

Writer's Direct Dial Number: (850) 521-1706 Writer's E-Mail Address: bkeating@gunster.com

August 9, 2019

E-Portal
Mr. Adam Teitzman

Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20190003-GU - Purchased gas adjustment (PGA) true-up.

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Attached for electronic filing, please find the Petition for Approval of PGA Factor, accompanied by the Direct Testimony and Exhibit MDN-1 of Ms. Michelle Napier and the Testimony of Jacob Case, submitted in the referenced Docket on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Sincerely,

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

MEK

cc: Parties of Record

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment)	Docket No. 20190003-GU
(PGA) True-Up)	
)	Filed: August 9, 2019

PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF THE PURCHASED GAS (PGA) FACTOR FOR FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

Florida Public Utilities Company and Florida Public Utilities Company – Fort Meade (together "FPUC" or "the Company") hereby files this petition for approval of the Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA") factor to be applied for service to be rendered by the Company during the projected period of January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020. In support of this Petition, FPUC states:

1. The Company is a natural gas utility with its principal office located at:

Florida Public Utilities Company 1750 S 14th Street, Suite 200 Fernandina Beach FL 32034

2. The name and mailing address of the persons authorized to receive notices are:

Beth Keating, Esq Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1839 (850) 521-1706 bkeating@gunster.com Mike Cassel, AVP/Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Florida Public Utilities Company 1750 S 14th Street, Suite 200 Fernandina Beach FL 32034 mcassel@fpuc.com

- Pursuant to the requirements in this docket, FPUC, concurrently with the filing of this petition, files testimony and Schedules E-1, E-1R, E-2, E-3, E-4, and E-5 (Exhibit MDN-1) for its consolidated gas division to support the calculation of the PGA recovery (cap) factor for the period January 2020 through December 2020.
- 4. As indicated in the testimony of Ms. Michelle D. Napier, FPUC has calculated its total net true-up (including interest and applicable regulatory assessment fees) for the period

- January 2018 through December 2018 to be an over-recovery of \$220,953, inclusive of interest.
- 5. Schedule E-4 also shows the projected true-up for the current period January 2018 through December 2018 is an over-recovery of \$2,621,657 inclusive of interest.
- 6. The total net true-up as shown on Schedule E-4 is an over-recovery of \$753,546 to be refunded during the projected period.
- 7. Consistent with the prior year, the Company's projected period costs include amounts associated with anticipated capacity costs for extending service to unserved areas, including expansion in Escambia County. In addition, the Company has included costs allocated from the Company's sister utility, the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, in accordance with Order PSC-2015-0321-PAA-GU, issued August 10, 2015, in Docket No. 20150117-GU. These costs are reflected in Schedules E-1 and E-3, which are incorporated in composite Exhibit MDN-1 to the Direct Testimony of Ms. Napier.
- 8. The Company has forecasted the 2020 weighted average cost of gas using the projected monthly pipeline demand costs, less the projected cost of capacity temporarily relinquished to third parties, the projected pipeline usage and no-notice costs, and the projected supplier commodity costs, while also incorporating projected costs associated with the Company's purchased gas functions. Consistent with Commission Order No. PSC-2016-0422-TRF-GU, a portion of the intrastate capacity costs is now allocated to certain transportation service customers outside the PGA, which has resulted in a decrease to the costs to be allocated to customers subject to the PGA. As explained in the testimony of Company witness Jacob Case, the sum of the costs to be allocated

Docket No. 20190003-GU

through the PGA mechanism is then divided by projected therm sales to traditional, non-

transportation service customers.

9. In calculating the costs to be allocated, the Company has included costs for outside

consulting expenses associated with the ongoing review and modification to the

Company's PGA and capacity cost allocation process, as well as costs associated with IT

consulting services to assist in updating a current software tool used to manage customer

usage, which enhances the Company's ability to determine the supply needs of the

various rate classes. The costs included are directly tied to the gas purchase function of

the Company and were not otherwise contemplated in the Company's last rate case.

10. Based on the estimated therm purchases for resale during the projected period, Schedule

E-1 reflects that the maximum purchased gas cost recovery factor is 100.946¢ per therm.

This rate includes not only the projected cost of gas purchased, but also the prior period.

true-up and revenue tax factors.

WHEREFORE, FPUC respectfully requests that the Commission enter its Order

approving the Company's proposed PGA cost recovery factor cap of 100.946 cents per therm to

be applied to customer's bills for the period January 2020 through December 2020.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 9th day of August, 2019.

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A.

215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

Attorneys for Florida Public Utilities Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of FPUC's Petition for Approval of PGA Factor, in Docket No. 20190003-GU, along with the Testimony and Exhibit MDN-1 of Michelle Napier and the Testimony of Jacob Case, have been furnished by electronic mail to the following parties of record this 9th day of August, 2019:

Florida Public Utilities Company	MacFarlane Ferguson Law Firm
Mike Cassel	Ansley Watson, Jr./Andrew Brown
1750 S 14th Street, Suite 200	P.O. Box 1531
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034	Tampa, FL 33601-1531
mcassel@fpuc.com	aw@macfar.com
	AB@macfar.com
Jennifer Crawford, Esquire	Office of Public Counsel
Kurt Schrader, Esquire	Charles Rehwinkel/Patricia Christensen
Florida Public Service Commission	c/o The Florida Legislature
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard	111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399	Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
jcrawfor@psc.state.fl.us	Rehwinkel.Charles@leg.state.fl.us
kschrade@psc.state.fl.us	Christensen.Patty@leg.state.fl.us
Peoples Gas System	St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc.
Paula Brown/Kandi Floyd	Andy Shoaf
P.O. Box 111	P.O. Box 549
Tampa, FL 33601-0111	Port St. Joe, FL 32457-0549
regdept@tecoenergy.com	Andy@stjoegas.com
kfloyd@tecoenergy.com	
Florida City Gas	Christopher T. Wright
Carolyn Bermudez	Senior Attorney
4045 NW 97th Avenue	Florida City Gas
Doral, Florida 33178	700 Universe Boulevard (JB/LAW)
Carolyn.Bermudez@nexteraenergy.com	Juno Beach, Florida 33408
	Christopher.Wright@fpl.com

