AUSLEY MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302) TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

April 9, 2021

ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket 20210034-EI, Petition for Rate Increase by Tampa Electric Company

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Attached for filing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company in the above-referenced docket is the Direct Testimony and Exhibit of John C. Heisey.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

(Document 9 of 34)

Sincerely, aler

J. Jeifry Wahlen

JJW/ne Attachment

cc: Richard Gentry, Public Counsel Jon Moyle, FIPUG



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 20210034-EI IN RE: PETITION FOR RATE INCREASE BY TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT

OF

JOHN C. HEISEY

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		JOHN C. HEISEY
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, address, occupation, and employer.
7		
8	A.	My name is John C. Heisey. My business address is 702
9		North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am employed
10		by Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "company")
11		as Manager, Gas and Power Trading.
12		
13	Q.	Please describe your duties and responsibilities in that
14		position.
15		
16	A.	I am responsible for natural gas and power trading
17		activities and work closely with the company's unit
18		commitment team to provide low cost, reliable power to
19		customers. I am also responsible for portfolio
20		optimization and all aspects of our Optimization
20		Mechanism.
		Mechanism.
22		
23	Q.	Please provide a brief outline of your educational
24		background and business experience.
25		

	I	
1	A.	I graduated from Pennsylvania State University with a
2		Bachelor of Science in Business Logistics. I have over 25
3		years of power and natural gas trading experience,
4		including employment at TECO Energy Services, FPL Energy
5		Services, El Paso Energy, and International Paper. Prior
6		to joining Tampa Electric, I was Vice President of Asset
7		Trading for the Entegra Power Group LLC ("Entegra"), where
8		I was responsible for Entegra's energy trading
9		activities. Entegra managed a large quantity of merchant
10		capacity in bilateral and organized markets. I joined
11		Tampa Electric in September 2016 as the Manager of Gas
12		and Power Trading and currently hold that position.
13		
14	Q.	What are the purposes of your direct testimony?
15		
16	A.	My direct testimony describes Tampa Electric's fuel
17		inventory planning process; the factors that influence
18		maintaining a reliable supply and delivery of natural gas,
19		coal, and oil; and our proposed level of fuel inventory
20		for the 2022 test year. My direct testimony also describes
21		the company's Optimization Mechanism and explains why it
22		should be continued after the company's 2017 Amended and
23		Restated Stipulation and Settlement Agreement ("2017
24		Agreement") expires on December 31, 2021.

	I	
1	Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit to support your direct
2		testimony?
3		
4	A.	Yes. Exhibit No. JCH-1 entitled "Exhibit of John C. Heisey"
5		was prepared under my direction and supervision. The
6		contents of my exhibit were derived from the business
7		records of the company and are true and correct to the best
8		of my information and belief. It consists of four
9		documents, as follows:
10		
11		Document No. 1 List of Minimum Filing Requirement
12		Schedules Sponsored or Co-Sponsored by
13		John C. Heisey
14		Document No. 2 2022 Proposed Coal Inventory
15		Document No. 3 2022 Proposed Total Fuel Inventory
16		Document No. 4 Optimization Mechanism Results
17		
18	Q.	Are you sponsoring any sections of Tampa Electric's
19		Minimum Filing Requirement ("MFR") Schedules?
20		
21	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring or co-sponsoring the MFR schedules
22		listed in Document No. 1 of my exhibit. The data and
23		information on these schedules were taken from the
24		business records of the company and are true and correct
25		to the best of my information and belief.

How does your direct testimony relate to the direct 1 Q. testimony of other Tampa Electric witnesses. 2 3 Tampa Electric witness David A. Pickles explains in his Α. 4 5 direct testimony how the transformation of our generating system has changed the mix of fuel we use to generate 6 electricity, and I explain how those changes influence 7 our fuel purchasing practices and reduced our inventory 8 of solid fuel (coal). My direct testimony supports the 9 total amount of fuel inventory we propose to include in 10 working capital for 2022. Tampa Electric witness A. Sloan 11 Lewis explains how our proposed level of fuel inventory 12 factors into our revenue requirement calculation for the 13 14 test year. 15 16 Q. What types of fuel does Tampa Electric use to generate electricity? 17 18 Tampa Electric uses natural gas, coal and petroleum coke Α. 19 ("coal" or "solid fuel"), and light oil to generate 20 electricity. In 2020, Tampa Electric's generation mix was 21 approximately 89 percent natural 22 comprised of gas, 23 approximately six percent solar, approximately five percent coal, and less than one percent light oil. The 24 company's annual coal requirement is approximately 400 to 25

600 thousand tons and our annual natural gas requirement 1 2 is about 130 million MMBtu. The company maintains a 3 relatively small amount of light (No. 2) oil as a backup fuel for Polk Unit 2. 4 5 How does Tampa Electric's fuel mix today compare to its 6 Ο. fuel mix in 2013? 7 8 Being cleaner and greener is one of Tampa Electric's areas Α. 9 of strategic focus, and the price of natural gas has 10 11 fallen dramatically in the last decade, so the company has changed its generation mix away from coal to solar 12 and natural gas. Natural gas-fired generation has become 13 14 our primary fuel for generating electricity. Consequently, although coal inventory is still needed for 15 16 the company to reliably provide electric service to our customers, our total coal inventory requirement, in tons, 17 is much lower than it has been in the past, which means 18 lower coal-related costs for customers. 19 20 In 2013, natural gas accounted for 41 percent of our fuel 21 22 mix, and coal made up the remaining 59 percent. Today,

coal accounts for about five percent of our fuel mix, with natural gas at about 89 percent and solar (no fuel) at about six percent.

