FILED 5/25/2022 DOCUMENT NO. 03173-2022 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK



May 25, 2022

Donald Phillips and Takira Thompson Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0688

Subject: Staff's First Data Request, Orlando Utilities Commission 2022 Ten-Year Site Plan

Dear Mr. Phillips and Ms. Thompson,

Attached please find an electronic version (in PDF format) of the Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) responses to the subject Data Request. The attached responses are being submitted by nFront Consulting on behalf of OUC.

If you have any questions about the attached responses, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

151 Bradly Inte

Bradley Kushner Executive Consultant nFront Consulting LLC BradKushner@nFrontConsulting.com

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 1 of 35

Instructions: Accompanying this data request is a Microsoft Excel (Excel) document titled "Data Request #1.Excel Tables," (Excel Tables File). For each question below that references the Excel Tables File, please complete the table and provide, in Excel Format, all data requested for those sheet(s)/tab(s) identified in parenthesis.

General Items

1. Please provide an electronic copy of the Company's Ten-Year Site Plan (TYSP) for the period 2022-2031 (current planning period) in PDF format.

OUC Response:

The requested information was provided to the Florida Public Service Commission on April 1, 2022.

2. Please provide an electronic copy of all schedules and tables in the Company's current planning period TYSP in Excel format.

OUC Response:

The requested information was provided to the Florida Public Service Commission on April 1, 2022.

3. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Financial Assumptions, Financial Escalation). Complete the tables by providing information on the financial assumptions and financial escalation assumptions used in developing the Company's TYSP. If any of the requested data is already included in the Company's current planning period TYSP, state so on the appropriate form.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file) and refer to the worksheets titled "Financial Assumptions" and "Financial Escalation".

Load & Demand Forecasting

- 4. [Investor-Owned Utilities Only] Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Hourly System Load). Complete the table by providing, on a system-wide basis, the hourly system load in megawatts (MW) for the period January 1 through December 31 of the year prior to the current planning period. For leap years, please include load values for February 29. Otherwise, leave that row blank.
 - a. Please also describe how loads are calculated for those hours just prior to and following Daylight Savings Time (March 14, 2021, and November 7, 2021).

OUC Response:

This question is not applicable as OUC is not an Investor-Owned Utility.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 2 of 35

5. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Historic Peak Demand). Complete the table by providing information on the monthly peak demand experienced during the three-year period prior to the current planning period, including the actual peak demand experienced, the amount of demand response activated during the peak, and the estimated total peak if demand response had not been activated. Please also provide the day, hour, and system-average temperature at the time of each monthly peak.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "Historic Peak Demand". The table presents the monthly coincident peak demands for OUC and the City of St. Cloud combined; the date, day of the week and hour when these monthly peak demands occurred; and the temperature at the time of these peaks.

6. Please identify the weather station(s) used for calculation of the system-wide temperature for the Company's service territory. If more than one weather station is utilized, please describe how a system-wide average is calculated.

OUC Response:

System-wide temperature data for OUC's service territory is based on information obtained from the Pine Hills weather station, which was the only weather station used.

- 7. Please explain, to the extent not addressed in the Company's current planning period TYSP, how the reported forecasts of the number of customers, demand, and total retail energy sales were developed. In your response, please include the following information:
 - Methodology.
 - Assumptions.
 - Data sources.
 - Third-party consultant(s) involved.
 - Anticipated forecast accuracy.
 - Any difference/improvement(s) made compared with those forecasts used in the Company's most recent prior TYSP.

OUC Response:

OUC prepares a set of sales, energy, and demand forecast models each year to support OUC's budgeting and financial planning process as well as long-term planning requirements. In preparing the forecasts OUC uses:

- internal records
- company knowledge of the service territory and customers
- economic projections from IHS Markit, Inc.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 3 of 35

- weather data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) collected at the Orlando International Airport weather station
- future "normal" weather was assumed to be equal to the annual 20 year median HDD and CDD calculated for the period January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2020.
- OUC draws on outside expertise as needed:
 - o economic projection data was provided by IHS Markit, Inc.
 - software, analysis of end-use equipment and efficiencies, analysis of forecast accuracy, and technical expertise was provided by Itron, Inc.
 - o electric vehicle forecast technical expertise was provided by Siemens
 - rooftop solar adoption curves were provided by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory

A detailed explanation of OUC's forecasting methodology is included in Section 4 of OUC's 2022 Ten-Year Site Plan.

8. Please identify all closed and open Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) dockets and all non-docketed FPSC matters which were/are based on the same load forecast used in the Company's current planning period TYSP.

OUC Response:

There are no closed or opened FPSC dockets or non-docketed FPSC matters based on the same load forecast used in OUC's 2022 TYSP.

- 9. Please explain if your Company evaluates the accuracy of its forecasts of customer growth and annual retail energy sales presented in its past TYSPs by comparing the actual data for a given year to the data forecasted one, two, three, four, five, or six years prior.
 - a. If your response is affirmative, please explain the method used in your evaluation, and provide the corresponding results, including work papers, in Excel format for the analysis of each forecast presented in the TYSPs filed with the Commission during the 20-year period prior to the current planning period. If your Company limits its analysis to a period shorter than 20 years prior to the current planning period, please provide what analysis you have and a narrative explaining why your Company limits its analysis period.
 - b. If your response is negative, please explain why.

OUC Response

As part of OUC's Operating Budget variance reporting, OUC compares actual customer counts and sales for the current fiscal year to the corresponding forecast data utilized in the operating budget. OUC does not have a formal process to evaluate the accuracy of the data forecasted two or more years ago. Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 4 of 35

- 10. Please explain if your Company evaluates the accuracy of its forecasts of Summer/Winter Peak Energy Demand presented in its past TYSPs by comparing the actual data for a given year to the data forecasted one, two, three, four, five, or six years prior.
 - a. If your response is affirmative, please explain the method used in your evaluation, and provide the corresponding results, including work papers, in Excel format for the analysis of each forecast presented in the TYSPs filed with the Commission during the 20-year period prior to the current planning period. If your Company limits its analysis to a period shorter than 20 years prior to the current planning period, please provide what analysis you have and a narrative explaining why your Company limits its analysis period.
 - b. If your response is negative, please explain why.

OUC Response:

OUC tracks its actual Summer/Winter Peak Energy Demand on an ongoing basis and utilizes these demands in its forecast. Since 2011, OUC has consistently been a summer peaking utility and has had well in excess of a 15 percent reserve margin. As part of the annual forecasting process the new 10-year Summer Peak Energy Demand is compared to the previous year's 10-year forecast and any sizable variances are investigated.

11. Please explain any historic and forecasted trends in each of the following:

a. Growth of customers, by customer type (residential, commercial, industrial) as well as Total Customers, and identify the major factors (historically, currently, and in the forecasted period) that contribute to the growth/decline of the trends.

OUC Response:

From 2012 through 2021, inclusive of St. Cloud, OUC's average annual residential, commercial, and total customer growth rates were 2.5%, 1.8%, and 2.3%, respectively.

Residential customer growth for OUC and St. Cloud is primarily driven by the growth in the number of Orange and Osceola county households, respectively. Based on household growth projections, residential customers, inclusive of St. Cloud, are forecasted to grow 2.3% on average over the 2022 to 2031 period.

Commercial customer growth for OUC and St. Cloud is primarily driven by population growth in Orange and Osceola counties, respectively. Based on population growth projections, commercial customers, inclusive of St. Cloud are forecasted to grow 1.4% on average over the 2022 to 2031 period.

For additional details on the forecast number of households and population by county see Table 4-1 in OUC's 2022 Ten-Year Site Plan. For additional details on the forecast OUC and St. Cloud residential, commercial, and total customer growth rates, see Tables 4-3 and 4-5 in OUC's 2022 Ten-Year Site Plan.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 5 of 35

b. Average KWh consumption per customer, by customer type (residential, commercial, industrial), and identify the major factors (historically, currently, and in the forecasted period) that contribute to the growth/decline of the trends.

OUC Response:

The average OUC residential customer weather normalized usage per month declined from approximately 965 kWh/month in 2011 to approximately 902 kWh/month in 2021, an average annual decline of 0.9%. The decline in average use per residential customer has tapered dramatically since the beginning of the 10-year historic period due to the increased saturation of more efficient HVAC equipment and other electrical devices as well as customer conservation efforts. Forecast residential average usage is expected to remain relatively flat as increased electric vehicle charging mitigates further saturation of more efficient electrical equipment and conservation efforts. Commercial sales have also shown a slight, long-term declining use per customer trend that has been greatly exacerbated by the impacts of COVID-19 in 2020. The average OUC weather normalized usage per commercial customer declined approximately 0.2% annually from 2012 through 2021. Commercial average usage is expected to recover to pre-COVID.

c. Total Sales (GWh) to Ultimate Customers, identify the major factors (historically, currently, and in the forecasted period) that contribute to the growth/decline of the trends. Please include a detailed discussion of how the Company's demand management program(s) and conservation/energy-efficiency program(s) impact the growth/decline of the trends.

OUC Response:

Net Energy for Load had an average annual growth of 0.8% from 2012 to 2021, where 2020 was impacted from COVID-19, and is projected to grow at an average annual rate of 1.2% from 2021 to 2031. The main drivers for a higher growth rate than in the past are due to the recovery from COVID-19 effects as well as projected growth in electric vehicle charging load and major commercial expansions from Universal and the Orlando International Airport that are largely outside of normal growth. OUC does not have a demand management program but has experienced an offset in Net Energy for Load growth from various conservation/energy-efficiency programs such as rebates for appliances with higher efficiencies and home energy surveys, as outlined in Section 5 of OUC's 2022 10-Year Site Plan.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 6 of 35

- 12. Please explain any historic and forecasted trends in each of the following components of Summer/Winter Peak Demand:
 - a. Demand Reduction due to Conservation and Self Service, by customer type (residential, commercial, industrial) as well as Total Customers, and identify the major factors (historically, currently, and in the forecasted period) that contribute to the growth/decline in the trends.

OUC Response:

The forecast provided by OUC includes assumptions for appliance efficiency and saturation related to heating, cooling and other electric load. These assumptions capture historical and projected changes in codes and standards and are used as inputs to the statistically adjusted end-use ("SAE") multi-regression modeling technique developed by Itron, Inc. Additionally, the multi-regression models also capture the impacts of Conservation above the requirements of the codes and standards. While the forecast takes into account the total Conservation impacts it does not explicitly differentiate between what's required by changes in codes and standards and Conservation impacts in excess of the requirements.

The forecast provided by OUC includes assumptions for Self Service, specifically, customersited rooftop solar photovoltaic installations. These assumptions capture historical and projected reductions of load due to Self Service. Historic Self Service has not been significant. Projected Self Service was forecasted using adoption curves provided by the National Renewable Energy Lab as part of a recent study performed on OUC's service territory. According to this forecast, Self Service generation is projected to grow at an average annual rate of 11.5% from 2022 to 2031.

b. Demand Reduction due to Demand Response, by customer type (residential, commercial, industrial), and identify the major factors (historically, currently, and in the forecasted period) that contribute to the growth/decline of the trends.

OUC Response:

OUC does not offer demand response programs, so this question is not applicable.

c. Total Demand, and identify the major factors (historically, currently, and in the forecasted period) that contribute to the growth/decline in the trends.

OUC Response:

In addition to the answer shown in response to Question No. 12d, some decline in Total Demand is due to wholesale agreements expiring within the forecast period.

d. Net Firm Demand, by the sources of peak demand appearing in Schedule 3.1 and Schedule 3.2 of the current planning period TYSP, and identify the major factors (historically, currently, and in the forecasted period) that contribute to the growth/decline in the trends.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1

Page 7 of 35

OUC Response:

Long term, the combined OUC & St. Cloud system peak is expected to grow along with the combined OUC & St. Cloud net energy for load (NEL) at approximately the same rate. For 2022 – 2031, NEL is expected to average 1.2% growth annually while the system peak is expected to average 2.0% growth in the summer period and 2.4% growth in the winter period.

