BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for approval of FPL DOCKET NO. 20190061-EI SolarTogether program and tariff, by Florida Power & Light Company.

DATED: November 15, 2019

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the supplemental testimony of Cayce Hinton on behalf of the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission was electronically filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, Florida Public Service Commission, and copies were furnished by electronic mail to the following on this 15th day of November, 2019.

Kenneth A. Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 134 W. Jefferson Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Maria Jose Moncada Will Cox Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408 Maria.moncada@fpl.com Will.P.Cox@fpl.com

J. R. Kelly Stephanie A. Morse Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399 Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us Morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us

Katie Chiles Ottenweller Tyler Fitch Vote Solar 151 Astoria Street SE Atlanta, GA 30316 katie@votesolar.org tyler@votesolar.org

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen A. Putnal Florida Industrial Power Users Group Moyle Law Firm, PA 118 N Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com mqualls@moylelaw.com

Rich Zambo Vote Solar 2336 SE Ocean Blvd., #309 Stuart, FL 34966 richzambo@aol.com

Marsha E. Rule 119 South Monroe St., Ste. 202 Tallahassee, FL 32301 marsha@rutledge-ecenia.com

Certificate of Service Docket No. 20190061-EI Page 2

George Cavros
Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
120 E. Oakland Park Blvd., Suite 105
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334
george@cavros-law.com

Stephanie U. Eaton
Florida Bar No.: 165610
Carrie Harris Grundmann
SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC
110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500
Winston-Salem, NC 27103
seaton@spilmanlaw.com
cgrundmann@spilmanlaw.com

Derrick Price Williamson SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC 1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 Phone: (717) 795-2741 Fax: (717) 795-2743

dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com

<u>/s/ Jennifer S. Crawford</u> JENNIFER CRAWFORD ATTORNEY SUPERVISOR

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Telephone: (850) 413-6199 jcrawfor@psc.state.fl.us.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| DOCKET NO. 20190061-EI - Petition for approval of FPL SolarTogether program and tariff, by Florida Power & Light Company | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| WITNESS: Supplemental Testimony of Cayce Hinton, Florida Public Service Commission; | | |
| Appearing on behalf of the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission | | |
| | | |
| DATE FILED: November 15, 2019 | | |

| 1 | SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF CAYCE HINTON | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. | |
| 3 | Α. | My name is Cayce Hinton. My business address is the Florida Public Service | |
| 4 | Comn | nission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399. | |
| 5 | Q. | Did you previously submit direct testimony in this proceeding? | |
| 6 | Α. | Yes. | |
| 7 | Q. | What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony? | |
| 8 | Α. | My testimony will briefly respond to the rebuttal testimony of Florida Power & Light | |
| 9 | Company (FPL), filed September 23, 2019. | | |
| 10 | Q. | In your previous testimony, you posed questions that you felt expressed policy | |
| 11 | considerations raised by the SolarTogether program. Did FPL witnesses address your | | |
| 12 | questions? | | |
| 13 | A. | Yes. FPL witnesses Valle and Deason both testified regarding the policy observations I | |
| 14 | made in my testimony and witness Deason provided responses to my specific questions. | | |
| 15 | Q. Do you believe the questions you raised are still appropriate for the Commission | | |
| 16 | to consider? | | |
| 17 | A. | Yes. I believe the questions are still relevant and should still be considered by the | |
| 18 | Commission. I raised the questions in my direct testimony in order to prompt discussion of the | | |
| 19 | underlying regulatory policy that may be impacted by SolarTogether. Witnesses Valle and | | |
| 20 | Deason provided FPL's answers to the questions I posed and responded to other observations I | | |
| 21 | expressed in my testimony. Consistent with my direct testimony, however, I am not going to | | |
| 22 | opine on whether FPL's responses alleviate the concerns I raised regarding the SolarTogether | | |
| 23 | program. I will leave that determination to the Commissioners. | | |
| 24 | Q. | Did FPL update the SolarTogether program in rebuttal testimony, and did that | |

update address the policy considerations you raised about the structure of the program?

A. FPL updated its economic analysis by changing some of the assumptions used to calculate the cost-effectiveness of the program, resulting in a new allocation of costs and benefits of the program. However, the program does not appear to have been structurally changed. Certain costs of the program will still be included in rate base and potentially included in base rates in the future, and the credits provided to participants will be recovered from the general body of ratepayers through FPL's fuel cost recovery clause. In addition, future additions of utility-scale solar will still be determined based upon interest in a voluntary program rather than on an analysis of need for additional capacity. So, although FPL has testified that the SolarTogether program is more cost-effective than originally proposed, structurally it still represents a departure from historical regulatory practices that are in place to protect the general body of ratepayers, as explained in my previous testimony. Q. Does this conclude your supplemental testimony? Α. Yes.

14

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25