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BY THE COMMISSION:

BACKGROUND

 As part of the Florida Public Service Commission’s (Commission) continuing Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) proceeding, undertaken pursuant to Section 366.8255, Florida Statutes (F.S.), a hearing was held in this Docket on November 3, 2020. The ECRC proceeding allows investor-owned electric utilities to seek recovery of their costs for approved environmental programs on an annual basis.

DECISION

 We approve the Type 2[[1]](#footnote-1) stipulation of all issues as set forth below. DEF, FPL, Gulf, TECO and Commission staff supported the proposed stipulations. FIPUG, PCS Phosphate and OPC took no positions on the issues. The OPC position on each Type 2 stipulation follows:

OPC takes no position on these issues nor does it have the burden of proof related to them. As such, the OPC represents that it will not contest or oppose the Commission taking action approving a proposed stipulation between the Company and another party or staff as a final resolution of the issue. No person is authorized to state that the OPC is a participant in, or party to, a stipulation on these issues, either in this docket, in an order of the Commission or in a representation to a Court.

**Generic Issues**

**1. Final Environmental Cost Recovery True-up Amounts for the Period January 2019 through December 2019**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| FPL  | $14,087,943 | Over-recovery |
| DEF  | $1,792,439 | Under-recovery |
| TECO  | $3,987,915 | Over-recovery |
| GULF  | $5,891,843 | Over-recovery |

**2. Actual/Estimated Environmental Cost Recovery True-up Amounts for the Period January 2020 through December 2020**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| FPL  | $4,763,785 | Over-recovery |
| DEF  | $8,097,179 | Over-recovery |
| TECO  | $7,841,176 | Under-recovery |
| GULF  | $2,837,159 | Over-recovery |

**3. Projected Environmental Cost Recovery Amounts for the Period January 2021 through December 2021**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| FPL  | $176,174,665 |
| DEF  | $44,725,047 |
| TECO  | $48,192,906 |
| Gulf  | $197,635,007 |

**4. Environmental Cost Recovery Amounts, Including True-up Amounts, for the Period January 2021 through December 2021**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| FPL | $157,436,210 |
| DEF  | $38,447,970 |
| TECO  | $52,083,641 |
| Gulf  | $189,042,018 |

**5. Depreciation Rates to be Used to Develop the Depreciation Expense Included in the Total Environmental Cost Recovery Amounts for the Period January 2021 through December 2021**

The depreciation rates used to calculate depreciation expense shall be the rates that are in effect during the period the allowed capital investment is in service, with the following exception: TECO -- Big Bend Fuel Oil Tanks 1 & 2, which were retired in 2016, will be depreciated over a five-year period from the date of retirement.

**6. Jurisdictional Separation Factors for the Projected Period January 2021 through December 2021**

The appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the period January 2021 through December 2021 are as follows:

**FPL:** Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Base/Solar 95.6788%

Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Intermediate 94.9979%

Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking 95.2675%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Transmission 90.2300%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Base/Solar 95.6891%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Intermediate 95.0081%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking 95.2778%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - General Plant 96.9888%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Distribution 100.0000%

**DEF:** The Energy separation factor is calculated for each month based on retail kWh sales as a percentage of projected total kWh sales. The remaining separation factors are below and are consistent with DEF’s 2017 Second Revised and Restated Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (“2017 Agreement”) approved in Order No. PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU.

Transmission Average 12 CP Demand – 70.203%

Distribution Primary Demand – 99.561%

Production Demand:

Production Base – 92.885%

Production Intermediate – 72.703%

Production Peaking – 95.924%

Production A&G – 93.221%

**TECO:** Energy: 100.00%

Demand: 100.00%

**GULF:** Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Base 100.0000%

Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Intermediate 97.5922%

Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking 76.0860%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Transmission 97.2343%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Base 100.0000%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Intermediate 97.5922%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking 76.0860%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - General Plant 96.9888%

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Distribution 98.1419%

**7. Environmental Cost Recovery Factors for the Period January 2021 through December 2021 for Each Rate Group**

The appropriate environmental cost recovery factors for the period January 2021 through December 2021 for each rate group are as follows:

**FPL:**

| **Rate Class** | **Environmental Cost****Recovery Factor****(cents/kWh)** |
| --- | --- |
| RS1/RTR1 | 0.149 |
| GS1/GST1 | 0.150 |
| GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1/GSD1-EV | 0.133 |
| OS2 | 0.080 |
| GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2/ GSLD1-EV | 0.135 |
| GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3 | 0.114 |
| GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CST3 | 0.110 |
| SST1T | 0.110 |
| SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3 | 0.175 |
| CILC D/CILC G | 0.113 |
| CILC T | 0.102 |
| MET | 0.122 |
| OL1/SL1/SL1M/PL1 | 0.027 |
| SL2/SL2M/GSCU1 | 0.104 |
|   |  |
| Total | 0.141 |

**DEF:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RATE CLASS** | **ECRC FACTORS** |
| Residential | 0.099 cents/kWh |
| General Service Non-Demand@ Secondary Voltage@ Primary Voltage@ Transmission Voltage | 0.098 cents/kWh0.097 cents/kWh0.096 cents/kWh |
| General Service 100% Load Factor | 0.095 cents/kWh |
| General Service Demand@ Secondary Voltage@ Primary Voltage@ Transmission Voltage | 0.096 cents/kWh0.095 cents/kWh0.094 cents/kWh |
| Curtailable@ Secondary Voltage@ Primary Voltage@ Transmission Voltage | 0.091 cents/kWh0.090 cents/kWh0.089 cents/kWh |
| Interruptible@ Secondary Voltage@ Primary Voltage@ Transmission Voltage | 0.093 cents/kWh0.092 cents/kWh0.091 cents/kWh |
| Lighting | 0.091 cents/kWh |

