1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3		JAVIER PORTUONDO
4		ON BEHALF OF
5		PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA
6		DOCKET NO. 050007-EI
7		SEPTEMBER 8, 2005
8		
9	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
10	А.	My name is Javier J. Portuondo. My business address is Post Office Box 14042,
11		St. Petersburg, Florida 33733.
12		
13	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
14	А.	I am employed by Progress Energy Service Company, LLC as Manager of
15		Regulatory Services - Florida.
16		
17	Q.	Have your duties and responsibilities remained the same since you last filed
18		testimony in this proceeding?
19	А.	Yes.
20		
21	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission in connection
22		with Progress Energy Florida's Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
23		(ECRC)?
		DCCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
		0 8521 SEP -8 ទ

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

I A. I CS , I I I AVC	1	А.	Yes, I ha	ive
-----------------------------------	---	----	-----------	-----

2

3	Э.	What is	the	purpose	of your	testimony?
---	----	---------	-----	---------	---------	------------

- The purpose of my testimony is to present, for Commission review and A. 4 approval, Progress Energy Florida's calculation of the revenue requirements and 5 its Environmental Cost Recovery (ECRC) factors for application on customer 6 billings during the period January 2006 through December 2006. My testimony 7 addresses the capital and operating and maintenance ("O&M") expenses 8 associated with PEF's environmental compliance activities for the year 2006. 9 10 Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction, **Q**. 11 supervision or control any exhibits in this proceeding? 12 Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit No. (JP-3), which consists of PSC Forms 42-1P 13 Α. 14 through 42-7P. These forms provide a summary and detail of the projected O&M and capital environmental cost recovery expenses for the period January .15 16 2006 through December 2006.
- 17

Q. What is the total recoverable revenue requirement relating to the projection period January 2006 through December 2006?

A. The total recoverable revenue requirement including true-up amounts and
revenue taxes is \$23,503,878 as shown on Form 42-1P, Line 5 of my exhibit.

- Q. What is the total true-up to be applied in the period January 2006 through
 December 2006?
- The total true-up applicable for this period is an under-recovery of \$5,960,421. Α. 3 This consists of the final true-up over-recovery of \$5,961,886 for the period 4 from January 2004 through December 2004 and an estimated true-up under-5 recovery of \$11,994,307 for the current period of January 2005 through 6 7 December 2005. The detailed calculation supporting the estimated true-up was 8 provided on Forms 42-1E through 42-8E of Exhibit No. (JP-2) filed with the 9 Commission on August 8, 2005. Subsequent to that filing, PEF is withdrawing 10 its request for approval on the Groundwater Reclassification Program and as such has made an adjustment of \$72,000 for the 2005 costs as shown on Form 11 42-1P, Line 2.b. 12
- 13
- Q. Are all the costs listed in Forms 42-1P through 42-7P attributable to
 Environmental Compliance projects previously approved by the
 Commission?
- A. No. PEF's 2006 ECRC projection includes both new projects and expansions of
 existing projects that have not been previously approved by the Commission.
 On May 6, 2005, PEF filed a Petition for Approval of Environmental Cost
 Recovery for activities being implemented to comply with the U. S.
 Environmental Protection Agency's new Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) and
 the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) Program (No. 7). See Docket No.
 050316-EI. PEF anticipates incurring approximately \$52,964,514 in capital

. 1	expenditures for this program in 2006. Those expenditures that meet the criteria
2	for AFUDC are not included in the recoverable costs reflected on Form 42-3P
3	and such costs will be recovered when associated pollution controls are placed
4	into service. Further discussion on this program is included in the testimony of
5	Patricia Q. West.
б	
7	In addition, as discussed in the Estimated/Actual True-up testimony filed on
8	August 8, 2005, PEF requested recovery of four new programs in this docket.
9	Those programs include the new Sea Turtle Lighting program (No. 9), the
10	Arsenic Groundwater Standard program (No. 8), the Groundwater
11	Reclassification program, and the Underground Storage Tanks program (No.
12	10). As mentioned above, PEF is withdrawing its request for approval of the
13	Groundwater Reclassification program.
. 14	
15	The Substation and Distribution System O&M programs (Nos. 1 and 2) were
16	previously approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-02-1735-FOF-EI.
17	
18	The Pipeline Integrity Management Program (No. 3) and the Above Ground
19	Tank Secondary Containment Program (No. 4) were previously approved in
20	Order No. PSC-03-1230-PCO-EI.
21	
22	The SO_2 Emissions Allowances (No. 5) were moved to the ECRC Docket from
23	Docket 030001 beginning January 1, 2004 at the request of Staff to be consistent

•

1		with the other Florida IOUs. Recovery of SO_2 Emission Allowances was
2		previously approved in Order No. PSC-95-0450-FOF-EI.
3		
4		The Phase II Cooling Water Intake 316(b) Program (No. 6) was previously
5		approved in Order No. PSC-04-0990-PAA-EI.
6		
7	Q.	Have you prepared schedules showing the calculation of the recoverable
8		capital project costs for 2006?
9	А.	Yes. Form 42-3P contained in my exhibits summarizes the cost estimates
10		projected for these projects. Form 42-4P, pages 1 through 10, shows the
11		calculations of these costs that result in recoverable jurisdictional capital costs of
12		\$1,449,706.
13		
14	Q.	Have you prepared schedules showing the calculation of the recoverable
15		O&M project costs for 2006?
16	А.	Yes. Form 42-2P contained in my exhibits summarizes the recoverable O&M
17		cost estimates for these projects in the amount of \$16,076,841.
18		
19	Q.	Have you prepared schedules providing the description and progress
20		reports for all environmental compliance activities and projects?
21	А.	Yes. Form 42-5P, pages 1 through 10, contained in my exhibits provides a
22		project description and progress report, as well as the projected recoverable cost
23		estimates, for each program.

.

1		
2	Q.	What is the total projected jurisdictional costs for environmental
3		compliance activities in the year 2006?
4	А.	The total jurisdictional capital and O&M costs of \$17,526,546 to be recovered
5		through the ECRC are calculated on Form 42-1P, contained in my exhibit.
6		
7	Q.	Please describe how the proposed ECRC factors were developed.
8	А.	The ECRC factors were calculated as shown on Forms 42-6P and 42-7P contained
9		in Exhibit No(JP-3). The demand allocation factors were calculated by
10		determining the percentage each rate class contributes to the monthly system peaks
11		and then adjusted for losses for each rate class. The energy allocation factors were
12		calculated by determining the percentage each rate class contributes to total
13		kilowatt-hour sales and then adjusted for losses for each rate class. This
14		information was obtained from Progress Energy Florida's July 2003 load research
15		study. Form 42-7P presents the calculation of the proposed ECRC billing factors
16		by rate class.
17		
18	Q.	What are Progress Energy Florida's proposed 2006 ECRC billing factors by
19		the various rate classes and delivery voltages?
20 21	А.	The computation of Progress Energy Florida's proposed ECRC factors for
22		customer billings in 2006 is shown on Form 42-7P, contained in Exhibit No
23		(JP-3). In summary, these factors are as follows:
24		

RATE CLASS	ECRC FACTORS
Residential	0.062 cents/kWh
General Service Non-Demand	
@ Secondary Voltage	0.060 cents/kWh
@ Primary Voltage	0.059 cents/kWh
@ Transmission Voltage	0.059 cents/kWh
General Service 100% Load Factor	0.048 cents/kWh
General Service Demand	
@ Secondary Voltage	0.056 cents/kWh
@ Primary Voltage	0.055 cents/kWh
@ Transmission Voltage	0.055 cents/kWh
Curtailable	
@ Secondary Voltage	0.055 cents/kWh
@ Primary Voltage	0.054 cents/kWh
Interruptible	
@ Secondary Voltage	0.049 cents/kWh
@ Primary Voltage	0.049 cents/kWh
@ Transmission Voltage	0.048 cents/kWh
Lighting	0.050 cents/kWh

1

2 Q. When is Progress Energy Florida requesting that the proposed ECRC billing

3 factors be made effective?

A. PEF is requesting that its proposed ECRC billing factors be made effective with
 the first bill group for January 2006 and will continue through the last bill group
 for December 2006.

4

5

Q. Please summarize your testimony.

A. My testimony supports the approval of an average environmental billing factor of
0.059 cents per kWh which includes projected capital and O&M revenue
requirements of \$17,526,546 associated with a total of 10 environmental projects
and a true-up under-recovery provision of \$5,960,421. My testimony also
demonstrates that the projected environmental expenditures for 2006 are
appropriate for recovery through the ECRC.

- 12
- Q. Are there any other issues addressed in Progress Energy's Base Rate
 preceding that would impact the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?
 A. Yes. Given the settlement of PEF's base rates in Docket No. 050078-EI, the

16 ECRC schedules no longer reflect Substation Environmental Investigation,

17 Remediation, and Pollution Prevention and Phase II Cooling Water Intake

adjustments for costs that were previously adjusted in accordance with the

19 Commission's off-setting policy for environmental costs included in MFRs that

20 PEF filed in PEF's last rate proceeding in Docket No. 000824-EI. Because those

21 costs are no longer in base rates, the adjustments are no longer necessary or

22 appropriate.

23

24 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

1 A. Yes, it does.

Docket No. 050007-El Progress Energy Florida Inc. Witness: J. Portuondo Exhibit No. __ (JP-3)

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY COMMISSION FORMS 42-1P THROUGH 42-7P

CALCULATION OF PROJECTED PERIOD AMOUNT JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 DOCKET NO. 050007-EI

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered

For the Projected Period JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006

Line	Energy (\$)	Transmission Demand (\$)	Distribution Demand (\$)	Production Demand (\$)	Total (\$)
1 Total Jurisdictional Rev. Reg. for the projected period					
a Projected O&M Activities (Form 42-2P, Lines 7 through 9)	\$8,897,745	\$819,414	\$4,542,080	\$1,817,601	\$16,076,841
b Projected Capital Projects (Form 42-3P, Lines 7 through 9)	297,069	0	33,587	1,119,050	1,449,706
c Total Jurisdictional Rev. Req. for the projected period (Lines 1a + 1b)	\$9,194,814	\$819,414	\$4,575,667	\$2,936,651	\$17,526,546
 2 True-up for Estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the current period January 2005 - December 2005 a (Form 42-2E, Line 5 + 6 + 10) b Adjustment for withdrawing of Groundwater Reclassification 	(8,855,192) 0	(272,255) 0	(2.494,097) 0	(372,762) 72,000	(\$11,994,307) \$72,000
3 Final True-up for the period January 2004 - December 2004 (Form 42-1A, Line 3)	3,924,783	119,589	1,831,094	86,419	\$5,961,886
 Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered/(Refunded) in the Projection period January 2006 - December 2006 (Line 1 - Line 2 - Line 3) 	\$14,125,223	\$972,080	\$5,238,670	\$3,150,995	\$23,486,968
5 Total Projected Jurisdictional Amount Adjusted for Taxes (Line 4 x Revenue Tax Multiplier of 1.00072)	\$14,135,394	\$972,780	\$5,242,442	\$3,153,263	\$23,503,878

•

O&M Activities (in Dollars)

