exceed or are less than the accumulated actual cost to the Cooperative, the monthly charges for the remainder of the calendar year may be increased or decreased by an amount that will adjust as nearly as possible the accumulated charges to the actual accumulated cost of purchased power paid by the Cooperative in excess of 88.6 mils per kWh sold.

Deposit

A cash deposit may be required before service is connected at the premises designated in an amount in accordance with established rules and regulations for electric service.

Taxes

Applicable Florida State, County and Local Tax added to each bill.

Effective Date: June 30, 2012

Signature of Issuer:

GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. AGREEMENT FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE

Agreement made **[DATE]**, between Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. (hereinafter called the "Seller"), and, **[NAME]**, **[ADDRESS]**, account number **[ACCOUNT NUMBER]** (hereinafter called the "Member").

The Seller shall sell and deliver to the Member, and the Member shall purchase all of the electric power and energy which the Member may need at the location described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and by this reference made part hereof, up to [TRANSFORMER KVA] kilowatts, upon the following terms:

- 1. Service Characteristics
 - A. Service hereunder shall be alternating current, [#] phase, [#] wire, 60 cycles, [SECONDARY VOLTAGE] volts.
 - B. The Member shall not use the electric power and energy furnished hereunder as an auxiliary or supplement to any other source of power and shall not sell electric power and energy purchased hereunder.
- 2. Payment
 - A. The Member shall pay the Seller for service hereunder at the rates and upon the terms and conditions set forth in Schedule GS-D General Service Demand attached to and made a part of this Agreement. Notwithstanding any provision of the Schedule and irrespective of Member's requirements for or use of electric power and energy, the demand for billing purposes hereunder shall not be less than <u>ITRANSFORMER CAPACITY x</u> <u>40%</u> kilowatts for any billing period. In any event the Member shall pay to the Seller for electric power and energy consumed per month for service or for having service available hereunder during the term hereof.
 - B. The initial billing period shall start when Member begins using electric power and energy, or 30 days after the Seller notifies the Member that service is available hereunder, whichever shall occur first.
 - C. Bills for service shall be processed at the office of the Seller. Such payments shall be due as stated on the monthly invoice for service furnished during the preceding monthly billing period. If the Member shall fail to make any such payment within ten (10) days after such payment is due, the Seller may discontinue service to the Member and such discontinuance of service shall not relieve the Member of any of its obligations under this Agreement.
 - D. The Member understands that the GS-D rate may be modified under this agreement at the discretion of the Seller, but it will be modified for the entire class of Schedule GS-D members.

3. Membership

- A. The Member shall become a member of the Seller, shall pay the membership fee and be bound by such rules and regulations as may from time to time be adopted by the Seller.
- 4. Continuity of Service
 - A. The Seller shall use reasonable diligence to provide a constant and uninterrupted supply of electric power and energy hereunder. If the supply of electric power and energy shall fail or be interrupted, or become defective through the act of God, governmental authority, action of the elements, public enemy, accident, strikes, labor trouble, required maintenance work, inability to secure right-of-way, or any other cause beyond the reasonable control of Seller, the Seller shall not be liable therefore or for damages caused thereby.

5. Right of Access

- A. Duly authorized representatives of the Seller shall be permitted to enter the Member's premises at all reasonable times in order to carry out the provisions hereof.
- 6. Term
 - A. This Agreement shall become effective on the date first above written and shall remain in effect until <u>5</u> years following the start of the initial billing period and thereafter until terminated by either party giving to the other three (3) months notice in writing.
- 7. Succession and Approval
 - A. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors, legal representatives and assigns of the respective parties hereto.
- 8. Deposit
 - A. The Member shall deposit with the Seller the sum of <u>[MINIMUM</u> <u>DEPOSIT PER MEMBER SERVICES]</u> deposit and pay all charges associated with the account.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement all as of the day and year first above written.

ATTEST:

Secretary

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

By:		
Title		

Corporate Seal

ATTEST:

Secretary/Witness

Rv'	
Dy	

*Title:

*If other than president, vice president, partner or owner, a power of attorney must accompany contract.

