FILED 5/24/2019 DOCUMENT NO. 04555-2019 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS: ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN DONALD J. POLMANN GARY F. CLARK ANDREW GILES FAY



KEITH C. HETRICK GENERAL COUNSEL (850) 413-6199

## **Public Service Commission**

May 24, 2019

Maria Moncada Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, Florida 33408 maria.moncada@fpl.com

STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST via e-mail

Docket No. 20190061-EI -Petition for approval of FPL SolarTogether program RE: and tariff, by Florida Power & Light Company.

Dear Ms. Moncada:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) provide responses to the following data requests:

- Please refer to FPL's response to Staff's First Data Request, No. 29, for the following 1. questions.
  - Please provide FPL's rationale for assuming the company's non-zero CO<sub>2</sub> a. emission cost value (price forecast) occurs in 2026 for purpose of developing FPL's CPVRR Base Case (Mid CO<sub>2</sub> price, Mid Fuel cost) scenario.
  - Please refer to FPL's response to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories, No. 27, in b. Docket No. 20170123-EI (Petition for approval of arrangement to mitigate unfavorable impact of St. John River Power Park, by Florida Power & Light Company) in which FPL indicated that "FPL's base case also assumed that CO2 prices would start in 2028." Please explain in detail why FPL, and its consultant ICF, changed the forecast of initial non-zero CO<sub>2</sub> emission price to 2026 rather than 2028 in developing the CPVRR Base Case scenario in this docket.
  - Please provide alternative responses to Staff's First Data Request, Nos. 28 and 78 c. (for Base Case only) using the same CO2 price forecast that FPL assumed in Docket No. 20170123-EI.

Staff's Second Data Request Docket No. 20190061-EI Page 2

- 2. Please refer to FPL's response to Staff's First Data Request, No. 30, for the following questions.
  - a. Please provide the discussed "annual probability values" for "high" and "middle" CO<sub>2</sub> emission compliance cost projections.
  - b. Please explain why specifically a 2.1% factor was used in converting ICF's compliance cost values to nominal dollars in this docket.
- 3. Please refer to the Petition at page 3. At the time Phase 1 is complete and is in service, what is the total annual revenue requirement associated with the 1,490 MW Phase 1 SolarTogether program?
- 4. Please provide the CPVRR analysis, with all formulas intact, used to support the Company's statement that the Phase 1 SolarTogether offering is projected to save customers an estimated \$139 million.

Please file all responses electronically no later than June 24, 2019 from the Commission's website at <a href="www.floridapsc.com">www.floridapsc.com</a>, by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6584 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Walt Trierweiler Senior Attorney

WLT/lms

cc: Office of Commission Clerk Ken Hoffman- FPL