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	DC	OCKET NO. 20190003-GU - In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True-Up.
3		(Actual/Estimated and Projections)
4		DIRECT TESTIMONY
5		OF MICHELLE D. NAPIER
6		On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Michelle D. Napier. My business address is 1635 Meathe Drive,
9		West Palm Beach, FL 33411.
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
11	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC or Company) as
12		Manager of Regulatory Affairs.
13	Q.	Can you please provide a brief overview of your educational and
14		employment background?
15	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from the University of
16		South Florida in 1986. I have been employed with FPUC since 1987. During
17		my employment at FPUC, I have performed various roles and functions in
18		accounting, including General Accounting Manager before moving to the
19		Regulatory department in 2011. I am currently the Manager of Regulatory
20		Affairs. In this role, my responsibilities include directing the regulatory
21		activities for FPUC. This includes regulatory analysis and filings before the
22		Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) for FPUC, FPUC-Indiantown,
23		FPUC-Fort Meade, Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities (CFG) and
24		Peninsula Pipeline Company.

1	Q.	Are you familiar	r with the Purchased	l Gas Adjustment	(PGA) clause of the
2		Company?			

- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Have you ever testified in the PGA Docket before?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?
- 7 A. My testimony will establish the PGA "true-up" collection amount, based on
- 8 actual January 2018 through June 2019 data and projected July 2019 through
- 9 December 2020 data. My testimony will summarize the computations that are
- contained in composite exhibit MDN-1 supporting the January through
- December 2020 projected PGA recovery (cap) factor for the FPUC
- consolidated gas division.
- Q. Which schedules have you included in your Exhibit MDN-1?
- 14 A. The Company has previously filed True-Up schedules A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4, A-
- 5, A-6 and A-7 in this proceeding. Exhibit MDN-1, which is included with
- my testimony, contains Schedules E-1, E-1/R, E-2, E-3, E-4, and E-5 for the
- FPUC consolidated gas division. These schedules support the calculation of the
- PGA recovery (cap) factor for January through December 2020.
- 19 Q. Were these schedules completed by you or under your supervision?
- 20 A. Yes, these schedules were completed under my direct supervision and review.
- 21 Q. What is the projection period for this filing?
- 22 A. The projection period is January through December 2020.
- 23 Q. What is the appropriate final PGA true-up amount for the period

1		January through December 2018?
2	. A.	As shown on Schedule E-4, the final PGA true-up amount for the period
3		January through December 2018 is an under-recovery of \$2,621,657 inclusive
4		of interest.
5	Q.	What is the projected PGA true-up amount for the period January
6		through December 2019?
7	A.	As also shown on Schedule E-4, the projected PGA true-up amount is an over-
8		recovery of \$3,375,203 inclusive of interest, for the period January through
9		December 2019.
10	Q.	What is the total projected PGA true-up amount to be collected from or
11		refunded to customers for the period January through December 2020?
12	A.	As shown on Schedule E-4, the total net over-recovery to be refunded for the
13		period January through December 2020 is \$753,546.
14	Q.	What is the appropriate PGA recovery (cap) factor for the period January
15		through December 2020?
16	. A.	As shown on Schedule E-1, the PGA recovery (cap) factor is 100.946¢ per
17		therm for the period January through December 2020.
18	Q.	What should be the effective date of the PGA recovery (cap) factor for
19		billing purposes?
20	A.	The PGA recovery (cap) factor should be effective for all meter readings
21		during the period of January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020.
22	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
23	A.	Yes.

Docket No. 20190003-GU

Page 1 of 6

Exhibit No. ______ Michelle D Napier (MDN-1)

Michelle D Napier (MDN-1)

Projection Filings and Testimony

SCHEDULE E-1

COMPANY:

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

ESTIMATED FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD JANUARY 2020 THROUGH DECEMBER 2020

