BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

DOCKET NO. 20230001-EI ORDER NO. PSC-2023-0343-FOF-EI ISSUED: November 16, 2023

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

ANDREW GILES FAY, Chairman GARY F. CLARK MIKE LA ROSA GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO

FINAL ORDER APPROVING EXPENDITURES AND TRUE-UP AMOUNTS FOR FUEL ADJUSTMENT FACTORS; GPIF TARGETS, RANGES, AND REWARDS; AND PROJECTED EXPENDITURES AND TRUE-UP AMOUNTS FOR CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTORS

APPEARANCES:

MATTHEW BERNIER and STEPHANIE CUELLO, ESQUIRES, 106 East College Avenue, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7740; and DIANNE M. TRIPLETT, ESQUIRE, 299 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 On behalf of Duke Energy Florida, LLC (DEF).

MARIA JOSE MONCADA, WILLIAM P. COX, and DAVID M. LEE, ESQUIRES, Florida Power & Light Company, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420
On behalf of Florida Power & Light Company (FPL).

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A., 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC).

MALCOLM N. MEANS, and J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, and VIRGINIA PONDER, ESQUIRES, Ausley McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302 On behalf of Tampa Electric Company (TECO).

WALT TRIERWEILER, CHARLES REHWINKEL, PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN, MARY WESSLING and OCTAVIO SIMOES-PONCE, ESQUIRES, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida (OPC).

JON C. MOYLE, JR. and KAREN A. PUTNAL, ESQUIRES, Moyle Law Firm, PA, The Perkins House, 118 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 On behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG).

ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT and JOHN T. LAVIA, III, ESQUIRES, Gardner, Bist, Bowden, Dee, LaVia, Wright, Perry & Harper, PA, 1300 Thomaswood Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32308
On behalf of the Florida Retail Federation (FRF).

SUZANNE BROWNLESS and RYAN SANDY, ESQUIRES, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

On behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission (Staff).

MARY ANNE HELTON, ESQUIRE, Deputy General Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Advisor to the Florida Public Service Commission.

KEITH HETRICK, ESQUIRE, General Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Florida Public Service Commission General Counsel.

BY THE COMMISSION

As part of the continuing fuel and purchased power adjustment and generating performance incentive clause proceedings, an administrative hearing was held on November 1, 2023. We have jurisdiction over this subject matter pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 366, Florida Statutes (F.S.), including Sections 366.04, 366.05, and 366.06, F.S.

White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs and Nucor Steel Florida, Inc., parties to this docket, were excused from this hearing. All of the issues in this docket for Duke Energy Florida, LLC, Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Power & Light Company and Tampa Electric Company have been stipulated to by the parties. At the hearing, Exhibit Nos. 1-58 and 60-71 and the prefiled testimony of all witnesses as listed on Page 5 of the Prehearing Order were admitted into evidence. The parties waived opening statements and the filing of post-hearing briefs. We voted to approve all of the stipulations contained on Attachment A thereby resolving all outstanding issues for each party in this docket. All issues, testimony, and exhibits having been stipulated to and all stipulations having been approved, the hearing was adjourned.

The new fuel adjustment and capacity factors shall become effective as set forth in the stipulations. The new factors shall continue in effect until modified by us. We hereby approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding. We direct staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with our decision.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the findings set forth in the body of this order, and Attachment A hereto, are hereby approved. It is further

ORDERED that Duke Energy Florida, LLC, Florida Public Utilities Company, and Tampa Electric Company are hereby authorized to apply the fuel cost recovery factors set forth herein during the period January 2024 through December 2024. It is further

ORDERED that Florida Power & Light Company's fuel cost recovery factors which do not include an incremental adjustment to reflect the fuel savings associated with the 2024 Project should become effective January 1, 2024. It is further

ORDERED that Florida Power & Light Company's fuel cost recovery factors which include the incremental fuel savings associated with the 2024 Project should become effective after the 2024 Project enters commercial operations (expected to enter service January 31, 2024, with effective date for the factor of February 1, 2024). It is further

ORDERED that the SoBRA associated with the 2024 Project should become effective after the 2024 Project enters into commercial operations (expected to enter service January 31, 2024 with effective date for the factor of February 1, 2024). It is further

ORDERED that the estimated true-up amounts contained in the fuel cost recovery factors approved herein are hereby authorized subject to final true-up and further subject to proof of the reasonableness and prudence of the expenditures upon which the amounts are based. It is further

ORDERED that Duke Energy Florida, LLC, Florida Power & Light Company, and Tampa Electric Company are hereby authorized to apply the capacity cost recovery factors set forth herein during the period January 2024 through December 2024. It is further

ORDERED that the estimated true-up amounts contained in the capacity cost recovery factors approved herein are hereby authorized subject to final true-up and further subject to proof of the reasonableness and prudence of the expenditures upon which the amounts are based. It is further

ORDERED that the revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding are hereby approved and we direct Commission staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with our decision. It is further

ORDERED that while the Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor docket is assigned a separate docket number each year for administrative convenience, it is a continuing docket and shall remain open.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 16th day of November, 2023.

