

ORDER NO. PSC-98-0412-FOF-EI
DOCKET NO. 980001-EI
PAGE 2

JOHN W. McWHIRTER, Esquire, McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Rief & Bakas, P.A., 100 North Tampa Street, Suite 2800, Post Office Box 3350, Tampa, Florida 33601-3350; JOSEPH A. MCGLOTHLIN, Esquire and VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, Esquire, McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Rief & Bakas, P.A., 117 South Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301
On behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG).

JOHN ROGER HOWE, Esquire, Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400
On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida (OPC).

LESLIE J. PAUGH, Esquire, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
On behalf of the Commission Staff (STAFF).

ORDER APPROVING PROJECTED EXPENDITURES AND TRUE-UP AMOUNTS
FOR FUEL ADJUSTMENT FACTORS;
GPIF TARGETS, RANGES AND REWARDS;
AND PROJECTED EXPENDITURES AND TRUE-UP AMOUNTS
FOR CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTORS

BY THE COMMISSION:

As part of the Commission's continuing fuel cost, energy conservation cost, purchased gas cost, and environmental cost recovery proceedings, a hearing was held on February 25, 1998, in this docket and in Docket Nos. 980002-EG, 980003-GU, and 980007-EI. The hearing addressed the issues set out in the Prehearing Order, Order No. PSC-97-0309-PHO-EI, issued February 23, 1998. The parties stipulated to a resolution of all of the issues presented except those related to Florida Power & Light's proposal for a nine month fuel and capacity cost recovery factor. All of the issues are described below.

Company-specific Fuel Adjustment Issues

Florida Power Corporation

We approve as reasonable Florida Power Corporation's request to recover the cost of converting Suwannee Unit 3 to burn natural gas. Florida Power Corporation's conversion of its Suwannee Unit 3 to burn natural gas is estimated to save FPC's ratepayers

approximately \$3.25 million over the next five years at a cost of approximately \$2.45 million. Order No. 14546, issued July 8, 1985, in Docket No. 850001-EI-B, allows a utility to recover fossil-fuel related costs which result in fuel savings when those costs were not previously addressed in determining base rates. FPC shall be allowed to recover the projected conversion costs through its fuel clause beginning April 1, 1998. FPC shall depreciate the Suwannee Unit 3 conversion over the next five years using the straight line depreciation method. FPC shall also be allowed to recover a return on average investment of 8.37% at the rate authorized in Docket No. 910890-EI, as well as applicable taxes. The Commission will audit actual costs once the conversion is complete to true-up original projections and to determine the prudence of the individual cost components included for recovery. If fuel savings during any annual period are less than the amortization and return costs, FPC shall limit cost recovery to actual fuel savings and defer recovery of the difference to future periods.

We approve as reasonable Florida Power Corporation's exclusion of the replacement fuel costs associated with the Crystal River Unit 3 outage as directed by Order No. PSC-97-0840-S-EI, issued July 14, 1997, Docket No. 970261-EI. CR3's replacement fuel costs have been properly excluded from the April - September, 1997, true-up period as demonstrated in Mr. Scardino's testimony, and from actual data for the months of October through December, 1997, in the current period in Mr. Wieland's testimony.

We approve as reasonable Florida Power Corporation's removal of the costs associated with the Lake Cogen settlement which had been previously included in fuel underrecovery balances in Florida Power's monthly A Schedules. The costs associated with the Lake Cogen settlement have been properly excluded from the April - September, 1997, true-up period in Mr. Scardino's testimony and from actual data for the months of October through December, 1997, in the current period in Mr. Wieland's testimony.

We approve as reasonable Florida Power Corporation's calculation of the transmission revenue associated with economy, Schedule C, broker energy sales between itself and directly interconnected utilities as directed by Order No. PSC-98-0073-FOF-EI, in Docket No. 980001-EI, issued January 13, 1998. However, on January 28, 1998, Florida Power Corporation and Florida Power & Light Company separately filed Motions for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-98-0073-FOF-EI. We have not ruled on either motion. Our ruling on either motion may impact the regulatory treatment of

transmission revenue associated with economy, Schedule C, broker energy sales between two directly interconnected utilities. Any adjustments resulting from our decision on either motion shall be included in the filings for the next fuel and purchased power cost recovery hearing.

