1	BEFORE THE	
2	FLORIDA PUBLIC	SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4		
5	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. UNDOCKETED
6	CUSTOMER-OWNED	
7	RENEWABLE GENERATION.	
8		
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION WORKSHOP
11	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN GARY F. CLARK
12	FAILTCIFATING.	COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
13		COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY
14	DATE:	Thursday, September 17, 2020
15	TIME:	Commenced: 9:30 a.m.
16		Concluded: 12:33 p.m.
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
18		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
19	REPORTED BY:	ANDREA KOMARIDIS WRAY
20		Court Reporter
21		
22		R REPORTING 5TH AVENUE
23	TALLAHA	SSEE, FLORIDA) 894-0828
24	020)) 054-0020
25		

1	APPEARANCES	
2	ANASTACIA PIRRELLO - Office of Public Counsel	
3	TERRY DEASON - Florida Power and Light Company, Gulf Power Company, and Tampa Electric Company	
4 5	BILL ASHBURN - Florida Power and Light Company, Gulf Power Company, Tampa Electric Company,	
6	and Duke Energy Florida, LLC	
7	LON HUBER and TAMARA WALDMANN - Duke Energy Florida, LLC	
8	KATIE CHILES OTTENWELLER - Vote Solar	
9	STEPHEN SMITH and BRYAN JACOB - Southern Alliance for Clean Energy	
10	JUSTIN HOYSRADT - Florida Solar Energy Industries Association	
11		
12	TYSON GRINSTEAD - Sunrun 12	
13		
14	PSC STAFF:	
15	ADRIA HARPER	
16	SAMANTHA CIBULA	
17	MATTHEW VOGEL	
18	CAYCE HINTON	
19	MARK FUTRELL	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Good morning,
3	everyone. I think everybody is online. I think
4	we've tested like I said, we've tested the
5	system with all of our presenters, and everyone is
6	online and prepared to go this morning. We've
7	sound-checked all the Commissioners. So, we'll go
8	ahead and get started.
9	Before I call the meeting to order, just to
10	take a couple of housekeeping notes here and a
11	moment of personal privilege to just stop and thank
12	our all of our utility workers that are out
13	right now that are working to restore the
14	devastating effects of Hurricane Sally.
15	Our thoughts and prayers go with our friends
16	in South Alabama, Louisiana, also our colleagues in
17	Escambia County, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, all
18	of the impacted counties in our immediate area, and
19	to just show our appreciation and thanks for the
20	devoted employees that are on the front lines
21	working to restore service.
22	I know Escambia County has about 90 percent
23	of the individuals that live in that county are
24	still without power this morning. You can rest
25	assured help is on the way. On my way over this

morning, I probably passed somewhere around three to 400 utility trucks headed your way. So, the -- the cavalry is coming. So, rest assured they're on the way.

But again, just our -- our thoughts and prayers go with everyone that has been affected by this storm. And just want everyone to know that resources that are available are -- are on the way to help you guys.

In terms of our workshop this morning, I just want to lay out a couple of ground rules up front. First of all, as an informational item, what we are seeking here today is information. This is not a decision-making workshop. This is -- we're not at a point where we are fixing to do anything to change current policy. It is a fact-finding mission.

There's been a lot of discussion over the last couple of months regarding what actually -- the actual effects of our current net-metering policy and the effects that the renewable energy that is being produced in our state is having, the positive impacts it is having.

And so, we wanted to take an opportunity to explore where we are, exactly how it is impacting

2.

1 our system, and take a look at -- at several 2. specific issues. And, at that point in time, if 3 the Commission feels there is a need to go further, 4 we may look at any changes that need to be made. 5 We -- Florida was a leader in net metering, coming out with net-metering 1.0. 6 I think that it 7 is certainly time to look and see if there are any 8 revisions that need to be made. It could be very 9 well determined this Commission has no desire to 10 change policy; this policy could be changed in a 11 number of ways and a number of ideas. And I think 12 that my intention is to make certain that we are 13 all dealing with the facts; that we are looking at 14 the same information when we do begin to make a 15 decision. 16 So, with that in mind, we're going to call the 17 workshop to order. And I'm going to ask staff, if 18 they would, to please read the notice. 19 MS. HARPER: Yes, good morning. I'm Adria 20 Harper with the General Counsel's Office. 21 Pursuant to notice, this time and place has 22 been set for a workshop to discuss customer-owned 23 renewable generation and net metering in Florida. 24 The topics set forth for discussion were in the 25 revised agenda, which is available on the

1	Commission's website.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Harper.
4	The Commission has laid out an agenda that we
5	are going to be going by today. And what we have
6	done is asked staff to prepare a presentation to
7	open with. And we're going to discuss the the
8	statute and the rule background. We're going to
9	look at the development of the customer-owned
10	customer-owned renewable generation in Florida.
11	We're going to talk about interconnection
12	issues, system-capacity sizing, insurance
13	requirements, net metering specifically, how we
14	are dealing with excess energy that is being
15	produced and the components that actually make
16	up net metering.
17	To the extent possible, we're going to ask
18	that all of our presenters please avoid any
19	discussion regarding any open dockets that are
20	currently before the Commission.
21	I'm going to ask Mr. Helton Ms. Helton, if
22	she would, to please kind of keep an eye on that
23	for us and, at any point in time, if our staff
24	feels like we are crossing into an area that is
25	related to an open docket, we will redirect that

1	discussion.
2	We are also going to run up against a pretty
3	hard deadline for adjournment today. It is my
4	intention that we be concluded with this workshop
5	by noontime today. I think we have allowed an
6	adequate amount of time for all of the presenters
7	and for the Commissioners to address any issues,
8	concerns, and questions they might excuse me
9	that they might have, but with that in mind, I do
10	want to try to wrap this hearing up by noon today
11	at the very latest. If we get through sooner, that
12	is perfectly fine as well.
13	We've had a couple of questions right up
14	front. I believe Commissioner Brown has requested
15	a point of personal privilege.
16	And you're recognized, Commissioner Brown.
17	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you so much,
18	Mr. Chairman. I appreciate your opening comments
19	regarding what we're the purpose is here today
20	and appreciate also having this moment of personal
21	privilege for just some brief comments.
22	I also reiterate and express gratitude to our
23	state utilities who are helping each other restore
24	power during this what looks like a very active
25	hurricane season. And you know we are all much

1	more resilient together than we are alone. So,
2	thank you, utilities, in our state.
3	Secondly, I do feel compelled to just say a
4	few words kind of underscoring some of the comments
5	that you made earlier, before we proceed with
6	the the workshop at hand. I think there may
7	have been some public confusion when we set up this
8	workshop. Personally, my office has received over
9	2,600 e-mails. And I know the Clerk's office has
10	about 16,400 e-mails. Many of them are, however,
11	in fact, form e-mails, but some are not.
12	And the basic premise behind those e-mails,
13	about 99 percent of them, is to reject any changes
14	to our existing net-metering rule which, as you
15	stated clearly, Mr. Chairman, that is not what
16	we're doing here today.
17	This is very informational. The Commission
18	has not had an opportunity to really look at
19	this our rule since it was passed in 2009. This
20	is one of the hottest and most-prevalent and
21	relevant topics across the nation in the energy
22	arena.
23	So, I think that this it's very pertinent
24	to gather information as interconnections continue
25	to increase and grow and have it you know, the

1	appropriate information so that we can continue to
2	thrive in our state.
3	So, with that, I just wanted to make some
4	comments. We are reading those e-mails that are
5	coming in. And I know the other offices have
б	received some, too, and really appreciate the
7	public participation in this process. It's really
8	vital. So, thank you for your comments.
9	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
10	Brown.
11	Commissioners, any other opening comments?
12	Commissioner Polmann.
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you,
14	Mr. Chairman. And, to your first point, I also
15	want to recognize the situation up in the
16	Panhandle. We have personal friends there and
17	and this is one of the first times that that
18	I've been aware that they've actually watched water
19	entering the utility system.
20	So, it's truly an extraordinary circumstance
21	and remarkable, the amount of damage there. And
22	the responsiveness of the utilities is is very
23	much appreciated.
24	To your to your second point, Mr. Chairman,
25	not only are we receiving e-mails, communications,

and contact through our office, I've been receiving personal calls directly from friends and neighbors very concerned about this issue, folks that are contemplating installation of -- of solar power at their homes, but calling specifically about today's workshop and -- and asking, well, is the Commission going to change its policy because of all the attention to this matter.

And -- and if we could, Mr. Chairman -- not to distract the proceedings, but I think there may be some value here to make the distinction between what -- what is being referred to as policy and, in fact, how the net metering, as it was set up -- and that was by rule.

And I'm concerned that maybe there's not a clear understanding that, in order for us to do anything, there would need to be a change in rule, in agency rule. And that is a rather lengthy, very deliberative process and would engage all of the stakeholders.

And, as I said -- I'm sorry -- not to distract us from that, but hopefully we'll touch on that today and make it clear, through today's event here, that, as you mentioned, we're not here to make decisions; we're here to gather information.

2.

1 But the rulemaking process is quite lengthy, 2. quite engaged. And I hope folks appreciate that we 3 take this very, very seriously. And nothing is going to be done without complete and total 4 5 engagement from the public and the parties. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 I appreciate the 7 opportunity. Thank you, Commissioner 8 CHAIRMAN CLARK: 9 Polmann. And to add to that, just to reiterate, I 10 think that point has been made perfectly clear, but 11 we would -- if there was a decision to make any 12 type of change, it would go through the rulemaking 13 process. 14 Based on the calendar that we currently have 15 and the statutory requirements for rulemaking, 16 there could not be a decision, based on our timing 17 right now, for at least a year. We would be 18 looking at -- at pretty much a year before we could 19 actually get to that -- to that point in time, 20 primarily because of the statutory requirements for 21 rule change and fitting those into a -- what is 22 looking like a very busy first quarter of 2021 with 23 the number of open dockets that we have. 24 So, this is not something that is immediate. 25 Again, I don't know how many times we can reiterate

1	it is strictly a fact-finding workshop for today.
2	Okay. Other questions, comments from any
3	Commissioners before we begin? All right. Seeing
4	none, we will move along.
5	At this time, we're going to hear from our
6	first presenter, Mr. Matt Vogel, with Commission
7	staff from the Office of Industry, Development, and
8	Market Analysis.
9	Mr. Vogel, are you the line?
10	MR. VOGEL: Yes, sir, I am.
11	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Thank you.
12	MR. VOGEL: Good morning.
13	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Good morning.
14	MR. VOGEL: All right. We'll start today
15	good morning, Commissioners and parties. My name
16	is Matthew Vogel with Commission staff. This
17	morning, I will be reviewing the history of
18	Florida's interconnection and net-metering rules
19	and statutes, and then I'll review the structure
20	and function of the current rule.
21	If you move to the the next slide in the
22	presentation, Slide 2, I'd like to start by
23	reminding everyone about a few key points about
24	customer-owned renewable generation. These
25	behind-the-meter technologies act as a conservation
i .	

measure, no different than any other measure that can affect customers' load.

These systems are not generators that sell energy to the utility at a wholesale. Any energy generated from a customer-owned renewable-generation system is first used by the customer at their premises to meet their energy needs.

If the customer needs additional energy, that energy is provided by their utility. Now, if the customer does not exceed energy generated by their system, that energy flows back to the utility and is measured by the meter.

This energy is accounted for and reflected on the bill, per the net-metering policy established by Commission rules or by the policy established by a municipal electric utility or a rural electric co-op. There is no sale of electricity. Net metering is only a billing function for the excess energy.

If we move to the next slide, we'll get into the history of the rules and statutes. So, 2002, the Commission adopted Rule 25-6.065, which expedited the interconnection of small-solar-photovoltaic, or PV, systems up to 10 kilowatts.

A few years later, in 2005 and 2006, the

1	Florida Legislature enacted Sections 366.91 and
2	366.92, respectively, which established the intent
3	and policy to encourage renewable energy in
4	Florida.
5	In January of 2007, the Commission held a
6	workshop to explore opportunities for the
7	development of renewable energy in Florida. The
8	Commission collected information from a wide range
9	of interested parties and, through that process,
10	the Commission identified expedited interconnection
11	and net-metering customer renewables as a potential
12	method to encourage that renewable-energy
13	deployment.
14	In April of that same year, staff conducted
15	workshops to gather further information to
16	determine whether the small-PV rule should be
17	expanded to include these these changes.
18	Following the April workshop, staff drafted a
19	rule that addressed both the interconnection and
20	net metering of customer-owned renewable
21	generators. Staff conducted two rule-development
22	workshops and reviewed comments from a wide variety

23

24

25

of stakeholders during the remainder of the year.

of that year, resulting in further revisions and,

The Commission addressed the rule in December

at the end of the process, the Commission adopted
Rule 25-6.065 in March of 2008, completely
replacing the small-PV rule.

If we move on to the next slide, in 2008, the Florida Legislature amended Rule 366.91 to include requirements for interconnection and net-metering customer-owned renewables for investor-owned utilities as well as municipal electric utilities and rural electric co-ops.

I do just want to highlight a few definitions that were pretty meaningful to the rule. The first is customer-owned renewable generation, which is an electric-generating system located on a customer's premises that is primarily intended to offset part or all of the customers' electrical requirements with renewable energy.

The second definition is net metering, itself, which is a metering or billing methodology whereby customer-owned renewable generation is allowed to offset customers' electricity consumption on-site.

And lastly, just some information, the

Legislature gives the Commission the authority to

establish requirements relating to the expedited

interconnection and net metering of customer-owned

renewable generation by public utilities or

investor-owned utilities, and may adopt rules to administer this section. That's why this is here in front of us at the Commission.

If you move to the next slide, this is -these next few slides are really just a breakdown
of how the rule is structured, but we'll go through
this pretty quickly. One idea that I would like to
highlight is that renewable energy is not limited
solely to solar PV. Renewable energy can be in the
form of biomass, geothermal, wind, hydroelectric,
hydrogen not from a fossil fuel. There's -there's many different forms of renewable energy
that can be net metered.

If we move on, the next slide is just, again, more -- more structure of the rule. So, if we move to the next slide, we're going to get into the rule, itself, and highlight some -- some areas that staff found pretty notable.

The first is the standard interconnection—agreement section of the rule. Within the standard interconnection—agreement section are the engineering standards. The IEEE 1547 standards have recently been updated to include standards for smart—meter and smart—grid technologies.

25 Staff would just like to note this -- this

point, that those have been updated. It doesn't
mean that these -- these rules are obsolete; it
just includes smart-meter technology and the smartgrid technology.

The next would be -- the next section of this would be customer qualifications and fees. Staff would like to highlight the Gross Power Rating sizing requirement as a topic of note. A renewable system's GPR, or Gross Power Rating, must not exceed 90 percent of the customer's utility-distribution-service rating, which is basically the capacity of the grid at the connection point. This is also a topic of note for staff.

Next, I'll get into the customer tiers. I do have the qual- -- qualifications for the tiers listed below, but the next three sides will get into more detail on each tier.

So, if we want to move to the next slide -okay. So, Tier 1 systems are systems with a
generating capacity of 10 kil- -- 10 kilowatts or
less. There's no application fee, there's no
interconnection-study requirement, there's no
insurance requirement, and there's no manualdisconnect-switch requirement. These systems were
also a part of original small-PV rule.

1	If we move on to the next section all
2	right. So, Tier 2 systems are systems with a
3	generating capacity greater than 10 kilowatts, but
4	less than or equal to 100 kilowatts. And, now,
5	there can be an application fee if the utility gets
6	one approved by the Commission. And I believe all
7	of the investor-owned utilities do have these fees.
8	There's no interconnection-study requirement
9	for this tier. There is an insurance requirement
10	for this system of no more than \$1 million. And
11	these systems are required to have a manual-
12	disconnect switch that's installed at the
13	customer's cost.
14	If we move on to the next tier, Tier 3 systems
15	are systems with a generating capacity of greater
16	than a hundred kilowatts, but less than or equal to
17	2 megawatts, which is actually the maximum allowed
18	for net metering in Florida. There is an
19	application fee.
20	There is potentially an interconnection study.
21	It may be required and, if it is, an
22	interconnection-study charge may be applied, if
23	that charge is approved by the Commission.
24	There is an insurance requirement, and it's
25	actually double from the Tier 2. It's no more than
i	

1	\$2 million for Tier 3 systems. And these systems
2	are required to have a manual-disconnect switch,
3	installed at the customer's cost, as well.
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Matt?
5	MR. VOGEL: Yes, ma'am.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: If you don't mind me
7	interrupting you Mr. Chairman when was the
8	last time the Commission was actually presented
9	with the the Tier 3 and what type of fee are
10	we talking about here?
11	MR. VOGEL: Actually, I don't know of of a
12	system that large. Maybe one of the IOUs would
13	know of one.
14	When we do the net-metering rules every year
15	and we update the numbers, they don't they don't
16	usually order them by size. And it's it's not
17	something that that comes up too often. That's
18	a that's a pretty large system. They are
19	probably becoming more common, though.
20	I believe that charge, that interconnection-
21	study charge, is a charge that is approved
22	beforehand. I believe that's the case, so
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
24	MR. VOGEL: Yes, ma'am.
25	If we move on to the next slide, we'll get

into the actual net-metering policy. Okay. So,

this is the policy and the rule. First, utilities

shall install a bidirectional meter at no cost to

the customer. That's the first section.

If we move to the next, each month, the customer's bill is determined by netting energy taken off the grid with energy delivered to the grid. So, I have an example here. If the customer produces two kilowatt hours of energy, but uses three kilowatt hours of energy, their bill is determined using that net one kilowatt hour of usage.

And the next example, excess customer generation at the end of the month is carried over as a kilowatt-hour credit on the next month's bill. So, if the customer produces three kilowatt hours of energy, but only uses two kilowatt hours of energy, their one kilowatt of excess energy is sent to the grid and will become a credit that is rolled over into the next month's bill.

And at the end of each calendar year, the utility shall pay the customer for any remaining excess energy credits at the utility's COG-1 tariff rate or as available energy rate.

So, those -- those are the examples of net

metering. You can create more energy and you'll
have a credit that rolls over. If you create less,
your bill is determined by that netted amount.

If we move on to the next slide, this is just a map from DSIRE. This was from 2016. This is their most-recent map that we could find, but it shows the general net-excess-generation policies for each state. So, if you do have an excess that rolls over to the next -- to the next month, this is how each state handles that, that excess.