DESCRIPTION OF LOCATION OF SERVICE								
Engineer: [STAKER]		Date: [DATE]						
Customer Name: [NAME]								
Physical Address: [ADDRESS]								
City: [CITY]	State: [STATE]		Z	ZIP Code: [ZIP]				
Mailing Address: [ADDRESS]								
City: [CITY]	State: [STATE]		ZIP Code: [ZIP]					
Type of Operation: [DESCRIPTION]								
Use Of Service: [DESCRIPTION]								
Service Date Requested: [DATE]								
Size of Connected Load: [CONNECTED KVA]								
Number of Acres: [TAX] Section: [TA]	X] Townsh	Township: [TAX]		Range: [TAX]				
Approximately Miles: [MILES]	Direction:	virection: [XY] F		From (Town): [CITY]				
Name of Road: [NEAREST ROAD]								
Map Location: [GCEC MAP#]	Branc	Branch: [GCEC BRANCH]						
PID Number: [GCEC PID]	Accou	Account Number: [ACCOUNT#]						

Show the location of the point of service in the section tract below. Also show existing electric lines, roads, irrigation, ditches, etc. that may be related to this service.

N



S

Donald Hamm

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Precise, Bridget <Bridget.Precise@joe.com> Wednesday, January 17, 2018 8:53 AM Peyton Gleaton; Donald Hamm Francis Hinson RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Hi Peyton,

Unfortunately, we will not be moving forward with you guys on this project. I appreciate the time and effort you have spent on this, but we are committed to Gulf Power for servicing this area. I will be sending you a formal letter notifying you of this, and I sincerely apologize for the frustration this will create. I hope that we can work together on some future power needs with you.

Sincerely, Bridget

STIOE

Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

The St. Joe Company 133 S. WaterSound Parkway, WaterSound, FL 32461 o 850.231.6480 f 850.231.6595 e bridget.precise@joe.com w joe.com

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, January 12, 2018 8:29 AM To: Precise, Bridget; Donald Hamm (dhamm@baycountyfl.gov) Cc: Francis Hinson Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Bridget/Don,

Can you let me know where we are on this project? Since our conference call we have notified Gulf Power of our intent to serve the lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W, contacted TECO concerning minimum separation and encroaching on their gas line, and drafted a utility easement for the Creamer property (which will need to be crossed to access St. Joe property).

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Peyton Gleaton Sent: Thursday, January 04, 2018 7:29 AM To: Precise, Bridget <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Bridget,

To provide power to the lift station, we will need utility easements on parcels 26599-000-000 and 26597-000-000 in Bay County. I've attached a copy of the "blanket easement" we usually fill out and have landowners sign, if The St. Joe Company would like to draft a different utility easement we would be glad to consider it. We will need a 30ft right-of-way (15ft on each side of our line), and plan to construct the line on the South side of SR388 then cross to the North side at the lift station. We have not contacted Teco Energy yet to discuss encroaching on their gas line, but our power line will be (the Teco minimum required distance) South of the gas line.

Also, besides the easement, we will need aid to construction paid before construction can begin.

Looking forward to working with you on this project!

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:54 AM To: Peyton Gleaton cpgleaton@gcec.com; Donald Hamm <<pre>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov Cc: Francis Hinson <<pre>fhinson@gcec.com; Shannon Hill <<pre>shill@gcec.com; Tony Morrell <<pre>tmorrell@gcec.com Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

We can use our conference call number:

1-877-860-3058 Passcode: 3938931030

Thanks, Bridget

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2018 9:52 AM To: Precise, Bridget; Donald Hamm Cc: Francis Hinson; Shannon Hill; Tony Morrell Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Us too. What number should we call?

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:51 AM
To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>>
Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Works for me.

Bridget



Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

The St. Joe Company 133 S. WaterSound Parkway, WaterSound, FL 32461 o 850.231.6480 f 850.231.6595 e bridget.precise@joe.com w joe.com

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2018 9:50 AM To: 'Peyton Gleaton' Cc: Francis Hinson; Shannon Hill; Tony Morrell; Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

How does 2pm today sound for everyone?

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:45 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; 'Precise, Bridget' <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Don,

Any day/time is good with us except Thursday morning when we have a conflict.

Just let us know.

ThanksI

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:19 AM To: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; 'Precise, Bridget' <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Can we set up a conference call with GCEC, Bay Co., and St. Joe? We have some questions that I think we need to discuss before moving forward. Please let me know when is good for you.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, FI. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 22, 2017 9:27 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Don,

As requested, attached is the required aid-to-construction to provide three-phase power to the proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W. Remittance can be sent to the attention of Ms. Shannon Hill at our Southport office (9424 Hwy 77, PO Box 8370, Southport, FL 32409). The estimate does not include right-of-way acquisition as it is assumed that St. Joe will grant GCEC an easement along the southern portion of SR388.