ADAM J. TEITZMAN Commission Clerk

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(850) 413-6770

www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

SBr

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request:

1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of Commission Clerk, and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

DOCKET NO. 20230001-EI ORDER NO. ISSUED:

PROPOSED STIPULATIONS

The following issues are proposed as Type 2¹ stipulations in this proceeding:²

<u>I.</u> <u>FUEL ISSUES</u>

Duke Energy Florida, LLC

ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve DEF's 2024 Risk Management Plan?

Stipulation: Yes, however DEF will not enter into financial hedges for 2024 fuel burns. If

DEF intends to restart its hedging program, it will subsequently seek and obtain Commission approval. At such time, all parties reserve and maintain their right to

challenge whether and the manner in which DEF enters financial hedges.

ISSUE 1B: What is the appropriate subscription bill credit associated with DEF's Clean

Energy Connection Program, approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-EI, to be

included for recovery in 2024?

Stipulation: \$49,715,436.

ISSUE 1C: What is the impact on this docket, if a decision is issued in Case SC22-94 before

January 1, 2024?

Stipulation: Issue is not ripe for a determination at this time.

ISSUE 1D: If the decision in Case SC22-94 requires the return of replacement power costs to

customers, what interest amount should be applied?

¹ A Type 2 stipulation occurs on an issue when the utility and staff, or the utility and at least one party adversarial to the utility, agree on the resolution of the issue and the remaining parties (including staff if they do not join in the agreement) do not object to the Commission relying on the agreed language to resolve that issue in a final order.

² The OPC, FRF and FIPUG position on each Type 2 stipulation stated herein is as follows:

The OPC, FRF and FIPUG take no position on these issues nor do they have the burden of proof related to them. As such, the OPC, FRF and FIPUG represent that they will not contest or oppose the Commission taking action approving a proposed stipulation between the Company and another party or staff as a final resolution of these issues. No person is authorized to state that the OPC, FRF or FIPUG is a participant in, or party to, a stipulation on these issues, either in this docket, in an order of the Commission or in a representation to a Court.

Stipulation: Issue is not ripe for a determination at this time.

ISSUE 1E: What is the appropriate Clean Energy Impact (CEI) credit, approved by Order No.

PSC-2023-0191-TRF-EI, to be included in the fuel clause in 2024?

Stipulation: A credit of \$1,748,081.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 2A: What was the total gain under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order

No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2022 through December 2022, and how should that gain to be shared between FPL and

customers?

Stipulation: Total gain was \$130,180,330. Customer distribution - \$80,590,165, FPL

distribution - \$49,590,165.

ISSUE 2B: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under FPL's

Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and

Hardware costs for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation: \$527,488.

ISSUE 2C: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable to

Off-System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel

clause for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation: \$1,311,977.

ISSUE 2D: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due to

Economy Purchases under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel

clause for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation: (\$123,908).

ISSUE 2E: What is the appropriate subscription credit associated with FPL's SolarTogether

Program approved by Order No. PSC-2020-0084-S-EI, to be included for

recovery in 2024?

Stipulation: \$203,511,528.

ISSUE 2F: Should the Commission approve FPL's 2024 Risk Management Plan?

Stipulation: Approve FPL's 2024 Risk Management Plan without hedging as per the terms of

Commission Order No. PSC-2021-0446-S-EI, as amended by Order No. PSC-

2021-0446A-S-EI.

ISSUE 2G: Are the 2024 SoBRA units (12 total) proposed by FPL cost effective?

Stipulation: Yes.

ISSUE 2H: What are the revenue requirements associated with the 2024 SoBRA Project?

Stipulation: \$68,127,892.

ISSUE 2I: What is the appropriate base rate percentage increase for the 2024 SoBRA Project

to be effective when all 2024 units are in service, currently projected to be

January 31, 2024?

