MACFARLANE AUSLEY FERGUSON & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH GALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302) TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (904) 224-9115 FAX (904) 222-7560

III MADISON STREET, SUITE 2300
P.O. BOX 1531 (ZIP 33601)
TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602
(813) 273-4200 FAX (813) 273-4396

October 12, 1994

400 CLEVELAND STREET
P. O. BOX 1669 (ZIP 34617)
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 34615
(B13) 441-8966 FAX (B13) 442-8470

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Tallahassee

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301



Re:

In re: Expanded Interconnection Phase II and Local Transport Restructure; Docket Nos. 921074-TP, 930955-TL, 940014-TL, 940020-TL and 931196-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

w.s ____

JTH ____

Enclosed for filing in the above-styled docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of ALLTEL Florida, Inc.'s Brief and Posthearing Statement of Issues and Positions.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to this writer.

	Thank you for your assistance in this matter.
ACK	Gingoroly
AFA	Sincerely,
APP	RECEIVED & FILED
CAF	J. deftry Wahlen
CMD	Reils FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS
CTR	JJW/csu Enclosures
EAG	
LEG	Cancc: Parties of Record (w/encl.)
LIN	4 all\921074.byo
OPC	
RCH	
SEC	

10431 OCT 12 %

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Expanded Interconnection) Docket Nos. 921074-TP, Phase II and Local Transport Restructure

930955-TL, 940014-TL,) 940020-TL, and 931196-TL Filed: 10/12/94

ALLTEL FLORIDA, INC.'S BRIEF AND POSTHEARING STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-94-0076-PCO-TL and Rule 25-22.056, F.A.C., ALLTEL Florida, Inc. ("ALLTEL" or the "Company") files this Brief and Posthearing Statement of Issues and Positions.

I.

INTRODUCTION

ALLTEL is a Tier 2 local exchange company ("LEC") [Tr. 93] that serves approximately 61,000 access lines in 13 north central Florida counties [Tr. 90-91]. ALLTEL is the fifth largest LEC in Florida [Tr. 91].

ALLTEL offered the testimony of H.E. Eudy at the final hearing in the proceeding. Her testimony was admitted into the record as though read [Tr. 88-101]. Her exhibit, No. 5, was also admitted into the record [Tr. 107].

II.

BRIEF

ALLTEL's participation in this hearing was limited to only those issues having a direct impact on Tier 2 LECs like ALLTEL (i.e., issues 9, 19-23A). Issue No. 9, regarding which LECs should provide switched access expanded interconnection, was stipulated by

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 10431 OCT 12 & FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

the parties. That stipulation was approved by the Commission [Tr. 17-18].

As far as the local transport issues (Nos. 19-23) are concerned, ALLTEL's position is simple:

- ALLTEL expects to concur in the new local transport tariff rates and structure filed by Southern Bell [Tr. 99].
- In order to assure ALLTEL's revenue neutrality, ALLTEL's residual interconnection rates will need to be different than Southern Bell's [Tr. 100].
- 3. As a result of this proceeding, there is no need to change the current MABC plan, rates and rate structure at this time [Tr. 100]. Changes may be necessary later [Tr. 100, 106].
- 4. ALLTEL does not expect to concur in Southern Bell's Zone
 Density Pricing Tariff [Tr. 100].

As to the final issue in this case (No. 23A), ALLTEL believes that the result reached in Phase I for Tier 2 LECs is reasonable and proper. However, in light of the Bell Atlantic case, the Commission should clarify its Phase I decision to make it clear that the FPSC cannot and will not resolve unsuccessful negotiations between Tier 2 LECs and a bona fide requester in any manner that conflicts with the Court of Appeals decision. While it can be argued that this concept is axiomatic, a clarification that the FPSC will not order physical collocation as a means to resolve a dispute will give the parties clear notice as to the FPSC's intent

in this area, and provide a well focused background against which negotiations can occur.

ISSUES AND POSITIONS

ISSUES 1-8:

ALLTEL did not take a position on these issues.

ISSUE 9:

This issue was stipulated as follows: Only Tier 1 LECs (Southern Bell, GTEFL, United, and Centel) shall be required to offer switched access expanded interconnection. If a non-Tier 1 LEC receives a bona fide request for expanded interconnection but the terms and conditions cannot be negotiated by the parties, the Commission shall review such a request on a case-by-case basis. If the parties agree on expanded interconnection, the terms and conditions shall be set by individual negotiation.