Line	Projected Jan-06	Projected Feb-08	Projected Mar-06	Projected Apr-06	Projected May-06	Projected Jun-06	Projected Jul-08	Projected Aug-06	Projected Sep-06	Projected Oct-06	Projected Nov-06	Projected Dec-06	End of Period Total	Method of C	Classification Energy
1 Description of O&M Activities															
1 Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention 2 Distribution System Environmental Investigation.	50, 724	96,724	96,724	98,724	98,724	96,7 24	\$8 ,724	66,724	9 8,724	98,724	98,724	96,724	1,160,692	1,160,692	0
Remediation, and Pollution Prevention	370,974	370,974	370,974	370,974	370,674	370,974	370,974	370,974	370,974	370,974	370,974	370,974	4,451,692	4,451,692	0
3a Pipeline Integrity Management, Review/Update Plan and Risk Assessments - Intra	59,750	59,750	59,750	59,750	59,750	59,750	59,750	59,750	59,750	59,750	59,750	59,750	717,000	717,000	o
4 Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment 5 SO, Emissions Allowarcee,	0	0	1,250	0	0	1,250	0	0	1,250	0	0	1,250	5,000	5,000	0
-	710,800	631,683	622,440	655,800	760,050	889,146	984,729	959,441	889,046	794,388	621,952	734,328	9,323,403	0	0,322,403
8 Phase II Cooling Water Intake - Base	23,008	23,008	23,008	23,008	23,008	23,008	23,008	23,008	23,008	23,008	23,008	23,008	276,101	270,101	0
Phase II Cooling Water Intake - Intrn	69,221	99,221	99.221	99,221	99,221	69.221	89,721	69.221	99,221	69,221	89,221	69,221	1,190,648	1,190,648	0
8 Arsenic Groundwater Standard	4,167	4,167	4,167	4,167	4,187	4,157	4, 167	4,167	4,167	4,187	4,167	4,107	50,000	50,000	0
9 Sea Turtle - Coastal Street Lighting - Distrib	9,084	9,064	9,064	9.084	9,084	9,064	9,084	9,084	9,084	9,004	9,084	9,084	108,767	108,767	0
2 Total of O&M Activities	1,373,708	1,294,591	1,280,598	1,322,708	1,459,558	1,553,304	1,647,637	1,652,349	1,552,204	1,457,298	1,284,860	1,398,486	17,283,303	\$7,959,900	\$9,323,403
3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	710,800	631,683	622,440	659,800	796,650	889,146	984,729	989,441	888,046	794,388	621,952	734,328	9,323,403		
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Transm	96,724	90,724	96,724	96,724	96,724	96,724	96,724	96,724	96,724	96,724	96,724	96,724	1,160,692		
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Distrib	380,038	380,038	380,038	380,038	380,038	380,038	380,038	380,038	380,038	380,038	380,038	380,038	4,560,459		
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Prod-Base	27,175	27,175	27,175	27,175	27,175	27,175	27,175	27,175	27,175	27,175	27,175	27,175	326,101		
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Prod-Intm	158,971	158,971	160,221	158,971	158,971 0	160,221	158,971	158,971 0	160,221	158,971	158,971	160,221	1,912,648 0		
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Prod-Peaking	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	U	0	0	0	U		
5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.93220	0.95910	0.95890	0.95680	0.95680	0.95660	0.95750	0.95390	0.95290	0.95520	0.95520	0.95670			
8 Retail Transmission Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0,70597	0.70597	0.70597	0.70597	0.70597	0.70597	0.70597	0.70597	0.70597	0.70597	0.70597	0.70597			
Retail Distribution Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597			
Retail Production Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Base	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.03753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753 0.79046	0.93753 0.79046			
Retail Production Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Intm	0.70046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0,79046	0.79046 0.88979	0.79046 0.88979	0.79046 0.88979	0.79046 0.68979	0.79046 0.88979	0.88979	0.79048			
Retail Production Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking	0,88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.00979	0.00919	0.00879	0.00879	0.008/8	0.00878	0.00078			
7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	662,608	605,847	590,858	631,297	762,235	850,557	942,878	943,828	846,219	758,799	594,089	702,532	8,897,745		
8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Transm (B)	68,284	68,284	68,284	68,284	68,284	68,284	68,284	68,284	68,284	68,284	68,284	68,284	819,414		
Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Distrib (B)	378,507	378,507	378,507	378,507	378,507	378,507	378,507	378,507	378,507	378,507	378,507	378,507	4,542,080 305,729		
Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Prod-Base (B)	25,477	25,477	25,477	25,477	25,477	25,477	25,477	25,477	25,477	25,477	25,477 125,660	25,477 126,648	1,511,872		
Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Prod-Intm (B)	125,660	125,060	126,648	125,660	125,000	126,648	125,680	125,660	126,648	125,000	125,000	120,048	1,511,672		
Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Prod-Peaking (B)	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	· · · · · ·	0_	y		
9 Total Juristictional Recoverable Costs for O&M	* 4 000 5	64 000 T-0	A4 405 774	#4 000 00F	\$1,360,163	\$1,449,474	\$1,540,807	\$1,541,756	\$1,445,136	\$1,356,728	\$1,192,017	\$1,301,448	\$16,076,841		
Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	\$1,260,536	\$1,203,776	\$1,195,774	\$1,229,225	a1,300,103	\$1,449,474	¢1,340,007	¥1,341,730	÷1,-43,100	\$1,000,120		1,001,10			

Notes:

(A) Line 3 x Line 5

(B) Line 4 x Line 6

•

•

.

.

Form 42-3P

Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs
(in Dollars)

				(in Dolars)									
no	Projected Jan-06	Projected Feb-06	Projected Mar-06	Projected Apr-06	Projected May-06	Projected Jun-06	Projected Jul-06	Projected Aug-06	Projected Sep-06	Projected Oct-06	Projected Nov-06	Projected Dec-06	End of Period Total
1 Description of Investment Projects (A)													
3b Pipeline Integrity Management - Bartow/Anclote Pipeline-Intm	39,716	39,716	39,716	39.716	39,716	39,716	39,716	39.716	39,716	39,716	39,716	39,716	476.592
4.1 Above Ground Storage Tanks Secondary Containment - Pkg	27,085	27,241	27,571	29,202	31,090	32,428	35,013	36,790	37 323	37,923	42,015	43,319	407,106
4.2 Above Ground Storage Tanks Secondary Containment - Base	484	482	481	480	475	477	475	474	473	471	470	469	5,714
5 SO2 Emissions Allowances - Energy	71,233	64,587	57,590	50,637	42.627	33,355	23,049	12,19	1.864	(7.389)	(15,179)	(22,538)	312.026
7.1 CAIR/CAMR - Intm	136	414	890	7,733	14,775	21.817	28,859	39,353	49.847	74,150	39,143	100.026	436,945
7.2 CAIR/CAMR - Pkg	92	275	458	642	825	1.005	1,192	1,375	1.558	1.742	1,925	2,108	13,200
9 Sea Turtle - Coastal Street Lighting	1,655	1,928	2,199	2,471	2,743	2,377	2.873	2,868	3 543	3,532	3,522	3,511	33,723
10.1 Underground Storage Tanks - Base	92	275	458	642	825	1,008	1,192	1,375	1.558	1,742	1,925	2,108	13,200
10.2 Underground Storage Tanks - Intm	46	138	229	321	413	504	596	688	779	871	963	1,054	6,602
2 Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	140,542	135,056	129,592	131,844	133,492	133,190	132,965	134,830	136,667	152,758	174,500	169,673	1,705,108
3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	71,233	64.587	57.690	50,637	42.627	33,355	23.049	12,191	1.864	(7,389)	(15,179)	(22,638)	312,026
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	1,656	1,928	2,199	2,471	2,743	2,877	2,873	2,868	3,543	3,532	3,522	3,511	33,723
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Production - Base	576	757	939	1,122	1.303	1.485	1,667	1.849	2,031	2,213	2,395	2.577	18,914
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Production - Intermediate	39,900	40,268	40,635	47,770	54,904	62,037	69,171	79,757	90,342	114,737	139.822	140,796	920,139
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Production - Peaking	27,177	27,516	28,129	29,844	31,915	33,436	36,205	38,165	38,887	39,665	43,940	45,427	420,306
5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.93220	0.95910	6.95890	0.95680	0.95680	0.95660	0.95750	0.95390	0.95290	0.95520	0.95520	6.95670	
Retail Distribution Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	0.99597	C.99597	
6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production - Base	0.93753	0 93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0 93753	C.93753	
Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production - Intermediate	0.79048	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0,79045	0.79045	0,79046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79048	0.79046	0.79046	
Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production - Peaking	0.68979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88973	0.88979	0.86979	0.88379	0.88979	0.86979	0.68979	0.88979	0.88979	
7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B)	66,403	61,946	55,318	48,450	40,785	31,907	22.069	11.629	1,777	(7,058)	(14,499)	(21,658)	297,069
Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Distrib (B)	1,649	1,920	2,190	2,461	2,732	2,865	2,861	2,856	3,529	3,518	3,508	3,497	33,587
8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Production - Base (C)	540	710	880	1.052	1,222	1,392	1,563	1,733	1,904	2,075	2,245	2,416	17,732
Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Production - Intermediate (C)	31,539	31,830	32,120	37,760	43,399	49,038	54,677	63,045	71,412	90,695	110,524	111,294	727,333
Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Production - Peaking (C)	24,182	24,483	25,029	26,555	28,398	29,751	32,215	33,959	34,601	35,294	39,097	40,420	373,984
9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for													
Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)	\$124,314	\$120,889	\$115,538	\$116,278	\$116,536	\$114,954	\$113,385	\$113,222	\$113,222	\$124,523	\$140,875	\$135,969	\$1,449,706

Notes:

. (A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-4P, Line 9 (B) Line 3 x Line 5 (C) Line 4 x Line 6

JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

For Project: PIPELINE INTEGRITY MAUACEMENT - Bartow/Anclote Pipeline (Project 3b)

З	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	to prinnige8
И	90-puA	Jul-06	90-nu	May-06	80-1qA	Mar-06	Feb-06	Jan-06	InuomA boine9
					(in Dollars)				

