COMMISSIONERS: ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR RONALD A. BRISÉ EDUARDO E. BALBIS JULIE I. BROWN

STATE OF FLORIDA



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL S. CURTIS KISER GENERAL COUNSEL (850) 413-6199

STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST

11 JAN 19 PM 3: 07

COMMISSION

Hublic Service Commission

January 19, 2011

Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 618 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Re: Docket No. 100459-EI - Petition for authority to implement a demonstration project consisting of proposed time-of-use and interruptible rate schedules and corresponding fuel rates in the Northwest Division on an experimental basis and request for expedited treatment, by Florida Public Utilities Company.

Dear Ms. Keating:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC or Company) provide responses to the following data requests.

- 1. FPUC's response to Staff's First Data Request, No. 7 states that "the on-peak rate was derived from the expected number of kwh's for the customer electing this service during May through September and the allocation of approximately 5 % of the annual savings" Please identify the expected number of kwh's and the amount of savings allocated. Please provide and discuss the actual calculation.
- 2. FPUC's response to Staff's First Data Request, No. 11 states that "the amended agreement includes language so that if the City of Marianna does not renew or replace the franchise at the end of the current expiration date, then, under certain conditions, the capacity minimum may be reduced by the Marianna load beginning January 1, 2018." Please respond to the following:
 - When does the current franchise with the City of Marianna expire? a.
 - b. What certain conditions have to occur?
 - What percentage of the FPUC Northwest Division's total load does the Marianna load? C. represent?
 - d. If the City of Marianna does not renew the franchise agreement, please explain or describe how FPUC's remaining customers will be able to pay the monthly capacity. payment?

The following questions refer to the study prepared by Christensen Associates Energy Consulting:

- 3. Please refer to Table 3 and explain how the price premiums and discounts were calculated.
- 4. FPUC stated that approximately 50 percent of the annual savings are allocated to TOU rates, which would be about \$450,000 (50% * \$900,000). Page 26 of the study states that "the economic impacts include immediate revenue reductions of about \$256,000." Please explain how the \$256,000 compares to the \$450,000?
- 5. Please provide a discussion of the information shown in Tables 9 12. Please state whether the model searches for the target level of pilot participation, e.g., 940 for RS class, that provide the desired revenue reduction. If not, please explain or describe how FPUC determined the target level of participation for each rate class.
- 6. Please update of the status of the amended agreement including the review process. Has the amended agreement been signed by parties? If not, is the amended agreement being further negotiated?
- 7. Please provide the cumulative savings and savings by year for the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2017. Please explain the method and assumptions used.
- 8. Please provide the cumulative savings and savings by year for the period January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2019. Please explain the method and assumptions used.

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by February 2, 2011, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6218 if you have any questions.

Sincerely.

Katherine E. Fleming

Senior Attorney

Office of the General Counsel

KEF/sh

cc: Office of Commission Clerk

Data-Request-Letter.doc