ORIGINAL

McWhirter Reeves

TAMPA OFFICE: 400 NORTH TAMPA STREET, SUITE 2450 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 P. O. BOX 3350 TAMPA, FL 33601-3350 (813) 224-0866 (813) 221-1854 FAX

PLEASE REPLY TO:

TALLAHASSEE

TALLAHASSEE OFFICE: 117 SOUTH GADSDEN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 222-2525 (850) 222-5606 FAX

October 25, 2002

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting **Betty Easley Conference Center** 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Re:

Docket No.: 020007-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

On behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), enclosed for filing and distribution are the original and 15 copies of the following:

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Prehearing Statement.

Please acknowledge receipt of the above on the extra copy of each and return the stamped copies to me. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Villi Hordon Kanfsnan Vicki Gordon Kaufman

__VGK/bae -Enclosure COMF ECR GCL OPC MMS

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental cost		Docket No.020007-EI	
recovery clause.		Filed:	October 25, 2002
	1		

THE FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS **GROUP'S PREHEARING STATEMENT**

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), pursuant to Order No. PSC-02-0335-PCO-EG, hereby files its Prehearing Statement.

A. APPEARANCES:

JOHN W. MCWHIRTER, JR., McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Decker Kaufman & Arnold, P.A., 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450, Tampa, Florida 33601-3350 and VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN and TIMOTHY J. PERRY, McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Decker Kaufman & Arnold, P.A., 117 South Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301

On Behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

В. WITNESSES:

None.

C. **EXHIBITS**:

None. However, FIPUG reserves the right to utilize appropriate exhibits in crossexamination.

STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION: D.

None.

E. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS:

GENERIC ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY ISSUES

What are the appropriate final environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the ISSUE 1: period ending December 31, 2001?

1

DOCUMENT N. MOI -11736 OCT 258 **FIPUG**: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

What are the estimated environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2002 through December 2002?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

What are the total environmental cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected or refunded during the period January 2003 through December 2003?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 4: What are the appropriate projected environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2003 through December 2003?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2003 through December 2003?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period January 2003 through December 2003?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

What are the appropriate environmental cost recovery factors for the period January 2003 through December 2003 for each rate group?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 8: What should be the effective date of the environmental cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

FIPUG: Agree with Staff.

COMPANY SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY ISSUES

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 9A: What effect does Florida Power & Light Company's stipulation, approved by Order

No. PSC-99-0519-AS-EI, have on the company's level of recovery for 2003?

FIPUG: Agree with Staff.

ISSUE 9B: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the St. Lucie Turtle Net

project be allocated to the rate classes?

FIPUG: Agree with Staff.

ISSUE 9C: Should the Commission approve Florida Power & Light Company's request for

recovery of costs for the Pipeline Integrity Management Project through the

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

<u>FIPUG</u>: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 9D: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Pipeline Integrity

Management Project be allocated to the rate classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 9E: Should the Commission approve Florida Power & Light Company's request for

recovery of costs for Oil Spill Prevention, Control & Countermeasures through the

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

<u>FIPUG</u>: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 9F: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Oil Spill Prevention,

Control & Countermeasures activities be allocated to the rate classes?

<u>FIPUG</u>: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 9G: Should the Commission approve FPL's request to recovery costs for the Manatee

Reburn NOx Control Technology Project through the Environmental Cost Recovery

Clause?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 9H: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Manatee Reburn NOx

Control Technology Project be allocated to the rate classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Gulf Power Company

ISSUE 10A: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for implementing the Ozone

Agreement between Gulf and the Department of Environmental Protection be

allocated to the rate classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 11A: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Polk NOx Emissions

Reduction project be allocated to the rate classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Florida Power Corporation

ISSUE 12A: Should the Commission approve Florida Power Corporation's request for recovery

of costs for Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution

Prevention?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 12B: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Substation

Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention be allocated to

the rate classes?

<u>FPUG</u>: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 12C: Should the Commission approve Florida Power Corporation's request for recovery

of costs for Distribution System Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and

Pollution Prevention?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 12D: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Distribution System

Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention be allocated to

the rate classes?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this

issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

F. STIPULATED ISSUES:

None at this time.

G. PENDING MOTIONS:

FIPUG has no pending motions.

H. OTHER MATTERS:

None.

John W. McWhirter, Jr.

McWhirter Reeves McGothlin Davidson

Decker Kaufman & Arnold, P.A.

400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450

Villi Kordon Laufman

Tampa, Florida 33601-3350

Vicki Gordon Kaufman

Timothy J. Perry

McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson

Decker Kaufman & Arnold, P.A.

117 South Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Attorneys for the Florida Industrial

Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Florid Industrial Power Users Group's Prehearing Statement has been furnished by (*) hand delivery, or U.S. Mail this <u>25th</u> day of October 2002, to the following parties of record:

(*) Marlene Stern Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Charles Guyton Steel Hector & Davis LLP 215 South Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Jeffrey A. Stone Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32591

Norman H. Horton Messer, Caparello & Self 215 South Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 Rob Vandiver Office of the Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Lee L. Willis
James D. Beasley
Ausley & McMullen
227 S. Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

James A. McGee 100 Central Avenue, Suite CX1D St. Petersburg, Florida 33701

John T. English Florida Public Utilities Company Post Office Box 3395 West Palm Beach, Florida 33402

Wicki Gordon Kaufman