State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

August 22, 2022

TO:

Office of the Commission Clerk

FROM:

Todd M. Brown, Chief of Auditing, Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis

RE:

Docket No.: 20220069-GU

Company Name: Florida City Gas

Company Code: GU602

Audit Purpose: A1a: Rate Case Audit Control No.: 2022-161-2-1

Attached is the final audit report for the Utility stated above. I am sending the Utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the Utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send a response to the Office of the Commission Clerk. There are no confidential work papers associated with this audit.

TMB/cmm

Attachment: Audit Report

cc: Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis File.

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
Bureau of Auditing
Tampa District Office

Auditor's Report

Florida City Gas Rate Case Audit

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2021

Docket No. 20220069-GU

Audit Control No. 2022-161-2-1

August 19, 2022

Ron Mavrides

Audit Manager

Simon Ojada Audit Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpos	se	1
Object	tives and Procedures	2
Audit l Non	Findings ne	6
Exhibi	its	_
1:	Rate Base	/
٥.	Not Operating Income	8
3:	Capital Structure	9

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting and Finance in its audit service request, dated June 8, 2022. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Florida City Gas in support of its filing for rate relief in Docket No. 20220069-GU.

The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

FCG/Utility refers to Florida City Gas.

FERC refers to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

USOA refers to the FERC Uniform System of Accounts as adopted by Commission Rule 25-7.014 – Records and Reports in General, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Background

Florida City Gas filed a petition for a permanent rate increase on May 31, 2022, with a historical test year ending December 31, 2021. In its Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs), the Utility submitted schedules, and we applied our procedures to these schedules.

Florida City Gas is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Florida Power and Light Company (FPL) and FPL is a wholly owned subsidiary of NextEra Energy, Inc. (NextEra Energy), providing natural gas distribution to approximately 116,000 customers in Florida's Miami-Dade, Brevard, St. Lucie, and Indian River counties.

The Utility was granted rate relief by Order No. PSC-2004-0128-PAA-GU, issued February 9, 2004, in Docket No. 20030569-GU, using a projected test year ending September 30, 2004. FCG also filed a petition for a rate increase on October 20, 2017, with a historical test year ending December 31, 2016, in Docket No. 20170179-GU. In that docket, a Joint Motion in support of a Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (SSA) between the parties was filed on March 12, 2018. The SSA addressed all of the matters raised in the FCG rate case, and was approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-2018-0190-FOF-GU, issued April 20, 2018.

Rate Base

Utility Plant in Service

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether: 1) Utility plant in service (UPIS) exists and is owned by the utility, 2) Additions are authentic and recorded at original cost, 3) Proper retirements were made when a replacement asset was put into service, 4) UPIS is properly classified in compliance with the USOA, and 5) UPIS balances are properly stated based on Commission adjustments in the prior rate case in Order No. PSC-2004-0128-PA-GU, dated February 9, 2004. Additionally, the objective was to recalculate the 13-month average balance for UPIS as of December 31, 2021.

Procedures: Our scope of audit for UPIS was limited. We requested plant addition and retirement information since the last rate case in 2004. Audit staff was told by an FCG representative, that consistent with the responses provided to interrogatories and productions of documents responded to in this docket, FCG was providing plant addition starting from June 1, 2018, the effective date of FCG's current base rates. We received a summary of plant additions and retirements which were reduced by amounts recovered by cost recovery clauses since June 1, 2018, through December 31, 2021. We reconciled the 13-month average plant balances per the MFRs to the general ledger for the test year, including the adjustments to Rate Base. We sampled selected work orders for plant additions and retirements for the proper period, amount, and general ledger account. We reviewed the Utility's procedures for posting plant additions and retirements. No exceptions were noted.

Construction Work in Progress:

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether projects that are eligible to accrue allowances for funds used during construction (AFDUC) are excluded from rate base pursuant to Commission Rule 25-7.0141, F.A.C. – Allowances for Funds Used During Construction.

Procedures: We verified that there was no AFDUC charged to any projects in the test year.

