

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition by the ) DOCKET NO. 930173-TL  
residents of Polo Park ) ORDER NO. PSC-93-0984-FOF-TL  
requesting extended area service ) ISSUED: June 30, 1993  
(EAS) between the Haines City )  
exchange and the Orlando, West )  
Kissimmee, Lake Buena Vista, )  
Windermere, Reedy Creek, Winter )  
Park, Clermont, Winter Garden )  
and St. Cloud exchanges. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

J. TERRY DEASON, Chairman  
SUSAN F. CLARK  
JULIA L. JOHNSON

ORDER DELAYING TRAFFIC STUDY

BY THE COMMISSION:

This docket was opened pursuant to a petition filed by the residents of the Polo Park Homeowners Association (the petitioner). Polo Park is a pocket of the Haines City exchange located in Polk County. The petition requests implementation of extended area service (EAS) between the Haines City exchange and the Orlando, West Kissimmee, lake Buena Vista, Windermere, Reedy Creek, Winter Park, Clermont, Winter Garden and St. Cloud exchanges. The Haines City exchange is served by GTE of Florida (GTEFL) and is located in the Tampa Market area. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell) serves the Orlando exchange which is located in the Orlando LATA. The Kissimmee, West Kissimmee, Windermere, Reedy Creek, Winter Park, Clermont, Winter Garden, and St. Cloud exchanges are served by United Telephone Company of Florida (United) and are located in the Orlando LATA except for Clermont which is located in the Gainesville LATA. The Lake Buena Vista exchange is served by the Vista-United Telephone Company and is located in the Orlando LATA. All of these routes are interLATA.

By Order No. PSC-93-0437-PCO-TL, issued March 23, 1993, GTEFL, Southern Bell, and United were ordered to conduct traffic studies on the above routes. By Order No. PSC-93-0437A-PCO-TL issued April 15, 1993, Vista United was ordered to conduct traffic studies on the routes listed above.

SEARCHED INDEXED - DATE

07040 JUN 30 93

FILED RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0984-FOF-TL  
DOCKET NO. 930173-TL  
PAGE 2

On April 19, 1993, the petitioner filed a request to postpone the traffic study until a time period more representative of the calling patterns. Specifically the petitioner requested the studies be postponed until February or March of 1994 in order to reflect calling patterns of seasonal residents. The petitioner asserted that because of seasonal variations the residential population fluctuated by about 30 percent. Accordingly, the petitioner requested the change in the timing of the traffic study so as to reflect the calling habits of the seasonal residents.

Typically, the timing of the traffic study relative to seasonal population variations has been addressed after the fact. In the instant case we are persuaded by the petitioners request and grant the request to postpone the traffic studies.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the traffic studies described in the body of this Order shall be postponed until February of 1994. It is further

ORDERED that the schedule in this docket shall be amended to reflect this change. It is further

ORDERED that new orders shall be issued requiring the traffic studies described in the body of this Order in January 1994. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall remain open.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 30th day of June, 1993.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
STEVE TRIBBLE, Director  
Division of Records and Reporting

( S E A L )

JKA

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.