We approve as reasonable Florida Power Corporation's levelized fuel cost recovery factor which is contingent upon Crystal River Unit 3 being fully operational by March 27, 1998, as defined in the stipulation approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-97-0840-S-EI, in Docket No. 970261-EI, issued July 14, 1997. Under our conditional approval, the contingent fuel cost factor would become effective for the April-September, 1998, period only if Florida Power files a notice with the Commission by March 27, 1998, (the first day of the April cycle billings) which certifies that Crystal River Unit 3 has satisfied the operational requirements of the stipulation approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-97-0840-S-EI, in Docket No. 970261-EI, issued July 14, 1997.

Florida Power & Light Company

We approve as reasonable Florida Power & Light Company's calculation of the transmission revenue associated with economy, Schedule C, broker energy sales between itself and directly interconnected utilities as directed by Order No. PSC-98-0073-FOF-EI in Docket No. 980001-EI, issued January 13, 1998. However, on January 28, 1998, Florida Power Corporation and Florida Power & Light Company separately filed Motions for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-98-0073-FOF-EI. We have not ruled on either motion. Our ruling on either motion may impact the regulatory treatment of transmission revenue associated with economy, Schedule C, broker energy sales between two directly interconnected utilities. Any adjustments resulting from our decision on either motion shall be included in the filings for the next fuel and purchased power cost recovery hearing.

FPL has raised the issue of whether its fuel cost recovery period should be changed to the twelve calendar months beginning January, 1999. In order to afford all of the parties in this docket an opportunity to address this issue, a separate docket, Docket No. 980269-PU, Consideration of change in frequency and timing of hearings for fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause, capacity cost recovery clause, generating performance incentive factor, energy conservation cost recovery clause,

purchased gas adjustment (PGA) true-up, and environmental cost recovery clause has been established for that purpose.

Through Witness Dubin, FPL also proposed a nine month fuel cost recovery factor for the period April through December, 1998, in order to accomplish a transition to a recovery period of twelve calendar months. Witness Dubin's position was that a nine month factor would obviate the need for FPL to participate in the August, 1998, fuel hearing to set fuel and capacity cost recovery factors for October through December, 1998. FPL's position was predicated on an assumption that we would approve annual factors for FPL commencing with calendar year 1999. Through its counsel at hearing, FIPUG objected to FPL's proposed nine month recovery period because of the ratepayer impact of FPL's \$135,509,164 underrecovery and because of the possible precedent a nine month period may have on the decision in Docket No. 980269-PU. (Tr. 197; 199 & 206-207) FIPUG proposed an alternative to the nine month period of dual six month recovery periods. FIPUG's counsel argued that dividing the recovery period would reduce ratepayer impacts and remain consistent with the established six month recovery periods. After some discussion with the panel, FIPUG agreed that a nine month recovery period would be acceptable.

We are persuaded by FPL's argument that the nine month recovery period would obviate the need for FPL to participate in the August, 1998, fuel hearing. In addition, a nine month period satisfies some of FIPUG's objections regarding ratepayer impacts of the underrecovery. Therefore, we approve a nine month recovery period for April through December, 1998, for FPL's fuel and capacity cost recovery factors. However, this approval is not and should not be construed as precedent for the decisions to be made in Docket No. 980269-PU.

Gulf Power Company

We approve as reasonable Gulf Power Company's calculation of the transmission revenue associated with economy, Schedule C, broker energy sales between itself and directly interconnected utilities as directed by Order No. PSC-98-0073-FOF-EI in Docket No. 980001-EI, issued January 13, 1998. On January 28, 1998, Florida Power Corporation and Florida Power & Light Company separately filed Motions for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-98-0073-FOF-EI. We have not ruled on either motion. Our ruling on either motion may impact the regulatory treatment of transmission revenue associated with economy, Schedule C, broker energy sales between

two directly interconnected utilities. Any adjustments resulting from our decision on either motion shall be included in the filings for the next fuel and purchased power cost recovery hearing.

Tampa Electric Company

We approve as reasonable Tampa Electric Company's calculation of the transmission revenue associated with economy, Schedule C, broker energy sales between itself and directly interconnected utilities as directed by Order No. PSC-98-0073-FOF-EI in Docket No. 980001-EI, issued January 13, 1998. However, on January 28, 1998, Florida Power Corporation and Florida Power & Light Company separately filed Motions for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-98-0073-FOF-EI. We have not ruled on either motion. Our ruling on either motion may impact the regulatory treatment of transmission revenue associated with economy, Schedule C, broker energy sales between two directly interconnected utilities. Any adjustments resulting from our decision on either motion shall be included in the filings for the next fuel and purchased power cost recovery hearing.