- 13. Please explain any anomalies caused by non-weather events with regard to annual historical data points for the period 10 years prior to the current planning period that have contributed to the following, respectively:
 - a. Summer Peak Demand.
 - b. Winter Peak Demand.
 - c. Annual Retail Energy Sales.

OUC Response:

The effects of COVID-19 caused a large decrease in what would have been much higher peak demand had COVID-19 not occurred. Due to the weather effects that were greatly favorable to higher load, the overall negative effects on load from COVID-19 were largely mitigated. OUC is not aware of any other anomalies within the historical 10-year period.

14. Please provide responses to the following questions regarding the weather factors considered in the Company's retail energy sales and peak demand forecasts:

a. Please identify, with corresponding explanations, all the weather-related input variables that were used in the respective Retail Energy Sales, Winter Peak Demand, and Summer Peak Demand models.

OUC Response: Degree days are used for the sales forecast and are the difference between 65 F° and the average daily temperature (high plus low divided by 2). For the peak forecast variations are used where 55 F° and 80 F° instead of the 65 F° is calculated for the winter and summer, respectively.

b. Please specify the source(s) of the weather data used in the aforementioned forecasting models.

OUC Response: Historical temperature data is from the National Weather Service's Orlando International Airport ("MCO") reporting station.

c. Please explain in detail the process/procedure/method, if any, the Company utilized to convert the raw weather data into the values of the model input variables.

OUC Response: Converted raw weather data to degree days as described above.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1

Page 8 of 35

d. Please specify with corresponding explanations:

OUC Response: Not applicable.

e. How many years' historical weather data was used in developing each retail energy sales and peak demand model.

OUC Response: The regression models used data starting in 2010 in order to forecast future energy sales and 2011 for the peak forecast.

f. How many years' historical weather data was used in the process of these models' calibration and/or validation.

OUC Response: Same as the response to 14e.

g. Please explain how the projected values of the input weather variables (that were used to forecast the future sales or demand outputs for each planning years 2022 - 2031) were derived/obtained for the respective retail sales and peak demand models.

OUC Response: Normal weather was calculated as the median degree days for a 20-year period (2001-2020).

- 15. [Investor-Owned Utilities Only] If not included in the Company's current planning period TYSP, please provide load forecast sensitivities (high band, low band) to account for the uncertainty inherent in the base case forecasts in the following TYSP schedules, as well as the methodology used to prepare each forecast:
 - a. Schedule 2.1 History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class.
 - b. Schedule 2.2 History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class.
 - c. Schedule 2.3 History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class.
 - d. Schedule 3.1 History and Forecast of Summer Peak Demand.
 - e. Schedule 3.2 History and Forecast of Winter Peak Demand.
 - f. Schedule 3.3 History and Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load.
 - g. Schedule 4 Previous Year and 2-Year Forecast of Peak Demand and Net Energy for Load by Month.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 9 of 35

OUC Response:

This question is not applicable as OUC is not an Investor-Owned Utility.

- 16. Please provide responses to the following questions regarding the possible impacts of COVID-19 Pandemic (Pandemic) on the utility load forecast:
 - a. Please briefly summarize the impacts due to the Pandemic, if any, to the accuracy of the Company's respective forecast of annual retail energy sales and peak demands for 2020 and 2021.

<u>OUC Response:</u> The Pandemic impacted both retail energy sales and peak demands in 2020. Commercial sales were pretty severely impacted but residential saw little or no impact. There seems to be no or very minimal impact in 2021 to both commercial and residential.

b. Have any of your 2022 TYSP retail energy sales and peak demand forecasts incorporated the potential impacts of the Pandemic? Please explain your response.

<u>OUC Response:</u> No, OUC is not forecasting any potential impacts from the Pandemic on sales at this time.

17. Please address the following questions regarding the impact of all customer-owned/leased renewable generation (solar and otherwise) on the Utility's forecasts.

a. Please explain in detail how the Utility's load forecast accounts for the impact of customer owned/leased renewable generation (solar and otherwise).

<u>OUC Response:</u> The forecast provided by OUC includes assumptions for customer-sited rooftop solar photovoltaic installations. These assumptions capture historical and projected reductions of load. Historic installations have not been significant. Projected installations were forecasted using adoption curves provided by the National Renewable Energy Lab as part of a recent study performed on OUC's service territory. According to this forecast, solar rooftop generation is projected to grow at an average annual rate of 11.5% from 2022 to 2031

b. Please provide the annual impact, if any, of customer-owned/leased renewable generation (solar and otherwise) on the Utility's retail demand and energy forecasts, by class and in total, for 2022 through 2031.

<u>OUC Response:</u> The table below contains the forecast annual impact of customer-owned/leased renewable generation (solar and otherwise) on OUC's retail demand and energy forecast.

Sales Impact (GWh)							System Coincident Hourly Peak			
	OU	<u>C</u>	St. Cloud			Demar				
<u>Calendar</u> <u>Year</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>General</u> Service	<u>Residential</u>	<u>General</u> Service	<u>Total</u>	<u>Summer</u> (MW)	<u>Winter</u> (MW)	<u>NEL</u> (GWh)		
2022	(45)	(12)	(37)	(0)	(94)	(26)	0	(100)		
2023	(59)	(13)	(49)	(0)	(122)	(21)	0	(131)		
2024	(66)	(16)	(55)	(0)	(137)	(23)	0	(147)		
2025	(67)	(17)	(56)	(0)	(141)	(23)	0	(151)		
2026	(70)	(18)	(58)	(0)	(146)	(24)	0	(156)		
2027	(73)	(18)	(61)	(0)	(153)	(25)	0	(164)		
2028	(80)	(19)	(67)	(0)	(167)	(28)	0	(179)		
2029	(90)	(21)	(75)	(0)	(186)	(31)	0	(200)		
2030	(107)	(25)	(89)	(0)	(221)	(37)	0	(237)		
2031	(122)	(27)	(102)	(0)	(251)	(42)	0	(270)		

c. If the Utility maintains a forecast for the planning horizon (2022-2031) of the number of customers with customer-owned/leased renewable generation (solar and otherwise), by customer class, please provide.

<u>OUC Response:</u> OUC does not maintain a forecast of the number of customers with customer-owned/leased renewable generation.

18. Please discuss whether the Company included plug-in electric vehicle (PEV) loads in its demand and energy forecasts for its current planning period TYSP. If so, how were these impacts accounted for in the modeling and forecasting process?

OUC Response:

The historical loads associated with existing PEVs are included in the historical load data by class and impact the demand and energy projections. The current demand and energy forecasts for the 2021 TYSP have included additional PEV load growth in both the residential class and commercial class forecasts to capture increasing saturation of the total vehicle market.

19. Please discuss the methodology and the assumptions (or, if applicable, the source(s) of the data) used to estimate the number of PEVs operating in the Company's service territory and the methodology used to estimate the cumulative impact on system demand and energy consumption.

OUC Response:

OUC's forecast includes the projected impacts of electrification of both light duty vehicles (LDV) and heavy duty vehicles (HDV). The following describes the methodology and assumptions used in the LDV forecast.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 11 of 35

Florida's population was divided by the actual number of Florida registered vehicles, provided by IHS Markit, to obtain Florida vehicles per capita. The Florida vehicles per capita amount was applied to the IHS Markit OUC population projections to estimate the number of total vehicles within OUC's service territory over the forecast period. Annual new car sales for OUC's service territory were determined by adding the growth in total vehicles to the number of vehicles annually removed from service. A PEV market share was then applied to the new car sales estimate for OUC's service territory to determine the number of PEV additions. A survival curve, provided by Siemens, was applied to the additions to remove PEVs from service at the end of their useful life. The market share assumptions were provided by Siemens and represents a projection of national PEV sales as a percentage to total LDV sales. Additionally, Siemens provided the survival curve.

Demand and energy impacts were then based on each PEV driving an assumed 12,000 miles per year and charging of 25 kWh per 100 miles driven, resulting in an annual 3,000 kWh per PEV. 25 kWh was based on the estimates for the Tesla Model 3 and Model Y. PEVs impact on demand was forecast to have an equal percentage impact as that on sales. As more information becomes available, OUC will incorporate into future forecasts. The forecast PEV energy impacts were manually added to the residential sales forecast.

Siemens followed a similar methodology when they developed OUC's HDV forecast which was manually added to the commercial & industrial sales forecasts.

20. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Electric Vehicle Charging). Complete the table by providing estimates of the requested information within the Company's service territory for the current planning period. Direct current fast charger (DCFC) PEV charging stations are those that require a service drop greater than 240 volts and/or use three-phase power.

OUC Response:

OUC has supported the installation of more than140 public charging stations and has installed multiple DC fast charger EV charging stations in its service territory. At this time, public charging station deployment on the OUC system is expected to meet the public's need for several years into the future. Given the changing technology and uncertainty of electric vehicle deployment, the number of additional charging stations that will be required by the public is considered speculative and no long-term projection has been made at this time. Since no long-term projection has been made, the requested table has been left blank.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 12 of 35

21. Please describe any Company programs or tariffs currently offered to customers relating to PEVs, and describe whether any new or additional programs or tariffs relating to PEVs will be offered to customers within the current planning period.

a. Of these programs or tariffs, are any designed for or do they include educating customers on electricity as a transportation fuel?

OUC Response:

OUC currently offers a \$200 rebate to customers who purchase or lease a plugin electric vehicle. OUC does not currently offer any tariffs specific to electric vehicle charging. OUC is in the process of re-developing its EV incentive program.

OUC has formed an educational subcommittee for electrification of transportation. In addition, OUC:

- conducts Ride and Drive events,
- maintains a web portal for information on purchasing PEVs, and
- has internal and external marketing campaigns
- b. Does the Company have any programs where customers can express their interest or expectations for electric vehicle infrastructure as provided for by the Utility, and if so, please describe in detail.

OUC Response:

OUC does not yet have any programs for customers to express interest in PEV infrastructure provided by OUC.

22. Please describe how the Company monitors the installation of PEV public charging stations in its service area.

OUC Response:

OUC provides support for the installation of PEV public charging stations upon notification by the installer.

23. Please describe any instances since January 1 of the year prior to the current planning period in which upgrades to the distribution system were made where PEVs were a contributing factor.

OUC Response:

OUC has had no instances where distribution upgrades were needed in order to accommodate the installation of two public charging stations.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 13 of 35

24. Has the Company conducted or contracted any research to determine demographic and regional factors that influence the adoption of PEVs applicable to its service territory? If so, please describe in detail the methodology and findings.

OUC Response:

OUC has not conducted or contracted any research to determine demographic and regional factors that influence the adoption of electric vehicles applicable to its service territory.

25. What processes or technologies, if any, are in place that allow the Company to be notified when a customer has installed a PEV charging station in their home?

OUC Response:

OUC is notified if the customer applies for a PEV rebate. OUC also reviews meter data for a Level 2 charging signature.

26. What are the major drivers of the Company's PEV growth?

OUC Response:

OUC's growth in electric vehicles has been predominantly all battery electric vehicle (BEV); plug-in hybrid electric vehicle (PHEV) growth has been modest in comparison. OUC did see a significant upturn 70% growth, in the prior year. While the exact reason for growth is not known, the following can be attributed in some measure:

- Availability of BEV and PHEV is significant in comparison with prior years
- Gas prices have escalated, making the total cost of ownership improve significantly
- Word of mouth growth tends to be in place your neighbor, friend, associate purchases and it naturally reduces the myths associated with electric vehicles
- Orlando has significant infrastructure for charging, and has significant plans for expansion, thus removing some of the range anxiety barriers
- Prices for BEV and PHEV have become closer to the internal combustion engine (ICE) equivalent vehicle
- Increased advertising for electric vehicles

27. Please describe if and how Section 339.287, Florida Statutes, (Electric Vehicle Charging Stations; Infrastructure Plan Development) has impacted the Company's projection of PEV growth and related demand and energy growth.