As you can see, Florida's IOU's are required by the Commission's rule to provide a kilowatt-hour credit for excess generation, but the credit does eventually expire and is paid at a wholesale rate. This is the most-common practice in the U.S. during this time. Now, some states have updated their net-metering policies, but they're few and far between.

Also, I'd like to note that municipal electric utilities and rural electric co-ops are permitted by Florida Law to establish their own net-metering policies that may differ from the Commission's rule, but most do follow the Commission's rule.

If we move to the next side -- okay. This chart -- and this is the last slide. This chart

1	shows the number of renewable-generation systems
2	and the total kilowatt-generating capacity of those
3	systems since the amended rule took effect in 2008.
4	As you can see, adoption was was slow at first,
5	but, over the last three years, Florida has seen
6	over 50-percent increases each year.
7	And that concludes staff's presentation.
8	Thank you, all.
9	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Thank you,
10	Mr. Vogel.
11	Any questions for Mr. Vogel from our
12	Commissioners?
13	Commissioner Graham.
14	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15	Mr. Vogel, how are you this morning?
16	MR. VOGEL: I'm pretty good. How are you,
17	Mr Commissioner Graham?
18	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Good. Back to your
19	tiers, Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3, my understanding
20	when that was passed, that Tier 1 was basically
21	the 10 kilowatts was the the average household
22	wouldn't go over that tier, that that 10
23	kilowatts. So, they wanted to make sure that all
24	the extra fees and burden weren't putting on that
25	single-family home that wanted to put a solar array
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	up on their roof; is that correct?
2	MR. VOGEL: That was the idea. At that time,
3	kilowatt was around the average in Florida. So,
4	because it was part of the old rule and they
5	figured that was around the average, they didn't
6	want to limit the average household from being able
7	to put that up. They didn't want to have a fee or
8	an insurance requirement, some type of some type
9	of barrier for that that solar system.
10	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Now, is there any
11	consideration to upping that; like, the Tier 1,
12	from the 10 kilowatts to, like, 15 kilowatts?
13	Because, back in '02 or 2000, when this was even
14	being considered, that's back before everybody had
15	the EV cars and we just had this presentation on
16	Tuesday talking about EV cars.
17	So, you would think that and I don't know
18	the strain that it puts on the system and the risk
19	that's tied to that put on the system, but I would
20	think that number should go to maybe 15 kilowatts
21	to 20 kilowatts.
22	MR. VOGEL: Actually, I believe one of the
23	presenters later will have a little more
24	information on that. I know, from my own research,
25	EVs put around from what I've found around

- 1		
	1	2,500 watts, or two and a half kilowatts. So, if
	2	you were to have one EV, it would add, you know,
	3	two and a half kilowatts to your system.
	4	The average household does use more energy now
	5	as well. So, with that number increasing and
	6	potentially, you know, the expansion of EVs in
	7	Florida, that would that would potentially be
	8	something to to look at.
	9	I know, when the rule was first put into
	10	place, there was a lot of discussion on that size,
	11	the 10 kilowatts and the Tier 2 hundred kilowatts.
	12	That was there were different opinions: maybe it
	13	should be 25 to 250, maybe it should be ten to a
	14	hundred, but the rule was set at 10 and 100 for
	15	those systems.
	16	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Now, would it be
	17	necessary to go to start and go through
	18	rulemaking just to change to tweak the the
	19	tier levels?
	20	MR. VOGEL: That that's I think that
	21	would be if a Commissioner's decision. If
	22	if you found it was necessary to increase those
	23	levels, that would be definitely an item to to
	24	look at.
	25	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Graham

1	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Would would
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Ms. Harp Ms. Harper is
3	going to answer that question for us immediately.
4	MS. HARPER: Yes, we'd have to go to
5	rulemaking for that.
6	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. That's my
7	question. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Vogel, I have a couple of
9	questions thank you, Commissioner Graham.
10	In relation to Commissioner Graham's line of
11	questions regarding the insurance requirements, the
12	difference between Tier 1 and Tier 2, two specific
13	questions. What is the purpose of us requiring
14	insurance on a system that is 11 kW as opposed to a
15	system that is 10 kW? What's the difference? What
16	does the insurance serve what purpose does the
17	insurance serve?
18	MR. VOGEL: At the time that the rule was
19	developed, there wasn't a lot known about net
20	metering and solar systems at the time. Different
21	states had different policies. Some required
22	insurance; some didn't.
23	It was basically, the 10 kW was set at
24	as the average household and they didn't want to
25	have a burden of insurance. And there was found

1 that there really wasn't much risk in having a 2. 10-kW system put onto the grid. 3 Now, the fact that an 11-kW system does 4 require insurance and a 10-kW system doesn't, it's 5 simply the marginal -- the marginal customer -that marginal amount of energy puts you in the 6 7 higher tier; therefore, you do require insurance. 8 CHAIRMAN CLARK: So, what specific risk is our insurance covering in this case? And what --9 10 what's the -- Mark, would you like to address that? 11 MR. FUTRELL: Mr. Chairman, this is Mark 12 Futrell with the staff. Let me just add on to 13 Matt's comments, is that, at the time, the 14 discussion and the Commission's decision-making and 15 the rule to set that 10-kilowatt level was that, at 16 that level and below was going to catch your, 17 primarily, residential systems. 18 And the thinking was that homeowners' 19 insurance policies would be adequate to cover the 20 risks of potential liability that would extend from 21 anything that might happen with a -- with a solar 22 system and that, beyond that, you're looking at 23 systems, at the time, that were probably going to be more commercial institutions, things like that, 24 25 that would have additional risk -- may not have

1	necessarily have the types of insurance that
2	homeowners would have.
3	And so, there was a it was it was a
4	just a you're right, electrically, there's not a
5	lot of difference between 10 and 11, but as Matt
6	said, it was we were trying to strike a balance,
7	at the time, what was thought to be primarily
8	residential systems.
9	As everybody knows, as time has gone on, the
10	economics of solar has changed and many residential
11	folks are putting in larger systems or would like
12	to put in larger systems.
13	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. So, more specifically,
14	what I am the answer I am looking for is what
15	liability does the insurance cover? What is the
16	inherent risk of the system that changes between a
17	9-kW system and an 11-kW system? Is there one?
18	MR. FUTRELL: I don't believe there is,
19	electrically, as far as the potential risk to
20	for example, any kind of back-feed that could go
21	back onto the system and potentially injure a a
22	line worker.
23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: And and that also leads to
24	my second question regarding a manual disconnect.
25	Is there a reason, from an engineering perspective,

1	that you would not require a manual disconnect on
2	the 9-kW system as opposed to an 11-?
3	MR. FUTRELL: If Matt would like to take that
4	one, I
5	MR. VOGEL: If
6	(Simultaneous speakers.)
7	MR. FUTRELL: I think he's maybe ready to
8	speak to that one
9	MR. VOGEL: Yeah, in 2008 actually, when
10	they created the rule, they had an expert come in
11	who was working in New Mexico who actually did a
12	lot of studies with systems. And he actually found
13	that anything below a 250-kilowatt system really
14	had no harm to the grid. It really couldn't affect
15	the grid in a way that you would require insurance.
16	So, there were people who were saying you didn't
17	need insurance up until that point.
18	We decided to to go ahead and just make
19	Tier Tier 2 the the 100-kilowatt systems or
20	10 to 100 kilowatts so we did require insurance,
21	but there have been studies that show there really
22	isn't much risk up to a certain point with these
23	systems.
24	And, as times have gone on, there's a lot more
25	evidence showing that there there really isn't

1	much risk to the grid when you have a smaller
2	system, whether it be a 9-, 10-, 11-, 25-kilowatt
3	system.
4	MR. FUTRELL: Mr. Chairman
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Futrell.
6	MR. FUTRELL: If I may add on to
7	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Please.
8	MR. FUTRELL: Mr. Vogel's comments and
9	Mr. Hinton may wish to speak up, too, just to fill
10	in the the gaps.
11	At the time of the discussion on the rule,
12	there was also information about the the
13	inverters that are part of the system would for
14	these small systems, would be able to island the
15	solar system in an event the electric utility
16	system was de-energized for any reason, and that
17	that would allow for another level of protection
18	and and limit the risk of back-feed, such that a
19	disconnect switch not required.
20	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you. That's that
21	was more the answer I was looking for. So, we know
22	for a fact that all inverters that are installed on
23	10-kW systems or less have the ability to isolate
24	the system and pull it off the grid.
25	MR. FUTRELL: That was our understanding when

1	the rule was developed. I think this is a good
2	opportunity, in this workshop setting, to hear from
3	some of these experts that are that you've got
4	coming up to, perhaps, address those questions
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay.
6	MR. FUTRELL: with more-current
7	information.
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Great. Great point. Thank
9	you, Mr. Futrell.
10	All right. Any other questions for Mr. Vogel?
11	Commissioner Brown.
12	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
13	And thank you, Matt. You're always a great
14	resource to the Commission. So, appreciate all the
15	work you you do all the time.
16	Looking at your Slide 13, though, customer-
17	owned renewable-energy generation it it does
18	kind of capture the entire state of Florida rather
19	than just the IOUs that we directly regulate, which
20	is about 45,000 interconnections from looking at
21	the 2019 interconnection report that we have,
22	right?
23	MR. VOGEL: Yes. Yes, ma'am, that is correct.
24	COMMISSIONER BROWN: So, I'm curious about the
25	rule. Does the rule like like DSM, requiring

1	audits and and providing that information to
2	customers does the rule does the rule require
3	the utility and the IOUs to provide information
4	about our net-metering policy?
5	MR. VOGEL: The only requirement is a an
6	annual this is how many systems are connected,
7	these are the size of the systems basically
8	everything in that net-metering rule that
9	net net-metering annual report that we do
10	that's the only required information.
11	There isn't a required information from the
12	utilities based on their level of cost or or
13	any anything like that. It's simply how many
14	systems, this is how much we paid to them, this is
15	how much energy was transferred, and and that
16	that type of information.
17	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Or required advertising.
18	I I was I was a little surprised you know,
19	I know we get these annual reports, these net-
20	metering reports, but it's very interesting, if you
21	look at our most-recent 2019 report and look at
22	IOUs and the munis and there there's a muni that
23	is as much as Gulf Power.
24	And, for example, you know, Duke Energy seems
25	to have the most interconnection. It's just
i .	

1	interesting to see how I would think that we would
2	have there's such a flavor and an appetite for
3	solar, just it it's really quite modest, if
4	you look at just the data, quite frankly.
5	So, I would be interested in seeing how the
6	utilities really promote the solar net-metering
7	policy that we have in place. Regardless of of
8	our current policy or whatever, I think it's very
9	important for the utilities to promote this type of
10	initiative.
11	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Thank you,
12	Commissioner Brown.
13	All right. Any other questions for Mr. Vogel
14	before we move to our next presentation? All
15	right. Thank you.
16	All right. Thank you, Mr. Vogel. Greatly
17	appreciated this morning. And just hang in there;
18	we're probably going to have some more questions
19	for you later.
20	Next up, we're going to have some comments by
21	Office of Public Counsel, Anastacia Pirrello.
22	Anastacia, are you here? Thank you. There
23	you are. You're recognized.
24	MS. PIRRELLO: Thank you. Good morning,
25	Commissioners. My name is Anastacia Pirrello and

The Office of Public Counsel fully supports the expansion of solar generation in Florida, which is why we entered into settlement agreements in 2016 and 2017, which authorized Duke and FPL to build 175 and 300 megawatts of new solar generation each year and effectively jump-started solar generation in Florida.

Today, these agreements resulted in the installation of over 2,500 megawatts of new solar generation. Settlements also authorized each company to engage in a battery-storage pilot program to establish 50 megawatts of battery storage, which will increase the efficiency of solar generation.

The OPC believes that solar generation is an important part of expanding portfolio of generation sources across the state of Florida and recognizes the need to diversify energy sources and reduce greenhouse-gas emissions; however, OPC feels -- the utilities feel solar, alone, is not able to meet the needs of Floridians now or in the future.

1 megawatt require about four acres of land for the

Utility-scale solar installations of

panels and the supporting equipment. The TIA solar installation in Tampa is 1 megawatt and is able to power about 175 homes per year.

Even though the 2019-census estimates for Florida suggests about 9.6 million households in the state, we would need over 221,000 acres of solar installation to power every Florida household for one year.

We also need to recognize that utility-scale solar installations of this nature can destruct local eco-systems and cause the removal of hundreds of acres of land from agricultural and other productive uses because, unlike other sources of renewable generation, such as wind, solar panels are not able to co-exist with other land.

Therefore, OPC believes the expansion of solar -- rooftop solar is an equally-important task to promote green energy for our state. Rooftop solar puts otherwise-empty rooftops to use without requiring the additional destruction of trees, habitats, or displacement of wildlife.

Furthermore, analysis from the Brookings

Institute and a number of states indicates that rooftop solar is a net benefit to ratepayers and does not impose significant net costs on ratepayers

1 who are not net metering their systems. 2. A study from the Nevada Public Utility 3 Commission concluded that net metering resulted in a benefit and cost savings of \$36 million to all 4 5 Nevada energy customers, and estimates suggest a benefit of over \$166 million over the lifetime of 6 7 these solar systems. 8 Given the constantly-increasing need for 9 energy in our state and the environmental impacts 10 associated with the utility-scale solar, OPC 11 believes that supporting the existence of the netmetering rule that promotes rooftop solar is 12 13 important, and we do not think that a change to the 14 rule is necessary at this time, but we look forward 15 to hearing and considering the comments and 16 concerns of the other interested parties. 17 Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. Thank you very much. 19 Any questions for OPC? All right. Thank you. 20 All right. Next, we're going to move on to 21 additional presentations by particular stakeholder 22 We're going to begin with the IOUs. groups. 23 would also note that the IOUs have folks on the 24 phone that are available to assist in answering 25 questions that may come up. I would ask that, if

1 you do plan to answer a question, please identify 2. yourself prior to making any comments. Please be 3 recognized. 4 We have, I believe -- one, two, three, four 5 five, six -- seven presentations. I would like to try to hold each one of those to right around ten 6 7 minutes for a presentation and the Q & A, if we can 8 kind of keep that in -- in our -- our goal of being around ten minutes for -- for the presentation and 9 10 the Q & A period. So, with that said, Mr. Deason, you're 11 12 recognized this morning, representing Florida 13 Power & Light, Gulf Power, and TECO. Thank you for 14 being with us this morning. 15 MR. DEASON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's a 16 good opportunity and I appreciate the opportunity. 17 Let me say at the beginning that this type of 18 meeting is new for an old-school fellow like myself and this technology, but I -- I am learning. 19 20 do something improperly, some- -- I hope someone 21 corrects me. 22 I want to make it clear that I'm making a 23 presentation today on behalf of FPL, TECO, and 24 And the information I provide is publicly Gulf. 25 available or is derived from publicly-available

1	information. And I'm happy to answer questions
2	during the presentation or following.
3	And if the Chairman thinks it's helpful, I
4	would be happy to provide the presentation in a
5	written form after the fact.
6	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes, please. We would
7	appreciate that.
8	MR. DEASON: Okay. Thank you.
9	Staff did a wonderful job providing the
10	history of the rule and the background of the rule,
11	so I certainly won't try to recite that.
12	Let me state that, since the rule has been
13	adopted, solar has come a long way in Florida. I
14	think Florida should be proud of the
15	accomplishments that it has made both in terms of
16	customer-owned solar or renewable energy, as well
17	as the utilities and their willingness to em to
18	deploy a utility-scale renewable generation.
19	When solar was in its infancy, back when the
20	rule was adopted, rooftop solar systems were
21	significantly more expensive than they are today.
22	And the Commission rightfully determined that it
23	could help jump-start this technology by requiring
24	utilities to provide a retail credit as an
25	incentive to deploy these systems.

1 A lot of things have changed since then. 2. Residential solar is -- it now costs about half of 3 what it did in 2008. And that's a wonderful thing, 4 and it helps lots of -- lots of people; however, 5 there is a challenge presented. And the challenge present- -- that is 6 7 presented is that customers who don't have rooftop 8 solar are paying more than their fair share of the fixed costs required to provide service to 9 10 customers, including generation, transmission, and 11 distribution. 12 When there were only a handful of these 13 customers, the cost shift or cost subsidization was 14 very small, but we see that that is no longer the 15 case and, as the rooftop systems continue to grow, 16 that cross-subsidy only continues to grow. 17 I want to also reiterate that to promote 18 rooftop solar does not mean that there has to be a 19 subsidv. It is a tool and it does result in more 20 rooftop solar, but there's a question as to whether 21 that needs to continue. 22 As Mr. Vogel indicated in his presentation 23 there are now about 60,000 net-metering customers. 24 And that is as of June 2020. And from 2008 to June 25 of 2020, that represents about a 55-percent

1	compound annual growth rate, which is quite
2	remarkable.
3	And then but that growth rate seems to be
4	only accelerating. In the three years between 2013
5	and 2016, it grew at an average rate of 34 percent,
6	but between 2016 and 2019, the latest period, it
7	grew at 58 percent.
8	In just the first six months of this year, we
9	see that acceleration continuing. FPL had almost
10	17,000 customers in 2019 at the end of 2019.
11	That is now up to 20,624. Gulf had 2,229 at the
12	20 at the end of 2019. That is now up to over
13	4,000, which
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Terry
15	MR. DEASON: is nearly double
16	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Terry, do you mind me
17	interrupting you really quickly?
18	MR. DEASON: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	Since you offered to take questions during, I
21	appreciate that. And I I love the data. The
22	data is great, but it's still very modest. Okay.
23	When you're when you're talking FPL has
24	five million almost five million customers. You
25	know, it it is still a modest number.