We approve as reasonable Tampa Electric Company's continuation of the temporary base rate reduction pursuant to the stipulation approved in Order No. PSC-96-1300-S-EI, Docket No. 960409-EI, issued October 24, 1996. Tampa Electric should reflect the \$25 million temporary base rate reduction as a line-item credit on customers' bills over a 15 month period which commenced October 1, 1997, with the reduction netted against 1999 refunds which may have otherwise been made pursuant to the stipulation reached in Docket No. 950379-EI and approved in Order No. PSC-96-1300-S-EI. The temporary base rate reduction is 0.130 cents/kwh on average and should be adjusted for each rate class according to the line loss factors approved herein.

We approve as reasonable Tampa Electric Company's calculation of the true-up adjustment of the refund credit factor as agreed to in the stipulation approved in Order No. PSC-96-0670-S-EI, Docket No. 950379-EI, issued May 20, 1996. The true-up adjustment of the refund credit factor as agreed to in the stipulation approved in Order No. PSC-96-0670-S-EI, Docket No. 950379-EI, issued May 20, 1996, was properly calculated and was reflected on customers' bills as a Revenue Credit Refund Factor. This Refund Factor was terminated with the last billing cycle in September, 1997. An overrecovery of \$329,229 was identified in the October, 1997, actual fuel adjustment filing.

Generic Fuel Adjustment Issues

We approve as reasonable the following stipulations as to the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period April, 1997, through September, 1997.

FPC:	\$8,219,498 underrecovery.
FPL:	\$64,381,785 underrecovery.
FPUC:	Marianna: \$78,655 overrecovery.
	Fernandina Beach: \$106,547 overrecovery.
Gulf:	\$2,886,443 overrecovery.
TECO:	\$6,042,407 underrecovery.

We approve as reasonable the following stipulations as to the estimated fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period October, 1997, through March, 1998.

FPC:	\$10,226,809 overrecovery.
FPL:	\$71,127,379 underrecovery.
FPUC:	Marianna: \$52,624 overrecovery
	Fernandina Beach: \$162,900 overrecovery
Gulf:	\$1,127,041 underrecovery.
TECO:	\$10,415,528 overrecovery.

We approve as reasonable the following stipulations as to the total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period April, 1998, through September, 1998.

FPC:	\$2,007,311 overrecovery.
FPL:	\$135,509,164 underrecovery.
FPUC:	Marianna: \$131,279 overrecovery
	Fernandina Beach: \$269,447 overrecovery
Gulf:	\$1,759,402 overrecovery.
TECO:	\$4,373,121 overrecovery.

We approve as reasonable, the following levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period April, 1998, through September, 1998:

FPC:	2.015 cents per kwh (adjusted for jurisdictional losses). 2.122 cents per kWh if CR3 returns to service before the April - September 1998 period.
FPL:	1.972 cents per kwh.
FPUC:	Marianna: 2.365 cents per kwh.
	Fernandina Beach: 2.326 cents per kwh.

Gulf: 1.626 cents per kwh.
 TECO: 2.337 cents per kwh

We approve as reasonable the following stipulations as to the effective date for FPC, FPUC, Gulf and TECO of the new fuel adjustment charge and FPC and TECO of the new capacity cost recovery charge for billing purposes. The new factors shall be effective beginning with the first billing cycle for April, 1998, and thereafter through the last billing cycle for September, 1998. The first billing cycle may start before April 1, 1998, and the last billing cycle may end after September, 1998, so long as each customer is billed for six months regardless of when the factors became effective.

We approve as reasonable the following stipulations as to the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class.

FPC:	<u>Group</u>	<u>Delivery Voltage Level</u>	<u>Line Loss Multiplier</u>
	A.	Transmission	0.9800
	B.	Distribution Primary	0.9900
	C.	Distribution Secondary	1.0000
	D.	Lighting Service	1.0000

FPL:

<u>GROUP</u>	<u>RATE SCHEDULE</u>	<u>LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER</u>
A	RS-1, GS-1, SL-2, RST-1, GST-1	1.00213
A-1*	SL-1, OL-1	1.00213
B	GSD-1, GSdT-1, CIDL-1(G)	1.00212
C	GSLD-1, CS-1, GSLDT-1, CST-1	1.00179
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET, GSLDT-2, CST-2	0.99591
E	GSLD-3, CS-3, GSLDT-3, CST-3, CIDL- 1(T) & ISST-1(T)	0.95658
F	CIDL-1(D), ISST-1(D)	0.99785

* Weighted Average 16% on-peak and 84% off-peak.