23

24

25

1		
1	Q.	Does the company maintain an inventory of natural gas?
2		
3	A.	Yes. Under normal operating conditions, the natural gas
4		supply and pipeline infrastructure in the United States
5		allows natural gas to be produced, transported, and
6		consumed without a need to maintain a substantial amount
7		in inventory. Nevertheless, Tampa Electric maintains two
8		million MMBtu of natural gas storage capacity to provide
9		operational flexibility and to ensure it has a reliable
10		supply of natural gas supply during disruption events.
11		Natural gas storage also mitigates short term price
12		volatility for our customers during disruption events.
13		
14	Q.	What is the objective of Tampa Electric's fuel management
15		plan?
16		
17	A.	The company seeks to maintain a reasonable level of fuel
18		inventory that minimizes the risk of electric service
19		interruptions from lack of fuel so we can generate power
20		to meet instantaneous system demand, while at the same
21		time minimizing the economic impact to customers.
22		
23	Q.	How does the company plan to achieve this objective?
24		
25	A.	The company's overall fuel procurement planning process

recognizes the operating factors that affect inventory 1 levels, such as fuel supply availability, fuel delivery 2 3 logistics, fuel consumption, storage capacity, fuel quality, and risk of extraordinary events that could 4 5 disrupt supply. Experience shows that maintaining reasonable levels of fuel is less expensive than making 6 emergency purchases of fuel or replacement power at 7 premium prices, and also reduces the risk of interrupting 8 electrical service to customers. Tampa Electric uses 9 diverse supply sources and delivery methods to mitigate 10 11 the risks of events that may interrupt fuel supply to the company's generating system. 12 13 14 Q. What fuel inventories are components of your overall system-wide fuel inventory? 15 16 Our fuel inventory includes natural gas, coal, and oil. 17 Α. 18 The natural gas amount included in inventory is the amount 19 owned by Tampa Electric and stored in underground storage 20 caverns or interstate pipelines. 21 22 23 Our oil inventory includes quantities stored in tanks onsite at generating stations. 24 25

Our coal inventory has historically included all coal that 1 the company purchased and had in its control, including 2 3 coal stored on-site at the power plants, coal stored offsite, and coal that was purchased and in transit to our 4 5 generating sites. In 2018, however, the company began "delivered" coal, which shifted purchasing the 6 responsibilities, costs, and logistics of transporting 7 coal by water to our Big Bend unloading terminal to the 8 supplier. Most of the coal we now consume arrives by 9 water, and we use coal delivered by rail to supplement 10 11 our incremental needs during peak consumption periods. responsibility for The costs and arranging coal 12 transportation by rail remains the responsibility of 13 14 Tampa Electric because our suppliers have been unwilling to accept that responsibility. 15 16 Are the 2022 projected fuel inventory levels shown on MFR Ο. 17 Schedule B-18 for natural gas, coal and oil reasonable? 18 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 COAL INVENTORY 22 23 Q. What level of coal inventory does the company propose to include in working capital for 2022? 24

8

As shown on MFR Schedule B-18, the company proposes to 1 Α. include a thirteen-month average of 285,789 tons with a 2 value of approximately \$17.7 million in working capital 3 for the 2022 test year. 4 5 Was this amount adjusted using the FPSC approved thirteen-6 Q. month average 98-day average daily burn methodology ("98-7 day average burn") approved in the company's last rate 8 case? 9 10 11 Α. No. The company is proposing a new coal inventory methodology because the existing 98-day average burn 12 methodology is no longer reasonable or appropriate for 13 14 evaluating the amount of coal inventory to be included in working capital for Tampa Electric. 15 16 Ο. Why not? 17 18 The way Tampa Electric uses coal-fired generation and the Α. 19 role its coal plants play in the economic unit commitment 20 and dispatch of the company's generating fleet have 21 changed since the 98-day coal inventory level was 22 23 established on February 2, 1993 in Order PSC-0165-FOF-EI, Docket 920324-EI. The 98-day coal inventory level will 24 not provide the company enough coal to reliably operate 25

our coal plants the way we expect to operate them in the future or allow for sufficient coal inventory levels if something unexpected were to happen to our natural gas supply, natural gas transportation, or natural gas-fired generation.

Q. Please explain.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

21

Coal units like Big Bend Units 1 through 4 and Polk Unit Α. 9 1 (integrated gasification combined cycle) have been the 10 11 work horses in the company's generation fleet for many years. They were designed to burn coal (or to gasify coal 12 and burn gas, in the case of Polk 1) and operated as base 13 14 load units for decades. Base load units normally operate to satisfy the minimum load of a system, and consequently 15 16 run continuously, burn fuel, and produce electricity at relatively constant rates. When these units ran on coal 17 as base load units, they burned large volumes of coal 18 almost every day at relatively constant rates; however, 19 20 several things changed.

First, the Polk 2 Conversion changed the unit commitment and dispatch order of Polk Unit 2 versus our Big Bend units. Polk Unit 2, which was converted to a natural gas combined cycle unit, transitioned from primarily being a

peaking facility to a baseload facility, and the role of our Big Bend units became secondary in support of our baseload facilities.

Second, the price of natural gas dropped and stayed low. Although some of our generating units (*i.e.*, Polk Unit 1 and Big Bend Unit 3) can operate on coal and natural gas, it has been more economical for them to operate on natural gas, which means we are burning less coal.

Third, as explained in the direct testimony of Mr. Pickles and Tampa Electric witness J. Brent Caldwell, we are in the process of modernizing Big Bend Unit 1 and will be retiring Big Bend Units 2 and 3. These changes have already reduced the amount of coal the company is burning and will further reduce the amount we consume in the future.

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Fourth, as explained in the direct testimony of Mr. Pickles and Tampa Electric witness C. David Sweat, the company built approximately 655 MW_{ac} of solar generating capacity from 2017 to 2021 and plans to build an additional 600 MW_{ac} of solar capacity from 2021 to 2023 ("Additional Solar"). This solar capacity has and will continue to reduce the company's need to consume coal.