Stipulation: 0.759%.

ISSUE 2J: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs for FPL reflecting the base rate

percentage increases for the 2024 SoBRA Project determined to be appropriate in

this proceeding?

Stipulation: Yes. The Commission should approve revised tariffs reflecting the SoBRA

adjustment factors determined to be reasonable in this proceeding. The Commission should direct staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with

the Commission's decision.

Florida Public Utilities Company

ISSUE 3A: Should the Commission modify the previously ordered (Order No. PSC-2023-

0026-FOF-EI) recovery schedule for FPUC's under-recovery of 2022 fuel costs

from three years to two years?

Stipulation: Yes.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 4A: What was the total gain under TECO's Optimization Mechanism approved by

Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2022 through December 2022, and how should that gain to be shared between

TECO and customers?

Stipulation: Total gain was \$24,569,361. Customer distribution - \$14,184,681, TECO

distribution - \$10,384,680.

ISSUE 4B: Should the Commission approve TECO's 2024 Risk Management Plan?

Stipulation: Approve TECO's 2024 Risk Management Plan without hedging as per the terms

of Commission Order No. PSC-2021-0423-S-EI.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 5: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2023 for gains

on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

Stipulation: DEF: \$3,179,060.

FPL: FPL's revised Asset Optimization Program approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-2021-0046-S-EI does not rely upon the three-year average Shareholder Incentive Benchmark specified in Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, so it is not applicable to FPL for the calendar year 2023.

TECO: The company did not set an actual benchmark level for calendar year 2023. Pursuant to Tampa Electric's Settlement Agreement, approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0423-S-EI, the company's Optimization Mechanism replaces the non-separated wholesale energy sales incentive.

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2024 for

gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder

incentive?

Stipulation: DEF: \$3,891,306.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period

January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation: DEF: Under-recovery of \$147,455.

FPL: Under-recovery of \$1,201,340,636.

FPUC: Under-recovery of \$9,648,946.

TECO: Under-recovery of \$295,994,153.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the

period January 2023 through December 2023?

Attachment A Page 5 of 20

Stipulation: DEF: Over-recovery of \$119,078,499.

FPL: Over-recovery of \$207,586,520

FPUC: Under-recovery of \$1,987,573.

TECO: Over-recovery of \$183,160,125.

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be

collected/refunded from January 2024 through December 2024?

Stipulation: DEF: Under-recovery of \$554,889,752.

FPL: Under-recovery of \$993,754,116.

FPUC: Under-recovery of \$11,636,519.

TECO: Under-recovery of \$112,834,024.

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost

recovery amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

Stipulation: DEF: \$1,471,960,084.

FPL: \$3,380,953,363.

FPUC: \$53,711,392.

TECO: \$654,842,720.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, LLC have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 11A, 11B, 11C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power & Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC GPIF ISSUES

ISSUE 14: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during

the period January 2022 through December 2022 for each investor-owned electric

utility subject to the GPIF?

Stipulation: DEF: A reward of \$986,550.

FPL: A reward of \$10,818,303.

TECO: A penalty of \$1,648,937.

ISSUE 15: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2024 through

December 2024 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

Stipulation:

DEF:

Table 15-1
GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2024

GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2024								
			EAF		ANOHR			
	Plant/Unit	Target	Max	imum	Target	Maximum		
	Plant/Onit	EAF (%)	EAF (%)	Savings (\$000's)	ANOHR Btu/kWh	ANOHR Btu/kWh	Savings (\$000's)	
	Bartow 4	81.34	85.06	2,357	7,577	7,878	6,459	
	Citrus County 1	88.61	89.92	1,721	6,828	6,926	2,118	
DEF	Citrus County 2	89.49	90.39	741	6,789	6,870	1,797	
DEF	Crystal River 4	67.33	73.36	2,139	10,589	11,193	3,834	
	Crystal River 5	93.35	96.44	946	10,541	11,165	5,675	
	Hines 1	82.35	83.97	325	7,352	7,519	1,642	
	Hines 3	87.29	89.66	531	7,177	7,336	1,724	
	Hines 4	79.18	82.15	944	7,116	7,285	1,858	
	Osprey 1	88.81	90.46	<u>64</u>	7,317	7,523	<u>1,595</u>	
	Totals			<u>9,768</u>			<u>26,702</u>	

Source: GPIF Target and Range Summary (Exhibit ARB-1P, Page 4 of 94).

