

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for limited proceeding to
approve second solar base rate adjustment,
by Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

DOCKET NO.: 20190072-EI
FILED: June 12, 2019

**FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S
PETITION TO INTERVENE**

Petitioner Florida Industrial Power Users Group ("FIPUG"), pursuant to sections 120.569 and 120.57(1), Florida Statutes, and Rule 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code, hereby files its Petition to Intervene, and in support thereof states as follows:

The Parties

1. Petitioner / Intervenor is:

Florida Industrial Power Users Group
c/o Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: (850) 681-3828
Facsimile: (850) 681-8788

For purposes of service of all pleadings, notices, and orders in this docket, Intervenor's mailing and e-service addresses are as follows:

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Karen A. Putnal
Ian E. Waldick
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: (850) 681-3828
Facsimile: (850) 681-8788
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
kputnal@moylelaw.com
iwaldick@moylelaw.com
mqualls@moylelaw.com

2. The affected agency is the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission"), with a principal place of business at 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

3. The affected utility in this docket is Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("Duke").

FIPUG's Substantial Interests

4. FIPUG is an ad hoc association consisting of industrial users of electricity in Florida. The cost of electricity constitutes a significant portion of FIPUG members' overall costs of production. FIPUG members require adequate, reasonably-priced electricity in order to compete in their respective markets.

5. In this case, the Commission will consider Duke's request to recover rates for three solar power facilities specified in its petition. These rates, if approved, will be recovered from Duke's customers, including those customers that are FIPUG members.

6. As discussed below, FIPUG has standing to intervene in this matter on behalf of its members. In *Florida Home Builders Association v. Department of Labor and Employment Security*, 412 So. 2d 351 (Fla. 1982), the Florida Supreme Court set forth the requirements for an organization to demonstrate associational standing on behalf of its members in administrative proceedings.¹ An organization must demonstrate that 1) a substantial number of its members, although not necessarily a majority, are "substantially affected" by the agency action; 2) the subject matter of the case is within the association's general scope of interest and activity; and 3) the relief requested is of the type appropriate for the association to receive on behalf of its members. *Id.* at 353-54.

¹ Although *Florida Home Builders Association* concerned standing in actions brought pursuant to section 120.56(1), Florida Statutes, its rationale has been extended to actions brought pursuant to 120.57, Florida Statutes, by the First District Court of Appeal's decision in *Farmworker Rights Organization, Inc. v. Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services*, 417 So. 2d 753, 754 (Fla. 1st DCA 1982).

7. A substantial number of FIPUG members will be affected by the Commission's approval or rejection of Duke's proposed rate recovery for the three solar power facilities listed in its petition. As such, each FIPUG member that is an electricity customer of Duke will be affected by the outcome of this case. *See Agrico Chem. Co. v. Dep't of Env't Regulation*, 406 So. 2d 478, 482 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981).

8. Moreover, the subject matter of these dockets is within FIPUG's general scope of interest and activity. FIPUG routinely appears on behalf of its members in cases concerning utility regulation, as the cost of electricity represents a significant portion of its members' production costs. As such, the subject matter of the instant dockets, i.e. evaluation of Duke's request to recover from customers rates for certain solar power facilities, is well within FIPUG's scope of interest and activity.

9. Additionally, the relief sought by FIPUG by way of the instant petition is of the type appropriate for it to receive on behalf of its members and pursuant to rule 28-106.205(1), Florida Administrative Code. FIPUG seeks, by way of the instant petition, leave to intervene as a party with full rights to participate in this docket. Because its members are industrial electricity customers who will be affected by the outcome of this case, FIPUG's participation in this docket is appropriate to ensure that the rates charged to its members who received electrical service from Duke are fair, just, and reasonable rates for power that is needed and cost-effective.

10. Further, FIPUG's interests are of the type that this proceeding is designed to protect. *See, Agrico Chem. Co.*, 406 So.2d at 482. The purpose of the proceeding is for the Commission to consider Duke's proposed rate recovery for solar power facilities specified in its petition. The outcome of the proceeding will thus have significant implications for FIPUG members that are Duke customers. Accordingly, FIPUG's interest in ensuring that its members that

receive electrical service from Duke are charged fair, just, and reasonable rates for power that is both needed and cost-effective is of the type that this proceeding is designed to protect.

Notice of Proceeding

11. FIPUG received notice of this docket by a review of the Commission's website.

Statement of Position

12. Duke must meet its burden of proof in this matter.

Disputed Issues of Material Fact

13. Disputed issues of material fact include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Whether the costs for which Duke seeks recovery from customers are reasonable; and
 - b. Whether the solar facilities for which Duke seeks Commission approval to recover rates cost-effective and needed.
14. FIPUG reserves all rights to raise additional issues in accordance with the Commission's rules and the Order Establishing Procedure in this docket.

Statement of Ultimate Facts Alleged and at Issue

15. Ultimate facts alleged and at issue include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. The rates Duke seeks to recover from its customers for select solar power projects must be fair, just, and reasonable; and
 - b. The power generated by the select solar power projects for which Duke seeks rate recovery must be needed and cost-effective.

Rules and Statutes Justifying Relief

16. The rules and statutes that entitle FIPUG to intervene and participate in this case include, but are not limited to:

- a. Section 120.569, Florida Statutes;
- b. Section 120.57, Florida Statutes;
- c. Section 366.04(1), Florida Statutes;
- d. Section 366.06, Florida Statutes;
- e. Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code; and
- f. Rule 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code.

Relief Requested

17. FIPUG requests that it be permitted to intervene as a full party in this docket.

Statement Required by Rule 28-106.204(3), Florida Administrative Code

18. Counsel for FIPUG has conferred with counsel for Duke and is authorized to represent that Duke does not oppose FIPUG's Petition to Intervene.

WHEREFORE, FIPUG requests that the Commission enter an order allowing it to intervene and participate as a full party in docket number 20190072-EI.

/s/ Ian E. Waldick _____

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.

Karen A. Putnal

Ian E. Waldick

Moyle Law Firm, P.A.

118 North Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Telephone: (850)681-3828

Facsimile: (850)681-8788

jmoyle@moylelaw.com

kputnal@moylelaw.com

iwaldick@moylelaw.com

Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I **HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Petition to Intervene has been furnished by electronic mail this 12th day of June, 2019, to the following:

Kurt Schrader
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
kschrade@psc.state.fl.us

Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg FL 33701
Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Matthew R. Bernier
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee FL 32301
matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

J.R. Kelly
Charles J. Rehwinkel
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street, room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

James Brew
Laura Wynn
PCS Phosphate – White Springs
c/o Stone Law Firm
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Washington DC 20007
jbrew@smxblaw.com
law@smxblaw.com

/s/ Ian E. Waldick
Ian E. Waldick