376,727 376,727	966,16 966,16	\$ 766'16 766'16 0	\$ 765'15 0	\$ 1/6E'1E 1/6E'1E	31'36 4	\$ 765'15 71'364	\$ 765'LE 765'LE	31'364 31'364 0	\$ \$66'LE \$66'LE	\$ \$66'16 \$66'16	\$ \$66'16 \$66'16	31'36t \$	\$	(F) sisoD	12 Retail Energy-Reisted Recoverable Cost 13 Retail Demand-Reisted Recoverable 14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Cost	
U	91⁄062'0 02956'0	0792670 9706270	9#062"0 07556"0	0,79046 0,79046	9¢062'0 068\$6'0	9#062'0 09256'0	9¢062'0 09996'0	9#062'0 08956'0	91+062°0	9#064.0 06826.0	9#062.0 01656.0	9 7 062.0			10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Produ 11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Produ	
062,874 0 062,874	912'66 0 912'66	917,9£ 0 817,9£	912'6E 0 912'6E	917,95 0 817,95	917,95 0 817,95	912'68 0 912'68	912'6E 0 912'6E	912'66 0 912'66	912'66 0 912'66	912'6E 0 912'6E	917,96 0 817,96	912'6E 0 912'6E		ergy	9 Total System Recoverable Expenses a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to De b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to De	
0 824,22 0 0 0 0	248,ð 0 A\N 705,4 0	0 815,4 0 248,8	248,8 0 A/N 062,4 0	248, 3 0 246,4 0 0	248,ð 0 A/N 626,4 0	S48,8 0 728,4 738,4 0 0	248,ð 0 778,4 0	6,842 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	248,ð 0 104,4 0	248,8 0 A/N S14,4 0	248,8 0 A/M 424,4 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_		e. Other Arestment Expenses b. Amortization d. Property Taxes (D) 3.07% 3.07%	
991,282 8 828,52	24'122 54'42	4'413 54'145	24'132 54'13	4,409 24,122	211,42 804,4	54'105 54'105	24,092 24,092	24,082 24,082	24,072 24,000	24'062 54'062	74'025 54'025	4`382 54`045			7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component (Line 6 x 2.04% b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04%	
	£00,762,£	2,595,929	2 594 ,855	087,593,5	907,298,2	2,591,63,2	855,092,5	5,589,484	5'288'400	2,587,335	192,882,5	781,282,2			Insmission tell spensor 3	
	2,674,588 95,000 95,000 2,597,540	2,596,466 2,596,466 2,505) 2,596,466	265,556,392 (1158,363) (1158,363) (1152,555,392	5'694'31 <u>7</u> 5'694'31 <u>7</u> 5'674'888	2,653,243 (144,678) 2,593,243 2,593,243	2,652,169 2,674,588 (137,835) 2,592,169	2,651,095 47,500 2,591,095	2'2200'021 39'283 (154'120) 2'624'288	2,588,947 31,667 2,674,588 2,674,588	2,674,588 (110,465) 23,750 2,587,872	2,674,588 (103,623) 15,833 2,586,798	2,674,588 (96,780) 2,917 2,585,724	059'#85'Z\$ 0 (86'638) 284'928	-	 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Less: Accumulated Depreciation CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing Met Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) 	
000'96\$	0 0 0 216.7\$	0 0 0 216'2\$	0 0 216'2\$	0 0 216'2\$	0 0 216.78	0 0 216'2\$	0 0 0 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0 0 0 216'2\$	0 0 216'2\$	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 216`2\$	0 0 216'25			1 Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Ckenings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)	
Period IstoT	Projected	Projected 0-vol	Projected	Projected Sep-06	Projected 30-guA	Projected Jul-06	Jun-06 Projected	Projected May-06	Projected 80-1qA	Projected Mar-06	Projected	Projected	to prinniged InvomA boined	-	Description	

to bn∃

01 to f 9669

Form 42-4P

.

.A\N (A)

Sejon

-Line

Source: Source: Line 8c Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EL

W. Hare, C. M. 1998, S. 117. Desced on ROE of 11.75%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 6.85%, and statutory income (ax 11.46% x 112. Depreciation state of 1.175%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 6.85%, and statutory income (ax 10.1166 x 112. Depreciation state of 1.705%, weighted cost of equity component in Dkt. 050078-EL
 (C) Lines 2.x 3.07% x 1172. Depreciation sale based on 2005 rates on Exhibit 2. In the 2005 frate Gase Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EL
 (C) Lines 2.x 2.05% of 0.0006 line loss multiplier. None for this period.
 (C) Lines 2.x Line 10.x 1.00000 line loss multiplier. None for Property Tax Administration Department, based on plant allocation reported and 2004 Actual Property Tax Millage Rate.
 (C) Lines 2.x Line 10.x 1.0000 line loss multiplier. None for Property Tax Administration Department, based on plant allocation reported and 2004 Actual Property Tax Millage Rate.
 (F) Lines 2.x Line 10.x Line 10.x 1.0000 line loss multiplier. None for Property Tax Administration Department, based on plant allocation reported and 2004 Actual Property Tax Millage Rate.
 (F) Lines 2.x Line 10.x 1.0000 line loss multiplier. None for Property Tax Administration Department, based to a 2005 data property Tax Administration Department, plant allocation reported and 2.004 Actual Property Tax Millage Rate.
 (F) Lines 2.x 1.0000 line loss multiplier. None for the property Tax Administration Department, plant allocation reported at 1.0000 line loss multiplier. None for the property Tax Millage Rate and the plant allocation reported at 1.0000 line loss multiplier.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006

- ..

.

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: ABOVE GROUND STORAGE TANKS SECONDARY CONTAINMENT - Peaking (Project 4.1) (in Dollars)

b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 3,417 3,442 3,509 3,746 4,039 4,246 4,581 4,856 4,940 5,033 5,256 5,458 52,524 8 Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 3,083 3,083 3,083 3,083 3,083 4,816 4,816 39,912 b. Amortization 0	Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan-06	Projected Feb-06	Projected Mar-06	Projected Apr-06	Projected May-06	Projected Jun-06	Projected Jul-06	Projected Aug-06	Projected Sep-06	Projected Oct-06	Projected Nov-06	Projected Dec-06	End of Period Total
b. Clearings to Plant 0	1 Investments														
c. Retirements 0			\$10,000	\$25,000	\$60,000	\$225,000	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$275,000	\$55,000	\$50,000	\$65,000	\$205,000	\$43,000	\$1,263,000
d. Other (A) 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>160,000</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>705,000</td><td>0</td><td></td></t<>			0	0	0	0	0	0	160,000	0	0	0	705,000	0	
2 Planti-in-Service/Depreciation Base \$1,413,313 1,413,313 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td>			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
3 Less: Accumulated Dopreciation (30,412) (33,403) (38,394) (39,385) (42,376) (48,357) (48,359) (51,422) (54,525) (57,608) (60,611) (65,507) (70,324) 4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	d. Other (A)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation (30,412) (33,403) (38,394) (39,395) (42,376) (43,357) (48,357) (51,422) (54,525) (57,608) (60,601) (65,507) (70,324) 4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 52,3792 633,792 (53,792) 718,792 1,193,792 1,308,792 1,538,792 1,478,792 978,792 1,201,792 5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) 2,006,694 2,013,702 2,034,71 2,092,720 2,314,729 2,436,738 2,558,477 2,806,693 2,802,939 2,904,456 3,091,506 3,201,690 7 Return on Average Net Investment 2,010,198 2,024,707 2,064,216 2,203,724 2,375,733 2,497,742 2,694,705 2,856,622 2,906,039 2,960,456 3,091,506 3,210,690 7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 18,695 18,830 19,197 20,495 22,094 23,229 25,061 26,567 27,026 27,532 28,751 29,859 \$287,336 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2,04% 3,417 3,442 3,509 3,746	2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$1,413,313	1,413,313	1,413,313	1,413,313	1,413,313	1.413.313	1.413.313	1.573.313	1.573 313	1 573 313	1 573 313	2 278 313	2 278 313	
4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 623,792 633,792 658,792 718,792 943,792 1,068,792 1,193,792 1,478,792 978,792 1,021,792 5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$2,006,694 2,013,702 2,035,711 2,092,720 2,314,729 2,436,738 2,558,747 2,830,663 2,802,560 2,991,414 3,191,598 3,229,781 6 Average Net Investment 2,010,198 2,024,707 2,064,216 2,203,724 2,375,733 2,497,742 2,694,705 2,856,622 2,906,039 2,960,456 3,091,506 3,210,690 7 Return on Average Net Investment . Equily Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11,16% 18,695 18,830 19,197 20,495 22,094 23,229 25,061 26,567 27,026 27,532 28,751 29,859 \$287,336 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2,04% x 1/12) 2,04% 3,417 3,442 3,509 3,746 4,039 4,246 4,581 4,856 4,940 5,033 5,256 5,458 52,524 8 Investment Expenses <td>3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation</td> <td>(30,412)</td> <td>(33,403)</td> <td>(36,394)</td> <td>(39,385)</td> <td>(42,376)</td> <td>(45,367)</td> <td>(48,359)</td> <td>(51,442)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(30,412)	(33,403)	(36,394)	(39,385)	(42,376)	(45,367)	(48,359)	(51,442)						
6 Average Net Investment 2,010,198 2,024,707 2,064,216 2,203,724 2,375,733 2,497,742 2,694,705 2,856,622 2,906,039 2,960,456 3,091,506 3,210,690 7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 18,695 18,830 19,197 20,495 22,094 23,229 25,061 26,567 27,026 27,532 28,751 29,859 \$287,336 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2,04% x 1/12) 2,04% 3,417 3,442 3,509 3,746 4,039 4,246 4,581 4,856 4,940 5,033 5,256 5,458 52,524 8 Investment Expenses 2.991 2.991 2.991 2.991 2.991 3,083	4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	623,792	633,792	658,792	718,792	943,792	1,068,792	1,193,792							
7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 18,695 18,830 19,197 20,495 22,094 23,229 25,061 26,567 27,026 27,532 28,751 29,859 \$287,336 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 3,417 3,442 3,509 3,746 4,039 4,246 4,581 4,856 4,940 5,033 5,256 5,458 52,524 8 Investment Expenses 2.991 2.991 2.991 2.991 2.991 3,083 3,083 3,083 3,083 3,083 3,083 3,083 4,816 4,816 39.912 0<	5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$2,006,694	2,013,702	2,035,711	2,092,720	2,314,729	2,436,738	2,558,747	2,830,663	2,882,580	2,929,497	2,991,414	3,191,598	3,229,781	
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 18,695 18,830 19,197 20,495 22,094 23,229 25,061 26,567 27,026 27,522 28,751 29,859 \$287,336 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 3,417 3,442 3,509 3,746 4,039 4,246 4,581 4,856 4,940 5,033 5,256 5,458 52,524 8 Investment Expenses	6 Average Net Investment		2,010,198	2,024,707	2,064,216	2,203,724	2,375,733	2,497,742	2,694,705	2,856,622	2,906,039	2,960,456	3,091,506	3,210,690	
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 3,417 3,442 3,509 3,746 4,039 4,246 4,581 4,856 4,940 5,033 5,256 5,458 52,524 8 Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 3,083 3,083 3,083 3,083 3,083 3,083 4,816 4,816 39,912 b. Amortization 0 <td>7 Return on Average Net Investment</td> <td></td>	7 Return on Average Net Investment														
8 Investment Expenses 2.991 2.991 2.991 2.991 2.991 2.991 3.083 3.083 3.083 3.083 4.816 4.816 39.912 b. Amortization 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>5%</td><td>18,695</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>25,061</td><td>26,567</td><td>27,026</td><td>27,532</td><td>28,751</td><td>29,859</td><td>\$287,336</td></t<>		5%	18,695						25,061	26,567	27,026	27,532	28,751	29,859	\$287,336
a. Depreciation (C) 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 3,083 4,816 4,816 39,912 c. Dismantlement N/A 2,279 2,275 3,192 3,185 27,332 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 </td <td>b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04</td> <td>1%</td> <td>3,417</td> <td>3,442</td> <td>3,509</td> <td>3,746</td> <td>4,039</td> <td>4,246</td> <td>4,581</td> <td>4,856</td> <td>4,940</td> <td>5,033</td> <td>5,256</td> <td>5,458</td> <td>52,524</td>	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04	1%	3,417	3,442	3,509	3,746	4,039	4,246	4,581	4,856	4,940	5,033	5,256	5,458	52,524
a. Depreciation (C) 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 2,991 3,083 4,816 4,816 39,912 c. Dismantlement N/A 2,279 2,275 3,192 3,185 27,332 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 </td <td>8 Investment Expenses</td> <td></td>	8 Investment Expenses														
b. Amortization 0			2 991	2,991	2.991	2,991	2.991	2,991	3.083	3.083	3.083	3.083	4.816	4.816	39,912
d. Property Taxes (D) 1,982 1,978 1,974 1,970 1,965 1,961 2,288 2,279 2,275 3,192 3,185 27,332 e. Other 0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></td<>			0												0
e. Other <u>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</u>	c. Dismantlement		N/A												
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) 27,085 27,241 27,671 29,202 31,090 32,428 35,013 36,790 37,329 37,923 42,015 43,319 407,104	d. Property Taxes (D)		1,982	1,978	1,974	1,970	1,965	1,961	2,288	2,284	2,279	2,275	3,192	3,185	27,332
	e. Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00
	O Total System Recoverable Expenses // ines 7 + 8)		27 085	27 241	27 671	29.202	31.090	32,428	35 013	36 790	37.329	37,923	42.015	43.319	407,104
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 27,085 27,241 27,671 29,202 31,090 32,428 35,013 36,790 37,329 37,923 42,015 43,319 407,104			27,085	27,241	27,671	29,202	31,090	32,428	35,013	36,790	37,329	37,923	42,015	43,319	407,104
			-												
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.93220 0.95910 0.95890 0.95680 0.95680 0.95660 0.95750 0.95750 0.95290 0.95520 0.95520 0.95670															
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate) 0.88979 0.88979 0.88979 0.88979 0.88979 0.88979 0.88979 0.88979 0.88979 0.88979 0.88979 0.88979	11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate)		0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 24,100 24,239 24,621 25,984 27,664 28,854 31,154 32,735 33,215 33,744 37,385 38,545 362,239			24,100	24,239	24,621	25,984	27,664	28,854	31,154	32,735	33,215	33,744			362,239
14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$ 24,00 \$ 24,239 \$ 24,621 \$ 25,984 \$ 27,664 \$ 28,854 \$ 31,154 \$ 32,735 \$ 33,215 \$ 33,245 \$ 37,385 \$ 38,545 \$ 362,239		-		\$ 24,239		\$ 25,984	\$ 27,664 \$	8 28,854	\$ 31,154 \$	32,735 \$	33,215	\$ 33,744	\$ 37,385	\$ 38,545	\$ 362,239