FPL:

Table 15-2
GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2024

EAF ANOHR							•
		Target		imum	Target Maximum		mum
	Plant/Unit	EAF (%)	EAF (%)	Savings (\$000's)	ANOHR Btu/kWh	ANOHR Btu/kWh	Savings (\$000's)
	Canaveral 3	75.6	78.1	112	6,758	6,852	1,324
	Ft. Myers 2	77.5	80.0	51	7,339	7,550	5,978
	Manatee 3	90.9	93.4	449	6,898	7,195	7,932
	Martin 8	86.3	88.8	409	6,952	7,120	4,424
	Okeechobee	82.1	84.6	545	6,353	6,437	3,296
	Port Everglades 5	93.7	96.2	691	6,745	6,892	3,711
EDI	Riviera 5	88.3	90.8	199	6,668	6,754	1,977
FPL	Sanford 5	84.7	86.7	32	7,380	7,488	1,666
	St. Lucie 1	82.7	85.7	4,530	10,419	10,508	324
	St. Lucie 2	81.6	84.6	3,822	10,304	10,392	260
	Turkey Point 3	73.3	76.3	3,444	10,548	10,688	439
	Turkey Point 4	93.6	96.6	4,157	10,394	10,521	507
	Turkey Point 5	87.4	89.9	168	7,205	7,315	1,816
	West County 1	87.4	90.4	425	7,040	7,187	2,935
	West County 2	90.8	93.3	384	6,990	7,102	2,520
	West County 3	83.4	86.4	<u>452</u>	7,086	7,209	<u>2,590</u>
	Totals*			<u>\$19,870</u>			<u>\$41,699</u>
	CDIE T 1 D			11 : CDD 0	D 50 64		

Source: GPIF Target and Range Summary (Exhibit CRR-2, Pages 7-8 of 43).

TECO:

Table 15-3
GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2024

		Target	get Maximum		Target	Maximum	
	Plant/Unit	EAF (%)	EAF (%)	Savings (\$000's)	ANOHR Btu/kWh	ANOHR Btu/kWh	Savings (\$000's)
TECO	Big Bend CC 1	71.5	77.0	9,806	6,513	6,676	4,152
TECO	Polk 2	88.3	89.6	166	7,186	7,510	7,589
	Bayside 1	78.0	79.5	632	7,401	7,664	1,039
	Bayside 2	73.2	74.8	<u>1,489</u>	7,505	7,608	<u>3,153</u>
	Totals			<u>\$12,093</u>			<u>\$15,933</u>

Source: GPIF Target and Range Summary (Exhibit EBV-2, Document 1, Page 4 of 28).

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 16: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery

and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery

factor for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

Stipulation: DEF: \$2,075,803,742.

FPL: \$4,636,390,906.

FPUC: \$65,347,911.

TECO: \$776,972,691.

ISSUE 17: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each

investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period

January 2024 through December 2024?

Stipulation: DEF: N/A.

FPL: N/A.

FPUC: 1.00072.

TECO: 1.00072.

ISSUE 18: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period

January 2024 through December 2024?

Stipulation: DEF: 5.239 cents per kWh.

FPL: January 2024, 3.760 cents per kWh.

February - December 2024, 3.718 cents per kWh.

FPUC: 7.807 cents per kWh.

TECO: 3.837 cents per kWh.

ISSUE 19: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in

calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery

voltage level class?

Stipulation:

DEF:

Table 19-1
DEF Fuel Recovery Line Loss Multipliers
for the period January-December, 2023

Delivery Voltage Level	Line Loss Multiplier
Transmission	0.98
Distribution Primary	0.99
Distribution Secondary	1.00
Lighting Service	1.00

Source: Exhibit GPD-3, Part 2, Page 1 of 1

FPL: The appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class are shown in Issue No. 20.

FPUC: The appropriate fuel recovery line loss multiplier to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class is 1.00000.

TECO:

Table 19-4
TECO Fuel Recovery Line Loss Multipliers
for the period January-December, 2024

Delivery Voltage Level	Line Loss Multiplier
Transmission	0.98
Distribution Primary	0.99
Distribution Secondary	1.00
Lighting Service	1.00

Source: Exhibit MAS-3, Document No. 2, Page 5 of 42.