FPUC:	<u>Rate Schedule</u>	<u>Multiplier</u>
	<u>Marianna:</u> All Rate Schedules	1.0000
	<u>Fernandina Beach:</u> All Rate Schedules	1.0000
GULF:		

Group	Rate Schedules*	Line Loss Multipliers
A	RS, GS, GSD, SBS, OSIII, OSIV	1.01228
B	LP, SBS	0.98106
C	PX, PXT, SBS, RTP, CSA	0.96230
D	OSI, OSII	1.01228

*The multiplier applicable to customers taking service under Rate Schedule SBS is determined as follows: customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 100 to 499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule GSD; customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 500 to 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule LP; and customers with a Contract Demand over 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule PX.

TECO:	<u>Group</u>	<u>Multiplier</u>
	Group A	1.0072
	Group A1	n/a*
	Group B	1.0013
	Group C	0.9687

*Group A1 is based on Group A, 15% of On-Peak and 85% of Off-Peak.

We approve as reasonable, the following Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses:

ORDER NO. PSC-98-0412-FOF-EI
 DOCKET NO. 980001-EI
 PAGE 10

FPC:		Fuel Cost Factors (cents/kWh)		
<u>Group</u>	<u>Delivery Voltage Level</u>	<u>Standard</u>	<u>Time Of Use</u>	
			<u>On-Peak</u>	<u>Off-Peak</u>
A.	Transmission	1.978	2.554	1.665
B.	Distribution Primary	1.998	2.579	1.682
C.	Distribution Secondary	2.018	2.605	1.699
D.	Lighting Service	1.869		

FPL:

GROUP	RATE SCHEDULE	AVERAGE FACTOR	FUEL RECOVERY LOSS MULTIPLIER	FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR
A	RS-1,GS-1, SL-2	2.112	1.00213	2.116
A-1	SL-1,OL-1	2.076	1.00213	2.080
B	GSD-1	2.112	1.00212	2.116
C	GSLD-1 & CS-1	2.112	1.00179	2.116
D	GSLD-2,CS-2, OS-2 & MET	2.112	0.99591	2.103
E	GSLD-3 & CS-3	2.112	0.95658	2.020
A	RST-1,GST-1 ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	2.250 2.043	1.00213 1.00213	2.254 2.048
B	GSDT-1 CILC-1(G) ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	2.250 2.043	1.00212 1.00212	2.254 2.048
C	GSLDT-1 & CST-1 ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	2.250 2.043	1.00179 1.00179	2.254 2.047
D	GSLDT-2 & CST-2 ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	2.250 2.043	0.99591 0.99591	2.240 2.035

ORDER NO. PSC-98-0412-FOF-EI
 DOCKET NO. 980001-EI
 PAGE 11

E	GSLDT-3, CST-3 CILC-1(T) & ISST-1(T)			
	ON-PEAK	2.250	0.95658	2.152
	OFF-PEAK	2.043	0.95658	1.954

F	CILC-1(D) & ISST-1(D)			
	ON-PEAK	2.250	0.99785	2.245
	OFF-PEAK	2.043	0.99785	2.039

FPUC: Marianna

RS	4.232¢/kwh
GS	4.167¢/kwh
GSD	3.716¢/kwh
GSLD	3.587¢/kwh
OL, OL-2	2.815¢/kwh
SL1-2, SL-3	2.814¢/kwh

Fernandina Beach

<u>Rate Schedule</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>
RS	4.025¢/kwh
GS	3.863¢/kwh
GSD	3.565¢/kwh
OL, OL-2	2.591¢/kwh
SL-2, SL-3, CSL	2.591¢/kwh

GULF:

Fuel Cost Factors ¢/KWH				
Group	Rate Schedules*	Standard	Time of Use	
			On-Peak	Off-Peak
A	RS, GS, GSD, SBS, OSIII, OSIV	1.646	2.169	1.395
B	LP, SBS	1.595	2.102	1.352
C	PX, RTP, SBS, CSA	1.565	2.062	1.326
D	OSI, OSII	1.463	N/A	N/A

*The recovery factor applicable to customers taking service under Rate Schedule SBS is determined as follows: customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 100 to 499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule GSD; customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 500 to 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule LP; and customers with a Contract Demand over 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule PX.