1 So, explain to me what the utilities are doing to promote the -- the solar interconnection as a 2. 3 benefit to so many. It benefits the utility. 4 benefits the customer. It does benefit everybody. 5 So, what are the utilities doing that you're representing here today? 6 7 Okay. First of all, I think what MR. DEASON: 8 the com- -- utilities are doing -- they're 9 following the rule. And by following the rule, 10 that is certainly promoting renewable energy and, 11 particularly, rooftop solar. 12 You indicated that the numbers are small. Ι 13 agree, Commissioner Brown. The numbers are quite 14 small, but I think what the -- the point is is that 15 these numbers are continuing to grow and --16 exponentially, in fact, which is a good 17 accomplishment, but at some point, the question has 18 to be asked, how can this be sustained in the long 19 run when you continue to have more rooftop solars 20 and those customers who do not deploy rooftop solar 21 for various reasons -- and we can go into those --22 but there is a cost shift. 23 And when that cost shift happens, it continues 24 to accelerate the divide, so to speak, in that 25 there's more costing put on -- more fixed costing

1	put on the remaining customers.
2	And I'm not here to say the sky is falling
3	by no means but at some point, we need to
4	recognize the mechanics, the economics of it, and
5	how it works and how there is a cost shift. And,
6	at some point, it needs to be addressed.
7	And I congratulate the Commission for having
8	this workshop and and teeing up some of these
9	these issues. I think it certainly is the
10	appropriate thing to do.
11	Let me reiterate that the the three
12	utilities that I'm here speaking on behalf of
13	they're not here advocating a change in the rule,
14	but they are they are cognizant of the economics
15	and the cost shifts. And they think it's incumbent
16	upon them to point that out to the Commission and
17	give the Commission needed information as it goes
18	on, this process, which may lead to rulemaking and
19	it may not lead to rulemaking.
20	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. And I've
21	heard this issue for for many, many, many, many
22	years. So, it's it's not some novel issue,
23	from from both sides.
24	And my crux, my issue, is really the promotion
25	of the renewable energy, though, and and what

1	our rule currently provides for. And I mean, I'd
2	like to see the utilities push for more
3	interconnection. And so, I'm curious about your
4	thoughts on that.
5	MR. DEASON: Well, I think there's a vibrant
6	industry out there. And it would and there's
7	plenty of promotion going on. I'll be honest with
8	you, I can't speak to the individual utilities and
9	what they're on own efforts are in promotions.
10	I would encourage you to ask that of each
11	individual utility.
12	I feel confident that they are providing the
13	information to customers and are making sure that
14	those installations are done correctly and
15	facilitate all of the all of the requirements to
16	get the systems connected.
17	I think that the utilities, if you ask them
18	I think they have some very compelling statistics
19	on how long it takes to connect a customer and how
20	easy that transition is for customers.
21	So, I think the Comm the utilities are
22	doing quite a bit, but I I can't point to a
23	specific program or initiative and raise that up
24	the flagpole and say, look at this, but I would
25	encourage you to ask those questions of those

1	utilities.
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Absolutely, and and
3	they're doing substantial utility-scale solar,
4	so and SolarTogether, SolarNow all these
5	great projects, but really with regard to the
6	the end user, what type of programs are they doing
7	to encourage net metering and solar
8	interconnection. That's my biggest concern, quite
9	frankly.
10	MR. DEASON: Okay. I think you're expressing
11	that very well. And I'm sure there are folks at
12	the utilities making notes right now, Commissioner.
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Could Mr. Deason, I'd like
15	to follow on to Commissioner Brown's question there
16	and and just kind of two questions. With the
17	three companies that you're representing today, can
18	you give me a dollar estimate of what that subsidy,
19	on a yearly basis, is equivalent to, just maybe in
20	rough numbers? Is it a thousand dollars? Is it a
21	million dollars? Is it 50 million?
22	MR. DEASON: As of the end of 2019,
23	Mr. Chairman, it's it was determined that there
24	is a total cross-subsidy for all all four
25	utilities, the three I represent here today, and

1 information provided from Duke Energy as well, that it totals \$39 million. 2. 3 CHAIRMAN CLARK: So -- so, it's 30- --4 MR. DEASON: That is a substantial sum, but 5 it's not huge in relation to the size of the utilities, but it continues to grow. 6 And it's also 7 been determined that it's -- for the average net-8 metered customer that the -- the subsidy they enjoy 9 is about 75 to \$80 per month. 10 CHAIRMAN CLARK: So -- so, that total for 11 2019, the customers who are not receiving solar, do 12 not have their own solar system, their self-13 generating system, are subsidizing those who do to 14 the tune of \$40 million a year. Is that -- is that 15 what you're saying? 16 MR. DEASON: Yes. Yes, Mr. Chairman. 17 correct. 18 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. 19 MR. DEASON: But let me be -- be very clear on 20 that. We all know that there is a lag in the time 21 period --22 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes, sir. 23 MR. DEASON: -- between when rates are set and 24 costs are incurred. 25 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Right.

1	MR. DEASON: This number probably won't be
2	manifest until there's another resetting of rates,
3	but when that happens, there are there's
4	there's insufficient not insufficient is
5	probably too not probably correct.
6	There's not a proper contribution from net-
7	metered customers to cover fixed costs, and we all
8	know, when we calculate rates, the fixed costs has
9	to be recovered and they get included in rates.
10	So, there is a shift of costs to the non-net-
11	metered customers in that process. And right now,
12	it's estimated to be approximately 40 million. And
13	it's continue it continues to grow.
14	CHAIRMAN CLARK: My second question and I
15	just wanted to question off of Commissioner Brown's
16	question because I think she's right on target, and
17	I think that there are so many things that our
18	utilities can do to promote the addition of solar
19	generations that are separate from net metering.
20	I I think that one of the things I see here
21	is that we we keep tying these two two issues
22	together and they're not necessarily they don't
23	necessarily have to be connected.
24	The promotion of solar resources, the
25	promotion of renewable generation, and the subsidy

1	that exists within the current net-metering
2	policy do you see those as two separate issues?
3	And and I ask that question to follow up with
4	what can the utility company do to continue to
5	promote solar generation, residential solar
6	generation, without having a subsidy within the
7	net-metering component?
8	MR. DEASON: Well, I know we're not here to
9	actually propose a change to the rule and I'm not
10	here for that, but there's going to have to be,
11	Mr. Chairman to be quite frank, there's going to
12	have to be changes in the way fixed costs are
13	covered if you want to eliminate the subsidy and
14	still provide the net metering at a basically at
15	a retail rate.
16	I don't have specifics on that, but the the
17	problem, Mr. Chairman, is that the way rates are
18	set now, we all know that of the vast majority
19	of fixed costs are recovered through volumetric or
20	kilowatt-hour charges. And that makes the
21	kilowatt-hour charges higher than than they
22	otherwise would be, if those fixed costs were
23	recovered by a different mechanism.
24	So, that's one of the things that I would I
25	would encourage you to explore, maybe in a future
I	

workshop or with your staff -- I think that's one of the fundamental reasons why we have the subsidy as it exists is that so much of the fixed costs are recovered through kilowatt-hour charges.

And when I -- when you reimburse or compensate net-metered customers at the retail rate, they're being compensated for fixed costs, which they still enjoy the benefits of, but they're not making their contribution to recover those fixed costs that otherwise would occur.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: My -- my last question for you, Mr. Deason, is related to the -- the kilowatthour credit that is paid back to the consumer. And I realize we -- we don't settle those up, typically, until the year-end, but the value -trying to place the value -- if you're looking at a -- a retail-rate payment for even a wholesalerate payment to that customer, can you figure or calculate what that unit should be discounted, based on the fact that it's paid at the end of the year and the kilowatt hour may not have been utilized by the -- should we be valuing the kilowatt hour that's credited based on a real-time number or should -- is the current system adequate? To be quite honest, that's a MR. DEASON:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	question I've never really contemplated before.
2	You know, there is a a time value of money.
3	We're all aware of that. And that could be a
4	component that could be entered in. I'm just not
5	sure how significant that would be when you
6	consider that, you know, it's going to be averaged
7	over the entire year, but you know, I think it's
8	something that could be looked at, for sure.
9	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. I may have I think
10	I I had two separate questions going on there
11	and I think I may have even confused them.
12	What is the value of a kilowatt hour that is
13	put back on the grid at the time that a typical
14	solar system would be putting energy onto a grid as
15	opposed to what that kilowatt hour is really worth?
16	If you're paying retail rate for that kilowatt
17	hour and it's being put back on the system at
18	9:00 in the morning, what would a typical kilowatt
19	hour at 9:00 in the morning cost you?
20	Have you looked at those differentials
21	between and looked at the real-time value of the
22	kilowatt hour as opposed to a fixed, set price?
23	MR. DEASON: I have not looked at that. I'm
24	sure that there are many smart people, some on your
25	own staff and I'm sure at the utilities themselves,

1 that could do a study of that. 2. I think what it would take would be to look at 3 when solar systems produce the kilowatt hours, what 4 time of day, what season of the year, what the --5 what the peak hours are for that period, and look to see what is contributing. 6 7 It may -- if it's contributing kilowatt hours 8 during a peak time, it's probably more valuable, but I still -- it's not going to be at a full 9 10 retail rate because of the fact that there are 11 fixed costs associated with the full retail rate. 12 So, if there were some analysis looking at 13 basically time-of-use rates and the value of a 14 kilowatt hour produced at a certain time, that may 15 be one way of looking at the kilowatt hours 16 generated and giving a proper recognition of the 17 benefit of those kilowatt hours to the system. 18 My final question, CHAIRMAN CLARK: 19 Commission- -- Mr. Deason is related to the -- the 20 subsidy that we referred to a few moments ago. 21 Have you extrapolated the numbers out over the next 22 four or five years if you continue the exponential 23 growth of residential solar to look at what we 24 would be looking at in, say, 2025 for a potential 25 subsidy?

1	MR. DEASON: Yes, we we have looked at
2	that. And if you look if you make some
3	assumptions because every time you do a
4	projection, you're going to base them have to
5	have some assumptions but you make some
6	reasonable assumptions, we're looking at an amount
7	of \$700 million over that period of 2020 through
8	2025. So, that's that's a cumulative number,
9	but
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Was was that I'm sorry.
11	Was that number 700 million?
12	MR. DEASON: 700 million, yes. That's the
13	cumulative subsidy from the period 2020 through
14	2025.
15	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay.
16	MR. DEASON: Not an annual number, but a
17	cumulative for that period.
18	CHAIRMAN CLARK: I'm still shocked that the
19	number is that high. I just wanted to to
20	clarify that. Great. All right. Thank you.
21	Commissioner Brown.
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	Great questions, by the way.
24	Just a follow-up. I'd love to see how you
25	quantify that number. If if that would be

1	something that you would all be interested in
2	providing to the Commission, that would be helpful
3	in us looking at the holistic picture.
4	Quite frankly, 700 million over that five-year
5	period it does seem massive when we're talking
6	40,000 interconnections right now.
7	MR. DEASON: Well, actually, it's six years,
8	cumulative through 2020 to 2025.
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: (Unintelligible.)
10	MR. DEASON: Yeah, but there is a growth rate
11	assumed that it continues to grow. And I think the
12	growth rate assumed in that calculation is
13	29 percent, which is actually lower than what it's
14	been historically.
15	But I would be happy to provide that
16	information as to the the basis for the
17	calculation, what the assumptions were, what the
18	growth rates that were assumed.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: That would be very
20	helpful, Terry.
21	MR. DEASON: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner.
22	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Polmann.
23	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you,
24	Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Mr. Deason, for your
25	presentation here.

1	And to everyone to me, it gets back to
2	the to the purpose of this workshop. And and
3	the discussion here at this moment validates, very
4	strongly, the need, going forward, for the the
5	collection of of data and and the the
6	depth of the analysis that is really required.
7	The the comment Commissioner Brown just put
8	forward, that the collection of the the data and
9	the the gathering of real information,
10	understanding of how the the types of numbers
11	that Mr. Deason just put forward is is required.
12	If I could just reflect on on a few of the
13	comments here for a moment, what we have right now
14	is from my perspective, is a rule that is
15	I'll use the word "permissive;" that everything is
16	in place for people to to install and utilize
17	renewable energy for their own purposes.
18	And, as I understand it, the rule for net
19	metering was put in place really with with the
20	rate as it was set and the credit to to
21	encourage. And that's been very successful. And
22	what we have now is, in the most-recent time, very
23	rapid growth, as Mr. Deason has referred to, with
24	an expectation that that's going to continue.
25	And now what we're anticipating is this very-

large dollar amount and significant dollars that's being referred to now as a subsidy and -- and a cost shift and a burden and -- and representing IOUs, what's being discussed here is an issue.

That may or may not be a problem because their cost shifts and subsidies and averaging across the entire general body of ratepayers, as we -- as we look at rate-mak- -- rate-making and how tariffs are set and so forth. This is not unique. So, it becomes a question of what are the data and how do we interpret that.

So, again, very long process that -- that needs to be examined that really comes down to who benefits and who pays. And, from my perspective, it's not whether or not the IOU benefits or pays because that all comes out, as Mr. Deason identified, when you get to a rate case. The IOU is going to be made whole.

And it's a question of which of the actual retail customers on -- within the system even have an opportunity to enjoy self-supply. And that becomes a real part of the question, in my mind, as this entire issue is looked -- looked at over the next year or two, whatever it takes.

There are significant numbers of customers who

2.

1 don't and won't have an opportunity for solar or 2. any other, and I think that's a critical aspect of 3 this. 4 You know, there are tens of thousands or maybe 5 even hundreds of thousands of -- of people on -- on the grid who will eventually have their own supply, 6 7 but there are many, many, many more who -- who 8 won't for -- for a variety of different reasons. 9 They don't have the -- the housing stock. 10 live multi-family. They -- they rent, all these 11 other things, and they will continue to pay a 12 portion of the fixed costs, no matter what. 13 So, I think that's the more-important thing 14 that needs to be considered in terms of who 15 benefits and who pays. So, Mr. Chairman, this is 16 an excellent conversation, but I'm very hesitant 17 for anyone to -- to focus on, you know, what are 18

for anyone to -- to focus on, you know, what are the numbers, what are the dollars, in the discussion today. I -- I appreciate that they're important, but there are so many other factors that underlie a true understanding.

This is a great discussion. I'd like to move

This is a great discussion. I'd like to move it forward, Mr. Chairman, because the -- the meaning behind them -- I think it's misconstrued, and I would hate for the media and folks to be --

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	to be focused on dollars at this point. I don't
2	want to dismiss them. They're important, but
3	that's not the story, Mr. Chairman.
4	Thank you so much.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
6	Polmann, for those comments.
7	All right. We need to wrap up with Mr. Deason
8	pretty quick to keep us on our schedule. Any other
9	questions, Mr Commissioner Graham, Commissioner
10	Fay, any questions? This is your opportunity.
11	All right. Mr. Deason, thank you so much for
12	being here with us today. Thank you for your
13	comments. And, if you would, just kind of hang
14	around. There may be follow-up we need to have in
15	a few moments.
16	MR. DEASON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Next up, Mr. Bill
18	Ashburn for TECO. He's also representing FPL and
19	Gulf Power Company and Duke Energy.
20	Mr. Ashburn, good morning.
21	MR. ASHBURN: Good morning, Commissioners.
22	I'm Bill Ashburn. I'm director of pricing and
23	financial analysis at Tampa Electric. And I'm
24	as the Commissioners said the Chairman said, I'm
25	representing all four investor-owned utilities on

1 this. 2. The topic of my presentation is not the net-3 metering part of the net-metering rule -- we always call it the net-metering rule -- but it's also the 4 5 interconnection and net-metering rule. And so, I'm 6 really going to talk about the interconnection part 7 of it. 8 As was discussed by the staff, the netmetering rule and the interconnection part of it 9 10 goes back, frankly, all the way to 2005 or two and the -- the actual current one really goes back to 11 12 2008 or so. 13 And so, over that time period, there have been 14 things that we have learned about interconnecting 15 net- -- net-metered customers. There have been 16 changes in the market. There have been changes in 17 the equipment. 18 And so, what I've got is a list of about six 19 or seven items that are part of the interconnection 20 part. And you've discussed some of them already. 21 So, I'm -- I'll just try to bounce through them 22 quickly and then open up to questions, if that 23 works. 24 Thank you. CHAIRMAN CLARK: 25 First thing, the -- yeah. MR. ASHBURN:

first thing to recognize is, the rule, as I said,

started -- it's really from about 2008. There are

some new types of interconnected equipment

associated with net metering that we should think

about that weren't contemplated at the time.

One is batteries. We're starting to see batteries installed often in -- in connection with solar arrays, but sometimes on their own. And so, that -- they provide similar-type opportunities for customers to store and then export or import power. So, that's an element, that's a -- a piece of equipment that was not contemplated at the time.

We brought up also the inverters at the time of the rule in 2008 -- and I had the -- the great pleasure of being part of that whole rulemaking back then. Is -- at the time, the inverters were believed to only ne- -- never allow islanding. And newer inverter equipment does permit islanding of the house when the utility service has ended.

And so, that -- that is now a concern that -- that leads us to even more concern about having switches and things like that, and I can address that as well as we go along.

The second big category, which we have talked a lot about so far here, is the insurance

1 requirements. And I think we described them pretty 2. well. One of the things that has been a -- a 3 challenge is that, particularly people in Tier 2, who are residential, have trouble getting a 4 5 million-dollar policy for their house. And so, that's something we might address or think about. 6 7 I'll say that the -- it does not specify who 8 has to have the insurance, whether it's the owner or, for a -- a tenant in the building, it's not 9 10 very clear who has to have the insurance. 11 that's a -- that's a -- that's something that 12 wasn't addressed in the rule as well. 13 We talked about the switch a little bit. 14 rule states that we may require a manual switch, 15 but if it's a Tier 1 system, Tier 2 system, then 16 the utility would have to pay for it. 17 that there's a mixed bag between the utilities 18 about what has happened. Tampa Electric has required a switch all --19 20 even on Tier 1 customers, but has paid for the 21 switch, or at least has given an offer to pay for 22 it for the customer. They have to sort of let us 23 know what they pay for and then we reimburse them. 24 The switch is -- is an issue. It's a safety 25 And we've gotten a lot of positive comments

back, particularly from first-responding-type --2. type people, fire and EMTs. If you come up to a house and it's on fire and the lights are on because, even though we turned off the power, one of the things that the fire department wants us to do is disconnect the power from the house so, when they throw water on top of it, it's not going to cause a problem or exacerbate the fire.

But if we turn the power off at our meter and the pow- -- and the util- -- and the house continues to run because it's got an islanding function, then having a switch is a very important thing that we can, then, lock out the inverter, lock out the PV, and the power goes off. So, it's something we've been very important -- we have done -- and gotten good, positive feedback from first-responder people.

Okay. So, next thing is the interconnection requirements with the current rules. You did -- the staff brought up the issue that the rule refers to IEEE 1547 and 1547.1, and UL 1741. Since then, an awful lot of updates have happened to 1547. I think it's already up to 1547.7 or .8 or something now. So, there has been a lot of changes to those rules.