ISSUE 20: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery

voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

Stipulation: DEF:

Table 20-1
DEF Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2024

	Fuel Cost Recovery Factors (cents/kWh)			Time of Use (cents/kWh)		
Delivery Voltage Level	First Tier	Second Tier	Levelized	On-Peak Multiplier 1.278	Off-Peak Multiplier 1.007	Super Off-Peak Multiplier 0.712

Table 20-1
DEF Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2024

	Fuel Cost Recovery Factors (cents/kWh)			Time of Use (cents/kWh)		
Delivery Voltage Level	First Tier	Second Tier	Levelized	On-Peak Multiplier 1.278	Off-Peak Multiplier 1.007	Super Off-Peak Multiplier 0.712
Transmission		-	5.142	6.571	5.178	3.661
Distribution Primary		1	5.195	6.639	5.231	3.699
Distribution						
Secondary	4.947	6.017	5.247	6.706	5.284	3.736
Lighting Service			4.880			

Source: Schedule E1-E (Exhibit GPD-3, Part 2, Page 1 of 1).

FPL:

Table 20-2 FPL Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January, 2024

	Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Group (Adjusted for Line Losses)					
			Fuel	Fuel		
Grou	Rate Schedule	Avg. Factor	Recovery	Recovery		
p	Rate Schedule	(cents/kWh)	Loss	Factor		
1			Multiplier	(cents/kWh)		
	RS-1, first 1,000 kWh	3.760	1.00271	3.462		
A	RS-1, all additional kWh	3.760	1.00271	4.462		
	GS-1, SL-2, SL-2M, GSCU-1	3.760	1.00271	3.771		
A-1	SL-1, SL-1M, OL-1, PL-1 (1), LT-1, OS I/II	3.681	1.00271	3.691		
В	GSD-1, GSD-1EV	3.760	1.00264	3.770		
С	GSLD-1, GSLD-1EV, CS-1	3.760	1.00195	3.768		
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET	3.760	0.99492	3.741		
E	GSLD-3, CS-3	3.760	0.97286	3.658		
	GST-1 On-Peak	4.159	1.00271	4.170		
A	GST-1 Off Peak	3.591	1.00271	3.600		
A	RTR-1 On-Peak			0.400		
	RTR-1 Off-Peak			(0.170)		
	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), SST-1D(1), HLFT-1					
В	On-Peak	4.159	1.00264	4.170		
В	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), SST-1D(1), HLFT-1					
	Off-Peak	3.591	1.00264	3.600		
	GSLDT-1, CST-1, SST-1D(2), HLFT-2 On-					
C	Peak	4.159	1.00195	4.167		
	GSLDT-1, CST-1, SST-1D(2), HLFT-2 Off-					
	Peak	3.591	1.00195	3.598		

	GSLDT-2, CST-2, SST-1D(3), HLFT-3 On-			
D	Peak	4.159	0.99492	4.138
	GSLDT-2, CST-2, SST-1D(3), HLFT-3 Off-			
	Peak	3.591	0.99492	3.572
	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), SST-1(T),			
E	ISST-1(T) On-Peak	4.159	0.97286	4.046
E	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), SST-1(T)			
	ISST-1(T) Off-Peak	3.591	0.97286	3.493
F	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) On-Peak	4.159	0.99435	4.135
	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) Off-Peak	3.591	0.99435	3.570

Source: Schedule E1-E, (Exhibit EJA-7, 2024 FCR Projections, Page 7 of 153).

Table 20-3 FPL Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January, 2024

in an activity rule to the policy outline, j, activity								
	Seasonal Demand Time of Use Rider (SDTR) Fuel Recovery Factors							
		Axxama	Fuel	Fuel				
Cassa	Rate Schedule	Average	Recovery	Recovery				
Group	Rate Schedule	Factor (cents/kWh)	Loss	Factor				
			Multiplier	(cents/kWh)				
В	GSD(T)-1 On-Peak	4.440	1.00264	4.452				
D	GSD(T)-1 Off-Peak	3.675	1.00264	3.684				
С	GSLD(T)-1 On-Peak	4.440	1.00195	4.449				
	GSLD(T)-1 Off-Peak	3.675	1.00195	3.682				
D	GSLD(T)-2 On-Peak	4.440	0.99492	4.418				
	GSLD(T)-2 Off-Peak	3.675	0.99492	3.656				

Source: Schedule E1-E, (Exhibit EJA-7, 2024 FCR Projections, Page 8 of 153).