OUC Response:

OUC has been able to move forward with a much stronger high speed charging plan. OUC now has two significant high speed hubs that are in planning and construction, and OUC will be able to move forward with 6 additional for its service territory. This strong response should push EV adoption even more than anticipated.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 14 of 35

28. What has the Company learned about the impact of PEV ownership on the Company's actual and forecasted peak demand?

<u>OUC Response:</u> OUC is not currently experiencing enough PEV load to materially impact peak demand.

29. If applicable, please describe any key findings and metrics of the Company's EV pilot program(s) which reveal the PEV impact to the demand and energy requirements of the Company.

OUC Response: This question is not applicable to OUC.

30. [FEECA Utilities Only] Please refer to the Excel Tables File (DR Participation). Complete the table by providing for each source of demand response annual customer participation information for 10 years prior to the current planning period. Please also provide a summary of all sources of demand response using the table.

<u>OUC Response:</u> OUC does not currently offer demand response programs to its customers.

31. [FEECA Utilities Only] Please refer to the Excel Tables File (DR Annual Use). Complete the table by providing for each source of demand response annual usage information for 10 years prior to the current planning period. Please also provide a summary of all demand response using the table.

<u>OUC Response:</u> OUC does not currently offer demand response programs to its customers.

32. [FEECA Utilities Only] Please refer to the Excel Tables File (DR Peak Activation). Complete the table by providing for each source of demand response annual seasonal peak activation information for 10 years prior to the current planning period. Please also provide a summary of all demand response using the table.

OUC Response: OUC does not currently offer demand response programs to its customers.

33. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (LOLP). Complete the table by providing the loss of load probability, reserve margin, and expected unserved energy for each year of the planning period.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "LOLP".

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 15 of 35

Generation & Transmission

34. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Unit Performance). Complete the table by providing information on each utility-owned generating resources' outage factors, availability factors, and average net operating heat rate (if applicable). For historical averages, use the past three years and for projected factors, use an average of the next ten-year period.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "Unit Performance".

35. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Utility Existing Traditional). Complete the table by providing information on each utility-owned traditional generation resource in service as of December 31 of the year prior to the current planning period. For multiple small (<250 kW per installation) distributed resources of the same type and fuel source, please include a single combined entry. For capacity factor, use the net capacity as a basis.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "Utility Existing Traditional"

- 36. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Utility Planned Traditional). Complete the table by providing information on each utility-owned traditional generation resource planned for in-service within the current planning period. For multiple small (<250 kW per installation) distributed resources of the same type and fuel source, please include a single combined entry. For projected capacity factor, use the net capacity as a basis.
 - a. For each planned utility-owned traditional generation resource in the table, provide a narrative response discussing the current status of the project.

OUC Response:

OUC does not have any traditional generation resources planned for in-service within the current planning period.

37. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Utility Existing Renewable). Complete the table by providing information on each utility-owned renewable generation resource in service as of December 31 of the year prior to the current planning period. For multiple small (<250 kW per installation) distributed resources of the same type and fuel source, please include a single combined entry. For capacity factor, use the net capacity as a basis.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "Utility Existing Renewable"

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 16 of 35

- 38. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Utility Planned Renewable). Complete the table by providing information on each utility-owned renewable generation resource planned for in-service within the current planning period. For multiple small (<250 kW per installation) distributed resources of the same type and fuel source, please include a single combined entry. For projected capacity factor, use the net capacity as a basis.
 - a. For each planned utility-owned renewable resource in the table, provide a narrative response discussing the current status of the project.

OUC Response:

OUC does not have any utility-owned renewable generation resource planned for in-service within the 2022 through 2031 planning period.

39. Please list and discuss any planned utility-owned renewable resources that have, within the past year, been cancelled, delayed, or reduced in scope. What was the primary reason for the changes? What, if any, were the secondary reasons?

OUC Response:

OUC has not had any planned utility-owned renewable resources within the past year that were cancelled, delayed, or reduced in scope.

40. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Firm Purchases). Complete the table by providing information on the Utility's firm capacity and energy purchases.

OUC Response:

OUC does not have any firm capacity and energy purchases for which it can report data.

41. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (PPA Existing Traditional). Complete the table by providing information on each purchased power agreement with a traditional generator still in effect by December 31 of the year prior to the current planning period pursuant to which energy was delivered to the Company during said year.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "PPA Existing Traditional".

OUC's only PPA with a traditional generator that was in effect by December 31, 2021 is with NextEra Energy (formerly with Southern-Company Florida, LLC) for capacity and energy from Stanton Energy Center Unit A.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 17 of 35

- 42. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (PPA Planned Traditional). Complete the table by providing information on each purchased power agreement with a traditional generator pursuant to which energy will begin to be delivered to the Company during the current planning period.
 - a. For each purchased power agreement in the table, provide a narrative response discussing the current status of the project.

OUC Response:

OUC does not currently have plans for any purchased power agreement with a traditional generator pursuant to which energy will begin to be delivered during the current planning period.

43. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (PPA Existing Renewable). Complete the table by providing information on each purchased power agreement with a renewable generator still in effect by December 31 of the year prior to the current planning period pursuant to which energy was delivered to the Company during said year.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "PPA Existing Renewable".

- 44. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (PPA Planned Renewable). Complete the table by providing information on each purchased power agreement with a renewable generator pursuant to which energy will begin to be delivered to the Company during the current planning period.
 - a. For each purchased power agreement in the table, provide a narrative response discussing the current status of the project.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "PPA Planned Renewable".

Power Purchase Agreements were executed for the Storey Bend and Harmony II projects in January 2021 with planned commercial operation in December 2024. Land options were secured for these projects prior to PPA execution. Due diligence and permitting are underway and are expected to be complete by year end 2022. The interconnection process is underway with the transmission provider and initial study results are expected in late 2022. Construction is tentatively scheduled to begin in 2023.

As discussed throughout OUC's 2022 Ten-Year Site Plan, OUC anticipates entering into additional solar PPAs (both with and without energy storage); these PPAs are included for informational purposes in the Excel table associated with the response to this question.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 18 of 35

45. Please list and discuss any purchased power agreements with a renewable generator that have, within the past year, been cancelled, delayed, or reduced in scope. What was the primary reason for the change? What, if any, were the secondary reasons?

OUC Response:

Storey Bend and Harmony II solar PPAs have been delayed due to the current status of the utility-scale solar PV market. No other renewable purchased power agreements were cancelled, delayed, or reduced in scope in the past year.

46. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (PSA Existing). Complete the table by providing information on each power sale agreement still in effect by December 31 of the year prior to the current planning period pursuant to which energy was delivered from the Company to a third-party during said year.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "PSA Existing".

As outlined in Section 2.0 of OUC's 2022 TYSP, OUC's power sales agreements in effect on December 31, 2021 consist of agreements with the City of Lake Worth Beach, the City of Winter Park, Lakeland Electric, the City of Mt. Dora, and the City of Chattahoochee.

47. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (PSA Planned). Complete the table by providing information on each power sale agreement pursuant to which energy will begin to be delivered from the Company to a third-party during the current planning period.

a. For each power sale agreement in the table, provide a narrative response discussing the current status of the agreement.

OUC Response:

OUC does not have any planned power sale agreements pursuant to which energy will begin to be delivered from OUC to a third-party during the current planning period.

48. Please list and discuss any long-term power sale agreements within the past year that were cancelled, expired, or modified.

OUC Response:

OUC did not have any long-term power sale agreements within the past year that were cancelled, expired, or modified.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 19 of 35

49. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Annual Renewable Generation). Complete the table by providing the actual and projected annual energy output of all renewable resources on the Company's system, by source, for the 11-year period beginning one year prior to the current planning period.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "Annual Renewable Generation".

50. [Investor-Owned Utilities Only] Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Potential Solar Sites). Complete the table by providing information on all of the Company's plant sites that are potential candidates for utility-scale (>2 MW) solar installations.

OUC Response:

This question is not applicable as OUC is not an Investor-Owned Utility.

51. Please describe any actions the Company engages in to encourage production of renewable energy within its service territory.

OUC Response:

Please OUC offers Solar PV incentive programs to Residential and Commercial Customers. The Solar PV programs provide net-metering at OUC's retail rate. OUC has developed a Residential Solar Aggregation Program (called OUCollective Solar) designed to offer Customers a more affordable option to install Solar PV on their homes. This program was made available to customers beginning in May, 2018.

52. [Investor-Owned Utilities Only] Please discuss whether the Company has been approached by renewable energy generators during the year prior to the current planning period regarding constructing new renewable energy resources. If so, please provide the number and a description of the type of renewable generation represented.

OUC Response:

This question is not applicable as OUC is not an Investor-Owned Utility.

53. Does the Company consider solar PV to contribute to one or both seasonal peaks for reliability purposes? If so, please provide the percentage contribution and explain how the Company developed the value.

OUC Response:

OUC assumes solar PV contributes 50% of total capacity to summer peak and zero to winter peak. These assumptions are based on historical observations.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 20 of 35

54. Please identify whether a declining trend in costs of energy storage technologies has been observed by the Company.

OUC Response:

OUC currently does not own or operate any large-scale lithium-ion energy storage resources. OUC has observed a slight increase in storage system prices at the residential scale over the past year and a significant rise in costs at the large commercial and industrial scale.

55. Briefly discuss any progress in the development and commercialization of non-lithium battery storage technology the Company has observed in recent years.

OUC Response:

In 2020, OUC installed a small-scale (20kW), commercially-available vanadium flow battery system at a demonstration site. The performance of this system under controlled conditions will help inform OUC's decisions regarding larger-scale systems in the future. In 2022, OUC installed a flywheel storage solution and has started to evaluate long duration thermal storage systems.

56. Briefly discuss any considerations reviewed in determining the optimal positioning of energy storage technology in the Company's system (e.g., Closer to/further from sources of load, generation, or transmission/distribution capabilities).

OUC Response:

Several aspects of energy storage systems are under consideration (in no particular order): 1) AC- or DC-coupled to renewable energy sources, 2) proximity of AC-coupled systems to renewable energy sources, 3) proximity to heavily-loaded feeders, 4) site/land-use limitations, and 5) potential for value-stacking (e.g. back-up power options).

57. Please explain whether ratepayers have expressed interest in energy storage technologies. If so, how have their interests been addressed?

OUC Response:

OUC has received occasional inquiries from solar PV contractors on behalf of ratepayers regarding OUC's procedures pertaining to behind-the-meter batteries coupled with solar PV systems. Such systems are permitted by OUC and are subject to the same vetting process as solar systems without storage. OUC currently has 388 customer interconnected battery storage systems. In November, 2019, OUC started a residential solar battery rebate program, providing rebates of \$2,000 to residential solar customers that purchase and install battery storage.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 21 of 35

58. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Existing Energy Storage). Complete the table by providing information on all energy storage technologies that are currently either part of the Company's system portfolio or are part of a pilot program sponsored by the Company.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "Existing Energy Storage". The information in this worksheet reflects energy storage technologies owned by OUC.

59. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Planned Energy Storage). Complete the table by providing information on all energy storage technologies planned for in-service during the current planning period either as part of the Company's system portfolio or as part of a pilot program sponsored by the Company.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "Planned Energy Storage". The information in this worksheet reflects energy storage technologies to be owned by OUC.

