FILED 7/25/2019 DOCUMENT NO. 05971-2019 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK





Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: July 25, 2019

TO: Office of Commission Clerk (Teitzman)

- **FROM:** Division of Economics (Sibley, Hudson) M (Markov Markov M
- **RE:** Docket No. 20170147-WS Application for staff-assisted rate case in Levy County by FIMC Hideaway, Inc.
- AGENDA: 08/06/19 Regular Agenda Proposed Agency Action Interested Persons May Participate

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

 PREHEARING OFFICER:
 Brown

 CRITICAL DATES:
 August 6, 2019 (Pursuant to Order No. PSC-2019-0074-PAA-WS)

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: None

Case Background

FIMC Hideaway, Inc. (FIMC or Utility) is a Class C utility that was granted water and wastewater certificates in 1984 to serve the Hideaway development when Levy County transferred jurisdiction to the Florida Public Service Commission (Commission) in 1983.¹ The Hideaway systems were transferred to Florida Investors Mortgage Corporation Hideaway, Inc. in 1992 following its foreclosure on the Utility.² Subsequently, the Commission approved a transfer

¹Order No. 13497, issued July 10, 1984, in Docket No. 19830552-WS, *In re: Application of Hideaway Service, Inc.* for a certificate to operate a water and sewer utility in Levy County.

²Order No. 25584, issued January 8, 1992, in Docket No. 19910672-WS, In re: Application for transfer of Certificates Nos. 426-W and 362-S from Hideaway Service, Inc. to FIMC Hideaway, Inc. in Levy County.

Docket No. 20170147-WS Date: July 25, 2019

of majority organizational control in 2005.³ In 2009, the Commission approved the transfer of the Springside water and wastewater systems from Par Utilities, Inc. to FIMC.⁴ The Hideaway and Springside water and wastewater systems were interconnected in April 2013.

On June 22, 2017, FIMC filed an application for a staff-assisted rate case. Pursuant to Order No. PSC-2018-0389-PAA-WS, the Commission approved rates and charges for FIMC. Order No. PSC-2018-0389-PAA-WS additionally ordered:

[T]he overall quality of service provided by FIMC Hideaway, Inc. shall be considered marginal until the utility can sufficiently demonstrate that it meets the Department of Environmental Protection's [DEP] secondary water standards. The [U]tility shall file the results of its next primary and secondary water standards tests with this Commission in this docket by November 1, 2018. If the results are unfavorable, our staff will bring this item to this Commission by March 1, 2019, for further action.

By email, on October 8, 2018, FIMC provided Commission staff with the results of its 2018 DEP primary and secondary water tests. The test results indicated that the water service provided by the Utility continued to exceed certain DEP secondary standards for sulfates and total dissolved solids.

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-2019-0074-PAA-WS, issued February 25, 2019, the Commission directed FIMC to create an estimate of costs and benefits of a plausible solution to reduce sulfates and total dissolved solids to a level that is within DEP standards. That Order additionally stated:

We further direct FIMC to meet with its customers within 60-90 days of the issuance of this Order. The Utility shall provide the Office of Public Counsel and our staff with notification of the customer meeting date. In its meeting with customers, the Utility shall discuss the estimated costs and benefits of and time necessary for implementing a plausible solution to reduce sulfates and total dissolved solids to a level that is within acceptable DEP standards. The Utility shall report the results of the customer meeting to us within 30 days after the meeting is held. This item shall be brought back before us by the August 6, 2019 Commission Conference.

This recommendation addresses the Utility's actions, as directed by Order No. PSC-2019-0074-PAA-WS. The Commission has jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 367.011, 367.081, 367.0812, 367.0814, and 367.091, Florida Statutes (F.S.).

³Order No. PSC-05-0298-PAA-WS, issued March 18, 2005, in Docket No. 20040152-WS, In re: Application for transfer of majority organizational control of FIMC Hideaway, Inc. in Levy County from Florida Investors Mortgage Corporation, a Florida corporation, to Robert and Janet McBride.

⁴Order No. PSC-09-0279-PAA-WS, issued April 29, 2009, in Docket No. 20080268-WS, In re: Joint Application for transfer of the Springside water and wastewater systems from Par Utilities, Inc. in Levy County to FIMC Hideaway, Inc.:, amendment of Certificates 426-W and 362-S held by FIMC Hideaway, Inc.; and amendment of Certificate 428-W and cancellation of Certificate 366-S held by Par Utilities, Inc.

Discussion of Issues

Issue 1: Has FIMC Hideaway, Inc., complied with the requirements of Order No. PSC-2019-0074-PAA-WS?

