

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by residents of)	DOCKET NO. 870992-TL
MACCLENNY AND SANDERSON for extended)	ORDER NO. 20893
area service in Duval County.)	ISSUED: 3-14-89

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

MICHAEL McK. WILSON, CHAIRMAN
 THOMAS M. BEARD
 BETTY EASLEY
 GERALD L. GUNTER
 JOHN T. HERNDON

ORDER DIRECTING SURVEY

BY THE COMMISSION:

This docket was initiated by a citizens' petition filed with this Commission by the residents of the Macclenny and Sanderson exchanges located in Baker County, Florida on September 17, 1987. The petition requested we consider implementing toll free dialing from the exchanges of Sanderson and Macclenny to all exchanges in Duval County.

In accordance with our rules we ordered Northeast Florida Telephone Company (Northeast) and Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell), who serve this area, to conduct traffic studies on the affected routes. We ordered the traffic studies to determine, based upon our rules, whether a community of interest between Sanderson and Macclenny and any of the exchanges located in Duval County was sufficient to approve the petition. The results of the traffic study indicate that only the routes involving the Sanderson, Macclenny and Jacksonville exchanges exhibit a community of interest.

The traffic studies, conducted in response to Order No. 18322, demonstrate that the calling rates on the Macclenny to Jacksonville route and the Sanderson to Jacksonville route exceed the requirements of Rule 25-4.060(2)(a), Florida Administrative Code. The rule requires a calling rate of three (3) or more messages per main station per month (M/M/M) with at least fifty percent (50%) of the exchanges' subscribers making two (2) or more calls per month. The calling rates on the affected routes, which met the requirements of the rule, reveal the following results:

<u>Route</u>	<u>M/M/Ms</u>	<u>% of Customers Making 2 or More Calls</u>
Macclenny to Jacksonville	10.83	74.06
Sanderson to Jacksonville	7.52	59.04

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
 02664 MAR 14 1989
 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 20893
DOCKET NO. 870992-TL
PAGE 2

These calling rates indicate a strong community of interest sufficient to warrant the implementation of nonoptional, two-way flat rate extended area service (EAS).

Accordingly, we direct that customers for both the Sanderson exchange and the Macclenny exchange be surveyed separately for the implementation of nonoptional, two-way flat rate EAS. (We are ordering separate surveys in part due to the fact that each exchange has a distinct prefix.) The ballot and survey letter shall be developed with input from our staff, representatives from Northeast and representatives from the community in an effort to ensure that the language clearly and accurately describes the plan being offered.

In order for the customers of Sanderson and Macclenny to understand the cost they will be required to pay for nonoptional, flat rate two-way EAS the following rates will be reflected in the survey-letter:

Macclenny and Sanderson Exchanges

	<u>Current Rate</u>	<u>EAS Additive</u>	<u>New Rate</u>
R-1	\$ 8.00	\$10.85	\$18.85
B-1	22.90	31.03	53.93
PBx	34.50	46.76	81.26

We direct that upon tabulation of the ballots the results be presented for our consideration at an Agenda Conference. Should either or both surveys fail we will determine the appropriateness of implementing an alternative toll plan.

Therefore, based upon the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that the citizens' petition filed by the residents of the Macclenny and Sanderson exchanges located in Baker County, Florida, which requested toll free calling to all exchanges located in Duval County, is hereby granted in part and denied in part. It is further

ORDERED that the customers of the Sanderson exchange and the Macclenny exchange be surveyed separately for the implementation of nonoptional, two-way flat rate extended area service, this survey shall take place no later than thirty days from the date of this order. It is further

ORDERED that this docket remain open.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission
this 14th day of MARCH, 1989.

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

DWS

by: Kay Hizon
Chief, Bureau of Records

ORDER NO. 20893
DOCKET NO. 870992-TL
PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.