- 60. Please identify and describe the objectives and methodologies of all energy storage pilot programs currently running or in development with an anticipated launch date within the current planning period. If the Company is not currently participating in or developing energy storage pilot programs, has it considered doing so? If not, please explain.
 - a. Please discuss any pilot program results, addressing all anticipated benefits, risks, and operational limitations when such energy storage technology is applied on a utility scale (> 2 MW) to provide for either firm or non-firm capacity and energy.
 - b. Please provide a brief assessment of how these benefits, risks, and operational limitations may change over the current planning period.
 - c. Please identify and describe any plans to periodically update the Commission on the status of your energy storage pilot programs.

OUC Response:

OUC is planning to install an 8 MWh battery storage system at one of its substations in 2023. Once this pilot is in-service, OUC will evaluate the costs, benefits, risks and operational limitations of the system

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 22 of 35

- 61. If the Company utilizes non-firm generation sources in its system portfolio, please detail whether it currently utilizes or has considered utilizing energy storage technologies to provide firm capacity from such generation sources. If not, please explain.
 - a. Based on the Company's operational experience, please discuss to what extent energy storage technologies can be used to provide firm capacity from non-firm generation sources. As part of your response, please discuss any operational challenges faced and potential solutions to these challenges.

OUC Response:

OUC is currently evaluating opportunities with battery integration with solar PV systems. At this time, OUC does not have operational experience with energy storage systems for the purpose of providing firm capacity from non-firm generation.

- 62. Please identify and describe any programs the Company offers that allows its customers to contribute towards the funding of specific renewable projects, such as community solar programs.
 - a. Please describe any such programs in development with an anticipated launch date within the current planning period.

OUC Response:

OUC's Community Solar program allows residential and commercial customers to obtain a selected percentage (in increments of 10%) of their monthly electric consumption from OUC's newest solar farm at Stanton Energy Center. The participating customer will be charged a solar rate in lieu of a fuel rate for the percentage of monthly consumption that they select. OUC does not have any additional programs in development.

63. Please identify and discuss the Company's role in the research and development of utility power technologies. As part of this response, please describe any plans to implement the results of research and development into the Company's system portfolio and discuss how any anticipated benefits will affect your customers.

OUC Response:

OUC has an Emerging Technologies group that evaluates and demonstrates the use of new generation, energy storage, and distributed energy technologies. Successful demonstration of such technologies may lead to their larger scale deployment. Distributed Energy Resources are being evaluated, and both storage and controllable loads are being considered for the grid impact in providing more resiliency and firm capacity. These efforts are also considering the economics and ability to incentivize customers to aid in this effort.

Successful implementation of emerging technologies may lead to enhanced reliability and more sustainable production of energy.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 23 of 35

64. [Investor-Owned Utilities Only] Please refer to the Excel Tables File (As-Available Energy Rate). Complete the table by providing, on a system-wide basis, the historical annual average as-available energy rate in the Company's service territory for the 10-year period prior to the current planning period. Also, provide the projected annual average as-available energy rate in the Company's service territory for the current planning period. If the Company uses multiple areas for as-available energy rates, please provide a system-average rate as well.

OUC Response:

This question is not applicable as OUC is not an Investor-Owned Utility.

65. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Planned PPSA Units). Complete the table by providing information on all planned traditional units with an in-service date within the current planning period. For each planned unit, provide the date of the Commission's Determination of Need and Power Plant Siting Act certification, if applicable.

OUC Response:

OUC does not have any planned traditional units with an in-service date within the current planning period.

66. For each of the planned generating units, both traditional and renewable, contained in the Company's current planning period TYSP, please discuss the "drop dead" date for a decision on whether or not to construct each unit. Provide a timeline for the construction of each unit, including regulatory approval, and final decision point.

OUC Response:

OUC does not have any planned traditional generating units contained in the current planning period TYSP. Therefore, there are no "drop dead" dates to discuss for traditional generating units.

OUC's planned renewable additions reflected in the 2022 Ten-Year site Plan are anticipated to be via purchase power agreements (PPAs) with other entities that develop and construct the facilities. As such, OUC does not have a timeline for construction, regulatory approval, and final decisions related to the facilities.

67. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Capacity Factors). Complete the table by providing the actual and projected capacity factors for each existing and planned unit on the Company's system for the 11-year period beginning one year prior to the current planning period.

OUC Response:

OUC considers the requested information to be confidential and therefore has not provided it in response to this request.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 24 of 35

68. [Investor-Owned Utilities Only] For each existing unit on the Company's system, please provide the planned retirement date. If the Company does not have a planned retirement date for a unit, please provide an estimated lifespan for units of that type and a non-binding estimate of the retirement date for the unit.

OUC Response:

This question is not applicable as OUC is not an Investor-Owned Utility.

69. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Steam Unit CC Conversion). Complete the table by providing information on all of the Company's steam units that are potential candidates for repowering to operation as Combined Cycle units.

OUC Response:

OUC does not have any steam units that are potential candidates for repowering to operation as combined cycle units.

70. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Steam Unit Fuel Switching). Complete the table by providing information on all of the Company's steam units that are potential candidates for fuel-switching.

OUC Response:

OUC anticipates converting Stanton Unit 2 to no longer operate on coal and instead operate only on natural gas during the 2027 timeframe; OUC is in the process of determining the final timing of the natural gas conversion of the unit. Please see attached "Data Request #1 - Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "Steam Unit Fuel Switching".

71. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Transmission Lines). Complete the table by providing a list of all proposed transmission lines for the current planning period that require certification under the Transmission Line Siting Act. Please also include in the table transmission lines that have already been approved, but are not yet in-service.

OUC Response:

OUC does not have any proposed transmission lines in the planning period that require certification under the Transmission Line Siting Act. OUC does have a single transmission line (St. Cloud East – Magnolia Ranch) that is certified under the Transmission Line Siting Act and is under construction.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1

Page 25 of 35

Environmental

- 72. Please explain if the Company assumes carbon dioxide (CO₂) compliance costs in the resource planning process used to generate the resource plan presented in the Company's current planning period TYSP. If the response is affirmative, answer the following questions:
 - a. Please identify the year during the current planning period in which CO₂ compliance costs are first assumed to have a non-zero value.
 - b. [Investor-Owned Utilities Only] Please explain if the exclusion of CO2 compliance costs would result in a different resource plan than that presented in the Company's current planning period TYSP.
 - c. [Investor-Owned Utilities Only] Please provide a revised resource plan assuming no CO₂ compliance costs.

OUC Response:

CO₂ compliance costs have not been included in the resource planning process used to generate the resource plan presented in OUC's 2022 TYSP.

73. Provide a narrative explaining the impact of any existing environmental regulations relating to air emissions and water quality or waste issues on the Company's system during the previous year. As part of your narrative, please discuss the potential for existing environmental regulations to impact unit dispatch, curtailments, or retirements during the current planning period.

OUC Response:

The recent State of Florida Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction (SSM) State Implementation Plan (SIP) call by the US Environmental Protection Agency has the potential for large impacts on OUC's operations. The magnitude and specifics of the impacts, have not yet been determined as the Florida Department of Environmental Protection SIP is currently under review by U.S. EPA.

On 19 January 2021, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) vacated the Affordable Clean Energy Rule (ACE Rule). The Biden administration is expected to propose their own greenhouse gas regulations.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 26 of 35

74. For the U.S. EPA's Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions for New Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units Rule:

- a. Will your Company be materially affected by the rule?
- b. What compliance strategy does the Company anticipate employing for the rule?
- c. If the strategy has not been completed, what is the Company's timeline for completing the compliance strategy?
- d. Will there be any regulatory approvals needed for implementing this compliance strategy? How will this affect the timeline?
- e. Does the Company anticipate asking for cost recovery for any expenses related to this rule? Refer to the Excel Tables File (Emissions Cost). Complete the table by providing information on the costs for the current planning period.
- f. If the answer to any of the above questions is not available, please explain why.

OUC Response:

Please see responses below.

- a. OUC does not currently have any firm plans related to the addition of new generating units that would be affected by this standard.
- b. Not applicable.
- c. Not applicable.
- d. Not applicable.
- e. Not applicable.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 27 of 35

75. Explain any expected reliability impacts resulting from each of the EPA rules listed below. As part of your explanation, please discuss the impacts of transmission constraints and changes to units not modified by the rule that may be required to maintain reliability. a. Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) Rule.

- b. Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR).
- c. Cooling Water Intake Structures (CWIS) Rule.
- d. Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Rule.
- e. Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions for New Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.
- f. Affordable Clean Energy Rule or its replacement.
- g. Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards (ELGS) from the Steam Electric Power Generating Point Source Category.

OUC Response:

OUC does not anticipate reliability impacts due to EPA rules "a" through "e" and "g" listed above.

Related to EPA rule "f" above, on 19 January 2021, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) vacated the Affordable Clean Energy Rule (ACE Rule). The Biden administration is expected to propose their own greenhouse gas regulations.

76. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (EPA Operational Effects). Complete the table by identifying, for each unit affected by one or more of EPA's rules, what the impact is for each rule, including; unit retirement, curtailment, installation of additional emissions controls, fuel switching, or other impacts identified by the Company.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "EPA Operational Effects".

77. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (EPA Cost Effects). Complete the table by identifying, for each unit impacted by one or more of the EPA's rules, what the estimated cost is for implementing each rule over the course of the planning period.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "EPA Cost Effects". The costs shown in the table correspond to the years in which the expenditures occurred.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 28 of 35

78. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (EPA Unit Availability). Complete the table by identifying, for each unit impacted by one or more of EPA's rules, when and for what duration units would be required to be offline due to retirements, curtailments, installation of additional controls, or additional maintenance related to emission controls. Include important dates relating to each rule.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "EPA Unit Availability".

79. If applicable, identify any currently approved costs for environmental compliance investments made by your Company, including but not limited to renewable energy or energy efficiency measures, which would mitigate the need for future investments to comply with recently finalized or proposed EPA regulations. Briefly describe the nature of these investments and identify which rule(s) they are intended to address.

OUC Response:

OUC evaluated an SCR retrofit for Stanton Energy Center Unit 1 following the upholding of CSAPR by the Supreme Court in April 2014. Prior to postponing the retrofit when CSAPR was vacated by the US 5th Circuit Court, OUC had invested approximately \$11 million in the project.

Fuel Supply & Transportation

80. Please refer to the Excel Tables File (Fuel Usage & Price). Complete the table by providing, on a system-wide basis, the actual annual fuel usage (in GWh) and average fuel price (in nominal \$/MMBTU) for each fuel type utilized by the Company in the 10-year period prior to the current planning period. Also, provide the forecasted annual fuel usage (in GWh) and forecasted annual average fuel price (in nominal \$/MMBTU) for each fuel type forecasted to be used by the Company in the current planning period.

OUC Response:

Please see attached "Data Request #1 – Excel Tables_OUC" (Excel .xlsx file), and refer to the Worksheet titled "Fuel Usage and Price".

Projected data for 2022 through 2031 reflects dispatch to serve energy required to serve OUC, St. Cloud, City of Lake Worth Beach, Winter Park, City of Mt. Dora, City of Chattahoochee, and Lakeland Electric load obligations as discussed in Section 2 of OUC's 2022 TYSP, and does not reflect any additional economy energy sales or economy energy purchases. Projected data does not reflect any interaction with the Florida Municipal Power Pool. Fuel prices are not included in the table as OUC considers fuel prices to be proprietary and confidential.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 29 of 35

81. Please discuss how the Company compares its fuel price forecasts to recognized, authoritative independent forecasts.

OUC Response:

The natural gas and fuel oil price forecasts used in OUC's 2022 Ten-Year Site Plan were developed based on a combination of the NYMEX forward curve and projections provided by PIRA Energy Group (PIRA). PIRA Energy Group was founded in 1976 and is an international energy consulting firm specializing in global energy market analysis and intelligence. Among other services, PIRA offers consulting on a broad range of subjects in the international crude oil, petroleum products, natural gas, electricity, coal, biofuels and emissions markets. PIRA's clients include international and national integrated oil and gas companies, independent producers, refiners, marketers, oil and gas pipelines, electric and gas utilities, industrials, trading companies, financial institutions and government agencies.

