

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Item 10

VOTE SHEET

May 4, 2021

FILED 5/4/2021
DOCUMENT NO. 03875-2021
FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

Docket No. 20200238-WU – Application for certificate to operate water utility in Marion County, and application for pass through increase of regulatory assessment fees, by Citra Highlands Water System, LLC.

Issue 1: Should the application for a water certificate by Citra be approved?

Recommendation: Yes. Citra should be granted Certificate No. 679-W to serve the territory described in Attachment A of staff’s memorandum dated April 22, 2021, effective the date of the Commission’s vote. The resultant order should serve as Citra’s water certificate and it should be retained by the Utility.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS’ SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in blue ink]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Docket No. 20200238-WU – Application for certificate to operate water utility in Marion County, and application for pass through increase of regulatory assessment fees, by Citra Highlands Water System, LLC.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: What rates and charges should be approved for Citra?

Recommendation: With the exception of the non-sufficient funds (NSF) charge addressed in Issue 3, the Utility's rates and charges that were in effect at the time of its application for an original certificate, shown on Schedule No. 1 attached to staff's memorandum dated April 22, 2021, should be approved. The rates and charges should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C. Citra should be required to bill the approved rates and charges until authorized to change them by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should Citra's NSF charge be revised?

Recommendation: Yes. The Utility's NSF charge should be revised to reflect the NSF charges currently set forth in Section 68.065, F.S. The NSF charges should be effective on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. Furthermore, the charges should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice and the notice has been received by customers. The Utility should provide proof of the date the notice was given within 10 days of the date of the notice.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action on Issue 3 files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, a consummating order should be issued. The docket should remain open for staff's verification that the revised tariff sheets and customer notice have been filed by the Utility and approved by staff. Once these actions are complete, this docket should be closed administratively.

APPROVED