Accumulated Depreciation

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether: 1) Accruals, retirements and adjustments to accumulated depreciation (AD) are properly recorded in compliance with the USOA, 2) The utility used the depreciation rates established in prior orders, and 3) Account balances are properly stated based on Commission adjustments in the prior rate case. In addition, the objective was to recalculate the 13-month average balance for AD as of December 31, 2021.

Procedures: We traced the accumulated depreciation amounts in the MFR's to the Utility's books and records for the test year. We recalculated the 13-month average balance for UPIS. We traced the depreciation rates to Commission Order No. PSC-2018-0190-FOF-GU, issued April 20, 2018. No exceptions were noted.

Working Capital

Objectives: The objectives were: 1) To verify whether the working capital (WC) calculation is consistent with Commission practice, 2) To determine if any WC accounts are interest-bearing, and if interest-bearing, to provide the corresponding interest revenue or expense, along with how that interest expense or revenue was calculated, and it's location in the MFR's, and 3) To verify that purchase gas over-recoveries are included in WC and that under-recoveries are excluded from WC.

Procedures: We reconciled the WC accounts to the general ledger. We determined if any WC accounts were interest-bearing, and if so, was it consistent with Commission practice. We verified if purchase gas over-recoveries were included in WC and that under-recoveries are excluded from WC. No exceptions were noted.

Capital Structure

Objectives: The objectives were: 1) To determine whether the non-utility assets supported by the Utility's capital structure were removed in the rate base/capital structure reconciliation, 2) The cost rates used in the computation of the cost of capital are appropriate, 3) The rate base adjustments were adjusted in the capital structure, and 4) To reconcile the Utility book amounts to the MFRs and the general ledger.

Procedures: We obtained the rate base/capital structure reconciliation and determined that the non-utility adjustments removed in rate base were removed in the capital structure. Audit staff traced the cost of capital cost rates for the historical base year to the debt documentation. We obtained a reconciliation of the rate base adjustments in the capital structure and traced it to the MFRs and to the general ledger. No exceptions were noted.

Net Operating Income

Operating Revenue

Objectives: The objectives were: 1) To verify that the revenues for the historical base year per the Utility's MFRs are representative of the Utility's books and records, 2) To verify that all classes of customer bills are calculated correctly and are in compliance with the tariffed rates, 3) To verify that unbilled revenues are calculated properly, and 4) To verify compliance with ordered adjustments.

Procedures: We reconciled the revenues reported on the MFRs to the General Ledger. We requested samples of all classes of customer bills and the tariffs, including the applicable competitive adjustment and swing charges. We recalculated all sample bills for accuracy and compliance with tariffed rates. We reviewed the unbilled revenues calculation for accuracy. We requested the Company to reconcile their differences between the revenue accounts per the ledgers and the filings. No exceptions were noted.

Operation and Maintenance Expense

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether 2021 operation and maintenance (O&M) expenses are properly recorded in compliance with the USOA, adequately supported by documentation, and recorded in the correct amount and period.

Procedures: We verified, based on judgmental samples of utility transactions, that the sample transactions are adequately supported by source documentation, utility in nature, and do not include non-utility items, and are recorded consistent with the USOA. We reviewed contracts and allocations between the Utility and affiliates for compliance with Rule 25-6.1351 – Cost Allocation and Affiliate Transactions, F.A.C. No exceptions were noted.

Taxes Other Than Income (TOTI)

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether TOTI is properly recorded and supported by adequate documentation.

Procedures: We verified, based on a sample of the Utility's transactions for selected TOTI accounts, that TOTI was adequately supported by source documentation. No exceptions were noted.

Other:

Analytical Review

Objective: The objective was to perform an analytical review of the Utility's rate case filing using prior annual reports filed with the Commission.

Procedures: Audit staff developed a three-year analytical review that compared the annual percentage changes from 2019 to 2021, in all O&M and revenue accounts. No exceptions were noted.

Affiliate Transactions

Objectives: The objectives were to review intercompany charges to and from divisions, affiliated companies, and non-regulated operations, to determine if an appropriate amount of costs were allocated pursuant to Commission Rules, and to determine the original amounts allocated, whether the methodology was reasonable, and to check for accuracy and consistent application.