	I	
1		As a result, the role coal plays in our generation has
2		changed from a primary fuel to a secondary fuel. We no
3		longer need coal as a primary fuel to burn continuously
4		in large amounts for long periods of time. Rather, we need
5		coal for use when the economics of doing so are favorable,
6		when system conditions change, or for use if something
7		unexpected happens to natural gas supply, natural gas
8		transportation, or our natural gas-fired generation is
9		not available.
10		
11	Q.	How have these changes reduced the company's consumption
12		of coal?
13		
14	A.	Our coal consumption has fallen from approximately four
15		million tons in 2015 to 430,000 tons in 2020, or by about
16		90 percent. As our coal consumption has declined, so too
17		has the amount of coal we need to maintain in inventory.
18		
19	Q.	What are the benefits of burning less coal?
20		
21	A.	Burning less coal means we use less water, generate less
22		wastewater, and lower our emission of CO2, SO2, and NOx,
23		all of which makes us cleaner and greener. Burning less
24		coal has also enabled the company to reduce its production
25		O&M expenses. Lastly, burning less coal means we need to
	I	1.2

keep less coal in inventory, which also reduces our costs 1 and the costs we recover from our customers. 2 3 Does the company still need to maintain a reasonable level Q. 4 5 of coal inventory? 6 Yes. Even though we are burning less coal, we still must 7 Α. have enough coal on hand to operate our coal-fired 8 facilities when we need them. 9 10 Is the thirteen-month, 98-day daily average burn coal 11 Q. inventory level approved in the company's rate case still 12 a reasonable methodology for establishing appropriate 13 14 levels of coal inventory? 15 16 Α. No. Due to the company's transformation to a cleaner and greener generation system, daily coal burn is so low that 17 calculating a coal inventory level using the 98-day 18 average daily burn methodology produces a very low coal 19 inventory amount. More specifically, basing our coal 20 inventory levels on the 98-day average daily amount of 21 coal we are burning will result in a coal inventory at 22 23 levels that will not allow the company to recover the 24 amount of coal inventory required to operate its coal plants as base load units if an outage at one or more of 25

the company's natural gas-fired units occur or if natural 1 2 qas supply or natural qas transportation becomes 3 unavailable. Therefore, using the traditional 98-day average daily burn methodology will not allow the company 4 5 to recover the cost of the coal inventory needed to maintain the reliability of our system. 6 7 How has the 98-day average daily burn amount changed over Q. 8 time? 9 10 From 2013 to 2015, our 98-day average burn was 1.2 million 11 Α. tons. From 2019 to 2020, it was 132 thousand tons, or 12 about ten percent of what it was from 2013-2015. We do 13 14 not believe that maintaining a thirteen-month average of 132 thousand tons of coal, which can be burned at Big Bend 15 16 Unit 4 in less than a month, will be adequate for us to provide reliable service to our customers. The company 17 has been maintaining coal inventory at much higher levels, 18 even though we cannot recover the incremental inventory 19 under the 98-day coal inventory level. 20 21 inventory level is the company using 22 Q. What coal to 23 determine the system-wide coal inventory levels to 24 support its operations? 25

For planning and operating purposes, Tampa Electric Α. 1 targets enough coal inventory to run its coal plants 2 (primarily Big Bend Unit 4) at maximum burn levels for 60 3 days. Therefore, the company requests permission to adopt 4 5 this 60-day maximum burn level for base rate making purposes. 6 7 MFR Schedule B-18 in Document No. 1 of my exhibit shows 8 the company's proposed level of coal inventory by station 9 in tons and dollars for each month of the 2022 test year 10 and supports the 13-month average amounts of coal 11 inventory shown on page 9 of my direct testimony. Document 12 2 of my exhibit shows the overall anticipated No. 13 14 quantities of coal in inventory by station projected for 2022. 15 16 17 MFR Schedule B-18 does not include any coal inventory stored off-site, because our agreement for storage at 18 Davant, Louisiana ends in December 2021 and is not 19 20 expected to be renewed. 21 The inventory amounts shown on MFR Schedule B-18 for the 22 23 Polk Power Station ("Polk") are zero each month, because the company does not expect to burn coal at Polk in 2022. 24 25

The other monthly amounts (Big Bend) shown on MFR Schedule 1 2 B-18 vary seasonally and reflect monthly inventory 3 amounts of between 50 to 67 days of maximum burn and a thirteen-month weighted average of 57 days maximum burn. 4 5 This thirteen-month average amount is slightly below the target we use for planning and operations and is below 6 thirteen-month average 60-day maximum burn coal 7 the inventory level we are requesting the Florida Public 8 Service Commission ("Commission") approve in this base 9 rate case. 10 11 How does the company's proposed amount of inventory for 12 Q. 2022 compare to the amount that would be allowed under 13 14 the traditional 98-day average burn methodology? 15 16 Α. Our proposed amount is higher on a thirteen-month average basis by about 140,000 tons or approximately \$9.0 million. 17 18 For how long would the company be able to run its coal 19 Q. plants at the maximum burn rate if it uses the 98-day 20 average burn coal inventory level? 21 22 23 Α. About 29 days. 24 Our maximum daily burn is about 5,000 tons a day and the 25

98-day average burn methodology would allow us to keep 1 only about 145,000 tons of coal in inventory. 2 3 We do not believe keeping only 29 days of coal on hand to 4 5 operate our coal plants at maximum burn levels is adequate, reasonable, or prudent. Our proposal to use a 6 60-day maximum burn target is informed by the risks, and 7 our experiences with, factors that impact coal supply 8 availability and deliverability, fuel use variability, 9 and the potential for extraordinary events. It is also 10 11 informed by the risks of natural gas supply and delivery interruptions that I discuss in the next section of my 12 direct testimony. Tampa Electric targets a minimum of 13 14 approximately 60 days of maximum coal burn in its operations and closely monitors these factors because of 15 the dramatic impacts they can have on the cost and 16 availability of fuel. 17