The coal price forecast used in OUC's 2022 Ten-Year Site Plan was developed based on projections by Energy Ventures Analysis, Inc. (EVA) for use by OUC as well as recent offers from coal suppliers of Illinois Basin coal. EVA is a consulting firm that engages in a variety of projects for private and public sector clients related to energy and environmental issues. In the energy area, much of EVA's work is related to analysis of the electric utility industry and fuel markets, particularly oil, natural gas, and coal. EVA's clients in these areas include coal, oil, and natural gas producers; electric utility and industrial energy consumers; and gas pipelines and railroads. EVA also works for a number of public agencies, such as state regulatory commissions, the US Environmental Protection Agency, and the US Department of Energy, as well as interveners in utility rate proceedings, such as consumer counsels and municipalities. Another group of clients include trade and industry associations, such as the Electric Power Research Institute, the Gas Research Institute, and the Center for Energy and Economic Development. EVA has provided testimony to numerous state public utility commissions, including the Florida Public Service Commission. Furthermore, the firm has filed testimony in a number of cases in both state and federal courts, as well as before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

OUC believes that retaining independent entities such as PIRA and EVA to provide their fuel price forecasting expertise, provides authoritative, independent forecasts in and of themselves.

One fuel forecast that OUC typically compares its forecast to is the US Energy Information Administration (EIA) Annual Energy Outlook. The fuel price projections provided by PIRA and EVA differ from those presented in the US Energy Information Administration (EIA) Annual Energy Outlook. The forecasting approaches used by PIRA and EVA utilize more current information relative to the information relied upon by the EIA in developing its Annual Energy Outlook, as the scopes of the forecasts developed by PIRA and EVA specifically for OUC are far less broad than the scope of data provided by EIA. The relatively limited scope allows PIRA and EVA to make use of the most current data available and develop forecasts more specific to OUC, rather than a forecast intended to address the US as a whole, as the EIA provides in the Annual Energy Outlook.

OUC continuously reviews other publicly available forecasts and such reviews validate OUC's use of the independent forecasts provided by PIRA and EVA. Furthermore, OUC's generation planning activities include analysis of fuel price sensitivities, which provide an even more comprehensive analysis of fuel prices.

82. Please identify and discuss expected industry trends and factors for each fuel type listed below that may affect the Company during the current planning period.

a. Coal

b. Natural Gas

c. Nuclear

d. Fuel Oil

e. Other (please specify each, if any)

OUC Response:

The following discussion addresses expected industry trends and factors for the 2022 through 2031 period for coal and natural gas, which are the primary fossil fuel types relied upon by the majority of OUC's generating units. The discussion is based on the US Energy Information Administration's Assumptions for Annual Energy Outlook 2022 (2022 AEO): 2022 Summer Fuels Outlook, Short-Term Energy Outlook (STEO), and Annual Energy Outlook 2022 1st Coal Working Group references, with comparisons to the Annual Energy Outlook 2021 (2021 AEO) Reference case. The overall effect of the trends relative to OUC cannot be determined, as the projections included in 2022 references do not take into account various market factors that may be specific to OUC (i.e. local weather, weather events across the US, the economy, the impact on demand resulting from possible future legislation related to carbon regulations and/or renewable energy standards, etc.). Additionally, energy markets remain to subject to heightened levels of uncertainty as responses to Russian-Ukraine conflict continue to evolve.

According to the 2022 STEO, the residential natural gas prices averaged \$12.27 per thousand cubic feet in 2021, which is approximately thirteen percent higher than the average price of \$10.83 per thousand cubic in 2020. Henry Hub prices are expected to average \$5.23/MMBtu in 2022 and average \$4.01/MMBtu in 2023. The forecasted drop in prices in 2023 reflects the expectation that storage levels will be higher during 2023 than in 2022. In the 2022 AEO, the price of natural gas is expected to stabilize in the near-term as storage levels recover, and remain at or lower than \$4.00/MMBtu over the projected period. Over the long-term prices will face upward pressure from growing demand in the industrial and electric power sectors. In the 2022 STEO, the EIA estimates that the End-of-March natural gas working inventories are 17% lower than the five-year (2017-2021) average, with recent withdrawals resulting from flat production and increased exports. In 2021, natural gas exports reached a record high and the 2022 AEO is expecting continued growth in natural gas. LNG exports then begin to remain level as U.S. sourced LNG become less competitive in global energy markets. In the

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 31 of 35

2022 STEO, the EIA estimates that U.S. dry natural gas production averaged 93.6 Bcf per day in 2021. The average dry natural gas production is forecasted to increase to 97.4 Bcf per day in 2022 and increase to 100.9 Bcf per day in 2023. According to the 2022 AEO, natural gas production is expected to increase over the projected period by nearly 24%, maintaining the historically high levels of production through 2050 in order to support the higher levels natural gas exports.

According to the 2022 STEO, the global oil market continues to remain subject to heightened levels of uncertainty resulting from a variety of factors, including Russia's further invasion of Ukraine. Additionally, energy supply uncertainty results from the conflict in Ukraine, the production decisions of OPEC+, and the rate at which U.S. oil and natural gas producers increase drilling. In 2021, Brent crude oil spot prices averaged \$71 per barrel. Sanctions on Russia during the first half of 2022 contributed to falling oil production in Russia and created significant market uncertainties about the potential for further oil supply disruptions, leading to a forecasted average Brent crude oil price of \$103 per barrel in 2022. While the price is forecasted to drop to \$93 per barrel in 2023, this price forecast is highly uncertain and price outcomes will be dependent on the degree to which the sanctions imposed on Russia affect Russia's oil production or the sale of Russia's oil in the global market.

In the Annual Energy Outlook 2022: 1st Coal Working Group, the amount of coal electricity generation is expected to decline in the long-term and is sensitive to the projection natural gas prices. Through 2025, coal generating capacity is expected to decline due to coal plant retirements, natural gas competition, and increasing competition with renewable generation. Coal production is projected to decrease through 2025 as a result of retiring coal-fired generating capacity, but then stabilizes somewhat afterwards aided by federal rule compliance and higher natural gas prices. Over the long term, the coal producers in the Appalachia and Western regions are projected to decline in production, while the Interior region will grow slightly. Average delivered coal and natural gas prices to the electric power sector indicate limited competitive opportunity for coal. According to the 2022 STEO, delivered coal prices are forecast to average \$1.86/MMBtu in 2021 and \$1.81/MMBtu in 2022.

83. Please provide a comparison of the Utility's 2021 fuel price forecast and the actual 2021 delivered fuel prices.

OUC Response:

OUC considers fuel prices to be confidential information and, as such, no specific comparison has been developed or provided.

In general, actual 2021 delivered fuel prices were higher than forecast for 2021.

84. Please explain any notable changes in the Utility's forecast of fuel prices used to prepare the Utility's 2022 TYSP compared to the fuel process used to prepare the Utility's 2021 TYSP.

OUC Response:

There were no notable changes to the process from 2021 to 2022.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 32 of 35

85. Please identify and discuss steps that the Company has taken to ensure natural gas supply availability and transportation over the current planning period.

OUC Response:

The Stanton Energy Center and the Indian River site are both reliably served by the Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT). These two sites are currently the only sites in which OUC owns natural gas fired generating units. OUC is confident in FGT's ability to continue to reliably serve both the Stanton Energy Center and Indian River units into the future. Historically, FGT has demonstrated an ability to provide reliable service and continues to make improvements to its existing natural gas transportation system as well as expand its natural gas transportation system to accommodate the growing need for natural gas across the State of Florida. A recent example is FGT's Phase VIII expansion.

The addition of Stanton Energy Center Unit B (Stanton B) necessitated additional firm natural gas capacity to the Stanton Energy Center. OUC has negotiated a contract with FGT for firm natural gas transportation to serve the needs of Stanton B. OUC's Commission has approved the contract and the contract was signed in January 2010.

In addition, in 2017 OUC entered into a five-year contract for the storage of natural gas to manage price volatility and provide backup fuel for emergency situations. The contract provides up to 30,000 MMBtu/day to help ensure power reliability. It is OUC's intent to keep a natural gas storage position in place through the planning period. OUC is currently working on extending this capability when the current contract expires.

Also, OUC is in the process of securing an additional 30,000 MMBtu/day of winter capacity on FGT to allow more reliability in cold weather events.

86. Please identify and discuss any existing or planned natural gas pipeline expansion project(s), including new pipelines and those occurring or planned to occur outside of Florida that would affect the Company during the current planning period.

OUC Response:

The effect of natural gas pipeline expansion projects outside of the State of Florida on OUC cannot be directly quantified, but the following discussion is being presented for informational purposes. See the following table, which is based on information from FERC's website (https://www.ferc.gov/industries-data/natural-gas/approved-major-pipeline-projects-1997-present.) and reflects major pipeline projects that received approval in 2021.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1

Docket No.	Company/Project	Capacity (MMcf/d)	Miles of Pipe	Compression (HP)	States	Filing Date	Issued Date
CP20-00052-000, CP20-00052-001, PF19-00007-000	WBI Energy Transmission, Inc./North Bakken Expansion Project Amendment	250.00	92.50	15,000	ND	7/28/20	06/01/21
CP20-00068-000, CP20-00070-000, PF19-00003-000	Enable Gas Transmission, LLC, Enable Gulf Run Transmission, LLC/Gulf Run Pipeline, Line CP Modifications	1,723.20	134.00	0	LA, TX	02/28/20	06/01/21
CP20-00486-000	Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company/Tuscarora Xpress	15.00	0	780	NV	06/24/20	05/20/21
CP20-00503-000, PF20-00001-000	Northern Natural Gas Company/Northern Lights 2021 Expansion	45.69	1.51	12,253	MN	07/31/20	05/20/21

87. Please identify and discuss expected liquefied natural gas (LNG) industry factors and trends that will impact the Company, including the potential impact on the price and availability of natural gas, during the current planning period.

OUC Response:

According to the 2022 STEO, U.S. LNG exports have been at record levels and is expected to continue in 2022. Most of the LNG exports have been to shipped to countries in Europe as Europe's demand for LNG remains elevated due to Russia's further invasion of Ukraine and the need to replenish Europe's natural gas inventories. Over the long-term, U.S. LNG exports are expected to increase with production, driven by growing global demand and construction of new LNG export facilities. LNG exports then begin to remain level as U.S. sourced LNG become less competitive in global energy markets.

According the 2022 AEO, natural gas production is expected to remain at historically high levels through 2050 in order to support higher levels of domestic consumption and natural gas exports. The price of natural gas is expected to remain at or below \$4.00/MMBtu over the projected period, despite the growth in LNG exports and increased domestic demand.

88. Please identify and discuss the Company's plans for the use of firm natural gas storage during the current planning period.

OUC Response:

In 2017 OUC entered into a five-year contract for the storage of natural gas to manage price volatility and provide backup fuel for emergency situations. The contract provides up to 30,000 MMBtu/day to help ensure power reliability. It is OUC's intent to keep a natural gas storage position in place through the planning period. OUC is currently working on extending this capability when the current contract expires.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 34 of 35

89. Please identify and discuss expected coal transportation industry trends and factors, for transportation by both rail and water that will impact the Company during the current planning period. Please include a discussion of actions taken by the Company to promote competition among coal transportation modes, as well as expected changes to terminals and port facilities that could affect coal transportation.

OUC Response:

OUC has established the ability to deliver coal to Stanton through the Port of Tampa, as it has included a freight rate and service capability to deliver coal from Tampa to the plant in its rail contract with CSX Transportation. OUC does not currently expect to use this method of delivery because of the relative economics of delivering coal by region of origin and freight mode.

Coal exports have increased due to the rising global demand for coal. This increased global demand has strained the domestic supply and transportation of coal. OUC has seen longer cycle times for coal trains along with tight supply markets.