	ļ								PROJECTED		PROJE	CTED	PROJECTED	
		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
COST OF GAS PURCHASED	2	i	i	i			_						1	
1 COMMODITY (Pipeline)		\$6,202	\$5,375	\$4,909	\$4,763	\$3,782	\$3,501	\$3,040	\$2,875	\$3,098	\$3,044	\$4,007	\$5,168	\$49,764
2 NO NOTICE SERVICE		\$8,891	\$6,584	\$5,853	\$3,915	\$1,660	\$1,606	\$1,645	\$1,645	\$1,577	\$3,112	\$5,062	\$7,039	\$48,589
3 SWING SERVICE		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4 COMMODITY (Other)		\$1,591,596	\$1,120,305	\$924,034	\$845,077	\$702,178	\$721,350	\$509,287	\$582,302	\$642,454	\$606,269	\$907,618	\$1,103,271	\$10,255,741
5 DEMAND		\$2,943,061	\$2,874,688	\$2,940,011	\$2,867,149	\$2,528,662	\$2,454,333	\$2,298,618	\$2,307,857	\$2,284,654	\$2,403,880	\$2,735,458	\$2,769,206	\$31,407,577
6 OTHER		\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450		\$389,400
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:				1										
7 COMMODITY (Pipeline)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	SO.	<u></u>
8 DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT		\$781,192	\$781,192	\$781.192	\$781.192	\$781.192	\$781,192	\$781,192		\$781.192	\$781.192	\$781,192		\$9,374,300
9 COMMODITY (Other)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Second Prior Month Purchase Adj.	(OPTIONAL)	\$0	ŚO	ŚO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	śo		śc
11 TOTAL COST	(+1+2+3+4+5+6+10)-(7+8+9)	\$3,801,008	\$3,258,210	\$3,126,065	\$2,972,162	\$2,487,540								\$32,776,771
12 NET UNBILLED		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0							<u> </u>
13 COMPANY USE		\$700	\$400	\$400	\$300	\$300	\$400	\$400		\$500	\$400	\$500		\$5,300
14 TOTAL THERM SALES		\$3,800,308						\$2,063,448						\$32,771,471
		75,000,500	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$5,125,0051	92,571,0021	72,407,2401	72,431,040	72,003,440	72,143,437	32,102,341	32,207,103	\$2,302,303	: 33,133, 44 2]	232,771,471
THERMS PURCHASED														
15 COMMODITY (Pipeline)		3,975,720	3,445,620	3,146,570	3,053,080	2,424,210	2,244,150	1,948,560	1,842,820	1,985,990	1.951.060	2,568,810	3,312,540	31,899,130
16 NO NOTICE SERVICE									.,,				0,012,010	31,033,130
17 SWING SERVICE							-	 -		-	<u>-</u>	-		
18 COMMODITY (Other)		3,975,720	3,445,620	3.146.570	3,053,080	2,424,210	2,244,150	1,948,560	1.842.820	1.005.000	1.051.060	2 550 010	2 242 540	24 000 420
19 DEMAND		14,276,120	13,331,880	14,209,160	13,005,000	6,828,370				1,985,990	1,951,060	2,568,810	3,312,540	31,899,130
20 OTHER		14,270,120	13,331,000	14,203,100	13,003,000	0,020,370	5,775,000	5,979,900	6,130,560	5,887,800	7,736,050	13,857,600	14,310,840	121,328,280
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:														
21 COMMODITY (Pipeline)														
22 DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT									-		-	-		
23 COMMODITY (Other)										-		-	-	
24 TOTAL PURCHASES	(+17+18+20)-(21+23)	3,975,720	3,445,620	3,146,570	3,053,080	7 474 710	2 244 450	1 040 560	4.042.020	4 005 000		-		
25 NET UNBILLED	(+17+16+20)-(21+25)	3,973,720	3,443,020 ;	3,140,370 ;	3,053,080	2,424,210	2,244,150	1,948,560	1,842,820	1,985,990	1,951,060	2,568,810	3,312,540	31,899,130
26 COMPANY USE		1.742	1.207	1,202	944	7 176		4 252	- 4 40=			-	-	
27 TOTAL THERM SALES	(For Estimated, 24 - 26)	3,973,978				1,136	1,294	1,357	1,487	1,598	1,336	1,544	1,539	16,385
	(For Estimated, 24 · 26)	3,973,978 i	3,444,413	3,145,368	3,052,136	2,423,074	2,242,856	1,947,203	1,841,333	1,984,392	1,949,724	2,567,266	3,311,001	31,882,745
CENTS PER THERM														
28 COMMODITY (Pipeline)	(1/15)	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.156
29 NO NOTICE SERVICE	(2/16)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
30 SWING SERVICE	(3/17)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
31 COMMODITY (Other)	(4/18)	40.033	32.514	29.366	27.679	28.965	32.144	26.137	31.598	32.349	31.074	35.332	33.306	32.151
32 DEMAND	(5/19)	20.615	21.563	20.691	22.047	37.032	42.499	38.439	37.645	38.803	31.074	19.740	19.350	25.886
33 OTHER	(6/20)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:														
34 COMMODITY Pipeline	(7/21)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
35 DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT	(8/22)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
36 COMMODITY Other	(9/23)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
37 TOTAL COST OF PURCHASES	(11/24)	95.606	94.561	99.348	97.350	102.612	108.373	105.917	116.449	109.922	116.222	113.025	94.669	102.751
38 NET UNBILLED	(12/25)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
39 COMPANY USE	(13/26)	40.190	33.127	33.276	31.789	26.418	30.922	29.477	33.625	31.289	29.940	32.383	32.489	32.346
40 TOTAL COST OF THERM SOLD	(11/27)	95.647	94.594	99.386	97.380	102.661	108.435	105.990	116.543	110.011	116.302	113.093	94.713	102.804
41 TRUE-UP (REFUND)/RECOVER	(E-4)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)	(2.363)
42 TOTAL COST OF GAS	(40+41)	93.284	92.231	97.023	95.017	100.298	106.072	103.627	114.180	107.648	113.939	110.730	92.350	100.441
43 REVENUE TAX FACTOR		1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503
44 PGA FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	(42×43)	93.75319	92.69454	97.51086	95.49420	100.80150	106.60532	104.14813	114.75335			111.28662	92.81386	100.94582
45 PGA FACTOR	(ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001)	93.753	92.695	97.511	95,494	100.801	106.605	104.148	114.753	108.189	114.511	111.287	92.814	100.946
<u> </u>	/	20., 20	72.033		22.424	100.001	200.003	AU-4.140	A14./33	100.103	114.511	111.28/	32.814	100.946

Docket No. 20190003-GU
Page 2 of 6
Exhibit No. _____
Michelle D Napier (MDN-1)
Projection Filings and Testimony

COMPANY:

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION ACTUAL JANUARY 2019 THROUGH JUNE 2019 ESTIMATED JULY 2019 THROUGH DECEMBER 2019

