BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost)	
Recovery Clause with Generating)	Docket No. 20210001-EI
Performance Incentive Factor)	Filed: October 6, 2021
)	

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF NUCOR STEEL FLORIDA, INC.

Pursuant to the Florida Public Service Commission's *Order Establishing Procedure*, Order No. PSC-2021-0074-PCO-EI, issued February 9, 2021, as modified by the *First Order Modifying Order Establishing Procedure*, Order No. PSC-2021-0211-PCO-EI, issued on June 7, 2021, and as further modified by the *Second Order Modifying Order Establishing Procedure*, Order No. PSC-2021-0340-PCO-EI, issued September 14, 2021, Nucor Steel Florida, Inc. ("Nucor") hereby files its Prehearing Statement in this case.

A. APPEARANCES

Peter J. Mattheis Michael K. Lavanga Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 (202) 342-0800 (202) 342-0807 (fax)

Email: pjm@smxblaw.com mkl@smxblaw.com

B. WITNESSES

Nucor does not plan to call any witnesses at this time.

C. EXHIBITS

Nucor does not plan to offer any exhibits at this time.

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

Nucor's basic position is that Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF") bears the burden of proof to justify the costs it seeks to recover through the fuel clause and any other relief DEF requests in this proceeding.

E. STATEMENT ON SPECIFIC ISSUES

FUEL ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve DEF's 2022 Risk Management Plan?

Nucor: The Commission should not approve DEF's 2022 Risk Management

Plan.

ISSUE 1B: What is the appropriate subscription bill credit associated with DEF's Clean Energy

Connection Program, approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-EI, to be included

for recovery in 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 1C: Has DEF made appropriate adjustments, if any are needed, to account for

replacement power costs associated with the January 2021 to April 2021 Crystal River Unit No. 4 outage? If appropriate adjustments are needed and have not

been made, what adjustments should be performed?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 1D: Should the Commission allow the \$246.8 million estimated 2021 true-up to be

recovered over 2022 and 2023?

Nucor: Nucor supports recovering the \$246.8 million estimated 2021 true-

up over 2022 and 2023, consistent with the *Agreement Regarding*

DEF Rate Mitigation pending approval in this docket and Docket

No. 20210158-EI.

ISSUE 1E:

Has DEF made appropriate adjustments, if any are needed, to account for replacement power costs associated with the January 2021 to April 2021 outage in Bartow CC Unit 4A and/or the May 2021 to July 2021 outage in Bartow CC Unit 4C? If appropriate adjustments are needed and have not been made, what adjustments should be performed?

Nucor: No position at this time.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 2A:

What is the appropriate revised SoBRA factor for the 2019 projects to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial SoBRA factor?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2B:

What is the appropriate revised SoBRA factor for the 2020 projects to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial SoBRA factor?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2C:

What was the total gain under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2020 through December 2020, and how should that gain to be shared between FPL and customers?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2D:

What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2E:

What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable to Off-System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2F:

What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due to Economy Purchases under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2G:

What is the appropriate subscription credit associated with FPL's SolarTogether Program approved by Order No. PSC-2020-0084-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2022?

Should the Commission approve FPL's 2022 Risk Management Plan?¹

Nucor: No position.

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 21:

ISSUE 2H:

What is the appropriate revised base rate adjustment factor for the Okeechobee Clean Energy Center (OCEC) limited scope adjustment (LSA) to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial factor?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2J:

Has FPL appropriately accounted for any redispatch related to its 2022 operation of the NFRC in its 2022 projections? If not, what adjustment, if any, should be made?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2K:

Has FPL made appropriate adjustments, if any are needed, to account for replacement power costs associated with the outages at Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 that occurred after January 2, 2020? If appropriate adjustments are needed and have not been made, what adjustments should be performed?

Nucor: No position.

Florida Public Utilities Company

ISSUE 3A:

Should an adjustment be made to remove any legal and/or consultant fees included for recovery in FPUC's 2022 fuel factors?

¹ FPL and Gulf filed a single 2022 Risk Management Plan applicable to both utilities. Document No. 11768-2021.

Nucor: No position.

Gulf Power Company

ISSUE 4A: Should the Commission approve FPL's 2022 Risk Management Plan?

Nucor: No position.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 5A: What was the total gain under TECO's Optimization Mechanism approved by

Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2020 through December 2020, and how should that gain to be shared between TECO

and customers?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 5B: Should the Commission take any action related to the optimization mechanism

regarding pipeline capacity release gains or coal car leases for the period of

October 21, 2021, through December 31, 2021?

