

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Proposed tariff	filing by	) CONTROL	89-1194-TI
SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE		)	
COMPANY clarifying when		)	
number can be disclosed	and introducing	)	
Caller ID to TouchStar S	Service	)	
		)	

# PREHEARING STATEMENT OF FLORIDA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, INC.

Pursuant to the Order on Prehearing Procedure and Amended Order issued by the Florida Public Service Commission on September 6, 1990 and September 19, 1990, respectively, the Florida Medical Association, Inc. (FMA) hereby files its prehearing statement.

- (1) Witnesses: John Thrasher, FMA General Counsel and Jeff Cohen, FMA Associate General Counsel. Either one or both of the witnesses may testify regarding the effect of proposed Caller ID on FMA members, and regarding FMA's objections to Caller ID.
- (2) Exhibits: All documents filed by FMA and all other parties.

ACK	entergeneral production and and	(3)	Position: The FMA opposes Caller ID.		
AFA	adad America, i Andrews VII de Albergher III (An	(4)	Factual Questions: None.		
APP	where depth is two improductioning perfect all plans and an extension of the contract of the c				
CAF	test the component systems walked		Legal Questions:		
(MI)	and a second		1. Whether Caller ID violates Chapter 934, Florida		
CTR		ites.	Florida's Wiretap Act;		
\$m.,	THE PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY				
			2. Whether Caller ID violates any provision of the		
	Comet	cituti	ion of the State of Florida.		
CFC.	surprises with a State to discrete the support	153	Policy Questions:		
	n og megsinnssen sog i fillskappingsi måd seppela	( 0 )	The same of the sa		
Part A	and a secondarian		1. The right of privacy;		
$W//\omega$	and the second of the second s		er no. and talked in gaining of the first of		
OTH	· v Ar vigit sector and the constitution page.		E3 8 WF 78 100 000		
			As a construction of the AMPTO		

- 2. The effect of Caller ID on subscribers of nonpublished telephone numbers.
- (7) Position: The FMA believes Caller ID constitutes a trap and trace device within the definition of Chapter 934, Florida Statutes, for which there is no exception. Moreover, the FMA believes Caller ID violates Article I, Section 23, the Right of Privacy Provision, of the Constitution of the State of Florida. A legal memorandum, attached hereto, has been fited on these issues.
  - (8) Stipulations: Not Applicable.
  - (9) Pending Motions: Not Applicable.
  - (10) Noncompliance: Not Applicable.

John E. Thrasher, Esquire

General Council

Morida Medical Association

Florida Bar No. 158757

Post Office Box 2411

Jacksonville, Florida 32203

(904) 356-1571

Jeffrey L. Cohen, Esquire Associate General Council Florida Medical Association Florida Bar No. 703966 Post Office Box 2411

Jacksonville, Florida 32203

(904) 356-1571

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Proposed tariff filing by DOCKET NO. 89-1194-TL SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY clarifying when a non-published number can be disclosed and introducing Caller ID to TouchStar Service )

## LEGAL MEMORANDUM OF FLORIDA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, INC.

# I. Caller ID violates Chapter 934, Florida Statutes (1989)

The Florida Legislature has carefully delineated the circumstances and conditions under which interception of wire and oral communications may be authorized. Specifically listed as one of its concerns was the effective protection of the privacy of such communications. Section 934.01(2), Fla. Stat. (1989). The Legislature also listed as a specific objective the safeguard of personal privacy. Section 934.01(4), Fla. Stat. (1989,. Moreover, the Florida Supreme Court has interpreted the legislative intent behind Chapter 934 as affording even broader protection to privacy than the Federal Act. State v. Tsavaris, 394 So.2d 418 (Fla. 1981).

Chapter 934, Fla. Stat. (1989), Florida's Wiretap Act, was enacted with a great concern for protection of one's privacy interests. It specifically prohibits the use of a "trap and trace device" without first obtaining a court order unless the device's use falls under three specific exceptions.

Such a device is defined by the Act as "a device which captures the incoming electronic or other impulses which identify the originating number of an instrument or a device from which a wire or electronic communication was transmitted." Section

934.03(21), Fla. Stat. (1989). The Caller ID device translates an incoming electronic signal into the calling party's number and displays the number on the screen. As such, the device fits squarely within Florida's definition of a trap and trace device. Pennsylvania, for example, has a nearly identical provision, and that state's Attorney General has conceded that Caller 1D is a trap and trap device. Barasch v. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission No. 2270 C.D., Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, 1989. Therefore, a court order is required, or the device must fit within one of the statutory exceptions before it may be used.