SCHEDULE E-1/R

ACTUAL PROJECTED PROJECTED PROJECTED PROJECTED PROJECTED														
	ł	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT :	NOV :	DEC	TOTAL
COST OF GAS PURCHASED						(712-)		701	+	JEF .	001	NOV ,	DEC	TOTAL
1 COMMODITY (Pipeline)	-	\$15,025	\$10,236	\$12,214	\$5,335	\$1,238	5,793	\$4,136	\$3,844	\$4,420	\$4,310	\$5,281	\$6,493	670.33
2 NO NOTICE SERVICE		\$01	\$01	\$0	\$0		5,795	\$1,645	\$1,645	\$1.577		\$5,281	\$7,039	\$78,32
3 SWING SERVICE		sol	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$1,645	\$1,643	\$1,577			4.7	\$20,080
4 COMMODITY (Other)		\$1,873,255	\$611.309	\$675,601	\$215,208	\$772.655	\$46,311	\$1,134,050	\$1.081.628	\$1,246,662		\$0	\$0	\$(
5 DEMAND		\$1,707,575	\$1,746,538	\$1,803,592	\$1,774,072	\$1,466,540	\$1,369,509	\$1,560,524	\$1,558,447	\$1,545,111		\$1,516,595		\$11,981,059
OTHER		\$43,681	\$30,420	\$28,919	\$42,579	\$32,167	\$36,523	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$1,665,359	\$1,988,474	\$2,019,617	\$20,205,35
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:	-	343,0811	330,4201	740,515	342,373	332,107	330,323	\$30,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$514,289
7 COMMODITY (Pipeline)		\$0	\$0	so	\$0	\$0	\$0	ŚO	so	 \$0	SO	\$0		
8 DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT		\$0	\$0	So:	\$0	\$0. \$0	\$0	\$443,560	\$443,560	\$443,560	\$443,560	\$443,560	\$0 \$443,560	\$2,661,36
9 COMMODITY (Other)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0!	\$0	\$2,001,30
10 Second Prior Month Purchase Adi.	(OPTIONAL)	ŚO	so	ŚO	\$0	\$0	ŠO	\$0	SO	\$0		SO	\$0	
1	+1+2+3+4+5+6+10)-(7+8+9)	\$3,639,536	\$2,398,504	\$2,520,326	\$2,037,193	\$2,272,600	\$1,458,136	\$2,306,795	\$2,252,004	\$2,404,210		\$3,121,852		\$00.107.75
12 NET UNBILLED		\$0:	\$0	\$0	\$2,037,133	\$2,272,000	\$1,438,130	\$2,300,793	\$2,232,004				\$3,392,024	\$30,137,750
13 COMPANY USE		\$1,473	\$1,021	\$1.017	\$798	\$983	\$1,120	\$700	\$700	\$0 \$1,100	\$0; \$600	\$0; \$600	\$0	\$(
14 TOTAL THERM SALES		\$3,421,894	\$3,056,542	\$2,440,460	\$2,530,260	\$2,420,496	\$2,235,061	\$2,306,095					\$600	\$10,712
THERMS PURCHASED		73,421,634	33,030,3421	32,440,460	\$2,330,260	32,420,456	32,233,061	\$2,306,095	\$2,251,304	\$2,403,110	\$2,333,972	\$3,121,252	\$3,391,424	\$31,911,869
15 COMMODITY (Pipeline)	-	3,338,790	2 224 500	2 702 220		252.222								
16 NO NOTICE SERVICE		3,338,790	2,331,580	2,782,220	1,215,180	350,330	709,280	2,047,340	1,903,000	2,188,150	2,133,800	2,614,180	3,214,470	24,828,320
17 SWING SERVICE														
		2 424 472						-			- !			(
18 COMMODITY (Other)		3,424,179	2,912,501	1,962,752	2,283,927	1,781,176	678,427	2,047,340	1,903,000	2,188,150	2,133,800	2,614,180	3,214,470	27,143,902
19 DEMAND 20 OTHER		12,360,939	10,703,747	11,544,452	10,623,796	4,673,293	3,495,104	6,577,270	6,564,560	6,442,500	8,333,730	14,463,600	14,937,970	110,720,961
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:							<u>-</u> .							
21 COMMODITY (Pipeline)						-	•	-			·i			(
22 DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT								-						
23 COMMODITY (Other)				-		-				-		i		
24 TOTAL PURCHASES	(+17+18+20)-(21+23)	3,424,179	2,912,501	1,962,752	2,283,927	1,781,176	678,427	2,047,340	1,903,000	2,188,150	2,133,800	2,614,180	3,214,470	27,143,902
25 NET UNBILLED			0	0	0	. 0	0			j				
26 COMPANY USE		1,742	1,207	1,202	944	1,136	1,294	1,290	1,310	1,970	1,210	1,080	1,160	15,544
27 TOTAL THERM SALES	(For Estimated, 24 · 26)	3,784,763	3,414,158	2,845,645	2,846,940	2,404,200	2,139,515	2,046,050	1,901,690	2,186,180	2,132,590	2,613,100	3,213,310	31,528,141
CENTS PER THERM		1	i i	1				. T						
28 COMMODITY (Pipeline)	(1/15)	0.450	0.439	0.439	0.439	0.353	0.817	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.315
29 NO NOTICE SERVICE	(2/36)	0.000	0.000	0,000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0,000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
30 SWING SERVICE	(3/17)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
31 COMMODITY (Other)	(4/18)	54.707	20.989	34.421	9.423	43.379	6.826	55.391	56.838	56.973	49.459	58.014	54.517	44.139
32 DEMAND	(5/19)	13.814	16.317	15.623	16.699	31.381	39.184	23.726	23.740	23.983	19.983	13.748	13.520	18.249
33 OTHER	(6/20)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:				i.										
34 COMMODITY Pipeline	(7/21)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
35 DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT	(8/22)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0,000	0.000
36 COMMODITY Other	(9/23)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
37 TOTAL COST OF PURCHASES	(11/24)	106.289	82.352	128.408	89.197	127.590	214.929	112,673	118.340	109.874	109,409	119,420	105.524	111.030
38 NET UNBILLED	(12/25)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
39 COMPANY USE	(13/26)	84,575	84.575	84.575	84.575	86.565	86.565	54.264	53,435	55.838	49.587	55,556	51.724	68.913
40 TOTAL COST OF THERM SOLD	(11/27)	96.163	70.252	88.568	71.557	94.526	68.153	112,744	118.421	109,973	109,471	119,469	105.562	95.590
41 TRUE-UP	(E-4)	(8.181)	(8.181)	(8.181)	(8,181)	(8.181)	(8.181)	(8.181)	(8.181)	(8.181)	(8.181)	(8.181)	(8.181)	(8.181)
42 TOTAL COST OF GAS	(40+41)	87.982	62.071	80.387	63.376	86.345	59.972	104,563	110.240	101.792	101.290	111.288	97.381	87,409
43 REVENUE TAX FACTOR		1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1,00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503
-increise invitation										1.00000	1,00000	1.00000		1.00503
44 PGA FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	(42x43)	88.42396	62.38259	80.79077	63.69474	86.77912	60.27302	105.08824	110,79414	102.30360	101.79918	111.84751	97.87000	87.84823

