BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental Cost	DOCKET NO. 20180007-EI
Recovery Clause	FILED: October 15, 2018

THE FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), pursuant to Order No. PSC-2018-0090-PCO-EI and Order No. PSC-2018-0248-PCO-PU, files its Prehearing Statement.

A. <u>APPEARANCES:</u>

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen Putnal Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32312

Attorneys for the Florida Industrial Power Users Group

B. <u>WITNESSES AND EXHIBITS:</u>

All witnesses and exhibits listed by other parties in this proceeding.

C. <u>STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION:</u>

Only costs legally authorized should be recovered through the environmental cost recovery clause. FIPUG maintains that the respective utilities must satisfy their burden of proof for any and all monies or other relief sought in this proceeding.

D. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS:

GENERIC ISSUES

ISSUE 1. What are the final environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2017 through December 2017?

<u>FIPUG:</u> The petitioner has the burden of proof and must carry its burden.

ISSUE 2. What are the estimated/actual environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2018 through December 2018?

FIPUG: The petitioner has the burden of proof and must carry its burden.

ISSUE 3. What are the projected environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2019 through December 2019?

FIPUG: The petitioner has the burden of proof and must carry its burden.

ISSUE 4. What are the environmental cost recovery amounts, including true-up amounts, for the period January 2019 through December 2019?

FIPUG: The petitioner has the burden of proof and must carry its burden.

ISSUE 5. What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2019 through December 2019?

FIPUG: The petitioner has the burden of proof and must carry its burden.

ISSUE 6. What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period January 2019 through December 2019?

FIPUG: The petitioner has the burden of proof and must carry its burden.

<u>ISSUE 7.</u> What are the appropriate environmental cost recovery factors for the period January 2019 through December 2019 for each rate group?

<u>FIPUG:</u> The petitioner has the burden of proof and must carry its burden.

ISSUE 8. What should be the effective date of the new environmental cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

<u>FIPUG:</u> The petitioner has the burden of proof and must carry its burden.

Should DEF be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs associated with its proposed Crystal River Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) Blowdown Pond Closure project?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 9B: How should costs associated with DEF's proposed Crystal River FGD Blowdown Pond Closure project be allocated to rate classes?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC ISSUES

ISSUE 10A. Should FPL be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs

associated with its proposed modifications to its Manatee Temporary Heating

System project?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 10B. Should FPL be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs

associated with its proposed modifications to its National Pollution Discharge

Elimination System Permit Renewal Requirements project?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 10C. Should FPL be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs

associated with its proposed Solar Site Avian Monitoring and Reporting project?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 10D: How should costs associated with FPL's proposed Solar Site Avian Monitoring

and Reporting project be allocated to rate classes?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 10E: Is FPL meeting remediation objectives in the Florida Department of Environmental

Protection Consent Order and the Miami -Dade County DERM Consent Agreement in a timely manner? If not, what jurisdictional amounts, if any, should the Commission

approve as reasonably projected?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 11A: Should Gulf be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs

associated with its proposed 316(b) Cooling Water Intake Structure Regulation

project?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 11B: How should costs associated with Gulf's proposed 316(b) Cooling Water Intake

Structure Regulation project be allocated to rate classes?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 12A: Should TECO be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs

Associated with its proposed Big Bend Unit 1 Section 316(b) Impingement

Mortality project?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 12B: How should costs associated with TECO's proposed Big Bend Unit 1 Section

316(b) Impingement Mortality project be allocated to rate classes?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 12C: Should TECO be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs

associated with its proposed Big Bend Station Effluent Limitations Guidelines

(ELG) Rule Compliance project?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 12D: How should costs associated with TECO's proposed Big Bend Station ELG Rule

Compliance project be allocated to rate classes?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 13: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the environmental cost

recovery amounts and environmental cost recovery factors determined to

be appropriate in this proceeding?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 14: Should this docket be closed?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

E. STIPULATED ISSUES:

None at this time.

F. PENDING MOTIONS:

None at this time.

G. STATEMENT OF PARTY'S PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY:

None.

H. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATION OF WITNESSES AS AN EXPERT:

Yes, unless the witness in question affirmatively states the subject matter area(s) in which he or she claims expertise.

I. <u>STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING</u> PROCEDURE:

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which the Florida Industrial Power Users Group cannot comply at this time.

s/ Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(850) 681-3828 (Voice)
(850) 681-8788 (Facsimile)
jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished to

the following by Electronic Mail, on this 15th day of October, 2018:

Charles Murphy
Stephanie Cuello
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us
scuello@psc.state.fl.us

Jessica A. Cano
John Butler
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
jessica.cano@fpl.com
john.butler@fpl.com

Russell Badders Steve Griffin Beggs & Lane Law Firm P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591 rab@beggslane.com srg@beggslane.com James Beasley
Jeffrey Wahlen
Ashley Daniels
Ausley Law Firm
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
jwahlen@ausley.com
adaniels@ausley.com

Kenneth Hoffman Florida Public Service Commission 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1858 Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com

Charles Rehwinkel
Patty Christensen
Office of Public Counsel
The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

James W. Brew
Laura A. Wynn
1025 Thomas Jefferson St, NW
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20007
jbrew@smxblaw.com
laura.wynn@smxblaw.com

George Cavros
Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
120 E. Oakland Park Blvd.
Suite 105
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334
George@cavros-law.com

Dianne Triplett
Matthew R. Bernier
Duke Energy Florida, Inc.
299 1st Avenue North, FL 151
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com
matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Paula K. Brown Manager, Regulatory Coordination Tampa Electric Company Post Office Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601 regdept@ tecoenergy.com

/s/ Jon C. Moyle
Jon C. Moyle