A court order is required for the installation or use of a trap and trace device unless:

- (1) the trap and trace device is used by a provider of electronic or wire communication service relative to "the operation, maintenance and testing of a wire or electronic communication service or to the protection of the rights or property of the provider or to the protection of users of that service from abuse of service or lawful use of service"; or
- (2) when the trap and trace device is used by a provider of electronic or wire communication service "[t]o record the fact that a wire or electronic communication was initiated or completed in order to protect the provider thereof, another provider furnishing service toward the completion of the wire communication, or user of the service, from fraudulent, unlawful, or abusive use of service."

The only other exception to the requirement for a court order applies where the user of the services has given consent. Sections 934.31(2)(a),(b),(c), Fla. Stat. (1989).

The pertinent exceptions listed above clearly apply only when a provider of electronic or wire communication service uses a trap and trace device for three specific purposes. Even if Southern Bell were to justify the device on the basis that it protects users of the service from abuse, unlawful use, or fraudulent or abusive use, the exceptions do not apply unless the trap and trace device is used by the provider, that is, Southern Bell. Caller ID impermissibly places the device into the hands of the general public, unlike, for example, Call Tracing, over which Southern Bell retains control and which information is appropriately communicated to law enforcement authorities. Under the present statutory scheme, the installation or use of Caller ID would first require a court order under Section 934.33, Fla. Stat. (1989).

chapter 934 also addresses the use of "Pen Register" devices, and restricts the use of such a device without a court order in precisely the same manner as trap and trace devices. No court has yet decided how the Florida Constitution relates to trap and trace devices, but the Florida Supreme Court's recent treatment of the issue with regard to pen registers is applicable here.

In 1989, the Florida Supreme Court determined that individuals have a constitutional right of privacy regarding their telephone numbers. Shaktman v. State 553 So.2d 148 (Flz. 1989). Though the

device implicated in that case was a pen register, the principles clearly apply in this matter.

The <u>Shaktman</u> petitioners were charged with certain criminal violations relating to illegal gambling. As part of its surveillance activity, the state petitioned the circuit court for a lease line for pen register operation on several telephones within a suspects apartment. Eventually, the petitioners were formally charged. As part of their defense, the petitioners argued that Article I, Section 23 of the Florida Constitution, the Right of Privacy provision, required that evidence obtained through use of the pen register devices was inadmissible. The petitioners were ultimately convicted and the case made its way to the Florida Supreme Court.

Apparently, a pen register is useful for determining what telephone number an individual dials, rather than, in the case of Caller ID, identifying the dialer's telephone number. Nevertheless, both pen registers and trap and trace devices are prohibited in precisely the same way without a court order, and the same three exceptions apply to the use of each device without a court order.

The <u>Shaktman</u> defendants' convictions were ultimately uphela, but only because law enforcement complied with significant procedural requirements relating to the use of pen registers. Caller ID threatens to abolish the clear procedural requisites for the use of trap and trace devices. This, most assuredly, would violate the Constitution of the State of Florida.

The Florida Supreme Court recognized that "the people of

Florida unequivocally declared for themselves a strong, clear, free standing, and express right of privacy as a constitutional fundamental right." Id. at 150. That right, the Court continued, "demands that individuals be free from uninvited observation . . . unless the intrusion is warranted by the necessity of a compelling state interest." Id. The court concluded that Florida's constitutional right of privacy provision is implicated when the government gathers telephone numbers with a pen register.

The telephone numbers an individual dials or otherwise transmits represents personal information which, in most no intention the individual has instances, This personal third party. communicating to a expectation is not defeated by the fact that the Id. at 151. telephone company has that information.

That the Court intended for each individual to control his or her privacy is solidified by the Court's pronouncement that "the parameters of an individual's privacy can be dictated only by that individual." Id. Caller ID eviscerates callers' constitutional right to privacy by depriving them the control which the Florida Supreme Court requires.