Docket No. 20190003-GU Page 3 of 6 Exhibit No. _______ Michelle D Napier (MDN-1)

COMPANY:

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AMOUNT ACTUAL JANUARY 2019 THROUGH JUNE 2019 ESTIMATED JULY 2019 THROUGH DECEMBER 2019

SCHEDULE E-2

	1	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL		PROJECTED		PROJECTED	- · ·			
		ACTUAL			JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	PROJECTED -	DEC	TOTAL			
	TRUE-UP CALCULATION							-			001	1404	DEC	TOTAL
1	PURCHASED GAS COST	\$1,873,255	\$611,309	\$675,601	\$215,208	\$772,655	\$46,311	\$1,134,050	\$1,081,628	\$1,246,662	\$1,055,351	\$1,516,595	\$1,752,435	\$11,981,059
	TRANSPORTATION COST	\$1,766,281	\$1,787,194	\$1,844,725	\$1,821,985	\$1,499,945	\$1,411,825	\$1,172,745	\$1,170,376	\$1,157,548	\$1,279,221	\$1,605,257	\$1,639,589	\$18,156,691
3	TOTAL	\$3,639,536	\$2,398,504	\$2,520,326	\$2,037,193	\$2,272,600	\$1,458,136	\$2,306,795	\$2,252,004	\$2,404,210	\$2,334,572	\$3,121,852	\$3,392,024	\$30,137,750
4	FUEL REVENUES (NET OF REVENUE TAX)	\$3,421,894	\$3,056,542	\$2,440,460	\$2,530,260	\$2,420,496	\$2,235,061	\$2,139,407	\$2,096,427	\$2,225,358	\$2,160,105	\$2,908,074	\$3,129,143	\$30,763,227
5	TRUE-UP - (COLLECTED) OR REFUNDED	\$227,214	\$227,213	\$227,213	\$227,213	\$227,213	\$227,213	\$227,213	\$227,213	\$227,213	\$227,213	\$227,213	\$227,213	\$2,726,557
6	FUEL REVENUE APPLICABLE TO Add Lines PERIOD 5+6	\$3,649,108	\$3,283,755	\$2,667,673	\$2,757,473	\$2,647,709	\$2,462,274	\$2,366,620	\$2,323,640	\$2,452,571	\$2,387,318	\$3,135,287	\$3,356,356	\$33,489,784
7	TRUE-UP - OVER(UNDER) - THIS PERIOD Line 6- Une 3	\$9,572	\$885,251	\$147,347	\$720,280	\$375,109	\$1,004,138	\$59,825	\$71,636	\$48,361	\$52,746	\$13,435	(\$35,668)	\$3,352,034
8	INTEREST PROVISION -THIS PERIOD	(\$8)	\$437	\$1,022	\$1,441	\$2,091	\$2,940	\$3,380	\$3,035	\$2,733	\$2,413	\$2,060	\$1,625	\$23,169
9	BEGINNING OF PERIOD TRUE-UP AND INTEREST	\$104,897	(\$112,753)	\$545,722	\$466,878	\$961,387	\$1,111,374	\$1,891,239	\$1,727,231	\$1,574,689	\$1,398,570	\$1,226,516	\$1,014,798	\$104,897
10	TRUE-UP COLLECTED OR Reverse of Une 6	(\$227,214)	(\$227,213)	(\$227,213)	(\$227,213)	(\$227,213)	(\$227,213)	(\$227,213)	(\$227,213)	(\$227,213)	(\$227,213)	(\$227,213)	(\$227,213)	(\$2,726,557)
10a	FLEX RATE REFUND (if applicable)								-					
21	TOTAL ESTIMATED/ACTUAL TRUE- Add Lines 7+ 8+9+10+ 10+	(\$112,753)	\$545,722	\$466,878	\$961,387	\$1,111,374	\$1,891,239	\$1,727,231	\$1,574,689	\$1,398,570	\$1,226,516	\$1,014,798	\$753,543	J
1	INTEREST PROVISION BEGINNING TRUE-UP Une 9	4444												
12	ENDING TOUR UP DESCOR	\$104,897	(\$112,753)	\$545,722	\$466,878	\$961,387	\$1,111,374	\$1,891,239	\$1,727,231	\$1,574,689	\$1,398,570	\$1,226,516	\$1,014,798	\$11,910,548
13	INTEREST +7+10	(\$112,745)	\$545,285	\$465,856	\$959,946	\$1,109,283	\$1,888,299	\$1,723,851	\$1,571,654	\$1,395,837	\$1,224,103	\$1,012,738	\$751,918	\$12,536,025
14	TOTAL (12+13) Add Lines 12 + 13	(\$7,848)	\$432,532	\$1,011,579	\$1,426,824	\$2,070,670	\$2,999,673	\$3,615,089	\$3,298,885	\$2,970,526	\$2,622,673	\$2,239,255	\$1,766,716	\$24,446,574
15	AVERAGE 50% of Une	(\$3,924)	\$216,266	\$505,789	\$713,412	\$1,035,335	\$1,499,836	\$1,807,545	\$1,649,442	\$1,485,263	\$1,311,337	\$1,119,627	\$883,358	\$12,223,287
16	INTEREST RATE - FIRST DAY OF MONTH	2.50%	2.42%	2.42%	2.43%	2.42%	2.42%	2.28%	2.21%	2.21%	2.21%	2.21%	2.21%	V12,223,207
17	INTEREST RATE - FIRST DAY OF SUBSEQUENT MONTH	2.42%	2.42%	2.43%	2.42%	2.42%	2.28%	2.21%	2.21%	2.21%	2.21%	2.21%	2.21%	
, 18	TOTAL Add lines 16	4.92%	4.84%	4.85%	4.85%	4.84%	4,70%	4,49%	4,42%	4.42%	4.42%	4.42%	4.42%	
19	AVERAGE 50% of Une	2.460%	2.420%	2.425%	2.425%	2.420%	2.350%	2.245%	2.210%	2.210%	2.210%	2.210%	2.210%	
20	MONTHLY AVERAGE Une 19/12	0.205%	0.202%	0.202%	0.202%	0.202%	0.196%	0.187%	0.184%	0.184%	0.184%	0.184%	0.184%	
23	INTEREST PROVISION Une 15 x Une	(\$8)	\$437	\$1,022	\$1,441	\$2,091	\$2,940	\$3,380	\$3,035	\$2,733	\$2,413	\$2,060	\$1,625	\$23,169