- 18
- 19

20

21

Q. Why do the amounts of inventory shown on Document No. 1 of your exhibit vary by month?

A. The amount of electricity we generate each month varies
 seasonally and so too must the amount of inventory we keep
 on hand. We generally keep more inventory in the summer
 months because energy usage in those months is high and

the potential adverse impact of hurricanes and other named 1 tropical storms on the deliverability of fuel is higher 2 3 than in other times in the year. 4 5 Q. Why does the company need 60 days of maximum burn in inventory, rather than a fewer number of days? 6 7 Α. First, we are actually keeping about that much coal 8 inventory on hand as we operate our business. The fact 9 that we keep that amount of inventory on hand, when cost 10 11 recovery for that full level is not available under the 98-day average burn methodology, is strong proof of our 12 need for and commitment to a 60-day maximum burn level of 13 inventory. 14 15 16 Second, due to the generation fleet changes described above, we now view coal as a secondary fuel and need it 17 primarily to operate our dual-fuel plants on coal as base 18 load units if we experience a natural gas supply or 19 20 natural gas transportation interruption or an unplanned outage at one or more of the company's gas-fired units. 21 22 A major planned or unplanned outage at one of our base 23 load natural gas-fired plants could take up to 60 days or more, in which case we would likely need to run our coal 24 25 plants as base load units for 60 days or more. Having a

60-day maximum burn amount of coal inventory on hand will 1 allow us to maintain system reliability by burning coal 2 3 on hand and provide an adequate amount of time to arrange the purchase of additional coal, as needed, if we have a 4 5 major outage at one of our gas units. 6 Why does the company need 60 days to procure additional 7 Q. coal? 8 9 The company can procure coal in less than 60 days on an 10 Α. emergency basis, however, emergency coal purchases are 11 almost always more expensive than planned purchases. 12 13 14 In addition, unlike natural gas, which is delivered via pipelines which are ready to instantaneously deliver gas 15 16 on short notice, the coal we purchase is over 1,000 miles away and must be transported by water or rail to our 17 facilities. Even when purchase and delivery conditions 18 are perfect, it takes up to 60 days to complete the coal 19 purchasing cycle (identify need, order, 20 transport, receive). Bearing in mind, conditions for purchasing and 21 delivering coal are not always perfect. Under extreme 22 23 conditions the time to procure coal can take more than 90 days. 24

25

How do factors like coal supply availability and delivery 1 Q. risks influence the company's need to maintain coal 2 3 inventories at its proposed 60-day maximum burn level? 4 5 Α. Both are important considerations. 6 availability 7 Over the years, coal supply and deliverability to Tampa Electric have been adversely 8 affected weather conditions including 9 by floods, hurricanes, extreme conditions on waterways, water route 10 11 blockages, work disruptions in the coal and railroad industries, consumption variations, and transportation 12 equipment breakdowns. provider The level of coal 13 14 inventory we need to maintain must reflect the risks associated with supply availability and deliverv 15 16 disruptions. Our proposed 60-day maximum burn standard accounts for these risks but does not overstate our need 17 for coal. 18 19 Did changing the delivery responsibilities for waterborne 20 Q. coal in 2018 reduce the company's operating exposure to 21 delivery disruptions? 22 23 24 Α. No. The fact that we changed the delivery point of 25 waterborne coal from the mine to our generating stations

in 2018 does not mean that our operations are no longer 1 2 subject to supply disruptions. Whether the company or its 3 suppliers are responsible for transportation, the company subject to supply disruptions from remains river 4 5 closings. Portions of the Mississippi and Ohio River systems must be closed periodically to repair the lock 6 and dam mechanisms used to raise and lower barges for 7 proper navigation. Almost every year, high or low water 8 conditions due to rain, snow, or drought slow or stop 9 river traffic. Fog, ice, and transportation equipment 10 11 breakdowns can also delay or interrupt waterborne transportation on the rivers. Fog, hurricanes, 12 and also affect equipment breakdowns waterborne 13 14 transportation in the Gulf of Mexico as well. 15 16 0. Is rail transportation subject to delivery interruptions? 17

Yes. The rail transportation system we rely on can be 18 Α. adversely affected by traffic 19 congestion, track maintenance, rail blockings, flooding, and equipment 20 breakdowns, resulting in slower turn times. Turn time is 21 the time it takes a train to return to the coal mine for 22 23 its next shipment. Slower turn times mean fewer deliveries. 24

25

	I	
1	Q.	Has the company recently faced coal delivery disruptions?
2		
3	A.	Yes. The company recently faced coal delivery disruptions
4		caused by the weather (Mississippi River flooding or
5		hurricanes). Weather events can cause lingering issues
6		that disrupt normal fuel supply and logistics for many
7		months. We successfully managed through these disruptions
8		by having sufficient inventory (e.g., 60 days of maximum
9		coal burn) and being able to shift our supplier choice
10		and delivery method from waterborne to rail.
11		
12	Q.	Do you have examples of how weather events have affected
13		fuel availability or deliveries?
14		
15	A.	Hurricanes Katrina (2005) and Isaac (2012) struck the
16		mouth of the Mississippi River and caused significant
17		disruptions to coal and other energy commodity
18		deliveries.
19		
20		After Hurricane Katrina, Tampa Electric's on-site
21		inventory levels at Big Bend fell to a low of only 20
22		days. Tampa Electric was able to maintain adequate
23		inventory supply on-site and manage through the
24		disruption of deliveries, which lasted almost six months,
25		without disrupting service to its customers.
	I	22