Notes; (A) N/A.

(A) N/A.
 (B) Line 6 x 11.16% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 6.85%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI.
 (C) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Depreciation rate based on 2005 rates on Exhibit 2 in the 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI.
 (D) Line 2 + 3 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2004 Actual Property Tax Millage Rate.
 (E) Line 9 ax Line 10 x 1.00000 line loss multiplier. None for this period.

.

(F) Line 9b x Line 11

Source: Line 8c Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI.

Calculation of the Projected Period Amount Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006

(anelloC ni) For Project: ABOVE GROUND STORAGE TANKS SECONDARY CONTAINMENT - Base (Project 4.2) Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Ó ō 0 0 ō 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Ð 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 20 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 90-voN Oct-06 90-deS 90-6ny 90-101 90-unr 90-yeM 90-1qA Mar-06 Projected 90-uer forriod Amount Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Beginning of Projected

14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$	\$ \$97	425 \$	\$ 197		448 2	\$ 277	\$ 500	\$ \$\$\$	443 8	445 8	\$ 147	\$ 077	<u>786'9</u>
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		454	425	197	420	844	744	500	444	443	442	441	0440	292'9
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate)		E97E9.0	E92E6.0	£94£6'0	E97E9.0	£97£9.0	ES7E9.0	69/66.0	E97E9.0	£52£6.0	£92£6'0	E97E9.0	£\$2£6 ⁻ 0	
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.93220	01656.0	06856'0	08996.0	08956.0	09956'0	09296'0	06256.0	06256.0	0.95520	022520	02996.0	
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		484	482	184	081	824	<i>11</i> 7	G14	\$ <u>7</u> \$	£27	120	0217	697	¥12'9
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	V12 9
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		¥8¥	485	184	087	827	117	927	4/4	674	1217	0217	697	0 712'9
			001			027	~~~	327	121	627	121	024	098	V12 9
e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
 d. Property Taxes (D) 		SÞ	SÞ	44	44	**	t t	44	£\$	643	43	43	64	925
c. Dismanthement		¥/N	. A/N	A/N	∀/N	∀/N	∀/N	∀/N	∀/N	¥/N	∀/N	¥/N	A/N	V/N
noitastromA .d		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a. Depreciation (C)		111	111	111		ii i	111	111	111		111	111	111	1'334
seznegx3 inemisevni 8														
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04%		13	19	09	09	09	09	09	67	67	64	67	67	969
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16%		212	922	515	574	£12	212	112	022	692	892	297	992	23,260
7 Return on Average Net Investment		LLO	0L0	320	720	020	020	PEC	020	000	000	230	330	036.63
Inemteevni teM egeneva 6		128,921	607,62	865,65	28,487	926,926	597,95	29,154	29,043	166,85	028,820	28,709	869 8Z	
() Net Investeration () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	9/8'67\$	592'62	59'62	59,543	26,432	56,320	59'509	860'6Z	186'82	928,82	59/'87	78'624	58'843	
4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	928 065	992.00	20 664	0	0	000000	000.00	00000	0		352 60	0 0	0 0	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(912'E)	(ZZE'E)	(864,6)	(6+5.5)	(099,E)	(211,E)	(588,5)	(166,5)	(901'+)	(912.4)	(725,4)	(4,438)	(679'7)	
Z Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	260.553	260'66	260'66	260,55	260'88	Z60'EE	260'88	260'88	33,092	260'EE	33'002	33'055	260'88	
2 Plantaneo Contractive Surface Contraction of the	633 003	200 22	33 003	200.55	200 66	33 005	000 66	000 66	000 66	000 66	000 00	000 00	000 66	
d. Other (A)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
										-	-			

:seioN

(B) Line 6 x 11, 16% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11,75%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 6.85%, and stalutory income tax rate of 36.575% (expansion factor of 1.6280/20). Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI. (c) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Dependention rate based on 2005 rates on Exhibit 2 in the 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI. .A\N (A)

(D) Lines 2 + 3 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2004 Actual Property Tax field get Rate.
(D) Line 9 x Line 10 x 0000 line loss multiplier. None for this period.

tt eniJ x de eniJ (7)

b. Clearings to Plant

stnemteevnt t

Puil

a. Expenditional .s

Description

Line 8c Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI. Source:

Ot to £ ege9 94-24 moi

٠

.

îo bn∃ boine¶ IstioT

0\$

0

0\$

Dec-06

Projected

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006

Schedule of Amortization and Return Deferred Gain on Sales of Emissions Allowances (Project 5) (in Dollars)

Line Description			Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan-06	Projected Feb-06	Projected Mar-06	Projected Apr-06	Projected May-06	Projected Jun-06	Projected Jul-06	Projected Aug-06	Projected Sep-06	Projected Oct-06	Projected Nov-06	Projected Dec-06	End of Period Total
1 Working Capital Dr (Cr) a. 1581001 SO2 Emission Allow b. 25401FL Auctioned SO2 Allov 2 Total Working Capital		-	\$10,884,434 (4,120,394) 6,764,040	\$10,307,799 (4,120,394) \$6,187,405	\$9,676,116 (4,120,394) \$5,555,722	\$9,053,676 (4,120,394) \$4,933,282	\$8,393,876 (4,120,394) \$4,273,482	\$7,597,226 (4,120,394) \$3,476,832	\$6,708,080 (4,120,394) \$2,587,686	\$5,723,351 (4,120,394) \$1,602,957	\$4,733,910 (4,120,394) \$613,516	\$3,845,864 (4,120,394) (\$274,530)	\$3,051,476 (4,120,394) (\$1,068,918)	\$2,429,524 (4,120,394) (\$1,690,870)	\$1,695,196 (4,120,394) (\$2,425,198)	\$1,695,196 (4,120,394) \$ (2,425,198)
3 Average Net Investment				6,475,723	5,871,563	5,244,502	4,603,382	3,875,157	3,032,259	2,095,321	1,108,236	169,493	(671,724)	(1,379,894)	(2,058,034)	
 Return on Average Net Working Equity Component Grossed U Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.0 Total Return Component (B) 	p For Taxes (A) 1	11.16% 2.04%		60,224 11,009 71,233	54,606 9,982 64,587	48,774 8,916 57,690	42,811 7,826 50,637	36,039 6,588 42,627	28,200 5,155 33,355	19,486 3,562 23,049	10,307 <u>1,884</u> <u>12,191</u>	1,576 	(6,247) (1,142) (7,389)	(12,833) (2,346) (15,179)	(19,140) (3,499) (22,638)	\$263,804 48,222 312,026
 6 Expense Dr (Cr) a. 5090001 SO₂ Allowance Expense 7 Net Expense (C) 	nse		_	\$710,800 710,800	\$631,683 631,683	\$622,440 622,440	\$659,800 659,800	\$796,650 796,650	\$889,146 889,146	\$984,729 984,729	\$989,441 	\$888,046 888,046	\$794,388 794,388	\$621,952 621,952	\$734,328 734,328	\$9,323,403 9,323,403
8 Total System Recoverable Exper a. Recoverable costs allocated to b. Recoverable costs allocated to	Energy			782,033 782,033 0	696,270 696,270 0	680,130 680,130 0	710,437 710,437 0	839,277 839,277 0	922,501 922,501 0	1,007,778 1,007,778 0	1,001,632 1,001,632 0	889,910 889,910 0	786,999 786,999 0	606,773 606,773 0	711,690 711,690 0	
9 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 10 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - N	/A			0.93220 0.00000	0.95910 0.00000	0.95890 0.00000	0.95680 0.00000	0.95680 0.00000	0.95660 0.00000	0.95750 0.00000	0.95390 0.00000	0.95290 0.00000	0.95520 0.00000	0.95520 0.00000	0.95670 0.00000	
11 Retail Energy-Related Recoverab 12 Retail Demand-Related Recovera				729,011 0	667,793 0	652,176 0	679,746 0	803,020 0	882,464 0	964,947 0	955,456 0	847,996 0	751,741 0	579,590 0	680,873 0	9,194,814 0
13 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable (Costs (Lines 11 + 12)	۱.		\$ 729,011 \$	667,793	652,176 \$	679,746 \$	803,020 \$	882,464 \$	964,947 \$	955,456 \$	847,996	\$ 751,741	\$ 579,590	680,873	\$ 9,194,814

Notes: (A) Line 3 x 11.16% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 6.85%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-E1. (B) Line 5 is reported on Capital Schedule (C) Line 7 is reported on O&M Schedule (D) Line 8 x Line 9 (E) Line 8b x Line 10

٠

.

.