OUC's source of coal supply is the Western Kentucky/Illinois Basin (IB) supply region, but OUC can also receive coal from the Central Appalachia supply region, and the Northern Appalachia supply region delivered by rail to Stanton. In the last quarter of 2014, OUC transitioned to 100 percent IB coal to take advantage of its economic benefits over Central Appalachia coal. OUC continues to monitor the markets in each supply region to ensure OUC is receiving the most economical and reliable coal supply. It is OUC's expectation that world markets for coal and vessel freight will fluctuate over the 10-year plan and that OUC will evaluate these markets and purchase coal by water through Tampa when economical.

90. Please identify and discuss any expected changes in coal handling, blending, unloading, and storage at coal generating units during the current planning period. Please discuss any planned construction projects that may be related to these changes.

OUC Response:

OUC has considered modifications to the coal handling facilities at the Stanton Energy Center, including modifications to the layout to allow for isolated storage of different coal types. However, OUC has not made any decisions in this regard. It should be noted that with the anticipated retirement of Stanton Energy Center Unit 1 by the end of 2025 and conversion of Stanton Energy Center Unit 2 to utilize 100% natural gas by the end of 2027, coal handling and coal inventory at Stanton Energy Center would be reduced and subsequently eliminated.

91. Please identify and discuss the Company's plans for the storage and disposal of spent nuclear fuel during the current planning period. As part of this discussion, please include the Company's expectation regarding short-term and long-term storage, dry cask storage, litigation involving spent nuclear fuel, and any relevant legislation.

OUC Response:

As a minority owner of the St. Lucie Unit No. 2 nuclear unit, OUC is not directly involved in plans for the storage and disposal of spent nuclear fuel.

Orlando Utilities Commissions (OUC) Responses to Florida Public Service Commission's Review of the 2022 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Data Request #1 Page 35 of 35

92. Please identify and discuss expected uranium production industry trends and factors that will affect the Company during the current planning period.

OUC Response

Given the magnitude of nuclear generation in OUC's portfolio and the historically stable price of nuclear generation, OUC does not anticipate that uranium production trends will affect OUC during the current planning period.

Extreme Weather

93. Please identify and discuss steps, if any, that the Company has taken to ensure continued energy generation in case of a severe cold weather event.

OUC Response:

Please refer to OUC's responses to the Florida PSC's Staff's Data Request #2, filed with the PSC on May 6, 2022.

94. Please identify any future winterization plans, if any, the Company intends to implement over the current planning period.

OUC Response:

Please refer to OUC's responses to the Florida PSC's Staff's Data Request #2, filed with the PSC on May 6, 2022.

95. Please explain the Company's planning process for flood mitigation for current and proposed power plant sites and transmission/distribution substations.

OUC Response

For each existing power plant site and transmission/distribution substation, the need for flood mitigation was one of the factors considered during the evaluation and planning process for the site and transmission/distribution substation. Similarly, for future power plant sites and transmission/distribution substations, the likelihood of flood mitigation being required is considered during site acquisition and planning.
Sheet #	DR No.	Tab Name
1	-	#NAME?
2	#NAME?	#NAME?
3	#NAME?	#NAME?
4	#NAME?	#NAME?
5	#NAME?	#NAME?
6	#NAME?	#NAME?
7	#NAME?	#NAME?
8	#NAME?	#NAME?
9	#NAME?	#NAME?
10	#NAME?	#NAME?
11	#NAME?	#NAME?
12	#NAME?	#NAME?
13	#NAME?	#NAME?
14	#NAME?	#NAME?
15	#NAME?	#NAME?
16	#NAME?	#NAME?
17	#NAME?	#NAME?
18	#NAME?	#NAME?
19	#NAME?	#NAME?
20	#NAME?	#NAME?
21	#NAME?	#NAME?
22	#NAME?	#NAME?
23	#NAME?	#NAME?
24	#NAME?	#NAME?
25	#NAME?	#NAME?
26	#NAME?	#NAME?
27	#NAME?	#NAME?
28	#NAME?	#NAME?
29	#NAME?	#NAME?
30	#NAME?	#NAME?
31	#NAME?	#NAME?
32	#NAME?	#NAME?
33	#NAME?	#NAME?
34	#NAME?	#NAME?
35	#NAME?	#NAME?
36	#NAME?	#NAME?
37	#NAME?	#NAME?

Financial Assumptions Base Case

AFUDC RATE	6.5	%
CAPITALIZATION RATIOS:		
DEBT	N/A	%
PREFERRED	N/A	%
EQUITY	N/A	%
RATE OF RETURN		
DEBT	N/A	%
PREFERRED	N/A	%
EQUITY	N/A	%
INCOME TAX RATE:		
STATE	N/A	%
FEDERAL	N/A	%
EFFECTIVE	N/A	%
OTHER TAX RATE:	N/A	%
DISCOUNT RATE:	6.5	%
ТАХ		
DEPRECIATION RATE:	N/A	%

	General I	Plant Construction	Fixed O&M	Variable O&M
	Inflation	Cost	Cost	Cost
Year	%	%	%	%
2022	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
2023	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
2024	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
2025	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
2026	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
2027	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
2028	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
2029	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
2030	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
2031	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0

Financial Escalation Assumptions

TVM V Buffs Data Research Outstim No	1		The		-		harmon fi																	
Ouarian.No Rev	4											Really Types	-		-						-			
1100		_	_	_			_	_	_	_		_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_			_
11000																								
	E	F	E	E	E	E	E	F	F	F		F	F	F	E		F	F	F	F	E	E	E	F
	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E
11/165																								
	E	F	E	E	E	E	E	F	F	F	E	F	F	F	E	E	F	F	F	F	E	E	E	F
		É	Ē	Ē	Ē	=	Ē	É	É	É		É	É	É	Ē		É	É	É	É	Ē	Ē		É
10000																								
		_						_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_				_
1100		_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-		_
11100																								
11105																								
111105																								
1		_						_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_				_
1.1.000 5.1.000 5.1.000 5.1.000 5.1.000 5.1.000 5.1.000 5.1.000 5.1.000																								
112785		_						_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_				_
LITTLE LITTLE LITTLE LITTLE		_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-		_
11100																								
		_						_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_				_
10100		_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-		_
1100																								
	Ē	É	Ē	Ē	Ē	É	Ē	É	É	É	Ē	É	É	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	É	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		Ē
1100	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Ē	E	E	E	E	Ē	E	E	E	E	E	E		E
211000	Ē	F	E	E	F	E	E	F	F	F	Ē	F	F	F	F	Ē	F	F	F	F	E	F		F
	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E		E
1100	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē
10000	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē		Ē
	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E		E
1000	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē
A LOUIS	E	E	L	L	E	L	L	E	E	E		E	E	F	E		F	E	F	F	L	E	E	F
	F	Ē	E	E	E		E	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	E	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	E	E	E	Ē
C CARGO					F	E									F							F		
TITUE .	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E		E
	F	E	F	F	Ē	É	F	E	E	E	F	E	E	F	Ē	F	F	E	F	F	F	Ē	Ē	F
TOTALS TOTALS	F	F	E	E	E	Ŀ	E	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	E	F	F	F	F	F	E	E	E	F
THE R. L.	E		E	E	E	E	E				E				E	E					E	E		
	E	E	F	F	É	Ē	F	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	É	E	E	E	E	E	F	É	Ē	E
TOTALS TOTALS	Ē		F	F	F	F	F				Ē			E	F	Ē	E		E	E	F	F		E
L L MART																								
1100																								
1.1.000 1.1.000 1.1.000		_						_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_				_
A LEASE																								
111100 																								
ATTENT ATTENT		_						_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_				_
ATTEN .													_									-		
												_		_				_		_				
ATT DAT																								
CITCLES CITCLES CITCLES CITCLES CITCLES TITLES																								
VIEW VIEW VIEW VIEW VIEW VIEW VIEW																								
VITER V																								
4 10 100 4 10 100 4 10 100 4 10 100 4 10 100 4 10 100 4 10 100 4 10 100 4 100																								

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	5

Year	Month	Actual Peak Demand	Demand Response Activated	Estimated Peak Demand	Day	Hour	System- Average Temperature
	1	(MW) 890	(MW) 0	(MW) 890	1/19/2021	900	(Degrees F) 61
	1 2	1,073	0	1,073	2/4/2021	900	67
	3	1,073	0	1,073	3/26/2021	1700	70
		1,120	0	1,120	4/14/2021	1700	70
	4						
	5	1,323	0	1,323	5/5/2021	1600	78
2021	6	1,334	0	1,334	6/11/2021	1700	81
	7	1,360	0	1,360	7/22/2021	1600	81
	8	1,377	0	1,377	8/24/2021	1800	83
	9	1,293	0	1,293	9/2/2021	1700	80
	10	1,288	0	1,288	10/7/2021	1700	76
	11	984	0	984	11/3/2021	1700	65
	12	978	0	978	12/16/2021	1700	68
	1	1,114	0	1,114	1/22/'2020	800	64
	2	1,041	0	1,041	2/13/2020	1700	66
	3	1,138	0	1,138	3/30/2020	1700	74
	4	1,184	0	1,184	4/13/2020	1500	75
	5	1,212	0	1,212	5/21/2020	1700	77
2020	6	1,357	0	1,357	6/29/2020	1600	81
20	7	1,343	0	1,343	7/14/2020	1700	82
	8	1,354	0	1,354	8/28/2020	1700	82
	9	1,354	0	1,354	9/3/2020	1700	81
	10	1,232	0	1,232	10/8/2020	1700	78
	11	991	0	991	11/10/2020	1600	72
	12	926	0	926	12/26/2020	1100	59
	1	1,004	0	1,004	1/31/2019	800	49
	2	1,032	0	1,032	2/22/2019	1700	85
	3	1,053	0	1,053	3/11/2019	1700	85
	4	1,120	0	1,120	4/18/2019	1800	89
	5	1,337	0	1,337	5/28/2019	1700	97
2019	6	1,430	0	1,430	6/25/2019	1800	97
7	7	1,370	0	1,370	7/2/2019	1700	93
	8	1,327	0	1,327	8/26/2019	1600	94
	9	1,346	0	1,346	9/9/2019	1700	93
	10	1,213	0	1,213	10/29/2019	1700	88
	11	1,090	0	1,090	11/7/2019	1600	84
	12	948	0	948	12/10/2019	1600	84
Notes (Include Notes Here)							
(Include Notes Here)							

Given the changing technology and uncertainty of electric vehicle

2022 deployment, the number of additional charging stations that will be

1 required by the public is considered speculative and no long-term

19 projection has been made at this time. Since no long-term projection has been made, the requested table has been left blank.