Hurricane Isaac caused widespread flooding and disabled 1 several bulk storage terminals at the mouth of 2 the 3 Mississippi River for many weeks. 4 5 Tropical Storm Debbie, which hit in June 2012, constrained shipping in Tampa Bay for an extended period of time. 6 7 In addition, Tampa Electric experienced multiple supply 8 vessel delays due to the multiple hurricanes affecting 9 the Gulf Coast of Florida and Louisiana in 2020. 10 11 Does Tampa Electric's ability to receive coal by water 12 Q. and rail mitigate the risk of delivery disruptions to the 13 14 company? 15 16 Α. Yes. Tampa Electric's ability to receive coal by water and rail provides important optionality and reduces the 17 risk of a solid fuel disruption to customers. It also 18 gives us negotiating leverage with suppliers. However, it 19 still takes as many as 60 days to purchase and receive 20 coal, so we must keep an adequate supply on hand. 21 22 Is coal supply availability a growing concern? 23 Q. 24 Yes. The market dynamics for domestic coal production are 25 Α.

Electric utilities all over America changing. have 1 2 retired or are planning to retire coal-fired generating 3 plants, which has substantially reduced the demand for domestic coal. Reduced demand and increased production 4 5 costs for coal have caused financial distress for many domestic coal producers and created uncertainties about 6 the future availability and costs of coal. Force majeure 7 events and mine issues can and have influenced and 8 production. Diminished disrupted coal supplier 9 performance can and has disrupted coal supplies and 10 11 deliveries. Even though we are consuming less coal, our need for coal remains, and it is becoming more difficult 12 to find suppliers that we can count on in the future. 13 14 Keeping an adequate supply of coal on hand helps mitigate risks associated with supplier the failures 15 and 16 disruptions. 17 How have coal mining companies performed during recent 18 Q.

19

years?

Tampa Electric.

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Coal suppliers have had significant economic challenges and faced bankruptcies, acquisitions, and reorganizations, but the suppliers Tampa Electric deals with have managed to keep their supply commitments to

1	Q.	What is "coal burn variability" and how does it affect
2		Tampa Electric's coal inventory planning process?
3		
4	A.	Coal burn variability refers to the difference between
5		our planned coal burn and our actual coal burn. Burn
6		variability is influenced by a variety of factors, such
7		as the relative economics of natural gas, seasonality,
8		weather, unit operating performance (including unit
9		availability, heat rate, and capacity factor), and other
10		system operating factors such as grid stability.
11		
12		For the most cost-effective pricing, coal suppliers and
13		transporters require consistent, expected sales volumes,
14		so they can plan their monthly production and delivery
15		schedules. Getting coal out of the ground for sale is not
16		as simple as opening a valve on a natural gas pipeline.
17		
18		As the role our coal plants play on our system has
19		changed, our coal burn variability has increased, and our
20		ability to find suppliers who will accommodate
21		inconsistent or variable monthly consumption volumes has
22		been challenging. All other things being equal,
23		maintaining higher coal inventory levels allows us to
24		absorb swings in supply availability during times of
25		greater burn variability.
		25

extent to which burn variability affects 1 The Tampa 2 Electric in the overall inventory planning process 3 depends on how quickly and completely the company can respond to unexpected fuel requirements at the electric 4 5 generating plants. Given where our coal suppliers are located and the distances coal must travel before we use 6 7 it, our planning process must accommodate higher levels of coal burn variability. When fuel supply availability 8 is constrained, the process of procuring solid fuel can 9 increase from 60 days to well over 90 days from the time 10 11 we identify a need for more coal to the time that coal arrives at a Tampa Electric power plant. 12

14

16

13

15

Q.

What kind of "extraordinary events" affect coal inventory planning?

In addition to the "regular" supply and delivery risks Α. 17 discussed above, we must consider the possibility of 18 extraordinary events. Examples from the past include the 19 20 terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, which complicated and delayed the transportation of coal due to 21 22 heightened port security. Although it less was 23 significant, the COVID-19 pandemic reduced access to labor in some areas and delayed coal shipments. 24 The 25 collapse of the Sunshine Skyway Bridge in the 1980s and

vessels sinking in Port of Tampa Channels have blocked or 1 delayed waterborne coal deliveries to Tampa Electric. 2 3 While events like these are rare, the potential reliability impact is significant if we do not maintain 4 5 an adequate level of coal inventory. 6 Should the Commission approve the company's proposal to 7 Q. replace the 98-day average burn coal methodology of 8 establishing inventory levels in working capital 9 to establishing inventory levels using 60 days of maximum 10 burn? 11 12 Yes. Based on the reasons stated above and the company's 13 Α. 14 need to maintain coal inventory levels to operate the coal units prudently and reliably, the Commission should 15 approve the proposed 60 days of maximum burn coal 16 inventory level. 17 18 NATURAL GAS INVENTORY 19 20 Q. What amount of natural gas inventory does the company propose to include in working capital for the 2022 test 21 22 year? 23 As shown on MFR Schedule B-18, the company proposes to 24 Α. include its projected 13-month average volume of natural 25

1		gas in storage for 2022 of 336,726 MCF with a value of
2		\$0.9 million in test year working capital.
3		
4	Q.	Please explain the company's need for and portfolio of
5		natural gas supply.
6		
7	A.	Tampa Electric has a fleet of natural gas fired generating
8		units including combined cycle units at Bayside and Polk;
9		dual-fuel units at Big Bend; Polk Unit 1, which can
10		operate on natural gas or a blend of petroleum coke and
11		coal; and natural gas fired aero-derivative combustion
12		turbines at Bayside and Big Bend.
13		
14	Q.	Please describe Tampa Electric's natural gas supply plan.
15		
16	A.	The company's supply plan for natural gas is to maintain
17		a portfolio of natural gas supply arrangements that have
18		access to multiple supply basins, various receipt and
19		delivery points, volume flexibility, and varying term
20		lengths. We must also ensure that we have enough firm
21		natural gas transportation to deliver the natural gas we
22		purchase to our natural gas-fired power plants. These
23		natural gas supply arrangements are established using
24		industry standard contracts with creditworthy parties.
25		This process gives us supply reliability, operating

flexibility, and lower overall costs. Most of the costs for these supply arrangements are recovered through the Fuel, Purchased Power and Capacity Recovery Clause, but the amount of natural gas we keep in storage is an inventory item and is recovered through base rates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

