

Stephanie U. Eaton
336.631.1062
seaton@spilmanlaw.com
*Licensed in FL, NC and SC

July 12, 2021

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Adam Teitzman
Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20210010-EI; In re: Storm protection plan cost recovery clause

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Please find enclosed for filing the Prehearing Statement of Walmart Inc. in the above-referenced case.

Please contact me if you have any questions concerning this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/ Stephanie U. Eaton

Stephanie U. Eaton (Florida Bar No. 165610)
seaton@spilmanlaw.com

Barry A. Naum
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050
bnaum@spilmanlaw.com

SUE:sds

Enclosures

c: Parties of Record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to the following parties this 12th day of July, 2021.

Kenneth A. Hoffman
Florida Power & Light Company
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301
ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Christopher T. Wright
Jason Higginbotham
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
Christopher.wright@fpl.com
Jason.higginbotham@fpl.com

Russell A. Badders
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520
Russell.Badders@nexteraenergy.com

Matthew R. Bernier
Duke Energy Florida
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301
matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com
flregulatorylegal@duke-energy.com

Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Dianne.Triplett@Duke-Energy.com

Mike Cassel
Florida Public Utilities Company
208 Wildlight Ave.
Yulee, FL 32097
mcassel@fpuc.com

Paula K. Brown
Tampa Electric Company
P. O. Box 111
Tampa FL 33601-0111
regdept@tecoenergy.com

James D. Beasley
J. Jeffry Wahlen
Malcolm N. Means
Ausley McMullen
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com

Jennifer Crawford
Margo DuVal
Shaw Stiller
Stefanie-Jo Osborn
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Room 110
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
jcrawfor@psc.state.fl.us
mduvall@psc.state.fl.us
sstiller@psc.state.fl.us
sosborn@psc.state.fl.us

Richard Gentry
Charles Rehwinkel
Anastacia Pirrello
Stephanie Morse
Mary Wessling
Patricia Christensen
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
gentry.richard@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
pirrello.anastacia@leg.state.fl.us
morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us
wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us
Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us

James W. Brew
Laura Wynn Baker
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Suite 800 West
Washington, DC 20007-5201
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Karen A. Putnal
c/o Moyle Law Firm
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee FL 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
kputnal@moylelaw.com

Peter J. Mattheis
Michael K. Lavanga
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Suite 800 West
Washington, DC 20007-5201
pjm@smxblaw.com
mkl@smxblaw.com

/s/ Stephanie U. Eaton
Stephanie U. Eaton

**BEFORE THE
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In re: Storm protection plan cost recovery : DOCKET NO. 20210010-EI
clause. :
: Filed: July 12, 2021

**PREHEARING STATEMENT OF
WALMART INC.**

Pursuant to Florida Public Service Commission's ("Commission") Order No. PSC-2021-0083-PCO-EI, issued February 17, 2021, Walmart Inc. ("Walmart") files its Prehearing Statement.

I. WITNESSES

<u>Witness</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Issue No.</u>
Lisa V. Perry	Ms. Perry's testimony addresses: the cost allocation and rate design for Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF"), Florida Power & Light Company's ("FPL"), Gulf Power Company's ("Gulf"), and Tampa Electric Company's ("TECO") (collectively, "Utilities") proposed Storm Protection Plan ("SPP") Cost Recovery Clause ("SPPCRC") filings.	7

II. EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>
LVP-1	Witness Qualifications Statement

III. WALMART'S STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

The Commission should carefully consider the Utilities' respective SPP cost allocation proposals and rate design for this separate charge to their respective customers pursuant to the SPPCRC. *See* § 366.96(7), F.S.

As for cost allocation, DEF proposes to allocate the demand component based on each rate classes' contribution to monthly system peaks adjusted for certain losses and allocate the energy component based on each classes' contribution to total kWh sales adjusted for certain losses. *See* Direct Testimony of Christopher A. Menendez, p. 15, line 17 to p. 16, line 2. FPL/Gulf proposes to allocate SPP costs consistent with FPL's last rate case by allocating transmission costs to all rate classes based on the 12 monthly Coincident Peak, and distribution costs based on the Group Non-Coincident Peak. *See* Direct Testimony of Ranae B. Deaton, p. 13, lines 18-24. Lastly, TECO is proposing to allocate SPP costs consistent with its cost of service study prepared for Docket No. 20130040-EI and as applied for its current base rates. *See* Testimony and Exhibit of Mark R. Roche, p. 22, lines 10-15. Walmart is in agreement with the proposed cost allocations as set forth by the Utilities.