Docket No. 20190003-GU
Page 4 of 6
Exhibit No.
Michelle D Napier (MDN-1)
Projection Filings and Testimony

SCHEDULE E-3

COMPANY:

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT

TRANSPORTATION PURCHASES SYSTEM SUPPLY AND END USE

ESTIMATED FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD JANUARY 2020 THROUGH DECEMBER 2020

	UNITS UNITS UNITS COMMODITY COST						i		TOTAL		
монтн	PURCHASED FROM	PURCHASED FOR	SCH TYPE	SYSTEM SUPPLY	END USE	TOTAL PURCHASED	THIRD PARTY	PIPELINE	DEMAND COST	OTHER CHARGES ACA/GRI/FUEL	CENTS PER THERM
JANUARY	VARIOU5	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	3,975,720	0	3,975,720	\$1,591,596	\$38,652	\$2,170,760	INCLUDED IN COST	95.606
FEBRUARY	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	3,445,620	0	3,445,620	\$1,120,305	\$37,825	\$2,100,080	INCLUDED IN COST	94.561
MARCH	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	3,146,570	0	3,146,570	\$924,034	\$37,359	\$2,164,672	INCLUDED IN COST	99.348
APRIL	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	3,053,080	0	3,053,080	\$845,077	\$37,213	\$2,089,872	INCLUDED IN COST	97.350
MAY	VARIOUS	SY5 SUPPLY	N/A	2,424,210	0	2,424,210	\$702,178	\$36,232	\$1,749,130	INCLUDED IN COST	102.612
JUNE	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	2,244,150	0	2,244,150	\$721,350	\$35,951	\$1,674,747	INCLUDED IN COST	108.373
JULY	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	1,948,560	0	1,948,560	\$509,287	\$35,490	\$1,519,071	INCLUDED IN COST	105.917
AUGUST	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	1,842,820	0	1,842,820	\$582,302	\$35,325	\$1,528,310	INCLUDED IN COST	116.449
SEPTEMBER	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	1,985,990	0	1,985,990	\$642,454	\$35,548	\$1,505,039	INCLUDED IN COST	109.922
OCTOBER	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	1,951,060	0	1,951,060	\$606,269	\$35,494	\$1,625,800	INCLUDED IN COST	116.222
NOVEMBER	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	2,568,810	0	2,568,810	\$907,618	\$36,457	\$1,959,328	INCLUDED IN COST	113.025
DECEMBER	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	3,312,540	0	3,312,540	\$1,103,271	\$37,618	\$1,995,053	INCLUDED IN COST	94.669
											1
								i 1 1 1 1			i t t i i i
								3 3 3 3 3			
		TOTAL		31,899,130	0	31,899,130	\$10,255,741	\$439,164	\$22,081,866		102.751

Docket No. 20190003-GU

Page 5 of 6

Exhibit No. ____

Michelle D Napier (MDN-1)

Projection Filings and Testimony

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY	PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AMOUNT ESTIMATED FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD JANUARY 2020 THROUGH DECEMBER 2020											
	PRIOR PERIOD: JANUA	CURRENT PERIOD: JANUARY 2019 THROUGH DECEMBER 2019										
	(1) SIX MONTHS ACTUAL PLUS SIX MONTHS PROJECTED	(2) ACTUAL	(3) (2) - (1) DIFFERENCE	(4) SIX MONTHS ACTUAL PLUS SIX MONTHS PROJECTED	(5) (3)+(4) COMBINED TOTAL TRUE-UP							
1 TOTAL THERM SALES (\$)	\$31,482,317	\$27,448,294	(\$4,034,023)	\$33,489,784	\$29,455,761							
2 TRUE-UP PROVISION FOR THE PERIOD OVER/(UNDER) COLLECTION (\$)	\$2,462,263	(\$146,289)	(\$2,608,552)	\$3,352,034	\$743,482							
3 INTEREST PROVISION FOR THE PERIOD (\$)	\$43,341	\$30,236	(\$13,105)	\$23,169	\$10,064							
4 END OF PERIOD TOTAL NET TRUE-UP (\$)	\$2,505,604	(\$116,053)	(\$2,621,657)	\$3,375,203	\$753,546							
				OVER/(UNDER) RECOVERY RY 2020 - DECEMBER 2020	\$753,546 31,882,745							
	CENTS PER THER	M NECESSARY TO REFU	IND OVERRECOVERY / (CC	DLLECT UNDERRECOVERY)	2.363							

Docket No. 20190003-GU Page 6 of 6

Exhibit No.