He did mention that -- addresses things about
smart inverters and control settings, in

particular. We would -- although it's not in the
rule, if -- if the rule is going to be changed, we
would like to update it to the current status of
those rules.

Particularly, we are going to be looking at, in the future -- not right now, but in the future, the utilities are going to be looking at how can we do more controls over these -- these inverters to maybe change things like bar export from the solar arrays for local conditions and so forth. So, we'd like to think about that.

More and more, we are -- we are seeing -- and this is for all -- and all new installations, the fact that it's affecting the local-distribution network. When we first started the rule, it was very few -- as was mentioned by Mr. Deason, we had a few-every-month kind of thing.

We're -- Tampa Electric is up to over 200 a month pretty regularly. And, now, as they're starting to be installed, they're starting to look for, hey, there's a solar on this house, let me market to the house next door.

And as those second and third houses on the

ı		
	1	same transformer start interconnecting, it is
	2	causing us to have to look at upgrading the
	3	transformer or upgrading the service.
	4	The other thing that's happening is falling
	5	panel prices, as as Mr. Deason mentioned, and
	6	increasing energy density of the PV panels.
	7	Customers are putting more capacity on their roofs
	8	than they did in the past.
	9	That additional capacity sometimes is
	10	affecting us to take a look at their service or
	11	their transformation. And so, we're more and more
	12	running into a condition where we have to evaluate
	13	every one of these and look at whether we have to
	14	do something about the transformation or the
	15	service or even down the road to distribution
	16	lines.
	17	And that's that's just an issue that we're
	18	going to have to deal with. And part of the
	19	problem yes, I'm sorry, Commissioner. I'll
	20	stop.
	21	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Chairman, if you
	22	don't mind.
	23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes.
	24	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Ashburn, (technical
	25	interruption), but you just said a very interesting
- 1		

-		
	1	point about affecting the local-distribution
	2	network. And I know Tam General I mean
	3	pardon me Tampa Electric has been very active in
	4	delivering utility-scale solar arrays. I'm and
	5	you said some type of a number, what was it,
	6	200 per month for interconnect
	7	MR. ASHBURN: We we have we have well
	8	over 200 250 applications a month.
	9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I I personally know
	10	people that that are interconnected in the Tampa
	11	Bay area. And you you did explain that the more
	12	capacity and the volume on the roof is requiring
	13	Tam Tampa Electric to upgrade transformers for
	14	service.
	15	Can you kind of elaborate on the reasoning,
	16	the rationale behind it? Because we're looking
	17	at the numbers, still, as I said, I alluded to
	18	earlier, it's still quite modest in terms of
	19	self-generation. So, why
	20	MR. ASHBURN: Right.
	21	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Why why do you think
	22	that there's a necessity to upgrade transformers on
	23	the on the grid?
	24	MR. ASHBURN: Sure. So, we we usually put
	25	trans let's talk about residential. That's the

1	one we mostly focus on, right. So, residential
2	you usually have more than one house hooked up to a
3	transformer. It could be three, four, five of
4	them. And we recognize that there's going to be
5	diversity between those homes when we install the
6	transformation capacity.
7	But when you have if those two, three, or
8	four homes put a very large array on each roof, 10,
9	12, 14 kW, when you add them up and they all
10	could be running in the middle of day and all those
11	people might be off at work somewhere not
12	anymore, but in prior years that capacity can
13	overload the transformer. And so, the we have
14	to look at upgrading the transformer to a larger
15	transformer to make sure that exporting capacity
16	doesn't actually burn it up.
17	COMMISSIONER BROWN: You have data to
18	MR. ASHBURN: Another yeah. And and
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: (Unintelligible.)
20	MR. ASHBURN: And services, as well, right
21	I'm sorry. Go ahead.
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Do you have data to
23	support that that you could provide the Commission
24	with?
25	MR. ASHBURN: Well, one of the data elements

you might have at the Commission is we've been a
lot more this year, for example, calling the
Commission staff to review before we charge
customers for this upgrades.
Our tariff requires us it's part of the
rule, too, that when we have to charge a customer
for an upgrade, we go to your your staff to ask
for a review of our estimate before we charge it.
And so, you're seeing us a lot more coming in and
asking.
Cayce Hinton, for example, we've been talking
to quite regularly. And so, I think he can report
that we're doing it more frequently. It's happened
so much now, that we used to if it was a smaller
array, under 10 kW or so, we just didn't do a look.
And now, our engineers are looking at all of them
because they're beginning to be next to each other
in the same transformer.
COMMISSIONER BROWN: So, Mr. Ashburn, that
kind of data is so relevant to this type of forum.
So, if you guys could come up with, you know, some
type of tangible information for us to look at
MR. ASHBURN: Sure.
COMMISSIONER BROWN: I think that would be

1 MR. ASHBURN: Sure. If you think about it, I 2. mean -- one of the things probably to mention is 3 there's a lot more contractors putting solar in 4 our -- than they were in the past. 5 When we first started doing this, in '08, there might have been three or five or seven that 7 We have now over 150 contractors are doing. 8 putting solar on roofs in the Tampa Electric area. I'm sure it's a comparable number for FP&L and 9 10 And so, there's just a higher volume of 11 them. 12 And there's more and more coming from out of 13 We have contractors from New Jersey, from state. 14 Texas coming in. And often, they don't know the 15 rules here. And so, one of the things we do -- you 16 asked for outreach. 17 We have -- on a regular basis, do training for 18 contractors so that they understand the rules, so 19 that they can see what would work best, to try to 20 educate them that they should call us early in 21 their process so that we know what they're about to 22 do before they put things on roofs in case we have 23 to do an upgrade. 24 So, we -- we do some of that outreach to try 25 to educate them to facilitate the process.

1	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Ash
2	MR. ASHBURN: But we can provide more
3	information, as you requested.
4	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Ashburn
5	MR. ASHBURN: Another element to think
6	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Ashburn, let if I
7	could
8	MR. ASHBURN: Go ahead. I'm sorry,
9	Commissioner.
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: If I could ask one question
11	to follow up, again.
12	MR. ASHBURN: Sure.
13	CHAIRMAN CLARK: You made a the comment
14	that in a Tier 2 or Tier 3 system, that the cost of
15	the manual disconnect that you that, I guess,
16	Tampa Electric picks that cost up. Is that what
17	you said?
18	MR. ASHBURN: We pick it up for Tier 1 and
19	Tier 2; Tier 3, they pay.
20	CHAIRMAN CLARK: And yet our rule, according
21	to Mr. Vogel earlier the manual disconnect is
22	required at customer cost. Why are we why are
23	you picking that up
24	MR. ASHBURN: We
25	CHAIRMAN CLARK: in a Tier 2 system?

1	MR. ASHBURN: So, it's required for Tier 3
2	that the customer pick up the cost. It's not
3	required for Tier 1 and 2, but we require it, and
4	then we pick up the cost.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Ah.
6	MR. ASHBURN: So, the customer is not burdened
7	by the cost of the switch, but we require the
8	switch and we pay we reimburse them for the cost
9	of putting the switch in because it's our opinion
10	that we should have a switch everywhere.
11	CHAIRMAN CLARK: I I agree I agree with
12	your assessment on on the requirement for the
13	switch. I'm just questioning I guess staff
14	could look at that the rule a little more
15	carefully, but the information that we have said
16	that a Tier 2 system the cost of the switch was
17	being put on the consumer. So, it just
18	conflicting information. We'll get that sorted
19	out, though.
20	MR. ASHBURN: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Let's wrap up
22	pretty quick, Mr. Ashburn, and and follow up
23	MR. ASHBURN: Sure. Sure.
24	CHAIRMAN CLARK: with Commission questions.
25	MR. ASHBURN: Sure. Another element of this,

when we talk about the upgrading and so forth,

the -- the rule talks about the capacity of the

solar array, the renewable-generating system, up on

the roof.

What we have discovered is some customers are putting a lot more capacity on the roof than there is capacity of the inverter. And the inverter is actually the item that determines how much power hits our grid.

So, something to think about down the road is should we be looking at the capacity of the inverter system rather than the capacity on the roof for customers who overbuild solar on their roofs.

We have had issues with customers not telling us -- we're trying to keep to the rule as far as number of days of service, and we do pretty well on that, but on occasion, the -- we don't hear about a customer putting solar on the roof until late, and by that time, they have already put solar on the roof. It's sitting there. And they're -- they've got a contract with a contractor.

And then -- and suddenly, they call us and now there's something like, oh, we've got to do an upgrade or we've got to do something and you

1	haven't finished. And they they it's
2	frustrating for them that they have put out a bunch
3	of money and put it on the roof and they can't
4	operate the system until they've met all the rules
5	of the interconnection.
6	And so, that's something we train
7	contractors but particularly newer contractors
8	that come in are not as amenable to doing that
9	quickly or alerting us earlier.
10	Finally, I'll just add that and this is
11	kind of really just a cost issue. As I said,
12	we're we're getting a couple-hundred of these a
13	month comparable numbers, I'm sure, at FPL, Duke
14	and Gulf. And it's just meaning more work on our
15	part. It used to be we could handle this with a
16	couple of people. Now it's getting to be a lot
17	more volume.
18	And so, Commissioner, you asked about whether
19	we're encouraging or promoting this. We're it's
20	hard to believe we're not promoting it because the
21	growth rate has been so much and it's putting much
22	more burden on us to to manage it.
23	We use software, we use online application
24	forms, all that kind of stuff, and we have a
25	lot of on our website, how you do solar, what

1	you should be doing, how you make sure that the
2	process is goes smoothly, but there's the
3	volume is such that it's including an awful lot of
4	activity.
5	So, I'll leave it there and let let you ask
6	questions.
7	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Commissioners,
8	questions.
9	Commissioner Graham.
10	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11	Mr. Ashburn, welcome. How are you this
12	morning?
13	MR. ASHBURN: I'm doing good. Thank you, sir.
14	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Earlier in your
15	presentation you mentioned batteries.
16	MR. ASHBURN: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: What with our current
18	net metering the way it is, what's the advantage of
19	having batteries? I mean, maybe a couple of hours
20	after a hurricane, if there's an outage because
21	if there's no time-of-use rate, what's the
22	advantage of having batteries?
23	Doesn't doesn't net metering just work as a
24	batteries, kind of a free battery on your roof?
25	MR. ASHBURN: Yes, the net-metering process

without a battery is kind of using the utility as a battery, right. I mean, they export when they don't need it and we keep track of it and then we net it against a future load. So, in a sense, the utility is operating as a battery.

But some people are just enamored with technology. And while it may not be something that's going to help them though a hurricane or a long outage, some people are wanting to buy these, Tesla or other versions of batteries, and hook them up and -- and see how they work.

What it gets them is, like you said, maybe a couple of hours if they're going to try to run their air conditioning, but they're only going to use them to help keep their freezer from work- -- keep their freezer working through an outage. They can probably hook up much less loads in the house and at least the refrigerator might stay cold and the freezer may stay cold through a longer time period.

But people are experimenting with this, so much so that I'll say we just discovered a customer who lives on Davis Island who has completely disconnected from our system and has only solar and batteries in their home.

1	And so, there are people starting to go down
2	that road. It may not be an economic choice, but
3	it's a it's a it's a choice of theirs to
4	experiment with.
5	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Yeah, but if you can
6	afford to live on Davis Island, you can afford to
7	do all that stuff.
8	MR. ASHBURN: Perhaps. I know I can't.
9	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Ashburn, you you
11	mentioned that
12	MR. ASHBURN: Yep.
13	CHAIRMAN CLARK: I want to follow up with a
14	question about that kilowatt hour you were talking
15	about, the kilowatt hour that is produced that
16	comes back to the utility company. The utility
17	company is accounting for that kilowatt hour. I am
18	trying to establish the value of that kilowatt
19	hour.
20	And, from an engineering perspective, that
21	kilowatt hour that is produced during most of the
22	day by a solar system is that kilowatt hour
23	displacing production that you are having to do via
24	another means, be it gas or some other energy
25	source, from a generations perspective?

1 Yes, you're now -- you're now MR. ASHBURN: 2. touching my main job, which is being a rate guy, 3 So -- so, in the past, the solar was 4 operat- -- well, the solar continues to operate, as 5 It rises up in the morning. you know. It's pretty heavy production in the middle of the day. 6 7 then it drops off in the afternoon.

Typically, the middle of the day has been a high-cost period for utilities as far as an avoided energy-cost basis because that's when the -- the loads are high and we're running our -- our peakers and our more-expensive units. And that -- that is starting to change. We haven't gotten that far yet, but we're almost there.

You know, the utilities, as you know, you mentioned it earlier -- we're all building an awful lot of solar, ourselves. And, oddly enough, that solar is going to track the solar on the roofs as well. And that solar is going to add an awful lot of, essentially, zero-incremental-cost kilowatt hours to the -- to the grid during the same hours that the home solar is operating.

And so, I'm anticipating, down the road, as we add more and more solar, seeing kind of a reduction in the costs or a shifting of our incremental costs

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	to later in the day, which may mean that the
2	when the solar is exporting a lot in the middle of
3	the day from a home, that may be a lower-cost
4	period than it has been in the past, and it may be
5	that the costs are shifting to later in the day.
6	CHAIRMAN CLARK: So, you the addition of
7	solar units is actually having is causing a
8	shift in your generation costs?
9	MR. ASHBURN: It's going to shift the
10	incremental costs of generation because we're
11	putting so much solar in that and that has zero
12	fuel costs during the middle of the day. So,
13	you're going to see some of our incremental fuel
14	costs in the middle of the day decline, and the
15	the incremental fuel costs that are higher are
16	going to be later in the day as the solar starts to
17	decline in output.
18	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Well, how does that how
19	does that compare to your coincident peak time?
20	Are you still peaking at, summertime, 4:00, 5:00 in
21	the afternoon?
22	MR. ASHBURN: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Is that same is that
24	the same
25	MR. ASHBURN: In the same well, 4:00 or

1	5:00 in the afternoon, in the summer, right. In
2	the winter that's another interesting element of
3	that, right. In the winter, if we have a cold
4	winter and we haven't had that many of them
5	recently but in a normal cold winter down in
6	Tampa, you know, the winter peak is going to be
7	early in the morning, 7:00 in the morning, which
8	there there will be no solar on.
9	CHAIRMAN CLARK: And and are all four of
10	the utilities basically in the same boat there or
11	does the geography of the locations of each of
12	these utilities play a variant there?
13	MR. ASHBURN: Yeah, I'm not an as much an
14	expert on the other companies, but I would imagine
15	there's a pretty substantial difference in when
16	their peaking times are up in the Panhandle
17	compared to Miami.
18	CHAIRMAN CLARK: And so, your your total
19	cost is is basically laid out your your
20	maximum peak is it summer or winter?
21	MR. ASHBURN: Well, that depends on if we have
22	a winter. When we have temperatures down in the
23	twenties, pretty much winter is going to be the
24	peaking time period, but we haven't had that many
25	cold winters. I don't know if that's just simply

1 cyclical or related to other things, but if we have 2. any kind of a cold winter, it's a winter peak, but 3 the most of the time, it's now in the summer. 4 CHAIRMAN CLARK: And how are you meeting that 5 current winter peak? Are you purchasing most of your peak capacity or do you have enough generating 6 7 capacity to -- to generate your own peak demand? 8 MR. ASHBURN: We -- we build to try to meet 9 our expected peak, whether it's winter or summer. 10 I'll tell you that, if it gets really cold in the 11 winter, it's going to be hard to buy power from 12 anybody because everybody in Florida is trying to 13 meet their peaks. 14 So, we try to make sure we have enough generation available -- and that's one of the 15 16 reasons why the company is starting to -- if you 17 looked at our ten-year site plan, you're starting 18 to see us looking at a lot of batteries. 19 We're experimenting with larger batteries at 20 the utility scale. And the -- the goal there is 21 for those batteries to fill up with solar power 22 during the day and be available to export battery 23 power in the mornings of a winter peak. 24 So -- so, go back to -- to CHAIRMAN CLARK: 25 your winter peak again. So, you're trying to build

1	all of your own internal winter-peak needs. You're
2	not going to rely on you're not going to try to
3	rely on purchase power or anything. So, you're
4	going to have to have
5	MR. ASHBURN: That that
6	CHAIRMAN CLARK: capacity you're going
7	to have to have generating capacity to meet that
8	winter peak. No matter what type of renewable
9	systems are in place, you still have to have
10	generating capacity of some fossil-fuel source; is
11	that correct?
12	MR. ASHBURN: Yes. There are there are
13	some times when we purchase capacity long-term from
14	neighbors, but that's long-term, not just in the
15	at the moment, so we but we try to make sure we
16	either have our own generation resources or a long-
17	term purchase for to meet all of our needs at
18	all months.
19	CHAIRMAN CLARK: So, in in the recent SoBRA
20	cases where we've talked about the addition of
21	solar resources, I've always asked the question
22	regarding capacity and how much capacity we are
23	giving to the solar installation. And the numbers
24	I keep getting are somewhere between 40 and
25	50 percent.

1	So, do you you don't have that resource
2	available to you, even if you give it a 40 or
3	50-percent capacity factor to the solar
4	generation you still don't have that available
5	in to meet your winter peak. And you said
6	you're trying to build all of your peaking needs
7	out of some fossil fuel, correct?
8	MR. ASHBURN: Well, yes, as as let me
9	let me let me cover that. So so, your 40- or
10	50-percent number that you're quoting is usually
11	talking about the summer peak. And because our
12	summer peaks, like you said, are later in the
13	afternoon, the solar resources the sun has
14	started to go down. So, they're not as at a
15	hundred-percent capacity when that happens.
16	We are starting to try to build or the plan
17	is to build more battery capacity at the solar
18	arrays and then pack-capture that energy and be
19	able to re-dispatch it later in the afternoon in
20	the summer and early in the morning on the winters,
21	but the plan is to try to meet all of our capacity
22	needs, including on a cold winter day.
23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Right. But but none of
24	your none of the capacity costs of a solar
25	system is going to benefit you on a solar day. You

1	st and if you have a maximum peak, summer,
2	winter, combined, is a winter peak, you have to
3	build to that number; is that correct?
4	MR. ASHBURN: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: And so, none of the capacity
6	that a solar system brings would actually count
7	toward what your entire generation need is, if it
8	is a winter-peak maximum.
9	MR. ASHBURN: If it's a winter peak and and
10	we have battery capacity available to soak up some
11	of the excess solar energy during the day, then,
12	it arguably, the solar energy in the winter is
13	available to serve the winter peak in the morning.
14	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. Great. Thank you very
15	much, Mr. Ashburn.
16	Any other questions for
17	MR. ASHBURN: Sure.
18	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Ashburn? All right.
19	Let's move right along. Thank you so much.
20	MR. ASHBURN: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Next up is
22	MR. HINTON: Mr. Chairman, this is Cayce
23	Hinton. Can I chime in?
24	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes. Cayce, you're
25	recognized.