Should you or The St. Joe Company have any questions or wish to discuss the project, please let me know.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Peyton Gleaton Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:19 PM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Great, Don, we should have the estimate ready before the end of the week.

Thanks!

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:11 PM To: Peyton Gleaton cpgleaton@gcec.com

5

Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

The SR 388 sewer forcemain and lift stations project is a St. Joe Company (SJC) project that once completed will be transferred to Bay County Utility Services (BCUS). The electric service billing info will need to come from SJC. When I get the estimate I will forward on to SJC and get them in contact with you for the connect service order and demand agreement.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:28 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Thanks, Don!

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative has three-phase facilities on SR388 one and a half miles east of 1900 Hwy 388W and can serve the lift station there.

We will begin preparing an estimate for the work and inquiring in to utility right-of-way easements.

Will Bay County Utilities Services (3410 Transmitter Rd) be responsible for the electric bill? If so, they will need to contact our office to create a connect service order and need to sign a demand agreement.

Thanks again,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 4:08 PM To: Peyton Gleaton cpgleaton@gcec.com Subject: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Attached is the info for the two lift stations on SR 388. Please let me know if you need any more info.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, FI. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Donald Hamm

From: Sent: To: Subject: Precise, Bridget <Bridget.Precise@joe.com> Tuesday, March 6, 2018 9:47 AM Donald Hamm RE: 388 Lift Station

Yes. FPS2 - service should be connected this week.

FPS1 - will be ready when the site is ready.

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Tuesday, March 6, 2018 7:37 AM To: Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: 388 Lift Station

Bridget,

Thanks for the update. Have you heard anything from TECO yet?

Donald E. Hann

1.01

Utillty Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, FI. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfi.gov

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Monday, March 05, 2018 2:53 PM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Subject: FW: 388 Lift Station

HI Don,

Here is latest status on FM project. I did talk with Gulf Power this morning, and they are finalizing the build out for FPS2, but have not turned it over to construction yet.

Thanks, Bridget

From: Steve Summerbell [mailto:steve.summerbell@royalamerican.com] Sent: Monday, March 5, 2018 9:23 AM To: Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: 388 Lift Station

FPS2:

All Piping done. Electrical should be complete by the end of week – 3/2 Shelter up by the end of week – 3/2 Waiting on Power, Gas, and Fiber (by others) Start up as soon as power and communications are connected Final Grade, Concrete, Rock site starting 3/5 Limerock access road week of 3/5 Asphalt access road to follow Fence

FPS1:

All sanitary structures set Currently plumbing lift station Set valve box and meter box, plumb the week of 3/5 Start electrical week of 3/5 Set equipment week of 3/12 Shelter up by the end of week – 3/12 Walting on Power, Gas, and Fiber (by others) Start up as soon as power and communications are connected Final Grade, Concrete, Rock site starting 3/19 Limerock access road week of 3/12 Asphalt access road to follow Fence

CR388 FM:

Test on forcemain from Airport to FPS1 completed by 3/2 Install fire hydrant at FPS1 on 3/5

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Donald Hamm

Precise, Bridget <Bridget.Precise@joe.com> Friday, January 19, 2018 5:07 PM Donald Hamm Subject: FW: GCEC Ltr- Gulf Power.pdf GCEC Ltr- Gulf Power.pdf; 388 Lift Station.pdf; St Joe letter.pdf Attachments:

Fyi.

From:

Sent: To:

From: Angie Morris [mailto:amorris@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, January 19, 2018 3:24 PM To: Precise, Bridget Cc: JROGERS@southernco.com Subject: GCEC Ltr- Gulf Power.pdf



January 19, 2018

Ms. Bridget Precise Vice President Development & Regulatory Affairs St. Joe Company

Re: 388 Lift Station Electrical Service 1900 Hwy 388 West

Dear Ms. Precise,

This will serve to confirm receipt of your letter on January 18, 2018, following my email to you of January 17, 2018, a copy of which is attached. As I stated, under the Procedures and Guidelines filed by Gulf Power and Gulf Coast Electric with the Public Service Commission (Order No. PSC-01-0891A-Paa-EU), Gulf Coast Electric, by virtue of its existing facilities being over 3,168 feet closer to this second lift station (1900 Highway 388) than Gulf Power's existing facilities and the load being over 100 kVA, is entitled to serve this lift station and insists on doing so pursuant to its rights under this Procedure. We did not interfere when Gulf Power was closer to the first lift station and do not intend to do so. However, we are going to insist on service where we have the right, as we do with this lift station, we are closer, and by copy of this letter to Joshua, are confirming our objection to Gulf Power's actions to try to also secure the second lift station for itself.