TECO:	<u>Standard</u>	<u>On-Peak</u>	<u>Off-Peak</u>
Group A	2.354	3.334	1.883
Group A1	2.101	n/a	n/a
Group B	2.340	3.314	1.872
Group C	2.264	3.206	1.811

We approve as reasonable the following stipulations as to the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each company's levelized fuel factor for the projection period of April, 1998, through September, 1998:

FPC: 1.00083
 FPL: 1.01609

FPUC Marianna: 1.00083
Fernandina Beach: 1.01609
GULF: 1.01609
TECO: 1.00083

Generic Generating Performance Incentive Factor Issues

There was agreement among the parties taking a position as to the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period April, 1997, through September, 1997. The stipulations, which we approve as reasonable, are as follows:

GPIF REWARDS/PENALTIES
April 1997 to September 1997

Florida Power Corporation	\$1,172,147	Reward
Gulf Power Company	(\$300,745)	Penalty
Tampa Electric Company	(\$363,850)	Penalty

<u>Utility/ Plant/Unit</u>	<u>EAF</u>		<u>Heat Rate</u>	
	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adj. Actual</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adj. Actual</u>
<u>FPC</u>				
Anclote 1	91.3	92.0	9,719	9,795
Anclote 2	95.3	93.0	9,669	9,746
Crystal River 1	88.7	92.3	9,766	9,758
Crystal River 2	83.5	88.3	9,763	9,711
Crystal River 4	94.1	98.7	9,289	9,430
Crystal River 5	75.5	77.9	9,267	9,390
<u>Gulf</u>				
Crist 6	84.4	86.2	10,833	10,846
Crist 7	80.0	81.2	10,499	10,408
Smith 1	96.2	94.4	10,244	10,175
Smith 2	82.6	88.6	10,406	10,139
Daniel 1	87.8	84.5	10,253	10,523
Daniel 2	91.9	89.3	10,062	10,387

<u>TECO</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adj. Actual</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adj. Actual</u>
Big Bend 1	67.8	66.0	9,968	10,164
Big Bend 2	84.9	87.4	10,079	9,991
Big Bend 3	84.3	84.1	9,969	9,988
Big Bend 4	91.5	82.8	9,992	9,970
Gannon 5	90.0	74.7	10,448	10,564
Gannon 6	86.3	78.9	10,471	10,465

There was agreement among the parties taking a position as to the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period April, 1998, through September, 1998. The stipulations, which we approve as reasonable, are as follows:

GPIF TARGETS

April 1998 to September 1998

<u>Utility/ Plant/Unit</u>	<u>Equivalent Availability</u>			<u>Heat Rate</u>
	<u>EAF</u>	<u>POF</u>	<u>EUOF</u>	
<u>FPC</u>				
Anclote 1	95.5	0.0	4.5	9,785
Anclote 2	95.5	0.0	4.5	9,846
Crystal River 1	73.3	19.7	7.1	9,805
Crystal River 2	85.5	2.2	12.3	9,773
Crystal River 3	92.9	0.0	7.2	10,463
Crystal River 4	80.5	13.7	5.8	9,438
Crystal River 5	96.9	0.0	3.2	9,344
<u>Gulf</u>				
Crist 6	85.2	8.7	6.1	10,584
Crist 7	87.0	2.7	10.3	10,291
Smith 1	83.4	13.7	2.9	10,197
Smith 2	72.8	21.8	5.4	10,311
Daniel 1	67.9	25.7	6.4	10,508
Daniel 2	91.1	4.9	4.0	10,270
<u>TECO</u>				
Big Bend 1	78.3	7.7	14.0	10,267
Big Bend 2	86.4	0.0	13.6	10,225
Big Bend 3	68.8	18.0	13.2	9,778
Big Bend 4	91.9	0.0	8.1	9,831
Gannon 5	84.8	0.0	15.2	10,377
Gannon 6	81.1	7.7	11.3	10,527

Company-specific Capacity Cost Recovery Issues

Florida Power & Light Company

FPL's original projections for capacity payments to Osceola and Okeelanta Qualifying Facilities for the period June, 1997, through September, 1998, shall be excluded. Due to ongoing litigation between FPL and the Osceola and Okeelanta Qualifying Facilities, FPL is not currently making capacity payments to these qualifying facilities. Therefore, these costs should not be included in the projected capacity payments.