	Number of PEVs	Number of Public PEV Charging Stations	Number of Public	Cumulative Impact of PEVs				
Year			DCFC PEV Charging Stations.	Summer Demand (MW)	Winter Demand (MW)	Annual Energy (GWh)		
2022								
2023								
2024								
2025								
2026								
2027								
2028								
2029								
2030								
2031								
Notes								
(Include Notes Here)								

2022 This question is not applicable as OUC does not currently offer demand1 response programs to its customers30

	[Demand Response Source or All Demand Response Sources]										
Year	Beginning Year: Number of	Available Ca	pacity (MW)	New Customers Added		Capacity W)	Customers Lost	Lost Capacity (MW)			
	Customers	Sum	Win		Sum	Win		Sum	Win		
2012											
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016											
2017											
2018											
2019											
2020											
2021											
Notes					-	<u> </u>					
(Include Notes Here)											

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	31

This question is not applicable as OUC does not currently offer demand response programs to its customers

	[Demand Response Source or All Demand Response Sources]											
			Summer			Winter						
Year	Number of	Averaş	ge Event Size	Maximu	ım Event Size	Number of	Avera	ge Event Size	Maxim	um Event Size		
	Events	MW	Number of Customers	MW	Number of Customers	Events	MW	Number of Customers	MW	Number of Customers		
2012												
2013												
2014												
2015												
2016												
2017												
2018												
2019												
2020												
2021												
Notes												
(Include Notes Here)												

TYSP Year	2022	This question is not apllicable as OUC does not currently offer
Staff's Data Request #	1	demand response programs to its customers
Question No.	32	

[Demand Response Source or All Demand Response Sources]											
			Summer Peak		Winter Peak						
Year	Average Number of Customers	Activated During Peak? (Y/N)	Number of Customers Activated	Capacity Activated (MW)	Activated During Peak? (Y/N)	Number of Customers Activated	Capacity Activated (MW)				
2012											
2013											
2014											
2015											
2016											
2017											
2018											
2019											
2020											
2021											
Notes											
(Include Notes Here)											

		Annual Isolated			Annual Assisted	
	Loss of Load	Reserve Margin (%)	Expected	Loss of Load	Reserve Margin (%)	Expected
	Probability	(Including Firm	Unserved Energy	Probability	(Including Firm	Unserved Energy
Year	(Days/Yr)	Purchases)	(MWh)	(Days/Yr)	Purchases)	(MWh)
2022						
2023						
2024						
2025						
2026	OUC does	s not develop projectio	ons for either Annual	Isolated or Annual	Assisted Loss of Load	Probability nor
2027			Expected Ur	nserved Energy.		
2028						
2029						
2030						
2031						

Loss of Load Probability, Reserve Margin, and Expected Unserved Energy Base Case Load Forecast

Existing Generating Unit Operating Performance

		Planned Outage Factor		Forced Outa	ge Factor	Equivalent Avai	lability Factor	Average Net Operating Heat Rate (ANOHR)		
		(POI	F)	(FOF)		(EAI	=)			
Plant Name	Unit No.	Historical	Projected	Historical	Projected	Historical	Projected	Historical	Projected	
Stanton Energy Center	1	13.29%	6.60%	6.00%	3.00%	89.10%	90.60%	10,732	10,700	
Stanton Energy Center	2	8.20%	6.60%	2.40%	3.00%	86.40%	90.60%	10,324	10,200	
Stanton Energy Center	В	5.50%	3.80%	0.10%	3.00%	83.3%	93.30%	7,469	7,246	
Indian River	А	2.75%	1.90%	0.00%	1.00%	97.20%	97.10%	N/A	13,735	
Indian River	В	2.75%	1.90%	0.00%	1.00%	97.20%	97.10%	N/A	13,995	
Indian River	С	3.76%	1.90%	0.60%	2.00%	95.10%	96.10%	N/A	17,158	
Indian River	D	3.20%	1.90%	0.00%	2.00%	88.60%	96.10%	N/A	16,527	

NOTE: Historical - average of past three years Projected - average of next ten years

Unit Performance

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	35

Facility Name	Unit No.	Unit No. County Location	Unit Type	Unit Type Primary Fuel	Commercial In-Service		Gross Capacity (MW)		Net Capacity (MW)		Firm Capacity (MW)		Capacity Factor
					Мо	Yr	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	(%)
Indian River	А	Brevard	GT	NG	06	89	16(1)	18(1)	16(1)	18(1)	16(1)	18(1)	See Note (7)
Indian River	В	Brevard	GT	NG	07	89	16(1)	18(1)	16(1)	18(1)	16(1)	18(1)	See Note (7)
Indian River	С	Brevard	GT	NG	08	92	83(2)	88(2)	83(2)	88(2)	83(2)	88(2)	See Note (7)
Indian River	D	Brevard	GT	NG	10	92	83(2)	88(2)	83(2)	88(2)	83(2)	88(2)	See Note (7)
Stanton Energy Center	1	Orange	ST	BIT	07	87	312(3)	312(3)	312(3)	312(3)	312(3)	312(3)	See Note (7)
Stanton Energy Center	2	Orange	ST	BIT	06	96	350(4)	350(4)	350(4)	350(4)	350(4)	350(4)	See Note (7)
Stanton Energy Center	А	Orange	СС	NG	10	01	184(5)	189(5)	184(5)	189(5)	184(5)	189(5)	See Note (7)
Stanton Energy Center	В	Orange	СС	NG	02	10	292	307	292	307	292	307	See Note (7)
St. Lucie(6)	2	St. Lucie	NP	UR	06	83	60	62	60	62	60	62	See Note (7)
Notes		-		-									•

 Notes

 (1)Reflects an OUC ownership share of 48.8 percent.

 (2)Reflects an OUC ownership share of 79.0 percent.

 (3)Reflects an OUC ownership share of 71.6 percent and St. Cloud entitlement of 3.4 percent.

 (4)Reflects an OUC ownership share of 71.6 percent and St. Cloud entitlement of 3.4 percent.

 (5)Reflects an OUC ownership share of 71.6 percent and St. Cloud entitlement of 3.4 percent.

 (6)OUC owns approximately 6.1 percent of St. Lucie Unit No. 2. Reliability exchange divides 50 percent power from Unit No. 1 and 50 percent power from Unit No. 2.

 (7) OUC considers capacity factor information to be confidential and therefore is not reporting it.

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	36

OUC does not have any traditional generation resources planned for inservice within the current planning period.

Facility Name	Unit No. County Location		Unit Type	Primary Fuel	Commercia	ıl In-Service	Gross Cap	acity (MW)	Net Capa	city (MW)	Firm Cap	acity (MW)	Projected Capacity Factor
				Mo	Yr	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	(%)	
Notes													
(Include Notes Here)													

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	37

Facility Name	Unit No.	County Location	Unit Type	Primary Fuel	Commercia	ll In-Service	Gross Cap	acity (MW)	Net Capa	city (MW)	Firm Capa	ucity (MW)	Capacity Factor
					Мо	Yr	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	(%)
Co-Fired Stanton Energy Center Landfill Gas	1/2	Orange	ST	LFG	04	98	See Note (1)	See Note (2)					
OUC Distributed Solar (<250 kW)	7	Orange	Solar	SUN	Various	Various	0.238	0.238	0.238	0.238	0.238	0.238	See Note (2)
Notes													

(1). LFG is co-fired in Stanton Energy Center Units 1 and 2 and therefore not treated as incremental capacity.
(2). Capacity factor is not reported as LFG is co-fired in Stanton Energy Center Units 1 and 2 and OUC considers capacity factors to be confidential information.

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	38

OUC does not have any utility-owned generation resource planned for inservice during the 2022 through 2031 planning period.

Facility Name	Unit No. County Location		Unit No.	Unit Type	Primary Fuel	Commercia	ıl In-Service	Gross Cap	acity (MW)	Net Capa	city (MW)	Firm Cap	acity (MW)	Projected Capacity Factor
				Mo	Yr	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	(%)		
Notes														
(Include Notes Here)														

		Firm Purchases
Year		\$/MWh Escalation %
HISTORY:		
	2019	
	2020	
	2021	
FORECAST:		OUC does not have
	2022	any firm purchases for
	2023	
	2024	data. Costs of landfill gas PPAs and
	2025	
	2026	
	2027	considered confidential.
	2028	
	2029	
	2030	
	2031	

Nominal, Firm Purchases

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	41

Seller Name	Facility Name	Unit No.	County Location	Unit Type	pe Primary Fuel	Gross Capacity (MW)		Net Capacity (MW)		Contracted Firm Capacity (MW)		Contract Term Dates (MM/YY)	
						Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Start	End
NextEra Energy	Stanton Energy Center	А	Orange	CC	NG	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	342	350	342	350	10/03	12/31
Notes													
(1) Gross Capacity is not reported as OUC purchases capacity that is considered as net capacity.													

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	42

OUC does not currently have plans for any purchased power agreement with a traditional generator pursuant to which energy will begin to be delivered during the current planning period.

Seller Name	Facility Name	Unit No.	County Location	Unit Type	Primary Fuel	Gross Capa	Gross Capacity (MW) Net Capacity (MW)		Contracted Firm Capacity (MW)		Contract Term Dates (MM/YY)		
						Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Start	End
Notes													
(Include Notes Here)													

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	43

Seller Name	Facility Name	Unit No.	County Location	Unit Type	Primary Fuel	Gross Cap	Gross Capacity (MW)		city (MW)	Contracted F (M	Firm Capacity W)	y Contract Term Dates (MM/YY)	
						Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Start	End
Duke Energy	Stanton Solar Farm	N/A	Orange	Solar	SUN	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	5.1	5.1	0	0	11/11	11/31
GES Port Charlotte	Port Charlotte	N/A	Charlotte	Landfill Gas	LFG	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.56	11/11	11/31
ESA Renewables	Fleet Solar Project	N/A	Orange	Solar	SUN	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	0.335	0.335	0	0	02/13	02/38
ESA Renewables	Gardenia Solar Project	N/A	Orange	Solar	SUN	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	0.268	0.268	0	0	10/13	10/38
Waste Management	Monarch	N/A	Broward	Landfill Gas	LFG	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	6	6	6	6	03/16	12/26
ACE	Ksionek Stanton Solar	N/A	Orange	Solar	SUN	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	9	9	0	0	09/17	08/37
CBI	CBI	N/A	Osceola	Landfill Gas	LFG	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	9	9	9	9	03/17	02/37
NextEra	Taylor Creek	N/A	Orange	Solar	SUN	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	74.5	74.5	0	0	06/20	06/40
NextEra	Harmony	N/A	Osceola	Solar	SUN	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	37	37	0	0	06/20	06/40
Notes			-	-			-	-	-	-	-		
(1) Gross Capacity is not	reported as OU	C purchases ca	pacity that is co	onsidered as net	t capacity.								

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	44

Seller Name	Facility Name	Unit No.	County Location	Unit Type	Primary Fuel	Gross Cap	Gross Capacity (MW)		y (MW) (See te 2)		Firm Capacity ee Note 3)	Contract Term Dates (MM/YY)	
						Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Start	End
NextEra	Storey Bend	N/A	Osceola	Solar	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	74.5	74.5	0	0	12/24	12/43
NextEra	Harmony II	N/A	Osceola	Solar	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	74.5	74.5	0	0	12/24	12/43
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Solar	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	224	224	112	0	06/25	06/45
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Solar	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	149	149	74.5	0	06/26	06/46
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Solar	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	149	149	74.5	0	06/27	06/47
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Solar	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	224	224	112	0	06/28	06/48
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Solar	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	224	224	112	0	06/29	06/49
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Solar	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	298	298	149	0	06/30	06/50
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Energy Storage	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	40	40	0	0	06/23	06/43
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Energy Storage	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	60	60	60	60	06/25	06/45
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Energy Storage	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	50	50	50	50	06/26	06/46
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Energy Storage	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	50	50	50	50	06/28	06/48
TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	Energy Storage	Sun	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	150	150	150	150	06/30	06/50
Notes				-									
(1) Gross Capacity is not	reported as OU	C purchases ca	pacity that is c	onsidered as net c	apacity.								
2) Net Canacity represen	te namenlate ca	nacity											

(2) Net Capacity represents nameplate capacity.(3) Contracted Firm Capacity represents capacity anticipated to be available at time of seasonal peak demand.

2022
1
46

Buyer Name	i linit No.		County Location	* Unit Type	Primary Fuel	Gross Cap	Gross Capacity (MW)		Net Capacity (MW)		Contracted Firm Capacity (MW)		Contract Term Dates (MM/YY)	
						Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Start	End	
City of Lake worth	System Sale	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	50	25	1/19	12/25	
City of Winter Park	System Sale	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	17	17	1/26	12/26	
City of Mt. Dora	System Sale	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	23	17	01/21	12/27	
City of Chattahoochee	System Sale	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	8	6	01/21	12/27	
Lakeland Electric	System Sale	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	See Note (1)	125	125	04/21	12/23	
Notes	Notes													
(1) Gross Canacity and Net Canacity are not reported as OUC treats each of thes sales as firm contracted canacity.														

