

Antonia Hover

From: Antonia Hover on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2022 8:43 AM
To: 'Jsrici@yahoo.com'
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: RE: Ten Year Site Plan Comments to be Filed in Docket No. 20220000

Good Morning, J. Rici.

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20220000, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Thank you!

Toni Hover
Commission Deputy Clerk I
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Phone: (850) 413-6467

-----Original Message-----

From: Jsrici@everyactioncustom.com <Jsrici@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 11:49 PM
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: Ten Year Site Plan Comments to be Filed in Docket No. 20220000

Dear Clerk Of PSC,

I am writing to object to FPL's proposed / 10-year site plan and to request that you reject it as unsatisfactory too.

I am not surprised that a company beholden to investors would try to maximize its profits. However, I am surprised that time and time again the PSC continues to approve utility projections that are not in the best interest of the public that the PSC is supposed to serve.

Many people now understand that FPL can build its corporate wealth by increasing the amount of infrastructure that is installed and operated, even if that infrastructure is not needed, as in this case. There is no robust analysis or evidence to back up the notion of the extreme winter weather forecast upon which some of these new infrastructure need projections are based.

What is probable and real, however, is that Florida will continue to experience and have an increasing need for cooling during hot days. Yet the PSC continues to not require our largest utility to engage in meaningful energy efficiency programs that could significantly benefit residents and help them LOWER bills and save energy. Why is that?

The PSC just approved FPL to increase rates in its state territory, with a very large jump I believe of almost 20 percent! On top of that, the PSC also recently approved FPL's request for a minimum monthly account fee charge. How is it that the PSC keeps approving all of these new fees and fee increases? The governor recently rejected FPL's proposal to do away with net metering, citing inflation, so I think the same rationale can be applied here, in addition to the fact that the infrastructure is not needed.

The word "PUBLIC" is in the title of the agency you represent, the Florida Public Service Commission. I do agree, sometimes the public is best served by approving proposals from utilities if they are comprehensively well-analyzed, rational, and vetted by experts who are advocating on behalf for the Florida Public. But that is not the case here, the public is not benefitting. Please serve YOUR PUBLIC and reject FPL's site plan. I would also urge you to have the courage to begin the necessary steps to re-establish energy efficiency programs to benefit the Florida PUBLIC.

Sincerely,
Mr. J Rici
12701 SW 81st Ave Pinecrest, FL 33156-6033 Jsrici@yahoo.com