DEPOSIT. TREAS REC

DATE

AUG 05 1991

Fine (20)06-03-201

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Proceedings against INTEGRETEL, INC. for violation of Commission Rules 25-4.111(1) and 25-4.043 regarding responses to consumer complaints.

DOCKET NO. 910293-TI ORDER NO. 24875 ISSUED: 7/31/91

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

THOMAS M. BEARD, Chairman J. TERRY DEASON BETTY EASLEY MICHAEL MOK. WILSON

ORIGINAL FILE COPY

FINAL ORDER RESOLVING SHOW CAUSE PROCEEDINGS

BY THE COMMISSION:

On April 2, 1991, we voted to order Integretel, Inc. (Integretel or the Company) to show cause why it should not be fined \$3,600 for failing to respond to staff inquiries in a timely manner as required by Rules 25-4.111(1) and 25-4.043. Order No. 24441 was issued on April 26, 1991.

Integrated responded to Order No. 24441 on May 16, 1991. In its response, the Company stated an intent to pay the proposed fine and correct the in-house problems which originally led to the violations. Unfortunately, Integrated's response to staff inquiries regarding consumer complaints continued to be filed late, even after the Company had filed its response to Order No. 24441.

At the July 2, 1991 Agenda Conference, we considered

PLAZA BANK OF COMMERCE 95 Almaden Road San Jose, California 95113

007731

INTEGRETEL, INCORPORATED
OPERATING ACCOUNT
9800 CAMPO ROAD SUITE "E"
SPRING VALLEY, CA 91977
(619) 469-4070

90-3752 1211

DATE CHECK NO. 7731

CHECK AMOUNT \$4,000.00

-FOUR THOUSAND and No/100--

PAY TO THE ORDER

Florida Public Service Commission 101 E. Gaines St., Room 111 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0870 DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08443 AUG 21 1991

A 2 3 18

BIC 9 101

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ETEGRETEL In re: Initiation of show cause proceedings against INTEGRETEL, INC. for violation of Commission Rules 25-4.111(1) and 25-4.043 regarding responses to consumer complaints.

DOCKET NO. 910293-TI ORDER NO. 24875 ISSUED: 7/31/91

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

> THOMAS M. BEARD, Chairman J. TERRY DEASON BETTY EASLEY MICHAEL MCK. WILSON

FINAL ORDER RESOLVING SHOW CAUSE PROCEEDINGS

BY THE COMMISSION:

On April 2, 1991, we voted to order Integretel, Inc. (Integretel or the Company) to show cause why it should not be fined \$3,600 for failing to respond to staff inquiries in a timely manner as required by Rules 25-4.111(1) and 25-4.043. Order No. 24441 was issued on April 26, 1991.

Integrated responded to Order No. 24441 on May 16, 1991. In its response, the Company stated an intent to pay the proposed fine and correct the in-house problems which originally led to the violations. Unfortunately, Integrated's response to staff inquiries regarding consumer complaints continued to be filed late, even after the Company had filed its response to Order No. 24441.

At the July 2, 1991 Agenda Conference, we considered Integretel's response. In recognition of the post-response late filings, the Company offered to pay an additional \$400 and demonstrated that its most recent filings had been timely. Therefore, we find it to be in the public interest to accept the Company's most recent settlement offer with the admonition that Company's most recent settlement offer with the admonition that future responses to consumer complaints be filed in a timely manner.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Integretel, Inc. pay a \$4,000 fine for violation of Rule 25-4.111(1) and 25-4.043, Florida Administrative Code. It is further