1 MR. HINTON: Thank you. Just to, real quick, 2. provide some information for you. First, you were 3 correct, the rule does require that customers 4 install and pay for a manual-disconnect switch for 5 Tiers 2 and 3. Tier 1 is exempted from the 6 requirement unless the utilities pay for it 7 themselves.

Second point of information, Mr. Ashburn mentioned interconnection charges. You know, the rule requires that customers systems can't exceed 90 percent of the utilities' service rating. If the -- a system does, then they either have to decrease the size of the system or pay for the upgrade of facilities to serve their house. Generally, we're talking about a transformer upgrade.

Prior to this year, I think we only approved one interconnection charge for FPL. This year, alone, as Mr. Ashburn has said, TECO had come in six times. And, of note, I think the last -- one of the last ones that came in, it was a situation where there was already a couple of solar arrays in the neighborhood that pushed the transformer up to a particular limit, and the last guy in ended up having to pay the interconnection charge to upgrade

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	that transformer, but, yes, they've been in six
2	times. That's all I have.
3	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Great. Thank you,
4	Mr. Hinton. I appreciate that bit of information.
5	Okay. Let's move on to Mr. Huber, Lon Huber
6	representing Duke Energy. Welcome.
7	MR. HUBER: Great. Thank you. Chairman
8	Clark, Commissioners, staff. My name is Lon Huber.
9	My remarks will be brief, but I would like to start
10	with a little bit of an introduction. I'm the vice
11	president of the Rate Design and Strategic
12	Solutions at Duke Energy. I actually joined the
13	company less than a year ago, to lead up the
14	pricing modernization efforts and customer solution
15	strategies around distributed energy resources.
16	So, while I may be new to my role here at
17	Duke, the core issues at hand are not new to me at
18	all. As a former consultant, I have worked across
19	the country on net-metering reform for both
20	commissions, consumer advocates, and states such as
21	Hawaii, New York, Maine, New Hampshire, just to
22	name a few. I was also the lead employee of the
23	Arizona Consumer Advocate Office tackling these
24	issues, so that's the equivalent of OPC here.
25	So, modernizing rooftop solar compensation is

a subject I've spoken a lot about, you know, many times at NARUC and AZUCA. And my work on this topic continues in my current role.

In fact, just yesterday, Duke Energy Carolinas announced a comprehensive net-metering-reform settlement in South Carolina that I led in partnership with Vote Solar, Sunrun, SACE, and -- and others. So, thank you for giving me the -- the time to -- to speak today and the opportunity to share the perspective of Duke Energy on this topic.

So, first and foremost, DEF is committed to providing safe, reliable, affordable, and now increasingly-clean electricity to over a quarter of Florida's population. Our customers and communities depend on us really every minute of every day, rain or shine, to deliver.

And we are -- are clearly a strong supporter of renewable energy, and we walk the walk on that as we advanced solar-energy markets, creating jobs and making Florida a leader in innovative and energy investments.

And, as we all know, our customers are very interested in bringing more solar to Florida and installing private solar generators at their homes and businesses. At the end of 2009, when Florida's

1	interconnection and net-metering policies were just
2	over a year old, DEF had about 281 private solar
3	customers connected to the grid. As of the end of
4	last month, DEF has a little over 30,500
5	interconnected customers, totaling about
6	250 megawatts.
7	So, we are clearly leaders in promoting solar.
8	We created a specialized renewable-energy center,
9	an automated interconnection portal, up-to-date
10	notification pushes pushes, updated meter
11	technology, and and, of course, helpful
12	information on our website. So, all this is
13	driving adoption.
14	Further, our residential customers are now
15	installing solar that is actually much larger than
16	the average 5.6 kilowatts AC about a decade ago.
17	In 2020, we're actually averaging 8.6 kW AC. And,
18	now, that size nearly offsets all an average
19	residential customer's annual usage, but, of
20	course, as we heard, not necessarily their winter-
21	peak demand. And so, this trend will lead to us
22	and it's a good amount of exports that we're
23	we're just going to have to manage to keep the grid
24	reliable.
25	Now. when the net-metering rule's

1	administrative requirements were established, DEF
2	was interconnecting an average of about 23 private
3	cust solar customers per month. By early this
4	year, we've been able to interconnect over a
5	thousand private solar customers per month. And,
6	in fact, in March, we hit our all-time high,
7	probably leader in the nation, with almost 1,500
8	installations in just a month.
9	So, where does that leave us? Well, we've
10	seen a 43-percent increase in the number of our
11	net-metering (unintelligible) in just eight months.
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Huber
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: (Unintelligible).
14	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Huber, hang on one
15	second. Commissioner Brown has a question for you.
16	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
17	I'm sorry. I have to interject because you
18	absolutely are the leader in terms of distributed
19	solar arrays and in our state, just looking at
20	the numbers, even from the 2019 report.
21	What are you doing that's different from the
22	other IOUs? You're obviously doing something to
23	encourage net metering on the individual scale.
24	So, what are you all doing that is so robust that's
25	promoting the attraction to interconnection?

1	MR. HUBER: Well, you know, I I think it's
2	some of those those topics that I just
3	mentioned. I'm not I didn't do a benchmark of
4	some of the other utilities in the state to see
5	what they're doing, but I also think it could be
6	demographics as well and, you know, just cost
7	structures that are in place out there, and
8	word-of-mouth.
9	I mean, you know, so, I pass I I worked
10	in the technology sectors and can tell you that
11	word-of-mouth can really spread. And so, when you
12	see one you know, one neighbor have solar, the
13	other sees it, and the other, and it has this
14	autocatalytic effect that's really powerful.
15	And so, what we've done is really streamline
16	that for customers and really made it a simple
17	process to, you know, quickly interconnect and
18	and install rooftop solar and, of course, you know,
19	we we've tried to put as much helpful data out
20	there for both our solar community and customers.
21	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Well, I am impressed with
22	your numbers. So, it you do look to be growing
23	exponentially. So, kudos to Duke Energy Florida.
24	MR. HUBER: I I appreciate that. And, in
25	fact, we have about 1.9 percent of our customers

1	now with renewable generators at their homes. And
2	again, as you mentioned, this appears to be growing
3	exponentially. And if you compare that, actually,
4	to our South Carolina jurisdiction, DEF has
5	34-percent more residential customers with solar
6	generators.
7	So, really are leading the way, just you
8	know, not just in Florida, but Duke as as a
9	whole. So, you know, really
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr Mr. Huber, if I
11	could I'd ask a question as well. This is a
12	question that I plan to ask for several of the
13	other folks that are online. I missed it with the
14	first two.
15	Have you surveyed have you established any
16	demographics of your typical renewable-generation
17	customer?
18	MR. HUBER: That's a that's a great
19	question. I'm joined by my colleague, Tamara
20	Waldmann, who's who's been on the ground on this
21	for years.
22	Tamara, do we kn do we have any any
23	demographic data?
24	MS. WALDMANN: Yes, good morning,
25	Commissioners and staff. The the whatever we

1 collect on our requests and our application or 2. sometimes as part of our DSM program would be, you 3 know, all the demographics that we have. 4 You know, one of the issues that Mr. Ashburn 5 alluded to was notification. And I think a common theme that we hear is -- also involves privacy 6 7 So, we try to be very mindful of that as issues. 8 well. 9 But, of course, we have our -- our public 10 information that's available. We know the customer 11 that's interconnecting, right. We have their 12 We have their -- their -- their account. 13 information associated with our system that is 14 protected under privacy laws, and -- yeah, and 15 then, of course, what we used for load forecasting. 16 CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. But you -- you 17 have not -- you have not developed a target market 18 for renewable generation? I -- I realize you're 19 the utility side. That's why I intended to ask 20 this of the folks that are more on the contractor 21 side, but I am looking to establish some 22 demographic basis for an existing solar customer. 23 You haven't tested that in any of your 24 surveys, any of your random blind surveys? 25 I would say that the -- when we MS. WALDMANN:

1	looked at the interconnection requests that we are
2	receiving, these are, you know, 90 percent,
3	95 percent residential customers, Tier 1, at this
4	point. So, I think we get that demographic as
5	the as the interconnection requests and
6	applications are received on where the greatest
7	interest is.
8	As Mr. Huber alluded to, our promotion is
9	across all customer classes, right. We're talking
10	to our large-account customers. We're talking to
11	customers who are are not even customers yet as
12	part of our economic-development conversation.
13	And, of course, we've put up a renewable-
14	service center that predominantly receives all
15	residential-related calls about what is the
16	incentive, what is the process, how do I
17	interconnect.
18	And some places, it's well ahead of when they
19	have installed the system, but then it's after
20	they've installed the system and they're trying to
21	figure out the process, then, for interconnect
22	you know, to get through their their
23	interconnection formally, and they're on the net-
24	metering tariff.
25	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yeah, okay. I was just

1	looking for basic, generic your marketing
2	department would be doing customer surveys and
3	cross-referencing the data for demographics, but
4	okay.
5	Mr. Huber, can you wrap it up for me pretty
6	quick?
7	MR. HUBER: Sure. We'd be we'd be happy
8	to. So so, again, you know, we're managing,
9	we're preparing for this growth. We're working
10	hard to refine our forecasts. We're under you
11	know, we're undertaking the studies of battery
12	technology and and also making sure that we
13	fully understand the impact of of the customer
14	clusters that you've heard today.
15	So, you know, again, you know, overall, you
16	know, it might look like a modest number, but
17	there's pockets that really do create some local
18	some local considerations there. So, we are
19	talking to customers and stakeholders.
20	The the grand and comprehensive
21	net-metering settlement in South Carolina, as
22	recently announced that was that was part of
23	a mandated proceeding there, illustrates our
24	willingness to be solution-oriented to to roll
25	up the sleeves to create win-win outcomes,

1	recognizing each stake is state is unique, of
2	course, in its approach to advance renewable
3	energy.
4	I offer so, that that same spirit here
5	and look forward to working with with all of you
6	on this issue. Again, happy happy to take any
7	further questions.
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Great. Thank you, Mr. Huber.
9	Any other questions before we move on?
10	All right. Thank you for being with us today.
11	Next up, Ms. Katie Chiles Ottenweller with
12	Vote Solar. Katie, are you with us?
13	MS. OTTENWELLER: Yes, I am.
14	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Welcome.
15	MS. OTTENWELLER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.
16	Thank you so much for the opportunity to talk with
17	y'all today.
18	I want to start by just saying that my prayers
19	and my family's prayers are with the communities
20	that are affected by Hurricane Sally and just thank
21	you to all the utilities and everyone involved in
22	helping to meet the immediate needs there. Being
23	from the Panhandle, definitely praying for those
24	folks today.
25	Next slide oh, sorry. Are my slides up

1	oh, perfect.
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN: They're up right now.
3	And the Chairman has stepped out and asked me to
4	step in. So
5	MS. OTTENWELLER: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: you're ready to go.
7	MS. OTTENWELLER: Thank you.
8	Next slide, please. Vote Solar is a non-
9	profit organization. We were active participants
10	in the 2008 net-metering discussion in Florida and
11	happy to be able to speak on this issue today. And
12	please feel free to ask me any questions that you
13	have throughout the presentation.
14	Next slide. I know I have a lot PowerPoint
15	slides. I'm going to talk quickly, but I wanted to
16	make sure that this conversation is really grounded
17	in hard data. And so, I've got a lot of numbers
18	that I'm going to throw out there. And hopefully
19	this is a helpful resource, even going beyond the
20	ten minutes that I have this morning.
21	A quick mention of where we are at on solar in
22	Florida so, this is across utility-scales,
23	community solar, and rooftop solar we're at
24	about 1 percent. These numbers are from 2018. So,
25	it you know, that's gone up a little bit since

then in terms of how much of our electricity needs are being met by solar compared to about 70 percent from natural gas. So, we've got a long way to go in the Sunshine State.

Next slide. I want to take one minute and just talk about how cool solar power is, the fact that the Sunshine State can generate electricity from the sun. This is an amazingly abundant, locally grown and freely-available resource, and it creates jobs that cannot be exported.

Out of all of the different sources of electricity, rooftop solar creates the most jobs per megawatt hours. And all of the dollars that are invested in solar stay right here in the state of Florida. Compare that to the \$5 billion that Florida consumers are sending out of state every year to purchase natural gas that comes from other places.

I want to highlight a few of the solar stories that I heard as folks reached out when they heard about this workshop happening. These folks would love to address you directly, themselves, but I'm going to do my best to highlight a couple of folks and really bring to light the fact that there are real people in Florida that are seeing real

2.

benefits from these technologies.

2.

One story that I wasn't able to share a picture of, but I'm happy to share after the fact is of Shareeka Smith. And we talk a lot about the folks who are installing solar; we don't talk about the folks who are working in this industry.

Shareeka lives in Fort Lauderdale. She's a mom of three kids. Her family lost most of their savings after the last recession and she had to stop working because they couldn't afford good childcare.

Now, she's working in the solar industry and that job is helping her family get back to financial stability. And this is just one of the many stories that we heard as we were getting ready to talk to you all this morning.

Next slide. You've heard a lot already about what net metering is. So, I'm not going to spend time on that except to point out that, you know, the foundation of this is to create legal safeguards that protect customers' ability to go solar without undue delay and undue cost, and to govern how utilities treat those customers when they make their own investments in solar resources.

This is a policy that is similar to rollover

minutes on your cell phone. It's easy for

customers to understand, and it's been proven to

encourage solar adoption in 42 states.

Next slide. I want to talk for a minute about how many customers have actually gone rooftop solar. So, out of that 1 percent of generation that's coming from solar across the board, you know, what is rooftop solar spliced in that, and how does that compare to what we're seeing around the country.

So, to put this in context, there's about 60,000 homes and businesses that are net metered out of 10.6 million total electricity customers in Florida. I was trying to find a helpful statistic on that. Of course, I thought about college football. More people can pack into the Ben Hill Griffin Stadium at University of Florida -- Go Gators -- than have rooftop solar today.

Next slide. And let's talk about how that breaks down across the various utilities because there's a really big spread in Florida. And you can see here, Duke Energy, as of the end of last year, is about 1-percent solar adoption, definitely leading the pack. And you can see where the other numbers fall from there.

1 Next slide. So, adding all of that up, across 2. all of the Florida utilities, about a half a 3 percent of Florida customers have net-metered 4 systems. 5 Next slide, please. And let's talk about where that puts Florida in the lineup. 6 Florida is 7 in the bottom half, nationally, with 25 states that 8 have higher solar adoption than our state does 9 right now. And this is looking at systems 10 2 megawatts and under, which is where Florida's 11 net-metering threshold falls. 12 A little bit of background -- and Next slide. 13 you know, staff did a great job summarizing this. 14 The Florida Legislature adopted this net-metering 15 protection for customers unanimously in 2008. 16 I wanted to include the goals of the statute 17 because I think it's a really important part of 18 this conversation. 19 The goals were to address the growing 20 dependence on natural gas, something that is still 21 very much at issue today; minimize volatile fossil-22 fuel costs, which is absolutely relevant; encourage 23 investment within the state, which we're starting 24 to see in Florida, but really just scratching the 25 surface of; reduce pollution, which I think speaks

1 for itself; and make Florida a leader in new and 2. innovative technologies. And those technologies 3 are just beginning to really come on the horizon. I'll also note that the Florida Legislature 4 5 did not provide a cap on the number of rooftop solar customers who are eligible to participate in 6 7 this policy, unlike some other states that passed 8 legislation around the same time. We saw similar enthusiasm from 9 Next slide. 10 the Commission in terms of the rules that were 11 adopted in 2008. And a consistent theme that I saw 12 as I went back through and was reading all of these 13 filings, is a focus on conservation. 14 Next slide. And for all of the reasons that 15 the Legislature cited in 2008, net metering is 16 still a very important issue for Florida voters. 17 This is from a 2019 poll by Public Opinion 18 Strategies with 81 percent of Floridians saying 19 that net metering was very important policy to 20 them -- I'm sorry -- 81 percent important; 21 48 percent very important. Make sure I got that 22 right. 23 There's been a little bit of talk Next slide. 24 about battery storage and solar, so I want to spend 25 a minute about -- on that and clarify some things.

One is, we are seeing some increase in
battery-storage adoption, but it's still a pretty
expensive proposition.

That being said, Florida has a particularly unique and vulnerable population when it comes to electricity outages. We have the highest percentage of solar -- of senior citizens in the U.S. and the second-highest number of the Medicare recipients that are electricity-dependent due to medical conditions. That's 169,000 people. So, resilient power is vital to the health and safety of these folks.

You know, somebody earlier today talked about the benefit of having power for a couple of hours if you have solar and battery storage. When Hurricane Michael hit in 2018, 182,000 customers were without grid power for over a week. When Irma hit, a hundred thousand still had no power nine days after the storm hit.

Next slide. So, what does this have to do with pairing these technologies? When households and institutions like schools, hospitals, and nursing homes get access to solar, they can provide back-up services to community members even in the midst of an emergency, and we've seen net metering

1 be the foundational platform that allows folks to 2. be making these investments. 3 Next slide. And a quick example of what this looks like in real life -- this is Kathy Kirkland's 4 5 home in Apalachicola. During Hurricane Michael, she was able to host her neighbors, provide them a 6 7 place to cool off in air conditioning, charge their 8 cell phones, and store food. 9 We have not even begun to quantify the 10 economic and human value of having these systems in 11 our communities, not just for the person who lives 12 in that house, but for everybody who lives around 13 them. 14 Next slide. This is just an anecdote that 15 I've received about Michael Cohen, who talks about 16 how solar is helping him in his retirement to give 17 back to his community. 18 Next slide -- and I wish I had time to talk 19 more about all of these great stories. Thad Barnes 20 is a construction supervisor in Tampa. He loved 21 solar so much that he decided to put solar and 22 Tesla batteries at his home and now they can power 23 through outages. 24 Next slide. So, getting to one important 25 question that we sort of touched on today a little

1	bit, but haven't actually talked about many hard
2	numbers. In 2008, the Commission staff pointed out
3	that solar systems effectively act as a
4	conservation measure. We wanted to know is that
5	actually true. And what we found when we look
6	looked at the data that was filed with the
7	Commission, is, yes, that is the case.
8	70 percent of the solar generated never
9	crosses the meter onto the grid at all. It's pure
10	conservation. And you can see from this graph the
11	reality is that because solar users are typically
12	larger-than-average electricity consumers before
13	they go solar, even after they add solar as a
14	conservation measure, Florida solar homeowners are
15	still paying more on their utility bills than the
16	average residential customer. And those systems

Chairman Clark, to specifically answer your question about the demographics, we know that these solar adopters today are larger-than-usual electricity generators to begin with. We also know that 61 percent of them make less than a hundred thousand dollars.