Michelle D Napier (MDN-1)
Projection Filings and Testimony

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT THERM SALES AND CUSTOMER DATA

SCHEDULE E-5

ESTIMATED FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD JANUARY 2020 THROUGH DECEMBER 2020

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	Total
PGA COST									<u> </u>			- 525	TOTAL
1 Commodity costs	\$1,591,596	\$1,120,305	\$924,034	\$845,077	\$702,178	\$721,350	\$509,287	\$582,302	\$642,454	\$606,269	\$907,618	\$1,103,271	\$10,255,741
2 Transportation costs	\$2,958,154	\$2,886,647	\$2,950,773	\$2,875,827	\$2,534,104	\$2,459,440	\$2,303,303	\$2,312,377	\$2,289,329	\$2,410,036	\$2,744,527	\$2,781,413	\$31,505,930
3 Hedging costs		. ,			,,,	7.2,122,114	12,000,000	42,012,077	\$2,200,015	ψ 2 , τ. υ, υσυ	Ψ2,1 - 1 ,521	\$2,701,413	\$51,505,530
4 (financial settlement)		į	f	i									
5 Other	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$32,450	\$389,400
6 Total	\$4,582,200	\$4,039,402	\$3,907,257	\$3,753,354	\$3,268,732	\$3,213,240	\$2,845,040	\$2,927,129	\$2,964,233	\$3,048,755	\$3,684,595	\$3,917,134	\$42,151,071
PGA THERM SALES					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V=,0.10,0.10	+=,0=1,1=0	42,554,255	\$0,040,755	\$3,004,333	95,517,134	942, 151,071
7 Residential	1,630,045	1,412,704	1,290,094	1,251,762	993,926	920,102	798,910	755,556	814,256	799,935	1,053,212	1,358,141	13,078,643
8 Commercial	2,345,675	2,032,916	1,856,476	1,801,317	1,430,284	1,324,049	1,149,650	1,087,264	1,171,734	1,151,125	1,515,598	1,954,399	18,820,487
9 Total	3,975,720	3,445,620	3,146,570	3,053,079	2,424,210	2,244,151	1,948,560	1,842,820	1,985,990	1,951,060	2,568,810	3,312,540	31,899,130
PGA REVENUES							.,0 /2,000	1,012,020	1,500,550	1,551,000	2,300,010	3,312,340]	31,033,130
10 Residential	1,558,413	1,335,865	1,281,687	1,218,586	1,019,891	997,140	846,178	879,834	895,047	929,701	1,190,395	1,285,736	13,438,473
11 Commercial	2,242,595	1,922,344	1,844,378	1,753,576	1,467,649	1,434,909	1,217,670	1,266,103	1,287,994	1,337,862	1,713,008	. ,	
12 Total	3,801,008	3,258,209	3,126,065	2,972,162	2,487,540	2,432,049	2,063,848	2,145,937	2,183,041	2,267,563	2,903,403	1,850,206	19,338,294
NUMBER OF PGA CUSTOME	RS		-7:71				2,000,040	2,140,501	2,103,041	2,207,303	2,903,403	3,135,942	32,776,767
13 Residential	57,335	57,397	57,619	57,874	57,718	57.752	57,796	57,939	58,021	58,014	58,209	50.450	504.404
14 Commercial	4,030	4,029	4,022	4,036	4,032	4,012	4,024	4,015	,		,	58,450	694,124
50 Total	61,365	61,426	61,641	61,910	61,750	61,764	61.820	· · · · · ·	4,010	3,989	3,987	3,994	48,180
	5.,555	31,420	01,0411	01,310	01,750	01,704	01,020	61,954	62,031	62,003	62,196	62,444	742,304

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	D	OCKET NO. 20190003-GU - In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True-Up.
3		(Actual/Estimated and Projections)
4		DIRECT TESTIMONY
5		OF JACOB E. CASE
6		On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Jacob Case. My business address is 1750 S. 14th Street, Suite 200,
9		Fernandina Beach FL, 32034.
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
11	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPUC" or "Company")
12		as a Senior Energy Logistics Analyst.
13	Q.	Can you please provide a brief overview of your educational and
14		employment background?
15	A.	I graduated from the University of North Florida in 2016 with a Bachelor's of
16		Business Administration degree in Finance. I have been employed by FPUC
17		since October of 2018.
18	Q.	Are you familiar with the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) clause of the
19		Company and the associated projected and actual revenues and costs?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	Have you ever testified before th Florida Public Service Commission
22		("FPSC")?
23	Α.	No
24	Q.	Are you sponsoring any Exhibits in this case?
		·

1 A. No. Numerical references made in my testimony relate to Exhibit MDN-1 being sponsored by Michelle Napier.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

- Q. Please describe how the forecasts of pipeline charges and commodity costs
 of gas were developed for the projection period.
 - The purchases for the gas cost projection model are based on projected sales to traditional non-transportation service customers. Florida Gas Transmission Company's ("FGT") FTS-1, FTS-2, FTS-3, NNTS-1, and ITS-1 as well as Southern Natural Gas ("SONAT") and the Florida Southeast Connection ("FSC") effective charges (including surcharges) and fuel rates, based on the prices from the FGT and SONAT posted rates and FSC rates from a precedent agreement. These were used for the entire projection period. As is further explained herein, the Company has also included costs related to the various expansion projects in the counties of Palm Beach, Polk and Nassau. FPUC has entered into an Asset Management Agreement ("AMA") with Emera Energy to help facilitate the delivery of natural gas in the northeast division. Additionally, the company has included costs related to compressed natural gas which is used to bring supply to areas as a virtual pipeline for emergency and planned services. The expected costs of natural gas purchased by the Company during the projection period were developed using actual prices paid during relevant historical periods and the Henry Hub natural gas futures pricing The forecasts of the commodity through the end of the projection period.

1	costs were then adjusted to reflect the unexpected potential market increases in
2	the projection period.

