

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS:
ANDREW GILES FAY, CHAIRMAN
ART GRAHAM
GARY F. CLARK
MIKE LA ROSA
GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
KEITH C. HETRICK
GENERAL COUNSEL
(850) 413-6199

Public Service Commission

July 8, 2022

Dianne Triplett
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
299 1st Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL. 33701
Diane.Triplett@duke-energy.com

STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST
VIA EMAIL

Matthew Bernier/
Stephanie Cuello
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
106 E. College Avenue, Ste. 800
Tallahassee, FL. 32301
Matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com
Stephanie.cuello@duke-energy.com
FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com

RE: Docket No. 20220106-EI – Petition for approval of new my energy bill+ program with income qualified component, by Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

Dear Ms. Triplett, Mr. Bernier, and Ms. Cuello:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Duke Energy Florida, LLC (Duke) provide responses to the following data requests:

1. In Order PSC-2021-0082-TRF-EI the Commission approved modifications to rate schedule FB-1. The pilot was approved to terminate in December 31, 2021. The petition on page 5, in the instant docket, states that the pilot program started in September 2021. Does the December 2021 termination date referenced on tariff sheet No. 6.391 need to be modified?
2. Please discuss the differences between the pilot approved in Order PSC-2021-0082-TRF-EI and the proposed My Energy Bill+ Program (Program) tariffs.
3. Could a current FixedBill customer transfer, before expiration of the 12 month agreement, to the proposed new Program? Please explain

4. In addition to the 1,000 income qualified participants, how many participants does Duke expect to take service under the proposed Program?
5. Please state if any ratepayer money will be used to fund the free thermostats.
6. Please provide a hypothetical My Energy Bill+ Amount calculation. Show and explain each step of the calculation.
7. Please explain the unit cost mechanism (UCM) discussed in paragraph 10 of the petition and how it is applied to the proposed Program.
8. Please explain this statement "through the UCM, the utility has an incentive to shape load based on highly complex price signals while ensuring non-participants do not experience undue cost-shift."
9. Please explain how Duke determined that 4 percent (vs. 6 percent) is an appropriate risk adder.
10. What suppliers has Duke contracted with in order to supply the smart thermostats to be used in this Program?
11. Please explain how Duke will track and monitor the demand savings associated with the control of the smart thermostats.
12. Please explain how the demand response events, as described in Exhibit C of the petition, differ from the control events under the currently approved FixedBill pilot.

Please file all responses electronically no later than the end of business on July 21, 2022 via the Commission's website at www.floridapsc.com, by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6218 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/ Suzanne Brownless
Suzanne Brownless
Senior Attorney

SBr/ds

cc: Office of Commission Clerk