

**Brian Schultz**

---

**From:** Brian Schultz on behalf of Records Clerk  
**Sent:** Monday, June 13, 2022 8:07 AM  
**To:** 'mbrower628@gmail.com'  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: Ten Year Site Plan Comments to be Filed in Docket No. 20220000

Good Morning,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20200000-OT and forwarding your comments to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Sincerely,

Brian Schultz  
Commission Deputy Clerk II  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399  
850.413.6770

PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

From: mbrower628@everyactioncustom.com <mbrower628@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2022 5:20 PM  
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>  
Subject: Ten Year Site Plan Comments to be Filed in Docket No. 20220000

Dear Clerk Of PSC,

I am writing to ask you to find Florida Power and Light's (FPL) preferred 10-year site plan to be unsuitable. The winter weather forecast is extremely unlikely to occur, and there is no sound evidence to back it up.

FPL's projections are going to make rate-payer's bills increase without ever realizing any benefits from the the proposed FPL investment. It is clear that this means FPL, a public corporation, will become wealthier without any benefits to the Florida rate-payers. Furthermore, such proposed FPL investments are not designed to meet our everyday Florida's energy needs. Instead FPL should directly increase investment in sustainable and hardened utility-scale Solar and Solar Storage, utility-scale Wind-power and incentivized Energy Efficiencies that help FPL rate-payers with the real weather risks we are facing, like extreme heat during the summer months instead of the "half-baked" schemes on the present docket, which is based on an unrealistic winter scenario and impracticably flies in the face of all science research knows about climate climate.

FPL is able to pass the full-cost of their projects, plus more new profit for new infrastructure, onto the ratepayers with PSC approval. My sense is you withheld such approval. You should not motivate FPL to build more gas-fired plants or build the wrong non-renewable energy technologies that are unsuitable to Florida's actual need.

FPL are already raising our bills higher and higher, a crushing burden on the Florida rate-payer. Please reject FPL's proposed site plan and urge them to invest in renewable technologies and efficiency programs that conserve resources, save money, save energy, and help us meet our needs when the weather is most unbearable.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Brower

5073 Mandavilla Blvd Gulf Breeze, FL 32563-8932 mbrower628@gmail.com