Gulf Coast Electric meets the requirements of 2.2 of Section II of that Procedure and consequently did not have to consult with Gulf Power as to the former request to Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. by Bay County and St. Joe Company for service. Now that that request is apparently trying to be withdrawn, I suspect with some encouragement by Gulf Power, the procedure requires that Gulf Power notify us that it "believes that is costs of service would not be significantly more than that of Gulf Coast Electric" and provide us with "all relevant information about the request." This has not been done by Gulf Power and I have already notified Gulf Power of the objection by Gulf Coast Electric and provided them with all relevant information about the request made to us (Gulf Coast Electric) on this recent request. Under the terms of this Procedure as

722 W. Highway 22 ~ P.O. Box 220 ~ Wewahitchka, Florida 32465 9434 Highway 77 ~ P.O. Box 8370 ~ Southport, Florida 32409 6243 E. Highway 98 ~ Panama City, Florida 32404 (850) 639-2216 Fax (850) 639-5061 (850) 265-3631 Fax (850) 265-3634 (850) 481-1188 Fax (850) 265-1184

www.gcec.com

applicable to this service, Gulf Power being 3.168 feet plus farther away from the second lift is not able to agree to provide service.

Furthermore, under the procedure, Gulf Power is prohibited from agreeing to provide service to this second lift station as it is a load less than 1,000 kVA and Gulf Power's existing facilities are presently farther than 10,000 feet from the point of delivery (actually over 11,000 feet) on Highway 388. Please direct St. Joe Company and Bay County representatives to contact me so that Gulf Coast Electric may proceed forward with its right to serve.

If Gulf Power does not agree to Gulf Coast Electric right to service, I have asked for a meeting with Joshua and Gulf Power to have each utility present the information requested by the procedure. Attached is a copy of a map depicting roughly the facility locations relative to this second lift station. During the period of resolution of this objection, if necessary, Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. stands ready to provide temporary service through our closer existing facilities.

Sincerely,

LACAS .

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Enclosures: St. Joe Letter 388 Lift Station Map

Cc: Joshua Rogers, Gulf Power

722 W. Highway 22 ~ P.O. Box 220 ~ Wewahitchka, Florida 32465 9434 Highway 77 ~ P.O. Box 8370 ~ Southport, Florida 32409 6243 E. Highway 98 ~ Panama City, Florida 32404 (850) 639-2216 Fax (850) 639-5061 (850) 265-3631 Fax (850) 265-3634 (850) 481-1188 Fax (850) 265-1184

www.gcec.com


7

Donald Hamm

From: Sent: To: Subject: Donald Hamm Thursday, December 14, 2017 12:33 PM 'Precise, Bridget' RE: 388 Force Main

Bridget,

Can you send me any info or quotes from Gulf Power for the line to #2 lift station that we discussed today?

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2017 3:56 PM To: Donald Hamm <dhamm@baycountyfl.gov> Subject: 388 Force Main

Hi Don,

Attached is a copy of the latest pay application with all change orders included.

Thanks, Bridget



Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

Donald Hamm

From: Sent: To: Subject: Precise, Bridget <Bridget.Precise@joe.com> Thursday, December 14, 2017 12:36 PM Donald Hamm FW: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Hi Don,

As requested. Below is all the information I have at the moment.

Bridget

From: Rogers, Joshua R. [mailto:JROGERS@southernco.com] Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 10:09 AM To: Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Bridget,

That's correct, it is the portion that would have to be paid before construction could start.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power

> From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 10:04 AM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Subject: RE: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Hi Josh,

Thank you for the update. I just wanted to confirm that this is a cost that we would be responsible for covering?