Procedures: Audit staff reviewed the Utility's policies and procedures relating to the recording of affiliate transactions and the cost/allocation manual for the parent company, Florida Power and Light, whose procedures are followed by FCG.

Audit Findings

None

Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Rate Base

SCHEDULE I	3-2	R	PAGE 1 OF 1			
FLORIDA PU	BLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: PRO AVERAGE RATE BAS	TYPE OF DATA SHOWN. HISTORIC BASE YEAR DATA 12:31/19 WITNESS S. HILLARY			
COMPANY:	PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM				WITNESS S. HELLARY	
DOCKET NO	· 20200051-GU					
LINE		AVERAGE		ADJUSTED		
NO	UTILITY PLANT	PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENT	AVERAGE		
-107	ONETT TEXAS					
1	PLANT IN SERVICE	\$1,848,671.090	(\$127,272,488)	\$1,721,398.602		
ż	CONTAION PLANT ALLOCATED	\$ 0	(\$1,201,761)	(\$1,201.761		
3	ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT	\$5,031,897	(\$2,946,879)	\$2,085,018		
4	PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE USE	\$1,939,552	(\$1,939,552)	\$0		
5	CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS	\$45,274,016	(\$18,890,013)	\$26,384,004	_	
•	001071001011111111111111111111111111111					
6	TOTAL PLANT	\$1,900,916 555	(\$152 250.692)	\$1.748,665,863	<u>-</u>	
	DEDUCTIONS	(\$11.835,439)	SO	(\$11,835,430)	
7	CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONS	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$5,664,345	(\$745,432,446		
8	ACCUM DEPR - UTILITY PLANT	(\$751,096,791)	\$368,020	\$368 020		
9	ACCUM DEPR - COMMON PLANT	\$0	\$2,760,943	(62 001,966		
10	ACCULI ANORT - ACO ADJ	(\$4,782,911)	\$0	(\$15,607,977		
11	ACCURI AMORT LEASEHOLD OTHER	(\$15,607,977)	•	SC		
12				SC		
13					-	
14	TOTAL DEDUCTIONS	(\$783,323,119)	\$8,813,313	(\$774,509,800	<u>9</u>	
15	PLANT NET	\$1,117,593 437	(\$143,437,379)	\$974,156,057	<u>-</u>	
15	PERMINEI				-	
	ALLOWANCE FOR WORKING CAPITAL					
16	BALANCE SHEET METHOD	(\$15,832,753)	(\$11,627.526)	(827,460.27	<u>)</u>	
113	BADATOE STEET METHOD				_	
17	TOTAL RATE BASE	\$1,101,760,684	(\$155,064,906)	\$946,G95,770	3 =	
		\$67.510,517	(\$8.241,871)	\$59,268,84	3	
18	NET OPERATING INCOME	301.310.311	400.000			
		ô 13⁵s		626	9.	
19	RATE OF RETURN	0.19.4		1		

Exhibit 2: Net Operating Income

NET OPERATING INCOME 3CHEDULE C-1

TORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TYPE OF DATA SHOWN
HISTORIC BASE YEAR DATA 12/31/19
HISTORIC BASE YR - 1 12/31/18
WITNESS: S HILLARY EXPLANATION PROVIDE THE CALCULATION OF RET OPERATING INCOME PER BOOKS FOR THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR AND THE PRIOR YEAR COMPANY, PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO : 20200051-GU

