

Writer's Direct Dial Number: (850) 521-1706 Writer's E-Mail Address: bkeating@gunster.com

September 24, 2018

E-PORTAL FILING

Ms. Carlotta Stauffer, Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20180158-GU – Re: Joint petition for approval of revised swing service rider rates for the period January through December 2019, by Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Public Utilities Company-Indiantown Division, Florida Public Utilities Company-Fort Meade, and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Attached for filing, please find the above-identified Companies' response to Commission Staff's First Data requests in the referenced docket.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Kind regards

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

MEK

Docket No. 20180158-GU - Re: Joint petition for approval of revised swing service rider rates for the period January through December 2019, by Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Public Utilities Company-Indiantown Division, Florida Public Utilities Company-Fort Meade, and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

1. Please provide Schedules A-D attached to the direct testimony of Michael Cassel in Excel format with formulas intact.

Company Response:

Please refer to Attachment 1.

2. Please refer to Schedule D of the Petition. Please complete the table below by providing the docket number pertaining to the Commission approval of the Agreement. If there is no applicable docket number, please provide a description regarding the nature of the Agreement and the associated costs.

Agreement	Commission Docket
Nassau County William Burgess	140189-GU
Nassau County	110271-GU
AGL SR80(2) Benoist Farms	030134-GU
Port of Palm Beach	140190-GU
Riviera Lateral	120313-GU
Hernando County CFG	Information provided in Docket No. 160085-
	GU response to Data Request 2, Question 2.
Haines City CFG	150031-GU
Cardinal Technology LLC	?
Pierpont & McLelland	?
Temporary Assistance	?
New Smyrna	170193-GU
Pensacola NW	180015-GU

Company Response:

Agreement	Commission Docket
Nassau County William Burgess	140189-GU
Nassau County	110271-GU
AGL SR80(2) Benoist Farms	030134-GU
Port of Palm Beach	140190-GU
Riviera Lateral	120313-GU
Hernando County CFG	Information provided in Docket No. 160085-
	GU response to Data Request 2, Question 2.
Haines City CFG	150031-GU
Cardinal Technology LLC	20170191-GU
Pierpont & McLelland	NA NA
Temporary Assistance	NA
New Smyrna	170193-GU
Pensacola NW	180015-GU

The three vendors about which this request seeks information are not subject to Commission-approved agreements. Costs associated with the Company's contract with Cardinal Technology, which is a software company that supports a tool FPUC uses to manage customer usage and assist in determining customers' gas supply needs, were included and approved in Docket No. 20170191-GU. The properly allocated portion of these costs were included in the PGA during 2017, as reflected in the Company's response to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories, Question 1 in Docket No. 20160003-GU. The other two vendors (Pierpont and Temporary Employee) provide services involving the analysis, and purchase of commodity or capacity for our sales service and transportation customers. Costs associated with these contracts have historically been recovered 100% through the Purchased Gas Adjustment clause. Since these costs also relate to transportation customers, the Company now allocates a portion of these costs to transportation customers through the Swing Service rider.

3. Please discuss, including Commission docket (if applicable), the following special contracts listed on Schedule D of the petition: Mosaic, Rayonier Recovery Boiler, and 8 Flags.

Company Response:

The Mosaic contract was approved by Commission Order No. PSC-2017-0453-PAA-GU in Docket No. 20170180-GU.

The Rayonier Recovery Boiler and the 8 Flags contracts were issued under the Flexible Gas Service Tariff and were not approved in a docketed proceeding. Instead, consistent with the Company's tariff, notice was filed in the undocketed file, Docket No. 20160000 on May 6 and July 22, 2016, respectively.

4. Please refer to Schedule C of the petition and explain why the customer class FTS-10's Peak and Average percent went from 2.50106%, in docket 170191-GU, to 1.83035%.

Company Response:

The Peak and Average percentages are based on actual volumes for July 2017 through June 2018. Per the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation's approved tariff, the Company, at its option, can perform an annual rate review since each rate class is based on usage. Therefore, if customers use less (or more) usage for their rate class, they may be moved to the rate class that reflects their actual usage for the prior year, which was the case for customer classes FTS-10 and FTS-11. This review for 2018 caused a shift in the volumes from FTS-10 and FTS-11 to FTS-9 and FTS-12, respectively. Consequently, the percentages for those customer classes increased from those reflected in Docket No. 20170191-GU.

5. Please refer to Schedule C of the petition and explain why the customer class FTS-11's Peak and Average percent went from 9.64314%, in docket 170191-GU, to 1.65147%.

Company Response:

Please refer to the response to question 4. The percentages for this rate class changed for the same reason.