So, we're doing a good job at starting to

are only meeting a part of their usage need,

similar to other energy-efficiency measures.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1		
	1	reach the middle class in Florida. We still have a
	2	long way to go before we are providing access to
	3	this technology for everyone who needs it,
	4	particularly at the lower income. Only a quarter
	5	of solar adopters in Florida have incomes below the
	6	area median income. So, you know, we've got some
	7	work to do on that front.
	8	Next slide. And there's still ten times as
	9	much electricity being sold to being sold by
	10	utilities to the solar customer as iss being
	11	exported out onto the grid by the solar customer.
	12	So, why is this important and you know,
	13	with all respect to Mr. Deason and the utilities'
	14	presentations, this \$39-million value is not a
	15	publicly-available number. There's been no data to
	16	quantify that.
	17	And to the extent that that number is based on
	18	a presumption that utilities are entitled to
	19	guaranteed revenue from customers, I would have to
	20	take issue with that. These types of monopoly
	21	abuses are why we have these protections in place
	22	in the first place.
	23	The appropriate question when we're talking
	24	about the solar customers is are they actually
	25	paying what it costs to provide them electric
- 1		

service. And that question has been answered in the affirmative time and time again.

In Florida, even after going solar, these customers are still paying more on their utility bills than the average residential customers, because they were larger-than-average users before. So, definitely important to be having a sol- -- a data-specific conversation.

Next tr- -- next slide. We know that customer rates are going up due to big utility capital expenditures. There's no surprise there. I wanted to point out this slide because basically what this is showing -- and this is a study by Lawrence Berkeley National Labs -- is how negligible any potential impact on rates is from rooftop solar even up to 10-percent penetration rates.

So, the very top bar represents the levels of rooftop solar that we have in Florida today, even under the worst-case scenarios of solar's value or the best-case scenarios of solar value, the impact on Joe Smith's electric bill is a blip on the screen right now, and any sincere concern about upward pressure on customer rates should honestly be directed elsewhere.

Next slide. We've talked a few times about

1 some of these emerging technologies and other 2. products that are available. I wanted to put those 3 in context. We have -- this shows here -- you 4 know, solar is creating new revenue opportunities 5 for the utilities, too. You have these community solar programs that we absolutely supported and are 6 7 Those are projecting more revenue happy to see. 8 increase to the utilities than we're actually 9 seeing in terms of their alleged cost shifts. 10 Next slide. And this is why data is so 11 important. We learn really interesting things. 12 And one of those things is some Florida utilities 13 are actually projecting more increase to load from 14 electric-vehicle adoption than they're projecting 15 decrease in load from solar power. And a lot of 16 times, these are actually the same exact customers 17 who are adopting both of these technologies at the 18 same time. 19 Next slide. So, bottom line, customers have 20 choices right now and we think that's a good thing. 21 We're really happy to see options in the market, 22 and these community solar options are dwarfing what 23 we're seeing in terms of rooftop solar adoption. 24 Just one phase of one project from one utility the 25 SolarTogether program has had three times as much

1	capacity as rooftop solar in the state of Florida
2	today.
3	Next slide. I want to flag that there are a
4	lot of other issues that were raised. And we are
5	really eager to participate in the conversation
6	about all of these various issues obviously,
7	more than I had time to address today and also
8	flag that we're seeing more and more overlaps
9	between solar and electric vehicles, efficiency
10	offerings, demand-response programs, so many
11	technologies that we really couldn't foresee a
12	decade ago and that we're just really starting to
13	understand and collect data on in Florida.
14	Next slide. My next several slides, which we
15	can go through very quickly, is
16	CHAIRMAN CLARK: We're we're running out of
17	time, Ms. Ottenweller. If you would
18	MS. OTTENWELLER: Okay.
19	CHAIRMAN CLARK: wrap it quick, please.
20	MS. OTTENWELLER: Absolutely.
21	So, I included a few slides just for your
22	reference. In terms of why process matters, being
23	able to get stakeholders to the table leads to
24	better outcomes. Requiring data sharing also leads
25	to better outcomes. And so, we would encourage

1 that approach in Florida.

2.

And you can -- you can flip through the next few slides and go to the end. And utilities obviously have an important role in that. I wanted to end -- and you can go to the last side now.

Just reiterating what Mr. Huber said about the settlement that was just announced yesterday in South Carolina. Net metering is a contentious issue, but yesterday, I think we had a real breakthrough. Vote Solar, Duke Energy in the Carolinas, and Sunrun engaged in nine months of conversations leading to yesterday's rooftop-solar agreement in South Carolina. We believe this is a win for rooftop solar, the grid, and all customers.

And we're turning that focus on Florida. We want to continue this collaborative conversation, and eager to explore areas of common ground to unlock even more benefits to rooftop solar and other distributed energy resources for all customers.

Similar to South Carolina, some of the solutions being explored could be implemented prior to others. And among concepts being explored for more-near-term implementation would be low-income customer access, minimum bills, non-bypass-able

1	funding of public benefit programs and fair
2	assessments to recover grid costs, all of this
3	laying the foundation for a longer-term study that
4	could explore time-of-use rates and other tools.
5	And we would ask for the opportunity to
6	consult with staff after the workshop and propose a
7	schedule for advancing this conversation. And our
8	goal would be that any ongoing post-workshop
9	discussions with stakeholders could inform any
10	future rulemaking process that the Commission would
11	deem worthwhile.
12	And Mr. Huber might want to add something
13	something something to this before I turn it
14	over to any questions that y'all have.
15	MR. HUBER: Yeah, thanks, Katie.
16	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Huber, you're recognized.
17	MR. HUBER: Yes, just briefly, Chair. You
18	know, we we definitely feel that, you know, a
19	reas reasonable policies, like a minimum bill,
20	(unintelligible) for low-income, non-bypass-able
21	on on public benefits and, you know, fair
22	fixed costs (unintelligible) fixed cost-recovery
23	are solutions that can be explored with parties
24	with quick implementation. And then a longer-term
25	process can be outlined to study rate designs and

1	other key elements of that solar transaction.
2	Of course, we defer to the will, you know, of
3	the Commission on what action is taken when, but as
4	the representative from Vote Solar mentioned, that
5	we've had just great success in getting the
6	opportunity to have open dialogue and cooperation.
7	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Huber.
8	Thank you, Ms. Ottenweller.
9	Any Commissioner questions? We need to keep
10	them quick. We have 30 minutes before our hard
11	deadline.
12	Commissioner Brown, you're recognized.
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Super quick, more of a
14	comment really to thank Katie and Vote Solar for
15	their participation in these proceedings, really
16	integral. You I love your parables and your
17	examples. They're very educational for us. We
18	wouldn't really know of them, but for your
19	representation here. So, thank you so much.
20	Also, if there are any recommendations that
21	you may have to our rule, please feel free to send
22	them to our staff. I do understand that South
23	Carolina repre settlement that just came
24	about we're not here to open up the rulemaking
25	proceeding, but if there are provisions that you

1	have concerns with that you would like to address,
2	I would absolutely welcome your input, as they're
3	always valuable. Thank you.
4	MS. OTTENWELLER: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
6	Brown.
7	Ms. Ottenweller, I would just say, again,
8	thank you, too, for your presentation today. You
9	always do a great job.
10	One of the things that that I am interested
11	in is how we are making an impact in our low-income
12	communities when it comes to renewable-energy
13	resources. We continue to talk and advocate for
14	the additions of resources. And everybody likes to
15	talk about the positive effects and and how this
16	can help low-income customers, but I never see
17	substantive data that proves that we're doing so.
18	And and one of the things thank you for
19	your answer on what the average income is because
20	that is one of the things that I I'm very
21	most interested in is more of a median income a
22	median-income answer for our customer our solar-
23	customer installers.
24	I would like to know a little bit more about
25	who is buying this system now. That will help

1	us and that will say more about what we are
2	doing to impact low-income customers and and
3	that's where I I'm most concerned when it comes
4	to the way the costs are being calculated and the
5	way the current rate system calculates and spreads
6	cost around is just who is getting the most benefit
7	from this system; not the fact that we are
8	promoting or advocating for the addition of solar
9	systems, but how those costs are spread among the
10	classes.
11	So, any additional information that you could
12	provide me about customer make-up in the state of
13	Florida would certainly be appreciated. I'm
14	actually surprised our utility companies have not
15	done more in-depth analysis into their own customer
16	bases to provide that, but you've given me the best
17	answer so far. Thank you.
18	Other questions
19	MS. OTTENWELLER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN CLARK: for Ms. Ottenweller?
21	MS. OTTENWELLER: We'll keep working on it.
22	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thanks. Okay.
23	Let's move on to our next presenter. Our next
24	presenter is going to be Mr. Stephen Smith and
25	Bryan Jacob with Southern Alliance for Clean

1	Energy.
2	Guys, I would remind you, please hold us to
3	the ten minutes. We've got two more presenters to
4	try to get through. Unless the Commission is
5	drilling you with questions, keep it under ten for
6	me.
7	MR. SMITH: Thank you very much, Chairman.
8	We'll do our best. And and thank you,
9	Commissioners. Appreciate the opportunity.
10	I, too, want to say how much we appreciate the
11	work that's going on in the Panhandle and in
12	spite of Hurricane Sally. I'm a homeowner in the
13	Perdido Key area and very appreciative of the
14	gratitude of that work that's going on.
15	I wanted to as the executive director of
16	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, I wanted to
17	just share SACE's philosophy on how we're
18	approaching solar development in Florida, which has
19	been very successful, particularly in the last few
20	years.
21	You know, we're committed to seeing all the
22	solar-market segments thrive and grow, the large-
23	scale utility-scale market segments, the mid-scale
24	community of solar programs, and today's topic, the
25	smaller-scale customer-owned rooftop net-metered

programs. They should all thrive together. Net metering is a critical policy rule mechanism that enables the last of these segments to move forward.

As many of, you know, SACE was a founding organization in Floridian's for Solar Choice that led a coalition of groups into 2015 to lead a ballot effort that was meant to help grow this market segment and other solar segments in the -- in the market in -- in that time frame.

That -- through 2015 and 2016, that same coalition worked with the Legislature and others to pass tax abatement and what was known as

Amendment 4 and then also led the coalition that helped defeat a deceptive ballot amendment that was known at Amendment 1.

But in that time frame, literally millions of Florida voters voted twice in 2016 to support strong solar policy in the state. And since that adversarial time, we've seen a tremendous growth in solar. And I think that's a good thing for the Sunshine State.

And we, as an organization, have collaborated and participated in what I would sort of call a détente with the leading utilities in reaching a settlement agreement on several rate-case-related

2.

issues and various solar programs.

2.

With these agreements, one of the things that we have done in support of the large investor-owned utilities' shared solar offerings is we always preliminarily indicated to them that we want these shared solar programs to -- and offerings to be in addition to net metering and the customer-owned offerings, not in replacement of or in any way undermining this particular market segment.

And so, to separate some of the fact from fiction, my colleagues have -- will dive deeply -- more deeply into this, but as has been already mentioned, net-metering penetration is extraordinarily low in the state of Florida. And any economic threats are largely exaggerated and I would characterize as largely de minimis.

And as you know -- you may not know -- but early in my career, I was a -- a practicing veterinarian. And one of the -- the axioms of veterinary medicine is first do no harm. And the takeaway from the first do no harm is that, in certain cases, it may be better to do nothing rather than intervening and potentially causing harm -- more harm than good with your patient.

And while net metering growth is going on,

there are actually some real important things on
the horizon that may actually cool the market, and
these include, and are not limited to, the stepdown of the federal-investment tax credit. And
these shared solar programs at a lot of the large
investor-owned utilities now are putting into the
marketplace that give customers more options.

And so, while we've seen some of this growth,

I would caution you that we may actually be on the

verge of now starting to see some significant

cooling of the market in Florida.

Given that, you know, I would encourage you to practice the axiom of first do no harm. And we have reached out and continue to reach out and work with the investor-owned utilities and other stakeholders to begin down-the-road conversations about what a net-metering 2.0 may look like, but, again, in the spirit of first do no harm, let's not kill this valuable patient at a time when it's so important to both Florida's consumers and Florida's natural environment.

So, with that I'm going to turn it over to
Bryan Jacob and let him just talk through a couple
of quick slides. And thank you for the
opportunity.

2.

1	MR. JACOB: Thank you, Steve. Thank you,
2	Mr. Chairman. Bryan Jacob for Southern Alliance
3	for Clean Energy. With our compressed schedule, I
4	would ask that we jump ahead two slides, skipping
5	over the one that just introduced who SACE is. I
6	think you're familiar with us.
7	And I'll go quickly on on a number of them,
8	including this one. I put this slide in really to
9	say thank you to the Commission for the letter that
10	you put forward in the FERC docket recently, the
11	NEERA petition.
12	And, several quotes in here, but in
13	particular, I was pleased when you emphasized that
14	the relationship between the customer-owned solar
15	customers and their utility is a retail
16	transaction, not a wholesale one. You know, it's
17	very important to emphasize.
18	Let's go on to the next slide. SACE puts out
19	an annual "Solar in the Southeast" report. I've
20	got a few graphs in here that emphasize a few
21	things, kind of where we stand as of 2019. Florida
22	was second in the southeast in installed solar
23	capacity behind North Carolina and forecasts to
24	overtake North Carolina.
25	Next slide. But it's really important to

1	distinguish the segmentation of that and the
2	growth. What's driving the growth in the
3	southeast and Florida is no exception is
4	utility scale.
5	There is a relatively little amount of
6	distributed solar in Florida that is almost
7	exclusively net-metered solar. And the reason I
8	mentioned this is that I know that the Energy
9	Fairness Report on net metering has been
10	recommended to you. And so, I wanted to quickly
11	illustrate how things can be simultaneously
12	accurate, but also misleading.
13	The header on the cover of that report says
14	"Net Metering," in big, bold letters, all capitals.
15	So, when a reader encounters a statement in the
16	report that solar accounted for 1.65 percent of
17	Florida's electricity needs in 2018, the reader
18	might automatically presume that is referring to
19	net metering since that's the title of the report,

in Florida in 2018. A very low penetration, very small number.

Next slide. In our report, we also emphasize

but the fact is net metering only represented

.2 percent of the retail sales of all the utilities

that the absolute capacity of solar may not be the

20

21

1	best way always to look at things. So, we use a
2	quantitative metric of watts per customer so that
3	we can compare large utilities and small ones,
4	states with large populations and states with small
5	ones, so when we look at watts per customer,
6	Florida is well below the average now and is even
7	forecast, with all the growth over the next four
8	years, only to get caught up to the region average.
9	When another state that might be
10	instructive would be South Carolina. South
11	Carolina has already already been referenced in
12	terms of the settlement that was announced
13	yesterday, but on this metric, watts per customer,
14	South Carolina has two and a half times the
15	penetration of solar that Florida does. And,
16	again, that is a combination of the utility scale
17	as well as net-metered solar.
18	Next slide.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: May I interrupt you,
20	please?
21	MR. JACOB: Yeah, absolutely.
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you so much. Does
23	South Carolina have any enabling legislation to
24	encourage the deployment of net metering?
25	MR. JACOB: Yes, in fact, dating back to Act
1	

	236, which was the original legislation that
2	created net metering for the State of South
3	Carolina and imposed a target threshold that
4	ultimately became referred to as a cap, which
5	ultimately, then, was lifted with Act 62 last year,
6	the cap is no longer in play. And, instead, the
-	retail net metering, as it currently stands, got
8	some additional run room.
9	And then the settlement that was announced
10	yesterday is what is being proposed as the
11	successor to that to be able to continue net
12	metering in the state with a different kind of
13	economic compensation structure.
14	So, Act 236 and then Act 62 both have been the
15	enabling legislations for net metering in South
16	Carolina.
17	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you so much. And
18	what was the impetus to lead to an ultimate
19	settlement with a variety of stakeholders in South
20	Carolina?
21	MR. JACOB: Act 62 ex well, removed the
22	cap, as I mentioned, and then gave a date certain
23	in the future through which the current version of
24	net metering would be offered. And that's up until
25	June 1st of next year.
1	

1	And so, there has been many, many months of
2	conversations between stakeholders and utilities
3	to to to kind of co-create what the
4	replacement for that would be, the so-called solar
5	choice net metering that was announced yesterday.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Was it a directive by the
7	South Carolina Commission or the Legislature or
8	none of the above?
9	MR. JACOB: It's the date was put together
10	in Act 62, itself, in the legislation.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Jacob, follow-up
13	question: In relation to the adoption rates and
14	and how successful we see each state, I'll lots
15	of different groups are showing different numbers
16	and how different states are adopting solar or
17	renewable energies at higher rates.
18	Do you have a comparison of the same states in
19	terms of the average residential retail rates that
20	they're paying? For example, is South Carolina an
21	average residential rate? What's the difference
22	between that and the one in Florida for our IOUs?
23	MR. JACOB: I trust that we can get that to
24	you. That is not part of the Solar in the
25	Southeast report. We don't focus on on rates.