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: CAIR / CAMR - Intermediate (Project 7.1) (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan-06	Projected Feb-06	Projected Mar-06	Projected Apr-06	Projected May-06	Projected Jun-06	Projected Jul-06	Projected Aug-06	Projected Sep-06	Projected Oct-06	Projected Nov-06	Projected Dec-06	End of Period Total
1 ir	westments														
a	. Expenditures/Additions		\$25,106	\$25,106	\$25,106	\$1,255,287	\$25,106	\$1,255,287	\$25,106	\$1.882.930	\$25,106	\$4.393.504	\$150,634	\$10.042	\$9,098,320
b	Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c	Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	ō	0	0	
đ	. Other (A)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	Ō	0	
2 P	lant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	ess: Accumulated Depreciation	0	ō	0	0	0	0	0	ō	ň	ő	ň	ň	ň	
4 C	WIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	25,106	50,212	75,318	1,330,605	1,355,711	2,610,998	2,636,104	4,519,034	4,544,140	8,937,644	9,088,278	9,098,320	
	et Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$0	25,106	50,212	75,318	1,330,605	1,355,711	2,610,998	2,636,104	4,519,034	4,544,140	8,937,644	9,088,278	9,098,320	
6 A	verage Net Investment		12,553	37,659	62,765	702,962	1,343,158	1,983,355	2,623,551	3,577,569	4,531,587	6,740,892	9,012,961	9,093,299	
7 8	eturn on Average Net Investment														
	Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16%	5	117	350	584	6,538	12,491	18.445	24,399	33,271	42,144	62,690	83.821	84,568	\$369.417
	. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04%		21	64	107	1,195	2,283	3,372	4,460	6,082	7,704	11,460	15,322	15,459	67,528
8 kr	ivestment Expenses														
a	Depreciation (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ċ,	Dismantlement		N/A												
đ	Property Taxes (D)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e.	Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0
9 T	otal System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 • 8)		138	414	690	7,733	14,775	21,817	28,859	39,353	49,847	74,150	99,143	100,026	436,945
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		138	414	690	7,733	14,775	21,817	28,859	39,353	49,847	74,150	99,143	100,026	436,945
10 E	neray Jurisdictional Factor		0.93220	0.95910	0.95890	0.95680	0,95680	0.95660	0.95750	0.95390	0.95290	0.95520	0.95520	0.95670	
	emand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate)		0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	0.79046	
12 R	etail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	etail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		109	327	545	6,113	11,679	17,245	22,812	31,107	39,402	58,613	78,369	79,067	345,388
	otal Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	1	\$ 109			\$ 6,113		17,245 \$	22,812	31,107	\$ 39,402	\$ 58,613	\$ 78,369	\$ 79,067	\$ 345,388

Notes: (A) N/A. (B) Line 6 x 11.16% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 6.85%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI. (C) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Depreciation rate based on 2005 rates on Exhibit 2 in the 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI. (D) Lines 2 + 3 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2004 Actual Property Tax Millage Rate. (E) Line 9 a x Line 10 x 1.00000 line loss multiplier. None for this period.

(F) Line 9b x Line 11

Source: Line 8c Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI. ٠

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: CAIR / CAMR - Peaking (Project 7.2) (in Dollars)

1 Investments \$16,667 \$13,333 \$100,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 \$200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 \$200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 \$200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 \$200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 \$200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 \$200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 \$200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 \$200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 \$200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 \$200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 200,000 \$16,667 \$13,333 <	Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan-06	Projected Feb-06	Projected Mar-06	Projected Apr-06	Projected May-06	Projected	Projected	Projected Aug-06	Projected Sep-06	Projected Oct-06	Projected Nov-06	Projected Dec-06	End of Period Total
b. Clearings in Plant International of the construction of the construle constru																
c. Retirements 0				\$16,667	\$16,667	\$16.667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$200,000
d. Other (A) 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td></t<>				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base 30 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation 0		d. Other (A)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4 CWIP-Non-Interest Bearing 0 16,667 33,333 50,000 66,667 83,333 100,000 116,667 133,333 150,000 166,667 183,333 200,000 5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$0 16,667 33,333 50,000 66,667 83,333 100,000 116,667 133,333 150,000 166,667 183,333 200,000 6 Average Net Investment 8,333 25,000 41,667 58,333 75,000 91,667 108,333 125,000 141,667 158,333 175,000 191,667 7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Gossed Up For Taxes (B) 11 16% 77 223 388 542 698 853 1.007 1,163 1,318 1,472 1,628 1,783 \$11,160 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 14 43 71 99 128 156 184 213 241 269 298 326 2.040 8 Investment Expenses a.		2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) 30 16,667 33,333 50,000 66,667 83,333 100,000 116,667 133,333 150,000 166,667 163,333 200,000 6 Average Net Investment 8,333 25,000 41,667 58,333 75,000 91,667 108,333 125,000 141,667 158,333 175,000 191,667 7 Return on Average Net Investment			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	ō	0	
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) §0 16,667 33,333 50,000 66,667 83,333 100,000 116,667 133,333 150,000 166,667 183,333 200,000 6 Average Net Investment 8,333 25,000 41,667 58,333 75,000 91,667 108,333 125,000 141,667 158,333 175,000 191,667 7 Return on Average Net Investment 8,333 25,000 41,667 58,333 75,000 91,667 108,333 125,000 141,667 158,333 175,000 191,667 8 Leguly Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 77 233 388 542 698 853 1,007 1,163 1,318 1,472 1,628 1,783 511,160 9 Depticition (C) 0		4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	16,667	33,333	50,000	66,667	83,333	100,000	116,667	133,333	150,000	166,667	183.333	200,000	
7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equify Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 77 233 388 542 698 853 1,007 1,163 1,318 1,472 1,628 1,783 \$11,160 b. Debit Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 14 43 71 99 128 156 184 213 241 269 298 326 2,040 8 Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 0 <		5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$0	16,667	33,333	50,000	66,667	83,333	100,000	116,667						
a. Equivy Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 77 233 388 542 698 853 1.007 1.163 1.318 1.472 1.628 1.783 \$11.160 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 14 43 71 99 128 156 184 213 241 269 298 326 2.040 8 Investment Expenses - 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>6 Average Net Investment</td><td></td><td>8,333</td><td>25,000</td><td>41,667</td><td>58,333</td><td>75,000</td><td>91,667</td><td>108,333</td><td>125,000</td><td>141,667</td><td>158,333</td><td>175,000</td><td>191,667</td><td></td></t<>		6 Average Net Investment		8,333	25,000	41,667	58,333	75,000	91,667	108,333	125,000	141,667	158,333	175,000	191,667	
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 14 43 71 99 128 156 184 213 241 269 298 326 2,040 8 Investment Expenses 0																
8 Investment Expenses 0																
a. Depreciation (C) 0		 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 		14	43	71	99	128	156	184	213	241	269	298	326	2,040
a. Depreciation (C) 0		8 Investment Expenses														
D. Minkazadon N/A				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes (D) 0		b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	0
b 0		c. Dismantlement		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
e. Other 0 <th0< <="" td=""><td></td><td>d. Property Taxes (D)</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td></th0<>		d. Property Taxes (D)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 0 <td></td> <td>e. Other</td> <td>_</td> <td>0</td>		e. Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 0 <td></td> <td>9 Total System Recoverable Expenses /Lines 7 + 8)</td> <td></td> <td>92</td> <td>275</td> <td>458</td> <td>642</td> <td>825</td> <td>1.008</td> <td>1,192</td> <td>1.375</td> <td>1.558</td> <td>1.742</td> <td>1.925</td> <td>2,108</td> <td>13,200</td>		9 Total System Recoverable Expenses /Lines 7 + 8)		92	275	458	642	825	1.008	1,192	1.375	1.558	1.742	1.925	2,108	13,200
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 92 275 458 642 825 1,008 1,192 1,375 1,558 1,742 1,925 2,108 13,200 10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.93220 0.95910 0.95680 0.95680 0.95660 0.95750 0.95390 0.95290 0.95520 0.95670 0.95670 11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate) 0.88979 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>D</td>									0	0						D
11 Demand Jurisdictional Redor - Production (Intermediate) 0.88979 0.889				92	275	458	642	825	1,008	1,192	1,375	1,558	1,742	1,925	2,108	13,200
11 Demand Jurisdictional Redor - Production (Intermediate) 0.88979 0.889																
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor														
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 <td></td> <td>11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate)</td> <td></td> <td>0.88979</td> <td></td>		11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate)		0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	0.88979	
13 Relail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 82 245 408 571 734 897 1,061 1,223 1,386 1,550 1,713 1,876 1,1245		12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0			0	0						
			-	\$ 82	\$ 245	\$ 408	\$ 571	\$ 734	897	\$ 1,061	\$ 1,223	\$ 1,386	\$ 1,550	\$ 1,713	<u>\$ 1,876</u>	<u>\$ 11,745</u>

Notes: (A) N/A. (B) Line 6 x 11.16% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 6.85%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI. (C) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Depreciation rate based on 2005 rates on Exhibit 2 in the 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI. (D) Lines 2 + 3 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2004 Actual Property Tax Millage Rate. (E) Line 9 ax Line 1 0x 1.00000 line loss multiplier. None for this period. (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Source: Line 8c Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-E1.

Form 42-4P Page 6 of 10 ٠

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: CAIR / CAMR - Base - AFUDC (Project 7.3) (A) (in Dollars)

1 Investments a. Expenditures/Additions \$2,019,836 \$2,021.945 \$2,041,727 \$2,180,355 \$2,196,440 \$2,225,247 \$3,671,241 \$3,585,292 \$4,763,849 \$4,799,722 \$7,241, b. Clearings to Plant 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
	0 0 0 0
b. Clearings to Plant 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	D 0) 0
	0 0
c. Retirements 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
d. Other (B) 8.848% 7,446 37,149 52,404 68,356 84,996 101,924 124,414 152,083 183,985 220,599 266,6	8 320,789 1,620,763
2 Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) (
4 CWIP - AFUDC-Interest Bearing Accrued 2,000,000 4,027,282 6,086,376 8,180,507 10,429,218 12,710,654 15,037,824 18,833,479 22,570,854 27,518,688 32,539,010 40,047,1	
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$2,000,000 4,027,282 6,086,376 8,180,507 10,429,218 12,710,654 15,037,824 18,833,479 22,570,854 27,518,688 32,539,010 40,047,1	4 47,286,957
6 Average Net Investment 3,013,641 5,056,829 7,133,442 9,304,863 11,569,936 13,874,239 16,935,652 20,702,167 25,044,771 30,028,849 36,293,0	2 43,667,035
7 Return on Average Net Investment	
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 \$0
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0
8 Investment Expenses	
a. Depreciation 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) O O
b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ა ი ი
c. Dismantlement N/A	N/A N/A
d. Property Taxes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) 0 0
e. Other 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) 0 0
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 O
	0.05070
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.93220 0.95910 0.95890 0.95680 0.95680 0.95660 0.95660 0.95750 0.95290 0.95520 0.9570 0.9570	
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate) 0.93753	.3 0.33733
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) 0 0
14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	<u>\$ - \$ -</u>

Notes: (A) This schedule shown for informational purposes. (B) AFUDC calculation based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI.