Table 20-4
FPL Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period February-December, 2024

1 FL 1 del Cost Recovery I actors for the period I ebidary-becember, 2024							
	Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Group (Adjusted for Line Losses)						
		Avg.	Fuel	Fuel			
Casua	Rate Schedule	Factor	Recovery	Recovery			
Group	Rate Schedule	(cents/kWh	Loss	Factor			
)	Multiplier	(cents/kWh)			
	RS-1, first 1,000 kWh	3.718	1.00271	3.419			
A	RS-1, all additional kWh	3.718	1.00271	4.419			
	GS-1, SL-2, SL-2M, GSCU-1	3.718	1.00271	3.728			
A-1	SL-1, SL-1M, OL-1, PL-1 (1), LT-1, OS I/II	3.640	1.00271	3.650			
В	GSD-1, GSD-1EV	3.718	1.00264	3.728			
С	GSLD-1, GSLD-1EV, CS-1	3.718	1.00195	3.725			
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET	3.718	0.99492	3.699			
Е	GSLD-3, CS-3	3.718	0.97286	3.617			

	-			
A	GST-1 On-Peak	4.112	1.00271	4.123
	GST-1 Off Peak	3.550	1.00271	3.560
	RTR-1 On-Peak			0.395
	RTR-1 Off-Peak			(0.168)
	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), SST-1D(1), HLFT-1			
D	On-Peak	4.112	1.00264	4.123
В	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), SST-1D(1), HLFT-1			
	Off-Peak	3.550	1.00264	3.559
	GSLDT-1, CST-1, SST-1D(2), HLFT-2 On-			
	Peak	4.112	1.00195	4.120
С	GSLDT-1, CST-1, SST-1D(2), HLFT-2 Off-			
	Peak	3.550	1.00195	3.557
	GSLDT-2, CST-2, SST-1D(3), HLFT-3 On-			
D	Peak	4.112	0.99492	4.091
D	GSLDT-2, CST-2, SST-1D(3), HLFT-3 Off-			
	Peak	3.550	0.99492	3.532
	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), SST-1(T),			
Б	ISST-1(T) On-Peak	4.112	0.97286	4.000
Е	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), SST-1(T)			
	ISST-1(T) Off-Peak	3.550	0.97286	3.454
Г	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) On-Peak	4.112	0.99435	4.089
F	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) Off-Peak	3.550	0.99435	3.530
<u> </u>		1		

Source: Schedule E1-E, (Exhibit EJA-8, 2024 FCR Projections, Page 4 of 8).

Table 20-5
FPL Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period February-December, 2024

11 21 del Geet Recorety i detele lei tile period i estadi y seconisei, ses				
Seasonal Demand Time of Use Rider (SDTR) Fuel Recovery Factors				
C	Rate Schedule		Fuel	Fuel
		Average Factor	Recovery	Recovery
Group		(cents/kWh)	Loss	Factor
			Multiplier	(cents/kWh)
В	GSD(T)-1 On-Peak	4.390	1.00264	4.402
ь	GSD(T)-1 Off-Peak	3.633	1.00264	3.643
С	GSLD(T)-1 On-Peak	4.390	1.00195	4.399
	GSLD(T)-1 Off-Peak	3.633	1.00195	3.640
D	GSLD(T)-2 On-Peak	4.390	0.99492	4.368
D	GSLD(T)-2 Off-Peak	3.633	0.99492	3.615

Source: Schedule E1-E, (Exhibit EJA-8, 2024 FCR Projections, Page 5 of 8).

FPUC: The appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2024 through December 2024, are shown in Tables 20-6, 20-7, and 20-8 below:

Table 20-6 FPUC Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2024

Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Schedule Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Schedule			
Rate Schedule	Levelized Adjustment (cents/kWh)		
RS	1	10.588	
GS	1	10.637	
GSD]	10.035	
GSLD		9.772	
LS		8.180	

Source: Schedule E1, Page 3 of 3 (Exhibit PTN-1, Page 3 of 8).

Table 20-7
FPUC Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2024

Step Rate Allocation For Residential Customers (RS Rate Schedule)		
Rate Schedule and Allocation	Levelized Adjustment (cents/kWh)	
RS Rate Schedule – Sales Allocation	10.588	
RS Rate Schedule with less than or equal to 1,000 kWh/mor	nth 10.259	
RS Rate Schedule with greater than 1,000 kWh/month	11.509	

Source: Schedule E1, Page 3 of 3 (Exhibit PTN-1, Page 3 of 8).