1	CHAIRMAN CLARK: But would you consider that a
2	driver in the adoption rate of solar systems, is
3	retail rates?
4	MR. JACOB: I I think it is fair to assume
5	that that's one of many aspects that goes into a
6	customer's decision on whether to choose solar,
7	yes.
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. Thank you. You may
9	continue.
10	MR. JACOB: Perfect. Thanks.
11	So, in addition to that watts-per-customer
12	ratio that we use as the primary metric in our
13	report, we think it's a good one, but it's
14	certainly not the only metric. So, I've compiled
15	several others here that you could consider in
16	terms of gauging solar penetration.
17	The 43,000 customers that are represented
18	between these three utilities and 60,000 statewide
19	is still a very low number relative to the more-
20	than-ten-million retail customers that are served
21	across the state of Florida.
22	As has already been mentioned, Duke is well
23	ahead of the other utilities in the state in terms
24	of penetration, but even when you look at Duke's
25	numbers here, they are relatively low, wh and

1	that's true whether you're looking at the ratio of
2	net-metered customers to retail customers they
3	serve or the capacity of the net metering versus
4	the (technical interruption) or the generation from
5	those systems relative to their total retail sales.
6	So, I've put all those there for your
7	consideration.
8	Next slide. I also wanted to offer a little
9	more context and I'll go back to that Energy
10	Fairness report that I referenced earlier. Another
11	statement that's in that report is that there has
12	been a 6,400-percent increase in customer
13	interconnections since 2008.
14	And if we just use a quick analogy, if you had
15	a milliliter of water and you amplified that by
16	6,400 percent, that would get you to
17	65 milliliters, which is a little over a quarter
18	cup.
19	So, that, to me, is kind of a classic example
20	of a statistical exaggeration. It may look like a
21	significant increase from your starting point, but
22	a quarter cup of water is insignificant relative to
23	a bathtub or a swimming pool. So, context is
24	really important.
25	The state of Florida has 60 gigawatts of

1	installed capacity, 60,000 megawatts. So, yes,
2	when we talk about the growth and up to
3	500 megawatts of net-metered solar now, that's a
4	good thing, but in in comparison of the total
5	capacity, in particular, the over-reliance on gas
6	capacity, which is a point that my colleague made
7	to you when she presented at the ten-year-site-plan
8	workshop and that's why I put this graph
9	together for you.
10	Next slide. Steve mentioned that there are a
11	few headwinds that we know we will be anticipating
12	in the coming years and it might actually be an
13	indication why the current run rate of net-metered
14	solar may not actually be indicative of the future
15	run rates.
16	This is a graph of the production tax credit
17	for wind and what happened on the multiple
18	occasions when it was allowed to expire. What
19	jumps out on the graph is it expired in 2012 and
20	then it was reinstated in 2013, but I would submit
21	that the real run rate for wind development is
22	probably more aligned with the average of that 2012
23	bar and the 2013 bar.

24

25

There was, no doubt, a rush because of the

expiration to get the projects in while they could

qualify for the production tax credit. Same thing
may be happening with, last year, people wanting to
take full advantage of the 30-percent investment
tax credit for solar and even now, at 26 percent.

And I do expect that with the phase-out in 2022,
that will have a significant decrease in -- in
solar penetration for net-metering.

Next slide. The other thing that Steve mentioned is that the utilities in Florida are just now really introducing the shared solar programs.

Tampa Electric has a small one. Florida Power & Light has a huge one, and Duke has one that is pending your approval right now.

And SACE supported all three of those. We -we filed documents in support of those, but with
the expectation that they would be complimentary to
and not compete with existing net-metering
offerings, but the -- the reality is it is inherent
in them that there will be competition and
customers will be making a judgment on which
program is most suitable for them, whether to put
solar on their own properties or source it through
one these. And we don't know yet how much of that
customer base may be get pirated away from netmetering into these shared solar programs.

1	Next slide in fact, you could even skip
2	this next one because so much has been talked about
3	with the South Carolina already, and I'll just
4	go ahead and conclude.
5	Net metering is, in fact, growing in Florida,
6	no doubt about it, but that's a good thing, not a
7	bad thing. And there are these countervailing
8	factors, potential headwinds that we will encounter
9	in the future, but even with the growth that we've
10	seen, net-metering penetration is really still very
11	low in Florida.
12	And then I would reiterate that SACE is very
13	eager to work with the utilities when the time is
14	right as we've demonstrated with South Carolina,
15	that is a perfect example of where stakeholders and
16	utilities have collaborated to create a net
17	metering 2.0, but the penetration rates in Florida
18	would indicate that it's premature to do that. So,
19	that's why I put this statement over here on the
20	side: Now is not the time to fix something that's
21	not even broken.
22	Thank you very much.
23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Thank you very
24	much, Mr. Jacob.
25	Any questions for Mr. Jacob before we move on?

1	We're running hard up against our deadline.
2	Commissioner Brown.
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I I know we are and I
4	am sorry, Mr. Chairman, but I I do have to ask
5	SACE a question since they're so integral to our
6	process.
7	Mr. Jacob or Smith, is there anything that you
8	would recommend to our existing rule?
9	MR. JACOB: My recommendation would be that
10	it's working, and so, continue it as is and let it
11	fulfill the the stated intent from the
12	Legislature when they put together their renewable-
13	energy statute.
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Obviously, you've heard
15	some data, though, we we we are gathering
16	data. So, it's very important to have that
17	information, including insurance requirements,
18	things of that nature.
19	So, to be premature and prejudge a a
20	modification to the rule I just want to make
21	sure we're clear on SACE's position.
22	MR. JACOB: Yeah, no modification is
23	necessary. It's working as is and should be
24	continued.
25	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

1	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Sorry.
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: No problem. Thank you very
3	much.
4	All right. Thank you, Mr. Smith and
5	Mr. Jacob.
6	Next up, we're going to hear from Mr. Justin
7	Hoysradt with Florida Solar Energy Association.
8	Mr Mr. Hoysradt, we are we are running
9	on a pretty quick time schedule here. If we can
10	condense things a little bit and give the
11	Commission time for questions.
12	MR. HOYSRADT: Absolutely. I appreciate that
13	and I will do my best to move along as quickly as
14	humanly possible.
15	Thank you for for having us here. It's
16	been a while since I've been before the Commission.
17	Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Graham, good to
18	see you again. My name is Justin Hoysradt. And
19	I'm the president of Vinyasun, a local solar energy
20	contractor, as well as the Florida's Solar Energy
21	Industries Association.
22	You can go to the next slide. Since 1997,
23	Florida SEIA has been the leading and only local
24	non-profit and trade organization to represent the
25	solar industry. And we're an affiliated chapter of

1	National Solar Industries Association.
2	So, our membership includes licensed solar-
3	energy contractors, a specialty license in Florida,
4	electricians, roofing contractors, engineering
5	firms, equipment distributors, manufacturers,
6	financial companies, and even end users.
7	In the early days, Florida SEIA was primarily
8	comprised of contractors participating in solar
9	thermal, domestic water installation, recreational
10	pool heating, but since 1980, the solar policy and
11	lawmakers have a long-standing policy of supporting
12	the reduction of our state's reliance on foreign
13	and domestic scarce fossil fuel, and this includes
14	intentionally promoting the development of
15	customer-owned renewables like solar PV.
16	The industry, you know, was referred to
17	consistently over the number of years, since you
18	know, as long as I can remember as a cottage
19	industry. Just a few few specialty contractors
20	doing business heating pools.
21	And, you know, I tell you that because, in the
22	old days, I remember because my father was one
23	of those contractors. And his competitors and
24	colleagues were those other small specialty
25	contractors heating pools.

1	Now, Commissioner Fay, that college cottage
2	industry helped put my sister through school at
3	FSU, and it afforded me the opportunity to graduate
4	from UCF, you know, the 2017 National
5	Championships. And you know, Commissioner Graham,
6	that is not a license-plate slogan that is up for
7	debate.
8	And as
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: (Unintelligible.)
10	MR. HOYSRADT: You got it. All right.
11	Next slide, go.
12	You can go to the next slide. In the
13	beginning, solar was expensive. We all get it,
14	right. But the cornerstone policy of net metering
15	is really what has driven this state forward. You
16	know, all of the other policies that we've tried
17	have failed.
18	The state-run rebate program failed pretty
19	miserably leaving a lot of people without getting
20	their rebates. The pilot programs were mismanaged
21	pretty terribly. And we found that, you know, the
22	Commission removed them and things started to move
23	on pretty aggressively towards what we now have as
24	just standard net metering.
25	And you can go to the next slide. But now, we

have over 12-thous- -- well, had over 12,000 jobs in the state of Florida, and an extremely diverse workforce, and over, you know, 60,000 customers now with rooftop solar. You can go to the next slide.

And even so, we have manufacturing coming back to Florida. You know, Katie already said this, but these are the jobs that can't be outsourced to places like China and India. And, again, I overemphasize the diversity of the men, women, and variety of races, colors, and creeds that work in this industry. So, we're working hard to keep Florida moving.

So, next slide. And, you know, local solar energy is universal solar energy. It's all over the state of Florida. There is now approaching 400 solar contractors in the state of Florida. The fella from Tampa mentioned there might be even 150 local to his area. And those contractors are all within 50 miles of every city in Florida, not every major city, but every city in Florida. And that's just with a couple of large multi-state contractors and folks that had mentioned there are some out-of-state people coming in and some small public companies.

But the vast majority of those are small,

2.

1	bootstrapped companies that grew their company
2	through profit; you know, home equity loans,
3	traditional bank financing, or just simply loans
4	from friends and family. These are real, home-
5	grown businesses.
6	You can go to the next slide. And, today, you
7	know, we're improving technology. Costs of solar
8	are obviously coming down. The Legislature
9	unanimously approving the ballot campaign that
10	effectively put tax policy back in line with where
11	we were in the 1980s, and meaningful growth in the
12	solar-energy industry.
13	But it it's not that meaningful, as Bryan
14	had just alluded to. You know, it's taken 12 years
15	to get to where we are today, and it took three
16	months for the Coronavirus to eliminate 100 percent
17	of the gain that we saw since 2017.
18	You can go to the next slide. You know, and
19	I'm I'm proud to say where we are today and
20	where we can go, but we are very slow. The
21	industry is moving at, effectively, a sloth's pace
22	in order to grow solar technology. And Bryan
23	ultimately emphasized that manipulation of numbers.
24	Katie stole my thunder with my football
25	analogy, but you know, Doak Stadium holds way more

1 people than ones who have gone solar. 2. Go ahead to the next slide. What's more 3 important to consider in this particular process is 4 that, under the consideration that, every day, more 5 than a thousand people are moving to Florida, and NextEra maintains in their public filings that they 6 7 alone are adding more than 65,000 new meters to 8 their customer base each year, that basically means 9 that the industry adds approximately 59 new 10 installations a day for solar where NextEra, alone, 11 is adding 178 new meters to their grid. And that's 12 likely to increase with the new addition of the 13 Gulf FPL. 14 Next slide. So, that's -- that said, it is --15 it is my opinion that the solar industry -- we do 16 support the mention that Commissioner Graham 17 requested to increase the Tier 1 system side, and 18 we would definitely support staff's comments about 19 increasing it to 250 kW. That's for sure. 20 that could likely be done in a proceeding as simple 21 as when FPL specifically requested to remove their 22 manual-disconnect requirement. 23 The ind- -- industry doesn't necessarily need 24 any headwinds either. You know, as Bryan

25

mentioned, there's not a whole heck of a lot that

1	we we need to do to this net-metering rule. And
2	there's a lot going on, as Steve mentioned, with
3	the fact that the step-down in the investment tax
4	credit is coming, but what most people don't really
5	bring up is the cost of permitting, the costs
6	associated with building codes, and there's
7	building-code changes that are going into effect
8	over the next couple of years that are definitely
9	going to increase the cost of solar to the end
10	end user.
11	And even still, today, we deal with, you know,
12	HOA restrictions that are illegal, but are still in
13	place. We have disallowed financing models and, of
14	course, you know, new competition from the net-
15	metering alternative from our friends at the
16	utilities.
17	You can go to the next slide. And demand from
18	residential customers in one week surpassed the

You can go to the next slide. And demand from residential customers in one week surpassed the total residential private solar capacity that was installed over the last ten years. And that's a direct quote from Rebecca Kujawa regarding SolarTogether in a NextEra filing.

So, you know, Commissioner Brown, when you asked Mr. Deason what the utilities are doing to promote customer-owned renewables, I can tell you

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 that they are doing exactly what he said; they're 2. not doing anything more than simply following the 3 rule. 4 You know, as an installer, I can only imagine 5 what the impact would be and what it would make to customer perception if only a single investor-owned 6 7 utility provided a bill insert that specifically 8 described how net metering worked. Just today, I received an FPL bill that 9 10 specifically said, no up-front costs, no contracts, 11 no installation, no maintenance, and no worries to 12 participate in SolarTogether. So, I -- I echo what 13 you were saying. 14 So -- next slide. That said, I don't believe 15 that there is any threat to the utility business as 16 usual. They're doing -- they're doing just fine. 17 And they don't seem to care about rooftop solar when it comes to their corporate filings. 18 19 But -- next slide -- more importantly, there 20 is really no known threat to safety. There's no 21 known threat to reliability. There is no --22 literally no known threat to cost. And I -- I even 23 take offense to the \$700-million cumulative subsidy over what is seemingly the next six years as 24 25 literally impossible. That is -- that assumption

is giving this industry way more credit than it
deserves in the ability to find people enough to
deploy that much solar capacity.

So, either they are expecting, you know, something in the assumptions that -- in their next rate case that they're intending to increase rates so exponentially that will serve as a way to scare customers away from doing business with them, or there's something else that I'm not fully understanding about how this industry works.

Next slide. You know, Bl- -- go ahead to the next slide. Black & Veatch provided a study that, at one point in time, helped commissions understand the variability of renewables and the effect to the grid. That's why it is -- it is referenced in the end of my PowerPoint.

And it came as a result of California ISO
where 73 percent of their energy was coming from
variable renewables, and that was really one of the
things way before the advancement of battery
storage. And what they had determined was that the
right tools and guidance from policymakers and -would enable the Commission to work directly with
stakeholders to provide benefits to both the
utility and the customer.

1	And I feel like that's sort of what we're
2	hearing from Lon, in South Carolina, where
3	lawmakers were able to provide their Commission and
4	the utilities and the stakeholders an opportunity
5	to work together. And that's something that we've
6	heard over and over, that our Commission doesn't
7	necessarily have the tools in their tool kit to
8	provide.
9	You can go to the next slide. So, with
10	73 percent possible, I would say that one-half of
11	1 percent in Florida is invisible.
12	And the next slide is, effectively, you know,
13	what does the future look like of Florida. And,
14	hopefully, it is that we continue this policy of
15	net metering. In the beginning of our
16	conversations, we discussed about not rolling
17	anything back and that this was just a discussion.
18	And I'm really excited that the industry is part of
19	that discussion right now and look forward to
20	continuing it in the future.
21	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Thank you,
22	Mr. Hoysradt.
23	Any questions? Anybody have any questions?
24	Commissioner Graham.
25	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Quick question, how does

1	one become a licensed solar contractor?
2	MR. HOYSRADT: That's a that's an excellent
3	question. There's a a process through DBPR, a
4	testing process, a qualifications process. And the
5	solar-energy-contractor license covers a variety of
6	scopes.
7	So, it's it's a mishmash of skills:
8	electrical, plumbing, strangely enough, and roofing
9	all combined together as well as the business-
10	acumen portion of it and the financial
11	requirements, similar to electrical contractors,
12	roofing contractors, and other trades. It's a
13	process.
14	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So, do the utilities
15	do the utilities require for a licensed solar
16	contractor to put the roof to put your solar
17	array connect your solar array to your house
18	before they accept it?
19	MR. HOYSRADT: I believe what you're asking is
20	does the system have to be connected to the home
21	before the utility will turn it on?
22	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Well, I mean, can Joe
23	Smith put it on his own house and hook it up or do
24	you have to be a licensed solar contractor to do
25	that?

- 1		
	1	MR. HOYSRADT: You you would either have to
	2	be a you would either have to have a licensed
	3	solar-energy contractor or an electrician to
	4	interconnect the system to the home and receive an
	5	inspection from your local building department,
	6	which is, then, provided to the utility.
	7	And even then, the utility still has, under
	8	the net-metering rule, the ability to perform their
	9	own self-inspection.
	10	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you.
	11	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Thank you,
	12	Commissioner Graham.
	13	Any other questions?
	14	We have one last presenter we're going to try
	15	to get in here.
	16	All right. Thank you, Ms. Hoysradt. I
	17	appreciate your presentation today.
	18	Our last presenter is Mr. Tyson Grinstead with
	19	Sunrun. Mr. Grinstead, are you on the line?
	20	MR. GRINSTEAD: I am. I hope everybody can
	21	hear me. I'm up in I'm actually up in South
	22	Carolina, where we're getting a lot of the effects
	23	of the storm right now, so I hope you all can hear
	24	me.
	25	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes, sir, we can hear you

1	fine.
2	MR. GRINSTEAD: And I can be brief. If you
3	will go to Slide 4. My name is Tyson Grinstead.
4	I'm the director of public policy for Sunrun. I've
5	been doing this for about five years, cover the
6	southeast market. I've worked with Lon in the
7	in the back room to come up with the South Carolina
8	deal that we announced yesterday.
9	I have a couple of points because I know
10	we're short on time. Number one, you know, is
11	Sunrun to answer well, to answer Commissioner
12	Brown's question from a from a few minutes ago
13	to Duke, one of the reasons we you see Duke
14	as as a higher penetration than the other
15	utilities is simply because, you know, Sunrun has
16	been there for a while.
17	We're a leader in the industry. And, not to
18	toot our own horn, but, you know, we we do we
19	are a bigger percent of the market than we are
20	and we are not in some of the other utilities.
21	One of the reasons we're in Duke is because
22	Duke works with us, quite frankly. Our
23	interconnection team and their interconnection team
24	meet a couple of times a month on Friday to talk
25	about problem projects. We they came to us and

talked about South Carolina to make sure we came -
we came up with a win-win solution.

So, you know, we just went into FPL last
Thursday, actually. Haven't been in that utility.
We have been in TECO and OUC, but those are the
only four utilities that we are now serving, but we
have been glad to see that there have been some
positive stakeholder conversations. And I think we
can be creative when we work together offline to
come up with -- with solutions. So, just wanted to
answer that question.

As -- as to the slide that you all are seeing right now -- now, one thing that folks haven't said yet is what has COVID-19 done to the solar industry. In Florida, we feel like, based off the numbers we're seeing, there's around a 37-percent, give-or-take, drop in the amount of solar capacity being installed right now.

For rooftops specifically, that is -- and for our company, that's around average as well. We are actually a little bit higher than that in what we've seen, in terms of the effects. And so, you know, to anybody who would say we are growing exponentially in Florida, that's changed, quite frankly.