**TECO:**

**Rate Class** **Factor (¢/kWh)**

RS 0.269

GS, CS 0.269

GSD, SBF

 Secondary 0.265

 Primary 0.262

 Transmission 0.260

IS

 Secondary 0.257

 Primary 0.254

 Transmission 0.252

LS1 0.258

Average Factor 0.267

**GULF:**

| **Rate Class** | **Environmental Cost****Recovery Factor****(cents/kWh)** |
| --- | --- |
| RS, RSVP, RSTOU | 1.992 |
| GS | 2.025 |
| GSD, GSDT, GSTOU | 1.628 |
| LP, LPT | 1.428 |
| PX, PXT, RTP, SBS | 1.405 |
| OS-I/II | 0.446 |
| OS-III | 1.290 |
|   |  |
| Total | 1.762 |

**8. Effective Date of the New Environmental Cost Recovery Factors for Billing Purposes**

The factors shall be effective beginning with the specified environmental cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period January 2021 through December 2021. Billing cycles may start before January 1, 2021 and the last cycle may read after December 31, 2021, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective. These charges will continue in effect until modified by the Commission.

**9. Commission Approval of Revised Tariffs Reflecting the Environmental Cost Recovery Amounts and Environmental Cost Recovery Factors Determined to be Appropriate in this Proceeding**

 The Commission shall approve revised tariffs reflecting the environmental cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding. Staff shall verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with the Commission’s decision.

**10.** **Docket to Remain Open**

While a separate docket number is assigned each year for administrative convenience, this is a continuing docket and shall remain open.

**Company-Specific Issues**

Duke Energy Florida, LLC

**11.** **Recovery of DEF’s Costs for Anclote and Bartow Stations 316(b) Rule Compliance Plan Projects**

The Commission shall approve DEF’s Anclote and Bartow Stations 316(b) Rule Compliance Plan Projects for cost recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause. In Order Nos. PSC-2004-0990-PAA-EI and PSC-2018-0014-FOF-EI, the Commission found that DEF’s Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act Program (“316(b) Program”) met the criteria for recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause.  DEF’s Anclote and Bartow Stations 316(b) Compliance Plan Projects meet the criteria for recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause. DEF agrees that, after the Florida Department of Environmental Protection approves DEF’s compliance plans for Bartow and Anclote, respectively, through the issuance of the NPDES permit renewals, it will present its compliance plans, for each plant, as part of a future ECRC docket and has the burden of proving its plan and any associated costs are prudent.

**12.** **Allocation of Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Costs Associated with DEF’s Anclote and Bartow Stations 316(b) Rule Compliance Plan Projects**

Consistent with Order No. PSC-2018-0014-FOF-EI by which the Commission approved the cost allocation for DEF’s 316(b) Program at Crystal River North, Capital and O&M costs for the Anclote and Bartow 316(b) Programs shall be allocated on a demand basis.

Florida Power & Light Company

**13.** **Recovery of FPL’s Costs for Proposed Power Plant Intake Protected Species Project**

FPL shall be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs associated with its proposed Power Plant Intake Protected Species Project. Under the United States Endangered Species Act (“ESA”) (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.), the Marine Mammal Protection Act (“MMPA”) (16 U.S.C. § 1361-1407) and Chapter 68A-27 of the Florida Administrative Code, FPL is required to avoid the “take” of species listed as endangered or threatened.

Recently, FPL power plants have had interactions with the smalltooth sawfish and the Florida manatee which are listed as an endangered and threatened species, respectively, under the ESA. FPL has received letters from NOAA Fisheries and the USFWS stating that pursuant to the ESA, FPL must undertake measures to address the unauthorized takes of these species. In order to prevent further take of the species, FPL plans to hire consultants to evaluate and recommend design solutions that will be proposed to the environmental agencies. FPL will implement the agreed upon solution.

Although included in FPL’s proposal, the appropriateness of costs related to the manatee calf will be litigated in the 2021 hearing cycle.

**14.** **Allocation of Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Costs Associated with FPL’s Proposed Power Plant Intake Protected Species Project**

O&M and Capital costs associated with FPL’s proposed Power Plant Intake Protected Species Project shall be allocated to rate classes based on 100% CP Demand.

**15. Recovery of Costs Associated with Proposed Modification to FPL’s Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Plan Project**

 Although included in FPL’s proposal, the appropriateness of costs related to proposed modification of the Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Plan Project will be litigated in the 2021 hearing cycle.

 Based on the foregoing, it is

 ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the stipulations and findings set forth in the body of this order are hereby approved. It is further

 ORDERED that each utility that was a party to this docket shall abide by the stipulations and findings herein which are applicable to it. It is further

 ORDERED that the utilities named herein are authorized to collect the environmental cost recovery amounts and use the factors approved herein beginning with the first billing cycle for 2021. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 2021, and thereafter, the environmental cost recovery factors shall remain in effect until modified by this Commission. It is further

 ORDERED that the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause docket is an on-going docket and shall remain open.

 By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 13th day of November, 2020.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | /s/ Adam J. Teitzman |
|  | ADAM J. TEITZMANCommission Clerk |

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(850) 413‑6770

www.floridapsc.com
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NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

 The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

 Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of Commission Clerk, and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

1. A Type 2 stipulation occurs on an issue when the utility and the staff, or the utility and at least one party adversarial to the utility, agree on the resolution of the issue and the remaining parties (including staff if they do not join in the agreement) do not object to the Commission relying on the agreed language to resolve that issue in a final order. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)