Recommendation: Yes. FIMC, with the assistance of the Florida Rural Water Association (FRWA), has complied with the requirements of Order No. PSC-2019-0074-PAA-WS. Furthermore, based on the results of the customer meeting, staff recommends no additional action at this time with respect to FIMC's water quality. (Lewis)

Staff Analysis: The Commission's directives in Order No. PSC-2019-0074-PAA-WS, are consistent with the requirements found in Section 367.0812(2), F.S., which states:

(2)(a) In determining the quality of water service, the commission shall consider a finding by the Department of Environmental Protection as to whether the utility has failed to provide water service that meets the secondary water quality standards of the department.

(b) The utility shall create an estimate of the costs and benefits of a plausible solution to each issue identified by the commission.

(c) The utility shall meet with its customers within a time prescribed by the commission to discuss the estimated costs and benefits of and time necessary for implementing a plausible solution for each quality of water service issue identified, and the utility shall report the results of such meetings to the commission.

(d) The utility shall inform the commission, if:

1. The customers and the utility agree on a solution for each quality of water service issue identified, of each agreed-on solution and the cost of each solution; or

2. The customers and the utility prefer a different solution to at least one of the quality of water service issues identified, of the preferred solutions by each and the cost of each solution.

By email communication dated April 18, 2019, the Utility provided staff a copy of its customer meeting notice and indicated that it provided the notice to its customers by email on April 10, 2019, and by hand delivery between April 14, 2019, and April 17, 2019. The notice stated, in part, that the goal of the meeting was to discuss viable options to enhance the water quality that are amenable to the customers.

The FRWA assisted FIMC by identifying plausible solutions for the Utility's water service issues and developing cost and benefit estimates for each solution. On April 24, 2019, the FRWA provided a draft presentation, which included a summary of the plausible solutions, to staff. The FRWA's draft presentation was also shared with the Office of Public Counsel (OPC).

The plausible solutions, including estimated monetary costs, identified by the FRWA are summarized below.

- 1. Connect to another water system such as Fowlers Bluff (\$25,000 per connection or \$121 per month per connection)
- 2. Find another source, surface water or ground water supply (\$24,050 per connection or \$116 per month per connection)
- 3. Install additional treatment on the existing water (\$10,000 per connection or \$48 per month per connection)
- 4. Accept current water quality, but do something for your own home (\$125 to \$3,000 per connection)

The customer meeting was held on May 1, 2019, at the same location that the customer meeting for the staff assisted rate case was held. Approximately 35 customers attended the meeting as well as representatives from Commission staff and the OPC. At the meeting, the FRWA presented the plausible solutions and estimated costs and benefits. On May 28, 2019, the FRWA provided staff with a summary of the customer meeting. The FRWA's summary states the following:

During that meeting, options and costs for improving water quality were presented. We described and discuss[ed] in detail the water quality issues, possible solutions, costs, benefits, etc. We received customer input. We asked if the customers and the [U]tility agreed on a solution or preferred a different solution...[b]y a show of hands customers were in favor of option 4 and not in favor of options 1 through 3.5^{5}

As previously stated, option 4 involved the customers accepting the current water quality and doing something at their home. Attachment A, which is appended to this recommendation, includes a copy of the FRWA's summary and presentation.

At the conclusion of the meeting, Commission staff provided contact information to the customers to allow further input if desired. Copies of the FRWA's presentation were also provided for attendees to take to any customers that were not able to participate at the meeting. As of the date of the filing of this recommendation, Commission staff has not been contacted by a customer of FIMC regarding the Utility's water quality.

Summary

Staff recommends that FIMC, with the assistance of the FRWA, has complied with the requirements of Order No. PSC-2019-0074-PAA-WS. Furthermore, based on the results of the customer meeting, staff recommends no additional action at this time with respect to FIMC's water quality.

⁵The FRWA's summary contains a scrivener's error stating that the customer meeting occurred on April 24, 2019.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, a consummating order should be issued and this docket should be closed administratively. (DuVal)

Staff Analysis: If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, a consummating order should be issued and this docket should be closed administratively.

Docket No. 20170147-WS Date: July 25, 2019

FLORIDA RURAL WATER ASSOCIATION

2970 Wellington Circle • Tallahassee, FL 32309-7813 (850) 668-2746

May 28, 2019

Mr. Robert Graves Public Utilities Supervisor Division of Engineering Florida Public Service Commission Phone: (850) 413-7009 Email: rgraves@psc.state.fl.us

Re: Public Meeting to Discuss Water Quality Options and Costs FIMC Hideaway Inc., Levy County, PWS: 2381409 11496 NW 112th Place, Chiefland FL 3264

Dear Mr. Graves;

On April 24, 2019 a public meeting was held for customers of the FIMC Hideaway water system. During that meeting options and costs for improving water quality were presented. We described and discuss in detail the water quality issues, possible solutions, costs, benefits, etc. We received customer input. We asked if the customers and the utility agreed on a solution or preferred a different solution. Please see the attached presentation.