FPL's request for a midcourse correction to its currently authorized Capacity Cost Recovery factors shall be approved. FPL has experienced an over-recovery of \$63,445,498 due primarily to lower than expected capacity payments to the Okeelanta and Osceola Qualifying Facilities from October, 1997, through September, 1998. This midcourse correction is appropriate due to the magnitude of the overrecovery.

FPL's appropriate Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for each rate group shall be:

RATE SCHEDULE	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (\$/KW)	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (\$/KWH)
RS1	-	0.00469
GS1	-	0.00408
GSD1	1.49	-
OS2	-	0.00242
GSLD1/CS1	1.53	-
GSLD2/CS2	1.54	-
GSLD3/CS3	1.49	-
CILCD/CILCG	1.56	-
CILCT	1.47	-
MET	1.64	-
OL1/SL1	-	0.00075
SL2	-	0.00286

	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (RESERVATION DEMAND CHARGE) (\$/KW)	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (SUM OF DAILY DEMAND CHARGE) (\$/KW)
ISST1D	.20	.10
SST1T	.19	.09
SST1D	.20	.09

Generic Capacity Cost Recovery Factor Issues

The parties agreed to and we approve as appropriate, the final capacity cost recovery true-up amount for the period April, 1997, through September, 1997:

FPC: overrecovery \$1,768,376
TECO: underrecovery \$642,312

The parties agreed to and we approve as appropriate, the estimated capacity cost recovery true-up amount for the period October, 1997, through March, 1998:

FPC: overrecovery \$2,238,788
TECO: overrecovery \$343,530

The parties agreed to and we approve as appropriate, the total capacity cost recovery true-up amount to be collected during the period April, 1998, through September, 1998:

FPC: overrecovery \$4,007,164
TECO: underrecovery \$298,782

The parties agreed to and we approve as appropriate, the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period April, 1998, through September, 1998.

FPC: \$141,034,828
TECO: \$12,431,829

ORDER NO. PSC-98-0412-FOF-EI
DOCKET NO. 980001-EI
PAGE 17

The parties agreed to and we approve as appropriate the projected capacity cost recovery factors for the period April, 1998, through September, 1998:

FPC:

	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (CENTS/KWH)
RESIDENTIAL	1.004
GENERAL SERVICE NON-DEMAND	.795
@PRIMARY VOLTAGE	.787
@TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE	.779
GENERAL SERVICE 100% LOAD FACTOR	.548
GENERAL SERVICE DEMAND	.662
@PRIMARY VOLTAGE	.655
@TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE	.648
CURTAILABLE	.555
@PRIMARY VOLTAGE	.549
@TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE	.544
INTERRUPTIBLE	.519
@PRIMARY VOLTAGE	.514
@TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE	.509
LIGHTING	.192

TECO:

	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (CENTS/KWH)
RS, RST	.188
GS, GST, TS	.181
GSD, GSDT, EV-X	.139
GSLD, GSLDT, SBF, SBFT	.123
IS-1&3, IST-1&3, SBI-1&3, SBIT-1&3	.011
SL/OL	.022

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the stipulations set forth in the body of this Order are hereby approved. It is further

ORDER NO. PSC-98-0412-FOF-EI
DOCKET NO. 980001-EI
PAGE 18

ORDERED that Florida Power Corporation, Tampa Electric Company, Gulf Power Company and Florida Public Utilities Company are hereby authorized to apply the fuel cost recovery factors set forth herein during the period of April, 1998, through September, 1998. It is further

ORDERED that the estimated true-up amounts contained in the fuel cost recovery factors approved herein are hereby authorized subject to final true-up, and further subject to proof of the reasonableness and prudence of the expenditures upon which the amounts are based. It is further

ORDERED that the generating performance incentive factor rewards and penalties stated in the body of this Order shall be applied to the projected levelized fuel adjustment factors for the period of April, 1998, through September, 1998. It is further .

ORDERED that Florida Power Corporation and Tampa Electric Company are hereby authorized to apply the capacity cost recovery factors as set forth in the body of this Order and until such factors are modified by subsequent Order. It is further

ORDERED that the estimated true-up amounts contained in the capacity cost recovery factors approved herein are hereby authorized subject to final true-up, and further subject to proof of the reasonableness and prudence of the expenditures upon which the amounts are based. It is further

ORDERED that the fuel cost recovery factors and capacity cost recovery factors for Florida Power & Light Company shall be for the period from April through December, 1998.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 20th day of March, 1998.



BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

LJP

ORDER NO. PSC-98-0412-FOF-EI
DOCKET NO. 980001-EI
PAGE 19

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.