17

Maintaining underground natural gas storage is another 7 valuable part of our plan to provide reliable service to 8 our customers. We primarily use natural gas in storage to 9 address unexpected swings in our natural gas supply needs 10 11 from unexpected increases in our use of natural gas-fired generating units and to "smooth" natural gas supplies over 12 weekends and holidays when consumption levels may change 13 14 dramatically. In addition, natural gas storage helps to mitigate reliability or cost impacts on customers when 15 16 extreme conditions occur.

Tampa Electric also maintains nearly full contracted 18 storage levels during times of greatest uncertainty. For 19 20 instance, Tampa Electric fills natural gas storage capacity to approximately 80 percent before the start of 21 22 each hurricane season since supply availability may be at 23 risk while our use of natural gas is at its maximum. Similarly, Tampa Electric keeps natural gas storage at 24 25 similar levels during major plant outages and extreme cold

weather periods since natural gas consumption is most 1 2 uncertain during those times. 3 What factors impact the risk of natural gas supply and Q. 4 transportation disruptions? 5 6 Extreme weather conditions present the greatest risks to 7 Α. a reliable supply of deliverable natural gas. Natural gas 8 production companies shut down production in the Gulf of 9 Mexico when tropical storms and hurricanes threaten the 10 safe operation of drilling platforms and production 11 facilities in the Gulf. As we saw during Winter Storm Uri 12 in February 2021 and the resulting Texas grid failure, 13 14 extremely cold weather can interfere with onshore natural gas production as natural gas wells freeze, interrupting 15 16 the production of natural gas. Other less likely events that could impact the transportation of natural gas supply 17 could be severe weather (i.e., earthquakes, floods or 18 lightning), equipment failures, accidents, or a terrorist 19 20 attack on energy infrastructure. Extreme weather and high demand for natural gas in other areas of the United 21 22 States, including demand for LNG exports, can also 23 increase the price of natural gas on the spot market. 24

Q. Did the Winter Storm Uri impact Tampa Electric's ability

25

to purchase or take delivery of natural gas to operate 1 2 its natural gas generating units? 3 Yes. While our ability to deliver natural gas to our power Α. 4 5 plants was not interrupted in February 2021, the storm did result in an increase in the price of natural gas on 6 7 the spot market. In some cases, natural gas was not available for purchase. Because Tampa Electric has 8 natural gas in storage, the company was able to offset 9 commodity shortage, avoid fuel disruptions, 10 the and 11 mitigate price volatility for customers by using some of the low-cost natural gas it was holding in storage. The 12 company was able to withdraw its \$3/MMBtu priced natural 13 14 gas from storage during this event instead of purchasing any high-priced natural gas in the \$15-\$25/MMBtu range. 15 16 In addition, Tampa Electric lowered the overall natural gas requirements for its portfolio during the event by 17 maximizing coal generation on Big Bend Unit 4 and having 18 Polk Unit 2 available on oil in case further natural gas 19 20 reductions were needed. 21 What natural gas storage capacity does Tampa Electric 22 Q. 23 have? 24

A. Because our natural gas consumption is increasing, Tampa

Electric enhanced its natural gas portfolio by adding 1 250,000 MMBtu of additional underground natural 2 qas 3 storage capacity in 2018. Tampa Electric now has a total of 2,000,000 MMBtu of long-term storage capacity to 4 5 provide operational flexibility and to enhance the reliability of natural qas supply. Tampa Electric 6 currently has contracts with Bay Gas Storage near Mobile, 7 Alabama, and Southern Pines Energy Center in Eastern 8 Mississippi for a combined total of 2,000,000 MMBtu of 9 storage capacity, which gives us approximately ten days 10 of natural gas supply at our maximum daily withdrawal 11 quantity. 12 13 14 The projected 13-month average volume of natural gas in storage in 2022 is 336,726 MCF with a value of \$0.9 15 16 million as shown on Document No. 1 of my exhibit. It is also shown on MFR Schedule B-18. 17 18 Electric determined Q. Please explain how Tampa the 19 20 appropriate amount of natural gas inventory for the 2022 test year. 21 22 23 Α. Tampa Electric evaluated the estimated amount of supply 24 in its portfolio that is at risk due to high impact

32

high impact events considered were

an

25

events.

The

interruption from а hurricane or other supply 1 2 interruptions in the Mobile Bay area for a 10-day period. 3 We continuously evaluate our storage needs based on market changes, expected demand and our generation plans. 4 5 How does the company's Asset Management Agreement affect 6 0. natural gas inventory and fuel supply reliability? 7 8 The company has an Asset Management Agreement ("AMA") for Α. 9 a portion of its storage capacity. The AMA has no effect 10 11 on natural gas inventory and fuel supply reliability because Tampa Electric has the same rights to its storage 12 inventory as it had prior to entering the AMA. However, 13 14 any AMA natural gas in storage is not included in the projected 13-month average volume for 2022 (see Document 15 16 No. 1, Note 1 under natural gas inventories). 17 Does the company expect to incur fuel hedging expenses in 18 Q. the 2022 test year? 19 20 No. Paragraph 11(a) of the company's 2017 Amended and 21 Α. 22 Restated Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (``2017 23 Agreement") states: "except as specified in this 2017 Agreement, the company will enter into no new natural gas 24 financial hedging contracts for fuel through December 31, 25