As to rate design, the Utilities, including DEF, are proposing to recover SPP costs from their demand customers through a demand charge, or \$/kW charge, in each Utility's SPPCRC.¹ Walmart does not oppose the Utilities' proposed methodology for allocating SPP costs and recovering those costs from their demand-metered customers through the demand charge, on a \$/kW basis. *See generally* Direct Testimony of Lisa V. Perry.

No other party has proposed an alternative allocation, rate design, or other modifications to the Utilities' proposed methodologies.

¹ *See* Direct Testimony of Christopher A. Menendez, Exh. No. ____ (CAM-2), Form 6P, p. 83; *see* Petition of Florida Power & Light Company for Approval of the 2021 Actual/Estimated Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause True-Up and the 2022 Projected Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause Factors, Form 5P; *see* Testimony and Exhibit of Mark R. Roche, p. 22, lines 19-20.

IV. ISSUES

GENERIC STORM PROTECTION PLAN COST RECOVERY ISSUES

Issue 1: What are the final Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause jurisdictional cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

1A: TECO

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1B: DEF

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1C: Gulf

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1D: FPL

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

Issue 2: What are the actual/estimated Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause jurisdictional cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

1A: TECO

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1B: DEF

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1C: Gulf

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1D: FPL

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

Issue 3: What are the projected Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause jurisdictional cost recovery amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

1A: TECO

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1B: DEF

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1C: Gulf

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1D: FPL

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

Issue 4: What are the Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause total jurisdictional cost recovery amounts, including true-ups, to be included in establishing Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

1A: TECO

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1B: DEF

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1C: Gulf

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

1D: FPL

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

Issue 5: What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

Issue 6: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period January 2022 through December 2022?

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

Issue 7: What are the appropriate Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022 for each rate group?

Position: Walmart does not oppose the respective Utilities' proposed cost allocation and rate design.

Issue 8: What should be the effective date of the new Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors for billing purposes?

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

Issue 9: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the new Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

COMPANY SPECIFIC STORM PROTECTION PLAN COST RECOVERY ISSUES

Florida Power & Light Company

Issue 10: In the event that the Commission declines to approve FPL's pending request for unified rates in Docket No. 20210015-EI, what are the appropriate Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Position: In the event the Commission declines to approve FPL's pending request for unified rates in Docket No. 20210015-EI, then the SPPCRC factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022 should be those set forth in Order No. PSC-2020-0293-AS-EI, issued on August 28, 2020.

Issue 11: How should the assumptions used to develop FPL's 2022 Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors approved in this proceeding be revised to reflect any changes or modifications adopted by the Commission in the 2021 Rate Case pending in Docket No. 20210015-EI?

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

CLOSE THE DOCKET ISSUE

Issue 12: Should this docket be closed?

Position: Walmart takes no position at this time.

V. CONTESTED ISSUES

There are currently no contested issues.

VI. STIPULATED ISSUES

There are currently no stipulated issues.

VII. PENDING MOTIONS OR OTHER ACTIONABLE MATTERS

Walmart has no pending Motions at this time.

VIII. PENDING CONFIDENTIALITY REQUESTS OR CLAIMS

Walmart has no pending confidentiality requests or claims.

IX. OBJECTIONS TO WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS AS AN EXPERT

Walmart does not object to any witness's qualifications as an expert.

X. COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER NO. PSC-2021-0083-PCO-EI

There are no requirements of Order No. PSC-2021-0083-PCO-EI with which Walmart cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/ Stephanie U. Eaton

Stephanie U. Eaton (FL State Bar No. 165610)
SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC
110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500
Winston-Salem, NC 27103
Phone: (336) 631-1062
Fax: (336) 725-4476
seaton@spilmanlaw.com

Counsel to Walmart Inc.

Dated: July 12, 2021