(1) Gross Capacity and Net Capacity are not reported as OUC treats each of thse sales as firm contracted capacity.

OUC does not have any planned power sale agreements pursuant to which energy will begin to be delivered from OUC to a third-party during the current planning period.

Buyer Name	Facility Name Uni	Unit No.	County Location	Unit Type	Primary Fuel	Gross Cap	s Capacity (MW) Net Capacit		city (MW)		°irm Capacity (W)		ferm Dates I/YY)	
							Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Start	End
Notes														
(Include Notes Here)														

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	49

				A	Annual Renewat	le Generation ((GWh)				
Renewable Source	Actual					Proj	ected				
	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031
Utility - Firm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Utility - Non-Firm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Utility - Co-Firing	45	54	54	51	51	26	26	26	26	26	26
Purchase - Firm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase - Non-Firm	335	340	340	720	1,274	1,993	2,444	2,993	3,609	4,378	4,499
Purchase - Co-Firing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Customer - Owned	31	45	59	73	87	101	115	129	143	157	171
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Notes											
"Purchase - Non-Firm" ir	"Purchase – Non-Firm" includes energy from solar PPAs, given the variable nature of energy from solar.										

TYSP Year	2022	This question is not applicable as OUC
Staff's Data Request #	1	is not an Investor-Owned Utility.
Question No.	50	

Plant Name	Land Available (Acres)	Potential Installed Net Capacity (MW)	Potential Obstacles to Installation

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	58

Project Name	Pilot Program (Y/N)	In-Service/ Pilot Start Date (MM/YY)	Max Capacity Output (MW)	Max Energy Stored (MHh)	Conversion Efficiency (%)
Gardenia Flow Battery	Y	05/20	0.04	0.08	75%
Gardenia Fly Wheels	Y	05/22	0.016	0.064	Varies
Notes	-				

(Include Notes Here)

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	59

Project Name	Pilot Program (Y/N)	In-Service/ Pilot Start Date (MM/YY)	Projected Max Capacity Output (MW)	Projected Max Energy Stored (MHh)	Projected Conversion Efficiency (%)
St. Cloud East Substation #29	Y	12/23	4	8	89%
Notes					

(Include Notes Here)

2022 This question is not applicable as OUC is1 not an Investor-Owned Utility.64

Year		As-Available Energy (\$/MWh)	On-Peak Average (\$/MWh)	Off-Peak Average (\$/MWh)	
	2012				
	2013				
	2014				
	2015				
Actual	2016				
Act	2017				
	2018				
	2019				
	2020				
	2021				
	2022				
	2023				
	2024				
g	2025				
Projected	2026				
Proj	2027				
	2028				
	2029				
	2030				
	2031				
Notes					
(Include Notes Here)					

2022 OUC does not have any planned traditional units with 1 an in-service date within the current planning period 65

Concreting Unit Name	Summer Capacity	Certification Dates (In-Service Date		
Generating Unit Name	(MW)	Need Approved (Commission)	PPSA Certified	(MM/YY)	
		Nuclear Unit Additions			
	Co	ombustion Turbine Unit Additi	ions		
		Combined Cycle Unit Additior	18		
		Steam Turbine Unit Addition	s		
Notes					
(Include Notes Here)					

2022 OUC considers the requested information to be confidential and therefore has not provided it in response to this request. 1

TYSP Year

Staff's Data Request # Question No. 67 Capacity Factor (%) Unit Unit Fuel Plant No. Actual Projected Туре Туре 2024 2025 2029 2031 2021 2022 2023 2026 2027 2028 2030 Notes (Include Notes Here)

2022 OUC does not have any steam units that are potential candidates1 for repowering to operation as combined cycle units.69

Plant Name	Fuel Type	Summer Capacity (MW)	In-Service Date (MM/YYY)	Potential Conversion	Potential Issues
Notes					
(Include Notes Here)					

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	70

Plant Name	Fuel Type	Summer Capacity (MW)	In-Service Date (MM/YYY)	Potential Conversion	Potential Issues
Stnaton Energy Center Unit 2	BIT	350	10/2027	NG	None identified
Notes Reflects an OUC ownership share of 71.7 percent and St. Cloud entitlement of 3.4 percent of Stanton 2.					

2022 OUC does not have any proposed transmission lines in the planning

1 period that require certification under the Transmission Line Siting Act.

71 Certification for one transmission line that is not yet in service has been received, as sumamrized below.

	Line	Nominal	Date	Date	In-Service		
Transmission Line	Length	Voltage	Need	TLSA	Date		
	(Miles)	(kV)	Approved	Certified			
St. Cloud East - Magnolia Ranch	> 15 Miles	230	06/2020		2025		
Notes							
(Include Notes Here)	(Include Notes Here)						

TYSP Year	
Staff's Data Request #	
Question No.	

2022 This question is not applicable, as OUC does not currently have any

1 firm plans related to the addition of new generating units that would be

affected by this standard.

Year	Estimated Cost of Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions Rule for New Sources Impacts (Present-Year \$ millions)										
	Capital Costs	O&M Costs	Fuel Costs	Total Costs							
2021											
2022											
2023											
2024											
2025											
2026											
2027											
2028											
2029											
2030											
Notes											
(Include Notes Here)											

74

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	76

	Unit	Fuel	Net Summer			Estimated EPA Rule	Impacts: Operat	tional Effects		
Unit	Туре	Туре	Capacity				CSAPR/		CCR	
			(MW)	ELGS	ACE or replacement	MATS	CAIR	CWIS	Non-Hazardous	Special
Stanton I	ST	BIT	312 ⁽¹⁾	N/A	On 19 January 2021, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) vacated the Affordable Clean Energy Rule (ACE Rule). The Biden administration is expected to propose their own greenhouse gas regulations.	Emissions monitoring (Hg CEMS), emissions control retrofits (FLGR installation)	N/A	N/A	Waste Landfill Cell 2 (30 Acres) construction started on July 15, 2019 with substantial completion on December 31, 2020. CCR Rule requires the base of the inter to be located on average 5 feet above the upper limit of the uppermost aquifer and increased the thickness of clay composite liner from 6 to 12 linches. CCR required the closure of Landfill Cell 1 to have a minimum of 40 mil HDPE liner on the top & slope of the landfill.	Waste N/A
Stanton 2	ST	BIT	350 ⁽²⁾	N/A	On 19 January 2021, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Crucit) vacated the Affordable Clean Energy Rule (ACE Rule). The Biden administration is expected to propose their own greenhouse gas regulations.	Emissions monitoring (Hg CEMS), emissions control retrofits (FLGR installation) under consideration	N/A	N/A	Landfill Cell 2 (30 Acres) construction started on July 15, 2019 with substantial completion on December 31, 2020. CCR Rule requires the base of the inner to be located on average 5 feet above the upper limit of the uppermost aquifer and increased the thickness of clay composite inter from 6 to 12 inches. CCR required the closure of Landfill Cell 1 hoave a minimum of 40 mil HDPE liner on the top & slope of the landfill.	N/A
Notes										
(1). Represents OUC's 68.6%	1									
2). Represents OUC's 71.7%	ownership share	as well as City	of St. Cloud's 3.4	% entitlement.						

% ownership share as well as City of St. Cloud

TYSP Year	2022
Staff's Data Request #	1
Question No.	77

	Unit	Fuel	Net Summer		Estimated EPA Rule Impacts: Cost Effects (CPVRR 5 millions)									
Unit	Туре	Type	Capacity		CSAPR/									
			(MW)	ELGS	ACE or replacement	MATS	CAIR	CWIS	Non-Hazardous	Special				
									Waste	Waste				
Stanton 1	ST	BIT	312 (1)	N/A	On 19 January 2021, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) vacated the Affordable Clean Energy Ruk (ACE Ruk). The Biolen administration is expected to propose their own greenhouse gas regulations.	\$IM	N/A – Note that OUC has \$11 million in stranded costs associated with SCR, which has been postponed following vacature of CSAPR.	N/A	S6.5M +52.1M. Landfill Cell 2 incurred \$10M additional cost of fill dirt due to CCR Rule requiring the base of the liner to be located on average 5 feet above the upper limit of the uppermost squifer and \$3.5M for the additional 6 inches of elay.Landfill Cell 1 Closure incurred an additional oct of S6M due to design, material & construction cost.	N/A				
Stanton 2	ST	BIT	350 ⁽²⁾	N/A	On 19 January 2021, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) vacated the Affordable Clean Energy Rule (ACE Rule). The Biden administration is expected to propose their own greenhouse gas regulations.	\$1M	N/A	N/A	S6-5M +S2.1M. Landfill Cell 2 incurred \$10M additional cost of fill dirt due to CCR Rule requiring the base of the liner to be located on average 5 fet above the upper limit of the uppermost squifer and \$3.5M for the additional 6 inches of elay.Landfill Cell Course incurred an additional cost of \$6M due to design, material & construction cost.	N/A				
Notes														
 Represents OUC's 68.6% 														
(2). Represents OUC's 71.7%	ownership share	as well as City o	f St. Cloud's 3.4%	6 entitlement.										

2022
1
78

	Unit	Fuel	Net Summer			PA Rule Impact Ionth/Year - Du	s: Unit Availabi ıration)	lity		
Unit	Туре	Туре	Capacity				CSAPR/		CCR	
			(MW)	ELGS	ACE or replacement	MATS	CAIR	CWIS	Non- Hazardous Waste	Special Waste
Stanton l	ST	BIT	312 ⁽¹⁾	No Outage Req'd	On 19 January 2021, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) vacated the Affordable Clean Energy Rule (ACE Rule). The Biden administration is expected to propose their own greenhouse gas regulations.		No Outage Req'd	No Outage Req'd	No Outage Req'd	No Outage Req'd
Stanton 2	ST	BIT	350 ⁽²⁾	No Outage Req'd			No Outage Req'd	No Outage Req'd	No Outage Req'd	No Outage Req'd
Notes	•		•					B		•
(1). Represents OUC's 68.6%	ownership share	ə.								
(2). Represents OUC's 71.7%	ownership share	e as well as City	of St. Cloud's 3.4	% entitlement.						

TYSP Year	2022	
Staff's Data Request #	1	
Question No.	80	

Year		Ura	nium	Co	oal	Natur	ral Gas	Resid	ual Oil	Distillate Oil	
		GWh	\$/MMBTU	GWh	\$/MMBTU	GWh	\$/MMBTU	GWh	\$/MMBTU	GWh	\$/MMBTU
	2012	417		2,745		3,781		0		1	
Actual	2013	569		3,030		3,376		0		0	
	2014	472		3,534		3,405		0		1	
	2015	461		3,157		3,475		0		0	
	2016	464		3,464		3,903		0		0	
	2017	467		3,955		3,326		0		0	
	2018	470		4,204		3,422		0		0	
	2019	449		3,614		3,554		0	See Note (1)	0	See Note (1)
	2020	500	See Note (1)	2,778		4,090		0		0	
	2021	464		3,152	See Note (1)	3,583	See Note (1)	0		0	
	2022	560		2,600	See Note (1)	4,159	See Note (1)	0		0	
	2023	596		3,345		3,311		0		0	
	2024	576		3,552		3,183		0		0	
-	2025	601		2,693		3,364		0		0	
Projected	2026	586		1,622		3,516		0		0	
roj	2027	567		289		4,457		0		0	
-	2028	609		0		4,204		0		0	
	2029	571		0		3,789		0		0	
	2030	586		0		3,140		0		0	
	2031	578	1	0		3,173		0		0	
Notes											
Fuel prices are not inclu	ded in the table l	below as OUC co	onsiders fuel pric	es to be proprieta	ary and confident	tial.					