Bridget

From: Rogers, Joshua R. [mailto:JROGERS@southernco.com] Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 8:36 AM To: Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Bridget,

I wanted to give you an update on the Highway 388 lift stations. The location at 3815 was construction completed last week and is ready to provide service when the electrician completes their work. The location at 1900 will require a new line extension. I noticed that there was a land clearing company on site and thought you may want to have them complete the necessary tree work. If you have the trees and limbs in the right of way on the south side of the roadway cleared, the cost for Gulf to provide service to the new lift station will be approximately \$115,000. It will take us up to two months to complete the engineering on this project and provide the exact cost. Please let me know if you have any questions and whether or not you would like for us to proceed with completing the engineering for this project.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power



From: Rogers, Joshua R. Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 10:53 AM To: 'Precise, Bridget' <<u>Bridget.Precise@ioe.com</u>> Subject: RE: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Bridget,

The location at 3815 has existing power lines close to this address. As long as we can get some detailed information about the lift station electrical requirements, we should be able to meet the end of November completion time frame discussed.

For the location you requested that Gulf serve at 1900 W. Hwy 388, a new line extension will be required to serve this site. To start the analysis, I need the mechanical and electrical plans for the site.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power



From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 10:52 AM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Subject: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Hi Josh,

The lift station information is as follows:

Lift Station 1 is on parcel 26508-000-000 with a street address of 3815 W. Hwy 388 Lift Station 2 is on parcel 26597-000-000 with a street address of 1900 W. Hwy 388

Let me know if you need something else right now.

Thanks, Bridget



Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

Donald Hamm

From: Sent: To: Subject: Precise, Bridget <Bridget.Precise@joe.com> Thursday, December 14, 2017 12:36 PM Donald Hamm FW: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Hi Don,

As requested. Below is all the information I have at the moment.

Bridget

From: Rogers, Joshua R. [mailto:JROGERS@southernco.com] Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 10:09 AM To: Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Bridget,

That's correct, it is the portion that would have to be paid before construction could start.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power

> From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 10:04 AM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Subject: RE: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Hi Josh,

Thank you for the update. I just wanted to confirm that this is a cost that we would be responsible for covering?

Bridget

From: Rogers, Joshua R. [mailto:JROGERS@southernco.com] Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 8:36 AM To: Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Bridget,

I wanted to give you an update on the Highway 388 lift stations. The location at 3815 was construction completed last week and is ready to provide service when the electrician completes their work. The location at 1900 will require a new line extension. I noticed that there was a land clearing company on site and thought you may want to have them complete the necessary tree work. If you have the trees and limbs in the right of way on the south side of the roadway cleared, the cost for Gulf to provide service to the new lift station will be approximately \$115,000. It will take us up to two months to complete the engineering on this project and provide the exact cost. Please let me know if you have any questions and whether or not you would like for us to proceed with completing the engineering for this project.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power



From: Rogers, Joshua R. Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 10:53 AM To: 'Precise, Bridget' <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>> Subject: RE: 388 Force Main Lift Stations

Bridget,

The location at 3815 has existing power lines close to this address. As long as we can get some detailed information about the lift station electrical requirements, we should be able to meet the end of November completion time frame discussed.

For the location you requested that Gulf serve at 1900 W. Hwy 388, a new line extension will be required to serve this site. To start the analysis, I need the mechanical and electrical plans for the site.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 MyGulfPower.com Stay connected with Gulf Power



From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 10:52 AM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Subject: 388 Force Main Lift Stations Hi Josh,

The lift station information is as follows:

Lift Station 1 is on parcel 26508-000-000 with a street address of 3815 W. Hwy 388 Lift Station 2 is on parcel 26597-000-000 with a street address of 1900 W. Hwy 388

Let me know if you need something else right now.

Thanks, Bridget



Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

Donald Hamm

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Peyton Gleaton <pgleaton@gcec.com> Wednesday, January 17, 2018 1:08 PM 'Precise, Bridget' Francis Hinson; Donald Hamm RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Thank you for the update, Bridget,

Though our aid to construction charge may be higher, our quality of service is better, and GCEC is certainly the closest to the second lift station (1900 Highway 388) by over 3,160 feet so we most probably have the least cost to serve by quite a bit. By the territorial procedure filed with the PSC, we probably have the right to serve this and certainly do want to serve you there. I understand that the other lift station was closer to Gulf Power's facility on SR 388 and that was the reason they got to serve it. Please hold off on any commitment until we can get this straightened out with Gulf Power. I wrote them a letter yesterday to ask for a meeting to hopefully resolve and confirm our right to serve at 1900 Hwy 388W.