Form 42-4P Page 7 of 10

•

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: SEA TURTLE - COASTAL STREET LIGHTING - (Project 9) (In Dollars)

285'88\$	267'8\$	805'2\$	813,58	629'2\$	25,856	198'2\$	\$98'2\$	\$2,732	197'7\$	061'2\$	026'1\$	679'1\$		14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)
289'88	267'8	3'208	3'2'8	3'256	5'856	198'2	5'862	5 135	5'401	5,190	1,920	6191	_	13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)
	26566°0 02956°0	26566.0 762620	76262.0 762620	795990,0 795990,0	76266.0 76266.0	76266.0 76266.0	76269.0 09926.0	76266.0 76262.0	76266.0 76269.0	76269.0 76269.0	26966.0 01686.0	022200 02220		 Tenegy Jurisdictional Factor Production (Intermediate)
227,EE 0 227,EE	112,E 0 112,E	3'255 9 3'255	3'235 0 3'235	3'243 0 9'243	898,2 0 898,2	£78,2 0 £78,2	778,2 0 778,2	5,743 0 2,743	174,2 0 174,2	0 0 661,2 691,2	829,1 0 829,1	959'L 0 959'L		 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand
891,3 0 A/M 048,2 0	468 0 A/N 146 0	834 0 A/N 0 242 0	468 0 A/N 646 0	4e8 0 A/N 24e 0	426 0 441 0 0	436 0 A/N 241 0	426 0 A\N 241 0	941 0 0 0 0	435 0 A/N 341 0	425 0 741 0	425 0 841 0	426 0 841 0		8 Investment Expenses a. Deprecision (C) 4.59% b. Property Taxes (D) d. Property Taxes (D) e. Other
841,15 2 3,866	19E 526'1	589,1 262	1966'I	365,1 365,1	366 2,004	700,2 767	296 29010	748,1 748,1	999,1 205	961,1 263	520 1'500	821 879		7 Return on Average Met Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 2.04% b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04%
	212,364	213,199	214'033	214,867	194,815	215,815	216,169	\$96'803	261,971	124'453	129,651	288,401		finemtsevnt tel egeneva 8
	211,947	515,782	513'616	514'420	512'582	512,638	512'992	516,346	LLS'161	808,881	145,038	692'211	265'200	- Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)
	0	0	0	0	125,615	125,615	125,615	152,615	100,492	696'92	20'548	52,123	92,500	CMIP - Non-Interest Bearing
	(891,8)	(5,333)	(667'7)	(399'E)	(168,2)	(TTA,S)	(S'153)	(697.1)	(314,1)	(180,1)	(802)	(1956)	0	3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation
	218,115	218,115	218,115	518,115	009'26	009'26	009`76	90°,500	005'26	009,29	005'26	009'26	0\$	2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		d. Other (A)
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		c. Retirements
	0	0	0	125,615	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	009'26		b. Clearings to Plant
519'921\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$ 52'153	\$25'123	\$52,123	\$22,123	\$26,123		stnemtaevnt t s. Expenditures/Additions
Period IstoT	Projected Dec-06	Projected 80-vol	Projected Oct-06	Projected 5ep-06	Projected 80-06	Projected	Projected 90-nu-	Projected May-06	Projected 80-rqA	Projected 80-16M	Projected Feb-06	Projected Jan-06	to gninnige8 InvomA boire	Description

.A/N (A) :seloN

əujī

(b) Line 6 x 11, 16% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11,75%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 6.65%, and statulory income tax rate of 36.575% (expansion factor of 1.658002). Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI.

(D) Lines 2 + 3 x. (2020) 1. State on 2004 Actual Property Tax Millinge Rate.
 (D) Line 9 a x Line 10 x 10 x 10000 if no relation to this period.

ttəni⊥xd9əni1 (∃)

Source: Line 8c Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI.

01 to 8 age9 94-24 mo7

٠

.

to bn∃

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS - Base (Project 10.1) (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan-06	Projected Feb-06	Projected Mar-06	Projected Apr-06	Projected May-06	Projected Jun-06	Projected Jul-06	Projected Aug-06	Projected Sep-06	Projected Oct-06	Projected Nov-06	Projected Dec-06	End of Period Total
	1 Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$16,667	\$16.667	\$16.667	\$16,667	\$15,667	\$16.667	\$16.667	\$16.667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$16,667	\$200.000
	b. Clearings to Plant		310,007	0	ψ10,007 Ω	410,001 0	310,001	910,007	\$10,007	\$10,007	0,001	100,016 0	\$10,007	\$10,001 0	9200,000
	c. Retirements		ő	ő	ő	ő	0	0	ő	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other (A)		Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	0	0	Ő	0	0	0	0	0	
	2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$0	0	0	0	0	D	n	0	0	0	n	0	٥	
	3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation	0	ō	Ō	Ō	õ	ň	ő	ő	ő	ň	Ő	0	0	
	4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	16,667	33,333	50,000	66,667	83,333	100.000	116.667	133,333	150,000	166.667	183,333	200,000	
	5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$0	16,667	33,333	50,000	66,667	83,333	100,000	116.667	133,333	150,000	166,667	183,333	200,000	
							,								
	6 Average Net Investment		8,333	25,000	41,667	58,333	75,000	91,667	108,333	125,000	141,667	158,333	175,000	191,667	
	7 Return on Average Net Investment														
	 Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11,16% 		77	233	388	542	698	853	1,007	1,163	1,318	1,472	1,628	1,783	\$11,160
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04%	%	14	43	71	99	128	156	184	213	241	269	298	326	2,040
	8 Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		N/A												
	d. Property Taxes (D)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		92	275	458	642	825	1,008	1,192	1,375	1,558	1,742	1,925	2,108	13,200
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		92	275	458	642	825	1,008	1,192	1,375	1,558	1,742	1,925	2,108	13,200
	10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.93220	0.95910	0.95890	0.95680	0.95680	0.95660	0.95750	0.95390	0.95290	0.95520	0.95520	0.95670	
	11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate)		0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	0.93753	
	12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	-	86	258	429	602	773	945	1,118	1,289	1,461	1,633	1,805	1,976	12,375
	14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	_	\$ 86	\$ 258	\$ 429	\$ 602	\$ 773	945	<u>\$ 1,118</u>	1,289 \$	5 1,461	\$ 1,633	\$ 1,805 \$	<u> </u>	12,375
										-					

<u>Notes:</u> (A) N/A.

(A) NVA.
(B) Line 6 x 11.16% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 6.85%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI.
(C) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2004 Actual Property Tax Millage Rate.
(E) Line 9 x Line 10 x 1.00000 line loss multiplier. None for this period.
(F) Line 9 b x Line 11

Source: Line & Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI.

Form 42-4P Page 9 of 10

٠

•

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS - Intermediate (Project 10.2) (in Dollars)

1 Investments a. Expenditures/Additions \$8,333 <	\$100,000
b. Clearings to Plant 0	\$100,000
c. Retirements 0	
d. Other (A) 0 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base \$0 0	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation 0	
4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 0 8,333 16,667 25,000 33,333 41,667 50,000 58,333 66,667 75,000 83,333 91,667 100,0 5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$0 8,333 16,667 25,000 33,333 41,667 50,000 58,333 66,667 75,000 83,333 91,667 100,0 6 Average Net Investment 4,167 12,500 20,833 29,167 37,500 45,833 54,167 62,500 70,833 79,167 87,500 95,8 7 Return on Average Net Investment 104 271 349 426 504 581 659 736 814 8 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 39 116 194 271 349 426 504 581 659 736 814 8 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 7 21 35 50 64 78 92 106 120 135 149 1 8 Investment Expense	
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$0 8,333 16,667 25,000 33,333 41,667 50,000 58,333 66,667 75,000 83,333 91,667 100,00 6 Average Net Investment 4,167 12,500 20,833 29,167 37,500 45,833 54,167 62,500 70,833 79,167 87,500 95,8 7 Return on Average Net Investment . </td <td></td>	
6 Average Net Investment 4,167 12,500 20,833 29,167 37,500 45,833 54,167 62,500 70,833 79,167 87,500 95,8 7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 39 116 194 271 349 426 504 581 659 736 814 8 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 7 21 35 50 64 78 92 106 120 135 149 1 8 Investment Expenses 1 1 35 50 64 78 92 106 120 135 149 1	
7 Return on Average Net Investment 8. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 39 116 194 271 349 426 504 581 659 736 814 8 b. Debt Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 39 116 194 271 349 426 504 581 659 736 814 8 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 7 21 35 50 64 78 92 106 120 135 149 1 8 Investment Expenses 50 64 78 92 106 120 135 149 1	-
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 39 116 194 271 349 426 504 581 659 736 814 8 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 7 21 35 50 64 78 92 106 120 135 149 1 8 Investment Expenses 50 64 78 92 106 120 135 149 1	
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 11.16% 39 116 194 271 349 426 504 581 659 736 814 8 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 7 21 35 50 64 78 92 106 120 135 149 1 8 Investment Expenses 50 64 78 92 106 120 135 149 1	
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.04% x 1/12) 2.04% 7 21 35 50 64 78 92 106 120 135 149 1 8 Investment Expenses	\$5,580
	1,020
	0
b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
c. Dismantlement N/A	N/A
d. Property Taxes (D) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
e. Other	0_
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) 46 138 229 321 413 504 596 688 779 871 963 1,0	6,600
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 46 138 229 321 413 504 596 688 779 871 963 1,0	6,600
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.95290 0.95910 0.95890 0.95680 0.95660 0.95750 0.95390 0.95290 0.95520)
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate) 0.79046	
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 36 109 181 254 326 398 471 544 616 688 761 8	
14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$ 36 \$ 109 \$ 181 \$ 254 \$ 326 \$ 398 \$ 471 \$ 544 \$ 616 \$ 688 \$ 761 \$ 8	\$ 5,219

Notes: (A) N/A. (B) Line 6 x 11.16% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 6.85%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI. (C) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2004 Actual Property Tax Millage Rate. (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.00000 line loss multiplier. None for this period.

(F) Line 9b x Line 11

Source: Line 8c Based on 2005 Rate Case Settlement in Dkt. 050078-EI.

.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

ject Title: Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention ject No. 1

ject Description:

apter 376, Florida Statutes, requires that any person discharging a prohibited pollutant shall undertake to contain, remove, and te the discharge to the satisfaction of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Similarly, Chapter 403, Florida Statutes vides that it is prohibited to cause pollution so as to harm or injure human health or welfare, animal, plant, or aquatic life or perty. For Progress Energy Florida to continue to comply with these statutes, it is conducting environmental investigation, rediation, and pollution prevention activities associated with its substation facilities to determine the existence of pollutant charges, and if present, their removal and remediation. Activities also include development and implementation of best nagement and pollution prevention measures at these facilities.

ject Accomplishments:

of July of this year, PEF has completed environmental investigations and necessary remediations at four substations this year. b, as a result of recent negotiations with the FDEP, the number of targeted substations to be completed in the remainder of 2005 uired schedule changes. Per this agreement, PEF will schedule remediation on eight large scale substation sites which are lected to be completed by end of year. In addition, any substations that are found to have off-site contamination as identified sugh substation groundwatr investigations currently underway, will also be completed to ensure FDEP's plan approval. PEF's ision to the original 2005 workplan does not produce a significant deviation from the forecasted expenditures. This is due to the gram now being based on the remediations. PEF is currently on target to meet these revised commiments to ensure compliance n FDEP's recent agreement.

ject Fiscal Expenditures:

uary 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005: Project expenditures are estimated to be \$64,775 higher than originally projected. This iance is primarily due to three substations requiring emergency response. PEF does not forecast for this type of remediations due t's occurrence/s being unknown.

ject Progress Summary:

F is on schedule according to the approved Substation Inspection Plan and the Substation Assessment and Remedial Action Plan.

oject Projections:

imated project expenditures for the period January 2006 through December 2006 are expected to be \$1,160,692 for remediation ivity.

Form 42-5P Page 1 of 10

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

ject Title: Distribution System Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention ject No. 2

ject Description:

pter 376, Florida Statutes, requires that any person discharging a prohibited pollutant shall undertake to contain, remove, and te the discharge to the satisfaction of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Similarly, Chapter 403, Florida Statutes /ides that it is prohibited to cause pollution so as to harm or injure human health or welfare, animal, plant, or aquatic life or property. Progress Energy Florida to continue to comply with these statutes, it is conducting environmental investigation, remediation, and ution prevention activities associated with its distribution system facilities to determine the existence of pollutant discharges, and if sent, their removal and remediation. Activities also include development and implementation of best management and pollution /ention measures at these facilities.

ject Accomplishments:

gress Energy has completed remediation on 668 of the 820 planned distribution padmount transformer sites in 2005. These lediations include 126 sites completed in the 1st quarter of 2005 as a result of a roll over from the 2004 work plan. As a result of pections, 36 sites were also added to the 2005 work plan. Completion of the remaining identified remediation sites, are on edule.

