

VOTE SHEET

DATE: May 6, 1997

RE: DOCKET NO. 920260-TL - Comprehensive review of the revenue requirements and rate stabilization plan of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company.

Issue 1: Should BST's Motion for Reconsideration be granted?

Recommendation: Yes, but only to the extent that credit for the ECS routes implemented before October 1, 1996, should be reflected in the calculation of the refund amount. Since BST already made the adjustment prior to issuing the refunds, no further adjustment is required by the Commission if it approves staff's recommendation. The request to reconsider the revenue impact from eliminating the Residual Interconnection Charge (RIC) should be denied.


APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

	_____
	_____
	_____
	_____
	_____

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04619 MAY-85

3/REPORTING

Vote Sheet
Docket No. 920260-TL
May 6, 1997

Issue 2: Should the Commission grant PBNI's Request for Oral Argument on its Motion for Reconsideration?
Recommendation: No.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should PBNI's Motion for Reconsideration be granted?
Recommendation: No. PBNI has failed to identify any point of fact or law that the Commission overlooked or failed to consider in rendering Order No. PSC-97-0128-FOF-TL. PBNI's motion should, therefore, be denied.

APPROVED

Issue 4: What action should the Commission take with respect to PBNI's Alternative Petition for Relief from Unjust Rates?
Recommendation: No Commission action is necessary at this time. It will be processed like any other petition.

APPROVED

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?
Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open pending audit and determination of the 1996 and 1997 sharing amounts.

APPROVED