Sincerely,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2018 8:53 AM
To: Peyton Gleaton <pgleaton@gcec.com>; Donald Hamm (dhamm@baycountyfl.gov) <dhamm@baycountyfl.gov>
Cc: Francis Hinson <fhinson@gcec.com>
Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Hi Peyton,

Unfortunately, we will not be moving forward with you guys on this project. I appreciate the time and effort you have spent on this, but we are committed to Gulf Power for servicing this area. I will be sending you a formal letter notifying you of this, and I sincerely apologize for the frustration this will create. I hope that we can work together on some future power needs with you.

Sincerely, Bridget



Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

The St. Joe Company 133 S. WaterSound Parkway, WaterSound, FL 32461 o 850.231.6480 f 850.231.6595 e bridget.precise@joe.com w joe.com

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, January 12, 2018 8:29 AM To: Precise, Bridget; Donald Hamm (<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>) Cc: Francis Hinson Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Bridget/Don,

Can you let me know where we are on this project? Since our conference call we have notified Gulf Power of our intent to serve the lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W, contacted TECO concerning minimum separation and encroaching on their gas line, and drafted a utility easement for the Creamer property (which will need to be crossed to access St. Joe property).

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Peyton Gleaton Sent: Thursday, January 04, 2018 7:29 AM To: Precise, Bridget <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Bridget,

To provide power to the lift station, we will need utility easements on parcels 26599-000-000 and 26597-000-000 in Bay County. I've attached a copy of the "blanket easement" we usually fill out and have landowners sign, if The St. Joe Company would like to draft a different utility easement we would be glad to consider it. We will need a 30ft right-ofway (15ft on each side of our line), and plan to construct the line on the South side of SR388 then cross to the North side at the lift station. We have not contacted Teco Energy yet to discuss encroaching on their gas line, but our power line will be (the Teco minimum required distance) South of the gas line. Also, besides the easement, we will need aid to construction paid before construction can begin.

Looking forward to working with you on this project!

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:54 AM
To: Peyton Gleaton cpgleaton@gcec.com; Donald Hamm <<pre>chamm@baycountyfl.gov
Cc: Francis Hinson <<pre>fhinson@gcec.com; Shannon Hill <<pre>shill@gcec.com; Tony Morrell <<pre>tmorrell@gcec.com
Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

We can use our conference call number:

1-877-860-3058 Passcode: 3938931030

Thanks, Bridget

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2018 9:52 AM To: Precise, Bridget; Donald Hamm Cc: Francis Hinson; Shannon Hill; Tony Morrell Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Us too. What number should we call?

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:51 AM To: Donald Hamm https://doi.org/10.1016/journame To: Donald Hamm https://doi.org/10.1016/journame Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Works for me.

Bridget

STJOE

Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

The St. Joe Company 133 S. WaterSound Parkway, WaterSound, FL 32461 o 850.231.6480 f 850.231.6595 e bridget.precise@joe.com w joe.com

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2018 9:50 AM To: 'Peyton Gleaton' Cc: Francis Hinson; Shannon Hill; Tony Morrell; Precise, Bridget Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

How does 2pm today sound for everyone?

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:45 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; 'Precise, Bridget' <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Don,

Any day/time is good with us except Thursday morning when we have a conflict.

Just let us know.

Thanks

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2018 9:19 AM To: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; 'Precise, Bridget' <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Can we set up a conference call with GCEC, Bay Co., and St. Joe? We have some questions that I think we need to discuss before moving forward. Please let me know when is good for you.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm

Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 22, 2017 9:27 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Don,

As requested, attached is the required aid-to-construction to provide three-phase power to the proposed lift station at 1900 Hwy 388W. Remittance can be sent to the attention of Ms. Shannon Hill at our Southport office (9424 Hwy 77, PO Box 8370, Southport, FL 32409). The estimate does not include right-of-way acquisition as it is assumed that St. Joe will grant GCEC an easement along the southern portion of SR388.

Should you or The St. Joe Company have any questions or wish to discuss the project, please let me know.

Thank you,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Peyton Gleaton Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:19 PM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Great, Don, we should have the estimate ready before the end of the week.

Thanks!