Table 20-8 FPUC Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2024

11 00 1 del 003t Necovery 1 actors for the period bandary-becember, 2024				
Fuel Recovery Factors for Time Of Use – By Rate Schedule				
Rate Schedule	Levelized Adjustment On Peak (cents/kWh)	Levelized Adjustment Off Peak (cents/kWh)		
RS	18.659	6.359		
GS	14.637	5.637		
GSD	14.035	6.785		
GSLD	15.772	6.772		
Interruptible	8.272	9.772		

Source: Schedule E1, Page 3 of 3 (Exhibit PTN-1, Page 3 of 8).

TECO:

Table 20-9
TECO Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2024

1200 i dei cost Necovery i actors for the period sandary-becember, 2024					
	Fuel Cost Recovery Factors (cents per kWh)				
Metering Voltage Level	Levelized Fuel Recovery Factor	First Tier (First 1,000 kWh)	Second Tier (Over 1,000 kWh)		
STANDARD					
Distribution Secondary (RS only)		3.536	4.536		
Distribution Secondary	3.843				
Distribution Primary	3.805				
Transmission	3.766				
Lighting Service	3.806				
TIME OF USE					
Distribution Secondary- On-Peak	4.045				
Distribution Secondary- Off-Peak	3.757				
Distribution Primary- On-Peak	4.005				
Distribution Primary- Off-Peak	3.719				
Transmission – On-Peak	3.964				
Transmission – Off-Peak	3.682				

Source: Exhibit MAS-3, Document No. 2, Page 6 of 42.

II. CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC

ISSUE 21A: What is the appropriate amount of costs for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage

Installation (ISFSI) that DEF should be allowed to recover through the capacity

cost recovery clause pursuant to DEF's 2017 Settlement for 2024?

Stipulation: \$6,879,837.

ISSUE 21B: What adjustment amount should the Commission approve to be refunded through

the capacity clause associated with the Solar Base Rate Adjustment true-up for

Plant Sandy Creek?

Stipulation: \$1,536,165.

ISSUE 21C: What adjustment amount should the Commission approve to be refunded through

the capacity clause associated with the Solar Base Rate Adjustment true-up for

Plant Santa Fe?

Attachment A Page 15 of 20

Stipulation: \$386,291.

ISSUE 21D: What adjustment amount should the Commission approve to be refunded through

the capacity clause associated with the Solar Base Rate Adjustment true-up for

Plant Twin Rivers?

Stipulation: \$533,447.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 22A: Should the Commission approve a \$7.92 million refund related to the incremental

impact of the Inflation Reduction Act for years 2022 and 2023 due to the application of the Tax Provision contained in FPL's current Rate Settlement

Agreement?

Stipulation: Yes.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 23A, 23B, 23C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 24: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the

period January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation: DEF: Under-recovery of \$5,788,998.

FPL: Over-recovery of \$8,047,503.

TECO: Under-recovery of \$2,216,062.

ISSUE 25: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts

for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

Stipulation: DEF: Under-recovery of \$4,762,828.

FPL: Over-recovery of \$3,279,655.

TECO: Under-recovery of \$5,202,844.

ISSUE 26: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be

collected/refunded during the period January 2024 through December 2024?

Attachment A Page 16 of 20

Stipulation: DEF: Under-recovery of \$10,551,826.

FPL: Over-recovery of \$11,327,158.

TECO: Under-recovery of \$7,418,904.

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the

period January 2024 through December 2024?

Stipulation: DEF: \$310,027,071.

FPL: \$212,040,854.

TECO: \$3,511,508.

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery

amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2024 through

December 2024?

Stipulation: DEF: \$327,458,733.

FPL: \$192,792,636.

TECO: \$10,938,282.

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues

and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2024

through December 2024?

Stipulation: DEF: Base: 97.403 percent, Intermediate: 92.637 percent, and Peaking: 95.110

percent.

FPL: Demand: Transmission 89.4143 percent, Non-Stratified/Base/Solar

96.0923 percent, Intermediate 95.4528 percent, Peaking 94.2663 percent,

Distribution 100 percent.

Energy: Non-Stratified/Base/Solar 95.8349 percent, Intermediate 94.4751 percent,

Peaking 95.7272 percent.

General Plant: Labor 97.0449 percent.

TECO: The appropriate jurisdictional separation factor is 1.00

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January

2024 through December 2024?