- Q. Please describe how the forecasts of the weighted average cost of gas are developed for the projection period.
- 5 A. The Company has forecasted the 2020-weighted average cost of gas using the 6 projected monthly pipeline demand costs, less the projected cost of capacity 7 temporarily relinquished to third parties, the projected pipeline usage and nonotice costs and the projected supplier commodity costs. The weighted average 8 9 cost of gas also includes projected costs related to our purchased gas functions and anticipated a credit for the swing service rider. The sum of these costs are 10 then divided by the projected therm sales to the traditional non-transportation 11 customers resulting in the projected weighted average cost of gas and 12 ultimately the PGA recovery (cap) factor, as shown on Schedule E-1. 13 14 Capacity shortfall if any, would be satisfied by gas and capacity repackaged 15 and delivered by another FGT or SONAT capacity holder. If other services become available and it is economic to dispatch supplies under those services, 16 the Company will utilize those services as part of its portfolio. 17
- Q. Are the pipeline capacity and supply costs associated with expansions appropriate for recovery in the PGA docket?
- 20 A. Yes. Historically, the Commission has allowed recovery, through the clause, of
 21 upstream transmission pipeline capacity, transportation and related supply
 22 costs associated with service expansions to new areas.

1 () .	Did you include costs of other expansions or interconnects related to
2		Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities (CFG) in the calculations of your
3		true-up and projected amounts?

- 4 A. Yes. There is a Local Distribution Company ("LDC") to LDC interconnect with TECO/PGS and CFG for pressure stabilization of CFG's system in Hernando County. There is also an interconnection to CFG's facilities for Gulfstream's Baseball City Gate southward through Davenport and Haines City as well as the expansion into Escambia County. Finally, there is an interconnection to CFG's facilities from a new Gulfstream gate station in Auburndale that will be in service August of 2019.
- Q. Please explain how these costs incurred by CFG are recoverable under the PGA clause.
- A. Consistent with the prior years, the modified cost allocation methodology and revised purchased gas adjustment calculation approved by the Commission by Order No. PSC-2015-0321-PAA-GU, issued August 10, 2015, had been applied to allocate these costs to the Transitional Transportation Service (TTS) pool customers, until the approval of the Swing Service Rider in 2016, which allocates these costs to certain transportation service customers who were not part of modified cost allocation methodology approved in 2015.
- 20 Q. Please explain the Swing Service Rider.
- A. On April 11, 2016, Docket No. 20160085-GU, Florida Public Utilities, CFG,
 Florida Public Utilities Indiantown and Ft. Meade Divisions (the Companies)
 filed a joint petition for approval of the Swing Service Rider with this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

23

Commission. The Swing Service Rider proposed that the allocation of all costs be expanded to include transportation service customers on FPUC's system (i.e., customers who are not part of the current PGA mechanism) as well as shippers on CFG's system that are not part of the TTS pools. The Companies believe that these customers ultimately should bear their fair portion of the intrastate capacity costs. However, the Companies recognize that shippers for the larger classes of customers provide a service under contracts that will likely need to be amended to adjust for the revised cost allocations and systems need to be implemented to allow for billing of these charges to transportation customers and/or shippers. This petition was approved September 2016, Order No. PSC-2016-0422-TRF-GU.

What is the effect of Swing Service Rider on PGA costs? 12 Q.

- 13 As shown on Schedule E-1, the Company has reduced PGA costs of A. 14 \$9,374,300 attributable to the Swing Service Rider allocated to certain gas 15 transportation customers.
- Describe how the Company computed the Swing Service Rider and its 16 Q. 17 impact on PGA costs.
- 18 A. The Company compiled the actual throughput volumes, based on the most 19 recent 12-months usage data, for each affected transportation and sales rate schedule to determine the percentage split between transportation and sales 20 21 service customers relative to the total throughput for the affected rate schedules. The split for allocating the annual total intrastate and LDC-to-LDC 22 capacity costs of \$7.3 million is 72.30 percent (\$5.3 million) to transportation

customers and 27.7 percent (\$2.0 million) to sales customers. Then, the
transportation customers' share of the \$5.3 million would be allocated to the
affected transportation rate schedules in proportion to each rate schedule's
share of the total throughput for the affected transportation rate schedules. The
costs allocated to each rate schedule was then divided by the rate schedule's
number of therms to calculate the cost recovery factor to be billed by rate
schedule directly to the transportation customers. Since the Company
recognized that implementation of the swing service rider could have a
significant financial impact on large volume customers, the Company
requested and received approval of a stepped implementation process, annually
applying a rate of 20 percent of the total allocation until 100 percent is reached
in five years. Therefore, the Company applied a rate of 80 percent this year to
the large volume customers.

- Q. Have the appropriate related costs and credits been included in the Projections for 2020?
- 16 A. Yes, as more specifically reflected in Schedule E-1 and E-3 of Exhibit MDN-1,
 17 the Company has included the costs of existing and planned interstate and
 18 intrastate capacity agreements, as well as the costs associated with the Swing
 19 Service Rider as described above.
- Q. Did you include costs in addition to the costs specific to purchased gas in the calculations of your true-up and projected amounts?
- 22 A. Yes, included with our purchased gas costs are consulting expenses to assist in 23 the advancement of our PGA processes. Additionally, the Company has

DOCKET NO. 20190003-GU

1	included	costs associ	lated wit	h a software	e tool use	d by the	Company to	manage

- 2 customer usage and assist in determining the gas supply needs for the rate
- 3 classes subject to the PGA. These costs directly influence the Company's
- 4 PGA factor and are appropriate for recovery through the PGA clause.
- 5 Q. Please explain how these costs were determined to be recoverable under
- 6 the PGA clause.
- 7 A. The costs the Company has included are integrally related to the gas purchase
- 8 function and were not anticipated or included in the cost levels used to
- 9 establish the current base rates. These costs relate to the Company's
- optimization of fuel supply in an effort to protect current fuel savings, and
- directly benefit our customers. These costs have historically been allowed for
- recovery through the PGA and are not being recovered through the
- Companies' base rates.
- 14 Q. What is the projection period for this filing?
- 15 A. The projection period is January through December 2020.
- 16 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 17 A. Yes.