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mailto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 1:11 PM To: Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

The SR 388 sewer forcemain and lift stations project is a St. Joe Company (SJC) project that once completed will be transferred to Bay County Utility Services (BCUS). The electric service billing info will need to come from SJC. When I get the estimate I will forward on to SJC and get them in contact with you for the connect service order and demand agreement.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm

Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, Fl. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov From: Peyton Gleaton [mailto:pgleaton@gcec.com] Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 8:28 AM To: Donald Hamm <<u>dhamm@baycountyfl.gov</u>> Cc: Francis Hinson <<u>fhinson@gcec.com</u>>; Shannon Hill <<u>shill@gcec.com</u>>; Tony Morrell <<u>tmorrell@gcec.com</u>>; Peyton Gleaton <<u>pgleaton@gcec.com</u>> Subject: RE: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Thanks, Donl

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative has three-phase facilities on SR388 one and a half miles east of 1900 Hwy 388W and can serve the lift station there.

We will begin preparing an estimate for the work and inquiring in to utility right-of-way easements.

Will Bay County Utilities Services (3410 Transmitter Rd) be responsible for the electric bill? If so, they will need to contact our office to create a connect service order and need to sign a demand agreement.

Thanks again,

C. Peyton Gleaton Jr., PE

Vice President of Engineering Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. 9424 Hwy 77 P.O. Box 8370 Southport, Florida 32409 850.265.3631 x3053 850.265.3634 Fax

From: Donald Hamm [mallto:dhamm@baycountyfl.gov] Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 4:08 PM To: Peyton Gleaton cpgleaton@gcec.com Subject: Hwy 388 Lift Stations

Peyton,

Attached is the info for the two lift stations on SR 388. Please let me know if you need any more info.

Thanks, Don

Donald E. Hamm



Utility Development and Permitting Manager Bay County Utility Services 3410 Transmitter Rd. Panama City, FI. 32404 Office: 850-248-5010 Fax: 850-248-5006 Cell: 850-819-5202 www.baycountyfl.gov

7

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Please Note: Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

10. A 10. A



Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative

Date:	
Member Name:	
Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
PID#	
Service Order #	
Staking Engineer	

ST. JOE COMPANY SR 388 SOUTHPORT FL 32409		December 22, 201
SOUTHPORT FL	ST.	JOE COMPANY
FL		SR 388
		SOUTHPORT
32409		FL
		32409
	т	ONY MORRELL

A. Cost of Construction

\$63,422.60

B. Contribution In Aid to Construction

1.	Number of Proposed Meters		1
2.	Estimated Monthly Revenue Per Meter	\$	400.00
3.	Number of Meters Multiplied by Revenue Per Meter	\$	400.00
4.	Multiply by 12 Months	\$	4,800.00
5.	Multiply by Revenue Multiplier (1.90)	<u>\$</u>	9,120.00
6.	100% Revenue times revenue Multimplier 1.90	\$	9,120.00
7.	Minus Cost of Construction	\$	63,422.60
8.	Right of Way Clearing	\$	3,750.00
9.	Cost in Aid to Construction (CIAC) Total Cost	\$	58,052.60

Docket No. 202180125-EU

EXHIBIT 4

Gillis, Jennifer (TAL - X35605)

From:	Precise, Bridget <bridget.precise@joe.com></bridget.precise@joe.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, October 11, 2017 11:52 AM
То:	Rogers, Joshua R.
Subject:	388 Force Main Lift Stations
Categories:	This email has been sent from an external address. Please use caution when clicking on links or opening attachments.

Hi Josh,

The lift station information is as follows:

Lift Station 1 is on parcel 26508-000-000 with a street address of 3815 W. Hwy 388 Lift Station 2 is on parcel 26597-000-000 with a street address of 1900 W. Hwy 388

Let me know if you need something else right now.

Thanks, Bridget



Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

Gillis, Jennifer (TAL - X35605)

From:	Precise, Bridget <bridget.precise@joe.com></bridget.precise@joe.com>
Sent:	Thursday, October 12, 2017 11:59 AM
To:	Rogers, Joshua R.
Subject:	Re: 388 Force Main Lift Stations
Categories:	This email has been sent from an external address. Please use caution when clicking on links or opening attachments.

Thanks Josh.

I'll send over the plans.