PAGE 1 OF 1

NET OPERATING INCOME - HISTORIC BASE YEAR ENDED 12/31/2019

		P	(I) RIOR YEAR		(2) CURRENT		(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)
LINE NO		ENDED TOTAL COMPANY PER BOOKS (BASE YEAR - 1)		HISTORIC BASE YEAR ENDED TOTAL COMPANY PER BOOKS		ADJUSTMENTS		COMPANY ADJUSTED (2) - (3)	REVENUE ADJUSTMENT	JURISDICTIONAL AMOUNT PROPOSED RATES	
			12/31/2018		12:31/2019						
	OPERATING REVENUES	\$	475,275,000	s	449,737,780	5	(217,175,598) \$	232,562,182		5	232,562,182
	OPERATING EXPENSES:				_						
	GAS EXPENSE		193,182,000		156, 150,885		(156,150,885)	.07.010.000	•		107,040,486
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE		120,420,000		128,715,895		(21,675,410)	107,040,486	-		39,365,021
	DEPRECIATION & AMURTIZATION		60,675,080		41,200,583		(1,904,562)	39,365.021			14,134,989
	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES		39,330,060		41,220,532		(27.094,543)	14,134,089	•		14,134,509
	INCOME TAXES				5 400 36 t		(1,725,202)	3,375,062			3,375,052
•	- FEDERAL		3,725,719		5,100,264 (336,687)		(383,324)	(720,011)			(720,011)
	STATE		48,784		(100),062)		(303,500)	(120,011)			•
	DEFERRED INCOME TAXES							0.002.003			8 996 662
8	- FEDERAL		7,501,279		8,906,662		•	8,906,662 3,331,714	•		3,331,714
ō	- STATE		3,221,180		3,331,714		•	3,331,714	•		0,001,114
10	INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT - NET		• .				•	12 110 5061	•		(2,140,586)
١	GAIN ON SALE OF PROPERTY		(2.140.586)		(2.140.586)			(2,140,586)	.		12,140,000
12	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	5	415,963,376	\$	392,227,263	s	(208 933,926) \$	173 293 336	<u> -</u>		173,293,336
13	OPERATING INCOME	s	59,311,624		67,510,517		(8,241,671) \$	50,268 846	.	s	59,268,846

Exhibit 3: Capital Structure

-

LORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY, PEOPLES GAS SYSTEMS COCKET NO 20100051-GU COST OF CAPITAL - 13-LICHTH AVERAGE

EXPLANATION PROMDE THE COLEMANY'S ISMONTH AVERAGE RECONCLED JURISDICTIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST RATES FOR EACH CLASS OF CAPITAL FOR THE INSTORIC BASE YEAR OF THE CURRENT CASE AND THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR OR TEST YEAR OF THE LAST RATE CASE. PAGE 1 OF 2

TYPE OF DATA SHOWN
HISTORIC BASE YEAR DATA 12/31/19
PRIOR HISTORIC BASE YEAR, 17/31/07
WITNESS S HILLARY

	LACTOR	TE PARE . HISTO	DIC BARR VE	AD FRITED 1751	(2007	PRESENT RATE CASE - HISTORIC BASE YEAR ENDED 12/31/2019							
	LAST RATE CASE - HISTORIC DADE YEAR ENDED 12/31/2007					ALIQUINT ADJUSTILIENTS							
LIME	CLASS OF CAPITAL	DOLLARS (2)	RATIO (3)	COST RATE (4)	WEIGHTED COB1 (5)	PER BOOKS (8)	SPECIFIC (7)	FRORATA (8)	13ET (9)	RATIO (10)	COST RATE (11)	WEIGHTED COST (12)	
-	COMMON EQUITY	\$200,137,944	1966%	11 25%	815%	\$196,723,711	(\$3,422,009)	(\$54,854,491)	\$438,447,214	4631%	10 75%	4 96%	
2	LONG TERM DERT	170,749,290	34 69%	7 29%	253%	322,294,515	(2,591,496)	(35,550 549)	284,152,470	30 02%	4 73%	1 42%	
3	SHORT TERM DEST	19 770 275	3 05%	50%	0 19%	52,425,119	0	(5.030 D4A)	46,599,071	4 92%	3 04%	0 15%	
	CUSTOMER DEPOSITS	34,640,036	6 79%	6 66%	0.45%	26,343,504	0	(2,691,279)	23 652 225	2 50%	2 46%	0.05%	
	DEFERRED INCOME TAX	25,654,528	4 90%	002%	0.00%	203,569,831	(12,619 657)	(17,505,260)	153,044,655	16 25%	U Onto	0 00%	
	TAX CREDITS	106,440	0 62%	0.00%	a core	0		٥	0	4000	0 00%	0.00%	
7	TOTAL	5513 778,482	100 004		8 76%	\$1 101,760,684	(\$31 533,402)	(\$116 431 637)	\$9.18 695 6 35	100 00%		661%	