2022." Consistent with this provision, the company did 1 not make natural gas financial hedging contracts in 2020 2 and will not be doing so in 2021 or 2022. This position 3 is reflected in MFR Schedule C-42. 4 5 OIL INVENTORY 6 What amount of oil inventory does the company propose to 7 Ο. include in working capital for the 2022 test year? 8 9 As shown on MFR Schedule B-18, the company has included Α. 10 38,229 barrels of oil in inventory for 2022. This volume 11 represents about 85 percent of Tampa Electric oil storage 12 capacity and equates to a 13-month average of \$3.1 13 million. 14 15 What is the company's oil inventory planning process? 16 Q. 17 Oil is a backup fuel. The company's oil inventory plan is 18 Α. to maintain its storage tank at or near full to provide 19 reliable backup fuel in the case of extreme demand or a 20 natural gas pipeline interruption. We must periodically 21 run our generating units on oil to test and ensure the 22 23 reliability of the units on backup fuel, so we monitor inventory levels and replenish as needed. 24 25

1	TOTAL FUEL INVENTORY			
2	Q.	What is the total amount of fuel inventory that Tampa		
3		Electric proposes to be included in working capital for		
4		2022?		
5				
6	A.	The 2022 13-month average total fuel inventory included		
7		in working capital is \$21.7 million as shown on Document		
8		No. 3 of my exhibit and on MFR Schedule B-18.		
9				
10	Q.	How does the 2022 total fuel inventory compare to the		
11		amount proposed for 2014 during the company's last base		
12		rate case?		
13				
14	A.	The 2022 13-month average total fuel inventory included		
15		in working capital is \$84.8 million less than the 2014		
16		13-month average included in working capital in Docket		
17		No. 20130040-EI. The transformation of the Tampa Electric		
18		generation portfolio to a cleaner, greener fleet with		
19		significantly less projected coal consumption results in		
20		an 80 percent reduction in total fuel inventory from 2014		
21		to 2022. The reduced fuel inventory results in lower costs		
22		for customers without affecting the reliability of fuel		
23		supply.		
24				

OPTIMIZATION MECHANISM

1	Q.	What is the Optimization Mechanism?		
2				
3	A.	On June 30, 2016, Tampa Electric filed a petition in		
4		Docket No. 20160160-EI that asked the Commission to		
5		approve an Optimization Mechanism. In the 2017 Agreement,		
6		the parties consented to Commission approval of the		
7		program for a four-year period beginning January 1, 2018.		
8				
9	Q.	What is the purpose of the Optimization Mechanism?		
10				
11	A.	Under the Optimization Mechanism, gains on wholesale		
12		power transactions and optimization activities are shared		
13		between shareholders and customers. The program is		
14		designed to incentivize Tampa Electric to maximize gains		
15		to the mutual benefit of customers and the company.		
16				
17	Q.	What portion of the gains are retained by Tampa Electric?		
18				
19	A.	All gains up to \$4.5 million are retained by customers.		
20		Gains between \$4.5 million and \$8.0 million are split,		
21		with 60 percent of gains allocated to the company's		
22		shareholders and 40 percent allocated to customers. Gains		
23		above \$8 million are also split, with 50 percent of gains		
24		allocated to shareholders and 50 percent of gains		
25		allocated to customers.		
		36		

What activities are eligible to be included under the 1 Q. 2 Optimization Mechanism? 3 the company's wholesale sales, Α. Gains short-term on 4 5 wholesale purchases, and optimization activities are eligible for the Program. Optimization activities include 6 efforts such as: 7 8 Gas Storage Utilization - Release of contracted storage 9 space or sales of stored natural gas during non-10 11 critical demand seasons. 12 Delivered Gas Sales Using Existing Transport - Sales 13 14 of natural gas to Florida customers using Tampa Electric's existing natural transportation 15 qas 16 capacity during periods when it is not needed to serve the company's native electric load. 17 18 Delivered Solid Fuel and/or Transportation Capacity 19 Sales Using Existing Transport - Sales of coal and coal 20 transportation using Tampa Electric's existing coal and 21 transportation capacity during periods when it is not 22 23 needed to serve Tampa Electric's native electric load. Production (Upstream) Area Sales - Sales of natural gas 24 25 in the natural gas production areas using Tampa

Electric's existing natural qas transportation 1 capacity during periods when it is not needed to serve 2 the company's native electric load. 3 4 5 • Capacity Release of Gas Transport -Sales of temporarily available natural qas transportation 6 capacity for short periods when it is not needed to 7 serve the company's native electric load. 8 9 • Asset Management Agreement _ Outsourcing of 10 11 optimization functions to a third party through 12 assignment of power, transportation, and/or storage rights in exchange for a premium paid to Tampa 13 Electric. 14 15 Has Tampa Electric incurred incremental costs associated 16 Q. 17 with the Program? 18 Yes. Tampa Electric incurred incremental labor costs to 19 Α. 20 establish processes and manage the optimization activities. The company, however, agreed that it would 21 not seek recovery of these costs through the Optimization 22 23 Mechanism. As a result, the company does not track these 24 costs separately.