Stipulation: DEF:

Table 30-1
DEF Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period January–December, 2024

•	-	Capacity	Capacity and ISFSI		
Rate Class		Cost Recov	Cost Recovery Factors		
			\$/kW-month		
Residential (R	S-1, RST-1, RSL-1, RSL-2)				
At Secondary	Voltage	0.946			
General Service	ce Non-Demand (GS-1, GST-1)				
	At Secondary Voltage	0.816			
	At Primary Voltage	0.808			
	At Transmission Voltage	0.800			
General Service	ce (GS-2)	0.597			
Lighting (LS-1	1)	0.237			
General Service	ce Demand (GSD-1, GSDT-1, SS-1)				
	At Secondary Voltage		2.53		
	At Primary Voltage		2.50		
	At Transmission Voltage		2.48		
Curtailable (C	S-2, CST-2, CS-3, CST-3, SS-3)				
	At Secondary Voltage		2.05		
	At Primary Voltage		2.03		
	At Transmission Voltage		2.01		
Interruptible (IS-2, IST-2, SS-2)	<u> </u>			
•	At Secondary Voltage		1.99		
	At Primary Voltage		1.97		
	At Transmission Voltage		1.95		
Standby Mont	hly (SS-1, 2, 3)	1	1		
•	At Secondary Voltage		0.244		
	At Primary Voltage		0.242		
	At Transmission Voltage		0.239		
Standby Daily		1	1		
, ,	At Secondary Voltage		0.116		
	At Primary Voltage		0.115		
	At Transmission Voltage		0.114		

Source: Schedule E12-E (Exhibit GPD-3, Part 3, Page 1 of 1).

FPL:

Table 30-2
FPL Capacity Cost Recovery Factors (with IRA Refund) for the period January–
December, 2024

December, 2024					
	2024 Capacity Cost Recovery Factors				
Rate Schedule	\$/kW	\$/kWh	Reservation Demand Charge (RDC) \$/kW	Sum of Daily Demand Charge (SDD) \$/kW	
RS1/RTR1	=	0.00170	1	-	
GS1/GST1	1	0.00155	ı	-	
GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1/GSD1-EV	0.56	-	ı	-	
OS2	1	0.00076	1	-	
GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2/GSLD1 -EV	0.59	-	-	-	
GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3	0.61	-	-	-	
GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CST3	0.67	-	ı	-	
SST1T	1	-	0.07	0.03	
SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3	-	-	0.07	0.03	
CILC D/CILC G	0.63		-		
CILC T	0.60	-	_	-	
MET	0.56	-	_	-	
OL1/SL1/SL1M/PL1/OSI/II/LT1	-	0.00013	_	-	
SL2/SL2M/GSCU1	-	0.00110	_	-	

Source: Exhibit EJA-10, Page 19 of 31.

TECO:

Table 30-3
TECO Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period January–December, 2024

Data Class and Mataring Valtage	2024 Capacity Cost Recovery Factors		
Rate Class and Metering Voltage	\$/kWh	\$/kW	
RS	0.00062		
GS and CS	0.00054	-	
GSD, RSD			
Secondary		0.20	
Primary	-	0.20	
Transmission		0.20	

GSD Optional		
Secondary	0.00048	
Primary	0.00048	_
Transmission	0.00047	
GSLDPR/GSLDTPR		0.17
GSLDSU/GSLDTSU] -	0.19
LS-1, LS-2	0.00012	-

Source: Exhibit MAS-3, Document Number 1, Page 3 of 4.

III. EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 31: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

Stipulation: DEF: Revised factors should become effective with the first billing cycle of January 2024.

FPL: The factors shall be effective for meter readings commencing as follows:

- FPL's CCR factors should become effective January 1, 2024;
- The FCR factors which do not include an incremental adjustment to reflect the fuel savings associated with the 2024 Project should become effective January 1, 2024;
- The FCR factors which include the incremental fuel savings associated with the 2024 Project should become effective after the 2024 Project enters commercial operations (expected to enter service January 31, 2024 with effective date for the factor of February 1, 2024); and
- The SoBRA associated with the 2024 Project should become effective after the 2024 Project enters commercial operations (expected to enter service January 31, 2024 with effective date for the factor of February 1, 2024).

These charges should continue in effect until modified by subsequent order of this Commission.

FPUC: Revised factors should become effective with the first billing cycle of January 2024.

TECO: Revised factors should become effective with the first billing cycle of January 2024.

ISSUE 32: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment

factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this

proceeding?

Stipulation: Yes. The Commission should approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel

adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be reasonable in this proceeding. The Commission should direct staff to verify that the revised

tariffs are consistent with the Commission decision.

ISSUE 33: Should this docket be closed?

Stipulation: No, this is a continuing docket and should remain open.