Nucor: No position.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2021 for gains

on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2022 for

gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder

incentive?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period

January 2020 through December 2020?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for

the period January 2021 through December 2021?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be

collected/refunded from January 2022 through December 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 11: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery

amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, Inc. have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power and Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Gulf Power Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Gulf Power Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 14A, 14B, 14C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 15A, 15B, 15C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC GPIF ISSUES

ISSUE 16: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during

the period January 2020 through December 2020 for each investor-owned electric

utility subject to the GPIF?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 17: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2022 through

December 2022 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

Nucor: No position at this time.

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 18: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery

and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery

factor for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 19: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each

investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period

January 2022 through December 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 20: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period

January 2022 through December 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 21: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in

calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery

voltage level class?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 22: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery

voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

Nucor: No position at this time.

CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 23A: What adjustment amounts should the Commission approve to be refunded

through the capacity clause in 2022 associated with the SoBRA III project,

specifically Plants Santa Fe and Twin Rivers approved in Docket No. 20200245-EI?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 23B: What is the appropriate amount of costs for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage

Installation (ISFSI) that DEF should be allowed to recover through the capacity

cost recovery clause pursuant to DEF's 2017 Settlement?

Nucor: No position at this time.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 24A: What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with the 2019

SOBRA projects to be refunded through the capacity clause in 2022?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 24B: What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with the 2020

SOBRA projects to be refunded through the capacity clause in 2022?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 24C: What are the appropriate Indiantown non-fuel base revenue requirements to be

recovered through the Capacity Clause pursuant to the Commission's approval of

the Indiantown transaction in Docket No. 160154-EI for 2022?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 24D: What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with Okeechobee

Clean Energy Center Generation Limited Scope Adjustment as required by Order

NO. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI?

Nucor: No position.

Gulf Power Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Gulf Power Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 25A, 25B, 25C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 26A, 26B, 26C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the

period January 2020 through December 2020?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up

amounts for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be

collected/refunded during the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the

period January 2022 through December 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 31: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery

amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2022 through

December 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 32: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues

and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2022

through December 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 33: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January

2022 through December 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 34: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost

recovery factors for billing purposes?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 35: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment

factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this

proceeding?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 36: Should this docket be closed?

Nucor: No position.

CONTESTED ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 1F: What is the impact on this docket, if a decision is issued in Case SC20-1601 before

January 1, 2022?

Nucor: No position at this time.

ISSUE 1G: If the decision in Case SC20-1601 requires the return of replacement power costs

to customers, what interest amount should be applied?

Nucor: No position at this time.

F. PENDING MOTIONS

None.

G. PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

None.

H. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATIONS OF WITNESS AS EXPERT

None at this time.

I. REQUIREMENTS OF ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

There are no requirements of the Procedural Orders with which Nucor cannot

comply.

Respectfully submitted,

STONE MATTHEIS XENOPOULOS & BREW, PC

/s/ Michael K. Lavanga

Peter J. Mattheis Michael K. Lavanga 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 (202) 342-0800 (202) 342-0807 (fax)

E-mail: pjm@smxblaw.com mkl@smxblaw.com

Attorneys for Nucor Steel Florida, Inc.

Dated: October 6, 2021

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Prehearing Statement of Nucor Steel

Florida, Inc. has been furnished by electronic mail this 6th of October 2021, to the following:

Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg FL 33701

Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Ausley Law Firm
J. Beasley/J. Wahlen/M. Means
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com

Florida Power & Light Company Maria Jose Moncada/David M. Lee 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach FL 33408-0420 david.lee@fpl.com maria.moncada@fpl.com

Florida Public Utilities Company Mr. Mike Cassel 208 Wildlight Ave. Yulee FL 32097 mcassel@fpuc.com

Gunster Law Firm
Beth Keating
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601
Tallahassee FL 32301
bkeating@gunster.com

Matthew R. Bernier/Robert L. Pickels Duke Energy 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee FL 32301-7740 matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com robert.pickels@duke-energy.com

Florida Industrial Power Users Group Jon C. Moyle, Jr. c/o Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com mqualls@moylelaw.com

Florida Power & Light Company Kenneth A. Hoffman 134 W. Jefferson Street Tallahassee FL 32301 ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Gulf Power Company Russell A. Badders One Energy Place Pensacola FL 32520-0100 Russell.Badders@nexteraenergy.com

Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC James W. Brew/Laura Wynn Baker 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW, Ste. 800 West Washington DC 20007-5201 jwb@smxblaw.com lwb@smxblaw.com Tampa Electric Company
Ms. Paula K. Brown
Regulatory Affairs
P. O. Box 111
Tampa FL 33601-0111
regdept@tecoenergy.com

Office of Public Counsel
R. Gentry/C. Rehwinkel/A. Pirrello/S.
Morse/M. Wessling/P. Christensen
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
pirrello.anastacia@leg.state.fl.us
gentry.richard@leg.state.fl.us
wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us

Suzanne Brownless Office of General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 sbrownles@psc.state.fl.us

/s/ Michael K. Lavanga