Bridget

Bridget Precise The St. Joe Company 133 S WaterSound Parkway WaterSound, FL 32413 Office: 850-231-6480 Fax: 850-231-6489

On Oct 12, 2017, at 10:53 AM, Rogers, Joshua R. < JROGERS@southernco.com > wrote:

Bridget,

The location at 3815 has existing power lines close to this address. As long as we can get some detailed information about the lift station electrical requirements, we should be able to meet the end of November completion time frame discussed.

For the location you requested that Gulf serve at 1900 W. Hwy 388, a new line extension will be required to serve this site. To start the analysis, I need the mechanical and electrical plans for the site.

Thanks,

Joshua Rogers, PE Gulf Power Company • Engineering Supervisor II Office: 850.872.3309 • Cell: 850.554.6583 <u>MyGulfPower.com</u> Stay connected with Gulf Power

<image001.png> <image002.png> <image004.png> <image005. png> <image006.png>

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 10:52 AM To: Rogers, Joshua R. <<u>JROGERS@southernco.com</u>> Subject: 388 Force Main Lift Stations Hi Josh,

The lift station information is as follows:

Lift Station 1 is on parcel 26508-000-000 with a street address of 3815 W. Hwy 388 Lift Station 2 is on parcel 26597-000-000 with a street address of 1900 W. Hwy 388

Let me know if you need something else right now.

Thanks, Bridget

<image007.png>

Bridget Precise Vice President Development and Regulatory Affairs

Gillis, Jennifer (TAL - X35605)

From:	Precise, Bridget <bridget.precise@joe.com></bridget.precise@joe.com>
Sent:	Thursday, October 12, 2017 5:43 PM
To:	Rogers, Joshua R.
Cc:	Wilkes, April
Subject:	Fwd: 388 FM Electrical
Attachments:	E-drawings. 360592.pdf; ATT00001.htm
Categories:	This email has been sent from an external address. Please use caution when clicking on links or opening attachments.

Hi Josh,

Attached are the electrical plans for the 388 lift stations.

Thanks, Bridget

Bridget Precise The St. Joe Company 133 S WaterSound Parkway WaterSound, FL 32413 Office: 850-231-6480 Fax: 850-231-6489

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Pitts, Tommy" <<u>Gary.Pitts@mottmac.com</u>> Date: October 12, 2017 at 5:00:39 PM EDT To: "Precise, Bridget" <<u>Bridget.Precise@joe.com</u>> Cc: "Zafar, Amir" <<u>Amir.Zafar@mottmac.com</u>>, "Elkins, Claude R" <<u>Claude.Elkins@mottmac.com</u>> Subject: RE: 388 FM Electrical

Hi, Bridget. The electrical plans are attached. Let me know if you need anything further.

Tommy Pitts Project Manager Mott MacDonald Office 850-763-9393 Cell 850-899-5240

From: Precise, Bridget [mailto:Bridget.Precise@joe.com] Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 11:01 AM To: Pitts, Tommy <<u>Gary.Pitts@mottmac.com</u>> Subject: 388 FM Electrical

Hi Tommy,

Can you send me over the electrical sheets from the force main plans? I need to get those to Gulf Power so they can figure out the service needs.

Thanks in advance.

Bridget

Bridget Precise The St. Joe Company 133 S WaterSound Parkway WaterSound, FL 32413 Office: 850-231-6480 Fax: 850-231-6489











Gillis, Jennifer (TAL - X35605)

From:	Wilkes, April <april.wilkes@joe.com></april.wilkes@joe.com>
Sent:	Thursday, October 26, 2017 12:10 PM
To:	Rogers, Joshua R.
Subject:	388 Lift Stations
Categories:	This email has been sent from an external address. Please use caution when clicking on links or opening attachments.

Hi Josh,

I spoke to Bridget about the issue you mentioned yesterday. She has a meeting with contractor, engineer and County next week. She is going to see if there is any way we can bypass the second lift station until we can get power to the 2nd. Can you please continue to work on the pricing and schedule for us in the meantime?

Let me know if you have any other concerns on this project.

Thank you!

April Wilkes Manager – Regulatory & Public Affairs

The St. Joe Company 133 S. WaterSound Parkway, Building A, WaterSound, FL 32461 *o* 850.231.6435 *m* 850.258.9439 *f* 850.231.6595 *e* april.wilkes@joe.com *w* joe.com