1	It's we if you look go down to Slide 8
2	and look at our trade groups, what their
3	project projections are we may have been
4	growing in Florida, but we suffered a lot of
5	furloughs, a lot of folks who were laid off because
6	of COVID-19, and we're trying to do creative things
7	to come back in Florida in the Sunshine State.
8	One of those was to expand into FP&L and try
9	to sell to some customers that we were not
10	previously offering our our lease to. And
11	and so, we're proud to be doing that. And I
12	think, you know, that's an important standpoint
13	because what happens to this industry doesn't
14	necessarily happen in a vacuum.
15	As Mr. Hoysradt said, and others have touched
16	on, federal policy is changing. We're looking at
17	an IPC step down. And that, coupled with COVID, is
18	going to be a major setback for the industry in
19	Florida and for and for many others states,
20	including South Carolina. It's one of the major
21	reasons that drove us to settle in South Carolina
22	was to get ahead of those changes and make sure
23	that we had a stable policy going forward.
24	You know, I I think, when you're talking

25

about net metering, and you're talking about, you

know, the inevitable conversations of subsidies and that \$39 million that was sent -- that, you know, was stated earlier, it's important to look at outside factors as well as what the benefits maybe are to the utilities.

One of the interesting things about having a very robust net-metering fight for about three years in South Carolina is that stakeholders have really looked into data and been creative. One of the stakeholders put out a month ago an economic-impact study because the Legislature here in the Palmetto State really wanted to understand, okay, what are the costs and benefits, but not only what are the costs and benefits to the grid, what -- what -- what are the cost and benefits to the economy if we make a change.

And so, they actually put that the Public Service Commission and the parties needed to look at the direct and indirect economic impact to -- to the economy up here in South Carolina.

And a stakeholder, Audubon Society, just did a study that came out about a month ago that showed a \$58.5-million tax benefit, direct and indirect, to the -- to little old South Carolina, based off the solar industry.

2.

And that's not any other economic -- the entire all-in costs was almost a billion dollars of findings in that report. So, I would encourage you all, as you're thinking about this, to look at the -- look at the economic impact, the jobs, and -- and the spending that's created in the state as a result of having an industry.

For -- for all intents and purposes, this industry is still very new. Yes, net metering has been around for a while, but solar leasing really has only been around for two years. And that was probably the major driver towards Sunrun growing our presence in Florida before COVID-19. And it's also a way to market to a very diverse -- to a very diverse customer base.

Anecdotally, we have low-income and moderate-income customers who don't have to come out of pocket, who are happy with the solar lease, but at the end of the day, the green that matters to them is the green that's in their pockets; and that's that they're saving money on a lease with economics.

And so, we are trying very hard to make sure that we offer very good deals, that we give them a very good customer experience. And we thank the

2.

utilities who are working with us to -- to ensure that customers do have a good experience.

> If I could leave you all with one thought, based off the South Carolina deal -- because you've heard it a lot: Penetration -- solar penetration States that have done creative things matters. with solar, who have come up with win-win solutions -- you know, TECO mentioned that winter-Part of the South Carolina deal is morning peak. that we are a help to the winter-morning peak for Duke in the Carolinas, you know, to -- but -- but that didn't happen until there was a robust market and the penetration, you know, levels were -- were higher, more than double what they are in Florida. We're doing very innovative things with batteries across the country now.

And, you know, the ultimate goal for us is to help create community-style grids where we are a help to resiliency where we can really be a benefit to the grid, but to do that, we have to have a healthy company in Florida. And, for that to happen, we need certain levels of penetration.

You know, NARUC has said, no changes to net metering really are needed until 10 percent. We've done that, you know, at 5 percent, at 3 percent in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	other states, but to be, you know, positive,
2	working stakeholders, but at the end of the day, I
3	think we're less than 1 percent or right at 1
4	percent in some utilities in Florida, but we need
5	time to grow, we need time to be able to talk
6	offline with utilities and get creative.
7	And the when we are allowed to do that, the
8	result is that we can benefit all ratepayers
9	through creative solutions. And that's that's
10	ultimately my hope for Florida, that we can get to
11	that point in a couple of years.
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Great. Thank you,
13	Mr. Grinstead. I I appreciate that comment.
14	It it's a recognition that what we have done
15	is has been working and that it needs to
16	continue, whether or not there are certain
17	subsidies for the systems or not. I think your
18	your comments are right on target.
19	One question I do have, you you indicated
20	you're contributing to the winter peak in South
21	Carolina. Can you just briefly tell me how you do
22	that?
23	MR. GRINSTEAD: Sure.
24	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Is it through batteries?
25	MR. GRINSTEAD: Yes, so so, it's actually

1 the smart thermostat that is going to phase in 2. first. And this is part of the South Carolina deal 3 that was announced last night. So, great timing, I 4 know, to have all the details. And, of course, I 5 would be happy to come back in and -- and share with you all the details of the South Carolina 6 7 settlement.

But to quickly summarize it and -- and to focus the point on something that fine, you know, they have a sharp winter morning peak that -- that exists in the Carolinas, both for North and South Carolina. The idea behind the settlement is to preserve net metering, the hallmarks of net metering, but really allow the utility to call certain critical-peak pricing events to allow them to preheat and levelize that peak.

And so, in that regard, it's a win-win solution, both for Sunrun and for Duke because we are able to offer a product that saves money for our customers in South Carolina, if it's approved, and -- and Duke is incentivizing us to add a -- add a smart-thermostat feature that they can control and call upon to -- to just help levelize that peak.

So, you know, we're benefiting all ratepayers

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	in the outcome of this. And we're very optimistic
2	that both the South Carolina and North Carolina
3	commissions will approve this deal in the next
4	year.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. Thank you very much.
6	Commissioners any questions? Commissioner
7	Brown.
8	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you so much for
9	your presentation. And, by the way, thank you for
10	participating in Florida proceedings, too. I think
11	you've really made a difference in terms of leasing
12	and opportunities in our state. So, really excited
13	about Sunrun's potential in Florida.
14	MR. GRINSTEAD: We're excited to be here.
15	COMMISSIONER BROWN: That being said so, as
16	part of that settlement agreement in South
17	Carolina, I'm I'm curious more about the
18	details, though. Wa was the retail rate
19	changed?
20	MR. GRINSTEAD: So, at the time-of-use rate
21	that's netted monthly so, it is changed
22	slightly, and then, it's
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: slightly. Can you
24	elaborate a little bit more?
25	MR. GRINSTEAD: So, in South Carolina, there's

1	sort of annual netting to to make it to make
2	it simple. So, essentially customers get full
3	dollar-for-dollar net metering at the retail rate.
4	That the credit that if they have any if
5	they produce extra credits at the end of the month,
6	that rolls over until the end of the year and at
7	the end of the utility year.
8	At the end of utility year, they cash those
9	credits are cashed out at the avoided cost rate.
10	So, the difference here is that, if a customer has
11	excess credits such that they would start the new
12	month with with a positive bank of credits,
13	those credits would be adjusted down to avoided
14	cost. And and that's what we refer to as
15	monthly netting.
16	It's not unlike some interim changes that
17	other states have made. And that, of course, is
18	is sort of paired with a TOU and with a critical-
19	creek critical-peak pricing that allows Duke to
20	control a thermostat smart thermostat for a
21	couple for 20, 25 times a year.
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Was there a valuation
23	placed on solar?
24	MR. GRINSTEAD: Not yet. So, if you go down
25	in my slide deck, down to Slide 13, when the

1	Legislature passed Act 62 in South Carolina, it is
2	the most-comprehensive list of cost and benefits in
3	the country that need to be looked at.
4	So, we have come up with this settlement
5	before before the the proceedings to look at
6	what comes next in South Carolina, happened. And
7	that's for a number of reasons, but mostly because
8	Duke and and Sunrun and other parties were
9	were willing to sit down for the last nine months
10	and talk, but
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: It's pretty amazing, by
12	the way. Thank you for that that leadership
13	because it it's a very controversial issue.
14	MR. GRINSTEAD: It absolutely is. And we've
15	been a part of the controversies in almost every
16	state that's had it in the in the years that
17	it's taken states like Nevada and Louisiana and all
18	these states to figure it out.
19	But what I was what I was going to say is
20	that there is a the Commission is supposed to,
21	in the net-metering docket in South Carolina, look
22	at the cost and benefits, including all the factors
23	that are on on my Slide 13.
24	So so, there is a value of solar. That is
25	one factor that is on equal footing with several

1	other factors, including, as I mentioned, the
2	direct and indirect economic impact to the state,
3	so
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: And I yeah.
5	MR. GRINSTEAD: Yeah.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: So, I've seen some
7	valuations of solar around the country. So,
8	that that's why I'm curious about how that
9	settlement arrived.
10	And then, in terms of process for South
11	Carolina, what was the what was that process
12	like? Was it including
13	MR. GRINSTEAD: Right.
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN: (Unintelligible).
15	MR. GRINSTEAD: In 20 in 2018, parties
16	kind of basically had a had a big fight because
17	we thought we were going to hit the caps and, you
18	know, we were able we legis
19	legislation did not pass eliminating the cap in
20	2018.
21	We we all decided to get together in the
22	off session, in part because of policymakers
23	pushing us to the tables. The Speaker of the
24	House, the energy caucus, that is the legislative
25	energy caucus they passed Act 62. We knew that,

as of June 1st, 2021, there would be some sort of new net-metering policy.

Again, that's probably going to be when the utilities are around three to -- I'm not sure what penetration level they will be at that point, but the caps were at 2 percent. Those caps were hit in the -- the summer of 2018, I believe. So, we're -- we're well beyond 2 percent now.

But we were able to sit down with Duke beginning in mid-December of last year before the COVID-19 -- we were able to sit down in person, which was nice, for one meeting. I think we talked probably twice a week, three times a week, you know, pretty frequently after that for -- for several months.

And we're -- had some peaks and valleys in those conversations, but ultimately, we stuck with it because we felt like the parties could do something creative, and that South Carolina could be an example and -- and we were glad that we were able to get to the finish line.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: I'm going to tell you,

I'm -- I'm super excited that you are a participant
in the state of Florida. I think you provide a lot
of opportunities for folks that can't otherwise

1	afford solar. So, appreciate you even
2	participating in these proceedings. Thank you.
3	MR. GRINSTEAD: Thank you. We're happy to be
4	a part of the community.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Other questions
6	from Commissioners? Any other questions?
7	All right. Thank you very much,
8	Mr. Grinstead. Appreciate that that
9	presentation.
10	Again, I want to thank all of our presenters.
11	You guys did a great job. You almost met my
12	12:00 hard noon deadline. You're only 24 minutes
13	past it, but I'm going to count that as a win for a
14	all of us. Thank you for being concise and helping
15	us to get through the morning.
16	Commissioners, do you have any final comments,
17	questions, or observations? It's been a great
18	opportunity for us to learn and to listen to the
19	different presentations and begin to evaluate
20	the the things that I think we may determine
21	that we want to see for the next round of
22	presentations, the type of information that we're
23	going to be looking for as we begin an evaluation
24	process of whether we move forward or not, so I
25	think today was a great starting point.

1	Commissioner Graham.
2	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3	Two things. Number one, I I agree with
4	Commissioner Brown. It is such a small number, it
5	may it's de minimis, but I can tell you, from
6	being on the the electric committee at NARUC,
7	many of our colleagues in other states are dealing
8	or have dealt with net metering. So, at some
9	point, it doesn't matter if it's this year, five
10	years from now, ten years from now, it needs to be
11	addressed.
12	My concern is somebody makes a financial
13	decision that they're going to put a solar array on
14	their roof, knowing that the payback is going to be
15	in ten years it's really not fair for them for,
16	the next year, us to change net metering and now we
17	change the game for them.
18	When and if we ever do address it, I'd like to
19	see it from this-point-forward sort of thing so
20	that people people who have already made the
21	investment still get to keep the investment that
22	they thought that they knew that they made.
23	So, whenever this body addresses that, I think
24	that's something we should definitely look at, to
25	look at the legally, if we can handle that

1	because I'd hate to see somebody that made a
2	financial choice and then, all of a sudden,
3	government comes in and changes the rules on them.
4	And, you know, I I just don't think that's
5	a a fair way of handling it. I don't have an
6	opinion on when we do it. I just hope or ask, when
7	we do it, that we do it that way.
8	The second thing is the insurance issue or the
9	setting of the tiers, one, two, and three. I don't
10	know if there's a way that we can narrowly reopen
11	the rule so the only thing we address is just
12	either the setting of the tiers or the insurance
13	requirement because I don't want to send that panic
14	through the industry that, if we're going to reopen
15	the rule, the fear is that we're going to touch
16	everything.
17	I mean, I just I think there's got to be a
18	way that we can just address that and not have to
19	send everybody into an uproar. I think that should
20	be an easy fix that we should be able to address,
21	and not send that panic through the industry.
22	That's the only two things I had.
23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
24	Graham.
25	Commissioner Polmann, did you Commissioner

1	Polmann.
2	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you,
3	Mr. Chairman. And thank you for bringing this
4	topic into workshop. I want to thank all the
5	parties for participating. It's been an excellent
6	opportunity for for open discussion and and
7	questions.
8	Commissioner Graham raises two excellent
9	points. As I mentioned, I had calls, to me,
10	personally. One of them was right on point with
11	his first question, and that is folks asking
12	whether or not there was going to be a rule
13	change change to the credit because that part
14	of their analysis was the return on their
15	investment, whether it was financially a good
16	choice for them.
17	So, I had not thought along the lines of
18	Commissioner Graham. I don't know how that would
19	be implemented, but I think that's a that's a
20	question immediately for our staff, legal folks, to
21	consider and and as this moves forward, whenever
22	it does, but that's a very important question.
23	To Commissioner Graham's other point about
24	narrowly revising the rule, in my experience, over
25	many years, I don't know how to do that. I don't

know that it's even possible. I think, once you

open a rule, there are going to -- any party who's

involved in that can come forward and propose rule

changes broadly across the entire thing.

And that's always a challenge to -- to an agency when they -- when they go into rulemaking. And that's always been, in my experience, one of the reasons why nobody wants to revise the rule because they don't know if they can -- that they can limit that.

So, I -- I think that we need to be aware of that and have all the information, all the answers to -- to all the potential questions before we enter into this. And I think that's part of what we're doing here today is -- is anticipating that it will be a long process, which I think is a good thing. So, we've talked about that.

There -- there have been many issues, I think, to this. I think we've made clear that we're only beginning the discussion. We're not even beginning a process to modify anything. We -- we're simply starting a process.

I would encourage all the parties to -- to raise questions, to raise concerns, and do that -- not a formal process -- an informal process. And,

Mr. Chairman, I would ask for coordination of our staff, back through your office, perhaps, back through our administration, however, whatever is appropriate, to open an informal process for -- for gathering the questions and the concerns and identifying what -- what may be the scope of tackling the mountain of questions to try to figure out for -- for the Commission.

You identified, you know, there would be no action on rulemaking this year, maybe not next year. That gives us plenty of time to know, you know, how big, how broad is that scope. I think there's a lot of work that can be done informally, so that the Commission has some handle and done in a very- -- very-well organized and laid-out process to take on, however broad or narrow, but I think we should be prepared. It -- it may be well be a broad set of issues.

19 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Polmann.

And -- and that is kind of my intent is that's our purpose today to get a lot of information now and take the opportunity to narrow that down and find out what the real concerns and the real issues that the Commission is interested in addressing.

And, yes, if you can pose those questions back
to my office, we'll certainly begin to do some
research. This may require us to have a second
workshop that focuses on some very, very specific
portions of the items that we have discussed today.
So, that's -- point is well-taken.

Commissioner Fay.

COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'll be really brief. Your last comment, I think,
hit the nail on the head. I -- I came into this
not necessarily knowing there was any problem with
the rule or that it needed to be changed. And now
I'm educated on the caps and the potential issues
with insurance and all the things that have been
brought up.

And what really interests me is this South
Carolina settlement that -- that, I guess, got
completed yesterday. And so, if there's a way for
our staff to get with Mr. Grinstead, I guess,
Mr. Huber, whoever, to get more information on that
to help educate us -- because I know -- I know
other states have addressed some of these things
through the rate process, but this sounds like
there were some creative ideas and discussions
about how to move forward with it.

1	And I don't think, you know, our posture
2	impacts these parties because it sounds like, in
3	South Carolina, based on maybe some caps and some
4	other components, they felt some urgency to bring
5	something like this forward. And I'm not sure we
6	would have that same structure.
7	So, if there's a way for staff to get us some
8	of that information, either through another
9	workshop or directly, I would appreciate that.
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Absolutely. All right.
11	COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Last word, Commissioner
13	Brown.
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I think data pretty much
15	drives this whole dialogue, quite frankly. So,
16	what I would encourage the Chairman and the
17	Commission is to continue to see receive
18	comments and information from the utilities about
19	how our net-metering rule is operating and some of
20	the dialogue and the questions and the discussion
21	that we had today. I think that is integral so
22	before we even consider any other opportunities.
23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Good comments. All
24	well-taken.
25	Anything else? Anybody have any other input?

1	Staff, anything? Ms. Harper, you had one
2	did you have one final note?
3	MS. HARPER: Nope. It
4	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. All right.
5	MS. HARPER: I was gonna I was just going
6	to add, if if you're concluding, that if people
7	do want to submit comments or any answer any of
8	the questions that have been asked today, we'd
9	like and this is to anybody, as well, who might
10	be watching on live stream.
11	If you could, please submit comments or
12	materials to us by October 8th. We were hoping
13	that we could get some of that back by then so we
14	can keep moving forward with the information-
15	gathering process.
16	And we would ask that you submit it to the
17	undocketed docket, which is Docket No. 2020000.
18	And staff will continue to make these documents
19	available on our website.
20	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Great. All right. Thank you
21	very much.
22	Staff, anything else?
23	All right. Commissioners, thank you so much.
24	And, again, thank you to all of our presenters for
25	your diligence today. And I look forward to seeing

```
1
          you at our next meeting. We stand adjourned.
 2
                (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at 12:33
 3
     p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
7
8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	I, ANDREA KOMARIDIS WRAY, Court Reporter, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard
6	at the time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
8	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
9	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
10	and that this transcript constitutes a true
11	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
13	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
14	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
15	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
16	financially interested in the action.
17	DATED THIS 1st day of October, 2020.
18	
19	
20	()/ ()
21	Mulie
22	ANDREA KOMARIDIS WRAY NOTARY PUBLIC
23	COMMISSION #GG365545 EXPIRES February 9, 2021
24	
25	