CORRESPONDENCE 9/7/2022 DOCUMENT NO. 06056-2022

Iris Rollins

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Office of Commissioner Passidomo Wednesday, September 7, 2022 3:07 PM Commissioner Correspondence Docket No. 20210015 FPL

Please place the attached in Docket No. 20210015.

Thank you!

Iris Rollins

From:	katherine lindley <sablesept9455@gmail.com></sablesept9455@gmail.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, September 7, 2022 3:04 PM
То:	Office of Commissioner Passidomo
Subject:	FPL

Why does **the Public Service Commission**, think its ok to raise our FPL rates so high that we just can not afford to pay them. With the economy so bad and gas prices and grocery prices and electric prices so high, ordinary citizens are struggling to just pay their bills. I am living on peanut butter and ramen noddles and tomato sandwiches every week as that's about all I can buy now. My thermostat is set at 80 degrees and I still have problems having enough money to pay that bill. When it gets cold I will have to rely on my gas stove to heat the living room and kitchen and the rest of my home will be blocked off. I can not pay the same electric bills I did last winter. So, you have citizens that are burning up in the summer and will freeze in the winter; all so FPL can become even richer than they are. And for some reason YOU think this is ok???

It makes NO sense at all to put this burden on citizens. When FPL makes millions every year in profits, why would YOU allow them to rape the citizens of Northwest Florida right now????? Going on a payment plan when EVERY month the bill is too high to pay and get groceries, that's a joke.

I don't qualify for food stamps as I own my home. I have a car that needs repair that I can't afford to fix.

EVERY problem I am dealing with right now is because YOU decided to let FPL double and triple our rates.

Under FPL's new rate structure, which was approved unanimously by **the Public Service Commission**, I must pay for the equivalent of 239 kilowatts of electricity each month, whether I use it or not. The new rate structure is thus a disincentive to conservation.