38

1	Q.	How are gains tracked and reported to the Commission?		
2				
3	A.	Tampa Electric tracks and reports all gains achieved in		
4		the prior year on a "Total Gains Schedule" that is		
5		included as a part of the company's annual final true-up		
6		filing in the fuel and purchased power cost recovery		
7		clause ("fuel clause") docket. The company also includes		
8		a description of each activity included in the Total Gains		
9		Schedule for the prior year in the final true-up filing.		
10		The Commission reviews the amounts and activities listed		
11		in the filing to determine whether they are eligible for		
12		inclusion in the program.		
13				
14	Q.	What mechanism does the company use to apportion gains		
15		and deliver the customers' share of those gains?		
16				
17	A.	The Total Gains Schedule shows the customers' portion of		
18		total gains which directly benefit customers in the		
19		current period. Tampa Electric receives approval to		
20		recover its portion of the total gains through adjustments		
21		to the fuel clause factors during the following year and		
22		recovers its portion of the gains during the year after		
23		that.		
24				
25	Q.	Has the Optimization Mechanism resulted in gains for		
		39		

customers since its inception in 2018? 1 2 2018, received 3 Α. Yes. In customers а benefit of approximately \$5.3 million. In 2019, customers received 4 a benefit of approximately \$5.3 million, and in 2020, 5 customers received a benefit of approximately \$5.4 6 million. 7 8 the Optimization Mechanism achieved its original 9 Q. Has goals? 10 11 Yes. The Optimization Mechanism was designed to create 12 Α. additional value for Tampa Electric's customers while 13 14 incenting the company to maximize gains on power transactions and optimization activities. The mechanism 15 generated over \$15.0 million in benefits to customers over 16 its first three years, so Tampa Electric believes it was 17 a success. 18 19 Should the Commission extend the Optimization Mechanism 20 Q. beyond the initial four-year period approved in the 2017 21 Agreement? 22 23 Yes. Given the success of the Optimization Mechanism in 24 Α. generating benefits for Tampa Electric's customers, the 25

company believes the program should continue beyond its 1 initial four-year period and should be renewed effective 2 3 January 1, 2022. 4 5 Q. Is the company proposing any modifications to the Optimization Mechanism at this time? 6 7 No. The Optimization Mechanism is working as intended and Α. 8 will continue to provide benefits to customers in its 9 current form when authorized to continue beyond 2021. 10 11 SUMMARY 12 Please summarize your direct testimony. Q. 13 14 Tampa Electric generates energy for customer use from a Α. 15 diversified fuel portfolio of natural gas, coal, and oil-16 fired units, as well as solar generation. The company 17 utilizes a fuel inventory plan that considers 18 the uncertainty in availability of fuel commodity supply and 19 transportation, fuel consumption variability, and other 20 factors. The company's fuel plan provides 21 risk а 22 consistent level of system protection and reliability. 23 Inventory levels account for the types of fuel maintained and consumed to meet plant requirements in a cost-24 25 effective manner and reliably serve customers.

	1			
1		Tampa Electric's 2022 total proposed fuel inventory of		
2		\$21.7 million is an appropriate value for the fuel		
3		inventory component of working capital. This level of		
4		inventory provides for continued reliable service at a		
5		cost that is less than the consequences of not having		
6		enough fuel to meet customer needs. Finally, this		
7		inventory level is consistent with the company's		
8		inventory planning process.		
9				
10		The Optimization Mechanism provided customer benefits of		
11		over \$15.0 million in the first three years of operation.		
12		Based on that success, Tampa Electric believes the program		
13		should continue beyond the initial four-year period.		
14				
15	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?		
16				
17	A.	Yes, it does.		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20210034-EI WITNESS: HEISEY

EXHIBIT

OF

JOHN C. HEISEY

Table of Contents

DOCUMENT NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	List of Minimum Filing Requirement Schedules Sponsored or Co-Sponsored by John C. Heisey	45
2	2022 Proposed Coal Inventory	46
3	2022 Proposed Total Fuel Inventory	47
4	Optimization Mechanism Results	48

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20210034-EI EXHIBIT NO. JCH-1 WITNESS: HEISEY DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 1 OF 1 FILED: 04/09/2021

LIST OF MINIMUM FILING REQUIREMENT SCHEDULES

SPONSORED OR CO-SPONSORED BY JOHN C. HEISEY

MFR Schedule	Title
B-18	Fuel Inventory by Plant (2020-2022)
C-09	Five Year Analysis - Change in Cost
C-42	Hedging Costs
F-08	Assumptions

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20210034-EI EXHIBIT NO. JCH-1 WITNESS: HEISEY DOCUMENT NO. 2 PAGE 1 OF 1 FILED: 04/09/2021

_	Tons	Amount (\$000)
Big Bend Units 3-4	285,789	\$17,664
Polk Unit 1	0	\$0
Total 2022 Proposed Coal Inventory	285,789	\$17,664

*Total system wide 13-month average, based on end of the month inventory using projected burn.

**The proposed 60-day maximum burn coal inventory level in tons is as follows:

- Big Bend 302,209 tons (Big Bend Unit 4, 465 MW summer rating, 10.2 heat rate (MMBtu/MWh), 11,300 heat content (Btu/lb), 24 hours, 60 days)
- Polk 170,296 tons (Polk Unit 1, 320 MW summer rating,
 10.2 heat rate (MMBtu/MWh), 13,800 heat content (Btu/lb),
 24 hours, 60 days)

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20210034-EI EXHIBIT NO. JCH-1 WITNESS: HEISEY DOCUMENT NO. 3 PAGE 1 OF 1 FILED: 04/09/2021

_	Amount (\$000)
Coal	\$17,664
Natural Gas	\$911
Light (#2) Oil	\$3,110
Total 2022 Proposed Fuel Inventory	\$21,685

2022 PROPOSED TOTAL FUEL INVENTORY

*Total system wide 13-month average, based on end of the month inventory.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20210034-EI EXHIBIT NO. JCH-1 WITNESS: HEISEY DOCUMENT NO. 4 PAGE 1 OF 1 FILED: 04/09/2021

	Customer Benefits	Total Gains
	(\$000)	(\$000)
2018	\$5 , 247	\$6 , 367
2019	\$5 , 287	\$6,468
2020	\$5 , 357	\$6,642
2018-2020	\$15,891	\$19,477

OPTIMIZATION MECHANISM RESULTS