•

ject Fiscal Expenditures:

uary 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005: Project expenditures are estimated to be \$460,825 higher than originally projected. This iance resulted from the roll over of remediation activities of 126 single-phase sites from the 2004 work plan into the 2005 work plan a result of work delays.

bject Progress Summary:

is project is on schedule according to the approved Distribution System Investigation, Remediation and Pollution Prevention ogram.

oject Projections:

timated project expenditures for the period January 2006 through December 2006 are expected to be \$4,451,692 for remediation tivity.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Pipeline Integrity Management, Review/Update Plan and Risk Assessments

ject Title: ject No. 3

1

ject Description:

U.S. Department of Transportation ("USDOT") Regulation 49 CFR Part 195, as amended effective February 15, 2002 and the new ulation published at 67 Federal Register 2136 on January 16, 2002 requires PEF to implement a Pipeline Integrity Management gram. Prior to the February 15, 2002 amendments, the USDOT's pipeline integrity management regulations applied only to operators of hazardous liquid and carbon dioxide pipelines that could affect high consequence areas. The amendments ch became effective on February 15, 2002 extended the requirements for implementing integrity management to operators who have s than 500 miles of regulated pipelines. As such, PEF must improve the integrity of pipeline systems in order to protect public safety I the environment, as well as complying with continual assessment and evaluation of pipeline systems integrity through inspection or ting, data integration and analysis and follow up with remedial, preventative, and mitigative actions.

F owns one hazardous liquid pipeline that is subject to the new regulation and must comply with the new requirements for the tow/Anclote 14-inch hot oil pipeline, extending 33.3 miles from the Company's Bartow Plant north of St. Petersburg.

bject Accomplishments:

ring 2005, PEF continued work on the PIM program. This effort included ongoing implementation activities as required by the integrity nagement regulation as well as projects to address areas of inadequate coverage and / or corrosion of the pipeline.

oject Fiscal Expenditures:

nuary 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005: O&M project expenditures are estimated to be \$208,000 higher than originally projected. This riance is primarily attributable to implementation of unanticipated activities undertaken to ensure pipeline protection.

oject Progress Summary:

wiew and updates to the integrity management plan and risk analyses continue on target. Compliance work will continue through the d of 2005, and into the future.

oject Projections:

stimated project O&M expenditures for the period January 2006 through December 2006 are expected to be \$717,000; estimated pital expenditure for the period are expected to be \$95,000.

Form 42-5P Page 3 of 10

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

ect Title:

Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment

ect No. 4

ect Description:

ida Department of Environmental Protection Rule 62-761.510(3) states that the Company is required to make improvements to y of its above ground petroleum storage tanks in order to comply with those provisions. Subsection (d) of that rule requires all nally lined single bottom above ground storage tanks to be upgraded with secondary containment, including secondary ainment for piping in contact with the soil. Rule 62-761.500(1)(e) also requires that dike field area containment for pre-1998 tanks upgraded, if needed, to comply with the requirement.

ject Accomplishments:

vities during 2005 included continued work on the Turner above ground storage tank double-bottoming project, completion of rading one Intercession City tank and associated piping, and upgrading of a smaller tank at the Avon Park combustion turbine site.

ject Fiscal Expenditures:

uary 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005: Project expenditures will be approximately \$240,385 less than the original projection due to cheduling of individual tank upgrades to ensure system availability during the critical hurricane season.

ject Progress Summary:

F will continually evaluate its compliance program, including project prioritization, schedule, and technology applications.

oject Projections:

imated capital expenditures for the period January 2006 through December 2006 are expected to be \$1,263,000. O&M penditures are estimated to be \$5,000.

Form 42-5P Page 4 of 10

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects Form 42-5P Page 5 of 10

ect Title: ect No. 5 SO₂ Emissions Allowances

ect Description:

ccordance with Title IV of the Clean Air Act, CFR 40 Part 73 and Florida Statute Regulation 62-214, PEF manages the company's ? emissions allowance inventory for the purpose of offsetting sulfur dioxide emissions in compliance with the Federal Acid Rain gram.

ject Accomplishments:

purposes of compliance with an affected unit's sulfur dioxide emissions requirements under the Acid Rain Program, the air quality upliance costs are administered by an authorized account representative who evaluates a variety of resources and options. vities performed include purchases, auctions, and transfers of SO2 emissions allowances.

ject Fiscal Expenditures:

1

nuary 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005: Project expenditures are estimated to be \$8,364,147 or 39% higher than originally projected. s variance is primarily driven by higher market prices for allowances which was partially offset by lower projected tons.

ject Progress Summary:

F continually evaluates its compliance strategy to manage the most cost effective program and to mitigate higher gas prices which i impact our fuel mix as it relates to emissions as a result of residual oil.

vject Projections:

imated project expenditures for the period January 2006 through December 2006 are expected to be \$9,323,403.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

ect Title: Phase II Cooling Water Intake ect No. 6

ect Description:

tion 316(b) of the Federal Clean Water Act, requires that "the location, design, construction, and capacity of cooling water intake ctures reflect the best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact." 33 U.S.C. Section 1326. In the past, and the state regulatory agency implemented Section 316(b) on a case-by-case basis. In the new Phase II rules, EPA has iblished "national performance standards" for determining compliance with Section 316(b) at certain existing electric generating ities. See 40 CFR 125.94(b). The process of compliance involves planning and scheduling efforts, conducting certain biological lies, and evaluation of options for compliance. These compliance options involve engineering measures, operational measures, orative measures and/or cost assessment measures. See generally 40 CFR 125.94 and 125.95.

ject Accomplishments:

² facilities subject to EPA's new Phase II rules include Anclote, Bartow, Crystal River and Suwannee plants. Early in 2004 PEF Jested competitive bids for an environmental consultant to support the development of a Compliance Strategy and Implementation n (CSIP); that contract was secured and the CSIP is now complete. The consultant completed a Proposals for Information lection (PICs) for Anclote and Bartow and they have been submitted to FDEP. FDEP approved the Anclote PIC, and field work is / underway. Negotiations are underway regarding the Bartow PIC, and field work is expected to begin during the third quarter. The for Crystal River will be submitted to FDEP before the end of 2005.

ject Fiscal Expenditures:

uary 2005 - December 2005: PEF's projected expenditures will be approximately \$338,775 less than the original projection for 15 due to delays in starting field sampling work at Anclote and Bartow sites, and FDEP's approval (via NPDES permit issued in May 15) of deferring work for one year at Crystal River.

ject Progress Summary:

hough environmental studies were conducted at Anclote, Bartow and Crystal River in the past, the results of the studies did not ficiently address the requirements of the new 316(b) Phase II rule, in particular those of the Comprehensive Demonstration Study DS). With the assistance of an environmental consultant, PEF has completed a Compliance Strategy and Implementation Plan SIP), and is developing Proposals for Information Collection (PICs). PICs for Anclote and Bartow were submitted to the FDEP in 1y 2005; Anclote is approved and Bartow is pending. The PICs are the basis for field studies and data collection now underway at clote, and planned shortly for Bartow. PEF will continue to actively work with FDEP / EPA to specify the required actions and sociated schedules.

oject Projections:

timated project O&M expenditures for the period January 2006 through December 2006 are expected to be \$1,466,749 for ntinued field sampling work and initiation of engineering / technology evaluations.

Form 42-5P Page 6 of 10

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) **JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006** Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

ect Title:

CAIR and CAMR

ect No. 7

ect Description:

in Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), 40 CFR 24, 262, imposes significant new restrictions on emissions of sulfur dioxide ("SO2") and gen oxides ("NOx") from power plants in 28 eastern states, including Florida, and the District of Columbia. The CAIR rule ortions region-wide SO2 and NOx emission reduction requirements to the individual states, and further requires each affected e to revise its State Implementation Plans ("SIP") by September 2006 to include measures necessary to achieve its emission action budget within the prescribed deadlines. The Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR), 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart Da and 40 CFR Part Subpart HHHH, employs a cap on total mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants in order to achieve significant emssions ictions. Mercury emissions from new and existing coal-fired utility units will be capped at specified, nationwide levels.

ject Accomplishments:

gress Energy has contracted with a team of outside vendors to perform strategy development work. Preliminary engineering work nderway.

ject Fiscal Expenditures:

uary 2005 - December 2005: PEF's expenditures are projected to be approximately \$2,000,000. These costs include preliminary ineering activities and strategy development work necessary to determine the Company's integrated compliance strategy.

ject Progress Summary:

F will continually evaluate its compliance program, including scheduling and technology applications. Currently, the focus is on stal River with a project team being formed, while Anclote and Bartow are being completed first.

oject Projections:

limated project expenditures for the period January 2006 through December 2006 are expected to be approximately \$53 million: CR its 4 and 5 SCRs: \$21.7 million; CR Unit 5 FGD: \$22 million; Anclote Unit 1 Nox reduction; \$9.1 million; the installation of test rts on 44 emission sources at 31 combustion turbine sites: \$200,000.

Form 42-5P Page 7 of 10

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects Form 42-5P Page 8 of 10

ect Title: Arsenic Groundwater Standard ect No. 8

ect Description:

anuary 22, 2001, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) adopted a new maximum contaminant level (MCL) for nic in drinking water, replacing the previous standard of 0.050 mg/L with a new MCL of 0.010 mg/L (10ppb). Effective January 1, 5, FDEP established the USEPA MCL as Florida's drinking water standard. See Rule 62-550, F.A.C. The new standard has cations for land application and water reuse projects in Florida because the drinking water standard has been established as the ndwater standard by Rule 62-520.420(1), F.A.C. Lowering the arsenic standard will require new analytical methods for sampling ndwater at numerous PEF sites.

ect Accomplishments:

pling of monitoring wells is underway, utilizing new analytical methods that can detect the lower maximum contaminant level L). As results are gathered and submitted to FDEP, PEF will be able to determine future compliance activities and costs.

ect Fiscal Expenditures:

uary 2005 - December 2005: O&M projections are estimated to be \$50,000; this includes costs for sampling and analyses.

ject Progress Summary:

= will continually evaluate analytical results and maintain ongoing communication with FDEP regarding compliance strategies.

ject Projections:

imated project expenditures for the period January 2006 through December 2006 are expected to be \$50,000 for analytical testing I consultant costs associated with development of compliance strategies. These strategies will depend upon analytical results and cussions with FDEP.

Form 42-5P Page 9 of 10

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) **JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006** Description and Progress Report for **Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects**

oject Title:

Sea Turtle - Coastal Street Lighting

oject No. 9

oject Description:

F owns and leases high pressure sodium streetlights throughout its service territory, including areas along the Florida coast. rsuant to Section 161.163, Florida Statutes, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), in collaboration with the orida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC) and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS), has developed a model a Turtle lighting ordinance. The model ordinance is used by the local governments to develop and implement local ordinances hin their jurisdiction. To date, Sea Turtle lighting ordinances have been adopted in Franklin County, Gulf County and the City of exico Beach in Bay County, all of which are within PEF's service territory. Since 2004, officials from the various local governments, well as FDEP, FFWC, and USFWS, have advised PEF that lighting it owns and leases is affecting turtle nesting areas that fall thin the scope of these ordinances, As a result, the local governments are requiring PEF to take additional measures to satisfy new teria being applied to ensure compliance with the ordinances.

oject Accomplishments:

EF will be working with the local governments and regulatory agencies in 2005 to determine the most cost-effective compliance easures for each site. Compliance measures include retrofitting or replacing existing streetlights and, in certain cases, monitoring to termine the effectiveness of the new or retrofitted lights. These activities are planned to be carried out in the 3rd and 4th quarters of)05 pending acceptance from the applicable local governments.

roject Fiscal Expenditures:

anuary 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005: PEF projects to incur capital costs of \$92,500 and O&M costs of \$80,000 in 2005. Capital ost estimates are based on the modification of 500 lighting fixtures to add lens shielding and/or buffering at a cost of approximately 185 per unit. PEF estimates O&M costs of \$80,000 for monitoring the effectiveness of these retrofits. Actual costs may vary epending upon discussions with regulatory agencies to determine the most cost-effective and appropriate compliance measures for pecific sites.

roject Progress Summary:

'EF is on schedule with the activities identified for this program.