ISSUE 19: Should the Commission modify its pricing and rate structure regarding switched transport service?

- a) With the implementation of switched expanded interconnection.
- b) Without the implementation of switched expanded interconnection.

POSITION: Agree with Southern Bell.

ISSUE 20: If the Commission changes its policy on the pricing and rate structure of switched transport service, which of the following should the new policy be based on:

- a) The intrastate pricing and rate structure of local transport should mirror each LEC's interstate filing, respectively.
- b) The intrastate pricing and rate structure of local transport should be determined by competitive conditions in the transport market.

- c) The intrastate pricing and rate structure of local transport should reflect the underlying cost based structure.
- d) The intrastate pricing and rate structure of local transport should reflect other methods.

POSITION: Agree with Southern Bell.

ISSUE 21: Should the LECs proposed local transport restructure tariffs be approved? If not, what changes should be made to the tariffs?

POSITION: Agree with Southern Bell.

ISSUE 22: Should the Modified Access Based Compensation (MABC) agreement be modified to incorporate a revised transport structure (if local transport restructure is adopted) for intraLATA toll traffic between LECs?

POSITION: Agree with Southern Bell.

ISSUE 23: How should the Commission's imputation guidelines be modified to reflect a revised transport structure (if local transport restructure is adopted)?

POSITION: Agree with Southern Bell.

ISSUE 23A: Should the Commission modify the Phase I Order in light of the decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit?

<u>POSITION</u>: Yes. The Commission should modify its Phase I Order to make it clear that the FPSC cannot and will not resolve unsuccessful negotiations between non-Tier 1 LECs and a bona fide request in any manner that conflicts with the Court of Appeals decision.

CORRESPON

DATED this 12th day of October, 1994.

LEE L. WILLIS
J. JEFFRY WAHLEN
Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson

Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson & McMullen
P. O. Box 391

Tallahassee, Florida 32302 (904) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR ALLTEL FLORIDA, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CORRESIO

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) this 12th day of October, 1994, to the following:

Daniel V. Gregory Quincy Telephone Company P. O. Box 189 Quincy, FL 32351

John A. Carroll, Jr.
Northeast Florida Telephone
P. O. Box 485
Macclenny, FL 32063-0485

Michael W. Tye AT&T Communications 106 E. College Ave., Suite 1410 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Joseph Gillan Florida Interexchange Carriers P. O. Box 541018 Orlando, FL 32854

Brad E. Mutschelknaus Rachel J. Rothstein Ann M. Szemplenski Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1775 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Laura L. Wilson Florida Cable Television Assn. P. O. Box 10383 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Patrick K. Wiggins Kathleen Villacorta Wiggins & Villacorta P. O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, et al. 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 716 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Jack Shreve Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison St., Rm. 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

C. Everett Boyd, Jr. Ervin, Varn, et al. 305 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Chanthina R. Bryant Sprint 3065 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339

Janis Stahlhut
Time Warner Cable
Corporate Headquarters
300 First Stamford Place
Stamford, CT 06902-6732

Jodie L. Donovan
Teleport Communications Group
1 Teleport Drive, Suite 301
Staten Island, NY 10311

Kenneth A. Hoffman Floyd R. Self Messer, Vickers, et al. P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Donna L. Canzano *
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Comm.
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Marshall M. Criser, III Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company 150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mickey Henry MCI Telecommunications Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 700 Atlanta, GA 30342

all\921074-2.brf

Richard D. Melson Hopping, Boyd, Green & Sams P. O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314

Peter Dunbar Pennington, Haben, et al. 306 No. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Douglas S. Metcalf Communications Consultants, Inc. P. O. Box 1148 Winter Park, FL 32790-1148

F. Ben Poag Sprint\United-Florida P. O. Box 165000 Altamonte Springs, FL 32716

Beverly Menard c/o Richard Fletcher GTE-Florida 106 E. College Ave., Suite 1440 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Intermediate Communications V.P., External Affairs 9280 Bay Plaza Blvd., Suite 720 Tampa, FL 32063

Attorney