# III. Conclusion

Caller ID clearly violates Chapter 934, Fla. Stat. (1989). It is a trap and trace device to which no statutory exception applies. The greater issue, however, is the constitutional one. Article I, Section 23 of the Florida Constitution states, in pertinent part, "Every natural person has the right to be let alone and free from governmental intrusion into his private life except as otherwise provided herein." This is the crux of the issue before this Commission. It is an issue which the Florida Supreme

Court has addressed extensively. Hence, no other conclusion is reasonable except that Caller ID violates both legislative intent and judicial interpretation. Moreover, the infringement wrought by Caller ID appears to be totally unnecessary in light of Call Trace which Southern Bell itself markets as the solution to harassing telephone calls. See October 21, 1990 Florida Times Union advertisement attached hereto as Attachment A.

John E. Thrasher, Esquire

Cemeral Council

#1prida Medical Association

Riorida Bar No. 158757

Post Office Box 2411

Jacksonville, Florida 32203

(904) 356-1571

Jeffrey L. Cohen, Esquire Associate General Council Florida Medical Association Florida Bar No. 703966 Post Office Box 2411 Jacksonville, Florida 32203

(904) 356-1571

iouring tar services from Southern Boll. Three remarkable phone services that will give many Jacksonville area customers a new dimension in control, convenience privacy and security! And they're economical. The initial TouchStar

service you order is only \$4.00 a month. Additional services are \$3.00 each. And you don't need special equipment or an installer visit. So don't wait another day! Make your life a touch easier."

Call On Us.

Call Ration

Casie back the number you missed, author withcelly.

Don't you hate it when you rush for the phone and the caller hangs up just as you answer it? With Call Return, you won't have to rush for the phone again. Just pressible Jim when you're ready, and your phone dials the number of the last person who called you.

Lat your phane we k, not ye z. You did a may be a distance of its busy inne filter time. With Repeat During jet a special checks the busy must be every minute for the next half how. When the line is open, your phone less you know with a special ring.

Call Tacing

Take section against harmoning cults. Now you can do something about repeated obscene calls. With Call Tracing, press (EID) (2) the moment you hang up. That sends the number of the person who has just called and the time of the trace to a Southern Bell office. Then call us the next business day with the time/date you used Call Tracing and ask us to take appropriate action. The action we take varies, so ack for details. You will not receive the mumber being traced. Note: Call Tracing is an alternative to our normal annoyance call service, which is available at no additional charge.

) comection charge if you order mos.



The service works of the continuent and bright some linear acquarters. The service workfor calls becover Southern brights having found and south a

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Proposed tariff filing by SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY clarifying when a non-published number can be disclosed and introducing Caller ID to TouchStar Service

(in sold in 1 in 1968) in the angle of the contraction of the contract

IDOCKET NO. 89-1194-TL

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of the FREHEARING STATEMENT OF FLORIDA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, INC., and LEGAL MEMORANDUM OF FLORIDA MEDICAL ASSOCATION, INC., have been furnished to the following parties by U.S. Mail this 23rd day of October, 1990:

Southern Bell Telephone and Messer Law Firm Telegraph Company Telegraph Company
Attn: Marshall M. Criser, III P.O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 Tallahassee, FL 32301

A. Aabaco Locksmith Attn: David Merkatz P.O. Box 5301 Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33310

Angela Green Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, PL 32301

J. M. Buddy Phillips Florida Sheriff's Association P.O. Box 1437 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1487

Charlene Carres American Civil Liberties Union P.O. BOX 1031 Tallahassec, FL 32302

Alan Berg Onited Telephone Company 0.0. Rest 5000 Altemonte Sprys., FL 32716-5000 Attn: Bruce Renard

Mike Ramage Florida Department of Law Enforcement P.O. Box 1489 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Robert A. Butterworth Attorney General Department of Legal Affairs The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Willis Booth Florida Police Chiefs Association P.O. Box 14038 Tallahassee, FL 32317-4038

Peter Antonacci Statewide Prosecutor PL 01, The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399

Thomas Parker Associate General Counsol GTH Florida Incorporated P.O. BOX 110, MC 7 Tampa, FL 33501-0110

Cheryl Phoenix, Director Florida Coalition Against Domestic Violence P.O. Box 532041 Orlando, FL 32853-2041

Dale Cross Central Telephone Company P.O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Stephen S. Mathues
Staff Attorney
Department of General Services
Office of the General Counsel
Koger Executive Center
Knight Building, Suite 309
2737 Centerview Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0950

Lee Willis
227 South Calhoun Street
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Charles J. Beck Assistant Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel C/O The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32339-1400

Jeffrey L. Cohen, Esquire
Associate General Council
Florida Medical Association
Florida Bar No. 703966
Post Office Box 2411
Jacksonville, Florida 32203
(904) 356-1571