'roject Projections:

stimated project expenditures for the period January 2006 through December 2006 are expected to be \$108,767 in O&M costs and 125,615 in capital expenditures to ensure compliance with sea turtle ordinances.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

ject Title: Underground Storage Tanks ject No. 10

ject Description:

Prules require that underground pollutant storage tanks and small diameter piping be upgraded with secondary containment by ember 31, 2009. See Rule 62-761.510(5), F.A.C. PEF has identified four tanks that must comply with this rule: two at the Crystal prover plant and two at the Bartow power plant. The necessary work will be performed in 2006.

ject Accomplishments:

ility engineering staff is preparing to conduct work in 2006.

ject Fiscal Expenditures:

costs were projected for 2005.

ject Progress Summary:

propriate approvals have been received to conduct this work in 2006.

oject Projections:

imated project capital expenditures for the period January 2006 through December 2006 are expected to be \$300,00 for installation wo new underground storage tanks at Crystal River (\$200,000), and two new underground storage tanks at Bartow (\$100,000).

Form 42-5P Page 10 of 10

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % by Rate Class JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	7(a)	(8) Class Max MW	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
		Average 12CP Load Factor at Meter	Sales at Meter	Avg 12 CP at Meter (MW)	NCP Class Max Load	Delivery Efficiency	Sales at Source (Generation) (mWh)	Avg 12 CP at Source (MW)	Sales at Source (Distrib Svc Only)	at Source Level	mWh Sales at Source Energy Allocator	12CP Demand Transmission Allocator	12CP & 1/13 AD Demand Allocator	NCP Distribution Allocator
Rate	Class	(%)	(mWh)	(2)/(8760hrsx(1))	Factor	Factor	(2)/(5)	(3)/(5)	(mWh)	(7a)/(8760hrs/(4))	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Resid	lential													
RS-1,	, RST-1, RSL-1, RSL-2, RSS-1													
	Secondary	0.548	20,435,616	4,256.99	0.40979	0.9411752	21,712,871	4,523.06	21,712,871	6,048.5	51.077%	58.017%	57.483%	59.532%
	<u>ral Service Non-Demand</u> , GST-1													
	Secondary	0.609	1.345.051	252.13	0.43381	0.9411752	1,429,119	267,88	1,429,119	376.1	3.362%	3,436%	3.430%	3.701%
	Primary	0.609	6,106	1.14	0.43381	0.9663000	6,319	1.18		1.7	0.015%	0.015%		0.016%
	Transmission	0.609	2,830	0.53	0.43381	0.9763000	2,899	0.54	,	0.0	0.007%	0.007%	0.007%	0.000%
											3.384%	3.458%	3.453%	3.718%
Gene	ral Service											•		
GS-2	Secondary	1.000	85,622	9.77	1.00000	0.9411752	90,973	10.39	90,973	10.4	0.214%	0.133%	0.139%	0.102%
	ral Service Demand													
GSD-	1, GSDT-1													
	Secondary	0.698	12,662,743	2,070.94	0.56422	0.9411752	13,454,183	2,200.38	13,454,183	2,722.1	31.650%	28.224%		26.792%
	Primary	0.698	2,505,125	409.70	0.56422	0.9663000	2,592,492	423.99	2,592,492	524.5	6.099%	5.439%	5.489%	5.163%
	Transmission	0.698	0	0.00	0.56422	0.9763000	0	0.00	0	0.0	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
SS-1	Primary	3.733	0	0.00	0.18621	0.9663000	0	0.00	0	0.0	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
	Transm Del/ Transm Mtr	3.733	9,179	0.28	0.18621	0.9763000	9,402	0.29	0	0.0	0.022%	0.004%	0.005%	0.000%
	Transm Del/ Primary Mtr	3.733	5,482	0.17	0.18621	0.9663000	5,673	0.17	0	0.0	0.013%	0.002%	0.003%	0.000%
Curta	ilable										31.184%	33,008%	33.965%	31.90076
	CST-1, CS-2, CST-2, SS-3													
	Secondary	0.779	-	0.00	0.56424	0.9411752	0	0.00	0	0.0	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
	Primary	0.779	294,624	43.17	0.56424	0.9663000	304,899	44.68	304,899	61.7	0.717%	0.573%	0.584%	0.607%
SS-3	Primary	0.480	1,842	0.44	0.02458	0.9663000	1,906	0.45	1,906	8.9	0.004%	0.006%	0.006%	0.087%
	-										0.722%	0.579%	0.590%	0.694%
	uptible													
IS-1, I	ST-1, IS-2, IST-2				0.07404	0.044350	445 000	47.00	445 000	04.7	0.2420/	0 0070/	0.236%	0.244%
	Secondary	0.940	137,041	16.64	0.67161	0.9411752	145,606	17.68	145,606	24.7 296.8	0.343% 4.108%	0.227% 2.720%		2.922%
	Primary Del / Primary Mtr	0.940	1,687,544	204.94 0.26	0.67161 0.67161	0.9663000 0.9763000	1,746,398 2,185	212.09 0.27	1,746,398 2,185	290.0	0.005%	0.003%		0.004%
	Primary Del / Transm Mtr Transm Del/ Transm Mtr	0.940 0.940	2,133 406,511	0.20 49.37	0.67161	0.9763000	416,379	50.57	2,165	0.4	0.979%	0.649%		0.000%
	Transm Del/ Transm Mtr	0.940	60,721	49.37	0.67161	0.9663000	62,839	7.63	0	0.0	0.148%	0.098%		0.000%
66-2	Primary	0.748	-	0.00	0.17340	0.9663000	02,039	0.00	Ő	0.0	0.000%	0.000%		0.000%
00-2	Transm Del/ Transm Mtr	0.748	102,983	15.72	0.17340	0.9763000	105,483	16.10	0	0.0	0.248%	0.206%		0.000%
	Transm Del/ Primary Mtr	0.748	63,764	9.73	0.17340	0.9663000	65,988	10.10	0	0.0	0.155%	0.129%		0.000%
	the second point in the yield	0.140	00,104	00	00.0	5.000000			v	0.0	5.987%	4.033%		3.169%
Lighti	ng													
	(Secondary)	4.650	333,325	8.18	0.47900	0.9411752	354,158	8.69	354,158	84.4	0.833%	0.112%	0.167%	0.831%
			40,148,242	7,357.49			42,509,772	7,796,12	41,841,109	10,160.2	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%
			10,110,272	1,001,40		<u> </u>	12,000,172	1,100.12	0					

(1) Average 12CP load factor based on load research study filed July 31, 2003

Projected kWh sales for the period January 2006 to December 2006 Calculated: Column 2 / (8,760 hours x Column 1) (2)

(3)

NCP load factor based on load research study filed July 31, 2003

(4) (5) (6) Based on system average line loss analysis for 2004

Column 2 / Column 5

Notes:

Column 3 / Column 5 (7)

Column 6 excluding transmission service (7a) Calculated: Column 7a / (8,760 hours/ Column 4)

(8)

(9) Column 6/ Total Column 6

(10) Column 7/ Total Column 7

(11) Column 9 x 1/13 + Column 10 x 12/13

(12) Column 8/ Total Column 8 Form 42-6P

		Calculatio	on of Environmental JANU/	Cost Recovery ARY 2006 - DEC		actors by Rate Cl	ass				
Rate Class	(1) mWh Sales at Source Energy Allocator (%)	(2) 12CP Demand Transmission Allocator (%)	(3) 12CP & 1/13 AD Demand Allocator (%)	(4) NCP Distribution Allocator (%)	(5) Energy- Related Costs (\$)	(6) Transmission Demand Costs (\$)	(7) Distribution Demand Costs (\$)	(8) Production Demand Costs (\$)	(9) Total Environmental Costs (\$)	(10) Projected Effective Sales at Meter Level (mWh)	(11) Environmentai Cost Recovery Factors (cents/kWh)
Residential RS-1, RST-1, RSL-1, RSL-2, RSS-1 Secondary	51.077%	58.017%	57.483%	59.532%	\$7,219,987	\$564,376	\$3,120,910	\$1,812,591	\$12,717,864	20,435,616	0.062
General Service Non-Demand GS-1, GST-1 Secondary Primary Transmission	0.00.00		6.4500		4476 077					1,345,051 6,045 2,773	0.060 0.059 0.059
TOTAL GS <u>General</u> Service	3.384%	3.458%	3.453%	3.718%	\$478,277	\$33,641	\$194,900	\$108,867	\$815,686	1,353,869	-
GS-2 Secondary	0.214%	0.133%	0.139%	0.102%	\$30,251	\$1,296	\$5,359	\$4,396	\$41,301	85,622	0.048
<u>General Service Demand</u> GSD-1, GSDT-1, SS-1 Secondary Primary Transmission TOTAL GSD	37.784%	33.668%	33.985%	31.955%	\$5,340,870	\$327,520	\$1,675,200	\$1,071,637	\$8,415,227	12,662,743 2,485,501 <u>8,995</u> 15,157,238	
Curtailable CS-1, CST-1, CS-2, CST-2, CS-3, CST- Secondary Primary Transmission TOTAL CS	-3, SS-3	0.579%	0.590%	0.694%	\$102,019	\$5,632	\$36,397	\$18,601	\$162,649	293,501 	0.055 0.054
Interruptible IS-1, IST-1, IS-2, IST-2, SS-2 Secondary Primary Transmission										137,041 1,793,909 501,394	0.049 0.048
TOTAL IS	5.987%	4.033%	4.183%	3.169%	\$846,225	\$39,230	\$166,126	\$131,903	\$1,183,484	2,432,344	
Lighting LS-1 Secondary	0.833%	0.112%	0.167%	0.831%	\$117,765	\$1,085	\$43,550	\$5,267	\$167,667	333,325	0.050
	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	\$14,135,394	\$972,780	\$5,242,442	\$3,153,263	\$23,503,878	40,091,516	and the second se
	i Form 42-6P, Colu Form 42-6P, Colu										

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) nvironmental Cost Recovery Clause Rate Factors by Rate Class

From Form 42-6P, Column 10 (2)

.

(3) From Form 42-6P, Column 11 (4)

From Form 42-6P, Column 12 Column 1 x Total Energy Jurisdictional Dollars from Form 42-1P, line 5

(5) Column 2 x Total Transmission Demand Jurisdictional Dollars from Form 42-1P, line 5

(6) Column 4 x Total Distribution Demand Jurisdictional Dollars from Form 42-1P, line 5

(7) Column 3 x Total Production Demand Jurisdictional Dollars from Form 42-1P, line 5

(8) (9) Column 5 + Column 6 + Column 7 + Column 8

Projected kWh sales at effective voltage level for the period January 2006 to December 2006 (10)

(11) Column 7/ Column 8 x 100