The options included:

- Connecting to another water system such as Fowlers Bluff (\$25,000 per connection or \$121 per month per connection).¹
- Find another source, surface water or ground water supply (\$24,050 per connection or \$116 per month per connection).
- Install additional treatment on the existing water (\$10,000 per connection or \$48 per month per connection)
- 4. Accept current water quality, but do something for your own home (\$125 to \$3,000 per connection).

By a show of hands customers were in favor of option 4 and not in favor of options 1 through 3.

Please feel free to contact Gary Williams or me if you have any further questions.

Sincerely,

Sterling L. Carroll, P.E.

FRWA State Engineer

Copy: Mr. Robert McBride, FIMC Hideaway Inc., jandrmcbride@cox.net Gary Williams, Fred Handy, FRWA

¹ Assumes 20-year loan at 1.5% with the DWSRF.

BOARD of DIRECTORS

TOM JACKSON Punta Gorda President

PATRICIA CICHON Monticello Vice President

WILLIAM G. GRUBBS Tallahussee Secretory/Treasurer

ROBERT MUNRO Orlando National Director

SCOTT KELLY West Palm Beach

BRUCE MORRISON Destin

BONNIE PRINGLE Rotunda

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

GARY WILLIAMS Tallahossee



EMAIL frwa@frwa.net

WEBSITE www.frwa.net



Public Meeting Required by the Florida Public Services Commission

- Describe & discuss the water quality issues, possible solutions, costs, benefits, etc.
- Receive customer input...
 - Do customers & utility agree on a solution & cost?
 - · Prefer a different solution & cost?
- Report back to the PSC



What are Primary or Secondary Drinking Water Standards?

Established by US Congress & EPA the for protection of public health

Primary Standards

(Health)

- Lead & Copper
- Arsenic
- Pesticides
- Radiological
- etc.

Secondary Standards

(Aesthetics / Nuisances)

- Hardness (TDS)
- Taste
- Odor
- Color
- Sulfates









Info about FIMC Hideaway MHP

- 180 service connections
- 275 population
- 150 gpm Permitted Capacity
- 16 gpm average usage
- 42 gpm max. day usage (28% capacity)
- (2) Wells @ 158 gpm
- Chlorination
- 3,900 gal hydropneumatic tank

What are the options?

- 1. Connect to another water system,
 - Springside at Manatee or
 - Fowlers Bluff
- 2. Find another source, surface water or ground water supply,
- 3. Install additional treatment on the existing water, or
- 4. Accept current water quality, but do something for your own home.

10



1.b. Fowlers Bluff? 60 gpm Permitted Capacity 21 gpm average usage 44 gpm max. day usage (74% capacity) 16 gpm remaining capacity - not enough Reverse Osmosis Plant would need to be expanded \$950,000 or \$5,280 per connection Water Main Interconnect ~ 13.6 miles \$2,950,000 or \$16,000 per connection

2. Find another source, surface water or ground water supply?

- New Reverse Osmosis Plant @ 50 gpm
 - Procure land & permits to construct a well field outside area of gypsum deposits
 - RO waste standards required for the backwash discharge
 - \$1,750,000 or \$10,000 per connection
- Water Main ~ 10+ miles
 - \$2,250,000 or \$12,500 per connection

3. Install additional treatment on the existing water?

- New Reverse Osmosis Plant @ 50 gpm
 - RO waste standards required for the backwash discharge
 - \$1,750,000 or **\$10,000** per connection
- Water Main ~ 0 miles

4. Accept Current Water Quality, but do something for your own home?

- Does the cost for better water quality outweigh the benefits?
- The PSC and FDEP have not had water quality complaints.
 - Seems to indicate community acceptance of status quo
- Are there other options? Yes, certainly!
 - Point of Entry Devices
 - Treats most or all water entering the home or building.
 - Water softener is a common example.
 - Point of Use Devices
 - Installed at a single tap or outlet and treat only that water
 - Under the sink or faucet filters are examples.

15

Removing Sulfates & Total Dissolved Solids

Three Options:

- Reverse Osmosis (RO) pushes water through a plastic surface similar to cellophane known as a "semipermeable membrane." Can most sulfate and all TDS in drinking water.
- 2. Distillation water is boiled, the steam is cooled and condenses in a separate container. The dissolved substances, such as sulfate & TDS, remain in the boiling pot.
- 3. Ion Exchange is the most known method of eliminating big quantities of sulfate from water, but is not generally used for individual household water treatment and does not remove hardness (TDS).

18







